

Photocatalytic One-Pot Synthesis of Quinazolinone Under Ambient Conditions

Mingchun Wang¹ · Jiyuan Ren¹ · Qi Xiao¹ · Ao Song¹ · Shengsheng Yu¹ · Rongzhou Wang¹ · Lingbao Xing¹

Received: 19 October 2022 / Accepted: 28 December 2022 / Published online: 16 January 2023 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2023

Abstract

A novel photocatalytic cyclization reaction was developed for the synthesis of quinazolinones from *o*-aminobenzamides and *in-situ* generated aldehydes from alcohols using 9-fluorenone as the photocatalyst through a "one-pot" process. Furthermore, alcohols are perfect alternatives to aldehydes due to some unique advantages, such as being green, less toxic, available, and economical. The present protocol showed good tolerance for various substrates and could afford a range of quinazolinones (29 examples) up to 91% under ambient conditions.

Graphical Abstract



Keywords Visible-light-catalyzed · Photochemical catalysis · One-Pot · Cyclization · Quinazolinones

\bowtie	Rongzhou Wang
	rongzhouwang@sdut.edu.cn
\square	Lingbao Xing

lbxing@sdut.edu.cn

1 Introduction

N-containing heteroaromatic compounds are important structures found in natural products, pharmaceutically important molecules, and organic functional materials [1–3]. Among them, quinazolinone and its derivatives have received considerable attention in recent years, because they exhibit significant pharmacological and biological activities, such as antibacterial, antineoplastic, anti-in ammatory, anti-convulsant, antimalarial, anti-asthmatic, anti-Alzheimer, and anticancer (Scheme 1) [4–7]. In addition, quinazolinones play an important role in organic reactions due to their

¹ School of Chemistry & Chemical Engineering, Shandong University of Technology, Zibo 255049, Shandong, People's Republic of China

Scheme 1 Examples of synthetic and natural quinazolinones



excellent characteristics. Hence, the excellent characteristics of guinazolinone derivatives have promoted extensive studies of their synthesis, and a number of synthetic methods have been developed over the past few decades [8-12]. Despite these significant advances, the most classical and general approaches still utilized o-aminobenzamides and aldehydes as the substrates to form quinazolinones followed by the oxidation of the resulting aminal intermediates in the laboratory and industry [13–16]. Most of these processes generally require the use of stoichiometric of nonrenewable oxidants (KMnO₄ [17], DDQ [18], and CuCl₂ [19]) and metal catalysts [20–22]. However, aldehydes were required as the reagents to generate quinazolinones with good yields in the above reactions, which were significantly toxic and sensitive to unavoidably occurring self-aldol side reactions. Therefore, the development of greener and more harmless material instead of aldehydes for the synthesis of quinazolinones is highly desirable. As a class of compounds, alcohols are perfect alternatives to aldehydes because they are greener, more available, more economical, more stable, and less toxic than aldehydes, which are smoothly oxidized to aldehydes followed by the condensation with *o*-aminobenzamides forming quinazolinones. In recent years, various metal catalysts or oxidants (such as Ir [23], Pd [24], Ru [25], Mn [26], Fe [27], Cu [28], DMSO [29], TBHP [30]) have been used to prepare quinazolinones (Scheme 2). Although these protocols have certain disadvantages, these condensations require the use of metal catalysts or stoichiometric quantities of toxic oxidants. Therefore, it is imperative to develop a more practical, green, and efficient approach to constructing quinazolinones.

