

Efcient Production Hyperoside from Quercetin in *Escherichia coli* **Through Increasing UDP‑Galactose Supply and Recycling of Resting Cell**

Na Gu1,2 · Cong Qiu1,2 [·](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0008-0202) Linguo Zhao1,2,4 · Lihu Zhang3 · Jianjun Pei1,2,[4](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6713-0016)

Received: 9 June 2020 / Accepted: 28 August 2020 / Published online: 5 September 2020 © Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2020

Abstract

Hyperoside is used as the standard to assess the quality of *Hyperin perforatum* L and exhibits many biological properties. To improve the yield of hyperoside in *Escherichia coli,* four UDP-galactose synthesis pathways were screened and a recombinant strain was reconstructed by using an efficient UDP-galactose generation system coupled with *Petunia hybrida* glycosyltransferase to biosynthesis hyperoside from quercetin. By adopting the resting cell transformation, maximal hyperoside production of 4574 mg/L and average productivity of 63.5 mg/L/h were achieved after 72 h of biotranformation. Subsequently through recycling of resting cells, average productivity within 72 h reached 126 mg/L/h, which was 100% higher than that in the batch fermentation. Hyperoside production reached equivalent to 18,000 mg/L by recycling seven times of resting cell in 168 h, which was 393% of that in the batch fermentation and the frst to reach 10 g per liter scale in *E. coli*. Therefore, this study provides an efficient method for construction of UDP-galactose synthesis pathway and hyperoside production in *E. coli.*

Graphic Abstract

Hyperoside production reached 18,000 mg/L by recycling 7 times of resting cell in 168 h

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article [\(https://doi.org/10.1007/s10562-020-03373-y\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10562-020-03373-y) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

Extended author information available on the last page of the article

Keywords Hyperoside · UDP-galactose synthesis pathway · Resting cell · Biotranformation

1 Introduction

Flavonoids are a large class of over 10,000 natural compounds found in various plants. They are of signifcant interest due to their varied applications in the felds of favors, agriculture, nutrition, and pharmaceutics [[1–](#page-8-0)[4](#page-8-1)]. In recent years, some special favonoids have attracted scientifc attention because of their efective pharmaceutical activities such as antiviral, anti-infammatory, antidepressant, apoptotic, and antifungal activities $[5-12]$ $[5-12]$ $[5-12]$. For example, hyperoside (quercetin 3-O-galactoside) is used as the standard to assess the quality of *Hyperin perforatum* L, which is traditional Chinese medicine and exhibits higher bioactivity compared to that of quercetin in some respects [[8](#page-8-4), [11](#page-8-5)]. However, it is difficult to produce hyperoside in an industrial scale because of its low concentration and complex composition in the plants [[13](#page-8-6), [14\]](#page-8-7). Quercetin has been cheaply produced in an industrial scale from *Sophora japonica* L. via solvent extraction, hydrolysis, and column chromatography in China [\[15\]](#page-8-8). Hyperoside has one more galactose residue at the C-3 position than quercetin. Theoretically, hyperoside can be obtained by glycosylating quercetin at the C-3 position [\[16](#page-8-9)].

Structural modifcations of favonoids are an important method for the structural and functional diversifcation of natural favonoids [\[17,](#page-8-10) [18](#page-8-11)]. The glycosylation of quercetin can increase its biological activity, bioavailability, and value [[5,](#page-8-2) [16](#page-8-9), [19](#page-8-12), [20](#page-8-13)]. In plants, UDP-glycosyltransferases catalyze the glycosylation of favonoids by transferring a sugar molecule from the UDP-sugar to an acceptor. Many kinds of UDP-glycosyltransferase genes have been cloned and expressed. Some recombinant strains harboring UDPglycosyltransferase genes have been reconstructed to produce favonoid glycosides [\[2](#page-8-14), [19–](#page-8-12)[24\]](#page-9-0). De Bruyn et al. developed a glucosylation platform in vivo through expressing sucrose phosphorylase and uridylyltransferase to increasing UDP-glucose supply in *E. coli*. Subsequently, the recombinant strain with an efficient UDP-galactose supply was reconstructed by overexpressing UDP-galactose/4-epimerase gene [[18](#page-8-11), [23](#page-9-1)]. By introducing the UDP-glycosyltransferase gene, titers of hyperoside in the recombinant strain reached 940 mg/L [[23](#page-9-1)]. Although hyperoside production reached almost the gram scale, it is difficult to further improve hyperoside production towards low-cost production because flavonoids can affect the activity of DNA topoisomerase causing the growth inhibition of recombinant strains [[25](#page-9-2)]. Resting cells can overcome these problems and have been used to biotransformation because of separation of growth and transformation. On the other hand, resting cells can be recovered by centrifugation and be used for several rounds of biotransformation [[26](#page-9-3)[–29](#page-9-4)].

