#### **EPIDEMIOLOGY**



# Impact of preexisting mental illness on breast cancer endocrine therapy adherence

Cole B. Haskins<sup>1,2</sup> · Bradley D. McDowell<sup>3</sup> · Ryan M. Carnahan<sup>1</sup> · Jess G. Fiedorowicz<sup>1,4</sup> · Robert B. Wallace<sup>1</sup> · Brian J. Smith<sup>3,5</sup> · Elizabeth A. Chrischilles<sup>1</sup>

Received: 3 November 2018 / Accepted: 13 November 2018 / Published online: 21 November 2018 © Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2018

#### Abstract

**Purpose** Patients with estrogen receptor positive (ER+) breast cancer are often non-adherent to endocrine therapies, despite clear survival benefits. We utilized a nationally representative cancer cohort to examine the role of specific mental illnesses on endocrine therapy adherence.

**Methods** Using the SEER-Medicare database, we included 21,894 women aged 68+ at their first surgically treated stage I-IV ER+ breast cancer during 2007–2013. All had continuous fee-for-service Medicare Parts A and B for 36+ months before, 18+ months after diagnosis, and continuous Part D for 4+ months before, 18+ after diagnosis. Mental illness was defined as occurring in the 36 months prior to cancer onset. We analyzed endocrine therapy adherence, initiation, and discontinuation using longitudinal linear and Cox regression models.

**Results** Unipolar depression (11.0%), anxiety (9.5%), non-schizophrenia psychosis (4.6%), and dementias (4.6%) were the most prevalent diagnoses. Endocrine therapies were initiated by 80.0% of women. Among those with at least one year of use, 28.0% were non-adherent (<0.80 adherence, mean = 0.84) and 25.7% discontinued. Patients with dementia or bipolar depression/psychotic/schizophrenia disorders had lower adjusted initiation probabilities by year one of follow-up, versus those without these diagnoses [0.74 95% CI (0.73–0.74) and 0.73 (0.72–0.73), respectively, reference 0.76 (0.76–0.77)]. Patients with substance use or anxiety disorders less frequently continued endocrine therapy for at least one year, after adjustment, [0.85 95% CI (0.85–0.86) and 0.88 (0.87–0.88), respectively, reference 0.90 (0.89–0.90)]. Patients with substance use disorders had 2.3% lower adherence rates (p < 0.001).

**Conclusions** Nearly one-quarter of female Medicare beneficiaries have diagnosed mental illness preceding invasive breast cancer. Those with certain mental illnesses have modestly reduced rates of initiation, adherence, and discontinuation and this may help define patients at higher risk of treatment abandonment. Overall, endocrine therapy adherence remains suboptimal, unnecessarily worsening recurrence and mortality risk.

Keywords Preexisting mental illness · Endocrine therapy · Adherence · SEER-Medicare

Cole B. Haskins cole-haskins@uiowa.edu

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Epidemiology, College of Public Health, University of Iowa, CPHB, 145 N Riverside Dr, Iowa City, IA 52242, USA
- <sup>2</sup> Medical Scientist Training Program, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, USA
- <sup>3</sup> Holden Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, USA
- <sup>4</sup> University of Iowa Hospitals and Clinics, Iowa City, IA, USA
- <sup>5</sup> Department of Biostatistics, College of Public Health, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, USA

# Introduction

Endocrine therapies improve survival in patients with estrogen receptor positive (ER+) breast cancer [1]. Guidelines suggest endocrine therapies should be taken daily for a minimum of five years [1]. Despite the gravity of a cancer diagnosis, most patients do not adhere to a five-year endocrine therapy regimen [2–4]. A study of over 8700 women in the Northern California Kaiser Permanente system found only 49% fully adhered to endocrine therapy [3]. Endocrine therapy adherence is critically related to patient outcomes; as adherence decreases, all-cause mortality can increase up to 49% [5]. Identified factors affecting endocrine therapy adherence include age, adverse reactions/pain, and "psychological problems"[6]. In the National Surgical Adjuvant Breast and Bowel Project P-1 Study, poor mental "well-being" was identified as a risk factor for non-adherence [7]. A metaanalysis of depression and endocrine therapy adherence reported that it increased the odds of non-adherence, OR 1.89 (95% CI 1.38–2.57) [8]. However, the studies were heterogenous in measurement and few focused on specific mental health diagnoses [8]. Although not examining endocrine therapy, studies of Medicare-enrolled breast cancer patients found bipolar disorder, schizophrenia, and psychotic disorders were associated with primary treatment delays and increased mortality [9, 10]. The role of mental illness in endocrine therapy adherence remains unclear.

To examine the prevalence and influence of preexisting mental illness on endocrine therapy adherence, we used the NCI linkage of Medicare claims to surveillance, epidemiology, and end results (SEER) data to identify a large, nationally representative cohort of older women with breast cancer. Because breast cancer diagnoses occur at a median age of 62, SEER-Medicare data provide a near-ideal population and healthcare claims to assess this question [11].

#### Methods

# **Study population**

We selected a cohort of women from the SEER-Medicare database aged 68 or older at the time of a surgically treated first primary estrogen receptor positive (ER+) stage I–IV breast cancer, diagnosed in 2007–2013 [11]. SEER registries cover 28% of the US population, including approximately 25% of White Americans, 26% of Black Americans, 43% of American Indians, 50% of Asian Americans, and 38% of Hispanic Americans [12]. These data include patient demographics, tumor characteristics, cancer and other diagnoses (International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM)), clinical procedures (Healthcare Common Procedure Coding System (HCPCS) and Current Procedural Terminology (CPT) codes), and prescription National Drug Codes (NDC) [13].

Included women had continuous fee-for-service Parts A and B Medicare coverage for 36 or more months before and 18 or more after cancer diagnosis, and Part D coverage for 4 or more months before and 18 or more after cancer diagnosis. Women with only non-invasive ductal carcinoma in situ or lobular carcinoma in situ were excluded. Because diagnosis can occur as a process over time, additional breast tumors detected within three months of the first were considered the same cancer treatment experience (applied to 4.7% of cohort), characterized by the first diagnosis date and the highest tumor stage reported. To ensure data reliability, we required cancer diagnosis prior to date of death and concordant death dates between SEER and Medicare files. We excluded 154 patients with unreliable endocrine therapy claims (exceeding a 10:1 ratio of prescription fill size to days supplied, or vice versa), which biases adherence measures. Using these criteria, we identified 21,894 eligible patients. We censored observations at death date, start of hospice care, diagnosis of a new breast cancer, and end of continuous fee-for-service Medicare coverage or available claims information.

# Measures

Demographic variables (age at diagnosis, race, ethnicity) and tumor characteristics (estrogen and progesterone receptor status, AJCC 6th edition stage, month and year of breast cancer diagnosis) were obtained from SEER data [13, 14]. General comorbidity and mental illness diagnoses were obtained from Medicare claims. We measured general comorbidity in the 36 months prior to diagnosis using an adjusted NCI comorbidity index, excluding dementia [15]. Using the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders-IV categories as a guide, we selected 11 mental illness classes [16] (Table 1). "Non-schizophrenia psychotic" disorders excluded schizophrenia/schizoaffective diseases, but included psychoses with delusional, depressive, and nonspecific subtypes. "Drug use" disorders included tobacco and illicit substances. Dementia disorders were included as they impact cognition and behavior, and to control for potential confounding with comorbid mental illness and adherence. We required a single inpatient ICD-9 mental illness diagnosis in the 36 months prior to cancer diagnosis, or two outpatient claims for the same illness class separated by 30 or more days to confirm a diagnosis. Only one outpatient claim was required to characterize prior experience of delirium, as a measure of cognitive vulnerability.

Endocrine therapy variables were derived from prescription drug claims. Endocrine therapies included selective estrogen receptor modulators (SERMs: tamoxifen and toremifene), and aromatase inhibitors (AIs: anastrozole, exemestane, letrozole). Initiation was defined as the first endocrine therapy claim date after cancer diagnosis. Discontinuation occurred the day after the last available pill, with no further use in the remaining 90 or greater days of follow-up [17]. Prior to 2014, ASCO guidelines suggested five years of endocrine therapy use, so we examined discontinuation within the first five years of treatment [18].