Recently, visible-light catalysis has attracted widespread research interest in light degradation [31, 32] and organic synthesis owing to the inherent green, mild, and character of



Scheme 2 Reported methods for the synthesis of quinazolinones

Table 1 Condition optimization for the synthesis of quinazolinones



Entry	Photocatalyst (x mol%)	p-TsOH (y mol%)	Solvent	Time (h)	3aa (%) ^a
1	Eosin Y (2)	10	CH ₃ CN	10	34
2	Fluorescein (2)	10	CH ₃ CN	10	35
3	Pyrenedione (2)	10	CH ₃ CN	10	31
4	9-fluorenone (2)	10	CH ₃ CN	10	43
5	9-fluorenone (2)	0	CH ₃ CN	10	22
6	9-fluorenone (2)	10	PhMe	10	Trace
7	9-fluorenone (2)	10	THF	10	4
8	9-fluorenone (2)	10	DMF	10	7
9	9-fluorenone (2)	10	$CH_3CN + DMSO^b$	10	52
10	9-fluorenone (5)	10	$CH_3CN + DMSO^b$	10	78
11	9-fluorenone (5)	10	CH ₃ CN+DMSO ^b	16	91
12	9-fluorenone (5)	10	$CH_3CN + DMSO^b$	20	92

Reaction conditions: **1a** (0.2 mmol), **2a** (0.24 mmol), photocatalyst (x mol%) and *p*-TsOH (y mol%) in solvent (2.0 mL) with 10 W blue LEDs at room temperature for 16 h

^aIsolated yields

 $^{b}CH_{3}CN/DMSO(v/v) = 9:1$

light [33–47]. Many kinds of organic transformations have already been accomplished with good to excellent yields under ambient conditions by using transition-metal catalysts, such as Aza-Henry reaction, oxidative addition, and crosscoupling reaction [48–51]. However, compared to transition metal catalysts, organic dyes, and small organic molecules, as photosensitizers, have recently aroused growing interest due to their high efficiency of visible-light absorption, enhanced stability, and easy modification for visible light catalysis [52–57]. Advantageously, these organic molecules show unique reactivity and unparalleled selectivity in organic reactions, and the structures of these molecules can be easily optimized for obtaining the desired products. Especially, 9-fluorenone, as a commercially available and cheap metal-free photocatalyst, can activate O2 molecule to transform it into reactive oxygen species (ROS) such as superoxide anion radical, hydrogen peroxide, singlet oxygen and hydroxy radical which are the key oxidants in many organic reactions [58–60].

Based on all this information and our own interest to explore metal-free catalysis, we develop an efficient, highly atom economical, and environmentally friendly one-pot strategy for constructing quinazolinones from alcohols with *o*-aminobenzamides in good yields using 9-fluorenone as the photocatalyst by irradiation of visible light under ambient conditions.

2 Experiment

2.1 Materials

All reagent-grade chemicals were obtained from commercial suppliers and were used as received unless otherwise noted (Table S1, Support Information). DMSO (anhydrous, \geq 99.9%) and CH₃CN (anhydrous, \geq 99.9%) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich.

2.2 General Procedure for the Synthesis of Quinazolinones Using Alcohol

O-aminobenzamides (0.2 mmol), alcohols (0.24 mmol), 9-fluorenone (0.01 mmol, 5 mol %), *p*-TsOH (0.02 mmol, 10 mol %), CH₃CN (1.8 mL), and DMSO (0.2 mL) were

Table 2 Scope of the alcohols



Entry	Substrate	Product	Yield (%) ^a	M.P. [Refer- ences]
1	ОН 2а		91	237–238 °C [67]
2	ОН Ме 2b	NH Me NH Me 3ab	87	222–224 °C [67]
3	Me OH 2c	NH NH Sac	89	210–212 °C [29]
4	Me 2d	NH NH Sad Me	89	244–245 °C [67]
5	Me Ze OH	NH NH Sae Me	88	211–212 °C [67]
6	MeO OH 2f	Me O NH Saf	87	245–247 °C [67]
7	MeO 2g	O NH Sag OMe	88	245–247 °C [68]
8	MeO MeO 2h	O NH N Sah OMe	87	241–243 °C [69]

