EPIDEMIOLOGY

Dietary flavonoid and lignan intake and breast cancer risk according to menopause and hormone receptor status in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC) Study

Raul Zamora-Ros · Pietro Ferrari · Carlos A. González · Anne Tjønneland · Anja Olsen · Lea Bredsdorff · Kim Overvad · Marina Touillaud · Florence Perquier · Guy Fagherazzi · Annekatrin Lukanova · Kaja Tikk · Krasimira Aleksandrova · Heiner Boeing · Antonia Trichopoulou · Dimitrios Trichopoulos · Vardis Dilis · Giovanna Masala · Sabina Sieri · Amalia Mattiello · Rosario Tumino · Fulvio Ricceri · H. Bas Bueno-de-Mesquita · Petra H. M. Peeters · Elisabete Weiderpass · Guri Skeie · Dagrun Engeset · Virginia Menéndez · Noémie Travier · Esther Molina-Montes · Pilar Amiano · Maria-Dolores Chirlaque · Aurelio Barricarte · Peter Wallström · Emily Sonestedt · Malin Sund · Rikard Landberg · Kay-Thee Khaw · Nicholas J. Wareham · Ruth C. Travis · Augustin Scalbert · Heather A. Ward · Elio Riboli · Isabelle Romieu

Received: 8 March 2013/Accepted: 11 March 2013/Published online: 10 April 2013 © Springer Science+Business Media New York 2013

Abstract Evidence on the association between dietary flavonoids and lignans and breast cancer (BC) risk is inconclusive, with the possible exception of isoflavones in Asian countries. Therefore, we investigated prospectively dietary total and subclasses of flavonoid and lignan intake and BC risk according to menopause and hormonal receptor

R. Zamora-Ros (⊠) · C. A. González · N. Travier Unit of Nutrition, Environment and Cancer, Catalan Institute of Oncology (ICO), Bellvitge Biomedical Research Institute (IDIBELL), Avda Gran Via 199-203, L'Hospitalet de Llobregat, 08907 Barcelona, Spain e-mail: rzamora@iconcologia.net

P. Ferrari · A. Scalbert · I. Romieu Section of Nutrition and Metabolism, International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC), Lyon, France

A. Tjønneland · A. Olsen Institute of Cancer Epidemiology, Danish Cancer Society, Copenhagen, Denmark

L. Bredsdorff Technical University of Denmark, National Food Institute, Soeborg, Denmark

K. Overvad Section for Epidemiology, Department of Public Health, Aarhus University, Århus, Denmark

M. Touillaud · F. Perquier · G. Fagherazzi Centre for Research in Epidemiology and Population Health (CESP), U1018, Nutrition, Hormones and Women's Health Team, Inserm, 94805 Villejuif, France status in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC) cohort. The study included 334,850 women, mostly aged between 35 and 70 years from ten European countries. At baseline, country-specific validated dietary questionnaires were used. A flavonoid and lignan food composition database was developed from the US

M. Touillaud · F. Perquier · G. Fagherazzi Paris South University, UMRS 1018, Villejuif, France

M. Touillaud · F. Perquier · G. Fagherazzi Institut Gustave-Roussy (IGR), 94805 Villejuif, France

A. Lukanova · K. Tikk Department of Cancer Epidemiology, German Cancer Research Center, Heidelberg, Germany

K. Aleksandrova · H. Boeing Department of Epidemiology, German Institute of Human Nutrition Potsdam-Rehbrücke, Nuthetal, Germany

A. Trichopoulou \cdot D. Trichopoulos \cdot V. Dilis Hellenic Health Foundation, Athens, Greece

A. Trichopoulou Department of Hygiene, Epidemiology and Medical Statistics, University of Athens Medical School, WHO Collaborating Center for Food and Nutrition Policies, Athens, Greece

D. Trichopoulos Department of Epidemiology, Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, MA, USA Department of Agriculture, the Phenol-Explorer and the UK Food Standards Agency databases. Cox regression models were used to analyse the association between dietary flavonoid/lignan intake and the risk of developing BC. During an average 11.5-year follow-up, 11,576 incident BC cases were identified. No association was observed between the intake of total flavonoids [hazard ratio comparing fifth to first quintile (HR₀₅₋₀₁) 0.97, 95 % confidence interval (CI): 0.90–1.04; P trend = 0.591], isoflavones (HR_{05–01} 1.00, 95 % CI: 0.91–1.10; P trend = 0.734), or total lignans $(HR_{O5-O1} 1.02, 95 \% CI: 0.93-1.11; P trend = 0.469)$ and overall BC risk. The stratification of the results by menopausal status at recruitment or the differentiation of BC cases according to oestrogen and progesterone receptors did not affect the results. This study shows no associations between flavonoid and lignan intake and BC risk, overall or after taking into account menopausal status and BC hormone receptors.

Keywords Flavonoids · Lignans · Breast cancer · Hormone receptors · EPIC

List of Abbreviations

BC	Breast cancer
EPIC	European prospective investigation into cancer and
	nutrition
ER	Oestrogen receptor

PR Progesterone receptor

D. Trichopoulos Bureau of Epidemiologic Research, Academy of Athens, Athens, Greece

G. Masala Molecular and Nutritional Epidemiology Unit, ISPO Cancer Prevention and Research Institute, Florence, Italy

S. Sieri

Nutritional Epidemiology Unit, Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Nazionale dei Tumori, Milan, Italy

A. Mattiello

Department of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, Federico II University, Naples, Italy

R. Tumino

Cancer Registry and Histopathology Unit, "Civile M.P. Arezzo" Hospital, Ragusa, Italy

F. Ricceri Human Genetics Foundation (HUGEF), Turin, Italy

H. B. Bueno-de-Mesquita Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), Bilthoven, The Netherlands

Introduction

Breast cancer (BC) is a complex and heterogeneous disease, with oestrogen receptor (ER) and progesterone receptor (PR) status being one of the markers for breast tumour classification [1]. Differences have been observed in the aetiology, treatment and prognosis of hormone receptor status-positive and -negative BC [2, 3]. Because of the importance of menopause as an effect modifier, studies should stratify for menopause status [1].

Polyphenols are secondary plant metabolites widely spread throughout the plant kingdom [4]. They are usually divided into five classes: flavonoids (anthocyanidins, flavonols, flavanones, flavones, flavanols and isoflavones), phenolic acids, stilbenes, lignans and other polyphenols. Flavonoids have many biological effects that may play a role in BC prevention, including a reduction of reactive oxygen species production, antimutagenic and antiproliferative properties, regulation of cell signalling and cell cycle, and inhibition of angiogenesis [5, 6]. In addition, phyto-oestrogens, such as isoflavones and lignans, have a weak oestrogen-like activity; therefore, phyto-oestrogens could interact with ERs in the development of BC [7, 8].