Adherence was calculated using the "Proportion of Days Covered" measure (PDC = days covered by endocrine therapy prescriptions/days in follow-up) [19]. We calculated PDC in one-year intervals, beginning at treatment initiation, concluding at discontinuation or a maximum fifth available

Table 1	Characteristics of b	reast cancer patients	diagnosed 2007-	2013, according	to endocrine therapy use
---------	----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------	-----------------	--------------------------

$N=21,894 \qquad \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	p value*
Age at diagnosis $68-74$ $9308 (42.5\%)$ $8133$ $46.4\%$ $1175$ $26.8\%$ Ref $75-84$ $9659 (44.1\%)$ $7588$ $43.3\%$ $2071$ $47.3\%$ $0.91 (0.88-0.94)$ $85-94$ $2831 (12.9\%)$ $1744$ $10.0\%$ $1087$ $24.8\%$ $0.64 (0.60-0.67)$ $95+$ $96 (0.4\%)$ $47$ $0.3\%$ $49$ $1.1\%$ $0.44 (0.33-0.59)$ RaceAsian $950 (4.3\%)$ $781$ $4.5\%$ $169$ $3.9\%$ $1.11 (1.04-1.20)$ Black $1315 (6.0\%)$ $1083$ $6.2\%$ $232$ $5.3\%$ $0.99 (0.93-1.05)$ Other $149 (0.7\%)$ $119$ $0.7\%$ $30$ $0.7\%$ $1.02 (0.85-1.22)$ White $19,480 (89.0\%)$ $15.529$ $88.7\%$ $3951$ $90.2\%$ RefEthnicityHispanic $1166 (5.3\%)$ $983$ $5.6\%$ $183$ $4.2\%$ $1.03 (0.97-1.10)$ Non-hispanic $20,728 (94.7\%)$ $16,529$ $94.4\%$ $4199$ $95.8\%$ Ref2007 $1961 (9.0\%)$ $1528$ $8.7\%$ $433$ $9.9\%$ Ref $2008$ $2968 (13.6\%)$ $2312$ $13.2\%$ $656$ $15.0\%$ $0.99 (0.93-1.06)$ $2010$ $3131 (14.3\%)$ $2525$ $14.4\%$ $606$ $13.8\%$ $1.13 (1.06-1.20)$ $2011$ $3300 (15.1\%)$ $2664$ $15.2\%$ $636$ $14.5\%$ $1.18 (1.10-1.25)$ $2012$ $3492 (15.9\%)$ $2832$ $16.2\%$ $660$ $15.1\%$ $1.17 (1.10-1.25)$ $2013$ $3898$	
75-84         9659 (44.1%)         7588         43.3%         2071         47.3%         0.91 (0.88-0.94)           85-94         2831 (12.9%)         1744         10.0%         1087         24.8%         0.64 (0.60-0.67)           95+         96 (0.4%)         47         0.3%         49         1.1%         0.44 (0.33-0.59)           Race         Asian         950 (4.3%)         781         4.5%         169         3.9%         1.11 (1.04-1.20)           Black         1315 (6.0%)         1083         6.2%         232         5.3%         0.99 (0.93-1.05)           Other         149 (0.7%)         119         0.7%         30         0.7%         1.02 (0.85-1.22)           White         19,480 (89.0%)         15,529         8.8.7%         3951         90.2%         Ref           Ethnicity         Hispanic         1166 (5.3%)         983         5.6%         183         4.2%         1.03 (0.97-1.10)           Non-hispanic         20,728 (94.7%)         16,529         94.4%         4199         95.8%         Ref           Year of diagnosis         2007         1961 (9.0%)         1528         8.7%         433         9.9%         Ref           2010         3131 (14.3%) <td></td>	
85-94         2831 (12.9%)         1744         10.0%         1087         24.8%         0.64 (0.60-0.67)           95+         96 (0.4%)         47         0.3%         49         1.1%         0.44 (0.33-0.59)           Race         Asian         950 (4.3%)         781         4.5%         169         3.9%         1.11 (1.04-1.20)           Black         1315 (6.0%)         1083         6.2%         232         5.3%         0.99 (0.93-1.05)           Other         149 (0.7%)         119         0.7%         30         0.7%         1.02 (0.85-1.22)           White         19,480 (89.0%)         15,529         88.7%         3951         90.2%         Ref           Ethnicity         Hispanic         1166 (5.3%)         983         5.6%         183         4.2%         1.03 (0.97-1.10)           Non-hispanic         20,728 (94.7%)         16,529         94.4%         4199         95.8%         Ref           Year of diagnosis         2007         1961 (9.0%)         1528         8.7%         433         9.9%         Ref           2008         2968 (13.6%         2312         13.2%         656         15.0%         0.99 (0.93-1.06)           2010         3131 (14.3% <td>&lt; 0.001</td>	< 0.001
8ace         95+         96 (0.4%)         47         0.3%         49         1.1%         0.44 (0.33-0.59)           Back         1315 (6.0%)         1083         6.2%         232         5.3%         0.99 (0.93-1.05)           Other         149 (0.7%)         119         0.7%         30         0.7%         1.02 (0.85-1.22)           White         19,480 (89.0%)         15,529         88.7%         3951         90.2%         Ref           Ethnicity         Hispanic         1166 (5.3%)         983         5.6%         183         4.2%         1.03 (0.97-1.10)           Non-hispanic         20,728 (94.7%)         16,529         94.4%         4199         95.8%         Ref           Year of diagnosis         2007         1961 (9.0%)         1528         8.7%         433         9.9%         Ref           2009         3144 (14.4%)         2473         14.1%         671         15.3%         1.01 (0.95-1.08)           2010         3131 (14.3%)         2525         14.4%         666         13.8%         1.18 (1.10-1.25)           2011         300 (15.1%)         2643         15.2%         636         14.5%         1.18 (1.10-1.25)           2012         3492 (15.9%)	< 0.001
Race         Asian         950 (4.3%)         781         4.5%         169         3.9%         1.11 (1.04–1.20)           Black         1315 (6.0%)         1083         6.2%         232         5.3%         0.99 (0.93–1.05)           Other         149 (0.7%)         119         0.7%         30         0.7%         1.02 (0.85–1.22)           White         19,480 (89.0%)         15,529         88.7%         3951         90.2%         Ref           Ethnicity         Hispanic         1166 (5.3%)         983         5.6%         183         4.2%         1.03 (0.97–1.10)           Non-hispanic         20,728 (94.7%)         16,529         94.4%         4199         95.8%         Ref           Year of diagnosis         2007         1961 (9.0%)         1528         8.7%         433         9.9%         Ref           2008         2968 (13.6%)         2312         13.2%         656         15.0%         0.99 (0.93–1.06)           2010         3131 (14.3%)         2525         14.4%         606         13.8%         1.11 (1.04–1.25)           2011         3300 (15.1%)         2664         15.2%         636         14.5%         1.18 (1.10–1.25)           2012         3492 (15.9%	< 0.001
Black         1315 (6.0%)         1083         6.2%         232         5.3%         0.99 (0.93–1.05)           Other         149 (0.7%)         119         0.7%         30         0.7%         1.02 (0.85–1.22)           White         19,480 (89.0%)         15,529         88.7%         3951         90.2%         Ref           Ethnicity         Hispanic         1166 (5.3%)         983         5.6%         183         4.2%         1.03 (0.97–1.10)           Non-hispanic         20,728 (94.7%)         16,529         94.4%         4199         95.8%         Ref           Year of diagnosis         2007         1961 (9.0%)         1528         8.7%         433         9.9%         Ref           2008         2968 (13.6%)         2312         13.2%         656         15.0%         0.99 (0.93–1.06)           2009         3144 (14.4%         2473         14.1%         671         15.3%         1.01 (0.95–1.08)           2010         3131 (14.3%         2525         14.4%         606         13.8%         1.13 (1.06–1.20)           2011         3300 (15.1%         2664         15.2%         636         14.5%         1.18 (1.10–1.25)           2012         3492 (15.9%         283	0.004
Other         149 (0.7%)         119         0.7%         30         0.7%         1.02 (0.85–1.22)           White         19,480 (89.0%)         15,529         88.7%         3951         90.2%         Ref           Ethnicity         Hispanic         1166 (5.3%)         983         5.6%         183         4.2%         1.03 (0.97–1.10)           Non-hispanic         20,728 (94.7%)         16,529         94.4%         4199         95.8%         Ref           Year of diagnosis         2007         1961 (9.0%)         1528         8.7%         433         9.9%         Ref           2008         2968 (13.6%         2312         13.2%         656         15.0%         0.99 (0.93–1.06)           2009         3144 (14.4%         2473         14.1%         671         15.3%         1.01 (0.95–1.08)           2010         3131 (14.3%)         2525         14.4%         606         13.8%         1.13 (1.06–1.20)           2011         3300 (15.1%)         2664         15.2%         636         14.5%         1.18 (1.10–1.25)           2012         3492 (15.9%)         2832         16.2%         660         15.1%         1.17 (1.10–1.25)           2013         3898 (17.8%) <td< td=""><td>0.77</td></td<>	0.77
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.83
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
