

# **Effect of Crystal Form Control on Improving Performance of Cu<sub>3</sub>(BTC)**<sub>2</sub> **Immobilized Phosphotungstic Acid in Esterifcation of Cyclohexene with Formic Acid**

**Haoran Jiang1 · Biao Lu<sup>1</sup> · Lijuan Ma<sup>1</sup> · Xia Yuan<sup>1</sup>**

Received: 27 September 2019 / Accepted: 21 December 2019 / Published online: 3 January 2020 © Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2020

# **Abstract**

The esterifcation of cyclohexene with formic acid is the frst step for indirect hydration to cyclohexanol. In the work reported in this study, phosphotungstic acid (HPW) was incorporated into the metal–organic framework  $Cu<sub>3</sub>(BTC)$ , through a one-step hydrothermal synthesis method to catalyze the esterification reaction. The crystal structure of composite HPW–Cu<sub>3</sub>(BTC)<sub>2</sub> was modulated during the preparation process to convert the catalyst from the {111} crystal facets of the original regular octahedral structure to the {100} crystal facets of the cubic structure using p-toluic acid (pTA) as the regulator. The conversion of the crystal facets reduces the formation through holes while exposing more active sites of HPW. When the mass ratio of the modulator to the organic ligand terephthalic acid was 20, the crystal facets of the catalyst were completely covered by the {100} crystal facets. After the crystal transformation, the conversion of cyclohexene was increased to 86.8%, and the selectivity of cyclohexyl formate was 84.2%. Agglomeration occurred after the catalyst was used twice in the esterifcation reaction.

## **Graphic Abstract**

HPW was incorporated into the metal-organic framework  $Cu<sub>3</sub>(BTC)$ , through a one-step hydrothermal synthesis method and used for catalyzing the esterifcation reaction of cyclohexene with formic acid. The crystal structure of composite HPW–  $Cu<sub>3</sub>(BTC)<sub>2</sub>$  was modulated during the preparation process to convert the catalyst from the {111} crystal facets of the original regular octahedral structure to the {100} crystal facets of the cubic structure using p-toluic acid (pTA) as the modulator.



**Keywords** Metal–organic frameworks · Crystal transformation · Additive esterifcation · Phosphotungstic acid

Extended author information available on the last page of the article

## **1 Introduction**

Cyclohexanol is an important raw material that is used widely in the organic-chemical, paint, and textile industries. Global industrial production methods for cyclohexanol include cyclohexane oxidation [[1](#page-9-0)], phenol hydrogenation [[2](#page-9-1)], and cyclohexene hydration [[3](#page-9-2)]. There are signifcant safety hazards in the phenol hydrogenation process, and few companies have used this method to prepare cyclohexanol. Cyclohexane oxidation is the most widely used preparation method of cyclohexanol in the World. The production route is relatively mature, but the process has higher temperature and pressure; the conversion rate of cyclohexane is only approximately 4%, and a large amount of waste alkali liquor causes serious environmental pollution.

The cyclohexene direct hydration process was developed by Asahi Kasei Corp. (Japan), and the main processes are as follows: Partial hydrogenation of benzene is performed to give a mixture of benzene, cyclohexene, and cyclohexane  $(n:n:n=60:32:8)$ . First, the mixture enters the de-watering section to take off the water, and then the benzene in the mixture is frst separated by extraction distillation using dimethylacetamide (DMCA) as an extractant and recycled. The mixture of cyclohexene and cyclohexane is also separated through extractive distillation by the same extractant, DMCA. The isolated cyclohexene enters the hydration section through the cyclohexene refning column to produce cyclohexanol. The brief preparation process is shown in Fig. [1](#page-1-0). At present, there are two major problems in the process: First, since the relative volatility

of cyclohexene and cyclohexane is close to 1, the energy required to separate cyclohexene in the early stage is extremely large; second, the direct hydration method of cyclohexene is easily restricted by the thermodynamics of the reaction itself [\[4\]](#page-9-3), and cyclohexene has low solubility in water. These shortcomings result in a single-pass conversion rate of cyclohexene of less than 10%. The method of cyclohexene indirect hydration to cyclohexanol [[5\]](#page-9-4) solves the problems in cyclohexene direct hydration, which is worthy of in-depth research and discussion. Indirect hydration uses a two-step synthetic route to subtly circumvent major shortcomings in direct hydration: esterifcation of formic acid with cyclohexene and hydrolysis of cyclohexyl formate to cyclohexanol. The relative volatility of cyclohexyl formate from the frst-step reaction and cyclohexane is relatively large, and the two are easier to separate, which solves the problem of large separation energy in the direct hydration process. Since the equilibrium constant of the esterification reaction  $(K = 53.3)$ , 25 °C) well solves the thermodynamic limitations of direct hydration, the conversion rate is improved qualitatively. The second-step hydrolysis is an easy-processing reaction.

The esterifcation reaction of cyclohexene with formic acid is a typical acid-catalyzed reaction. Conventional esterification catalysts involve inorganic acids such as  $H_2SO_4$ , HCl, HF,  $H_3PO_4$ , and ClSO<sub>2</sub>OH. However, due to their erosive nature, the excess acids must be neutralized after completion of the reaction, which causes disposal problems of the resulting neutralization products. Heteropolyacids [[6\]](#page-9-5) (HPAs), a solid species of higher Brønsted acidity, were introduced as a substitute catalyst featuring higher catalytic activity. Among various HPA structures, Keggin-type



<span id="page-1-0"></span>

HPAs, especially Keggin phosphotungstic acid  $(H_3PW_{12}O_{40},$ HPW), have received increasingly more attention due to their simple preparation and high acidity [\[7](#page-9-6)]. The esterifcation reaction is currently carried out by HPW as a catalyst, for example, via esterifcation of acetic acid with alkyl alcohol [[8\]](#page-9-7), esterifcation of acetic acid with n-butanol [\[9](#page-10-0)], preparation of ethyl acetate [[10\]](#page-10-1), and esterifcation of acetic acid and glycerol [[11\]](#page-10-2), and so on. However, HPW also has the disadvantage of small surface area  $\left(\frac{10 \text{ m}^2}{\text{g}}\right)$  [[12\]](#page-10-3). This drawback has been initially solved by its immobilization on magnetite nanoparticles [[13](#page-10-4), [14](#page-10-5)], tin oxide material [[15](#page-10-6)], silica material [[16\]](#page-10-7), or a mesoporous molecular sieve [\[17,](#page-10-8) [18](#page-10-9)]. However, due to the high solubility of HPW in polar solvents, HPW physically adsorbed on the surface of the support can be easily leached out [\[19](#page-10-10)-[21\]](#page-10-11).