Entry	Substrate	Product	Yield (%) ^a	M.P. [Refer- ences]
9	ООН 2i		87	276–279 ℃ [70]
10	F 2j	O NH Saj	85	258–259 ℃ [29]
11	CI OH 2k		86	295–296 °C [67]
12	CI CI CI		89	300–301 ℃ [67]
13	Br OH 2m	NH NH Sam	82	296–297 °C [71]
14	HO 2n		89	> 300 °C [72]
15	MeOOC 20		85	275–277 °C [73]
16	NC 2p		88	281–282 °C [74]
17	F ₃ C OH		84	308–310 °C [67]
18	F ₃ CO 2r	O NH 3ar OCF ₃	85	269–270 °C [75]

Table 2 (continued)

Entry	Substrate	Product	Yield (%) ^a	M.P. [Refer- ences]
19	S OH 2s		80	272–273 ℃ [76]
20	OH 2t	NH NH 3at	87	212–214 °C [67]
21 ^b	OH 2u		45	229–231 °C [77]
22 ^b	Ме ́ (∕)₅́ ОН 2v		32	140–142 °C [78]
23 ^b	Me OH 2w		42	200–201 °C [30]
24 ^b	Ме ^{́ОН} 2х		N.D	

Reaction conditions: **1a** (0.2 mmol), **2** (0.24 mmol), 9-fluorenone (5 mol%) and *p*-TsOH (10 mol%) in the mixed solvent of CH_3CN (1.8 mL) and DMSO (0.2 mL) with 10 W blue LEDs at room temperature for 16 h

^aIsolated yield, N.D.=not detected

^bReaction for 24 h

added to a 10 mL flat quartz glass jar and placed in a photocatalytic parallel reactor. The container was placed under the 10 W blue LEDs lamp at room temperature for 16 h. After completion of the reaction, 100 mL of distilled water is added to the mixture. Then, the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate ($50 \text{ mL} \times 3$), dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered, and the solvent was rotary evaporated to obtain a crude product. The produce was obtained by column chromatography on silica gel and was identified by NMR analyses. All analytical data of the known compounds are consistent with those reported in the literatures.

2.3 Gram-Scale Synthesis of 3aa

O-aminobenzamides (8 mmol), benzyl alcohol (9.6 mmol), 9-fluorenone (5 mol%), *p*-TsOH (10 mol%), CH₃CN (9 mL), and DMSO (1 mL) were added into a 25 mL flat quartz glass jar with a stirrer under 10 W blue LEDs at room temperature for 16 h. After completion, 500 mL of distilled water was added to the mixture. The mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate (100 mL×3), dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, and filtered. The mixture was concentrated in vacuo and purified by flash column chromatography with hexanes/ethyl acetate to afford the product **3aa**.

2.4 Product Analysis

Melting points of all products were measured on an RY-1 micro melt apparatus. Proton nuclear magnetic resonance (¹H NMR) spectra were recorded using a 400 spectrometer at 400 MHz. Chemical shifts in ¹H NMR spectra are reported in parts per million (ppm) on the scale from an internal standard of DMSO-d₆ (2.50 ppm). Coupling constant *J* values are reported in hertz (Hz), and the corresponding representation of splitting mode is as follows: s, singlet; d, doublet; t, triplet; m, multiplet; b, broad. Carbon-13 nuclear magnetic resonance (¹³C NMR) spectra were recorded at 100 MHz using a 400 spectrometer. Chemical shifts are reported in delta (δ) units and the ppm from the center of the peak of DMSO-d₆ (39.520 ppm). ¹³C NMR spectra were routinely run with broadband decoupling.

3 Results and Discussions

3.1 Optimization of Reaction Conditions

To optimize the reaction conditions, we initially chose o-aminobenzamide (1a) to react with benzyl alcohol (2a) as a model reaction. To achieve a green reaction outcome, we chose a series of organic dyes (eosin Y, fluorescein, pyrenedione, and 9-fluorenone as the photocatalysts (2 mol%) to give the target product under 10 W blue LEDs in the air within 10 h under ambient conditions. During these preliminary tests, 9-fluorenone showed reasonable activity in the preparation of quinazolinone (3aa) in the presence of 10 mol% p-TsOH (Table 1, entries 1-4). It was found that the efficiency of the reaction was reduced markedly in the absence of *p*-TsOH (Table 1, entry 5). Next, we examined different solvents. The use of other nonpolar and polar aprotic solvents such as toluene, THF, and DMF resulted in the formation of the desired product in $\leq 7\%$ yields (Table 1, entries 6-8). According to previous research, the lifetime of the excited state of 9-fluorenone could be increased with an additional stabilizing effect of DMSO [61]. To our delight, a further improved yield (52%) was achieved in the mixture of CH₃CN and DMSO (Table 1, entry 9). In addition, the

