ORIGINAL ARTICLE



Effect of Insulin Resistance on Recurrence after Radiofrequency Catheter Ablation in Patients with Atrial Fibrillation

Zhe Wang^{1,2} · Yi-Jia Wang¹ · Zhi-Yu Liu³ · Qing Li⁴ · Ya-Wei Kong³ · Ying-Wei Chen³ · Yi-Hong Sun² · Jian-Zeng Dong^{3,5}

Accepted: 17 January 2022 / Published online: 26 February 2022 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2022

Abstract

Background Whether there are many risk factors for recurrence of atrial fibrillation (AF) after ablation is unclear. The aim of this study was to investigate the relationship between insulin resistance (IR) and AF recurrence in patients without diabetes who underwent catheter ablation.

Methods This retrospective study included patients who underwent AF ablation between 2018 and 2019 at the First Affiliated Hospital of Zhengzhou University. Homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) was calculated, and a value of \geq 2.69 was defined as IR. The patients were divided into two groups (group 1 HOMA-IR < 2.69, *n* = 163; group 2 HOMA-IR \geq 2.69, *n* = 69). AF recurrence was defined as the occurrence of atrial arrhythmias of more than 30 s after the first 3 months. Univariate and multivariable Cox regression models were used to analyse the risk of AF recurrence.

Results Overall, 232 patients were enrolled (mean age, 59.9 ± 10.2 years old; female, 37.5%; paroxysmal AF, 71.6%). We found that dyslipidaemia, antiarrhythmic drug use, fasting blood glucose and fasting insulin were significantly higher in the IR group (P < 0.05). During the follow-up 1 year after ablation, 62 (26.7%) patients experienced AF recurrence. After adjusting for traditional risk factors, multivariable analysis showed that the HOMA-IR value (HR 1.259, 95% CI 1.086–1.460, P = 0.002) and left atrial diameter (LAD; HR 1.043, 95% CI 1.005–1.083, P = 0.026) were independently associated with AF recurrence.

Conclusions The present results provide evidence that IR patients are more likely to experience AF recurrence. Improving IR status may be a potential target for reducing the postoperative recurrence rate.

Keywords Atrial fibrillation \cdot Insulin resistance \cdot Radiofrequency ablation \cdot Recurrence

Ying-Wei Chen zzyingweichen@126.com

Yi-Hong Sun yihongsun72@163.com

- ¹ Graduate School of Peking Union Medical College, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, Beijing 100730, China
- ² Department of Cardiology, China-Japan Friendship Hospital, No.2 East Yinghua Road, Beijing 100029, Chaoyang District, China
- ³ Department of Cardiology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Zhengzhou University, No.1 Jianshe East Road, Erqi District, Zhengzhou 450052, Henan, China
- ⁴ Department of Cardiology, Peking University China-Japan Friendship School of Clinical Medicine, Beijing 100029, China
- ⁵ Department of Cardiology, Beijing Anzhen Hospital, Capital Medical University, 100029 Beijing, China

Introduction

Atrial fibrillation (AF) is one of the most common arrhythmias in cardiovascular disease and can significantly increase the risk of heart failure, renal impairment and other diseases [1]. Radiofrequency catheter ablation (RFCA) is the main treatment for AF. However, patients with AF have a certain recurrence rate after ablation. The success rate remained at 70–90% at the 1-year follow-up after RFCA in patients with paroxysmal atrial fibrillation (PAF), and the success rate was even lower at 65–75% for persistent atrial fibrillation (PAF) [2, 3]. Many risk factors, such as hypertension, metabolic syndrome, sleep apnoea syndrome and other diseases, are associated with recurrence of AF after RFCA [4].

Insulin resistance (IR) is a state of decreased insulin response; the signs of IR include obesity, elevated blood glucose, dyslipidaemia and elevated blood pressure [5]. IR

is generally a component of metabolic syndrome and a precursor of diabetes mellitus (DM). Metabolic syndrome and diabetes mellitus are independent risk factors for recurrence after AF ablation [6, 7], and IR could also increase susceptibility to AF [8–10]. At present, the relationship between the recurrence rate after ablation and IR in patients with AF is not clear; thus, the aim of this study was to investigate the effect of IR on AF recurrence after RFCA.

