

## Erratum to: Does the Accounting Profession Discipline Its Members Differently After Public Scrutiny?

Devan Mescall<sup>1</sup> · Fred Phillips<sup>1</sup> · Regan N. Schmidt<sup>1</sup>

Published online: 31 October 2016  
© Springer Science+Business Media Dordrecht 2016

### Erratum to: J Bus Ethics DOI 10.1007/s10551-016-3156-0

During conversion of the manuscript a typo has been introduced in below mentioned tables:

Table 1 panel A should read: “DOLLARS\_OF\_FINE”, “CHARGED\_COSTS” and “THREAT\_OF\_SUSPENSION”

Table 1 panel B should read: “REINVESTIGATION”, “FUTURE\_ACTION”, “PUBLISHED\_EXTERNALLY” and “INVESTIGATION COSTS”

Table 2 should read: “PUBLIC\_PERCENTAGE” and “GUILTY\_PLEA”

Table 4 should read: “GUILTY\_PLEA”

Tables 5 should read “GUILTY\_PLEA”

Table 7 should read “GUILTY\_PLEA” and misses the negative predicted sign on the interaction

The original article has been updated as to correct these tables, which are now accurately shown.

---

The online version of the original article can be found under doi:[10.1007/s10551-016-3156-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-016-3156-0).

---

✉ Regan N. Schmidt  
schmidt@edwards.usask.ca

<sup>1</sup> Edwards School of Business, University of Saskatchewan, 25 Campus Drive, Saskatoon, SK S7N 5A7, Canada