Another UDP-glucose synthesis pathway with cellobiose phosphorolysis has recently been developed in *E. coli* by introducing the cellobiose phosphorylase and the UTP-glucose-1-phosphate uridylyltransferase genes, which could not only enhance the UDP-glucose supply, but also be helpful for the metabolism and growth of bacteria [\[30](#page-9-5)[–32](#page-9-6)]. Thus, a novel and efficient UDP-galactose platform in vivo has been developed by using the UDP-glucose synthesis pathway coupled with UDP-galactose/4-epimerase gene. On the basis, a recombinant strain was reconstructed to produce hyperoside. The biotransformation conditions for producing hyperoside by the resting cells were determined.

2 Materials and Methods

2.1 Strains, Plasmids, Media, and Chemicals

All plasmids and strains used in this research are listed in Table [1](#page-2-0). *Escherichia coli* JM109 and BL21 (DE3) strains were grown at 37 °C in Luria–Bertani medium (LB) and supplemented with antibiotics when required. Quercetin was purchased from Shananxi Huike Botanical Development Co., Ltd. (Shanxi, China). Hyperoside was purchased from MUST Bio-Technology (Chengdu, China). LB medium contained 10 g/L tryptone, 5 g/L yeast extract, and 10 g/L NaCl; TB medium contained 12 g/L tryptone, 24 g/L yeast extract, 2.32 g/L KH₂PO₄, 12.54 g/L K₂HPO₄, and 10 g/L glycerol; M9 medium contained 3 g/L Na₂HPO₄, 1.5 g/L KH_2PO_4 , NH₄Cl 0.5 g/L, 1 mM MgSO₄, and 2 g/L glycerol.

2.2 Plasmid Construction

DNA manipulations were performed according to standard procedures [[33](#page-9-7)]. The favonol 3-O-galactosyltransferase gene (*PhUGT*) was obtained by digesting with *Nco*I and *EcoR*I from pACYCDuet-PhUGT [[16,](#page-8-9) [34](#page-9-8)] and subcloned into the expression vector pCDFDuet-1 at the *Nco*I and *EcoR*I sites to create pCDFDuet-phUGT. UDP-galactose/4-epimerase gene (*galE*) was obtained by digesting with *Nco*I and *EcoR*I from pACYCDuet-GalE and subcloned into the expression vector pET-28a at the *Nco*I and *EcoR*I sites to create pET-28a-GalE.

2.3 Hyperoside Production from Quercetin by the Recombinant Strains

The recombinant strains were inoculated into 5 mL fresh LB medium containing appropriate antibiotics and were grown at 37 °C until the OD₆₀₀ reached 0.8. A total of 1000 mg/L quercetin, 5 g/L cellobiose or sucrose, and 0.1 mM IPTG

Table 1 Plasmids and strains used in this study

were added to the recombinant strains. The fermentation broths were incubated at 20 °C, 30 °C or 37 °C and 180 rpm for 24 h. Ten volumes of methanol were added directly to the fermentation broths. The supernatant was harvested by centrifugation at 12,000×*g* for 5 min and analyzed using high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC).