Non-hispanic $20,728$ (94.7%) $16,529$ $94.4\%$ $4199$ $95.8\%$ RefYear of diagnosis $2007$ $1961$ (9.0%) $1528$ $8.7\%$ $433$ $9.9\%$ Ref $2008$ $2968$ (13.6%) $2312$ $13.2\%$ $656$ $15.0\%$ $0.99$ ( $0.93-1.06$ ) $2009$ $3144$ (14.4%) $2473$ $14.1\%$ $671$ $15.3\%$ $1.01$ ( $0.95-1.08$ ) $2010$ $3131$ (14.3%) $2525$ $14.4\%$ $606$ $13.8\%$ $1.13$ ( $1.06-1.20$ ) $2011$ $3300$ ( $15.1\%$ ) $2664$ $15.2\%$ $636$ $14.5\%$ $1.18$ ( $1.10-1.25$ ) $2012$ $3492$ ( $15.9\%$ ) $2832$ $16.2\%$ $660$ $15.1\%$ $1.17$ ( $1.10-1.25$ ) $2013$ $3898$ ( $17.8\%$ ) $3178$ $18.1\%$ $720$ $16.4\%$ $1.21$ ( $1.14-1.29$ )Stage at diagnosis $1$ $13,194$ ( $60.3\%$ ) $10,046$ $57.4\%$ $3148$ $71.8\%$ Ref $2$ $6534$ ( $29.8\%$ ) $5596$ $32.0\%$ $938$ $21.4\%$ $1.13$ ( $1.10-1.17$ ) $3$ $1516$ ( $6.9\%$ ) $1378$ $7.9\%$ $138$ $3.1\%$ $1.07$ ( $1.01-1.13$ ) $4$ $201$ ( $0.9\%$ ) $181$ $1.0\%$ $20$ $0.5\%$ $1.50$ ( $1.29-1.74$ )	0.33
Year of diagnosis       2007       1961 (9.0%)       1528       8.7%       433       9.9%       Ref         2008       2968 (13.6%)       2312       13.2%       656       15.0%       0.99 (0.93–1.06)         2009       3144 (14.4%)       2473       14.1%       671       15.3%       1.01 (0.95–1.08)         2010       3131 (14.3%)       2525       14.4%       606       13.8%       1.13 (1.06–1.20)         2011       3300 (15.1%)       2664       15.2%       636       14.5%       1.18 (1.10–1.25)         2012       3492 (15.9%)       2832       16.2%       660       15.1%       1.17 (1.10–1.25)         2013       3898 (17.8%)       3178       18.1%       720       16.4%       1.21 (1.14–1.29)         Stage at diagnosis       1       13,194 (60.3%)       10,046       57.4%       3148       71.8%       Ref         2       6534 (29.8%)       5596       32.0%       938       21.4%       1.13 (1.10–1.17)         3       1516 (6.9%)       1378       7.9%       138       3.1%       1.07 (1.01–1.13)         4       201 (0.9%)       181       1.0%       20       0.5%       1.50 (1.29–1.74)         Unknown	
2008       2968 (13.6%)       2312       13.2%       656       15.0%       0.99 (0.93-1.06)         2009       3144 (14.4%)       2473       14.1%       671       15.3%       1.01 (0.95-1.08)         2010       3131 (14.3%)       2525       14.4%       606       13.8%       1.13 (1.06-1.20)         2011       3300 (15.1%)       2664       15.2%       636       14.5%       1.18 (1.10-1.25)         2012       3492 (15.9%)       2832       16.2%       660       15.1%       1.17 (1.10-1.25)         2013       3898 (17.8%)       3178       18.1%       720       16.4%       1.21 (1.14-1.29)         Stage at diagnosis       1       13,194 (60.3%)       10,046       57.4%       3148       71.8%       Ref         2       6534 (29.8%)       5596       32.0%       938       21.4%       1.13 (1.10-1.17)         3       1516 (6.9%)       1378       7.9%       138       3.1%       1.07 (1.01-1.13)         4       201 (0.9%)       181       1.0%       20       0.5%       1.50 (1.29-1.74)	
2009       3144 (14.4%)       2473       14.1%       671       15.3%       1.01 (0.95-1.08)         2010       3131 (14.3%)       2525       14.4%       606       13.8%       1.13 (1.06-1.20)         2011       3300 (15.1%)       2664       15.2%       636       14.5%       1.18 (1.10-1.25)         2012       3492 (15.9%)       2832       16.2%       660       15.1%       1.17 (1.10-1.25)         2013       3898 (17.8%)       3178       18.1%       720       16.4%       1.21 (1.14-1.29)         Stage at diagnosis       1       13,194 (60.3%)       10,046       57.4%       3148       71.8%       Ref         2       6534 (29.8%)       5596       32.0%       938       21.4%       1.13 (1.10-1.17)         3       1516 (6.9%)       1378       7.9%       138       3.1%       1.07 (1.01-1.13)         4       201 (0.9%)       181       1.0%       20       0.5%       1.50 (1.29-1.74)	0.76
2010       3131 (14.3%)       2525       14.4%       606       13.8%       1.13 (1.06-1.20)         2011       3300 (15.1%)       2664       15.2%       636       14.5%       1.18 (1.10-1.25)         2012       3492 (15.9%)       2832       16.2%       660       15.1%       1.17 (1.10-1.25)         2013       3898 (17.8%)       3178       18.1%       720       16.4%       1.21 (1.14-1.29)         Stage at diagnosis       1       13,194 (60.3%)       10,046       57.4%       3148       71.8%       Ref         2       6534 (29.8%)       5596       32.0%       938       21.4%       1.13 (1.10-1.17)         3       1516 (6.9%)       1378       7.9%       138       3.1%       1.07 (1.01-1.13)         4       201 (0.9%)       181       1.0%       20       0.5%       1.50 (1.29-1.74)	0.72
2011       3300 (15.1%)       2664       15.2%       636       14.5%       1.18 (1.10–1.25)         2012       3492 (15.9%)       2832       16.2%       660       15.1%       1.17 (1.10–1.25)         2013       3898 (17.8%)       3178       18.1%       720       16.4%       1.21 (1.14–1.29)         Stage at diagnosis       1       13,194 (60.3%)       10,046       57.4%       3148       71.8%       Ref         2       6534 (29.8%)       5596       32.0%       938       21.4%       1.13 (1.10–1.17)         3       1516 (6.9%)       1378       7.9%       138       3.1%       1.07 (1.01–1.13)         4       201 (0.9%)       181       1.0%       20       0.5%       1.50 (1.29–1.74)	< 0.001
2012       3492 (15.9%)       2832       16.2%       660       15.1%       1.17 (1.10–1.25)         2013       3898 (17.8%)       3178       18.1%       720       16.4%       1.21 (1.14–1.29)         Stage at diagnosis       1       13,194 (60.3%)       10,046       57.4%       3148       71.8%       Ref         2       6534 (29.8%)       5596       32.0%       938       21.4%       1.13 (1.10–1.17)         3       1516 (6.9%)       1378       7.9%       138       3.1%       1.07 (1.01–1.13)         4       201 (0.9%)       181       1.0%       20       0.5%       1.50 (1.29–1.74)	< 0.001
2013         3898 (17.8%)         3178         18.1%         720         16.4%         1.21 (1.14–1.29)           Stage at diagnosis         1         13,194 (60.3%)         10,046         57.4%         3148         71.8%         Ref           2         6534 (29.8%)         5596         32.0%         938         21.4%         1.13 (1.10–1.17)           3         1516 (6.9%)         1378         7.9%         138         3.1%         1.07 (1.01–1.13)           4         201 (0.9%)         181         1.0%         20         0.5%         1.50 (1.29–1.74)	< 0.001
Stage at diagnosis       1       13,194 (60.3%)       10,046       57.4%       3148       71.8%       Ref         2       6534 (29.8%)       5596       32.0%       938       21.4%       1.13 (1.10–1.17)         3       1516 (6.9%)       1378       7.9%       138       3.1%       1.07 (1.01–1.13)         4       201 (0.9%)       181       1.0%       20       0.5%       1.50 (1.29–1.74)	< 0.001
2       6534 (29.8%)       5596       32.0%       938       21.4%       1.13 (1.10–1.17)         3       1516 (6.9%)       1378       7.9%       138       3.1%       1.07 (1.01–1.13)         4       201 (0.9%)       181       1.0%       20       0.5%       1.50 (1.29–1.74)         Unknown	
3       1516 (6.9%)       1378       7.9%       138       3.1%       1.07 (1.01–1.13)         4       201 (0.9%)       181       1.0%       20       0.5%       1.50 (1.29–1.74)         Unknown         4/49 (2 1%)       311       1.8%       138       3.1%       0.92 (0.73, 0.02)	< 0.001
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.02
$Inknown \qquad A40(21\%) - 211 - 12\% - 129 - 216 - 0.02(0.72,0.02)$	< 0.001
$U_{1}$ $U_{1}$ $U_{2}$ $U_{3}$ $U_{4}$ $U_{4$	< 0.001
Receptor status $ER + PR + 18,789,(85,8\%), 15,095, 86,2\%, 3694, 84,3\%, 1,16,(1,11-1,21)$	< 0.001
ER + PR - 3105 (14.2%) 2417 13.8% 688 15.7% Ref	(0.001
Endocrine therapy class used AIs $13.659.(62.4\%) 13.659.78.0\% 0 0.0\%$ N/A	
Both 1903 (87%) 1903 10.9% 0 0.0%	
None $4382(20.0\%) = 0.0\%$ $4382 = 100.0\%$	
SERMs 1950 (8.9%) 1950 11.1% 0 0.0%	
Adjusted NCL comorbidity score Mean (Median) $21(20)$ $20$ $20$ $20$ $22$ $20$ $0.99(0.98-1.00)$	0.01
Any mental illness $N(\%)$ 5459 (24.9%) 4232 24.2% 1227 28.0% 0.95 (0.92-0.98)	0.003
Emotional disorders	0.005
United as $N(\%)$ 2418 (11.0%) 1876 10.7% 542 12.4% 0.95 (0.90-0.99)	0.03
Anxiety $N(\%)$ 2089 (9.5%) 1651 9.4% 438 10.0% 1.00 (0.95–1.05)	0.87
Adjustment $N(\%)$ $81(0.4\%)$ $62$ $0.4\%$ $10$ $0.4\%$ $0.93(0.72-1.19)$	0.56
Cognitive disorders	0.50
Delirium $N(\%)$ 515 (2.4%) 365 2.1% 150 3.4% 0.85 (0.77_0.94)	0.002
Dementia $N(\%)$ 515 (2.4%) 505 2.1% 150 5.4% 0.05 (0.77-0.94)	< 0.002
Demendia $N(\%)$ $1017(4.0\%)$ $090$ $5.9\%$ $527$ $7.5\%$ $0.82(0.70-0.88)$ Demendity $N(\%)$ $50(0.2\%)$ $28$ $0.2\%$ $21$ $0.5\%$ $0.77(0.56, 1.07)$	0.12
Mania and psychology $N(n)$ $39(0.5n)$ $30$ $0.2n$ $21$ $0.5n$ $0.17(0.50-1.07)$	0.12
Bipolar depression $N(\%)$ 265 (1.2%) 108 1.1% 67 1.5% 0.85 (0.74, 0.08)	0.02
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.02
Schizophrenia $N(\%)$ 165 (0.8%) 144 0.8% 41 0.9% 0.99 (0.84–1.17) Non schizophrenia psychocia $N(\%)$ 1004 (4.6%) 755 4.2% 240 5.7% 0.80 (0.82 0.06)	0.94
Non-schizophienia psychosis $N(\%)$ 1004 (4.0%) 755 4.5% 249 5.7% 0.89 (0.85–0.90)	0.003
Drug use $N(\emptyset) = 0.70 (A A\emptyset) - 77A - A A\emptyset = 104 - A 5\emptyset = 0.00 (0.02, 1.04)$	0.60
Drug use $N(\%)$ $9/0(4.4\%)$ $1/4$ $4.4\%$ $190$ $4.3\%$ $0.99(0.92-1.00)$ Alaphal use $N(\%)$ $75(0.3\%)$ $57$ $0.2\%$ $19$ $0.4\%$ $101(0.79, 1.21)$	0.09
Alcohol use $IV(\%)$ $IS(0.5\%)$ $SI$ $0.5\%$ $18$ $0.4\%$ $1.01(0.78-1.51)$ Follow up days       Maan (modian) $1277.0(1280.0)$ $1292.8(1280.0)$ $1258.2(1242.0)$ $0.09(0.07,0.00)$	0.90
Number who initiated $17512$ N/A	< 0.001