Methods

Study Population

We conducted a retrospective observational study from January 2018 to July 2019 in individual centres in the First Affiliated Hospital of Zhengzhou University. A total of 321 patients with AF who underwent successful RFCA were screened for eligibility. The inclusion criteria were age \geq 18 years and hospitalization for first RFCA. The exclusion criteria were DM, congenital heart disease, hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, valvular heart disease, treatment with glucocorticoids or nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, thyroid dysfunction and hepatorenal insufficiency. A participant was considered to have DM if he or she had previously been diagnosed with DM, was currently taking medications for DM or had a glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c) level > 6.5%. The study protocol adhered to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Ethics Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, China.

Date Collection

Demographic parameters and comorbidities were collected from patients' medical records and included duration of AF, type of AF, body mass index (BMI), dyslipidaemia, hypertension, cerebrovascular disease, use of antiarrhythmic drugs (AADs) and statins before procedure, left atrial diameter (LAD), left ventricular end diastolic diameter (LVEDD), left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) and heart rate (HR). Blood samples were obtained after at least eight hours of fasting within three days after hospitalization and before ablation; creatinine, uric acid, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (HS-CRP), erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR), HbA1c, fasting plasma glucose (FPG), fasting insulin (FINS), total cholesterol, triglyceride, and high- and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels were measured. Intraoperative parameters, including linear ablation and superior vena cava (SVC) ablation, were recorded. The CHA₂DS₂-VASc score of each patient was calculated [11].

Definition of IR

IR was assessed using the homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) as follows: HOMA-IR = FPG (mmol/L)×FINS (μ U/mL)/22.5 [12]. FPG was measured using the hexokinase method, and FINS was measured using the electrochemiluminescence method. In the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES III) study, 8608 people aged ≥20 years with nondiabetic HOMA-IR values ≥2.68 were defined as having IR [13]. Moreover, HOMA-IR values ≥2.69 in Chinese people were defined as IR [14, 15]. Previous studies have found subtle differences in the defined values of IR, which are attributed to different ethnic groups with different constitutions, and we defined HOMA-IR values ≥2.69 as IR according to the physical condition of the Chinese population [16].

Ablation Protocol and Periprocedural Management

Details of the RFCA procedure have been described in published studies [17]. Briefly, circumferential pulmonary vein isolation (CPVI) was performed in all patients with PAF, and isthmus line ablation was performed when typical atrial flutter was documented preoperatively or intraoperatively. For all patients with PeAF, the endpoint of ablation was CPVI, followed by bidirectional block of linear ablation across the left atrial roof, mitral isthmus and cavotricuspid isthmus. If AF could not be terminated after the above ablation, synchronous direct current cardioversion was necessarily converted to sinus rhythm. In addition, electrical isolation of the SVC was performed if induced tachycardia suggested an origin of the SVC or if the potential of the SVC was active in all patients with AF. If no AF induction was confirmed via coronary sinus electrode burst pacing, the procedure was deemed completed.

The patients took AADs for 3 months after ablation to prevent early recurrence of AF. All patients were taking nonvitamin K oral anticoagulants (NOACs) or warfarin (INR of 2.0–3.0) for at least 3 months. Continuation of anticoagulation therapy was determined according to the AHA/ACC/ HRS guidelines [18] and was decided jointly by the patient and physician.

Outcome and Follow-Up

The definition of AF recurrence was documented AF, atrial flutter or atrial tachycardia (AT) lasting >30 s recorded on ECG or by 24-h Holter monitoring after a 3-month blanking period. Each participant was followed up at 3, 6, 9 and 12 months after ablation in the outpatient setting or by telephone, and the follow-up endpoint was the recurrence of

AF or up to 1 year. An electrocardiogram (ECG) and/or 24 h Holter monitoring were recommended at each followup visit. There was no difference in Holter surveillance for asymptomatic patients between the IR and no IR groups after ablation. Each patient visited the outpatient department of the hospital in a timely manner, and ECG and Holter monitoring were recommended to patients when they experienced any symptoms suggesting AF recurrence. If recurrence occurred, the time of AF recurrence was recorded.