2.4 Hyperoside Production by the Resting Cells

The recombinant strains were inoculated into 50 mL fresh LB medium containing appropriate antibiotics and were grown at 37 °C for 24 h. The seed culture was then inoculated in 300 mL fresh TB medium containing appropriate antibiotics and were grown at 37 $^{\circ}$ C until the OD₆₀₀ reached 1.0. Cellobiose (2 g/L) and IPTG (0.1 mM) were added to the broth incubated at 20 °C for 12 h. The recombinant strains were harvested by centrifugation at 5000×*g* for 10 min and were re-suspended by LB, TB, or M9 medium. The broth (5 mL) was used in tubes with the silica gel plug. For more oxygen supply, the broth (20 mL) was used in shakes (100 mL) the eight-layer gauze. Diferent concentrations of recombinant strains were incubated at diferent temperatures (20 °C, 30 °C, and 37 °C) for 48 h with different IPTG concentrations (0.05 mM, 0.1 mM, 0.2 mM, and 0.4 mM), different cellobiose concentrations (5 g/L, 10 g/L, 15 g/L, and 20 g/L), diferent DMSO concentrations (1%, 3%, 5%, 6%, 8%, and 10%), and diferent quercetin concentrations (2 g/L, 3 g/L, 4 g/L, 5 g/L, and 6 g/L). Fifty volumes of methanol were added to the fermentation broths and the samples were measured using a method similar to that described above.

2.5 Hyperoside Production by Recycling the Resting Cells

The recombinant strains were inoculated using a method similar to that described above. M9 medium (200 mL) was used to re-suspend the recombinant strains to $OD_{600} = 20$. A total of 2 g/L quercetin, 10 g/L cellobiose, 5% DMSO, 0.1 mM IPTG, and antibiotics were added to the broth incubated at 30 °C for 24 h. The resting cells were recycled by centrifugation at 5000×*g* for 10 min and were re-suspended by M9 medium (200 mL) with 2 g/L quercetin, 10 g/L cellobiose, 5% DMSO, 0.1 mM IPTG, and antibiotics. The broth incubated at 30 °C for 24 h. The whole process recycles seven times. The samples were measured using a method similar to that described above.

2.6 Product Purifcation

The reaction solution at frst and second recycles was harvested by centrifugation at 10,000 g for 5 min. The supernatant was applied to a AB-8 column macroporous resin $(2.5 \times 30 \text{ cm}, \text{Jianghua}, \text{China})$ equilibrated with the distilled water, and was eluted with 50% ethanol. The elution with 50% ethanol was collected and evaporated to dryness, and the product was analyzed by LC/MS and NMR.

2.7 HPLC and Liquid Chromatography‑Mass Spectrometry (LC/MS) Analysis

Cellobiose was determined with an HPLC 1200 system (Agilent, USA) and a Prevail Carbohydrate ES 5 μm column (250 mm×4.6 mm; Grace, USA) according to a previous method [[30\]](#page-9-5). HPLC analysis of quercetin and hyperoside was performed using an HPLC 1200 system (Agilent, USA)

and a C18 (25×4.6 mm; i.d., 5μ m) column with methanol (A) and distilled water (B) at an A/B ratio of 55:45 for 15 min. The fow rate was 0.8 mL/min, and detection was performed by monitoring the absorbance at 368 nm. LC/ MS for quercetin and hyperoside were analyzed in an LTQ Orbitrap XL LC/MS in negative mode with an ion trap analyzer. The ion spray was operated at 25 Arb N_2/m in, 3.5 kV, and 300°C.

2.8 Structural Identifcation

The structure of the product was determined using the proton and carbon nuclear magnetic resonance (1H-NMR, 13C-NMR) spectrum method (Bruker AVANCE IIII 400) and DMSO- d_6 was used as the solvent. 1H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 12.63 (s, 1H), 10.84 (s, 1H), 7.67 (dd, J = 8.9, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (d, J=2.5 Hz, 2H), 6.82 (d, J=8.8 Hz, 1H), 6.40 (d, $J = 2.3$ Hz, 2H), 6.20 (d, $J = 2.3$ Hz, 2H), 5.37 (d, J=8.1 Hz, 1H), 3.65 (d, J=3.7 Hz, 2H), 3.57 (t, J=8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.46 (dd, J=10.4, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 3.39–3.26 (m, 5H). 13C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 177.48, 164.10, 161.22, 156.29, 156.23, 148.44, 144.81, 133.49, 121.98, 121.09, 115.94, 115.17, 103.92, 101.80, 98.64, 93.48, 75.83, 73.18, 71.19, 67.91, 60.12.