#### Table 1 (continued)

Covariate	Level	Total cohort $N=21,894$	Endocrine therapy initiation				HR (95% CI)	p value*
			Yes	N=17,512	No	N=4382		
Days to initiation	Mean (median)		156.6	120.0				
Number who discontinued			4503				N/A	
Days to discontinuation <sup>a</sup>	Mean (median)		701.5	521.0				
Number with 1+ years endocrine therapy use			14,517				N/A	
Average adherence (PDC) <sup>b</sup>	Mean (median)		0.84	0.9				
Percent with 0.80 or higher			72.0					

Proportion of days covered (PDC)

\*p values calculated from bivariable Cox regression

<sup>a</sup>Discontinuation analyzed for the first 5 years of endocrine therapy use

<sup>b</sup>Average adherence calculated for patients with 1+ years of endocrine therapy use observed, and less than half of follow-up spent hospitalized or in skilled nursing facilities

year of follow-up. If patients switched SERM and AI classes, remaining medication from the prior class was assumed discarded when new treatment began. Medication extending beyond the available follow-up period was not included in calculations. Time hospitalized or in skilled nursing facilities was removed from observation because medication received in those care settings should not be billed to Medicare Part D [20]. If greater than half of an observation year was spent hospitalized/in skilled care, that year was excluded from analysis.

# Initiation model and discontinuation model selection

Initiation and discontinuation were assessed using Cox regression, with censoring at end of continuous fee-for-service Medicare coverage or available claims, diagnosis of a new breast cancer, start of hospice care, or death. Endocrine therapy initiation follow-up began the day of cancer diagnosis, whereas discontinuation follow-up began the day of endocrine therapy initiation. Bivariable Hazard Ratios were produced using unadjusted Cox regression. Multivariable models included individual predictors for mental illness and baseline covariates (at cancer diagnosis) for stage, age, year of diagnosis, race, ethnicity, and adjusted three-year NCI comorbidity index [21].

In multivariable analysis, we used the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) to compare models fit with individual mental illnesses against models fit with prespecified combinations of phenotypically similar illnesses [22]. Combinations included *emotional* (unipolar depression, adjustment, anxiety), *bipolar and psychoses* (bipolar depression, non-schizophrenia psychosis, schizophrenia), *substance use* (alcohol use disorder, drug use disorder), and *cognitive disorders* (dementia, delirium). A combined variable was retained if it reduced initiation model AIC relative to individual mental illness variables.

To quantify effects of mental illness on initiation and discontinuation, we calculated direct adjusted Cox model curves, producing probabilities adjusted for baseline characteristics, in landmark analyses at year one of follow-up [23]. Instead of estimating probability for a theoretical patient with average covariate values, the direct adjustment method calculates probability for every patient and presents an overall average, improving estimations [23].

#### Adherence model selection

Adherence was assessed using linear regression models fit with the method of generalized estimating equations (normal distribution and identity link), to account for repeated longitudinal observations. An "unstructured" within-subject correlation structure was selected among autoregressive (1), Toeplitz, compound symmetry, and independent structures, based on Quasi-likelihood Information Criteria values computed with models including predictors for all mental illnesses and baseline covariates [21, 24].