Statistical Analysis

Continuous data are described as the mean + standard deviation or median (IQR, interquartile range), and categorical data are summarized as frequencies (percentages). Continuous variables were compared between the two groups using Student's t test or Mann-Whitney test depending on whether the data were normally distributed. Categorical variables were compared between two groups by the χ^2 test or Fisher's exact test. Kaplan-Meier curves were used to analyse the AF-free survival rate after ablation. Univariate and multivariate Cox regression was used to evaluate the risk of AF recurrence. In the multivariate Cox regression analysis, to address potential confounding, variables with P < 0.01 in the univariate analysis and the important recurrence-related clinical factors AF, AF type, duration of AF, HS-CRP, BMI and CHA₂D₂-VASC score \geq 2 were substituted into the multivariate analysis. Subgroup analyses were performed based on the type of AF. All statistical analyses were performed by SPSS software (version 21.0) and GraphPad Prism (version 9.0). All statistical tests were two-sided, and a value of P < 0.05 was considered indicative of significance.

Results

Patient Characteristics

Of the 321 AF patients who underwent ablation and were screened, 232 patients were eligibled for the study. The participants were divided into two groups, patients (n = 163, 70.3%) with HOMA-IR < 2.69 in group 1 and those with HOMA-IR ≥ 2.69 (*n* = 69, 29.7%) in group 2 (Fig. 1). The baseline characteristics of the two groups are shown in Table 1. The continuous variables age, BMI, HR, TC, HbA1c, FPG, LAD, LVEF and LVEDD were normally distributed and were therefore analysed by a t test; FINS, HOMA-IR and other continuous data that were not normally distributed were analysed by the Mann-Whitney test. The mean age was 59.9 ± 10.2 years old, and 87 (37.5%) patients were female. The mean BMI was 24.7 ± 2.7 kg/m². 163 (70.3%) patients had PAF, and 69 (29.7%) patients had PeAF. The patients HOMA-IR value was 2.0 (IQR 1.4, 2.9) (Supplementary Material Table 1). Compared to the patients without IR, those with IR were more likely to have dyslipidaemia (63.8% vs. 48.5%, P = 0.033) and to take AADs before the procedure (86, 52.8% vs. 49, 71.0%, P = 0.010). FPG $(5.7 \pm 1.2 \text{ vs. } 4.8 \pm 0.9, P < 0.001)$, FINS [13.8 (IQR

Fig. 1 Patients flow chart for the study cohort. RFCA, radiofrequency catheter ablation; AF, atrial fibrillation; NSAIDS, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs; HOMA-IR, homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance; IR, insulin resistance



Table 1 Baseline characteristics of patients with and without insulin resistance

	Group 1 (no IR group, n=163)	Group 2 (IR group, n=69)	Р	
Female	61 (37.4)	26 (37.7)	0.970	
Age, years	60.4 ± 10.1	58.9 ± 10.3	0.324	
BMI, kg/m ²	24.6 ± 2.8	25.1 ± 2.4	0.220	
Smoking habits	52 (31.9)	19 (30.2)	0.510	
AF type			0.906	
Paroxysmal	117 (71.8)	49 (71.0)		
Persistent	46 (28.2)	20 (29.0)		
Hypertension	77 (47.2)	39 (56.5)	0.196	
Cerebrovascular disease	15 (9.2)	8 (11.6)	0.577	
Dyslipidemia	79 (48.5)	44 (63.8)	0.033	
Duration of AF, > 5 years	37 (22.7)	22 (31.9)	0.142	
AADs	86 (52.8)	49 (71.0)	0.010	
Statins	72 (44.2)	28 (40.6)	0.614	
HR, bpm	78.7 ± 17.4	79.6 ± 19.0	0.743	
Cr, µmol/l	74 (64, 85)	84 (63,72)	0.379	
UA, mmol/l	305 (252, 368)	284 (233, 366)	0.376	
TG, mmol/l	1.3 (0.9, 1.9)	1.6 (1.0, 2.2)	0.136	
TC, mmol/l	3.7 ± 1.0	3.9 ± 0.9	0.258	
HDL-C, mmol/l	1.2 (0.9, 1.8)	1.7 (1.1, 2.5)	0.706	
LDL-C, mmol/l	2.6 (1.9, 3.3)	2.7 (2.0, 3.5)	0.712	
HS-CRP, mg/l	3.1 (1.6, 5.5)	3.9 (1.6, 6.2)	0.322	
ESR, mm/l	10.8 (8.0,14.0)	11.8 (9.7, 13.9)	0.134	
HbAlc, %	5.8 ± 0.6	5.9 ± 0.6	0.625	
FPG, mmol/L	4.8 ± 0.8	5.8 ± 1.3	< 0.001	
FINS, µU/mL	8.2 (6.0, 9.9)	13.8 (12.2, 16.3)	< 0.001	
HOMA-IR	1.7 (1.3, 2.1)	3.3 (2.9, 4.0)	< 0.001	
LAD, mm	39.5 ± 6.5	40.4 ± 6.6	0.356	
LVEF, %	60.8 ± 6.6	60.5 ± 7.8	0.776	
LVEDD, mm	47.6 ± 5.3	48.2 ± 6.1	0.418	