3 Results and Discussion

3.1 Engineering UDP‑Galactose Synthesis Pathway for Hyperoside Production

UDP-sugar is an important factor in glycosylation [[18,](#page-8-11) [23,](#page-9-1) [30](#page-9-5), [35\]](#page-9-9). To increase hyperoside production, it is important to improve the supply of UDP-galactose in the recombinant strains. UDP-galactose is synthesized from UDP-glucose by the UDP-galactose/4-epimerase in *E. coli*, therefore to increase hyperoside production must increase the supply of UDP-glucose. In this study, four methods for improving the supply of UDP-galactose were adopted by introducing different UDP-glucose synthesis pathways in the recombinant strains (Fig. [1\)](#page-4-0). Hyperoside production in the strain BLphUGT-I, which was constructed by enhancing the original UDP-glucose synthesis pathway, was 388 mg/L, but it has not been signifcantly improved in hyperoside production compared with the strain BL-phUGT-0 (Fig. [1\)](#page-4-0). Hyperoside production in the strain BL-phUGT-II was 505 mg/L at 20 °C of conversion temperature, which was 1.4 times higher than that produced in the strain BL-phUGT-0. Hyperoside production in BL-phUGT-II reduced remarkably as the conversion temperature increased. The highest hyperoside production was determined in the strain BL-phUGT-III with 682 mg/L at 30 °C of conversion temperature, which was 135% of that produced in the strain BL-phUGT-II (Fig. [1](#page-4-0)).

The expression levels of *phUGT*, *cep*, *UgpA*, and *galE* genes inthe strain BL-phUGT-III were determined and the target proteins were identifed (Fig. S1). The results showed that the method by the cellobiose phosphorolysis pathway was more effective in the supply of UDP-galactose than those in other strains. Although a recombinant strain was reconstructed by introducing an efficient UDP-galactose biosynthesis pathway to produce hyperoside from quercetin, hyperoside production was still low.

3.2 Optimizing the Bioconversion Conditions by the Resting Cell

Hyperoside production in the strain BL-phUGT-III reached 682 mg/L , while it is inefficient and difficult for the industrial production to extract hyperoside from the fermentation broth. Technology of resting cell transformation has been used to bio-transform because it could efectively increase yield, reduce the cost, and improve the tolerance of substrate, product and chemical reagents [\[27](#page-9-10), [29\]](#page-9-4). The cell concentration was very important for resting cell transformation. Efects of the cell concentration on hyperoside production were determined. The optimal cell concentrations in LB, TB and M9 media were $OD_{600} = 10$, 10, and 5, respectively. The highest hyperoside production was 1308 mg/L in M9 media at $OD_{600} = 10$, which was 191% of that produced with the microbial fermentation (Fig. [2](#page-5-0)a–c). However, it is against our expectation that hyperoside production by the resting cell reduced remarkably as the cell concentration increased in LB, TB or M9 media. Hyperoside production was 310 mg/L in LB medium at $OD_{600} = 30$, which was only 24.6% of that at $OD_{600} = 10$ (Fig. [2a](#page-5-0)). Insufficient oxygen supply could lead to the reduction of hyperoside production with high cell concentration, because oxygen was needed for cell growth, the supply of UDP-galactose, and substrate transportation. Moreover, requirement for oxygen in the resting cell increased remarkably as the cell concentration increased. But the bioconversion was in the tubes with the silica gel plug, which could inhibit oxygen supply. When the shakes with the eight-layer gauze were used to produce hyperoside, production increased remarkably as the cell concentration increased, because the eight-layer gauze resulted in a greater supply of oxygen. Highest hyperoside production with more oxygen supply was 3583 mg/L in M9 medium at $OD_{600} = 25$, which was 364% of that with lower oxygen supply and was 525% of that with the microbial fermentation (Fig. $3a-c$).

The cellobiose concentration, substrate concentration, DMSO concentration, IPTG concentration and induction temperature were important factors in hyperoside production by afecting the strain growth, the supply of UDPgalactose, and the expression of recombinant proteins.