Unadjusted bivariable models described individual effects of mental illness. Our final multivariable model employed the same combination mental illness categories selected for the Cox models, allowing for comparison between all outcomes. Statistical analysis was conducted with SAS v9.4 statistical software (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC).

# Results

We identified 21,894 SEER-Medicare patients with ER+ breast cancer diagnosed between 2007 and 2013. At diagnosis, 42.5% of patients were aged 68–74, 44.1% were

75–84, 12.9% were 85–94, and less than 1% were 95+. Most patients were White (89.0%), most tumors were stage I at diagnosis (60.3%), followed by stage II (29.8%), all were ER+, and 85.8% were PR+. Mental illness was common; 24.9% of patients had some diagnosis prior to cancer. Unipolar depression (11.0%), anxiety (9.5%), dementias (4.6%), and non-schizophrenia psychotic (4.6%) disorders were most prevalent, followed by drug use disorders (4.4%), delirium (2.4%), bipolar depression (1.2%), schizophrenia (0.8%), adjustment (0.4%), alcohol use (0.3%), and personality disorders (0.3%) (Table 1).

After cancer diagnosis, 80.0% of patients initiated endocrine therapies. AIs were used by 78.0%, SERMs by 11.1%, and both AI and SERMs by 10.9%. Of initiators, 25.7% discontinued therapy within five years of follow-up. Among initiators with at least one year of continuous treatment, mean adherence was 0.84, and 72.0% had adherence rates of 0.80+ (Table 1).

Patients who initiated endocrine therapies were younger compared to never users (46.4% aged 68–74 vs. 26.8%, p < 0.001) (Table 1). Initiators less frequently had stage I tumors (57.4% vs. 71.8%, p < 0.05), and less frequently had mental illness diagnoses (24.2% vs. 28.0%, p = 0.003). Never-users had higher rates of unipolar and bipolar depression, delirium, dementia, and non-schizophrenia psychoses (all p < 0.05).

Compared to patients without, those with mental illness were more frequently aged 85+ at cancer diagnosis, White, Black, Hispanic, diagnosed at stage II or higher, and had greater comorbidity. Patients with mental illness had shorter available follow-up (1150 median days vs. 1326, p < 0.001) and were more frequently censored due to death (8.9% vs. 5.4%, p < 0.001). Although fewer patients with mental illness initiated endocrine therapies, those who did started slightly earlier (median 115 vs. 121 days, p = 0.002) but discontinued earlier (median 445.0 vs. 547.5 days, p < 0.001). Among patients with mental illness had 0.80+ adherence rates (70.1% vs. 72.6%, p = 0.005) (Table 2).

Initiation was less common in patients with any mental illness (HR 0.95, 95% CI 0.92–0.98), unipolar depression (HR 0.95, 95% CI 0.90–0.99), bipolar depression (HR 0.85, 95% CI 0.74–0.98), dementia (HR 0.82, 95% CI 0.76–0.88), delirium (HR 0.85, 95% CI 0.77–0.94), and non-schizo-phrenia psychosis (HR 0.89, 95% CI 0.83–0.96) disorders (bivariable Cox regression, Table 3).

The best fit multivariable initiation model included the *substance use* disorder phenotype and subsets of the *emotional* (unipolar depression and adjustment disorder) and *bipolar and psychoses* (bipolar depression, non-schizophrenia psychotic, and schizophrenia disorder) phenotypes. Remaining disorders were included as individual variables. After multivariable adjustment, patients with dementia (HR 0.90, 95% CI 0.83–0.98) and bipolar and psychotic (HR 0.93, 95% CI 0.87–0.99) disorders were less likely to initiate treatment (Table 4). Higher initiation rates occurred with younger age, Asian race, more recent cancer diagnosis, and high cancer stage. In adjusted one-year landmark analyses, 3.5% more patients with dementia had not initiated therapy compared to those without dementia [0.274 (95% CI 0.268–0.280) vs. 0.239 (95% CI 0.234–0.245)] (Fig. 1). Patients with bipolar and psychoses were 2.5% more likely to have not initiated endocrine therapy [0.265 (95% CI 0.259–0.270) vs. 0.239 (95% CI 0.234–0.245)] (Fig. 1).

Discontinuation was more common in patients with "any mental illness" (HR 1.19, 95% CI 1.11-1.27), anxiety (HR 1.24, 95% CI 1.13-1.37), non-schizophrenia psychotic (HR 1.20, 95% CI 1.04-1.37), drug use (HR 1.40, 95% CI 1.22–1.59), and alcohol use disorders (HR 1.71, 95% CI 1.11–2.63) (bivariable Cox regression, Table 3). After multivariable adjustment, patients with anxiety (HR 1.21, 95% CI 1.09–1.34) and substance use (HR 1.43, 95% CI 1.26-1.64) disorders were more likely to discontinue (Table 4). Younger, Black, Asian, and Hispanic patients were less likely to discontinue, as were patients with stage II and III disease, compared to I (Table 4). In adjusted oneyear landmark analyses, 4.1% fewer patients with substance use disorders [0.855 (95% CI 0.849-0.861) vs. 0.896 (95% CI 0.892–0.901)] and 2.1% fewer patients with anxiety disorders [0.877 (95% CI 0.871-0.882) vs. 0.896 (95% CI 0.892-0.901)] had continued endocrine therapy, compared to those without the condition (Fig. 2).

Mean adherence was lower in patients with drug use (estimated mean difference -2.3%, 95% CI-3.8, -0.9), alcohol use (-10.5%, 95% CI-17.5, -3.4) disorders (bivariable linear regression, Table 3). Adherence rates were slightly elevated in patients with schizophrenia (+3.5%, 95% CI 0.7, 6.2). After multivariable adjustment, the only mental illnesses associated with adherence were substance use disorders, with 2.3% worse adherence (Table 4). Compared to younger patients, those aged 85-94 at diagnosis had 1.2% greater adherence. Asian patients had 1.2% greater adherence than White patients, and "other" races saw a 3.9% reduction. Adherence decreased with advancing stage (stage III -1.2%; stage IV -4.9%). Patients more recently diagnosed with cancer had higher adherence rates (+8.4% 2013 vs. 2007). With each consecutive year of use, adherence improved (year 2 + 6.6%; year 3 + 8.8%; year 4 + 11.8%; year 5 + 13.0%).

# Discussion

In this large, nationally representative cohort of older women with ER+ stage I–IV breast cancer, preexisting mental illness was common. Mental illness modestly reduced

Table 2	Characteristics of breast cancer	patients diagnosed 2007-2013,	, according to history of mental illness
---------	----------------------------------	-------------------------------	--

Covariate	Level	History of Mental Illness				
		No	n=16,435 (%)	Yes	n=5459 (%)	
Age at diagnosis	68–74	7006	42.6	2302	42.2	0.004
	75–84	7307	44.5	2352	43.1	
	85–94	2057	12.5	774	14.2	
	95+	65	0.4	31	0.6	
Race	Asian	808	4.9	142	2.6	< 0.001
	Black	950	5.8	365	6.7	
	Other	108	0.7	41	0.8	
	White	14,569	88.6	4911	90.0	
Ethnicity	Hispanic	848	5.2	318	5.8	0.06
	Non-hispanic	15,587	94.8	5141	94.2	
Year of diagnosis	2007	1526	9.3	435	8.0	< 0.001
	2008	2280	13.9	687	12.6	
	2009	2394	14.6	750	13.7	
	2010	2360	14.4	771	14.1	
	2011	2469	15.0	831	15.2	
	2012	2572	15.6	920	16.9	
	2013	2833	17.2	1065	19.5	
Stage at diagnosis	1	10,081	61.3	3113	57.0	< 0.001
	2	4790	29.1	1744	31.9	
	3	1075	6.5	441	8.1	
	4	160	1.0	41	0.8	
	Unknown	329	2.0	120	2.2	
Receptor status	ER+PR+	14,151	86.1	4638	85.0	0.04
-	ER+PR-	2284	13.9	821	15.0	
Endocrine therapy class used	AIs	10,366	63.1	3293	60.3	< 0.001
	Both	1468	8.9	435	8.0	
	None	3155	19.2	1227	22.5	
	SERMs	1446	8.8	504	9.2	
Adjusted NCI comorbidity score	Mean (median)	1.8 (1.0)		2.8 (2.0)		< 0.001
Follow-up days	Mean (median)	1141.7 (1326.0)		1267.0 (1150.0)		< 0.001
Number censored at death		889	5.4	487	8.9	< 0.001
Number who initiated		13,280		4232		
Days to initiation	Mean (median)	157.7 (121.0)		153.5 (115.0)		0.002
Number who discontinued		3376		1127		
Days to discontinuation <sup>a</sup>	Mean (median)	727.0 (547.5)		625.1 (445.0)		< 0.001
Number with 1+ years endocrine therapy use		11,120		3397		
Average adherence (PDC) <sup>b</sup>	Mean (median)	0.84 (0.90)		0.84 (0.90)		0.27
Percent with 0.80 or higher		72.6		70.1		0.005