Metal–organic-framework materials (MOFs) refer to porous crystal materials with periodic network structure formed by self-coordination of organic ligands and metal ions, which have high porosity and surface area [\[22](#page-10-12)]. The structural unit contains metal-inorganic and -organic parts and has strong structural controllability and subsequent chemical modification characteristics. By changing the organic ligand or metal unit, fne adjustment of the material at the atomic scale can be achieved, as well as high regulation of the size, shape, and internal environment of the pore. MOF materials have great practical applications in, for example, gas storage and separation [\[23](#page-10-13), [24](#page-10-14)] and catalysis [\[25](#page-10-15)]. MOF materials have been widely used today as a catalytically active component encapsulating carrier [[26\]](#page-10-16), which has the following advantages: (i) high tunable adsorption performance, (ii) ability to form active sites of diferent strength in the framework, and (iii) good accommodation properties for guest molecules and strong ion-exchange capacity. In recent years, the study of MOF loading of Keggin phosphotungstic acid has gained increasing attention due to the advantages of moderate dispersion of HPW, easy preparation and recovery, and less loss of active components. The stability and solubility of  $Cu<sub>3</sub>(BTC)<sub>2</sub>$ -supported HPW catalyst are greatly afected by solvents [\[12](#page-10-3), [27](#page-10-17)], so its catalytic reaction under polar conditions commands special attention. What is more, due to the interaction between HPW and  $Cu^{2+}$  (under acidic conditions) [\[28\]](#page-10-18) during the catalyst synthesis process, some of the active sites of HPW are masked by  $Cu^{2+}$  ions, which results in lower activity of the catalyst than pure HPW.

In recent years, the morphology of crystal materials and the control of crystal planes have received signifcant attention. The crystal properties can be further enhanced and optimized by changing or regulating the atomic structure of the crystal surface. In this vein, the synthesis of crystalline MOFs with specifc sizes and/or morphologies is increasingly active, the most representative of which are MOF-5 [\[29\]](#page-10-19), ZIF-8 [[30\]](#page-10-20), and  $Cu_3(BTC)_2$  [[29](#page-10-19), [31\]](#page-10-21). However, these

materials are only used in the storage or separation of gases. There are fewer applications in catalysis. Liu et al. [[32\]](#page-10-22) obtained good experimental results in the study of the infuence of diferent crystal morphologies on the catalytic activity of MOFs. The conversion rate of fatty acid  $(C_{12}-C_{22})$  in the reaction of catalytic biodiesel reached over 90% ({100} facets) and  $\langle 22\% (\{111\} \}$  facets). This suggests that it is feasible to increase the exposure probability of active sites by regulating the crystal-plane structure of MOFs. Umemura et al. [[33](#page-10-23)] used lauric acid as a modulator to control the crystal morphology of  $Cu<sub>3</sub>(BTC)$ <sub>2</sub> and explored the transformation process of crystal and the mechanism of crystal growth. This provides a theoretical basis for the crystal transformation of HPW–Cu<sub>3</sub>(BTC)<sub>2</sub>. The competitive action of the monohydroxy additive results in a change in the nucleation process, and the rate of the nucleation process can be adjusted by adjusting the concentration of the modulator. However, lauric acid has a disadvantage of being used in a large amount as a modulating agent, and the catalyst yield is low.

In the work reported in this study, the composite catalyst material HPW–Cu<sub>3</sub>(BTC)<sub>2</sub> was prepared by a thermal synthesis method, reported by Chowdhury [[34\]](#page-10-24). To improve the catalytic activity of the composite in the esterifcation of cyclohexene and formic acid, pTA [[32](#page-10-22)] was used as a modulator to control the growth rate of the crystal face from the {111} facets of the triangle to the {100} facets of the square, which is beneficial for the exposure of active ingredients of HPW. The infuence of modulator dosage on the structure of the composite catalyst was investigated, and the catalytic performance of the obtained samples was evaluated in the esterifcation reaction of cyclohexene and formic acid.

## **2 Experimental Section**

#### **2.1 Materials and Chemicals**

Phosphotungstic acid (HPW) [analytical reagent (AR) grade], trihydrate and copper nitrate (AR grade,  $\geq$  99.5%), 1,3,5-benzenetricarboxylic acid (AR grade,>98%), and pTA (AR grade,>98%) were purchased from Shanghai Macklin Biochemical Co., Ltd (China). Benzene, cyclohexane, cyclohexene, and formic acid (AR grade, 98%) were purchased from Aladdin Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. (China). Ethanol, acetone, chloroform, and chlorobenzene were purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. (China).

#### **2.2 Cu<sub>3</sub>(BTC)<sub>2</sub> Synthesis**

 $Cu(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·3H<sub>2</sub>O$  (2.4 g) was dissolved in 40 mL of absolute ethanol and  $H_3BTC$  (2.0 g) was dissolved in 40 mL of absolute ethanol and stirred separately for 30 min. The two solutions were then mixed and stirred 30 min. The mixtures were transferred into a Tefon™-lined autoclave and subjected to crystallization at 393 K for 8 h under static conditions. After the desired time was reached, the synthesis mixture was cooled naturally to room temperature. The solid product was fltered and washed with ethanol and dried at 373 K for 12 h in vacuum. The resulting material is referred to as  $Cu<sub>3</sub>(BTC)<sub>2</sub>$ .

# **2.3** *HPW-Cu<sub>3</sub>(BTC)<sub>2</sub> Synthesis*

 $Cu(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·3H<sub>2</sub>O$  (0.24 g), HPW (0.2 g), and pTA (0, 0.4, 1.0, 2.0, and 4.0 g) were dissolved in 40 mL of absolute ethanol, stirred for 30 min, and then added into  $H_3BTC$  $(0.2 \text{ g})$  (m(pTA)/m(BTC) = 0, 2, 5,10, and 20). This mixture was stirred for an additional 30 min and then transferred into a Teflon™-lined autoclave and subjected to crystallization at 393 K for 8 h under static conditions. The resulting solid was washed with a mixture of absolute ethanol and deionized water and dried at 373 K for 12 h in vacuum. The resulting blue solids are referred to as  $HPW-Cu<sub>3</sub>(BTC)<sub>2</sub>, HPW-Cu<sub>3</sub>(BTC)<sub>2</sub>-P2, HPW-Cu<sub>3</sub>(BTC)<sub>2</sub>-P5,$ HPW–Cu<sub>3</sub>(BTC)<sub>2</sub>–P10, and HPW–Cu<sub>3</sub>(BTC)<sub>2</sub>–P20.