Previous case–control studies have shown that the intake of some subclasses of flavonoids, especially flavones and flavonols, was associated with a reduced risk of BC [9]. However, evidence from prospective cohort studies remains controversial [10–15]. A recent meta-analysis [16] on the role of isoflavones on BC risk suggested a

H. B. Bueno-de-Mesquita Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, University Medical Center Utrecht (UMCU), Utrecht, The Netherlands

P. H. M. Peeters Julius Center for Health Sciences and Primary Care, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands

P. H. M. Peeters · H. A. Ward Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Faculty of Medicine, Imperial College, London, UK

E. Weiderpass · G. Skeie · D. Engeset Department of Community Medicine, University of Tromsø, Tromsö, Norway

E. Weiderpass Cancer Registry of Norway, Oslo, Norway

E. Weiderpass Department of Medical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden

E. Weiderpass Samfundet Folkhälsan, Helsinki, Finland significantly inverse association in certain Asian countries, particularly in post-menopausal women, in whom soy intake is notably high [17]. To date, no association has been observed in Western countries [16]. With respect to lignans, the evidence is abundant but inconclusive [18-20]. The French postmenopausal European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC) cohort showed a significant protective association of dietary lignan intake which was limited to ER- and PR-positive tumours [21]. Indeed, in one of the Swedish EPIC cohorts, the plasma enterolactone concentration, a lignan intake biomarker, was inversely associated with BC risk in ERa positive, particularly when ER β is negative [22]. However, in the Danish EPIC cohort, a significant inverse association was only observed between plasma enterolactone concentrations and ER-negative tumours [23], whereas no significant associations were reported between dietary, urinary and serum levels of both lignans and isoflavones in the Norfolk EPIC Study [24]. This inconsistency might be due to the limited number of cases by BC subtypes, or low levels and/ or low variability of dietary intake. Therefore, larger epidemiological studies are needed to investigate the potential protective association of flavonoid and lignan intake as well as a possible modification of this effect by menopausal or hormone receptor status.

The aim of the current study was to evaluate the association of dietary intake of flavonoids and lignans on the risk of BC, by menopause and hormone receptor status, within the EPIC Study [25], a large prospective cohort with

V. Menéndez Public Health Directorate, Asturias, Spain

E. Molina-Montes Andalusian School of Public Health, Granada, Spain

E. Molina-Montes · P. Amiano · M.-D. Chirlaque · A. Barricarte CIBER Epidemiología y Salud Pública (CIBERESP), Madrid, Spain

 P. Amiano
 Public Health Department of Gipuzkoa, Basque Government, San Sebastián, Spain

M.-D. Chirlaque Department of Epidemiology, Murcia Regional Health Council, Murcia, Spain

A. Barricarte Public Health Institute of Navarra, Pamplona, Spain

P. Wallström
 Nutrition Epidemiology Research Group,
 Department of Clinical Sciences, Lund University, Malmö,
 Sweden

considerable variability in flavonoid and lignan intake amongst participants [26, 27].

Materials and methods

Subjects and study design

EPIC is a multicentre prospective cohort study primarily designed to investigate the relation between diet, lifestyle and environmental factors and cancer. All participants were enroled between the years 1992 and 2000 from 23 centres in ten European countries: Denmark, France, Germany, Greece, Italy, The Netherlands, Norway, Spain, Sweden and the United Kingdom. Participants were mainly recruited from the general population with some exceptions: Turin and Ragusa (Italy) and Spain recruited mostly blood donors, France recruited mostly teachers, Oxford (United Kingdom) recruited a high proportion of healthconscious individuals and Utrecht (The Netherlands) and Florence (Italy) recruited women attending mammographic screening programmes. The rationale and study design of the EPIC Study have been published elsewhere [25, 28]. Approval for this study was obtained from the ethical review boards of the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) and from the local ethics committees in participating countries. All cohort members provided written informed consent.

Diabetes and Cardiovascular Disease, Genetic Epidemiology, Department of Clinical Sciences, Lund University, Malmö, Sweden

M. Sund

Departments of Surgical and Perioperative Sciences, Surgery and Public Health and Clinical Medicine, Nutrition Research, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden

R. Landberg Department of Food Science, BioCenter, Swedish University of Agriculture Science, Uppsala, Sweden

K.-T. Khaw

Department of Public Health and Primary Care, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

N. J. Wareham MRC Epidemiology Unit, Cambridge, UK

R. C. Travis Cancer Epidemiology Unit, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

E. Riboli School of Public Health, Imperial College, London, UK

E. Sonestedt

EPIC recruited 367,903 women, mostly aged between 35 and 70 years. Women with prevalent cancer diagnosis at baseline (n = 19,853), missing diagnosis or censoring date (n = 2,892), missing dietary or lifestyle information (n = 3,339), or in the top and bottom 1 % of the ratio of reported total energy intake to estimated energy requirement (n = 6,752) were excluded. In addition, 217 non-first BC cases were censored, leaving 334,850 women with complete exposure information for the current analysis.

Dietary assessment and data collection

Habitual diet over the previous 12 months was measured by country-specific validated questionnaires [28]. Most centres used self-administered questionnaires, whereas in Greece, Spain and Ragusa (Italy), a face to face interview was performed. Questionnaires in most of the centres were quantitative, estimating portion sizes systematically. In Denmark, Norway, Umeå (Sweden) and Naples (Italy), semiquantitative food-frequency questionnaires were administered. In Malmö (Sweden), a modified diet history method was used, combining a 7-day diet record, a semiquantitative questionnaire and 1-h dietary interview. Daily food intake was calculated in g/day. Ethanol (g/day), total dietary fibre (g/day) and total energy (kcal/day) intake was computed using the EPIC Nutrient Database [29]. A separate lifestyle questionnaire gathered information on sociodemographic characteristics, lifetime smoking and alcohol consumption, physical activity, education and medical history [25]. In addition, anthropometric measures were obtained at recruitment [30]. Body mass index was calculated as weight (kg) per height (m) squared.

Identification and follow-up of BC cases

In most countries (Denmark, Italy, The Netherlands, Norway, Spain, Sweden and United Kingdom), incident BC cases were identified through a linkage with populationbased cancer registries. In Greece, Germany, Naples (Italy) and France, active follow-up of cancer was using health insurance records, cancer and pathology registries, and direct contact with participants or their next of kin. In all EPIC centres, cancer diagnosis was confirmed by review of pathology reports. Vital status was collected from regional or national mortality registries. Subjects were followed up from study entry and until cancer diagnosis (except for nonmelanoma skin cancer), death, emigration or until the end of the follow-up period, whichever occurred first. The follow-up periods ended at the following times: December 2004 Asturias (Spain), December 2006 [Florence, Varese and Ragusa (Italy); and Granada and San Sebastian (Spain)], December 2007 [Murcia and Navarra (Spain), Oxford (United Kingdom), Bilthoven and Utrecht (The Netherlands), and Denmarkl, June 2008 Cambridge (United Kingdom), December 2008 [Turin (Italy), Malmö and Umea (Sweden), and Norway]. For study centres with active follow-up, the end of follow-up was considered to be the last known contact with study participants: December 2006 for France and Naples (Italy), December 2008 for Potsdam (Germany), December 2009 for Greece and June 2010 for Heidelberg (Germany). We used the Tenth Revision International Classification of Diseases, Injury and Causes of Death (ICD-10), and invasive BC was defined as C50.0-50.9. Information on ER and PR status was provided by each centre on the basis of pathology reports. To standardize the quantification of receptor status, the following criteria for a positive receptor status were applied: \geq % cells stained, any 'plus-system' description, >20 fmol/mg, an Allred score of >3, an immunoreactive score (IRS) ≥ 2 , or an H-score ≥ 10 [31].