Fig. 1 Efects of diferent UDP-galactose biosynthesis pathways on hyperoside production in the recombinant strains. **a** BL-phUGT-O, **b** BLphUGT-I, **c** BL-phUGT-II, **d** BL-phUGT-III

Fig. 2 Effects of the cell concentration on hyperoside production with insufficient oxygen supply. **a** LB medium, **b** TB medium, **c** M9 medium

Fig. 3 Effects of the cell concentration on hyperoside production with sufficient oxygen supply. **a** LB medium, **b** TB medium, **c** M9 medium

Hyperoside production increased remarkably with adding 5 g/L cellobiose, but itwas slightly increased after further increasing of cellobiose concentration (Fig. [4a](#page-6-0)). Hyperoside production increased linearly when quercetin concentration was no more than 6 g/L. This result showed that the strain BL-phUGT-III displayed high tolerance to quercetin inhibition (Fig. [4](#page-6-0)b). But hyperoside production decreased in the strain BL-phUGT-II with quercetin concentration exceeding 3 g/L. The substrate tolerance of BL-phUGT-III was signifcantly higher than that of BL-phUGT-II. The main reason is that the strain BL-phUGT-III harboring cellobiose phosphorylase gene could enhance the substrate resistance of heterologous host. It has been reported that cellobiose phosphorylase-catalyzed phosphorolysis of cellobiose has many advantages such as its circumvention of catabolite repression, better tolerance of common inhibitors under both anaerobic and aerobic conditions, control of favorable energy metabolism and increases in the expression of heterologous genes. Hyperoside production was slightly afected when DMSO concentration was no more than 6%. When DMSO concentration exceeded 8%, hyperoside production was rapidly decreased (Fig. [4](#page-6-0)c). It has been reported lowlevel organic solvents could improve efficiency of glycosylation in the whole-cell catalytic system [[36\]](#page-9-11). Hyperoside production was 3977 mg/L with adding 0.05 mM IPTG, which was 121% of that without adding IPTG, while hyperoside production was not signifcantly afected when IPTG concentration was raised from 0.05 mM to 0.4 mM (Fig. [4](#page-6-0)d). The optimal conversion temperature was at 30 °C and hyperoside production reached 4015 mg/L, which was 147% that at 20° C (Fig. [4](#page-6-0)e).

3.3 Batch and Recycling of Resting Cell Fermentation on Hyperoside Production

Under the optimal conditions, the time-courses for quercetin and cellobiose consumption and hyperoside production by batch fermentation are given in Fig. [5.](#page-6-1) After 72 h

Fig. 4 Optimization of bioconversion conditions for hyperoside production. **a** The efects of cellobiose concentration on hyperoside production. **b** The efects of quercetin concentration on hyperoside

production. **c** The efects of DMSO concentration on hyperoside production. **d** The effects of IPTG concentration on hyperoside production, **e** The efects of temperature on hyperoside production

Fig. 5 Time-courses for hyperoside production by batch fermentation

of bioconversion, maximal hyperoside production of 4574 mg/L and average productivity of 63.5 mg/L/h was achieved with a corresponding molar conversion of 59.5% (Fig. [5](#page-6-1)). It was the highest yield of hyperoside reported to date in *E. coli*. However, the specific productivity could not maintain its high level in the whole bioconversion, and the specifc productivity decreased sharply from 172 mg/L/h at 3 h to 2.3 mg/L/h at 72 h. In order to efectively analyze the

whole bioconversion, the process of conversion was divided into three parts, 0–24 h, 24–48 h and 48–72 h, respectively. Hyperoside production and average productivity are showed in Table [2.](#page-7-0) Comparison of the whole bioconversion, average productivity of 24–48 h was 45.7% of that at 0–24 h and average productivity of 48–72 h was only 2.29 mg/L/h, which was far lower than that at 0–24 h. Hyperoside production of 24–48 h and 48–72 h was found to be 45.6% and

Table 2 The comparison of fermenting performance at diferent phases between batch and cell-recycling catalysis within 168 h

