

## Clinical patterns of metastasis\*

Stanley P. L. Leong · Blake Cady · David M. Jablons ·  
Julio Garcia-Aguilar · Douglas Reintgen · J. Jakub ·  
S. Pendas · L. Duhaime · R. Cassell · M. Gardner ·  
R. Giuliano · V. Archie · D. Calvin · L. Mensha ·  
S. Shivers · C. Cox · J. A. Werner · Y. Kitagawa ·  
M. Kitajima

© Springer Science + Business Media, LLC 2006

**Abstract** In human solid cancer, lymph node status is the most important indicator for clinical outcome. Recent developments in the sentinel lymph node concept and technology have resulted in a more precise way of examining micrometastasis in the sentinel lymph node and the role of lymphovascular system in the facilitation of cancer metastasis.

Different patterns of metastasis are described with respect to different types of solid cancer. Except perhaps for papillary carcinoma and sarcoma, the overwhelming evidence is that solid cancer progresses in an orderly progression from

the primary site to the regional lymph node or the sentinel lymph node in the majority of cases with subsequent dissemination to the systemic sites. The basic mechanisms of cancer metastasis through the lymphovascular system form the basis of rational therapy against cancer. Beyond the clinical patterns of metastasis, it is imperative to understand the biology of metastasis and to characterize patterns of metastasis perhaps due to heterogeneous clones based on their molecular signatures.

**Keywords** Cancer metastasis · Sentinel lymph nodes · Lymphovascular system

---

\*Presented as Session IV of the First International Symposium on Cancer Metastasis and the Lymphovascular System. April 28–30, 2005, San Francisco, CA; Chaired by Stanley P. L. Leong.

---

S. P. L. Leong (✉) · D. M. Jablons · J. Garcia-Aguilar  
Department of Surgery, University of California, and UCSF  
Comprehensive Cancer Center, San Francisco, CA, USA  
e-mail: leongs@surgery.ucsf.edu

B. Cady  
Rhode Island Hospital, Brown University, Providence, RI, USA

D. Reintgen · J. Jakub · S. Pendas · L. Duhaime · R. Cassell · M.  
Gardner · R. Giuliano · V. Archie · D. Calvin · L. Mensha · S.  
Shivers  
Department of Surgical Oncology, Lakeland Regional Cancer  
Center, Lakeland, FL, USA

C. Cox  
H. Lee Moffitt Cancer Center & Research Institute,  
Tampa, FL, USA

J. A. Werner  
Department of Otolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery, Philipps  
University of Marburg, Deutschhausstr 3, 35037 Marburg,  
Germany

Y. Kitagawa · M. Kitajima  
Department of Surgery, Keio University, Tokyo, Japan

### Introduction

Clinical aspects of lymph node metastases

Substantial data exists that indicates no survival difference between patients who undergo regional node dissection and those who undergo lesser dissections or no dissection, for melanoma, head and neck cancers, gastric, colorectal cancers, and particularly breast cancers. These clinical studies all confirm the indicator function, or statistical relationship, but question the outcome-governing role of lymph node metastases [1]. Thus, the purpose of a sentinel node biopsy or regional node dissection is not to improve survival, since that has not been clearly demonstrated, but to collect diagnostic and prognostic information to help select systemic therapy to improve prognosis. Since patients do not die of regional disease, but from systemic metastases in vital organs, prognosis can only be improved by preventing distant micrometastases from occurring or developing in the vital organs. When clinically node-negative breast cancer patients do not have axillary dissection with breast conservation and

radiation therapy, the clinical risk of axillary recurrence may be 2% or less [2–4]. Thus, not only do we not jeopardize patient's lives by not dissecting the axilla in breast cancer, but we do not jeopardize them regionally because of a very low regional recurrence rate. In patients at extremely low risk for axillary nodal metastases, such as mammographically discovered, low grade T1a or T1b cancers without lymph vessel invasion or poor nuclear grade, even sentinel node evaluation may be avoided. Sentinel node biopsy will be useful in patients with a modest incidence of lymph node metastases (5% or more) and where the primary tumor features would not allow decisions about systemic therapy. Finally, traditional axillary dissection may be appropriate in primary breast or thyroid cancers with clinical metastases to remove a palpable lesion that might undergo progressive growth and create local palliative problems. In a clinically positive axilla, when confirmed by fine needle aspiration cytology, one might argue that axillary dissection has therapeutic benefit since it removes a palpable lesion and results in extremely low rates of axillary recurrence.

In recent years, there has been a dramatic decrease in the size, grade, lymph node metastases rate, probably due to downstaging of breast cancer from the impact of extensive mammographic screening [5]. The current median maximum diameter of all breast cancer in the State of Rhode Island is only 1.5 cm and the lymph node metastasis rate is only 26% [5]. Of invasive breast cancers discovered only mammographically, the median maximum diameter is about 1 cm, the positive node rate is less than 20% and few are of high grade [6–8]. Eighty percent of breast cancer sentinel node biopsy programs in the United States use routine immunohistochemical staining of multiple sections of the sentinel nodes without, at present, understanding the meaning of such special techniques, discovered micrometastases. For example, the results of one report are biologically implausible, since a single IHC discovered micrometastasis in a single lymph node 10 years later in a retrospective subset analysis of 20 patients out of almost 1,000 decreased the survival rate by as much as 50% [9]. Such a dramatic survival decrement associated with a few metastatic cells is greater than that seen when the patient has one to three lymph node macrometastases in traditional analysis. This particular study, while originally a randomized controlled trial, was subjected to this retrospective selective subset analysis by pathological redefinition of axillary lymph nodes. Thus, it cannot be taken at face value. The current and most appropriate clinical biologic model (the “Spectrum” model) [10] supplants the previous “Fisherman” model, which assumed that all breast cancers were systemic from their onset, which in turn had displaced the “Halstedian” lymphatic- system-dominant model [11] that controlled thinking until the 1970's. In Halsted's time, it was assumed that lymph nodes were filters and only when the filter was filled with cancer cells did further cells “spill over”

into the distant lymphatic vessels, which led directly to distant organs [11]. In the “Spectrum” model [10], the size and evolving features of the genetically unstable cancer undergoes continued growth, and results in greater virulence, increased likelihood of metastatic spread, a greater dose of cancer cells, and increasing risks of death. The vast majority (>75%) of current early breast cancers correspond to this model, in which ability to metastasize increases as size and resultant biological potential increases. Very small cancers with few exceptions have little ability to develop clinical metastases [12]. Dramatic clinical evidence of the selective nodal metastatic pattern exists in differentiated thyroid cancer in younger, low-risk patients [13]. None of the published risk group definitions indicate that lymph node metastases have a relationship to survival. This unique clinical situation with very frequent nodal metastases but excellent survival is replicated in carcinoid cancers of the gastro-intestinal tract [14, 15]. The frequency of lymph node metastasis without distant organ metastasis in these two may help us to understand the role of lymph node metastasis in general in a more scientifically logical way.

### Patterns of metastasis in malignant melanoma

Melanoma usually progresses from an *in situ* growth phase to a radial growth phase in which it expands into a vertical growth phase, which is associated with increased risk of metastasis. Nodal status is the most important predictor of clinical outcome in melanoma [16, 17]. In the presentin lymph node (SLN) era, several retrospective studies noted that the regional nodal basin was the most common site of metastasis. Patients with primary lesions ranging from 0.76 to 1.5 mm thick developed nodal recurrence 25% of the time within 3 years. When the Breslow thickness increased to 1.5 to 4 mm, the percentage of nodal metastasis increased to 60% within 3 years. On the other hand, systemic metastasis was less common but its incidence was also correlated with the thickness of the primary tumor. Patients with primary lesions from 1.5 to 4 mm thick developed systemic metastasis in 15% of the time within 5 years of the diagnosis [18]. These retrospective studies indicate that, in general, during the early phase of melanoma proliferation, the pattern of metastasis to the regional nodal basin is the predominant one, but a minority of the patients will develop systemic disease. In an autopsy series of 216 melanoma patients, the lymph nodes and lungs were the most frequent sites of involvement [19]. In addition, melanoma was found in multiple organs, indicating that in late stages of the disease, dissemination was widespread. On the basis of the autopsy reports, it was evident that clinical and histologic features did not predict patterns of metastasis. When melanoma disseminated widely, survival was usually short, but when dissemination was limited, survival

was longer. Indeed, isolated metastases could potentially be resected, resulting in longer survival, but patients with multiple metastasis usually did quite poorly. The relationship between Breslow thickness and the sentinel node status is linearly correlated. Because of the accuracy of harvesting the SLNs as a staging method, the 6th edition of the American Joint Committee on Cancer for melanoma has been revised to incorporate SLN status [20]. Melanoma progression can be further defined in terms of primary melanoma proliferation, metastasis to the SLNs or distant sites, progression from SLNs to non-SLNs, progression from SLNs or from non-SLNs to systemic sites [21]. In general, the process of metastasis takes place in an orderly fashion from the primary site to the regional SLNs before disseminating systematically. Occasionally, early blood-borne metastasis may occur.