Flavonoid and lignan intake

Dietary flavonoid and lignan intake was estimated by matching food items on the country-specific dietary questionnaires with a comprehensive food composition database (FCDB) on flavonoids and lignans based on the US Department Agriculture FCDBs [32-34], Phenol-Explorer [35] and the UK Food Standards Agency FCDB [24]. Furthermore, our FCDB was expanded using retention factors, calculating flavonoid content of recipes, estimating missing values based on similar foods (by species and plant part), obtaining consumption data for food group items and employing botanical data for logical zeros. Data on flavonoids and lignans are expressed as aglycones' equivalents, after conversion of the flavonoid glycosides into aglycone contents using their respective molecular weights. Our FCDB contains composition data on lignans (secoisolariciresinol, matairesinol, lariciresinol, pinoresinol, enterolactone and enterodiol) and the six flavonoid subclasses: anthocyanidins, flavanols (including flavan-3-ols monomers, proanthocyanidins and theaflavins), flavonols, flavones, flavanones and isoflavones [26, 36-38]. The final FCDB contains 1,877 food items, including both raw and cooked foods, and recipes.

Statistical analysis

Flavonoid and lignan intake was assessed by the mean and its standard deviation (SD) as well as the median and the tenth and ninetieth centiles (P10th, P90th) since the data were skewed to the right. The association between dietary intake of flavonoids and lignans and the risk of developing BC was assessed by means of the hazards ratio (HR) and its 95 % confidence interval (CI) using Cox regression models. Tests and graphs based on Schoenfeld [39] residuals

were used to assess the proportional hazards' assumption. Age was the primary time variable and entry time was defined as age at enrolment and exit time as age at diagnosis (for cases) or censoring (for at-risk subjects). The Breslow method was adopted for handling ties [40]. All models were stratified by centre to control for differences in questionnaire design and follow-up procedures amongst centres and by age at baseline (1 year intervals). All models were also adjusted for menopausal status at recruitment [post-menopausal (including surgical) vs perior pre-menopausal, as defined in [41]], smoking status (never, former, current and unknown), educational level (none, primary school, technical/professional school, secondary school, university or higher and unknown), physical activity (inactive, moderately inactive, moderately active, active and unknown), age at menarche (<12, 12-14, >14 year, unknown), age at first full-term birth (nulliparous, <21, 21-30, >30 year), ever use of contraceptive pills (ever, never, unknown), ever use of hormones (ever, never, unknown) and age at menopause (≤ 50 , >50 year). All models were also adjusted for the following continuous variables: height (cm), weight (kg), total energy (kcal/day), alcohol (g/day) and fibre (g/day) intake at baseline. The primary exposure of interest, that is, total flavonoids, total lignans and flavonoid subclasses (mg/day), were assessed as cohort-wide quintiles. In addition, tests for linear trend were performed by assigning the median of each quintile as scores. The continuous flavonoid variables (mg/day) were log₂ transformed since they were not normally distributed. The natural logarithm is the most common transformation used to normalize right-skewed data; we used a log₂ transformation because it produces the same normalizing effect, but the HR is more easily interpretable because it corresponds to the reduction of BC risk for doubling the intake. Flavonoid and lignan intake was also energyadjusted using the residual method [42], but the results did not change substantially. The interactions between BMI status (<25; 25–30; >30 kg/m²) or alcohol consumption (as tertiles) and total flavonoid intake were tested using likelihood ratio tests based on the models with and without the interaction terms. In addition, separate models were defined to assess the risk of BC by menopausal status (preand post-menopausal status) at the recruitment after the exclusion of women with a history of ovariectomy and unknown menopausal status. The associations were also evaluated according to ER and PR status, as well as for combinations of them. Sensitivity analyses were performed by excluding women who developed BC during the first 2 years of follow-up from the analysis. All p-values presented are two-tailed and were considered to be statistically significant when P < 0.05. All statistical analyses were conducted using SAS version 9.3 software (SAS Institute, Inc., NC).

Results

During a median follow-up time of 11.5 years (3,670,436 person-years), 11,576 incident BC cases were identified. The Table 1 shows the distribution of incident BC cases by country, menopausal and hormone receptor status. ER and PR status was available in only 63 and 52 % of cancer cases, respectively, and was distributed as follows: 80 % ER-positive (ER⁺) and 20 % ER⁻ tumours, and 64 % PR⁺ and 36 % PR⁻ tumours.

Women with the highest intake of total flavonoids were more likely to be older, taller and with a lower weight and BMI (Table 2). Moreover, these women used more oral contraceptives, had the highest educational level, the lowest tobacco consumption, tended to be more physically active and had a higher consumption of energy, alcohol and fibre than those in the bottom quintile of the total flavonoid intake. Table 3 shows the mean, median and percentiles 10 and 90 of the total and subclasses of flavonoid and lignan intake and their main food sources.

Total flavonoid intake was not associated with BC overall (hazard ratio comparing fifth to first quintile $(HR_{Q5-Q1}) 0.97, 95 \%$ CI: 0.90–1.04; *P* trend = 0.591) in pre-menopausal women $(HR_{Q5-Q1} 0.98, 95 \%$ CI: 0.84–1.15; *P* trend = 0.656) or in post-menopausal women $(HR_{Q5-Q1} 0.96, 95 \%$ CI: 0.86–1.06; *P* trend = 0.622) (Table 4). The results obtained for total lignan or flavonoid subclasses (including isoflavones) did not show any association either. For total flavonoid intake, no interaction was observed with BMI status (*P* for interaction 0.864) or alcohol consumption (*P* for interaction 0.674).

BC cases were classified according to oestrogen and PRs. Baseline characteristics and the intake of flavonoids and lignans of BC cases with and without hormone receptor status information were assessed. No major differences in demographic characteristics and nutritional intake were found between cases without and with available information on ER status, except that BC cases with missing information on PR status were more likely to be post-menopausal.