Performance	Batch	Cell-recycling
Production $(0-24 h)$ mg/L	3102	3021
Production $(24-48 h)$ mg/L	1417	3043
Production $(48–72 h)$ mg/L	55	3031
Production $(72–96 h)$ mg/L	nd	2986
Production (96-120 h) mg/L	nd	3368
Production (120–144 h) mg/L	nd	1642
Production $(144-168 h)$ mg/L	nd	904
Average productivity $(0-24 h)$ mg/L/h	129.2	125.9
Average productivity (24–48 h) mg/L/h	59.0	126.8
Average productivity (48-72 h) mg/L/h	2.29	126.3
Average productivity (72–96 h) mg/L/h	nd	124.4
Average productivity (96-120 h) mg/L/h	nd	140.3
Average productivity (120–144 h) mg/L/h	nd	68.4
Average productivity (144–168 h) mg/L/h	nd	37.6

1.8%, lower than that at 0–24 h (Table [2\)](#page-7-0). In addition, after 30 h of bioconversion, cellobiose was almost completely consumed. But hyperoside production and average productivity could not increase in the strain BL-phUGT-III with adding 10 g/L cellobiose at 36 h. The results showed that cellobiose consumption was not the only reason for reduction of specifc productivity in the strain BL-phUGT-III. Hyperoside accumulation and deterioration of bioconversion conditions could also play the major roles in the average productivity.

Recycling of resting cell fermentation can overcome above problems by separating resting cells and transformation product [\[29](#page-9-4)]. Hyperoside solubility was higher than that of quercetin because of glycosylation. Appropriate concentration of hyperoside could dissolve the fermentation broth by adding appropriate DMSO, so hyperoside can easily separate with resting cell and quercetin by centrifugation, and the resting cell could be used to produce hyperoside again by adding fresh M9 medium and quercetin. To avoid

Fig. 6 Time-courses for hyperoside production by recycling of resting cell fermentation

83.7%, which was 393% of that in the batch fermentation and the frst to reach 10 g per liter scale in *E. coli*. Therefore, this study provides an efficient method for construction of UDP-galactose synthesis pathway and hyperoside production in *E. coli*.

3.4 Structural Elucidation of Hyperoside

The molecular weight and structure of the bioconversion product by the strain BL-phUGT-III were determined through LC/MS and NMR. A comparison of the *m/z* of molecular ions [M-H][−] of the bioconversion product (463.0839) showed that diferences corresponded to a ^d-galactose residue in quercetin (301.0324) (Fig. S2), and the bioconversion product had a retention time similar to the authentic hyperoside (Fig. S3) Furthermore, the 1H-NMR and 13C-NMR spectra were analyzed and compared to the reference compounds [\[16](#page-8-9)] (Fig. S4). These results confrmed that the bioconversion product was hyperoside.

4 Conclusion

In this study, a recombinant strain was reconstructed by introducing an efficient UDP-galactose biosynthesis pathway and *E. coli* UDP-galactose/4-epimerase (GalE) to produce hyperoside from quercetin. Recycling of resting cell fermentation was used to improve hyperoside production. Finally, maximal hyperoside production reached equivalent to 18,000 mg/L by recycling 7 times of resting cell in 168 h, which was 393% of that in the batch fermentation and the frst to reach 10 g per liter scale in *E. coli*.

Acknowledgements This work was supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (2017YFD0600805), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21978135), the Open Foundation of Jiangsu Provincial Engineering Laboratory for Biomass Conversion and Process Integration (JPELBCPI2017002) and the Priority Academic Program Development of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions (PAPD).

Compliance with Ethical Standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no confict of interest.