The role of harvesting the SLNs is to provide accurate staging at the initial diagnosis of primary invasive melanoma of 1 mm or greater [22]. The staging procedure is often accurate, resulting in reduced morbidity and cost when compared with an elective lymph node dissection. Several recent studies have shown that SLN status is a strong and reliable prognostic indicator [23]. The IHC negative group can further be subgrouped when paraffin-embedded tissues are used [24]. Both SLN and polymerase chain reaction (PCR) negative patients enjoy survival approaching nearly 100%, indicating that indeed melanoma with no metastasis to the SLN(s) can potentially be cured. Patients who are SLN negative but PCR positive have a significantly high recurrence rate in comparison to the SLN negative and PCR negative group. When paraffin-embedded SLNs from 162 IHC-negative patients were further studied using multimarker real time PCR, 41 (25%) showed positive signals; 5-year rates of recurrence were 40%, 63% and 78% when SLNs expressed 1, 2, and  $\geq 3$  melanoma markers, respectively, versus only 4% for PCR-negative SLNs ( $p \leq 0.001$ ). This difference suggests that the IHC method fails to detect 25% of SLN micrometastasis. Thus, PCR is not only more sensitive than IHC in detection of micrometastasis in SLNs, but also clinically significant for recurrence. This is consistent with the high cure rate of patients with early invasive primary melanoma [25].

Early diagnosis of melanoma through education and surveillance should be encouraged. Since treatments for metastatic melanoma are still limited, it is imperative for oncologists to detect and resect an early cancer as soon as possible.

### Patterns of metastases in breast cancer

Autopsy studies of women dying of breast cancer suggest that widespread metastatic disease with bones (70%), lungs

(66%) and liver (61%) are the most common sites of spread. However, with early-stage breast cancer, the most common site for metastatic involvement is the regional nodal basin and specifically the SLN. In fact, the status of the SLN is the most powerful predictor of recurrence and survival in women with early-stage breast cancer. Lymph node staging is important in women with breast cancer in order to control local-regional disease, select patients for adjuvant therapy, identify the most important prognostic factor, and perhaps contribute to a survival benefit.

The SLN procedure in women with breast cancer has proven to be a win/win situation and very quickly has become the standard for the surgical approach to staging the axilla [26, 27]. Lymphatic mapping and SLN biopsy have proven to be a low morbidity procedure and at the same time provide the most accurate staging due to the fact that a more detailed examination of the SLN is now possible [28]. This more detailed examination of the SLN may include more sectioning, immunohistochemical staining or even RT-PCR assays for metastatic disease and provides the most sensitive and accurate staging information of the modal breast patients. Approximately 1356 patients with invasive breast cancer or ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS) have been registered to the two breast programs at the Moffitt Cancer Center (MCC) and the Lakeland Regional Cancer Center (LRCC) in Florida. Lymphatic mapping and SLN biopsy were performed as part of the primary treatment of the breast cancer, with a combination mapping technique using either a parenchymal breast injection or an injection into the subareolar plexus of radiocolloid and blue dye. The SLN was harvested, after which a complete lymph node dissection was performed, if the SLN was positive. Detailed examination of the SLN consisted of multiple sections and immunohistochemistry staining. More recently intra-operative RT-PCR using mammoglobin and cytokeratin markers have been evaluated. The success rate of SLN identification in the axilla was 98%. Detailed examination of the SLN resulted in 28.6% of the patients with metastases, 60% of whom had disease confined to the SLN. Of the 809 women who had a negative SLN biopsy, two experienced a regional nodal recurrence after a follow-up period of 60 months. Cytokeratin staining “upstages” approximately 10% of the node-negative population defined by routine histology [29]. Intra-operative RT-PCR analysis of the SLN through the Veridex GeneSearch study has the potential of giving the surgeon immediate feed-back as to the status of the SLN with the same sensitivity of standard pathology, avoiding a completion lymph node dissection as a second procedure.

In published studies, missed micrometastatic disease is correlated with a worse outcome. There have been 25 studies of the clinical relevance of missed micrometastatic disease in breast cancer over the last 50 years and 6/7 of the largest studies that actually have enough statistic power to

determine small differences in outcome have shown that patients upstaged after a more detailed examination of the regional basin or SLN have increased recurrence rates and poorer survival than patients who are not. In Germany, studies have shown that bone marrow micrometastases is additive to regional nodal staging, a finding that may be confirmed in the ACOSOG Z-10 study. Data would suggest that due to the more accurate nodal staging methods that are standard today, women with breast cancer that is staged as node-negative with the lymphatic mapping procedure will have a much better prognosis than women staged as node-negative with an axillary node dissection in the past. Lymphatic mapping and SLN biopsy have proven to be the least morbid and most accurate methods for performing regional nodal staging and are the standard of care for women with breast cancer. Bone marrow staging [30] and SLN staging may “ultrastage” the woman with breast cancer and begin to identify groups of women who may be spared the toxicity and expense of adjuvant chemotherapy.

### Patterns of metastasis in head and neck cancer

The lymphatic system of the head and neck is complicated [31–35]. An extensive analysis of 2044 medical records of patients with squamous cell carcinomas of the head and neck who had not received prior treatment led Lindberg to divide nine lymph node regions on each side and, additionally, the parited lymph nodes [36]. The lymph fluid of the upper aerodigestive tract is drained via about 300 regional cervical lymph nodes, which are divided according to the current classification established by Robbins [37] into nine lymph node levels (level I–VI).

Due to the fact that the prognosis of patients suffering from squamous cell carcinoma of the upper aerodigestive tract depends significantly on the presence or absence of lymph node metastasis, the question of detecting clinically occult lymph node metastases is still important concerning the management of the clinical N0 neck. The published rate of lymph node metastasis depends on the location of the primary tumor, with values from 12% to over 50% (median, 33%) [38–40]. Numerous authors favor elective treatment of the lymphatic region (neck dissection) if the presence of occult lymph node metastasis can be expected with a probability of 20% or more. However, other authors prefer to adopt a “wait and see” strategy, although this requires both great compliance from the patient and great expertise on the part of the responsible physician to identify metastasis early. Another argument in favor of elective neck dissection versus a “wait-and-see” strategy is the significant deterioration of the survival rate when neck dissection is due after clinical disease is detected [41–43]. The elective treatment of the regional lymphatic drainage can generally be performed either surgically or radiothera-

peutically. The choice of one of these procedures generally depends on the therapy of the primary tumor. An advantage of elective neck dissection over radiotherapy is that the histological examination of the neck dissection specimen can give important information for deciding therapy, as well as about the prognosis [44]. Thus, the sentinel node concept for squamous cell carcinomas of the upper aerodigestive tract is quite appealing. Contrary to what is done in cases of malignant melanoma and breast cancer, the technical performance of dynamic lymphoscintigraphy of the head and neck should not be limited to the isolated description of the injection point and the first draining lymph node station. An adequate evaluation and anatomic assignment of the draining lymph node station is improved by the additional description of the head and neck silhouette [45]. Furthermore, limits and pitfalls of SLNs for head and neck squamous carcinoma discussed elsewhere [46] illustrate that an advanced intranodal tumor growth with extracapsular metastatic spread, leads to a significant reduction of the radiotracer uptake [35, 47]. Even small, clinically unsuspected lymph nodes may reveal extracapsular tumor growth with resulting lack of radiopharmakon accumulation [48, 49]. The lymphatic drainage directions of the different primary tumor sites of the upper aerodigestive tract described by our group using the above mentioned method emphasize the validity of this procedure. The results correspond to the classic images of the regional lymphatic drainage. The dominating metastatic region of pharyngeal and laryngeal carcinomas is mainly level II and less commonly, level III. Carcinomas of the anterior oral cavity drain mostly into level I and less commonly into level II. Accordingly, neck dissection of these lymph node levels can be expected to include the majority of clinically occult metastases.