#### **2.4 Characterization**

Fourier-transform infrared (FTIR) spectra were measured using a Nicolet-380 FTIR spectrometer (Thermo Nicolet Corporation, USA) at room temperature. Analyses were performed using a KBr pellet technique in the spectral range 400–4000 cm−1. X-ray difraction (XRD) was carried out using a Rigaku D/Max-2500 X-ray difractometer and Cu *K*α radiation at 40 kV and 30 mA. XRD patterns were recorded between  $2\theta = 0.7^{\circ} - 5.0^{\circ}$  and  $5.0^{\circ} - 40^{\circ}$ . The specific surface area and pore structure of the samples were measured by  $N_2$ adsorption using a NOVA-2200e instrument. The surface area was calculated by the Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) method. The catalyst morphology was characterized by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) using a JEOL-6610LV feld-emission scanning electron microscope. Temperatureprogrammed desorption of ammonia  $(NH_3-TPD)$  measurements were carried out with 100-mg samples on a multitask TPD system (Chembet 3000, Quantachrome Instruments, Boynton Beach, FL, USA). After pre-treatment in He (473 K, 100 mL/min, 60 min), the samples were cooled to 398 K, and the carrier gas switched to a  $NH<sub>3</sub>$ –He gas mixture and the  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  adsorption of the sample started. After the ammonia gas was saturated, the mixture gas was switched to He carrier gas, the sample purged for 0.5 h, and then placed in the He gas stream. The temperature was programmed to 773 K for analysis (temperature ramp of 10 K/min). The catalyst thermostability was measured by thermogravimetric

analysis (TGA) using a NETZSCH TG209 analyzer. The temperature was increased from ambient temperature to 1273 K under air/ $N_2$  flow at 10 K/min. The detection of W content in the catalyst was carried out by inductively-coupled-plasma (ICP) analysis using an ICP atomic emission spectrometer (Thermo Jarrell Ash IRIS Advantage 1000, USA).

#### **2.5 Catalytic‑Activity Test**

Benzene, cyclohexane, and cyclohexene were mixed as raw material according to the actual ratio of the industrial production process. Esterifcation with formic acid was then carried out in a 50-mL fask ftted with a magnetic stirrer. In a typical experiment, 8.2 g of cyclohexene (0.1 mol), 14.6 g of benzene, 2.1 g of cyclohexane (n:n:n=32:60:8), and 13.3 g of formic acid (0.3 mol) were introduced into the fask with 3.1 g of catalyst. The system was heated to 343 K for 8 h with stirring. After the reaction, the catalyst was separated by centrifugation and the solid washed with acetone and chloroform to eliminate impurities. The separated reaction solution was dissolved in acetone and stirred sufficiently to form a phase. The organic phases were then identifed and quantifed by gas chromatography using an Agilent 7890 instrument with an HP-5 capillary column and flame ionization detector (FID) indicator, using chlorobenzene as an internal standard.

# **3 Results and Discussion**

#### **3.1 SEM Morphology**

Figure [2](#page-4-0) shows scanning electron micrographs of the cata-lyst sample. It can be seen in Figs. [2](#page-4-0)a, b that the  $\text{Cu}_3(\text{BTC})_2$ and  $HPW-Cu<sub>3</sub>(BTC)<sub>2</sub>$  samples are typical regular octahedral structures. It is apparent that a signifcant change in the crystal form of the catalyst occurs as the initial amount of pTA is increased. When  $m(pTA)/m(BTC)=2$ , the crystal form of the catalyst still maintains the shape of a regular octahedron with a grain size of approximately 35 μm, as shown in Fig. [2](#page-4-0)c. This indicates that pTA participates in the coordination process during crystal nucleation, but the growth rate of the {111} crystal facets is much smaller than that of the {100} crystal facets, resulting in the catalyst crystals all being {111} crystal facets. As m(pTA)/m(BTC) increases, the crystal form of the catalyst gradually transforms from the octahedron of the {111} crystal facets to the cube of the  ${100}$  crystal facets. When m(pTA)/m(BTC)=20, as shown in Fig. [2f](#page-4-0), the catalyst is completely covered by the {100} crystal facets, the crystal grains are approximately 1 μm in size, and the crystal form of the catalyst is uniform.



<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Fig. 2** SEM micrographs of samples: **a** Cu<sub>3</sub>(BTC)<sub>2</sub>; **b** HPW–Cu<sub>3</sub>(BTC)<sub>2</sub>; **c** HPW–Cu<sub>3</sub>(BTC)<sub>2</sub>–P2; **d** HPW–Cu<sub>3</sub>(BTC)<sub>2</sub>–P5; **e** HPW–Cu<sub>3</sub>(BTC)<sub>2</sub>–  $P10; f HPW-Cu_3(BTC)_2-P20$ 



<span id="page-4-1"></span>**Fig. 3** XRD patterns of samples:  $\mathbf{a}$  Cu<sub>3</sub>(BTC)<sub>2</sub>;  $\mathbf{b}$  HPW–Cu<sub>3</sub>(BTC)<sub>2</sub>; **c** HPW–Cu<sub>3</sub>(BTC)<sub>2</sub>–P2; **d** HPW–Cu<sub>3</sub>(BTC)<sub>2</sub>–P5; **e** HPW–  $Cu_{3}(BTC)_{2} - P10$ ; **f** HPW–Cu<sub>3</sub>(BTC)<sub>2</sub>-P20

#### **3.2 XRD Analysis**

The diffraction peaks appearing at  $2\theta = 6.72^{\circ}$ , 11.54°, 13.4°, 17.4°, 19.0°, and 25.9° are typical diffraction peaks of  $Cu<sub>3</sub>(BTC)<sub>2</sub>$  obtained by XRD (Fig. [3\)](#page-4-1). In Fig. [3a](#page-4-1), the small difraction peak at 5.6° corresponds to (111) refection. This variation has been also observed in a previous contribution [[35,](#page-10-25) [36](#page-10-26)] and can be associated with the degree of hydration. After the addition of HPW, the difraction peak at 5.6° is signifcantly enhanced, which may be due to the crystal water in HPW has an enhanced effect on the hydration degree of  $Cu<sub>3</sub>(BTC)<sub>2</sub>$ . When pTA is not added, due to the addition of HPW, which results in a weak de-protonation ability of the  $H_3$ BTC, the diffraction peak of 6.72 $\degree$  is not obvious. With increasing m(pTA)/m(BTC), the difraction peak intensity is gradually increased for  $2\theta = 6.72^{\circ}$ . It is pointed out in the literature [[32\]](#page-10-22) that the diffraction peak appearing at  $6.72^{\circ}$ is that of the {200} crystal facets. The ratio of the (200)/ (111) difraction peaks gradually increases, which indicates that the crystal facets of the catalyst are gradually changing from {111} to {100} crystal facets. This indicates that the addition of the new ligand pTA can indeed achieve a crystal form transformation and that XRD and SEM characterizations can be cross-validated.