results showed that the catalyst dosage and extending reaction time were advantageous to improve the yield of **3aa** (Table 1, entries 10–12). In addition, the effect of the dosage of 9-fluorenone on the yield of the target product **3aa** and the methods of improving photocatalytic activity were further studied [31, 32, 62–66]. In summary, the reaction works best using 5 mol% 9-fluorenone and 10 mol% *p*-TsOH in the mixed solvent of CH₃CN and DMSO for 16 h under air and blue LEDs at room temperature (Table 1, entry 11).

3.2 Synthesis of Quinazolinone.

After optimization of the reaction conditions and finding the best photocatalyst, we become interested in exploring the scope of the reactions and the results are listed in Table 2. Benzyl alcohols bearing one or two electrondonating groups, such as methyl (2b, 2c, and 2d), isopropyl (2e), methoxy (2f and 2g), dimethoxy (2h), and methyl-enedioxy (2i) were converted to the corresponding products (3ab-3ai) in 87-89% yields. In the case of halide-substituted benzyl alcohol, the corresponding desired products (3aj-3am) were obtained in good to excellent yields. No cleavage of halogen atoms was observed when halide-substituted alcohols were utilized. In addition, 4hydroxybenzyl alcohol (2n) and methyl 4-(hydroxymethyl) benzoate (20) were also suitable substrates, which gave the corresponding products **3an** and **3ao** in 89% and 85% yields, respectively. In this case, benzyl alcohols with a strong electron-withdrawing group, such as cyano (2p), trifluoromethyl (2q), and trifluoromethoxy(2r) were used as substrates, the desired products **3ap-3ar** could be obtained in 84-88% yields. Also, heteroatom-containing and fused-ring primary alcohols (2s and 2t) reacted with 1a to provide the corresponding products (3as and 3at) in 80% and 87% yields. Finally, aliphatic alcohols such as cyclohexanemethanol $(2\mathbf{u})$, 1-octanol $(2\mathbf{v})$, and 1-butanol $(2\mathbf{w})$ were tested successively, and the desired products 3au-3aw were obtained in 32-45% yields. Unfortunately, when ethanol (2x) was chosen as the substrate, the target product was not obtained.

Encouraged by the above results, we then extended this method to different o-aminobenzamides (1). As can be seen in Table 3, the electronic properties of the substituents on the phenyl group of o-aminobenzamides did not



Table 3 Scope of the *o*-aminobenzamides



Reaction conditions: 1 (0.2 mmol), 2a (0.24 mmol), 9-fluorenone (5 mol%), p-TsOH (10 mol%) in the mixed solvent of CH_3CN (1.8 mL) and DMSO (0.2 mL) with 10 W blue LEDs at room temperature for 16 h

^aIsolated yield

^b1g (0.1 mmol), 2a (0.12 mmol), reaction for 24 h

Scheme 4 Controlled experi-

ments



have a significant impact on the reaction outcome, and the desired products (**3ba–3ea**) could be obtained in 81–85% yields. To our delight, the *N*-substituted *o*-aminobenzamides **1f** and **1 g** could also react with **2a** to satisfactorily generate the desired products **3fa** and **3ga** in 85% and 78% yields, respectively.

Further, to test the utility of this photochemical strategy, an 8 mmol scale-up reaction was conducted under optimized reaction conditions (Scheme 3). To our delight, the reaction of *o*-aminobenzamide (**1a**) and benzyl alcohol (**2a**) was also performed well under blue LEDs, giving the product **3aa** in 62% yield (1.10 g). Therefore, the catalytic system works well for the synthesis of quinazolinones on a gram scale.