With this background, it must still be clarified whether the intraoperative identification of the radiolabeled SLN is appropriate to reduce the extent of selective neck dissection in the suspected N0 neck, or whether neck dissection can be completely avoided in the case of histologically-proven tumor-free SLN. Opponents of such a procedure argue that selective neck dissection already has a morbidity that must be considered. Supporters of sentinel lymphadenectomy stress both protecting the intact, i.e. non-metastatic, cervical lymph node systems and reducing the extent of surgery. Scarring contractures, paresthesia, and persisting lymph edemas can be reduced by a selective SLN dissection.

Current research aims to optimize surgical access to the SLNs. Alternative approaches, such as video-assisted endoscopic surgery techniques are very interesting as they are already established, especially in the fields of gynecology and visceral surgery [50–52]. The first results on endoscopically performed selective lymphadenectomy led to the assumption that this method of lymph node dissection could achieve some significance in the therapy of the clinical N0 neck, provided that it is based on the SLN concept [53].

However, the techniques would have to be optimized. Furthermore, prospectively collected data should be gathered and analyzed. Within such an investigation, it would make sense to examine frozen sections of the excised lymph node. Depending on the histopathological result, a surgical resection of the lymphatic drainage in the form of a selective neck dissection could then be indicated. At present, the technical diversity and importance of endoscopic lymphadenectomy in the neck shows scientific and clinical potential. The question about the significance of the procedure, however, can not yet be answered conclusively.

### Patterns of metastasis in upper GI cancer

The spreading pattern of upper GI cancer is not one of orderly progression. Understanding this pattern is essential to planning the therapeutic strategy. SLN mapping has provided us with the evidence-based information on the lymphatic drainage route from GI cancer. Although distant metastasis is associated with hematogenous mechanisms of cancer dissemination, some organ metastases are closely related to the lymphatic spread.

#### Lymphatic spread

Anatomical skip metastases were found in 50%–60% of esophageal cancers and 20–30% of gastric cancers in a retrospective analysis of the location of solitary metastases [54, 55]. Sano et al. reported that the perigastric nodal area close to the primary tumor is the first site of metastasis in 62% of gastric cancers, based on a retrospective analysis of cases of solitary metastasis [56]. From these clinical observations, extended radical procedures such as esophagectomy with three-field lymph node dissection and gastrectomy with D2 lymphadenectomy have become recognized as standard procedures in Japan, even for clinically node negative cases [57, 58]. However, a significant increase of morbidity and mortality after these invasive procedures was reported in randomized trials [59, 60]. To eliminate the need for highly invasive surgery in all cases, SLN mapping may be used to obtain individual information that can be used to modify the surgical procedure and other multi-disciplinary approaches.

Several studies supporting the validity of the SLN concept in upper GI cancers have been reported in the past few years [61–64]. The increasing prominence of endoscopic surgery since the early 1990's has changed surgical thinking in the field of GI surgery. Now the application of SLN mapping in the management of GI malignancies is a riveting topic in surgical oncology. Based on promising results from single institutional studies, multi-center prospective trials for SLN mapping for gastric cancer are on-going in Japan [65]. Lymphatic spread from Barrett's cancer is different than

that from squamous cell carcinoma in the same location. The incidence of anatomical skip metastases from Barrett's cancer is relatively low [66]. This discrepancy might be attributed to the anatomical and functional alterations of lymphatic drainage routes by associated chronic inflammation with gastro-esophageal reflux disease.

#### Distant organ and other metastases

In esophageal cancer, hematogenous metastasis is also as common as lymphatic spread, according to clinical experience and autopsy data [67, 68]. Intramural metastasis is the characteristic metastatic pattern of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma and closely related to lymphatic and distant dissemination and poor prognosis [69]. Gastric metastasis from esophageal cancer is also closely related to poor prognosis and to location of the primary lesion (middle thoracic location), size of tumor (>7 cm), histologic type (undifferentiated) and depth of invasion (T4) [70]. Sites of hematogenous recurrence in patients with esophageal cancer are related to the location of primary lesions. Lung metastases are associated with cervical lymph node metastases from upper esophageal cancer, and liver metastases are associated with thoracic metastases from lower esophageal cancer [71]. These observations suggest that the lymphogenous organ metastases exist in some of the patients with upper GI cancer. Yamagata et al. found lymphogenous liver metastasis by lymphaticovenous communication in an animal model [72]. This phenomenon was clinically supported by Kumagai et al, who demonstrated the correlation of liver metastasis and lymphatic advancement in gastric cancer [73]. Peritoneal dissemination is a common metastatic pattern of gastric cancer. Peritoneal dissemination is observed as a initial recurrence in 20–30% of patients who underwent curative surgery for gastric adenocarcinoma and is related to the diffuse type of the distal tumors [74]. Distant recurrence is related to the intestinal type of the proximal tumors [74]. The overall recurrence rate after curative surgery for early gastric cancer is generally low (1.9%). In this patient population, node-positive cases showed a relatively high rate of recurrence (10.7%) and differentiated tumors showed a 2.3% rate of hematogenous metastasis [75].

### Patterns of metastasis in colorectal cancer

Our knowledge of the patterns of metastasis in colorectal cancer is incomplete due to the limited resolution of imaging techniques, the possible sampling errors during surgical procedures, and the inclusion of patients with advanced disease in autopsy series. Almost 50% of patients with colorectal cancer have distant tumor spread at the time of their diagnosis. The most common sites for tumor spread are the regional

**Table 1** Metastasis from colorectal cancer in autopsy series [76]

Lymph nodes	52%
Liver only	26%
Lung only	10%
Liver and Lung	36%
Peritoneal	25%
Spleen and Heart	<10%
Brain, Kidney, Thyroid, Bone	<3%

lymph nodes, the liver, the lung, and the peritoneal cavity (as shown in Table 1) [76].

For patients without disseminated disease, metastasis to the regional lymph nodes is the most important prognostic factor. Many studies have demonstrated that adjuvant therapy improves survival in patients with lymph node metastasis. The risk of nodal metastasis increases with the level of tumor penetration in the bowel wall; it is approximately 10% for tumors penetrating into the submucosa, 22% for tumors penetrating into the muscularis propria, and 56% for tumors that reach the pericolic fat. Tumor grade also seems to have an impact on the risk of nodal metastasis; patients with poorly differentiated and undifferentiated tumors have a higher risk of nodal metastasis than patients with well or moderately differentiated tumors. Tumors with some histological features such as lymphatic vessel invasion and blood vessel invasion also have a higher risk of nodal metastasis than tumors without such histological features. The patterns of lymph node metastasis are different for tumors of the colon and rectum, and should therefore be considered separately. The regional lymph nodes in the colon are classified in four groups: the epicolic nodes, distributed along the vasa recta in the wall of the colon and epiploic appendices; the pericolic nodes, distributed along the marginal vessels; the intermediate nodes, distributed along the vessels, such as the sigmoidal, left colic, middle colic, and ileocolic; and the central or apical nodes, located along the inferior mesenteric and superior mesenteric vessels. Lymph node metastases in colon cancer occur primarily to the pericolic nodes (97% of patients with nodal metastasis), intermediate nodes (27% of patients with nodal metastasis) or central nodes (11% of patients with nodal metastasis) [77, 78].

Metastasis to the pericolic nodes occurs mostly within 7 cm from the primary tumor. The average distance of nodal metastasis from primary tumor increases with T stage; it is 2.5 cm for T1 tumors, 5 cm for T2 tumors, and 7 cm for T3 tumors. Metastases occurring 10 cm from the primary tumor are exceptional [77]. Skip metastasis to the central nodes without involvement of the pericolic lymph nodes occurs infrequently. In rectal cancer, the main lymphatic spread is upwards to the mesorectal lymph nodes located along the superior rectal vessels [79]. In most rectal cancer patients,

nodal metastasis lay within the mesorectum, 3 cm or less from the primary tumor, but in almost 25%, nodal metastasis occurs near the bifurcation of the superior rectal vessels [80]. As in colon cancer, lymphatic spread progresses upwards in an orderly fashion, but in some patients, spread can be discontinued [80]. The presence of nodal metastasis in the mesorectum distal to the primary tumor occurs in up to 20% of patients. Most of these metastases are located within 2 cm from the lower margin of the primary tumor; nodal metastases beyond 4 cm are exceptional [81–83].

Tumors in the lower third of the rectum can spread along the middle rectal vessels towards the internal ileal nodes. Metastasis to the internal ileal nodes occurs in 12% of patients with rectal cancer undergoing radical surgery and this proportion increases with tumor penetration in the rectal wall [84].