#### **3.3 Nitrogen Adsorption–Desorption Isotherms**

Figure [4](#page-5-0) shows nitrogen physical adsorption–desorption curves of the catalyst samples. Figure [4a](#page-5-0) shows a typical microporous adsorption–desorption isotherm. When  $m(pTA)/m(BTC) = 2$  or 5, the catalyst still maintains the H1-type hysteresis loop. Because the amount of pTA added is low, the de-protonation ability is weak, and some



<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Fig. 4** Nitrogen physical adsorption–desorption curves of catalyst samples: **a** Cu<sub>3</sub>(BTC)<sub>2</sub>; **b** HPW–Cu<sub>3</sub>(BTC)<sub>2</sub>; **c** HPW–Cu<sub>3</sub>(BTC)<sub>2</sub>– P2; **d** HPW–Cu<sub>3</sub>(BTC)<sub>2</sub>–P5; **e** HPW–Cu<sub>3</sub>(BTC)<sub>2</sub>–P10; **f** HPW–  $Cu_3(BTC)_{2}$ –P20

through-and-through holes still appear in the formed pore structure. As m(pTA)/m(BTC) increases, the hysteresis loop of the catalyst sample becomes increasingly smaller, which indicates that the pore structure of the catalyst is more uniform when the crystal facets of the catalyst are gradually converted into {100} crystal facets. As m(pTA)/m(BTC) is increased from 2 to 5, the specifc surface area of the catalyst is increased from 533 to 712  $\mathrm{m}^2/\mathrm{g}$ , and the pore volume is gradually increased, as shown in Table [1.](#page-5-1) This indicates that the crystal face change of the catalyst has a certain infuence on the pore structure.

## **3.4 FTIR Characterization**

The catalyst sample structure was examined by FTIR spec-troscopy Fig. [5](#page-5-2)a. Bands at 1080, 985, 887, and 804 cm<sup>-1</sup>, which are fngerprints of the Keggin structure of HPW, are usually assigned to vas  $(P-O)$ , vas  $(W=O)$ , and vas

<span id="page-5-1"></span>**Table 1**  $N_2$  physical adsorption data of catalyst

| Sample                  | Surface area $(m^2/g)$ | Pore<br>volume<br>(cc/g) |
|-------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| $Cu3(BTC)$ <sub>2</sub> | 1404                   | 0.634                    |
| $HPW-Cu_3(BTC)$         | 519                    | 0.128                    |
| $HPW-Cu_3(BTC)_2-P2$    | 533                    | 0.086                    |
| $HPW-Cu_3(BTC)_2-P5$    | 712                    | 0.134                    |
| $HPW-Cu3(BTC)2-P10$     | 765                    | 0.125                    |
| $HPW-Cu_3(BTC)_2-P20$   | 804                    | 0.636                    |



<span id="page-5-2"></span>**Fig. 5 a** FTIR spectra of catalyst samples and **b** partially amplifed FTIR spectra of the catalyst sample

(W–O<sub>b</sub>–W) in corner-shared octahedra, and vas (W–O<sub>c</sub>–W) in edge-shared octahedra [\[37](#page-10-27)].  $Cu<sub>3</sub>(BTC)<sub>2</sub>$  shows IR bands at 1641 cm<sup>-1</sup>, which are assigned to vas V–(C=O) (stretching vibration), while those at 1589 and 1373 cm<sup>-1</sup> are assigned to vas V–(C=O–OH) (asymmetric and symmetric stretching vibrations) and 1450 and 792  $cm^{-1}$  to vas –CH on benzene (stretching and flexural vibrations). The IR band at 492 cm<sup>-1</sup> was assigned to Cu–O (flexural vibration) separately [\[38](#page-10-28)]. By comparing the IR spectrum of the catalyst material loaded with HPW and the pure carrier, it can be found that the supported catalyst has the characteristic absorption peak of phosphotungstic acid and the carrier, which indicates that the HPW has been successfully loaded onto the carrier  $Cu<sub>3</sub>(BTC)<sub>2</sub>$ .

The IR characterization map was locally enlarged at 700–1100 cm<sup>-1</sup> to obtain Fig. [5](#page-5-2)b. vas W=O, W–O<sub>b</sub>–W, and  $W-O<sub>c</sub>$ –W are all shifted. vas W=O is shifted to low wave number (985–979 cm<sup>-1</sup>), a phenomenon that indicates that there is hydrogen bonding [\[39\]](#page-10-29) between the central atom of the HPW and the carbonyl atom of the organic ligand

<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Fig. 6** Proposed modulation mechanism of pTA for catalyst synthesis



trimesic acid. vas  $W-O_b-W$  and  $W-O_c-W$  are shifted to high wave numbers (887–900 and 804–829 cm<sup>-1</sup>, respectively), which indicates that the bridge oxygen atom forms a chemical bond with  $Cu^{2+}$ . This phenomenon indicates that the HPW bridge oxygen atom plays a coordination role with the metal ion during the formation of the catalyst. Primarily, the electron cloud density of the bridging oxygen atom in the heteropolyanion is larger than that of the terminal oxygen atom, which can attract  $Cu^{2+}$  and produce a coordination efect. The terminal oxygen atom is mainly responsible for the B acidic site of HPW, which also proves that during the synthesis of the catalyst the acidic sites of catalyst are not destroyed, and the main catalytic efect is still the B acidic site of the HPW. The shift of these groups indicates that there is a strong interaction between HPW and the support. The introduction of HPW is not only a simple encapsulation efect, but, more likely, HPW participates in the nucleation process of the catalyst [\[40,](#page-10-30) [41](#page-10-31)]. The synthesis process diagram of the catalyst and the infuence of pTA dosage on the crystal surface of the catalyst are shown in Fig. [6.](#page-6-0) First,  $Cu^{2+}$ is attracted to the bridging oxygen atom of the heteropolyacid. With the addition of  $pTA$  and  $H_3BTC$ ,  $pTA$  will first be covered around  $Cu^{2+}$  and HPW, due to methylbenzoic acid having only one carboxyl group and that it cannot form a cage structure, which is gradually exchanged by  $H_3BTC$ . The crystal growth mode of the catalyst changes during the exchange process. When  $m(pTA)/m(BTC)=20$ , the growth rate of the {100} crystal facets is less than that of the {111} crystal facets. Finally, a catalyst mainly composed of {100} crystal facets is formed.