References

- 1. Dixon RA, Paiva NL (1995) Stress-induced phenylpropanoid metabolism. Plant Cell 7:1085–1097
- 2. Yonekura-Sakakibara K, Tohge T, Niida R, Saito K (2007) Identifcation of a favonol 7-O-rhamnosyltransferase gene determining favonoid pattern in *Arabidopsis* by transcriptome coexpression analysis and reverse genetics. J Biol Chem 282:14932–14941
- 3. Nijveldt RJ, van Nood E, van Hoorn DE, Boelens PG, van Norren K, van Leeuwen PA (2001) Flavonoids: a review of probable mechanisms of action and potential applications. Am J Clin Nutr 74:418–425
- 4. Wu X, Chu J, Wu B, Zhang S, He B (2013) An efficient novel glycosylation of favonoid by beta-fructosidase resistant to hydrophilic organic solvents. Bioresour Technol 129:659–662
- 5. An DG, Yang SM, Kim BG, Ahn JH (2016) Biosynthesis of two quercetin O-diglycosides in *Escherichia coli*. J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol 43:841–849
- 6. Kim SJ, Um JY, Lee JY (2011) Anti-infammatory activity of hyperoside through the suppression of nuclear factor-kappaB activation in mouse peritoneal macrophages. Am J Chin Med 39:171–181
- 7. Comalada M, Camuesco D, Sierra S, Ballester I, Xaus J, Galvez J, Zarzuelo A (2005) In vivo quercitrin anti-infammatory efect involves release of quercetin, which inhibits infammation through down-regulation of the NF-kappaB pathway. Eur J Immunol 35:584–592
- 8. Zheng M, Liu C, Pan F, Shi D, Zhang Y (2012) Antidepressantlike effect of hyperoside isolated from apocynum venetum leaves: possible cellular mechanisms. Phytomedicine 19:145–149
- 9. Butterweck V, Jurgenliemk G, Nahrstedt A, Winterhoff H (2000) Flavonoids from hypericum perforatum show antidepressant activity in the forced swimming test. Planta Med 66:3–6
- 10. Cincin ZB, Unlu M, Kiran B, Bireller ES, Baran Y, Cakmakoglu B (2015) Apoptotic effects of quercitrin on DLD-1 colon cancer cell line. Pathol Oncol Res 21:333–338
- 11. Li S, Zhang Z, Cain A, Wang B, Long M, Taylor J (2005) Antifungal activity of camptothecin, trifolin, and hyperoside isolated from *Camptotheca acuminata*. J Agric Food Chem 53:32–37
- 12. Fang X, Dong Y, Xie Y, Wang L, Wang J, Liu Y, Zhao L, Cao F (2019) Efects of β-glucosidase and α-rhamnosidase on the contents of favonoids, ginkgolides, and aroma components in ginkgo tea drink. Molecules.<https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules24102009>
- 13. Cao X, Wang Q, Li Y, Bai G, Ren H, Xu C, Ito Y (2011) Isolation and purifcation of series bioactive components from *Hypericum perforatum* L. by counter-current chromatography. J Chromatogr B Analyt Technol Biomed Life Sci 879:480–488
- 14. He F, Li D, Wang D, Deng M (2016) Extraction and purifcation of quercitrin, hyperoside, rutin, and afzelin from *Zanthoxylum Bungeanum Maxim* leaves using an aqueous two-phase system. J Food Sci 81:C1593–1602
- 15. Li X, Zhang Y, Yuan ZJC (2002) Separation and determination of rutin and quercetin in the fowers of *Sophora japonica* L. by capillary electrophoresis with electrochemical detection. Chromatographia 55:243–246
- 16. Pei J, Chen A, Zhao L, Cao F, Ding G, Xiao W (2017) One-pot synthesis of hyperoside by a three-enzyme cascade using a UDPgalactose regeneration system. J Agric Food Chem 65:6042–6048
- 17. Zang Y, Zha J, Wu X, Zheng Z, Ouyang J, Koffas MAG (2019) In vitro naringenin biosynthesis from p-coumaric acid using recombinant enzymes. J Agr Food Chem 67:13430–13436
- 18. De Bruyn F, De Paepe B, Maertens J, Beauprez J, De Cocker P, Mincke S, Stevens C, De Mey M (2015) Development of an in vivo glucosylation platform by coupling production to growth: production of phenolic glucosides by a glycosyltransferase of *Vitis vinifera*. Biotechnol Bioeng 112:1594–1603
- 19. Kim BG, Kim HJ, Ahn JH (2012) Production of bioactive favonol rhamnosides by expression of plant genes in *Escherichia coli*. J Agric Food Chem 60:11143–11148