Tumors involving the anal canal can metastasize to the inguinal lymph nodes. The number of lymph nodes retrieved from surgical specimens and analyzed pathologically varies significantly. It depends primarily on the size of the tumor, the surgical technique and the diligence of the pathological exam [85].

The number of nodes harvested directly influences the staging of colorectal cancer; the higher the number of nodes retrieved, the higher the probability of finding nodal metastasis [86]. Data from several studies suggests that a minimum of 12 nodes should be analyzed for adequate staging [87]. Several studies have demonstrated that the total number of nodes retrieved had a significant impact on patient survival [88, 89]. Unfortunately, only 37% of patients undergoing curative surgery for colorectal cancer in the United States undergo adequate lymph node evaluation [90]. Analysis of the distribution of metastatic disease in autopsy series had contributed to the development of a cascade hypothesis that metastatic disease develops in discrete steps, first to the liver, then to the lung, and finally to other sites. In a series of 1541 autopsies from different centers in the United States and Europe, Weis et al. found that only 15% of patients without liver metastasis had metastasis to the lung or other organs, compared to 52% of patients with liver metastasis. Seven percent of patients without liver metastasis had metastasis to distant organs other than lung, compared to 27% of patients with liver metastasis, and 55% of patients with liver and lung metastasis [91]. According to the cascade hypothesis, the distribution of metastasis in distant organs would be correlated with target organ blood-flow, with the exception of the bone marrow and thyroid.

The pattern of distant metastasis is slightly different in patients with rectal cancer than in patients with colon cancer. In rectal cancer patients, metastasis to the liver is as common as metastasis to the lung. The high frequency of lung metastasis has been attributed to the potential hematogenous spread of distal rectal cancer through the inferior iliac veins and the

inferior vena cava. Animal studies have demonstrated a streamlined flow of portal blood to the liver. Blood derived from the superior mesenteric vein tends to flow through the right lobe of the liver, whereas blood derived from the splenic and the inferior mesenteric veins flows preferentially through the left lobe of the liver. This streamlined flow has been thought to be the potential cause of the preferential location of the liver metastasis within the liver—depending on the site of origin of the tumor in the different segments of the large bowel. Several studies have demonstrated that metastases from colorectal cancer are twice as common in the right lobe of the liver than in the left, but this predominance is independent of the location of the primary tumor [92]. The role of SLNs in staging of colorectal cancer will be discussed in this issue (Aikon et al. pg 269–277).

### Patterns of non small cell lung cancer

Non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) now accounts for nearly 85% of all newly diagnosed lung cancers in the United States. Lung cancer has recently eclipsed hepatocellular carcinoma as the dominant cancer killer worldwide, with an estimated 1.2 million lives claimed annually. In the United States, lung cancer kills more people than colorectal, prostate and breast cancers combined. In 2004, approximately 168,000 people were diagnosed and approximately 155,000 patients succumbed to their disease for an average 14.5% survival rate. Survival remains comparatively abysmal for this dominant cancer killer worldwide, due to late stage at diagnosis, comparatively ineffective systemic control agents and lack of better understanding of the molecular pathogenesis of the disease [93].

Recently, much discussion has taken place regarding the role of spiral CT screening for at risk populations. A large randomized prospective trial has been initiated comparing spiral CT to chest X ray. Fifty-thousand patients have been enrolled countrywide within the past year and half. This new focus on early detection should lead to earlier stage at diagnosis and higher chance of cure. In concert with this have been the developments of minimally invasive surgical techniques for anatomic resections and the emerging role of SLN mapping for intra-operative staging and decision making [94]. Regional and mediastinal nodal involvement in lung cancer portends a worse survival. In fact, in the later 1980's to early 1990's, intra-operative determination of mediastinal nodal disease (N2 stage IIIa) was found to have less than 15% long-term survival despite "complete" resection. This led several investigators to study the use of induction chemotherapy and chemo-radiotherapy for locally advanced, stage IIIa disease. This has become, in most centers, the accepted new standard of care [95–97]. Yet, even with induction regimens and successful complete resections, positive long-term outcomes

remain challenging due to the innate biologic aggressiveness of most NSCLCs (in particular, adenocarcinomas) and their propensity for early dissemination. As a result, newer techniques for accurate intra-operative and pre-operative staging are required and emerging. PET scanning and CT/PET in lung cancer, like in many solid organ cancers, has become an important tool for accurate non-invasive staging. In most cases of NSCLC, PET upstages at least 20–25% of patients, thereby preventing futile thoracotomies. Intra-operative SLN staging may be used in addition to pre-operative PET and PET/CT to identify patients with regional (hilar N1) and locally advanced (ipsilateral mediastinal N2) prior to resection to allow more accurate staging and use of combined modality therapies [98].

Over the past five years, several investigators and thoracic surgeons, especially groups in Japan and the United States [99–103], have been pioneering the use of intra-operative SLN mapping for early stage NSCLC patients. As a whole, they have developed several techniques that now focus on the use of direct primary tumoral injection with typically radiolabeled technetium colloid as well as trypan blue dyes to map nodal patterns and to determine feasibility and locations of SLN. Numerous reports over the past few years have demonstrated refinements in techniques and have validated the concept of SLN mapping. In most series, that unfortunately are small phase I pilot studies, SLNs are identified in the vast majority of cases and confirmed intra-operatively by both frozen section H&E staining and scintigraphy. The success rates vary from 60–95% in these small series. What the true role is for SLN mapping in NSCLC remains controversial. Unlike in breast and melanoma surgery, where sentinel node determination has been well studied and can preclude larger more debilitating regional node dissections, in the chest, most node dissections, even radical mediastinal lymphadenectomies, are reasonably well tolerated. Yet, the ability to determine by SLN testing the rational need for complete hilar or mediastinal node dissection especially by minimally invasive surgical techniques is attractive. Undoubtedly, as experience grows with the use of SLN detection, fewer radical node dissections will be done or required for truly early stage lesions (T1N0 Stage Ia).

In summary, the role of SLN mapping in NSCLC is evolving. Early pilot studies have confirmed the feasibility and general reliability of the technique (typically incorporating a technetium colloid with intra-operative scintigraphy detection) but routine implementation has yet to occur. The advantages of SLN for lung cancer may be less critical than for other surgical oncologic procedures in which regional node dissections have more morbidity (lymph edema, nerve injury, etc). Yet, as the technique becomes more refined and more thoracic surgeons become versed in SLN detection, it is likely that it will become an important part of the thoracic surgeon's armamentarium. Advances in both the clinical

delivery of care, earlier detection, and more effective, rationally designed systemic targeted agents hold great promise for increasing survival rates for patients with NSCLC.

### Why is papillary thyroid cancer to the regional nodes relatively “benign”?

As a human clinical model of a highly selective, organ-specific lymph node metastatic pattern, papillary thyroid carcinoma in young “low risk” patients is very pertinent. These young patients have a pattern of frequent (75%) regional nodal metastases when routine nodal resections are performed, but uncommon (<3%) distant metastases, (entirely confined to the lung when they occur), and a 99% disease-free survival rate at 20 years after treatment [13]. This selective nodal metastatic pattern is mimicked in carcinoid and islet cell tumors of the foregut and midgut organs such as the stomach, duodenum, pancreas, and intestine [14]. Nodal metastases are required to even define carcinoma in many pancreatic islet cell tumors, since histological criteria alone do not clearly differentiate malignant from benign. Lymph node metastases are common but are not controlling influences on survival, since that is determined entirely by distant vital organ metastases, particularly the liver in carcinoid tumors or islet cell cancers, or the lung in low-risk thyroid cancers. This pattern of specific “lymph node only” metastases without the poor prognosis arising from vital organ metastases (liver, lung, brain) mimics the animal research studies mentioned [1, 11, 104–115] that elaborate organ-specific metastatic patterns.

Dramatic clinical evidence of the selective nodal metastatic pattern exists in differentiated thyroid cancer in younger, low-risk patients [13]. None of the published risk group definitions indicate that lymph node metastases have a relationship to survival. This unique clinical situation of very frequent nodal metastases but excellent survival is replicated in carcinoid cancers of the gastro-intestinal tract [14]. The frequency of lymph node metastasis without distant organ metastasis in these two human cancers is consistent with the data from laboratory and animal research. It may also help us to understand the role of lymph node metastasis in a more scientifically logical way.