## **3.5 Thermogravimetric Analysis**

Figure [7](#page-6-1) presents a graph showing the thermogravimetric and diferential thermal curves of the catalyst samples. It can be seen from the TGA curve that the catalyst sample



<span id="page-6-1"></span>**Fig. 7** Thermogravimetric analysis of the catalyst sample: **a** HPW–  $Cu_3(BTC)$ <sub>2</sub>; **b** HPW–Cu<sub>3</sub>(BTC)<sub>2</sub>–P2; **c** HPW–Cu<sub>3</sub>(BTC)<sub>2</sub>–P5; **d** HPW–Cu<sub>3</sub>(BTC)<sub>2</sub>–P10; **e** HPW–Cu<sub>3</sub>(BTC)<sub>2</sub>–P20

has three stages of weight loss, approximately 346–364 K for the removal of physically adsorbed water, approximately 443 K for the removal of chemisorbed water from the catalyst sample, and approximately 603–633 K for the removal of HPW and the collapse of the catalyst skeleton, because

HPW is the nucleation center of the catalyst. Li et al. [[42\]](#page-10-32) reported that the addition of hydrophobic functional groups would strengthen the water stability of MOFs. It can be seen from the fgure that in the range 346–364 K, as the amount of pTA increases, the physical adsorption water of the catalyst gradually decreases. It can be seen from the figure that in the range  $346-364$  K, as the amount of  $pTA$ increases, the physical adsorption water of the catalyst gradually decreases. The weight loss percentage of physically adsorbed water for HPW–Cu<sub>3</sub>(BTC)<sub>2</sub> is 28.9%, while that for HPW–Cu<sub>3</sub>(BTC)<sub>2</sub>–P20 is 19.7%. The reason is that the hydrophobicity of the methyl group leads to a decrease in the water-absorption performance of the catalyst, indicating that pTA has a participating efect. The chemisorbed water at approximately 443 K is mainly the coordination of metal ions with water molecules, which causes the metal active site (L acid) of the catalyst to be covered. With an increasing amount of pTA, the crystal form of the catalyst changes, and the chemical adsorption water content of the catalyst decreases, which indicates that the {100} crystal facets can better expose the active sites of the catalyst. The desorption peak at approximately 603–633 K is attributed to the collapse of the catalyst structure. When the temperature is 603 K, the skeleton of catalyst HPW–Cu<sub>3</sub>(BTC)<sub>2</sub> completely collapsed, while in the catalyst samples containing PTA, the skeleton collapse temperature increased by 30 K is 633 K. As the amount of pTA is increased, the temperature at which the catalyst collapses increases, indicating that the addition of pTA can increase the thermal stability of the catalyst. The weight loss percentage of  $HPW-Cu_3(BTC)_2-P20$  is 37.7% at the temperature of 633 K. With the decrease of pTA, the weight loss percentage of HPW–Cu<sub>3</sub>(BTC)<sub>2</sub>–P10,  $HPW-Cu_3(BTC)_2-P5$ , and  $HPW-Cu_3(BTC)_2-P2$  gradually decreased (35.0%, 31.4%, and 31.0%, respectively). HPW- $Cu<sub>3</sub>(BTC)$ , had a weight loss percentage of 34.9%, owing to the much higher content of HPW in its skeleton.

## **3.6** *NH3–TPD Analysis*

 $NH<sub>3</sub>-TPD$  is an effective method for characterizing solid acid catalysts, especially for characterizing acid strength, and it has been used to determine the acidity of phosphotungstic-acid-based materials. The acid sites can be classifed as a function of the desorption temperature of ammonia as weak (423–523 K), medium (523–623 K), strong (623–773 K), and very strong ( $>773$  K). Figure [8](#page-7-0) shows two NH<sub>3</sub> desorption peaks in the catalyst, namely the weak-acid-site peak of  $Cu<sup>2+</sup>$  at 464–523 K and the strong-acid-site peak of HPW at 609–650 K. By increasing the ratio of  $m(pTA)/m(BTC)$ , it is found that the adsorption peak of the weak acid site moves toward the low-temperature direction, which indicates the decrease of the chemisorbed water on the surface of  $Cu^{2+}$ . This can be confrmed by the thermogravimetric analysis. A



<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Fig. 8** Temperature-programmed desorption of ammonia mass spectrum of  $NH_3$ –TPD gas outlet of HPW–Cu<sub>3</sub>(BTC)<sub>2</sub>–P20 (top left): **a** HPW–Cu<sub>3</sub>(BTC)<sub>2</sub>–P2; **b** HPW–Cu<sub>3</sub>(BTC)<sub>2</sub>–P5; **c** HPW–Cu<sub>3</sub>(BTC)<sub>2</sub>– P10; **d** HPW–Cu<sub>3</sub>(BTC)<sub>2</sub>–P20

<span id="page-7-1"></span>**Table 2** ICP characterization of samples

| Sample                | $W(\%)$ | $P(\%)$ | HPW mass<br>fraction<br>(% ) |
|-----------------------|---------|---------|------------------------------|
| Cu <sub>3</sub> (BTC) |         |         |                              |
| $HPW-Cu_3(BTC)_2$     | 23.0    | 0.32    | 33.7                         |
| $HPW-Cu_3(BTC)_2-P2$  | 7.55    | 0.12    | 11.1                         |
| $HPW-Cu_3(BTC)_2-P5$  | 9.21    | 0.14    | 13.5                         |
| $HPW-Cu_3(BTC)_2-P10$ | 9.74    | 0.15    | 14.3                         |
| $HPW-Cu_3(BTC)_2-P20$ | 8.62    | 0.13    | 12.7                         |

large adsorption peak appeared at 633 K due to the desorption of  $NH<sub>3</sub>$  from HPW. From the thermogravimetric results, it was found that the decomposition of the carrier occurred at this time. The mass spectrometry of the outlet of  $NH_3$ –TPD of the catalyst sample with  $m(pTA)/m(BTC) = 20$  also indicates that the desorption peak at this time also has a small amount of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  (Fig. [8\)](#page-7-0). This indicates that the decomposition of the carrier did occur at 633 K. As the amount of pTA increases, the width of the adsorption peak at higher temperatures becomes increasingly larger, indicating that the active sites of the catalyst are increasingly more exposed as pTA is added.