Proportion of days covered (PDC)

<sup>a</sup>Discontinuation analyzed for the first 5 years of endocrine therapy use

<sup>b</sup>Average adherence calculated for patients with 1+ years of endocrine therapy use observed, and less than half of their follow-up spent hospitalized or in skilled nursing facilities

\*Categorical and continuous p values calculated from Chi-square and Wilcoxon tests, respectively

endocrine therapy initiation, adherence, and discontinuation rates, but no single disorder affected all three. One-fifth of women never initiated treatment, and patients with dementia and bipolar and psychotic disorders were at additional risk of non-initiation. Of initiators, 25.7% discontinued prior to five years of use, with anxiety and substance use significantly

Table 3	Unadjusted	associations	of mental i	llness with	endocrine	therapy	initiation.	discontinuation.	adherence

Illness	Number with illness	Models							
		Initiation		Discontinuation <sup>a</sup>		Adherence <sup>b</sup>			
		HR	p value	HR	p value	Estimate	p value		
Any mental illness	5459 (24.9%)	0.95 (0.92–0.98)	0.01	1.19 (1.11–1.27)	< 0.001	-0.006 (-0.012, 0.000)	0.06		
Emotional disorders									
Unipolar depression	2418 (11.0%)	0.95 (0.90-0.99)	0.03	1.09 (1.00–1.20)	0.06	-0.006 (-0.015, 0.002)	0.14		
Anxiety	2089 (9.5%)	1.00 (0.95-1.05)	0.87	1.24 (1.13–1.37)	< 0.001	-0.004 (-0.013, 0.005)	0.35		
Adjustment	81 (0.4%)	0.93 (0.72–1.19)	0.56	1.42 (0.92-2.20)	0.12	-0.006 (-0.046, 0.034)	0.76		
Cognitive disorders									
Delirium	515 (2.4%)	0.85 (0.77-0.94)	0.01	1.14 (0.92–1.40)	0.23	0.009 (-0.009, 0.027)	0.32		
Dementia	1017 (4.6%)	0.82 (0.76-0.88)	< 0.001	1.10 (0.94–1.28)	0.25	0.008 (-0.005, 0.021)	0.20		
Personality	59 (0.3%)	0.77 (0.56-1.07)	0.12	1.12 (0.61-2.09)	0.71	0.011 (-0.040, 0.061)	0.68		
Mania and psychoses									
Bipolar depression	265 (1.2%)	0.85 (0.74-0.98)	0.02	0.95 (0.71-1.28)	0.75	-0.009 (-0.037, 0.019)	0.06		
Schizophrenia	185 (0.8%)	0.99 (0.84–1.17)	0.94	0.81 (0.56–1.18)	0.28	0.035 (0.007, 0.062)	0.01		
Non-schizophrenia psy- chosis	1004 (4.6%)	0.89 (0.83–0.96)	0.01	1.20 (1.04–1.37)	0.01	-0.001 (-0.013, 0.012)	0.91		
Substance use									
Drug use	970 (4.4%)	0.99 (0.92-1.06)	0.69	1.40 (1.22–1.59)	< 0.001	-0.023 (-0.038, -0.009)	0.002		
Alcohol use	75 (0.3%)	1.01 (0.78–1.31)	0.96	1.71 (1.11–2.63)	0.01	-0.105 (-0.175, -0.034)	0.004		

<sup>a</sup>Discontinuation analyzed for the first 5 years of endocrine therapy use

<sup>b</sup>Proportion Days Covered (PDC) adherence was calculated in 1-year intervals, concluding after the 5th year of follow-up, if available. Individuals with less than 1 year of follow-up did not contribute to adherence values. Year adherence values not included if patient spent greater than half of that year hospitalized or in skilled nursing facilities. Adherence estimate of 0.01 corresponds to 1% change

associated with increasing discontinuation risk. Overall adjusted adherence rates among active continuous users (mean 84%) exceeded a commonly referenced 80% minimum threshold, but a 2.1% reduction was seen in patients with substance use disorders. These at-risk subgroups may be explored further to identify actionable interventions.

#### **Treatment initiation**

Endocrine therapy is recommended for all patients with ER+ breast cancer, but we observed that 20% of women did not receive any, worsening recurrence and mortality risk [1, 5]. Patients with dementia or bipolar and psychotic disorders less frequently initiated endocrine therapy. We expected dementia symptoms to complicate health behaviors, as reduced independence may negatively impact medication adherence [25]. Also, patients with dementia often have substantial comorbidity, potentially making endocrine therapy a lesser priority in the face of other burdens [26]. Bipolar and psychotic disorder symptoms can greatly disrupt daily life [27]. This population often struggles with general medication adherence [28].

While endocrine therapy initiation is likely affected by dependence or disability, significant comorbidity, and debilitating symptoms, provider decision-making affects whether a prescription is recommended and filled. Patients with mental illness are at known risk to receive worse quality of general medical care [29]. However, we saw comparable initiation rates among those with and without most mental illnesses, suggesting provider knowledge of patient mental illness may not strongly affect whether endocrine therapies are prescribed.

#### Non-adherence

Endocrine therapy adherence was reduced in patients with substance use disorders. The use of mind-altering substances can result in impairment and social isolation, and often co-occurs with additional psychiatric illness [30]. While tobacco use may not reflect the broader neuropsychiatric disturbances seen with opioids or alcohol, smoking is associated with mental illness and social disparities [31]. Patients with substance use disorders may have pervasive disruption in life and social stability, resulting in an inability to maintain long-term medication use.

We found no association of unipolar depression with adherence after multivariable adjustment. Previous research suggested depression decreases adherence. However, these studies included a heterogeneous set of cohorts and measures with only one using ICD-9 diagnosis codes [8]. In our

Table 4	Multivariable analysis of mental	illness associated	with endocrine the	erapy initiation,	discontinuation,	and adherence
---------	----------------------------------	--------------------	--------------------	-------------------	------------------	---------------