When cases were stratified by hormone receptor status, no significant association was found between any flavonoid and lignan intake and ER^-/PR^- , ER^+/PR^- , ER^-/PR^+ , and ER^+/PR^+ BC incidence (Table 5), although an inverse trend, though not significant, was observed between doubling in the intake of total lignan (HR for log₂ 0.88, 95 % CI: 0.76–1.01) and ER^-/PR^- tumours. In a sensitivity analysis, where 136 ER^-/PR^- BC cases diagnosed within the first 2 years of follow-up were removed, the inverse associations with lignan intake (HR for log₂ 0.85, 95 % CI: 0.73–0.99) were slightly strengthened in comparison with the results based on the whole cohort. In the rest of sensitivity analysis excluding BC cases diagnosed within the

Country	All	PY	Breast c	cancer cases					
			All	Pre-menopausal ^a	Post-menopausal ^a	ER ⁻ /PR ^{-b}	ER ⁻ /PR ^{+b}	ER ⁺ /PR ^{-b}	ER ⁺ /PR ^{+b}
France	67,356	699,216	3,187	755	1,417	377	102	487	1,359
Italy	30,498	341,417	1,047	382	462	123	41	164	496
Spain	24,846	299,575	495	256	164	38	6	39	129
United Kingdom	52,513	586,165	1,480	440	787	53	4	36	174
The Netherlands	26,839	315,551	916	184	523	63	5	74	275
Greece	15,224	148,594	198	65	107	9	1	13	45
Germany	27,390	272,011	834	269	407	89	11	46	317
Sweden	26,339	349,110	1,095	122	655	84	25	57	128
Denmark	28,693	316,601	1,340	88	997	108	10	94	296
Norway	35,152	342,195	984	266	353	106	12	123	434
Total	334,850	3,670,436	11,576	2,827	5,872	1,050	217	1,133	3,653

 Table 1 Distribution of participants and breast cancer cases according to menopausal status or breast cancer phenotype in ten countries participating in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC) study

PY person-years, ER oestrogen receptor, PR progesterone receptor

^a Excluding peri-menopausal women 63,340 (18.9 %) and women with a bilateral ovariectomy 9,634 (2.9 %)

^b Missing data for ER: 4,308 (37.2 %); for PR: 5,508 (47.6 %)

first 2 years of follow-up, the results were almost identical to the whole cohort.

Discussion

In this large prospective study including women from ten Western European countries with a large variation in flavonoid and lignan intake, we found no association between total flavonoid, total lignan and flavonoid subclass intake and overall, pre- and post-menopausal BC risk. The analyses differentiating BC cases according to oestrogen and PRs did not show any difference. To our knowledge, this is the largest study with information on hormone receptor status to date to explore this association.

Our results are in agreement with previous prospective studies [10–14], showing no association between the intake of total flavonoids and flavonoid subclasses (not considering isoflavones) and overall, pre- and post-menopausal BC risk. In a nested case–control study, plasma tea polyphenols, basically flavan-3-ol monomers, were not related to overall BC risk [43]. However, several case–control studies, which are susceptible to recall bias, showed inverse associations with flavones and flavonols and inconsistent results with flavan-3-ol monomers [9]. In a case–control study, stratification by hormone receptor status showed a reduced risk of BC for increasing flavonol and flavone intake in ER^+/PR^+ post-menopausal women; however, BC cases in other subtypes were too low for a meaningful conclusion [44]. No significant associations

between BC risk by hormone receptor status and any flavonoid subclasses were observed in our study. A recent prospective study suggested that flavonoids were inversely associated with overall BC risk in non-to-low alcohol drinkers (<6.5 g alcohol/day) and were positively associated in moderate-to-heavy alcohol drinkers [45]. In our study, no significant interaction was observed with alcohol consumption.

For isoflavones, our findings suggest no association with BC risk (overall, by menopausal or hormone receptor status). Studies on BC risk and soy or isoflavones, measured using dietary questionnaires or plasma/urine biomarkers, have found no associations in Western countries [16] as in the previous data on the Dutch EPIC cohort [46] or even amongst the vegetarian participants in the EPIC Oxford (UK) Study [47]. However, in Asian countries, isoflavones were related to a lower BC incidence and recurrence, particularly in post-menopausal women [16, 48]. Menopausal status might be an important modifier of the effect of phyto-oestrogens on the risk for BC because mechanisms that mediate the effect could involve the ovarian synthesis of sex hormones or the alteration of other menstrual cycle characteristics [49]. However, in our study, we did not observe any association with BC risk in postmenopausal women, even in the double-positive receptor status tumours. The large difference in isoflavone intake between countries (<1 and >30 mg/day in Western and Asian countries, respectively) is the most likely explanation for these inconsistent results [17, 26]. In addition, the early exposure to phyto-oestrogens (during the childhood

Table 2 Baseline characteristics according to quintiles of total flavonoid intake in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC) study

	Quintiles of total	flavonoids (mg/day)			
	Q1: <176	Q2: 176–275	Q3: 276–403	Q4: 404–654	Q5: >654
No of participants	66,970	66,970	66,970	66,970	66,970
Age (year) ^a	50.2 (8.7)	50.8 (9.4)	50.8 (9.4)	51.1 (9.9)	51.1 (11.4)
Height (cm) ^a	160.9 (7.0)	161.0 (6.9)	161.3 (6.9)	162.1 (6.7)	163.3 (6.4)
Weight (kg) ^a	67.5 (12.5)	67.1 (12.1)	66.6 (11.8)	66.1 (11.6)	65.4 (11.4)
BMI (kg/cm ²) ^a	26.2 (4.9)	26.0 84.8)	25.6 (4.6)	25.2 (4.4)	24.5 (4.2)
Educational level (%)					
None	6.0	6.6	5.7	3.4	1.0
Primary school	31.4	28.0	25.1	21.1	13.7
Technical school	27.5	21.1	17.6	19.1	22.3
Secondary school	20.5	23.0	26.0	26.2	22.1
University or higher	13.3	19.2	23.2	26.0	31.5
Unknown	1.3	2.0	2.5	4.3	9.4
Smoking status (%)					
Never	44.4	55.8	59.5	59.9	58.7
Former	21.2	20.7	20.7	23.4	26.7
Smoker	31.7	21.2	17.6	14.5	12.3
Unknown	2.7	2.3	2.2	2.2	2.3
Physical activity (%)					
Inactive	19.6	24.1	23.9	21.0	18.7
Moderately inactive	22.0	31.3	34.7	35.5	34.6
Moderately active	13.4	19.7	22.9	24.7	26.0
Active	8.3	11.8	13.8	16.7	19.1
Missing	36.7	13.1	4.7	2.1	1.5
Use of contraceptive pill (%)					
Never	41.3	43.6	42.4	39.4	34.6
Ever	55.7	54.1	54.9	58.8	62.7
Unknown	3.0	2.3	2.7	1.7	2.7
Use of hormones (%)					
Never	67.8	69.3	70.1	69.9	68.9
Ever	24.1	22.4	22.9	24.9	26.9
Unknown	8.1	8.3	6.9	5.2	4.1
Menopausal status (%)					
Pre-menopausal	34.2	34.8	35.5	34.4	35.3
Post-menopausal	40.8	42.7	42.9	44.9	45.6
Peri-menopausal	23.0	19.8	18.5	17.5	15.8
Bilateral ovariectomy	2.1	2.8	3.1	3.2	3.2
Energy (kcal/day) ^a	1,633 (435)	1,860 (475)	2,006 (522)	2,074 (562)	2,085 (559)
Alcohol (g/day) ^a	4.5 (7.4)	6.8 (9.9)	8.8 (11.9)	10.3 (13.7)	10.4 (13.8)
Total fibre (g/day) ^a	17.5 (5.4)	20.4 (5.7)	22.5 (6.2)	24.2 (7.1)	26.1 (8.6)