Despite frequent differentiated thyroid cancer lymph node metastases detected histologically, few patients actually develop clinical regional nodal metastases. However, 25% of young patients present because of clinically palpable cervical metastases from small occult thyroid cancers. The same is true for carcinoid tumors of the small intestine, where the desmoplastic reaction and bulk of lymph node metastases causes clinical bowel obstruction, even when the primary carcinoid tumor is very small and asymptomatic. Jejunal (midgut) carcinoid primary tumors 5 mm or less in diameter have

a lymph node metastasis rate of 70%, and carcinoids between 5 and 10 mm in diameter have rate of 94%. The organ specificity of nodal metastases is here again uniquely displayed, but also occurs in other cancers.

### Why does sarcoma metastasize via the vascular system rather than the lymphatic system?

Sarcoma is unique from other types of cancer in that its prognosis depends on its grade rather than its specific histological type. Except for epitheloid sarcoma and angiosarcoma, which may spread to regional lymph nodes, most of the other types, including rhabdomyosarcoma, leiomyosarcoma, chondrosarcoma, liposarcoma, synovial sarcoma, fibrosarcoma, lymphangiosarcoma and fibrous histiocytoma, spread via the vascular system to the lungs most of the time [116]. A recent study by Billingsley [117] has shown that pulmonary metastasis is the predominant distant site of metastasis (23%), and that metastatic cells would spread via the venous circulation and settle in the lungs as metastatic foci. Genetically, sarcomas fall into two main categories. One category is characterized by a tumor-specific translocation that seems to be central to the pathogenesis of the tumor, and indeed is being incorporated as diagnostic criteria. The other category is characterized not by recurring, tumor-specific genetic alteration, but by complex karyotypes that are characteristic of severe genetic and chromosomal instability. Most sarcomas have abnormalities in the RB or p53 gene. Specific genetic alteration leads to activation of specific tyrosine kinase growth-factor receptors, sarcomas have been successfully treated with drugs that specifically inhibit the activated kinase receptor [118].

Recent molecular studies have yielded greater insight to the biology of sarcoma, with even a therapeutic target against the *c-kit* receptor as in gastrointestinal stromal tumor (GIST) [119]. Additional studies using recently identified molecules of lymphangiogenesis may be applied to detect if such molecules are indeed absent in sarcoma. It is crucial to understand the molecular mechanisms of why sarcoma, unlike melanoma or carcinoma, seldom spreads through the lymphatic system.

### Conclusion

In human solid cancer, lymph node status is the most important prognostic indicator for the clinical outcome of patients. Recent developments in the SLN concept and technology have resulted in the application of this innovative approach to define the first draining or SLN to which the cancer may have metastasized [22, 24]. The underlying thesis in solid cancer biology is that metastasis generally begins with an



orderly progression, spreading through the lymphatic channels to the SLN in the nearest LN basin. Thus, the logical approach is to harvest that specific SLN for thorough analysis. The critical issue to be defined is the role of the SLN in the process of lymphatic metastasis. Over the past two decades, advances have been made in understanding the functional anatomical, cellular and molecular aspects of the lympho-vascular system. Significant advances have been made in the study of molecular events of metastasis through the lympho-vascular system. Advances in SLN technology have made it possible to study micrometastasis in the SLN. Follow-up data has shown that about 80% of metastasis follows an orderly pattern of progression via the lymphatic network, whereas about 20% of the time, systemic metastasis occurs, bypassing the lymphatic system. Malignant melanoma has been proven to be the most ideal tumor model for studying the role of the SLN. Subsequently, selective SLN procedure has been applied to breast cancer, colon cancer and other types of solid cancer. Beyond the technical aspects of harvesting the SLN, the implication of micrometastasis remains to be defined. Harvesting the SLNs makes it useful as a clinical staging procedure, and opens up new opportunities to study micrometastasis and its evolution within the SLNs. The basic mechanisms of cancer metastasis through the lympho-vascular system form the basis for rational therapy against the progression of metastasis and the molecules involved in the process of metastasis. New molecular and genetic tools may be used to understand the mechanisms of lymphatic and hemotogenous routes of metastasis. If such mechanisms can be understood, new therapeutic advances may be developed to prevent the process of micrometastasis. Research on multifaceted aspects of micrometastasis including proliferation and differentiation of various clones from the primary tumor, the acquisition of adhesion molecules, the process of lymphangiogenesis versus angiogenesis, and host interaction with the microscopic tumor may lead to better understanding of mechanisms of metastasis and help us to develop therapeutic strategies to prevent the process of micrometastasis. Rather than targeting larger tumor burdens such as Stage IV disease, targeted adjuvant clinical trials can be developed for high-risk patients after they have had a definitive surgical resection.

Thus, in the future, we may be able to abandon some aspects of our surgical or systemic attack on development of clinical cancer metastases, such as lymph node removal or use of toxic chemotherapy, and instead, consider more physiological and less traumatic approaches to blocking the highly manipulable multi-step physiological process of metastatic progression. The future biological models of clinical cancer behavior will have to incorporate aspects of understanding the metastatic cascade, and particularly the host factors that permit progressive growth to clinical metastases.

## References

- Gervasoni JE, Jr., Taneja C, Chung MA, Cady B: Biologic and clinical significance of lymphadenectomy. *Surg Clin North Am* 80(6): 1631–1673, 2000
- Zurrada S, Orecchia R, Galimberti V, et al.: Axillary radiotherapy instead of axillary dissection: A randomized trial. Italian Oncological Senology Group. *Ann Surg Oncol* 9(2): 156–160, 2002
- Louis-Sylvestre C, Clough K, Asselain B, et al.: Axillary treatment in conservative management of operable breast cancer: Dissection or radiotherapy? Results of a randomized study with 15 years of follow-up. *J Clin Oncol* 22(1): 97–101, 2004
- Naik AM, Fey J, Gemignani M, et al.: The risk of axillary relapse after sentinel lymph node biopsy for breast cancer is comparable with that of axillary lymph node dissection: A follow-up study of 4008 procedures. *Ann Surg* 240(3): 462–468, 2004; discussion 468–471.
- Coburn NG, Chung MA, Fulton J, Cady B: Decreased breast cancer tumor size, stage, and mortality in rhode island: An example of a well-screened population. *Cancer Control* 11(4): 222–230, 2004
- Heimann R, Munsell M, McBride R: Mammographically detected breast cancers and the risk of axillary lymph node involvement: Is it just the tumor size? *Cancer J* 8(3): 276–281, 2002
- Norden T, Thurfjell E, Hasselgren M, et al.: Mammographic screening for breast cancer. What cancers do we find? *Eur J Cancer* 33(4): 624–628, 1997
- Bucchi L, Barchielli A, Ravaioli A, et al.: Screen-detected vs clinical breast cancer: The advantage in the relative risk of lymph node metastases decreases with increasing tumour size. *Br J Cancer* 92(1): 156–161, 2005
- Cote R, Peterson HF, Chaiwun B, Gelber RD, Goldhirsch A, Castiglione-Gertsch M, Gusterson B, Neville AM: Role of immunohistochemical detection of lymph node metastases in management of breast cancer. International Breast Cancer Study Group *Lancet* 354(9182): 896–900, 1999
- Hellman S: The natural history of small breast cancers: The David A. Karnovsky Memorial Lecture. *J Clin Oncol* 12: 2229–2234, 1994
- Halsted WS.: The results of radical operations for the cure of carcinoma of the breast. *Ann Surg* 46: 1–9, 1907
- Tabar L, Yen MF, Vitak B, Chen HH, Smith RA, Duffy SW: Mammography service screening and mortality in breast cancer patients: 20-year follow-up before and after introduction of screening. *Lancet* 361(9367): 1405–1410, 2003
- Cady B.: Presidential address: Beyond risk groups—a new look at differentiated thyroid cancer. *Surgery* 124(6): 947–957, 1998
- Modlin IM, Lye KD, Kidd M: A 5-decade analysis of 13,715 carcinoid tumors. *Cancer* 97(4): 934–59, 2003
- Mullen JT, Wang H, Yao JC, et al.: Carcinoid tumors of the duodenum. *Surgery* 138(6): 971–978, 2005
- Balch CM, AC B, Soong S, Atkins MB, Cascinelli N, et al.: Final version of the american joint committee on cancer staging system for cutaneous melanoma. *Journal of Clinical Oncology* 19(16): 3635–3648, 2001
- Reintgen DS, Cruse CW, Wells K, et al.: The orderly progression of melanoma nodal metastases. *Ann Surg* 220: 759–767, 1994
- Allen PJ, Coit DG: The surgical management of metastatic melanoma. *Ann Surg Oncol* 9(8): 762–770, 2002
- Patel JK, Didolkar MS, Pickren JW, Moore RH: Metastatic pattern of malignant melanoma. A study of 216 autopsy cases. *Am J Surg* 135(6): 807–810, 1978
- AJCC: Cancer Staging Manual, 6 ed. New York: Springer, 2003. (Page DL, Fleming ID, Fritz AG, et al., eds.)