- 20. Kim HJ, Kim BG, Ahn JH (2013) Regioselective synthesis of favonoid bisglycosides using *Escherichia coli* harboring two glycosyltransferases. Appl Microbiol Biot 97:5275–5282
- 21. Paquette S, Moller BL, Bak S (2003) On the origin of family 1 plant glycosyltransferases. Phytochemistry 62:399–413
- 22. Devaiah SP, Owens DK, Sibhatu MB, Sarkar TR, Strong CL, Mallampalli VK, Asiago J, Cooke J, Kiser S, Lin Z, Wamucho A, Hayford D, Williams BE, Loftis P, Berhow M, Pike LM, McIntosh CA (2016) Recombinant expression, and biochemical analysis of putative secondary product glucosyltransferases from *Citrus paradisi*. J Agr Food Chem 64:1957–1969
- 23. De Bruyn F, Van Brempt M, Maertens J, Van Bellegem W, Duchi D, De Mey M (2015) Metabolic engineering of *Escherichia coli* into a versatile glycosylation platform: production of bio-active quercetin glycosides. Microb Cell Fact 14:138
- 24. Lim EK, Ashford DA, Hou B, Jackson RG, Bowles DJ (2004) *Arabidopsis* glycosyltransferases as biocatalysts in fermentation for regioselective synthesis of diverse quercetin glucosides. Biotechnol Bioeng 87:623–631
- 25. Bernard FX, Sable S, Cameron B, Provost J, Desnottes JF, Crouzet J, Blanche F (1997) Glycosylated favones as selective inhibitors of topoisomerase IV. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 41:992–998
- 26. Jackson E, Ripoll M (2019) Efficient glycerol transformation by resting *Gluconobacter* cells. Microbiol Open 8(12):e926
- 27. Ramakrishnan GG, Nehru G, Suppuram SP, Balasubramaniyam S, Gulab BR, Subramanian R (2015) Bio-transformation of glycerol to 3-hydroxypropionic acid using resting cells of *Lactobacillus reuteri*. Curr Microbiol 71:517–523
- 28. Schrewe M, Julsing MK, Bühler B, Schmid A (2013) Whole-cell biocatalysis for selective and productive C-O functional group introduction and modifcation. Chem Soc Rev 42(15):6346–6377
- 29. Lin B, Tao Y (2017) Whole-cell biocatalysts by design. Microbial Cell Fact 16:106
- 30. Pei J, Sun Q, Zhao L, Shi H, Tang F, Cao F (2019) Efficient biotransformation of luteolin to isoorientin through adjusting induction strategy, controlling acetic acid and increasing UDP-glucose supply in *Escherichia coli*. J Agric Food Chem 67:331–340
- 31. Sekar R, Shin HD, Chen R (2012) Engineering *Escherichia coli* cells for cellobiose assimilation through a phosphorolytic mechanism. Appl Environ Microb 78:1611–1614
- 32. Shin HD, Wu J, Chen R (2014) Comparative engineering of *Escherichia coli* for cellobiose utilization: hydrolysis versus phosphorolysis. Metab Eng 24:9–17
- 33. J Sambrook, EF Fritsch, T Maniatis (1982) Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor.
- 34. Miller KD, Guyon V, Evans JN, Shuttleworth WA, Taylor LP (1999) Purification, cloning, and heterologous expression of a catalytically efficient flavonol 3-O-galactosyltransferase expressed in the male gametophyte of *Petunia hybrid*. J Biol Chem 274:34011–34019
- 35. Pei J, Dong P, Wu T, Zhao L, Fang X, Cao F, Tang F, Yue Y (2016) Metabolic engineering of *Escherichia coli* for astragalin biosynthesis. J Agric Food Chem 64:7966–7972
- 36. Yang Y, Liu M, Cao Y, Li C, Wang W (2019) Low-level organic solvents improve multienzyme whole-cell catalytic synthesis of myricetin-7-O-glucuronide. Catalysts 9:970

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Afliations

Na Gu1,2 · Cong Qiu1,2 [·](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0008-0202) Linguo Zhao1,2,4 · Lihu Zhang3 · Jianjun Pei1,2,[4](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6713-0016)

- \boxtimes Jianjun Pei peijj2000@sina.com.cn
- 1 Jiangsu Co-Innovation Center of Efficient Processing and Utilization of Forest Resources, Nanjing Forestry University, Nanjing, China
- ² College of Chemical Engineering, Nanjing Forestry University, Nanjing 210037, China
- ³ Department of Pharmacy, Jiangsu Vocational College of Medicine, Yancheng, China
- ⁴ Jiangsu Key Lab of Biomass-Based Green Fuels and Chemicals, Nanjing, China