^a Mean (SD)

and adolescence as observed in Asian countries) may play an important role in their cancer-preventive effects [50]. Further research is needed to evaluate the effect of early phyto-oestrogen intake on hormonal-related cancers, such as BC. In our prospective study, no association was observed between total lignan intake and overall BC risk and by menopausal status. Our results are in concordance with four of the six prospective studies conducted to date [19, 20, 24], except the EPIC French and Swedish

	Mean	SD	Median	P10th	P90th	Four main food sources (%)
Total flavonoids	434.4	330.7	332.2	123.3	922.1	Tea (21.3 %), apples and pears (19.6 %), wine (8.9 %), stone fruits (6.7 %)
Flavanols	350.8	304.1	246.6	82.2	808.3	Tea (49.3 %), apples and pears (16.7 %), wine (6.3 %), stone fruits (5.2 %)
Flavan-3-ols monomers	177.5	254.1	43.8	12.4	531.6	Tea (86.3 %), apples and pears (2.9 %), wine (2.4 %), chocolates (1.8 %)
Proanthocyanidins	167.5	109.6	148.5	58.8	294.7	Apples and pears (33.2 %), wine (11.0 %), stone fruits (10.0 %), chocolates (6.3 %)
Teaflavins	5.9	9.8	0.4	0.0	19.3	Tea (100 %)
Anthocyanidins	29.5	22.8	23.6	8.2	58.2	Wine (15.6 %), grapes (15 %), berries (13.3 %), apple and pears (12.6 %)
Flavonols	27.2	17.6	22.2	9.8	52.4	Tea (30.3 %), bouillons (9.8 %), leafy vegetables (8.2 %), apple and pears (8.1 %)
Flavanones	21.8	21.7	16.1	3.4	45.6	Citrus fruit (49.6 %), fruit juices (42.2 %), wine (3.6 %), jams (0.5 %)
Flavones	3.5	3.9	2.5	0.7	7.0	Herbal tea (36.0), wine (13.6 %), leafy vegetables (8.4 %), citrus fruit (8.4 %)
Total isoflavones	1.5	4.8	0.5	0.1	2.6	Soya products (44.3 %), chocolates (7.6 %), coffee (7.3 %), breads (7.1 %)
Total lignans	1.4	0.8	1.2	0.7	2.4	Breads (12.4 %), cabbages (12.4 %), Tea (12.1 %), COFFEE (8.0 %)

Table 3 Total and subclasses of flavonoid and lignan intake (mg/day) and their main food sources in the European Prospective Investigation intoCancer and Nutrition (EPIC) study

post-menopausal cohorts [21, 51]. Likewise, most of the case-control studies showed protective associations on BC [18, 19]. Of these, one study investigated the role of dietary intake during adolescence, reporting a protective effect in adulthood for high plant lignan intake early in life [52]. Using nutritional biomarkers in serum or plasma, to evaluate lignan intake, the results were also inconsistent [18, 22, 24, 53]. In the Danish EPIC cohort, a significant inverse association was observed between plasma lignan levels and ER-negative tumours [23]. Our results show an inverse trend, though not significant, between dietary intake of total lignans and ER-/PR- breast tumours. This borderline association may be observed by chance, although, similarly, a casecontrol study found an inverse association between dietary total lignan and ER- tumours in pre-menopausal women [54]. This suggests a potential protective nonhormonal-related effect of lignans on BC. A plausible mechanism of action for this effect could be through downregulation of insulin-like growth factor 1(IGF-1), decreased epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) expression and tumour vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) expression [55]. These growth factors play important roles in tumour growth and progression through stimulation of cell proliferation, such as angiogenesis, synthesis of DNA, RNA and cellular proteins, and inhibition of apoptosis [56, 57]. Further epidemiological evidence on the potential association between lignan intake and ER-/PR- breast tumours is warranted.

One of the limitations of the present study is the use of a single baseline assessment of diet and other lifestyle variables. Therefore, changes in lifestyle could not be taken into

account in these analyses. Another limitation may be the measurement error in collecting dietary intake since country-specific validated questionnaires were used [20, 25, 26]. It is particularly relevant in the case of soya products (the main source of isoflavones) because some countries did not include soy-based foods in their dietary questionnaires as they were rarely consumed in the 1990s in most of the European countries. In addition, flavonoid and lignan intake is likely to be underestimated since substantial data were lacking in the flavonoid database (although an extensive common database was used) [26, 27] and herb/plant supplement intake was not taken into account in these analyses (up to 5 % in Denmark, the highest consumer country) [58]. This misclassification is likely to be random and therefore any association between intake and disease risk is likely underestimated. Another limitation is the potential modification of diet during the early prediagnostic period of the disease; however, sensitivity analyses excluding incident cases diagnosed in the first 2 years of follow-up did not alter the associations. Finally, we realize that our study is prone to the well-known drawback of multiple comparisons. The strengths of our study include its prospective and population-based design, detailed information on diet and a large sample of BC cases with data on hormone receptor status of breast tumours, which allows greater power for subgroup analyses.

In conclusion, this large prospective analysis of flavonoid and lignan intake and BC risk suggests no associations between dietary intake of total flavonoids, total lignans and any flavonoid subclasses and BC risk in Western European women overall or after taking into account menopausal status and oestrogen and PRs of BC tumours.