Group 1 was defined as HOMA-IR < 2.69 (no IR group), group 2 was defined as HOMA-IR ≥ 2.69 (IR group); Continuous data are presented as means±standard deviation (SD) or median (interquartile range), and categorical data were shown as n (%)

Abbreviations: AF, atrial fibrillation; BM, body mass index; ADDs, antiarrhythmic drugs; HR, heart rate; Cr, creatinine; UA, uric acid; TC, total cholesterol; TG, triglyceride; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HS-CRP, high sensitivity C-reactive protein; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; HbA1c, glycated haemoglobin; FPG, fasting plasma glucose; FINS, fasting insulin; HOMA-IR, homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance; LAD, left atrial diameter; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; LVEDD, left ventricular end-diastolic diameter

12.2, 16.3) vs. 8.2 (IQR 6.0, 9.9), P < 0.001] and HOMA-IR [3.3 (IQR 2.9, 4.0) vs. 1.7 (IQR 1.3, 2.1), P < 0.001] were significantly higher in group 2 than in group 1.

The Incidence of AF Recurrence

During the follow-up period of 1 year after ablation, 62 (26.7%) patients experienced recurrence after RFCA. The Kaplan-Meier time-to-event curves revealed a higher recurrence rate in group 2 than in group 1 [40.6% (28/69) vs. 20.9% (34/163) at 1 year, P = 0.001], as shown in Fig. 2.

Risk Factors Associated with AF Recurrence

All patients with AF were divided into the recurrence of AF group (n = 62, 26.7%) and the no-recurrence of AF group (n = 170, 73.3%) according to the follow-up results, there were 30 (48.4%) recurrences of AF, 17 (27.4%) of atrial flutter, and 15 (24.2%) of AT. In the univariable analysis, patients with recurrence of AF after ablation had higher HOMA-IR values [2.5(IQR1.9, 3.6) vs. 1.8(IQR1.4, 2.6); HR 1.264, 95% CI 1.096 -1.457, P = 0.001 and LAD [(41.7 ± 6.6)mm vs. (39.1 ± 6.4) mm; HR 1.046, 95% CI 1.009 -1.084, P = 0.015]. There were no

Fig. 2 Cumulative incidence of atrial fibrillation (AF) between two groups according to homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) levels. The Kaplan–Meier survival curve analysis shows a significant difference in the recurrence of AF after ablation between two groups, group 1 is no IR (HOMA-IR < 2.69) and group 2 is IR (HOMA-IR \geq 2.69)



significant differences in the type of AF, dyslipidaemia, duration of AF (>5 years), CHA2DS2-VASc score ≥ 2 , HS-CRP, or BMI between the two groups (P > 0.05, Table 2 and Supplementary Material Table 1). In the multivariable Cox regression analysis, HOMA-IR (HR 1.259, 95% CI 1.086–1.460, P = 0.002) and LAD (HR 1.043, 95% CI 1.005–1.083, P = 0.026) remained independent predictive factors for AF recurrence (Table 2).