3.3 Controlled Experiment

Through the UV–visible diffuse reflectance spectra (UV–vis DRS), the absorption profiles of the 9-fluorenone have wide absorption in the visible light region [82, 83] (Fig. S3, Supporting Information). Next, the recyclability of the

optimized catalyst (9-fluorenone) for the model reaction was investigated (Fig. S4, Support Information). After each recycling, the catalyst was recovered by column chromatography, and applied to the next cycle. The activity of the catalyst decreased a little during the five cycles.

To shed light on the mechanism of this visible-lightinduced reaction for the preparation of quinazolinones from primary alcohols and o-aminobenzamides, several control experiments were conducted (Scheme 4). When various amounts of 2,2,6,6-tetramethyl-1-piperidinyloxy (TEMPO) as radical trapping the agent was added to the photocatalytic reaction under an identical reaction conditions and the yield of (3aa) significantly decreased upon increasing the amount of TEMPO, implying that the radical the pathway may be involved (Scheme 4a). The reaction of o-aminobenzamide 1a and benzyl alcohol 2a, in the absence of light or O_2 , was performed under optimal conditions, with no product (3aa) was observed, suggesting the essentiality of light and O₂ in such a transformation (Scheme 4b and c). Equally unsurprisingly, when 1a was treated with 2a without photocatalyst, nearly no product was observed for the standard



Scheme 5 The possible reaction mechanism

reaction (Scheme 4d). In addition, the reaction of intermediate 4 could proceed smoothly to furnish the desired product **3aa** in 97% yield under the optimized reaction conditions, which strongly demonstrated the formation of intermediate 4 in the reaction. Moreover, we carried out a set of electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) experiments. The ESR experiments verified that both superoxide radical anion and singlet oxygen radical were included in the reaction (Fig. S6, Support Information). These experimental results clearly revealed that the route was involved in the reaction.

3.4 Reaction Mechanism

On the basis of the experimental results and literature references [84, 85], a possible mechanism for the photocatalytic reaction of the condensation of *o*-aminobenzamide (1a) with benzyl alcohol (2a) to quinazolinone (3aa) is suggested in Scheme 5. Initially, 9-fluorenone* was produced by 9-fluorenone under visible light conditions. Benzyl alcohol was converted to activated benzyl alcohol with the action of 9-fluorenone* via single electron transfer (SET) producing 9-fluorenone*⁻. Accompanied by the oxidation of 9-fluorenone*⁻ under O₂ in the air, 9-fluorenone was generated by releasing singlet oxygen radical and superoxide radical anion. Next, the activated benzyl alcohol reacted with the superoxide radical anion to generate peroxide radical and further abstraction of one more hydrogen atom by the peroxide radical generated benzaldehyde (A) and H_2O_2 . Then, H_2O_2 was reacted with DMSO to generate dimethyl sulfone and H_2O . Intermediate 4 was formed by the condensation between *o*-aminobenzamide (1a) and benzaldehyde (A) through a stepwise acid-promoted cyclization in the presence of *p*-TsOH. Intermediate C was generated through single electron transfer (SET) from the reaction of 9-fluorenone* and 4. The superoxide radical anion from O_2 was reacted with C to produce intermediate D and the peroxide radical. Finally, the peroxide radical abstracted one hydrogen atom from D to give the target product (3aa) by producing H_2O_2 , which was transformed to dimethyl sulfone in the presence of DMSO.

4 Conclusions

In conclusion, we have developed a novel and efficient method for the synthesis of quinazolinones from *o*-aminobenzamides and primary alcohols using 9-fluorenone as the cheap and high active photocatalyst under irradiation of visible light. Base on this approach, various multi-substituted quinazolinones were easily synthesized in good yields under mild reaction conditions. Moreover, it could achieve the gram-scale transformation in a satisfactory yield, which might indicate that this strategy has more applications in the future. Further study on the synthesis of other heterocyclic structures by photoredox catalysis is currently underway in our laboratory.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s10562-022-04266-y.