		Overall			Pre-menopa	Isal		Post-menopa	usal	
		BC			BC			BC		
		ΡΥ	Cases	HR (95 % CI) ^a	ΡΥ	Cases	HR (95 % CI) ^b	ΡΥ	Cases	HR (95 % CI) ^b
Total flavonoids										
Quintile 1	<176.0	719,894	2,110	1.00 (ref.)	247,232	495	1.00 (ref.)	294,306	1,009	1.00 (ref.)
Quintile 2	176.0-276.2	734,702	2,226	0.98 (0.92–1.04)	256,615	593	1.07 (0.94–1.21)	312,900	1,050	0.94 (0.86–1.03)
Quintile 3	276.3-403.6	739,302	2,328	0.97 (0.91–1.04)	266,083	571	0.95 (0.83-1.09)	314,406	1,185	0.99 (0.90–1.09)
Quintile 4	403.7-654.0	737,369	2,482	0.99 (0.93-1.07)	257,394	630	1.06 (0.92–1.22)	327,820	1,286	0.98 (0.89–1.08)
Quintile 5	>654.0	739,172	2,430	0.97 (0.90–1.04)	264,713	538	0.98 (0.84–1.15)	333,797	1,342	0.96 (0.86–1.06)
P trend				0.591			0.656			0.622
Continuous (log ₂)				0.99(0.97 - 1.01)			1.00 (0.95–1.04)			0.99 (0.96–1.02)
Flavanols										
Quintile 1	<121.2	719,289	2,086	1.00 (ref.)	247,785	495	1.00 (ref.)	292,008	991	1.00 (ref.)
Quintile 2	121.2-198.7	734,056	2,254	0.99 (0.93-1.05)	255,431	583	1.05 (0.92–1.19)	312,505	1,072	0.95 (0.87–1.04)
Quintile 3	198.8 - 308.2	738,083	2,348	0.98 (0.92-1.05)	262,448	583	0.98 (0.86–1.12)	316,619	1,187	0.98 (0.90–1.08)
Quintile 4	308.3-550.5	738,602	2,481	1.00 (0.94–1.08)	259,007	648	1.09 (0.95–1.25)	327,129	1,278	0.98 (0.89–1.08)
Quintile 5	>550.5	740,410	2,407	0.97 (0.90–1.04)	267,367	518	$0.94 \ (0.81 - 1.10)$	334,968	1,344	0.95 (0.86–1.06)
P trend				0.444			0.271			0.524
Continuous (log ₂)				0.99(0.98 - 1.01)			1.00 (0.96–1.04)			0.99 (0.96–1.02)
Flavan-3-ol monomers										
Quintile 1	<18.2	717,614	1,867	1.00 (ref.)	254,980	503	1.00 (ref.)	298,216	874	1.00 (ref.)
Quintile 2	18.2–31.7	733,193	2,285	1.01 (0.94–1.07)	248,946	565	1.00(0.88 - 1.13)	308,839	1,090	0.98 (0.89–1.08)
Quintile 3	31.8-81.0	734,331	2,505	1.02 (0.96–1.10)	257,285	620	0.97 (0.85–1.11)	314,824	1,239	1.01 (0.91–1.11)
Quintile 4	81.1–379.8	741,226	2,500	1.00 (0.93–1.08)	263,690	619	0.99 (0.86–1.14)	318,057	1,281	1.00 (0.90-1.10)
Quintile 5	>379.8	744,076	2,419	1.01 (0.93–1.09)	267,137	520	0.96 (0.82–1.13)	343,293	1,388	1.00 (0.90-1.11)
P trend				0.856			0.700			0.932
Continuous (log ₂)				1.00 (0.99–1.01)			1.00 (0.98–1.02)			1.00 (0.99–1.02)
Proanthocyanidins										
Quintile 1	<84.2	730,001	2,175	1.00 (ref.)	253,757	514	1.00 (ref.)	300,710	1,069	1.00 (ref.)
Quintile 2	84.2–126.8	740,141	2,253	0.96 (0.90–1.02)	259,008	525	0.93 (0.82–1.05)	318,404	1,147	0.95 (0.87–1.04)
Quintile 3	126.9–170.7	735,051	2,432	1.00(0.93 - 1.06)	254,487	596	1.00 (0.88–1.14)	323,897	1,249	0.98 (0.90–1.07)
Quintile 4	170.8–232.5	732,243	2,379	0.95(0.89 - 1.01)	256,883	572	0.92 (0.80–1.05)	321,573	1,231	0.94 (0.85–1.03)
Quintile 5	>232.5	733,003	2,337	0.96(0.89 - 1.04)	267,903	620	1.01 (0.86–1.17)	318,645	1,176	0.91 (0.82–1.01)
P trend				0.354			0.724			0.079
Continuous(log ₂)				0.99 (0.97–1.02)			1.01 (0.96–1.06)			0.98 (0.95–1.02)
Theaflavins										
Quintile 1	0	1,465,200	4,435	1.00 (ref.)	472,410	1,061	1.00 (ref.)	622,820	2,115	1.00 (ref.)
Quintile 2							I			
Quintile 3	0.01 - 1.98	730,975	2,243	1.03 (0.97–1.10)	294,469	641	1.06 (0.94–1.20)	302,026	1,094	1.01 (0.92–1.10)
Quintile 4	1.99 - 13.88	737,650	2,502	1.02 (0.96-1.08)	261,076	609	1.05 (0.93-1.18)	317,676	1,285	1.01 (0.93-1.10)

		Overall			Pre-menopau	sal		Post-menopa	usal	
		BC			BC			BC		
		ΡΥ	Cases	HR (95 $\%$ CI) ^a	ΡΥ	Cases	HR (95 % CI) ^b	PY	Cases	HR (95 % CI) ^b
Quintile 5	>13.88	736,615	2,396	1.02 (0.95-1.10)	264,085	516	1.04 (0.90-1.20)	340,707	1,378	1.02 (0.92-1.12)
P trend				0.857			0.988			0.756
Continuous (log ₂)				1.00 (1.00–1.00)			1.00 (1.00–1.01)			1.00 (1.00–1.01)
Anthocyanidins										
Quintile 1	<12.1	743,639	2,170	1.00 (ref.)	249,795	467	1.00 (ref.)	339,940	1,179	1.00 (ref.)
Quintile 2	12.1–19.4	744,989	2,141	0.99 (0.93–1.05)	265,622	520	1.05 (0.92-1.19)	326,072	1,128	0.98 (0.90–1.07)
Quintile 3	19.5–28.4	736,734	2,178	1.00 (0.94–1.07)	277,063	557	1.05 (0.92–1.20)	308,553	1,109	1.00 (0.91–1.09)
Quintile 4	28.5-43.6	729,323	2,313	0.99 (0.93–1.06)	275,386	642	1.09 (0.95–1.26)	296,365	1,075	$0.94 \ (0.86 - 1.04)$
Quintile 5	>43.6	715,754	2,774	1.02 (0.94–1.10)	224,173	641	1.09 (0.93-1.28)	312,299	1,381	1.01 (0.90–1.13)
P trend				0.560			0.323			0.829
Continuous (log ₂)				1.01 (0.99–1.03)			1.02 (0.97–1.06)			1.00 (0.97–1.03)
Flavonols										
Quintile 1	<12.8	730,767	2,093	1.00 (ref.)	261,133	544	1.00 (ref.)	303,965	966	1.00 (ref.)
Quintile 2	12.9–18.7	737,662	2,139	0.98 (0.92–1.05)	271,730	556	0.95 (0.84–1.07)	308,094	1,065	1.02 (0.93-1.11)
Quintile 3	18.8–26.7	738,205	2,260	0.98 (0.92–1.05)	267,990	592	0.94 (0.83–1.07)	313,212	1,116	1.01 (0.91–1.11)
Quintile 4	26.8–39.8	733,606	2,485	0.98 (0.91–1.05)	253,619	571	0.90 (0.78–1.03)	323,182	1,275	1.00 (0.90–1.10)
Quintile 5	>39.8	730,199	2,599	0.96(0.88 - 1.03)	237,567	564	0.91 (0.78–1.06)	334,776	1,420	1.00 (0.90–1.12)
P trend				0.259			0.316			0.893
Continuous				0.97 (0.95–1.00)			0.95(0.90 - 1.01)			0.98 (0.94–1.02)
(log ₂)										
Flavanones										
Quintile 1	<6.2	726,556	2,207	1.00 (ref.)	265,038	540	1.00 (ref.)	303,621	1,076	1.00 (ref.)
Quintile 2	6.2-12.6	722,347	2,418	0.97 (0.92–1.03)	258,848	596	0.94(0.83 - 1.06)	291,066	1,168	1.03 (0.95–1.12)
Quintile 3	12.7–20.2	727,114	2,418	0.95(0.90 - 1.01)	248,676	583	0.95(0.84 - 1.08)	314,023	1,222	1.00 (0.91–1.09)
Quintile 4	20.3 - 33.0	745,491	2,454	1.02 (0.96–1.09)	254,202	585	1.05 (0.92–1.19)	335,356	1,267	1.04 (0.95–1.13)
Quintile 5	>33.0	748,931	2,079	0.99(0.93 - 1.06)	265,276	523	1.02 (0.89–1.18)	339,163	1,139	1.04 (0.95–1.15)
P trend				0.562			0.293			0.401
Continuous (log ₂)							1.00 (0.97–1.02)			1.01 (0.99–1.03)
Flavones										
Quintile 1	<1.12	733,989	2,095	1.00 (ref.)	263,027	527	1.00 (ref.)	296,684	986	1.00 (ref.)
Quintile 2	1.12-2.01	738,165	2,250	1.00 (0.94–1.07)	267,049	546	0.92(0.81 - 1.06)	319,146	1,173	1.06 (0.97–1.16)
Quintile 3	2.02-3.04	739,074	2,337	0.99 (0.92–1.06)	266,429	573	0.90(0.78 - 1.05)	325,942	1,200	1.02 (0.92-1.12)
Quintile 4	3.05-4.88	731,616	2,570	1.03 (0.96–1.11)	252,599	636	$0.94\ (0.81 - 1.10)$	319,408	1,312	1.11 (1.00–1.23)
Quintile 5	>4.88	727,596	2,324	0.99 (0.91–1.07)	242,934	545	0.86 (0.73-1.02)	322,049	1,201	1.10 (0.98–1.23)
P trend				0.729			0.162			0.120
Continuous (log ₂)				1.00 (0.98-1.02)			0.95(0.91 - 1.00)			1.04 (1.01–1.06)
Isoflavones										
Quintile 1	<0.22	690,923	1,903	1.00 (ref.)	227,330	466	1.00 (ref.)	292,501	706	1.00 (ref.)