#### **3.7 ICP Characterization of Samples**

Table [2](#page-7-1) shows the ICP characterization of the catalysts. The W and P contents in the catalysts were determined. Owing to HPW being the main catalytically active component, the content of HPW in the catalyst is critical. The ICP characterization shows that the amount of HPW on HPW–Cu<sub>3</sub>(BTC)<sub>2</sub> is much higher than the sample when adding pTA, because of the relatively weak coordination ability of pTA. Among the samples when adding pTA, with increasing pTA content, the amount of HPW from HPW–Cu<sub>3</sub>(BTC)<sub>2</sub>–P2 to  $HPW-Cu<sub>3</sub>(BTC)<sub>2</sub>–P10 slightly increased and then decreased$ for the sample HPW–Cu<sub>3</sub>(BTC)<sub>2</sub>–P20, which achieved the transformation of crystal facets completely. A large amount of pTA present in the skeleton structure and the diferent crystal structure and coordination sites may be the reason for the decreasing amount of HPW.

#### **3.8 Catalytic Performance and Reusability**

The catalytic performances of the obtained samples were evaluated in the esterifcation of cyclohexene mixture with formic acid, and the results are summarized in Table [3.](#page-8-0) The four kinds of catalysts reported in the literature, namely peanut-shell-derived carbon solid acid (PSCSA), an HZSM-5 molecular sieve, cation exchange resin Amberlyst-15, and Nafon NR50, are also compared with these obtained samples in the same esterifcation reaction. It is obvious from Table [3](#page-8-0) that HPW series catalysts can achieve a similar conversion rate at a lower temperature (343 K). The turnover frequency (TOF) value of  $HPW-Cu_3(BTC)_2-P20$  is the highest, which indicates that its performance is better than that of other catalysts in the table. The {100}-crystalfacet catalysts exhibit better activity than those of the {111} crystal facets with the same initial amount of HPW added. The conversion of cyclohexene at {100} crystal facets is 51% higher than that at {111} crystal facets, indicating that the {100} crystal facets can better expose the catalytically active sites of HPW; as is well known, the esterifcation of cyclohexene with formic acid is an electrophilic addition reaction. First, the double bond in cyclohexene is attacked by  $H^+$  ions in HPW to form a carbocation, and then the carbocation reacts with the nucleophile formic acid to form cyclohexyl formate. The Brønsted acid site from HPW plays the major role in the esterifcation reaction, and, after crystal facet transformation, {100} crystal facets with larger square faces will be more likely to expose more HPW active sites than {111} crystal facets with smaller triangular faces, so the catalyst with {100} crystal facets showed the better catalytic performance. The reason for the decrease in selectivity is that benzene and cyclohexene undergo a Friedel–Crafts reaction under the catalysis of L acid at a molar ratio close to 16:1. However, the active site of the {100}-crystal-facet catalyst  $Cu^{2+}$  is no longer covered by chemisorbed water, thus providing more active sites for the alkylation reaction.

The recovered catalysts were washed using mixed solutions of chloroform and acetone with a volume ratio of 1:1 and were re-used for two runs. Figure [9](#page-9-8) shows SEM micrographs of the recovered catalyst. It can be seen from the fgure that the catalyst still maintains a {100}-crystal-facet structure, but the recovered catalyst is agglomerated. Table [4](#page-9-9) shows the  $N<sub>2</sub>$  physical adsorption data and catalytic reaction evaluation of the recovered catalyst. It can be seen from the data in Table [4](#page-9-9) that the catalyst exhibits a decrease in specifc surface area after recovery, resulting in a decrease in catalytic activity. Combined with SEM results, this confrms that the main cause of the decrease in activity after catalyst recovery was the agglomeration of the catalyst. To further improve the reusability of the catalyst, the next stage of work will focus on solving the problem of catalyst agglomeration using appropriate synthesis methods.



Reaction conditions: cyclohexene, 8.21 g; benzene, 14.6 g; cyclohexane, 2.1 g; n(Cyclohexene):n(HCOOH)=1:3; catalyst, 3.1 g; T=343 K; t=8 h

<sup>a</sup>T=413 K;1 h; catalyst loading, 0.13 g/mL; cyclohexene:cyclohexene and formic acid=1:3 (molar ratio)  $b_n(SiO_2)/n(Al_2O_3)=25$ 

c Reaction conducted at 393 K; 1 h; catalyst loading, 0.13 g/mL; cyclohexene:cyclohexene and formic  $acid=1:3$  (molar ratio)

TOF= $n$ (Converted of cyclohexene)/( $n$ (catalytic active site) $\times$ t)

<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Table 3** Catalytic performance in esterifcation of cyclohexene

with formic acid



<span id="page-9-8"></span>**Fig. 9** SEM of recovered catalyst HPW–Cu<sub>3</sub>(BTC)<sub>2</sub>–P20: **a** primary recovered catalyst; **b** secondary recovered catalyst

<span id="page-9-9"></span>**Table 4** Catalytic performance evaluation of recovered catalyst  $N_2$ and physical adsorption data

| Sample  | Cyclohexene<br>conversion<br>(%) | Cyclohexyl<br>formate selectiv-<br>ity $(\%)$ | Surface<br>area<br>$(m^2/g)$ |
|---|----------------------------------|---|------------------------------|
| $HPW-Cu_3(BTC)_{2}-P20$                       | 86.8                             | 84.2  | 804                          |
| $HPW-Cu_3(BTC)_{2}$<br>$P20$ -re <sub>1</sub> | 76.5                             | 87.7  | 620                          |
| $HPW-Cu_3(BTC)_{2}$<br>$P20-r_{\rm e}$        | 76.5                             | 96.5  | 396                          |

Reaction conditions: Cyclohexene, 8.21 g; benzene, 14.6 g; cyclohexane, 2.1 g;  $n(Cyclohexene)$ :  $n(HCOOH) = 1:3$ ; Catalyst, 3.1 g;  $T=343$  K;  $t=8$  h

HPW–Cu<sub>3</sub>(BTC)<sub>2</sub>–P20–re<sub>1</sub>: primary recovered catalyst; HPW–  $Cu<sub>3</sub>(BTC)<sub>2</sub>-P20-re<sub>2</sub>: secondary recovered catalyst$ 