	Number with illness	Initiation	Discontinuation <sup>a</sup>	Adherence <sup>b</sup>
		HR	HR	Estimate
Intercept <sup>c</sup>	_	_	_	0.758 (0.748, 0.768)
Emotional				
Unipolar depression or adjustment disorder	2473 (11.3%)	0.97 (0.92-1.02)	1.07 (0.92-1.13)	-0.007 (-0.016, 0.002)
Anxiety	2089 (9.5%)	1.01 (0.96-1.06)	1.21 (1.09–1.34)**	-0.003 (-0.012, 0.006)
Cognitive				
Delirium	515 (2.4%)	0.95 (0.85-1.06)	1.04 (0.83-1.29)	0.010 (-0.008, 0.029)
Dementia	1017 (4.6%)	0.90 (0.83-0.98)*	0.97 (0.83-1.15)	0.011 (-0.002, 0.025)
Personality	59 (0.3%)	0.87 (0.63-1.21)	0.87 (0.46-1.63)	0.017 (-0.031, 0.066)
Substance use (alcohol, drug)	1006 (4.6%)	0.99 (0.92-1.06)	1.43 (1.26-1.64)**	-0.023 (-0.037, -0.010)**
Bipolar and psychoses (bipolar depression, non- schizophrenia psychosis/schizophrenia)	1259 (5.8%)	0.93 (0.87-0.99)*	1.08 (0.94–1.24)	0.004 (-0.008, 0.015)
Stage at diagnosis				
Ref = 1				
2		1.14 (1.10–1.18)**	0.80 (0.75-0.86)**	0.001 (-0.005, 0.006)
3		1.05 (0.99–1.11)	0.72 (0.64-0.82)**	-0.012 (-0.023, -0.002)*
4		1.52 (1.31–1.76)**	1.19 (0.92–1.55)	$-0.049 (-0.080, -0.019)^{*}$
Unknown		0.86 (0.77-0.97)*	0.83 (0.66-1.04)	0.001 (-0.018, 0.020)
Race				
Ref = White				
Asian		1.09 (1.02–1.18)*	0.82 (0.71-0.96)*	0.012 (0.001, 0.023)*
Black		0.96 (0.90-1.02)	0.73 (0.63-0.84)**	-0.009 (-0.020, 0.002)
Other		0.98 (0.82-1.18)	0.93 (0.63-1.38)	-0.039 (-0.073, -0.005)*
Ethnicity				
Ref=non-hispanic				
Hispanic		1.00 (0.94–1.07)	0.82 (0.71-0.94)*	-0.003 (-0.014, 0.008)
Age at diagnosis				
Ref=68-74				
75–84		0.92 (0.89-0.95)**	1.26 (1.18–1.34)**	-0.002 (-0.008, 0.003)
85–94		0.65 (0.61-0.68)**	1.55 (1.41–1.71)**	0.012 (0.004, 0.021)*
95+		0.45 (0.34-0.60)**	2.61 (1.57-4.36)**	-0.024 (-0.089, 0.041)
Adjusted NCI comorbidity score		1.00 (0.99–1.01)	1.00 (0.98-1.01)	0.001 (-0.001, 0.002)
Year of cancer diagnosis				
Ref=2007				
2008		0.98 (0.92-1.05)	0.95 (0.86-1.05)	0.003 (-0.008, 0.014)
2009		1.01 (0.95–1.07)	0.90 (0.81-1.00)*	0.024 (0.013, 0.034)**
2010		1.11 (1.05–1.19)**	0.85 (0.76-0.95)*	0.059 (0.048, 0.070)**
2011		1.17 (1.09–1.24)**	0.90 (0.80-1.01)	0.070 (0.060, 0.081)**
2012		1.15 (1.08–1.23)**	0.91 (0.80-1.03)	0.086 (0.075, 0.096)**
2013		1.19 (1.12–1.27)**	0.92 (0.81-1.06)	0.084 (0.072, 0.095)**
Year of endocrine therapy use <sup>c</sup>				
Ref=1				
2		-	-	0.066 (0.062, 0.070)**
3		-	-	0.088 (0.084, 0.093)**
4		-	-	0.118 (0.112, 0.124)**
5		-	-	0.130 (0.122, 0.138)**

\*p < 0.05, \*\*p < 0.001, 95% confidence interval

<sup>a</sup>Discontinuation analyzed for the first 5 years of endocrine therapy use

<sup>b</sup>Proportion days covered (PDC) adherence was calculated in 1-year intervals, concluding after the 5th year of follow-up, if available. Individuals with less than 1 year of follow-up did not contribute to adherence values. Year adherence values not included if patient spent greater than half of that year hospitalized or in skilled nursing facilities. Adherence estimate of 0.01 corresponds to 1% change

<sup>c</sup>Intercept, year only apply to linear adherence model



Proportion of Patients Who Had Not Initiated Endocrine Therapy One Year After Breast Cancer Diagnosis, By Mental Illness Grouping

**Fig. 1** Direct adjusted rates (endocrine therapy non-initiation) at year 1 of follow-up were calculated from final multivariable initiation Cox model, with 95% confidence interval, including adjustments for

stage, age, year of diagnosis, race, ethnicity, and 3-year NCI modified comorbidity index



Proportion of Patients Who Continued Endocrine Therapy for One Year, By Mental Illness Grouping

**Fig. 2** Direct adjusted rates (continuous endocrine therapy use) at year 1 of follow-up were calculated from final multivariable discontinuation Cox model, with 95% confidence interval, including adjust-

ments for stage, age, year of diagnosis, race, ethnicity, and 3-year NCI modified comorbidity index

cohort, continuous Medicare Part D coverage may have improved access to medication and enabled adherence. While mental illness contributes to diagnostic and primary treatment delays, it appears that most patients who initiate endocrine therapies adhere as well as the general population [9]. Timely diagnosis and receipt of primary breast cancer treatment may be key to improving morbidity and mortality rates in patients with mental illness.

## Discontinuation

Discontinuation of endocrine therapy was more common in patients with anxiety or substance use disorders. As discussed above, the disruptions seen in substance use disorders are likely to destabilize health behaviors, increasing discontinuation. Anxiety disorders can include "persistent, excessive, and/or unrealistic worry," phobias, and panic attacks [32]. While some physiologic anxiety may be motivating, chronic pathologic anxiety can impair decision-making and promote avoidance behaviors [33]. Overwhelming anxiety could distract from breast cancer treatment, which may precipitate discontinuation. Long-term patient–provider relationships may help improve health behaviors, reduce anxiety, and thus increase continuous endocrine therapy use.

#### Other patient characteristics

Patients diagnosed with stage IV cancer were more likely to initiate but had lower adherence rates among continued users. Initiation and discontinuation may be more influenced by provider factors and benefit-to-risk perception than is daily medication taking, which may be susceptible to treatment and disease burdens that increase with advancing stage. Older age was associated with lower initiation rates, consistent with other reports [4]. Older women may prioritize immediate quality of life over future recurrence potential when treatment lasts five years and can cause physical side effects [4, 34, 35]. Finally, Asian race and recent year of cancer diagnosis were often associated with increased endocrine therapy use. However, these effects should not be assumed causal as these variables were introduced to control for potential unmeasured confounding and were not the focus of analysis.

#### Study strengths and potential limitations

Strengths of the study include the nationally representative cohort with a large sample size sufficient to examine low prevalence illnesses and finer adherence metrics. While adherence can be examined as a dichotomous ( $\geq 0.80$ ) outcome, continuous PDC captures lost detail. Changes in adherence below the 80% adherence threshold may be clinically significant; incremental decreases may affect mortality [5].

Potential limitations include generalizability and limits of claims data measurements. Although the median age at breast cancer diagnosis is 62 years, close to the age of Medicare enrollment, 65 years, results from Medicare patients may not generalize to younger, privately, or uninsured women [11]. We did not have a reliable measure of cancer recurrence, which may contribute to treatment discontinuation. Mental illness diagnoses are not always captured accurately in claims data. For example, substance abuse is often underdiagnosed [36]. Cultural beliefs and behaviors may affect mental illness diagnosis, contributing to measurement bias [37]. Patients with undiagnosed mental illness may less frequently utilize healthcare, possibly due to severe symptoms, which may contribute to non-adherence. Medicare Part D claims also do not assure medication was taken as directed after acquisition. Adherence calculations may be improved with follow-up surveys, diaries, or electronic measurement tools. However, we used accepted claims-based adherence measures, and adjustments for medication overfill, class switching, and time hospitalized [19].

We found that patients with mental illness had less available follow-up and were more frequently censored at death, consistent with prior evidence that Medicare-enrolled women with breast cancer and severe mental illness had higher rates of all-cause mortality [10]. While patients with mental illness in our study were on average diagnosed with later stages and greater comorbidity, we still saw statistically significant effects for certain mental illnesses after adjustment. Finally, mechanisms for mental illness effects are not identified in these data and could be due to behavioral symptoms, differential experience of side effects, physician judgement, or access to care.