 $\underline{\textcircled{O}}$ Springer

Table 4 continued

continued
4
le
ab
Ĥ

		Overall			Pre-menopau	sal		Post-menopa	usal	
		BC			BC			BC		
		γq	Cases	HR (95 $\%$ CI) ^a	ΡΥ	Cases	HR (95 % CI) ^b	ΡΥ	Cases	HR (95 % CI) ^b
Quintile 2	0.22-0.39	744,644	2,378	0.98 (0.91–1.06)	236,820	562	1.05 (0.90–1.22)	354,245	1,269	0.95 (0.86–1.05)
Quintile 3	0.40-0.65	748,866	2,583	0.99(0.92 - 1.08)	224,423	571	1.00 (0.85-1.19)	351,304	1,376	1.00 (0.90–1.12)
Quintile 4	0.66-1.36	748,014	2,584	0.99(0.91 - 1.08)	234,073	570	0.97 (0.80-1.17)	341,279	1,343	0.99 (0.88–1.11)
Quintile 5	>1.36	737,992	2,128	1.00 (0.91–1.10)	369,392	658	0.94(0.77 - 1.16)	243,899	779	1.00 (0.87–1.14)
P trend				0.734			0.351			0.702
Continuous(log ₂)				1.00 (0.98–1.02)			1.00 (0.96–1.04)			0.99 (0.96–1.02)
Lignans										
Quintile 1	<0.82	740,984	2,153	1.00 (ref.)	258,427	559	1.00 (ref.)	315,689	1,038	1.00 (ref.)
Quintile 2	0.82 - 1.09	738,044	2,247	0.98 (0.92–1.04)	260,129	572	0.95 (0.84–1.08)	309,167	1,079	0.96 (0.88–1.05)
Quintile 3	1.10 - 1.40	732,578	2,327	0.97 (0.91–1.03)	255,233	578	0.94(0.83 - 1.08)	311,536	1,135	0.93 (0.84–1.02)
Quintile 4	1.41 - 1.89	728,584	2,422	0.97 ($0.90-1.04$)	250,910	573	0.97 (0.84–1.12)	321,476	1,270	$0.93 \ (0.84 - 1.03)$
Quintile 5	>1.89	730,249	2,427	1.02 (0.93-1.11)	267,339	545	1.04(0.87 - 1.24)	325,361	1,350	0.95 (0.84–1.07)
P trend				0.469			0.459			0.589
Continuous (log ₂)				0.98 (0.94-1.03)			1.02 (0.93-1.11)			$0.94 \ (0.89 - 1.00)$
PY person-years, BC breast	cancer									

^a Multivariable model: stratified by centre and age (1 year) and adjusted for baseline menopausal status (pre-menopausal plus unknown, post-menopausal plus women who underwent an ovariectomy), weight (kg), height (cm), smoking status (never, former, current, unknown), educational level (none, primary, technical, secondary, university or higher, unknown), physical activity (inactive, moderately inactive, moderately active, active, unknown), age at menarche (<12, 12–14, >14 year, unknown), age at first full-term birth (nulliparous; <21, 21–30, >30 year), ever use of contraceptive pills (never, ever, unknown), ever, ever, unknown), age at menopause (<=50, >50 year), energy intake (kcal/day), alcohol intake (g/day), and fibre intake (g/day).

^b The model was adjusted as in footnote 1 but without adjustment for menopausal status and with the exclusion of women with a history of ovariectomy or unknown menopausal status

	ER ⁻ /PR ⁻ HR (95 % CI) ^a	ER ⁻ /PR ⁺ HR (95 % CI) ^a	ER ⁺ /PR ⁻ HR (95 % CI) ^a	ER ⁺ /PR ⁺ HR (95 % CI) ^a
Total flavonoids	0.99 (0.92–1.07)	1.00 (0.85–1.19)	0.99 (0.92-1.07)	1.02 (0.98–1.06)
Flavanols	0.99 (0.93-1.06)	1.01 (0.87-1.17)	0.99 (0.93-1.05)	1.02 (0.99–1.06)
Flavan-3-ol monomers	0.99 (0.95-1.03)	1.02 (0.94–1.11)	0.99 (0.95-1.03)	1.02 (1.00-1.04)
Proanthocyanidins	1.01 (0.92–1.10)	0.99 (0.81-1.21)	0.98 (0.90-1.06)	1.02 (0.97-1.06)
Theaflavins	1.00 (0.99–1.01)	1.01 (0.99–1.03)	1.00 (0.99–1.01)	1.00 (1.00-1.01)
Anthocyanidins	1.02 (0.95-1.10)	1.12 (0.94–1.35)	0.99 (0.92-1.06)	1.00 (0.96–1.04)
Flavonols	0.96 (0.87-1.05)	0.94 (0.76-1.17)	0.98 (0.90-1.08)	1.01 (0.96–1.06)
Flavanones	0.99 (0.95-1.03)	1.00 (0.90–1.11)	0.99 (0.95-1.03)	1.00 (0.98-1.02)
Flavones	0.99 (0.92-1.06)	1.07 (0.91-1.27)	0.97 (0.90-1.04)	1.00 (0.96–1.03)
Isoflavones	0.98 (0.92-1.06)	0.94 (0.80-1.10)	1.03 (0.96–1.11)	0.99 (0.96–1.03)
Lignans	0.88 (0.76–1.01)	1.17 (0.82–1.68)	0.89 (0.75–1.05)	1.04 (0.96–1.13)