# **4 Conclusions**

In the work reported in this study, HPW was incorporated into the metal–organic framework  $Cu<sub>3</sub>(BTC)$ <sub>2</sub>, through a onestep hydrothermal synthesis method and used for catalyzing the esterifcation reaction of cyclohexene with formic acid. The crystal structure of composite  $HPW-Cu_3(BTC)$ was modulated during the preparation process to convert the catalyst from the {111} crystal facets of the original regular octahedral structure to the {100} crystal facets of the cubic structure using p-toluic acid (pTA) as the modulator. When  $m(pTA):m(BTC) = 20$ , the crystal facets of the catalyst are completely converted into {100} crystal facets. Through crystal face transformation, the obtained composite HPW–Cu<sub>3</sub>(BTC)<sub>2</sub> can expose more active sites of HPW and enhance the affinity for the reaction substrate. Compared with the {111} facets (35.0%), the single-pass conversion of cyclohexene for esterifcation reaction catalyzed by {100} facets was improved signifcantly (86.8%). However, because of the agglomeration phenomenon, the performance of the recovery catalyst has been reduced to a certain extent.

**Acknowledgements** This study was financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 21776237). We thank LetPub [\(www.letpub.com\)](http://www.letpub.com) for its linguistic assistance during the preparation of this manuscript.

#### **Compliance with Ethical Standards**

**Conflict of interest** The authors declared that they have no conficts of interest to this work.

## **References**

- <span id="page-9-0"></span>1. Wang Y, Zhang J, Wang X et al (2010) Boron-and fuorine-containing mesoporous carbon nitride polymers: metal-free catalysts for cyclohexane oxidation. Angew Chem Int Ed 49(19):3356–3359
- <span id="page-9-1"></span>2. Li Y, Xu X, Zhang P et al (2013) Highly selective Pd@ mpg- $C_3N_4$ catalyst for phenol hydrogenation in aqueous phase. Rsc Advances 3(27):10973–10982
- <span id="page-9-2"></span>3. Yuan PQ, Liu Y, Bai F et al (2011) Hydration of cyclohexene in sub-critical water over  $WO_x$ - $ZrO_2$  catalysts. Catal Commun 12(8):753–756
- <span id="page-9-3"></span>4. Chen BC, Yu BY, Lin YL et al (2014) Reactive-distillation process for direct hydration of cyclohexene to produce cyclohexanol. Ind Eng Chem Res 53(17):7079–7086
- <span id="page-9-4"></span>5. Ahamed Imam R, Freund H, Guit RPM et al (2013) Evaluation of diferent process concepts for the indirect hydration of cyclohexene to cyclohexanol. Org Process Res Dev 17(3):343–358
- <span id="page-9-5"></span>6. Shanmugam S, Viswanathan B, Varadarajan TK (2004) Esterifcation by solid acid catalysts-a comparison. J Mol Catal A Chem 223(1–2):143–147
- <span id="page-9-6"></span>7. Heravi MM, Derikvand F, Bamoharram FF (2007) Highly efficient, four-component one-pot synthesis of tetrasubstituted imidazoles using Keggin-type heteropolyacids as green and reusable catalysts. J Mol Catal A Chem 263(1–2):112–114
- <span id="page-9-7"></span>8. Zang Y, Shi J, Zhao X et al (2013) Highly stable chromium (III) terephthalate metal organic framework (MIL-101) encapsulated 12-tungstophosphoric heteropolyacid as a water-tolerant solid

catalyst for hydrolysis and esterifcation. React Kinet Mech Catal 109(1):77–89

- <span id="page-10-0"></span>9. Zhang W, Leng Y, Zhu D et al (2009) Phosphotungstic acid salt of triphenyl(3-sulfopropyl) phosphonium: an efficient and reusable solid catalyst for esterifcation. Catal Commun 11(3):151–154
- <span id="page-10-1"></span>10. Dai C, Zhang A, Li J et al (2014) Synthesis of yolk-shell HPW@ Hollow silicalite-1 for esterifcation reaction. Chem Commun 50(37):4846–4848
- <span id="page-10-2"></span>11. Zhu S, Gao X, Dong F et al (2013) Design of a highly active silver-exchanged phosphotungstic acid catalyst for glycerol esterifcation with acetic acid. J Catal 306:155–163
- <span id="page-10-3"></span>12. Wee LH, Janssens N, Bajpe SR et al (2011) Heteropolyacid encapsulated in  $Cu<sub>3</sub>(BTC)$ <sub>2</sub> nanocrystals: an effective esterification catalyst. Catal Today 171(1):275–280
- <span id="page-10-4"></span>13. Hosseini MS, Masteri-Farahani M (2019) Surface functionalization of magnetite nanoparticles with sulfonic acid and heteropoly acid: efficient magnetically recoverable solid acid catalysts. Chem Asian J 14(7):1076–1083
- <span id="page-10-5"></span>14. Ngu TA, Li Z (2014) Phosphotungstic acid-functionalized magnetic nanoparticles as an efficient and recyclable catalyst for the one-pot production of biodiesel from grease via esterifcation and transesterifcation. Green Chem 16(3):1202–1210
- <span id="page-10-6"></span>15. Sert E, Atalay FS (2012) Esterifcation of acrylic acid with diferent alcohols catalyzed by zirconia supported tungstophosphoric acid. Ind Eng Chem Res 51(19):6666–6671
- <span id="page-10-7"></span>16. Wu N, Li B, Ma W et al (2014) Synthesis of lacunary polyoxometalate encapsulated into hexagonal mesoporous silica and their catalytic performance in esterifcation. Microporous Mesoporous Mater 186:155–162
- <span id="page-10-8"></span>17. Wu M, Zhao QQ, Li J et al (2016) Tungstophosphoric acid-based mesoporous materials anchored to MCM-41: characterization and catalytic performance in esterifcation of levulinic acid with ethanol. J Porous Mater 23(5):1329–1338
- <span id="page-10-9"></span>18. Lu B, Wu Z, Ma L et al (2018) Phosphotungstic acid immobilized on sulphonic-acid-functionalized SBA-15 as a stable catalyst for the esterifcation of cyclohexene with formic acid. J Taiwan Inst Chem Eng 88:1–7
- <span id="page-10-10"></span>19. Zhang L, Jin Q, Shan L et al (2010) H3PW12O40 immobilized on silylated palygorskite and catalytic activity in esterifcation reactions. Appl Clay Sci 47(3–4):229–234
- 20. Mizuno N, Misono M (1998) Heterogeneous catalysis. Chem Rev 98(1):199–218
- <span id="page-10-11"></span>21. Jansen RJJ, Van Veldhuizen HM, Schwegler MA et al (1994) Recent (1987–1993) developments in heteropolyacid catalysts in acid catalyzed reactions and oxidation catalysis. Recl Trav Chim Pays-Bas 113(3):115–135
- <span id="page-10-12"></span>22. Eddaoudi M, Kim J, Rosi N et al (2002) Systematic design of pore size and functionality in isoreticular MOFs and their application in methane storage. Science 295(5554):469–472
- <span id="page-10-13"></span>23. Li Y, Yang RT (2007) Gas adsorption and storage in metal-organic framework MOF-177. Langmuir 23(26):12937–12944
- <span id="page-10-14"></span>24. Sun N, Wen X, Wang F et al (2010) Efect of pore structure on Ni catalyst for  $CO_2$  reforming of  $CH_4$ . Energy Environ Sci 3(3):366–369
- <span id="page-10-15"></span>25. Song J, Zhang Z, Hu S et al (2009) MOF-5/n-Bu<sub>4</sub> NBr: an efficient catalyst system for the synthesis of cyclic carbonates from epoxides and  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  under mild conditions. Green Chem 11(7):1031–1036
- <span id="page-10-16"></span>26. Juan-Alcañiz J, Gascon J, Kapteijn F (2012) Metal-organic frameworks as scaffolds for the encapsulation of active species: state of the art and future perspectives. J Mater Chem 22(20):10102–10118
- <span id="page-10-17"></span>27. Janssens N, Wee LH, Bajpe S et al (2012) Recovery and reuse of heteropolyacid catalyst in liquid reaction medium through