21. Leong SPL: Paradigm of metastasis for melanoma and breast cancer based on the sentinel lymph node experience. *Ann Surg Oncol* 11(3 Suppl): 192S–197S, 2004
22. Leong SPL: Sentinel lymph node mapping and selective lymphadenectomy: The standard of care for melanoma. *Current Treatment Options in Oncology* 5(3): 185–194, 2004
23. Leong SP, Kashani-Sabet M, Desmond RA, et al.: Clinical significance of occult metastatic melanoma in sentinel lymph nodes and other high-risk factors based on long-term follow-up. *World J Surg* 29(6): 683–691, 2005
24. Morton DL, Hoon DS, Cochran AJ, Turner RR, Essner R, Takeuchi H, Wanek LA, Glass E, Foshag LJ, Hsueh EC, Bilchik AJ, Elashoff D, Elashoff R: Lymphatic mapping and sentinel lymphadenectomy for early-stage melanoma: Therapeutic utility and implications of nodal microanatomy and molecular staging for improving the accuracy of detection of nodal micrometastases. *Ann Surg* 238(4): 538–549, 2003; discussion 549–550.
25. Reintgen D, Balch CM, Kirkwood J, Ross M: Recent advances in the care of the patient with malignant melanoma. *Ann Surg* 225(1): 1–14, 1997
26. Albertini JJ, Lyman GH, Cox C, Yeatman T, Balducci L, Ku N, Shivers S, Berman C, Wells K, Rapaport D, Shons A, Horton J, Greenberg H, Nicosia S, Clark R, Cantor A, Reintgen DS: Lymphatic mapping and sentinel node biopsy in the patient with breast cancer. *JAMA* 276(22): 1818–1822, 1996
27. Krag D, Weaver D, Ashikaga T, Moffat F, Klimberg VS, Shriver C, Feldman S, Kusminsky R, Gadd M, Kuhn J, Harlow S, Beitsch P: The sentinel node in breast cancer—A multicenter validation study. *N Engl J Med* 339(14): 941–946, 1998
28. Wilke L, McCall L, Posther K, Whitworth PW, Reintgen DS, Leitch AM, Gabram SG, Lucci A, Cox CE, Hunt KK, Herndon JE 2nd, Giuliano AE: Surgical complications associated with sentinel lymph node biopsy: Results from a prospective international cooperative group trial. *Ann Surg Oncol* 13(4): 491–500, 2006.
29. Reintgen D, Giuliano R, Cox C: Lymphatic mapping and sentinel lymph node biopsy for breast cancer. *Cancer J* 8(Suppl 1): S15–S21, 2002
30. Fields KK, Elfenbein GJ, Trudeau WL, Perkins JB, Janssen WE, Moscinski LC: Clinical significance of bone marrow metastases as detected using the polymerase chain reaction in patients with breast cancer undergoing high-dose chemotherapy and autologous bone marrow transplantation. *J Clin Oncol* 14(6): 1868–1876, 1996
31. Fisch UP: Cervical Lymphography in Cases of Laryngo-Pharyngeal Carcinoma. *J Laryngol Otol* 78: 715–726, 1964
32. Fisch UP: Lymphographische untersuchung uber das zervikale Lymphsystem 1966; Karger(Basel)
33. Schwab W, Winkel Kz: [The current status of scintigraphy of the cervical lymphatic system]. *Nucl Med (Stuttg)* 6(2): 234–249, 1967
34. Zita G: [Contribution on cervical lymphoscintigraphy]. *Fortschr Geb Rontgenstr Nuklearmed* 107(5): 644–654, 1967
35. Michailov V, Mlatschkov C: [Evaluation of cervical lymph node scintigraphy]. *Radiobiol Radiother (Berl)* 10(6): 769–777, 1969
36. Lindberg R: Distribution of cervical lymph node metastases from squamous cell carcinoma of the upper respiratory and digestive tracts. *Cancer* 29(6): 1446–1449, 1972
37. Robbins KT, Clayman G, Levine PA, Medina J, Sessions R, Shaha A, Som P, Wolf GT; American Head and Neck Society; American Academy of Otolaryngology–Head and Neck Surgery: Neck dissection classification update: Revisions proposed by the American head and neck society and the American academy of Otolaryngology-head and neck surgery. *Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 128(7): 751–758, 2002
38. Hosal AS, Carrau RL, Johnson JT, Myers EN: Selective neck dissection in the management of the clinically node-negative neck. *Laryngoscope* 110(12): 2037–2040, 2000
39. van den Brekel MW, van der Waal I, Meijer CJ, Freeman JL, Castelijns JA, Snow GB: The incidence of micrometastases in neck dissection specimens obtained from elective neck dissections. *Laryngoscope* 106(8): 987–991 1996
40. Teichgraeber JF, Clairmont AA: The incidence of occult metastases for cancer of the oral tongue and floor of the mouth: Treatment rationale. *Head Neck Surg* 7(1): 15–21, 1984
41. Gavilan J, Gavilan C, Herranz J: The neck in supraglottic cancer. In: Smee R, Bridger G, (Hrsg.) (eds.) *Laryngeal cancer*. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 576–581, 1994
42. DeSanto LW, Magrina C, O’Fallon WM: The “second” side of the neck in supraglottic cancer. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 102(4): 351–361, 1990
43. Godden DR, Ribeiro NF, Hassanein K, Langton SG: Recurrent neck disease in oral cancer. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 60(7): 748–753, 2002; discussion 753–755.
44. Werner J, Davis R: *Metastasis in Head and Neck Cancer*: Springer, Heidelberg, in press.
45. Werner J, Dunne A, Brandt D, et al.: Untersuchungen zum Stellenwert der sentinel lymphonodektomie bei Karzinomen des Pharynx und Larynx. *Laryngohinootologie* 78: 663–670, 1999
46. Werner JA: Selective sentinel Lymphadenectomy for head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. In: Leong S, Kitagawa Y, Kitajima M (eds.) *Selective Sentinel Lymphadenectomy for Human Solid Cancer*. Springer 2004
47. Dunne AA, Jungclas H, Werner JA: Intraoperative sentinel node biopsy in patients with squamous cell carcinomas of the head and neck—Experiences using a well-type NaI detector for gamma ray spectroscopy. *Otolaryngol Pol* 55(2): 127–134, 2001
48. Croce A, Bianchedi M, Moretti A, Minni A: [The surgical treatment of laterocervical adenopathies due to malignant cervicofacial tumors]. *G Chir* 13(11–12): 553–556, 1992
49. Coatesworth AP, MacLennan K: Squamous cell carcinoma of the upper aerodigestive tract: The prevalence of microscopic extracapsular spread and soft tissue deposits in the clinically N0 neck. *Head Neck* 24(3): 258–261, 2002
50. Beger HG, Schwarz A, Bergmann U: Progress in gastrointestinal tract surgery: The impact of gastrointestinal endoscopy. *Surg Endosc* 17(2): 342–350, 2003
51. Liu Y, Zhang X: [Analysis of complications about gynecologic endoscopic procedures in 14 hospitals of Shanghai during 1992–2001]. *Zhonghua Fu Chan Ke Za Zhi* 37(11): 646–649, 2002
52. Einarsson JI, Young A, Tsien L, Sangi-Haghpeykar H: Perceived proficiency in endoscopic techniques among senior obstetrics and gynecology residents. *J Am Assoc Gynecol Laparosc* 9(2): 158–64, 2002
53. Werner JA, Sapundzhiev NR, Teymoortash A, Dunne AA, Behr T, Folz BJ: Endoscopic sentinel lymphadenectomy as a new diagnostic approach in the N0 neck. *Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol* 261(9): 463–468, 2004
54. Kosaka T, Ueshige N, Sugaya J, Nakano Y, Akiyama T, Tomita F, Saito H, Kita I, Takashima S: Lymphatic routes of the stomach demonstrated by gastric carcinomas with solitary lymph node metastasis. *Surg Today* 29(8): 695–700, 1999
55. Matsubara T, Ueda M, Kaisaki S, Kuroda J, Uchida C, Kokudo N, Takahashi T, Nakajima T, Yanagisawa A: Localization of initial lymph node metastasis from carcinoma of the thoracic esophagus. *Cancer* 89(9): 1869–1873, 2000
56. Sano T, Katai H, Sasako M, et al.: Gastric lymphadenectomy and detection of sentinel nodes. *Recent Results Cancer Res* 157: 253–258, 2000