Acknowledgements We are grateful for the financial support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (22005179), and Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province (ZR2020QB113, ZR2020MB018 and, ZR2021QB049).

References

- 1. Tietze LF, Modi A (2000) Med Res Rev 20(4):304-322
- 2. Godula K, Sames D (2006) Science 312(5770):67-72
- Belenkii LI, Gramenitskaya VN, Evdokimenkova YB (2011). In: Katritzky AR (ed) Advances in Heterocyclic Chemistry. Academic press, Cambridge
- Cao SL, Feng YP, Jiang YY, Liu SY, Ding GY, Li RT (2005) Bioorg Med Chem Lett 15(7):1915–1917
- Kumar D, Jacob MR, Reynolds MB, Kerwin SM (2002) Bioorg Med Chem 10(12):3997–4004
- Rida SM, Ashour FA, El-Hawash SAM, Badr H, Shalaby MA (2005) Eur J Med Chem 40(9):949–959
- Murty MSR, Ram KR, Rao RV, Yadav JSU, Murty SN, Kumar KP (2011) Med Chem Res 20(5):626–636
- Horton DA, Bourne GT, Smythe ML (2003) Chem Rev 103(3):893–930
- Pawar OB, Chavan FR, Sakate SS, Shinde ND (2010) Chinese J Chem 28(1):69–71
- 10. Zhao D, Wang T, Li JX (2014) Chem Commun 50(49):6471-6474
- 11. Tavakoli-Hoseini N, Davoodnia AA (2011) Chinese J Chem 29(8):1685–1688
- Mohammadi AA, Sadat-Hossini SS (2011) Chinese J Chem 29(9):1982–1984
- Hioki H, Matsushita K, Nakamura S, Horiuchi H, Kubo M, Harada K, Fukuyama YJ (2008) J Comb Chem 10(5):620–623
- Cheng R, Guo T, Daisy ZN, Du YF, Zhao K (2013) Synthesis 45(21):998–3006
- Chen JX, Su WK, Wu HY, Liu MC, Jin C (2007) Green Chem 9(9):972–975
- Zhan D, Li T, Wei H, Weng W, Ghandi K, Zeng QA (2013) RSC Adv 3(24):9325–9329
- 17. Roy AD, Subramanian A, Roy R (2006) J Org Chem 71(1):382-385
- Rachakonda S, Pratap PS, Rao MVB (2012) Synthesis 44(13):2065–2069
- Abdel-Jalil RJ, Voelter W, Saeed M (2004) Tetrahedron Lett 45(17):3475–3476
- 20. Li F, Lu L, Ma J (2015) Org Chem Front 2(12):1589-1597
- 21. Gong W, Chen X, Jiang H, Chu DD, Cui Y, Liu Y (2019) J Am Chem Soc 141(18):7498–7508
- 22. Prakash M, Jayakumar S, Kesavan V (2013) Synthesis 45(16):2265–2272
- 23. Zhou J, Fang J (2011) J Org Chem 76(19):7730-7736
- Hikawa H, Ino Y, Suzuki H, Yokoyama Y (2012) J Org Chem 77(16):7046–7051
- Watson JAA, Maxwell C, Williams JMJ (2012) Org Biomol Chem 10(2):240–243
- Zhang Z, Wang M, Zhang C, Zhang Z, Lu J, Wang F (2015) Chem Commun 51(44):9205–9207