Subgroup Analysis

Among patients with PAF, 40 (24.1%) patient recurrence of AF after ablation. HOMA-IR (HR 1.219, 95% CI 1.027–1.448, P = 0.023) and LAD (HR 1.052, 95% CI 1.008–1.099, P = 0.020) were risk factors for recurrence of AF (Fig. 3). Among patients with PeAF, 22 (33.3%) had recurrence of AF after ablation. HOMA-IR (HR 1.770, 95% CI 1.225–2.556, P = 0.002) and HS-CRP (HR 1.153, 95% CI 1.034–1.286, P = 0.010) were risk indicators for the recurrence of AF (Fig. 4).

Discussion

The findings of this study provide important information about the risk of AF recurrence in patients without diabetes. IR is associated with a high recurrence rate after ablation in patients with AF. The association between a high HOMA-IR value and recurrence of AF after ablation remained in the subgroup analysis according to the type of AF. This could be important information in clinical decision-making in treating patients with AF ablation.

AF ablation has a certain recurrence rate, which may be increased by many risk factors. Previous studies have shown that an increased LAD leads to left atrial remodelling, making recurrence rates higher after ablation in patients with AF [19, 20]. The results of this study also showed that a larger LAD in AF patients was associated with high recurrence rates after ablation, which was consistent with a previous study. However, the impact of IR on recurrence after AF ablation is unclear. A previous study showed that IR was a predictor of PAF recurrence Table 2Univariable andmultivariable Cox regressionhazard analysis for AFrecurrence

	Univariable			Multivariable		
	HR	95% CI	Р	HR	95% CI	Р
Female	1.137	0.684–1.889	0.620			
Smoking habits	1.293	0.732-2.284	0.376			
Type of AF(PAF vs PeAF)	0.768	0.401-1.135	0.138	0.644	0.376-1.103	0.109
Hypertension	0.663	0.401-1.099	0.111			
Dyslipidemia	1.229	0.744-2.030	0.421			
Duration of AF(> 5 years)	0.683	0.401-1.163	0.160	0.803	0.462-1.396	0.437
CHA₂DS₂-VASc score≧2	0.882	0.535-1.452	0.621	0.981	0.591-1.628	0.941
Age	1.007	0.983-1.032	0.561			
BMI	1001	0.909-1.103	0.982	0.985	0.903-1.106	0.999
HR	1.000	0.985-1.014	0.949			
Cr	0.997	0.982-1.012	0.671			
JA	0.999	0.997-1.002	0.646			
ΓG	1.081	0.819-1.427	0.581			
ГC	1.121	0.864-1.456	0.390			
HDL	0.771	0.525-1.133	0.185			
LDL	1.090	0.958-1.241	0.191			
HbAlc	0.826	0.531-1.286	0.397			
HS-CRP	1.047	0.984-1.114	0.150	1.050	0.982-1.122	0.156
ESR	1.016	0.968-1.067	0.514			
LAD	1.046	1.009-1.084	0.015	1.043	1.005-1.083	0.026
LVEF	1.002	0.966-1.039	0.935			
LVEDD	1.004	0.961-1.048	0.874			
Linear ablation	1.382	0.832-2.296	0.211			
SVC isolation	0.585	0.278-1.230	0.157			
FPG,mmol/L	1.165	0.951-1.427	0.139			
HOMA-IR	1.264	1.096-1.457	0.001	1.259	1.086-1.460	0.002

Multivariate Cox regression analysis model included type of AF, duration of AF (>5 years), CHA2DS2-VASc score \geq 2, BMI, HS-CRP, LAD and HOMA-IR

Abbreviations: AF, atrial fibrillation; PAF, paroxysmal atrial fibrillation; PeAF, persistent atrial fibrillation; BMI, body mass index; HR, heart rate; Cr, creatinine; UA, uric acid; TC, total cholesterol; TG, triglyceride; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HbA1, glycated haemoglobin; HS-CRP, high sensitivity C-reactive protein; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; LAD, left atrial diameter; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; LVEDD, left ventricular end diastolic diameter; SVC, superior vena cava; FPG, fasting plasma glucose; HOMA-IR, homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance; CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio

after CPVI [21]. Our study is the first to report that high HOMA-IR levels in patients with AF were associated with a higher recurrence rate after ablation, whether for PAF or PeAF, and included 232 AF patients, which was more than the 114 AF patients in a previous study.