57. Akiyama H, Tsurumaru M, Udagawa H, Kajiyama Y: Radical lymph node dissection for cancer of the thoracic esophagus. *Ann Surg* 220(3): 364–372, 1994; discussion 372–373.
58. Maruyama K, Gunven P, Okabayashi K, Sasako M, Kinoshita T: Lymph node metastases of gastric cancer. General pattern in 1931 patients. *Ann Surg* 210(5): 596–602, 1989
59. Bonenkamp JJ, Hermans J, Sasako M, van de Velde CJ, Welvaart K, Songun I, Meyer S, Plukker JT, Van Elk P, Obertop H, Gouma DJ, van Lanschot JJ, Taat CW, de Graaf PW, von Meyenfeldt MF, Tilanus H; Dutch Gastric Cancer Group: Extended lymph-node dissection for gastric cancer. *N Engl J Med* 340(12): 908–914, 1999
60. Hulscher J, van Sandick J, de Boer A, Wijnhoven BP, Tijssen JG, Fockens P, Stalmeier PF, ten Kate FJ, van Dekken H, Obertop H, Tilanus HW, van Lanschot JJ: Extended transthoracic resection compared with limited transhiatal resection for adenocarcinoma of the esophagus. *N Eng J Med* 347: 1662–1669, 2002
61. Kitagawa Y, Fujii H, Mukai M, Kubota T, Ando N, Watanabe M, Ohgami M, Otani Y, Ozawa S, Hasegawa H, Furukawa T, Kumai K, Ikeda T, Nakahara T, Kubo A, Kitajima M: The role of the sentinel lymph node in gastrointestinal cancer. *Surg Clin North Am* 80(6): 1799–809, 2000
62. Hiratsuka M, Miyashiro I, Ishikawa O, Furukawa H, Motomura K, Ohigashi H, Kameyama M, Sasaki Y, Kabuto T, Ishiguro S, Imaoka S, Koyama H: Application of sentinel node biopsy to gastric cancer surgery. *Surgery* 129(3): 335–340, 2001
63. Kitagawa Y, Fujii H, Mukai M, Kubota T, Otani Y, Kitajima M: Radio-guided sentinel node detection for gastric cancer. *Br J Surg* 89(5): 604–608, 2002
64. Miwa K, Kinami S, Taniguchi K, Fushida S, Fujimura T, Nonomura A: Mapping sentinel nodes in patients with early-stage gastric carcinoma. *Br J Surg* 90(2): 178–182, 2003
65. Kitagawa Y, Kitano S, Kubota T, Kumai K, Otani Y, Saikawa Y, Yoshida M, Kitajima M: Minimally invasive surgery for gastric cancer—Toward a confluence of two major streams: A review. *Gastric Cancer* 8(2): 103–110, 2005
66. Feith M, Stein HJ, Siewert JR: Pattern of lymphatic spread of Barrett's cancer. *World J Surg* 27(9): 1052–1057, 2003
67. Bhansali MS, Fujita H, Kakegawa T, Yamana H, Ono T, Hikita S, Toh Y, Fujii T, Tou U, Shirouzu K: Pattern of recurrence after extended radical esophagectomy with three-field lymph node dissection for squamous cell carcinoma in the thoracic esophagus. *World J Surg* 21(3): 275–281, 1997
68. Mafune KI, Tanaka Y, Takubo K: Autopsy findings in patients with esophageal carcinoma: Comparison between resection and nonresection groups. *J Surg Oncol* 74(3): 196–200, 2000
69. Yuasa N, Miyake H, Yamada T, Oda K, Nimura Y, Nagasaka T, Hattori T: Prognostic significance of the location of intramural metastasis in patients with esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. *Langenbecks Arch Surg* 389(2): 122–127, 2004
70. Saito T, Iizuka T, Kato H, Watanabe H: Esophageal carcinoma metastatic to the stomach. A clinicopathologic study of 35 cases. *Cancer* 56(9): 2235–2241, 1985
71. Kato H, Miyazaki T, Nakajima M, Sohda M, Fukai Y, Masuda N, Fukuchi M, Manda R, Tsukada K, Kuwano H: Prediction of hematogenous recurrence in patients with esophageal carcinoma. *Jpn J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 51(11): 599–608, 2003
72. Yamagata K, Kumagai K, Shimizu K, Masuo K, Nishida Y, Yasui A: Gastrointestinal cancer metastasis and lymphogenous spread: Viewpoint of animal models of lymphatic obstruction. *Jpn J Clin Oncol* 28(2): 104–106, 1998
73. Kumagai K, Tanaka T, Yamagata K, Yokoyama N, Shimizu K: Liver metastasis in gastric cancer with particular reference to lymphatic advancement. *Gastric Cancer* 4(3): 150–155, 2001
74. D'Angelica M, Gonen M, Brennan MF, Turnbull AD, Bains M, Karpeh MS: Patterns of initial recurrence in completely resected gastric adenocarcinoma. *Ann Surg* 240(5): 808–816, 2004
75. Sano T, Sasako M, Kinoshita T, Maruyama K: Recurrence of early gastric cancer. Follow-up of 1475 patients and review of the Japanese literature. *Cancer* 72(11): 3174–3178, 1993
76. Niederhuber JE: Colon and rectum cancer. Patterns of spread and implications for workup. *Cancer* 71(12 Suppl): 4187–4192, 1993
77. Hida J, Yasutomi M, Maruyama T, Fujimoto K, Uchida T, Okuno K: The extent of lymph node dissection for colon carcinoma: The potential impact on laparoscopic surgery. *Cancer* 80(2): 188–192, 1997
78. Hirokazu Y, Sawai K, Taniguchi H: Analysis of vascular anatomy and lymph node metastasis warrants radical segmental bowel resection for colon cancer. *World J Surg* 21: 109–115, 1997
79. Grinnell R: The lymphatic and venous spread of carcinoma of the rectum. *Cancer Supplement* 116: 200–216, 1942
80. Steup WH, Moriya Y, van de Velde CJ: Patterns of lymphatic spread in rectal cancer. A topographical analysis on lymph node metastases. *Eur J Cancer* 38(7): 911–918, 2002
81. Heald RJ, Husband EM, Ryall RD: The mesorectum in rectal cancer surgery—the clue to pelvic recurrence? *Br J Surg* 69(10): 613–616, 1982
82. Wang Z, Zhou ZG, Wang C, Zhao GP, Chen YD, Gao HK, Zheng XL, Wang R, Chen DY, Liu WP: Microscopic spread of low rectal cancer in regions of mesorectum: Pathologic assessment with whole-mount sections. *World J Gastroenterol* 10(20): 2949–2953, 2004
83. Koh DM, Brown G, Temple L, Blake H, Raja A, Toomey P, Bett N, Farhat S, Norman AR, Daniels I, Husband JE: Distribution of mesorectal lymph nodes in rectal cancer: In vivo MR imaging compared with histopathological examination. Initial observations. *Eur Radiol* 15(8): 1650–1657, 2005
84. Hida J, Yasutomi M, Fujimoto K, Maruyama T, Okuno K, Shindo K: Does lateral lymph node dissection improve survival in rectal carcinoma? Examination of node metastases by the clearing method. *Cancer Supplement* 184: 475–480, 1997
85. Thorn C, Woodcock N, Scott N, Verbeke C, Scott SB, Ambrose NS: What factors affect lymph node yield in surgery for rectal cancer? *Colorectal Dis* 6: 356–361, 2004
86. Pheby DF, Levine DF, Pitcher RW, Shepherd NA: Lymph node harvests directly influence the staging of colorectal cancer: Evidence from a regional audit. *J Clin Pathol* 57(1): 43–47, 2004
87. Law CH, Wright FC, Rapanos T, Alzahrani M, Hanna SS, Khalifa M, Smith AJ: Impact of lymph node retrieval and pathological ultra-staging on the prognosis of stage II colon cancer. *J Surg Oncol* 84(3): 120–126, 2003
88. Le Voyer TE, Sigurdson ER, Hanlon AL, Mayer RJ, Macdonald JS, Catalano PJ, Haller DG: Colon cancer survival is associated with increasing number of lymph nodes analyzed: A secondary survey of intergroup trial INT-0089. *J Clin Oncol* 21(15): 2912–2919, 2003
89. Gunderson LL, Sargent DJ, Tepper JE, Wolmark N, O'Connell MJ, Begovic M, Allmer C, Colangelo L, Smalley SR, Haller DG, Martenson JA, Mayer RJ, Rich TA, Ajani JA, Macdonald JS, Willett CG, Goldberg RM: Impact of T and N stage and treatment on survival and relapse in adjuvant rectal cancer: A pooled analysis. *J Clin Oncol* 22(10): 1785–1796, 2004
90. Baxter NN, Virnig DJ, Rothenberger DA, Morris AM, Jessurun J, Virnig BA: Lymph node evaluation in colorectal cancer patients: A population-based study. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 97(3): 219–225, 2005