- 27. Hu Y, Chen L, Li B (2016) RSC Adv 6(69):65196–65204
- Das S, Sinha S, Samanta D, Chakraborty G, Brandao P, Paul ND (2019) J Org Chem 84(16):10160–10171
- 29. Ge W, Zhu X, Wei Y (2013) RSC Adv 3(27):10817-10822
- 30. Xie Z, Lan J, Zhu H, Lei G, Jiang G, Le Z (2021) Chinese Chem Lett 32(4):1427–1431
- Hamad H, Bailon-Garcia E, Maldonado-Hodar FJ, Perez-Cadenas AF, Carrasco-Marin F, Morales-Torres S (2019) Appl Catal B: Environ 241:385–392
- Hamad H, Bailón-García E, Morales-Torres S, Carrasco-Marín F, Pérez-Cadenas AF, Maldonado-Hódar FJ (2020) Nanomaterials Basel. 10(4):729
- Qi MY, Conte M, Anpo M, Tang ZR, Xu YJ (2021) Chem Rev 121(21):13051–13085
- Narayanam JMR, Stephenson CRJ (2011) Chem Soc Rev 40(1):102–113
- 35. Zhu C, Yue H, Chu L, Rueping M (2020) Chem Sci 11(16):4051-4064
- Ou W, Zou R, Han M, Yu L, Su C (2020) Chinese Chem Lett 31(7):1899–1902
- 37. Zhou R, Goh YY, Liu H, Tao H, Li L, Wu J (2017) Angew Chem Int Ed 56(52):16621–16625
- Han C, Tang ZR, Liu J, Jin S, Xu YJ (2019) Chem Sci 10(12):3514–3522
- Lina Q, Lia YH, Qi MY, Li JY, Tang ZR, Anpo M, Yamada YMA, Xu YJ (2020) Appl Catal B-Environ 271:118946
- 40. Yang MQ, Xu YJ (2013) Chem Chem Phys 15(44):19102-19118
- 41. Li YH, Zhang F, Chen Y, Li JY, Xu YJ (2020) Green Chem 22(1):163–169
- 42. Zhou R, Ma L, Yang X, Cao J (2021) Org Chem Front 8(3):426-444
- 43. Qi MY, Conte M, Tang ZR, Xu YJ (2022) ACS Nano 16(10):17444–17453
- 44. Li JY, Li YH, Qi MY, Lin Q, Tang ZR, Xu YJ (2020) ACS Catal 10(11):6262–6280
- 45. Li YH, Qi MY, Tang ZR, Xu YJ (2022) J Phys Chem C 126(4):1872-1880
- 46. Qi MY, Li YH, Anpo M, Tang ZR, Xu YJ (2020) ACS Catal 10(23):14327–14335
- 47. Yang MQ, Xu YJ (2013) Phys Chem Chem Phys 15(44):19102-19118
- Chan AY, Perry IB, Bissonnette NB, Buksh BF, Edwards GA, Frye LI, Garry OL, Lavagnino MN, Li BX, Liang YF, Mao E, Millet A, Oakley JV, Reed NL, Sakai HA, Seath CP, MacMillan DWC (2021) Chem Rev 122(2):485–1542
- Lang X, Ma W, Chen C, Ji H, Zhao J (2014) Acc Chem Res 47(2):355–363
- Chen YZ, Wang ZU, Lu HJ, Yu SH, Jiang HL (2017) J Am Chem Soc 139(5):2035–2044
- 51. Zhao QQ, Hu XQ, Yang MN, Chen JR, Xiao WJ (2016) Chem Commun 52(86):12749–12752
- 52. Meng C, Yang K, Fu XZ, Yuan RS (2015) ACS Catal 5(6):3760-3766
- 53. Zhou R, Liu R, Zhang K, Han L, Zhang H, Gao W, Li R (2017) Chem Commun 53(51):6860–6863
- 54. Ravelli D, Fagnoni M, Albini A (2013) Chem Soc Rev 42(1):97-113
- 55. Romero NA, Nicewicz DA (2016) Chem Rev 116(17):10075-10166
- 56. Srivastava V, Singh PP (2017) RSC Adv 7(50):31377-31392
- 57. Peng JB, Qi X, Wu XF (2016) Chemsuschem 9(17):2279–2283
- Wang YF, Xu WG, Sun B, Yu QQ, Li TJ, Zhang FL (2019) J Org Chem 84(20):13104–13111
- 59. Xia JB, Zhu Z, Chen C (2013) J Am Chem Soc 135(46):17494-17500