# Conclusions

Even though endocrine therapy adherence is important for breast cancer survival, 20.0% of female Medicare beneficiaries never initiated, and 25.7% of users discontinued treatment prior to five years of use. Nearly one-quarter of Medicare patients with breast cancer had diagnosed mental illness preceding breast cancer. While prior studies suggest mental illness contributes to diagnostic and primary treatment delays, we saw modest impacts on whether endocrine therapy was initiated, adhered to, or discontinued. This study identifies potential groups at-risk for non-adherence, and future work should explore risk factors which disproportionately affect vulnerable patients, as well as actionable interventions. Acknowledgements This work was supported by the University of Iowa Holden Comprehensive Cancer Center Population Research Core (P30 CA086862), and the University of Iowa Medical Scientist Training Program (T32 GM007337). This study used the linked SEER-Medicare database. The interpretation and reporting of these data are the sole responsibility of the authors. The authors acknowledge the efforts of the National Cancer Institute; the Office of Research, Development, and Information, CMS; Information Management Services (IMS), Inc.; and the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) Program tumor registries in the creation of the SEER-Medicare database. The collection of cancer incidence data used in this study was supported by the California Department of Public Health as part of the statewide cancer reporting program mandated by California Health and Safety Code Section 103885; the National Cancer Institute's Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results Program under contract HHSN261201000140C awarded to the Cancer Prevention Institute of California, contract HHSN261201000035C awarded to the University of Southern California, and contract HHSN261201000034C awarded to the Public Health Institute; and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's National Program of Cancer Registries, under agreement # U58DP003862-01 awarded to the California Department of Public Health. The ideas and opinions expressed herein are those of the author(s) and endorsement by the State of California Department of Public Health, the National Cancer Institute, and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention or their Contractors and Subcontractors is not intended nor should be inferred. The authors acknowledge the efforts of the National Cancer Institute; the Office of Research, Development, and Information, CMS; Information Management Services (IMS), Inc.; and the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) Program tumor registries in the creation of the SEER-Medicare database.

**Data availability** The SEER-Medicare data supporting the findings of this study are available from the National Cancer Institute but restrictions apply to the availability for these data, which were under license for the study, and are not available without approval. Data are available from the authors upon reasonable request and with permission of the National Cancer Institute.

## **Compliance with ethical standards**

**Conflict of interest** Dr. Fiedorowicz reports a consultation role with Myriad Genetics, Inc. (consultation for mood disorder proteomics study), as well as research funding from Myriad Genetics, Inc. (not applied to this work). Mr. Haskins, and Drs. McDowell, Carnahan, Walace, Smith, and Chrischilles have no disclosures or conflict of interest to report.

**Ethical standards** This study did not involve any direct human interventions. All research and analysis was performed in compliance with the current University of Iowa IRB regulations and laws of the United States.

# References

- Davies C et al (2013) Long-term effects of continuing adjuvant tamoxifen to 10 years versus stopping at 5 years after diagnosis of oestrogen receptor-positive breast cancer: ATLAS, a randomised trial. Lancet 381(9869):805–816
- Partridge AH et al (2003) Nonadherence to adjuvant tamoxifen therapy in women with primary breast cancer. J Clin Oncol 21(4):602–606

- Hershman DL et al (2010) Early discontinuation and nonadherence to adjuvant hormonal therapy in a cohort of 8,769 early-stage breast cancer patients. J Clin Oncol 28(27):4120–4128
- Doggrell SA et al (2011) Adherence to oral endocrine treatments in women with breast cancer: can it be improved? Breast Cancer Res Treat 129(2):299–308
- Hershman DL et al (2011) Early discontinuation and non-adherence to adjuvant hormonal therapy are associated with increased mortality in women with breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res Treat 126(2):529–537
- Hadji P et al (2010) Improving compliance and persistence to adjuvant tamoxifen and aromatase inhibitor therapy. Crit Rev Oncol Hematol 73(2):156–166
- Land SR et al. (2016) Symptoms and QOL as predictors of chemoprevention adherence in NRG oncology/NSABP trial P-1. J Natl Cancer Inst. https://doi.org/10.1093/jnci/djv365
- Mausbach BT et al (2015) Depression as a predictor of adherence to adjuvant endocrine therapy (AET) in women with breast cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Breast Cancer Res Treat 152(2):239–246
- 9. Iglay K et al (2017) Diagnosis and treatment delays among elderly breast cancer patients with pre-existing mental illness. Breast Cancer Res Treat 166(1):267–275
- Iglay K et al (2017) Impact of preexisting mental illness on allcause and breast cancer-specific mortality in elderly patients with breast cancer. J Clin Oncol 35(36):4012–4018
- Howlader N at al (2017) SEER cancer statistics review, 1975– 2014. National Cancer Institute, Rockville
- 12. NIH. SEER-medicare: SEER program and data. NIH Healthcare Delivery Research Program 2018. https://healthcaredelivery.cance r.gov/seermedicare/aboutdata/program.html
- NIH. SEER-medicare: medicare claims files. NIH Healthcare Delivery Research Program 2018. https://healthcaredelivery.cance r.gov/seermedicare/medicare/claims.html#part\_d
- NIH. Breast Schema for 1988 + based on AJCC 6th edition. NIH Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results Program 2018. https ://seer.cancer.gov/seerstat/variables/seer/ajcc-stage/6th/breas t.html
- NIH. NCI Comorbidity Index Overview. NIH Healthcare Delivery Research Program 2018. https://healthcaredelivery.cancer.gov/ seermedicare/considerations/comorbidity.html
- American Psychiatric Association (1994) Diagnostic and statistical manual, 6th edn. American Psychiatric Association, Washington DC
- Tajeu GS et al (2016) Trends in antihypertensive medication discontinuation and low adherence among medicare beneficiaries initiating treatment from 2007 to 2012. Hypertension 68(3):565–575
- Burstein HJ et al (2014) Adjuvant endocrine therapy for women with hormone receptor-positive breast cancer: american society of clinical oncology clinical practice guideline focused update. J Clin Oncol 32(21):2255–2269
- Hess LM et al (2006) Measurement of adherence in pharmacy administrative databases: a proposal for standard definitions and preferred measures. Ann Pharmacother 40(7–8):1280–1288
- Chang A et al (2015) A SAS macro to calculate the PDC adjustment of inpatient stays. SAS Global Forum Proceedings 2015. Paper 3560
- NIH. SEER-medicare: calculation of comorbidity weights. NIH Healthcare Delivery Research Program 2018. https://healthcare delivery.cancer.gov/seermedicare/considerations/calculation.html
- 22. Akaike H (1981) Likelihood of a model and information criteria. J Econometr 16:3
- 23. Zhang X et al (2007) A SAS macro for estimation of direct adjusted survival curves based on a stratified Cox regression model (vol 88, pg 95, 2007). Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine 88(3):313–314

- 24. Pan W (2001) Akaike's information criterion in generalized estimating equations. Biometrics 57(1):120–125
- Insel KC et al (2006) The negative association of independent personality and medication adherence. J Aging Health 18(3):407–418
- Arlt S et al (2008) Adherence to medication in patients with dementia: predictors and strategies for improvement. Drugs Aging 25(12):1033–1047
- Reus VI (2015) In: Kasper D (ed) Mental disorders, in Harrison's principles of internal medicine, 19e. McGraw-Hill Education: New York
- Lingam R, Scott J (2002) Treatment non-adherence in affective disorders. Acta Psychiatr Scand 105(3):164–172
- De Hert M et al (2011) Physical illness in patients with severe mental disorders. II. Barriers to care, monitoring and treatment guidelines, plus recommendations at the system and individual level. World Psychiatry 10(2):138–151
- Cruz ES et al (2014) Medical rehabilitation—substance abuse, in current diagnosis and treatment: physical medicine and rehabilitation. McGraw-Hill, New York
- 31. Leonard S et al (2001) Smoking and mental illness. Pharmacol Biochem Behav 70(4):561–570

- 32. Reus VI (2017) In: Jameson JL et al (eds) Psychiatric disorders, in Harrison's principles of internal medicine, 20e. McGraw-Hill Education: New York
- Marion D (2017) Psychological factors affecting other medical conditions: clinical features, assessment, and diagnosis, in uptodate. Waltham
- Hudani ZK, Rojas-Fernandez CH (2016) A scoping review on medication adherence in older patients with cognitive impairment or dementia. Res Soc Adm Pharm 12(6):815–829
- Rizzuto D et al (2017) Personality and survival in older age: the role of lifestyle behaviors and health status. Am J Geriatr Psychiatry 25(12):1363–1372
- 36. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (2015) Committee Opinion No. 633: Alcohol abuse and other substance use disorders: ethical issues in obstetric and gynecologic practice. Obstet Gynecol 125(6):1529-1537
- 37. Chapter 2: culture counts: the influence of culture and society on mental health. US Department of Health and Human Services. Mental health: culture, race, ethnicity—a supplement to mental health: a report of the surgeon general. 2001