IR is a common feature of metabolic syndrome and diabetes mellitus and is a potential mechanism for the development of abnormal glucose metabolism [22]. IR is associated with abnormal obesity, obesity and hyperlipidaemia and can cause excessive fat accumulation in the body, causing the release of cytokines related to IR and resulting in the abnormal production of components of the signalling pathway in which insulin is active [23]. IR combined with obesity can aggravate the cardiac burden of patients, and poor weight control is one of the main causes of IR [24]. In this study, BMI was higher than normal in both groups, possibly because in all patients with AF, obesity is associated with the occurrence and development of AF [25]. There was a significantly higher use of AADs in the group with IR than in the group with no IR(P = 0.01). This appears to suggest an increased proportion of symptomatic AF in the group with IR, and IR may promote the development of AF and impair glucose tolerance in the body. There was no significant difference in LAD and LVEDD of HS-CRP between the two groups, possibly because IR has little effect on atrial structural remodelling. IR was found to not significantly alter atrial fibrosis and structural remodelling [26]. Fig. 3 Subgroup analyses for

atrial fibrillation (PAF) patients

after ablation. LAD, left atrial diameter; HOMA-IR, homeo-

lin resistance; HbA1c, glycated haemoglobin; HS-CRP, high

sensitivity C-reactive protein;

body mass index; HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval



Fig. 4 Subgroup analyses for risk of recurrence in peresistant atrial fibrillation (PeAF) patients after ablation. LAD, left atrial diameter; HOMA-IR, homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance; HbA1c, glycated haemoglobin; HS-CRP, high sensitivity C-reactive protein; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; AF, atrial fibrillation; BMI, body mass index: HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval

Shigematsu et al. suggested that IR accounted for a significantly higher proportion of nondiabetic hypertrophic cardiomyopathy patients with AF than hypertrophic cardiomyopathy patients with sinus rhythm, IR may be a potential mechanism that mediates the development of AF; impaired fasting glucose and impaired glucose tolerance could lead to interatrial conduction delay and formation of low-voltage areas [27]. Lee et al. found that high HOMA-IR levels were independently associated with an increased risk of AF in patients without diabetes and that high HOMA-IR levels were one of the main causes of AF in a nondiabetic population [28]. The recurrence rate of AF after ablation in patients with diabetes is higher than that in patients without diabetes, and metabolic abnormalities in diabetes play a role in promoting arrhythmia [29]. The recurrence of AF in the abnormal glucose metabolism group after catheter ablation was also significantly higher than that in patients in the normal glucose metabolism group [7]. IR leads to the slowing of conduction velocity in the left atrium and the formation of re-entry, aggravating atrial electrical remodelling and promoting recurrence after AF ablation [21]. In this study, HOMA-IR levels in patients with AF in the recurrence group were significantly higher than those in patients without AF recurrence. This may be because IR exacerbates the process of delayed atrial conduction velocity in patients with AF, which leads to continuous atrial electrical remodelling and causes an increase in the recurrence of AF.

At present, there are many patients with IR, but most IR patients do not receive regular treatment. In this study, the measurement of homa IR was performed before ablation. We found that HOMA-IR is helpful for predicting and should be performed before ablation to identify the presence or absence of IR and that regular treatment for IR may be given to decrease the recurrence rate of AF after ablation. Whether patients with IR should be followed up, if it is prudent to screen the glucose status and whether intervention of IR helps to reduce the recurrence rate after AF ablation has not been clarified. In clinical work, we insist that it is of great importance to AF patients with IR and treat patients with IR as early as possible. Further prospective research is also needed to clarify whether interventions to treat IR are beneficial in preventing the recurrence of AF.

Limitations

Several limitations existed in our study. First, the insulin clamp is the gold standard method for measuring IR, and HOMA IR is not the gold standard but a surrogate for IR, which may produce a bias. Second, this was a retrospective study that could not avoid information bias. The duration of AF was sometimes reported by the patients themselves, and there was no record of obstructive sleep apnoea (OSA) or drug treatment after ablation. In addition, this was a singlecentre study with a small sample size, which may limit the generalizability of the results. Finally, the lack of a standardized arrhythmia detection strategy may limit the detection of asymptomatic recurrences. Whether IR is an independent predictor of recurrence of AF needs to be supported by more evidence in the future.