- Okamoto H, Yamaji M, Gohda S, Kubozono Y, Komura N, Sato K, Sugino H, Satake K (2011) Org Lett 13(10):2758–2761
- 61. Margrey KA, Nicewicz DA (2016) Acc Chem Res 49(9):1997–2006
- Ali El-Remaily MA, Hamad HA, Soliman AM, Elhady OM (2021) Appl Organomet Chem 35(7):e6238
- 63. Fathy M, Hamad H (2016) RSC adv 6(9):7310-7316
- Hamad H, Abd El-latif M, Kashyout AEH, Sadik W, Feteha M (2015) Process Saf Environ 98:390–398
- Hamad H, Castelo-Quibén J, Morales-Torres S, Carrasco-Marín F, Pérez-Cadenas AF, Maldonado-Hódar FJ (2018) Materials 11(9):1766
- Hamad H, Bailon-Garcia E, Morales-Torres S, Carrasco-Marin F, Perez-Cadenas AF, Maldonado-Hodar FJ (2018) J Environ Chem Eng 6(4):5032–5041
- Jiang X, Tang T, Wang JM, Chen Z, Zhu YM, Ji SJ (2014) J Org Chem 79(11):5082–5087
- Xu W, Jin Y, Liu H, Jiang Y, Fu AH (2011) Org Lett 13(6):1274–1277
- Pieterse L, Van der Walt MM, Terre'Blanche G (2020) Bioorg Med Chem Lett 30(16):127274
- Balaji S, Balamurugan G, Ramesh R, Semeril D (2021) Organometallics 40(6):725–734
- Heravi M, Montazeri N, Rahimzadeh M, Bakavoli M, Ghassemzadeh M (2004) Pol Jo Chem 78:2101–2103
- Sylvain L, Marine H, Julien G, Isabelle SA, Laurent B, Christophe H, Vincent L, Corinne F, Thierry B (2015) Org Lett 17(7):1700–1703
- Yao D, Jiang J, Zhang H, Huang Y, Huang J, Wang J (2021) Bioorg Med Chem Lett 47:128204
- Abdullaha M, Mohammed S, Ali M, Kumar A, Vishwakarma RA, Bharate SB (2019) J Org Chem 84(9):5129–5140

- 75. Hao S, Yang J, Liu P, Xu J, Yang C, Li F (2021) Org Lett 23(7):2553–2558
- 76. Parua S, Das S, Sikari R, Sinha S, Paul ND (2017) J Org Chem 82(14):7165–7175
- 77. Ma B, Wang Y, Peng J, Zhu Q (2011) J Org Chem 76(15):6362-6366
- Lee S, Sim J, Jo H, Viji M, Srinu L, Lee K, Lee H, Manjunatha V, Jung JK (2019) Org Biomole Chem 17(35):8067–8070
- Feng Y, Li Y, Cheng G, Wang L, Cui X (2015) J Org Chem 80(14):7099–7107
- 80. Fang J, Zhou J (2012) Org Biomol Chem 10(12):2389-2391
- Hikawa H, Nakayama T, Takahashi M, Kikkawa S, Azumaya I (2021) Adv Synth Catal 363(16):4075–4084
- Hamad H, Elsenety MM, Sadik W, El-Demerdash AG, Nashed A, Mostafa A, Elyamny S (2022) Sci Rep-UK 12(1):1–20
- Ali El-Remaily MA, Kamel MS, Halim SA, Shokr EK, Abdel-Ghany H, Hamad H (2023) Mater Chem Phys 293:126972
- Ghosh I, Mukhopadhyay A, Koner AL, Samanta S, Nau WM, Moorthy JN (2014) Chem Chem Phys 16(31):16436–16445
- 85. Bains AK, Ankit Y, Adhikari D (2021) Org Lett 23(6):2019-2023

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.