reversible encapsulation in  $Cu<sub>3</sub>(BTC)$ <sub>2</sub> metal-organic framework. Chem Sci 3(6):1847–1850

- <span id="page-10-18"></span>28. Bajpe SR, Breynaert E, Mustafa D et al (2011) Efect of Keggin polyoxometalate on Cu (ii) speciation and its role in the assembly of  $Cu<sub>3</sub>(BTC)$ <sub>2</sub> metal-organic framework. J Mater Chem 21(26):9768–9771
- <span id="page-10-19"></span>29. Biemmi E, Christian S, Stock N et al (2009) High-throughput screening of synthesis parameters in the formation of the metalorganic frameworks MOF-5 and HKUST-1. Microporous Mesoporous Mater 117(1–2):111–117
- <span id="page-10-20"></span>30. Lan X, Huang N, Wang J et al (2018) A general and facile strategy for precisely controlling the crystal size of monodispersed metalorganic frameworks via separating the nucleation and growth. Chem Commun 54(6):584–587
- <span id="page-10-21"></span>31. Mao Y, Su B, Cao W et al (2014) Specifc oriented metal–organic framework membranes and their facet-tuned separation performance. ACS Appl Mater Interfaces 6(18):15676–15685
- <span id="page-10-22"></span>32. Liu Y, Liu S, He D et al (2015) Crystal facets make a profound diference in polyoxometalate-containing metal-organic frameworks as catalysts for biodiesel production. J Am Chem Soc 137(39):12697–12703
- <span id="page-10-23"></span>33. Umemura A, Diring S, Furukawa S et al (2011) Morphology design of porous coordination polymer crystals by coordination modulation. J Am Chem Soc 133(39):15506–15513
- <span id="page-10-24"></span>34. Chowdhury P, Bikkina C, Meister D et al (2009) Comparison of adsorption isotherms on Cu-BTC metal organic frameworks synthesized from diferent routes. Microporous Mesoporous Mater 117(1–2):406–413
- <span id="page-10-25"></span>35. Loera-Serna S, Oliver-Tolentino MA, de Lourdes L-Núñez M et al (2012) Electrochemical behavior of  $\left[Cu_{2}(BTC)\right]$  metal–organic framework: the efect of the method of synthesis. J Alloy Compd 540:113–120
- <span id="page-10-26"></span>36. Schlesinger M, Schulze S, Hietschold M et al (2010) Evaluation of synthetic methods for microporous metal–organic frameworks exemplified by the competitive formation of  $\left[\text{Cu}_{2}(\text{btc})_{3}(\text{H}_{2}\text{O})_{3}\right]$ and  $[Cu<sub>2</sub>(btc)(OH)(H<sub>2</sub>O)]$ . Microporous Mesoporous Mater 132(1–2):121–127
- <span id="page-10-27"></span>37. Anbia M, Faryadras M (2015) In situ  $\text{Na} \cdot \text{Cu}_3(\text{BTC})_2$  and Li·Cu<sub>3</sub>(BTC)<sub>2</sub> nanoporous MOFs synthesis for enhancing H<sub>2</sub> storage at ambient temperature. J Nanostruct Chem 5(4):357–364
- <span id="page-10-28"></span>38. Xu Y, Chen D, Jiao X (2005) Fabrication of CuO pricky microspheres with tunable size by a simple solution route. J Phys Chem B 109(28):13561–13566
- <span id="page-10-29"></span>39. Pérez-Mayoral E, Čejka J (2011) [Cu<sub>3</sub>(BTC)<sub>2</sub>]: a metal-organic framework catalyst for the Friedländer reaction. ChemCatChem 3(1):157–159
- <span id="page-10-30"></span>40. Leng Y, Wang J, Zhu D et al (2009) Heteropolyanion-based ionic liquids: reaction-induced self-separation catalysts for esterifcation. Angew Chem Int Ed 48(1):168–171
- <span id="page-10-31"></span>41. Leng Y, Wang J, Zhu D et al (2009) Sulfonated organic heteropolyacid salts: Recyclable green solid catalysts for esterifcations. J Mol Catal A Chem 313(1–2):1–6
- <span id="page-10-32"></span>42. Xu X, Lu Y, Yang Y et al (2015) Tuning the growth of metalorganic framework nanocrystals by using polyoxometalates as coordination modulators. Sci China Mater 58(5):370–377
- <span id="page-10-33"></span>43. Xue W, Zhao H, Yao J et al (2016) Esterifcation of cyclohexene with formic acid over a peanut shell-derived carbon solid acid catalyst. Chin J Catal 37(5):769–777

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

# **Afliations**

# **Haoran Jiang1 · Biao Lu<sup>1</sup> · Lijuan Ma1 · Xia Yuan<sup>1</sup>**

 $\boxtimes$  Xia Yuan yxiamail@163.com <sup>1</sup> College of Chemical Engineering, Xiangtan University, Xiangtan 411105, China