Conclusions

HOMA-IR and LAD were independent risk factors for AF recurrence after RFCA in AF patients without diabetes. IR in patients with AF is associated with a high recurrence rate after ablation.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s10557-022-07317-z.

Author Contributions ZW: Designed the study and wrote the manuscript. YJW, ZYL, and QL: Contributed to writing of the manuscript. YWK: Participated in data analysis. YWC and YHS: Supervised the data acquisition, data analysis and interpretation. JZD: Verified the data extraction and reviewed the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding This study was partly supported by the National Key Research and Development Program (2016YFC1301000), awarded to Dr. Jianzeng Dong, MD, PhD.

Data Availability The data supporting the findings of this study are available on request.

Declarations

Conflict of Interest The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Informed Consent Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

References

- Packer DL, Mark DB, Robb RA, Monahan KH, Bahnson TD, Poole JE, CABANA Investigators, et al. Effect of catheter ablation vs antiarrhythmic drug therapy on mortality, stroke, bleeding, and cardiac arrest among patients with atrial fibrillation: the CABANA randomized clinical trial. JAMA. 2019;321(13):1261–74.
- Latchamsetty R, Morady F. Atrial fibrillation ablation. Annu Rev Med. 2018;69:53–63.
- Brooks AG, Stiles MK, Laborderie J, Lau DH, Kuklik P, Shipp NJ, et al. Outcomes of long-standing persistent atrial fibrillation ablation: a systematic review. Heart Rhythm. 2010;7(6):835–46.
- Buist TJ, Zipes DP, Elvan A. Atrial fibrillation ablation strategies and technologies: past, present, and future. Clin Res Cardiol. 2021;110(6):775–88.
- Gluvic Z, Zaric B, Resanovic I, Obradovic M, Mitrovic A, Radak D, et al. Link between metabolic syndrome and insulin resistance. Curr Vasc Pharmacol. 2017;15(1):30–9.
- Ahn HJ, Han KD, Choi EK, Jung JH, Kwon S, Lee SR, et al. Cumulative burden of metabolic syndrome and its components on the risk of atrial fibrillation: a nationwide population-based study. Cardiovasc Diabetol. 2021;20(1):20.
- Creta A, Providência R, Adragão P, de Asmundis C, Chun J, Chierchia G, et al. Impact of Type-2 diabetes mellitus on the outcomes of catheter ablation of atrial fibrillation (European observational multicentre study). Am J Cardiol. 2020;125(6):901–6.
- Nattel S. Molecular and cellular mechanisms of atrial fibrosis in atrial fibrillation. JACC Clin Electrophysiol. 2017;3(5):425–35.
- Polovina M, Krljanac G, Ašanin M, Seferović PM. Crouching tiger, hidden dragon: insulin resistance and the risk of atrial fibrillation. Eur J Prev Cardiol. 2020;27(18):1931–3.
- Andrade J, Khairy P, Dobrev D, Nattel S. The clinical profile and pathophysiology of atrial fibrillation: relationships among clinical features, epidemiology, and mechanisms. Circ Res. 2014;114(9):1453–68.
- 11. Hsu JC, Maddox TM, Kennedy KF, Katz DF, Marzec LN, Lubitz SA, et al. Oral anticoagulant therapy prescription in patients with atrial fibrillation across the Spectrum of stroke risk: insights from the NCDR PINNACLE registry. JAMA Cardiol. 2016;1(1):55–62.
- Matthews DR, Hosker JP, Rudenski AS, Naylor BA, Treacher DF, Turner RC. Homeostasis model assessment: insulin resistance and beta-cell function from fasting plasma glucose and insulin concentrations in man. Diabetologia. 1985;28(7):412–9.