91. Weiss L, Grundmann E, Torhorst J, Hartveit F, Moberg I, Eder M, Fenoglio-Preiser CM, Napier J, Horne CHW, Lopez MJ, Shwo-Dunn RI, Sugar J, Davies JD, Day DW, Harlos JP: Haematogenous metastatic patterns in colonic carcinoma: An analysis of 1541 necropsies. *J Pathol* 150(3): 195–203, 1986
92. Wigmore SJ, Madhavan K, Redhead DN, Currie EJ, Garden OJ: Distribution of colorectal liver metastases in patients referred for hepatic resection. *Cancer* 89(2): 285–287, 2000
93. Edwards BK, Brown ML, Wingo PA, Howe HL, Ward E, Ries LA, Schrag D, Jamison PM, Jemal A, Wu XC, Friedman C, Harlan L, Warren J, Anderson RN, Pickle LW: Annual report to the nation on the status of cancer, 1975–2002, featuring population-based trends in cancer treatment. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 97(19): 1407–1427, 2005
94. Henschke CI, Shaham D, Yankelevitz DF, Altorki NK: CT screening for lung cancer: Past and ongoing studies. *Semin Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 17(2): 99–106, 2005
95. Burkes RL, Shepherd FA, Blackstein ME, Goldberg ME, Waters PF, Patterson GA, Todd T, Pearson FG, Jones D, Farooq S, McLaughlin J, Ginsberg RJ: Induction chemotherapy with mitomycin, vindesine, and cisplatin for stage IIIA (T1–3, N2) unresectable non-small-cell lung cancer: Final results of the Toronto phase II trial. *Lung Cancer* 47(1): 103–109, 2005
96. Albain KS, Rusch VW, Crowley JJ, Rice TW, Turrisi AT 3rd, Weick JK, Lonchyna VA, Presant CA, McKenna RJ, Gandara DR: Concurrent cisplatin/etoposide plus chest radiotherapy followed by surgery for stages IIIA (N2) and IIIB non-small-cell lung cancer: Mature results of Southwest Oncology Group phase II study 8805. *J Clin Oncol* 13(8): 1880–1892, 1995
97. McKenna RJ, Jr., Houck W, Fuller CB: Video-assisted thoracic surgery lobectomy: Experience with 1,100 cases. *Ann Thorac Surg* 81(2): 421–425, 2006; discussion 425–426.
98. Dietlein M, Weber K, Gandjour A, Moka D, Theissen P, Lauterbach KW, Schicha H: Cost-effectiveness of FDG-PET for the management of potentially operable non-small cell lung cancer: Priority for a PET-based strategy after nodal-negative CT results. *Eur J Nucl Med* 27(11): 1598–1609, 2000
99. Liptay MJ: Sentinel lymph node mapping in lung cancer. *Cancer Treat Res* 127: 141–150, 2005
100. Minamiya Y, Ogawa J: The current status of sentinel lymph node mapping in non-small cell lung cancer. *Ann Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 11(2): 67–72, 2005
101. Tiffet O, Nicholson AG, Khaddage A, Prevot N, Ladas G, Dubois F, Goldstraw P: Feasibility of the detection of the sentinel lymph node in peripheral non-small cell lung cancer with radio isotopic and blue dye techniques. *Chest* 127(2): 443–448, 2005
102. Nomori H, Watanabe K, Ohtsuka T, Naruke T, Suemasu K: In vivo identification of sentinel lymph nodes for clinical stage I non-small cell lung cancer for abbreviation of mediastinal lymph node dissection. *Lung Cancer* 46(1): 49–55, 2004
103. Faries MB, Bleicher RJ, Ye X, Essner R, Morton DL: Lymphatic mapping and sentinel lymphadenectomy for primary and metastatic pulmonary malignant neoplasms. *Arch Surg* 139(8): 870–876, 2004; discussion 876–877.
104. Irjala H, Alanen K, Grenman R, Heikkilä P, Joensuu H, Jalkanen S: Mannose receptor (MR) and common lymphatic endothelial and vascular endothelial receptor (CLEVER)-1 direct the binding of cancer cells to the lymph vessel endothelium. *Cancer Res* 63(15): 4671–4676, 2003
105. Taneja C, Cady B: Decreasing role of lymphatic system surgery in surgical oncology. *J Surg Oncol* 89(2): 61–66, 2005
106. Cady B: Is axillary lymph node dissection necessary in routine management of breast cancer? No. *Principles and Practice of Oncology*. No. Principles and Practice of Oncology 12:1–12, 12: 1–12, 1998
107. Fisher B, Fisher ER: Transmigration of lymph nodes by tumor cells. *Science* 152(727): 1397–1398, 1966
108. Huang RR, Wen DR, Guo J, Giuliano AE, Nguyen M, Offodile R, Stern S, Turner R, Cochran AJ: Selective modulation of paracortical dendritic cells and T-lymphocytes in breast cancer sentinel lymph nodes. *Breast J* 6(4): 225–232, 2000
109. Tang Y, Zhang D, Fallavollita L, Brodt P: Vascular endothelial growth factor C expression and lymph node metastasis are regulated by the type I insulin-like growth factor receptor. *Cancer Res* 63(6): 1166–1171, 2003
110. LeBedis C, Chen K, Fallavollita L, Boutros T, Brodt P: Peripheral lymph node stromal cells can promote growth and tumorigenicity of breast carcinoma cells through the release of IGF-I and EGF. *Int J Cancer* 2002 100: 2–8, 2002
111. Skobe M, Hawighorst T, Jackson DG, Prevo R, Janes L, Velasco P, Riccardi L, Alitalo K, Claffey K, Detmar M: Induction of tumor lymphangiogenesis by VEGF-C promotes breast cancer metastasis. *Nat Med* 7(2): 192–198, 2001
112. Espana L, Fernandez Y, Rubio N, Torregrosa A, Blanco J, Sierra A: Overexpression of Bcl-xL in human breast cancer cells enhances organ-selective lymph node metastasis. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 87(1): 33–44, 2004
113. Brodt P, Fallavollita L, Khatib AM, Samani AA, Zhang D: Co-operative regulation of the invasive and metastatic phenotypes by different domains of the type I insulin-like growth factor receptor beta subunit. *J Biol Chem* 276(36): 33608–33615, 2001
114. Khatib AM, Fallavollita L, Wancewicz EV, Monia BP, Brodt P: Inhibition of hepatic endothelial E-selectin expression by C-raf antisense oligonucleotides blocks colorectal carcinoma liver metastasis. *Cancer Res* 62(19): 5393–5398, 2002
115. Onn A, Isobe T, Itasaka S, Wu W, O'Reilly MS, Ki Hong W, Fidler IJ, Herbst RS: Development of an orthotopic model to study the biology and therapy of primary human lung cancer in nude mice. *Clin Cancer Res* 9(15): 5532–5539, 2003
116. Fong Y, Coit DG, Woodruff JM, Brennan MF: Lymph node metastasis from soft tissue sarcoma in adults. Analysis of data from a prospective database of 1772 sarcoma patients. *Ann Surg* 217(1): 72–77, 1993
117. Billingsley KG, Burt ME, Jara E, Ginsberg RJ, Woodruff JM, Leung DH, Brennan MF: Pulmonary metastases from soft tissue sarcoma: Analysis of patterns of diseases and postmetastasis survival. *Ann Surg* 229(5): 602–610; discussion 610–612, 1999
118. Helman LJ, Meltzer P: Mechanisms of sarcoma development. *Nat Rev Cancer* 3(9): 685–694, 2003
119. Heinrich MC, Corless CL, Demetri GD, Blanke CD, von Mehren M, Joensuu H, McGreevey LS, Chen CJ, Van den Abbeele AD, Druker BJ, Kiese B, Eisenberg B, Roberts PJ, Singer S, Fletcher CD, Silberman S, Dimitrijevic S, Fletcher JA: Kinase mutations and imatinib response in patients with metastatic gastrointestinal stromal tumor. *J Clin Oncol* 21(23): 4342–4349, 2003