- Ford ES, Giles WH. A comparison of the prevalence of the metabolic syndrome using two proposed definitions. Diabetes Care. 2003;26(3):575–81.
- 14. Yang WB, Wang HL, Mao JT, Chen Z, Xu JW, Wang LH, et al. The correlation between CT features and insulin resistance levels in patients with T2DM complicated with primary pulmonary tuberculosis. J Cell Physiol. 2020;235(12):9370–7.
- 15. Zhou M, Zhu L, Cui X, Feng L, Zhao X, He S, et al. The triglyceride to high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (TG/HDL-C) ratio as a predictor of insulin resistance but not of β cell function in a Chinese population with different glucose tolerance status. Lipids Health Dis. 2016;15:104.
- 16. Tang Q, Li X, Song P, Xu L. Optimal cut-off values for the homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) and pre-diabetes screening: developments in research and prospects for the future. Drug Discov Ther. 2015;9(6):380–5.
- Dong JZ, Sang CH, Yu RH, Long DY, Tang RB, Jiang CX, Ning M, Liu N, Liu XP, Du X, Tse HF, Ma CS. Prospective randomized comparison between a fixed '2C3L' approach vs. stepwise approach for catheter ablation of persistent atrial fibrillation. Europace. 2015;17(12):1798–806.
- 18. Hammoudeh AJ, Khader Y, Kadri N, Al-Mousa E, Badaineh Y, Habahbeh L, et al. Adherence to the 2019 AHA/ACC/HRS focused update of the 2014 AHA/ACC/HRS guideline on the use of Oral anticoagulant agents in middle eastern patients with atrial fibrillation: the Jordan atrial fibrillation (JoFib) study. Int J Vasc Med. 2021;2021:5515089.
- Fujino T, Yuzawa H, Kinoshita T, Koike H, Shinohara M, Akitsu K, et al. Clinical factors associated with a successful catheter ablation outcome in elderly patients with atrial fibrillation. Int Heart J. 2020;61(1):21–8.
- Bajraktari G, Bytyçi I, Henein MY. Left atrial structure and function predictors of recurrent fibrillation after catheter ablation: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Clin Physiol Funct Imaging. 2020;40(1):1–13.
- 21. Hijioka N, Kamioka M, Matsumoto Y, Nodera M, Yamada S, Kaneshiro T, et al. Clinical impact of insulin resistance on

pulmonary vein isolation outcome in patients with paroxysmal atrial fibrillation. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol. 2019;30(4):479–86.

- 22. Ndisang JF, Vannacci A, Rastogi S. Insulin resistance, type 1 and type 2 diabetes, and related complications 2017. J Diabetes Res. 2017;2017:1478294.
- Park YJ, Han SM, Huh JY, Kim JB. Emerging roles of epigenetic regulation in obesity and metabolic disease. J Biol Chem. 2021;297(5):101296.
- Sivasambu B, Balouch MA, Zghaib T, Bajwa RJ, Chrispin J, Berger RD, et al. Increased rates of atrial fibrillation recurrence following pulmonary vein isolation in overweight and obese patients. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol. 2018;29(2):239–45.
- 25. Middeldorp ME, Ariyaratnam J, Lau D, Sanders P. Lifestyle modifications for treatment of atrial fibrillation. Heart. 2020;106(5):325–32.
- Maria Z, Campolo AR, Scherlag BJ, Ritchey JW, Lacombe VA. Dysregulation of insulin-sensitive glucose transporters during insulin resistance-induced atrial fibrillation. Biochim Biophys Acta Mol basis Dis. 2018;1864(4 Pt A):987–96.
- Shigematsu Y, Hamada M, Nagai T, Nishimura K, Inoue K, Suzuki J, et al. Risk for atrial fibrillation in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: association with insulin resistance. J Cardiol. 2011;58(1):18–25.
- Lee Y, Cha SJ, Park JH, Shin JH, Lim YH, Park HC, et al. Association between insulin resistance and risk of atrial fibrillation in non-diabetics. Eur J Prev Cardiol. 2020;27(18):1934–41.
- 29. Chao TF, Suenari K, Chang SL, Lin YJ, Lo LW, Hu YF, et al. Atrial substrate properties and outcome of catheter ablation in patients with paroxysmal atrial fibrillation associated with diabetes mellitus or impaired fasting glucose. Am J Cardiol. 2010;106(11):1615–20.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.