Table 5 Multivariable HRs (95 % CI) for breast cancer by doubling in flavonoid or lignan intake (mg/day) according to breast cancer phenotype in the EPIC study

Number of breast cancer cases by hormone receptor status: ER^-/PR^- (n = 1,050), ER^-/PR^+ (n = 217), ER^+/PR^- (n = 1,133), ER^+/PR^+ (n = 3,653)

EPIC European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition, ER oestrogen receptor, PR progesterone receptor

^a Multivariable model: stratified by centre and age (1 year) and adjusted for baseline menopausal status (pre-menopausal plus unknown, postmenopausal plus women who underwent an ovariectomy), weight (kg), height (cm), smoking status (never, former, current, unknown), educational level (none, primary, technical, secondary, university or higher, unknown), physical activity (inactive, moderately inactive, moderately active, active, unknown), age at menarche (<12, 12–14, >14 year, unknown), age at first full-term birth (nulliparous, <21, 21–30, >30 year), ever use of contraceptive pills (never, ever, unknown), ever use of hormones (never, ever, unknown), age at menopause (<=50, >50 year), energy intake (kcal/day), alcohol intake (g/day), and fibre intake (g/day)

Acknowledgements This work was supported by the European Commission: Public Health and Consumer Protection Directorate 1993-2004; Research Directorate-General 2005; Ligue contre le Cancer, Institut Gustave Roussy, Mutuelle Générale de l'Education Nationale, Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale (INSERM) (France): German Cancer Aid: German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ); German Federal Ministry of Education and Research; Danish Cancer Society: Health Research Fund (FIS) of the Spanish Ministry of Health (RTICC DR06/0020/0091); the participating regional governments from Asturias, Andalucía, Murcia, Navarra and Basque Country and the Catalan Institute of Oncology of Spain; Cancer Research UK; Medical Research Council, UK; Hellenic Health Foundation, Greece; Italian Association for Research on Cancer-AIRC-Milan, Italy; Compagnia San Paolo, Italy; Dutch Ministry of Public Health, Welfare and Sports; Dutch Ministry of Health; Dutch Prevention Funds; LK Research Funds; Dutch ZON (Zorg Onderzoek Nederland); World Cancer Research Fund (WCRF); Statistics Netherlands (The Netherlands); Swedish Cancer Society; Swedish Scientific Council; Regional Government of Skane, Sweden; and Nordforsk-Centre of Excellence programme. Some authors are partners of ECNIS, a network of excellence of the 6 Frame Program of the European Commission. R.Z.R. is thankful for a postdoctoral programme, Fondo de Investigación Sanitaria (FIS; No. CD09/ 00133), from the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation.

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

1. Chen WY, Colditz GA (2007) Risk factors and hormone-receptor status: epidemiology, risk-prediction models and treatment implications for breast cancer. Nat Clin Pract Oncol 4(7):415–423

- Minami CA, Chung DU, Chang HR (2011) Management options in triple-negative breast cancer. Breast Cancer (Auckl) 5:175–199
- Collins LC, Marotti JD, Gelber S et al (2012) Pathologic features and molecular phenotype by patient age in a large cohort of young women with breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res Treat 131(3):1061–1066
- Perez-Jimenez J, Neveu V, Vos F, Scalbert A (2010) Systematic analysis of the content of 502 polyphenols in 452 foods and beverages: an application of the phenol-explorer database. J Agric Food Chem 58(8):4959–4969
- Kandaswami C, Lee LT, Lee PP, Hwang JJ, Ke FC, Huang YT, Lee MT (2005) The antitumor activities of flavonoids. In Vivo 19(5):895–909
- Moon YJ, Wang X, Morris ME (2006) Dietary flavonoids: effects on xenobiotic and carcinogen metabolism. Toxicol In Vitro 20(2):187–210
- Rice S, Whitehead SA (2008) Phytoestrogens oestrogen synthesis and breast cancer. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol 108(3–5):186–195
- Peeters PH, Keinan-Boker L, van der Schouw YT, Grobbee DE (2003) Phytoestrogens and breast cancer risk. Review of the epidemiological evidence. Breast Cancer Res Treat 77(2):171–183
- Hui C, Qi X, Qianyong Z, Xiaoli P, Jundong Z, Mantian M (2013) Flavonoids, flavonoid subclasses and breast cancer risk: a meta-analysis of epidemiologic studies. PLoS One 8(1):e54318
- Wang L, Lee IM, Zhang SM, Blumberg JB, Buring JE, Sesso HD (2009) Dietary intake of selected flavonols, flavones, and flavonoid-rich foods and risk of cancer in middle-aged and older women. Am J Clin Nutr 89(3):905–912
- Adebamowo CA, Cho E, Sampson L, Katan MB, Spiegelman D, Willett WC, Holmes MD (2005) Dietary flavonols and flavonolrich foods intake and the risk of breast cancer. Int J Cancer 114(4):628–633
- Knekt P, Kumpulainen J, Jarvinen R, Rissanen H, Heliövaara M, Reunanen A, Hakulinen T, Aromaa A (2002) Flavonoid intake and risk of chronic diseases. Am J Clin Nutr 76(3):560–568

- Arts IC, Jacobs DR Jr, Gross M, Harnack LJ, Folsom AR (2002) Dietary catechins and cancer incidence among postmenopausal women: the Iowa Women's Health Study (United States). Cancer Causes Control 13(4):373–382
- Knekt P, Jarvinen R, Seppanen R, Hellövaara M, Teppo L, Pukkala E, Aromaa A (1997) Dietary flavonoids and the risk of lung cancer and other malignant neoplasms. Am J Epidemiol 146(3):223–230
- 15. Hedelin M, Lof M, Olsson M, Adlercreutz H, Sandin S, Weiderpass E (2008) Dietary phytoestrogens are not associated with risk of overall breast cancer but diets rich in coumestrol are inversely associated with risk of estrogen receptor and progesterone receptor negative breast tumors in Swedish women. J Nutr 138(5):938–945
- Dong JY, Qin LQ (2011) Soy isoflavones consumption and risk of breast cancer incidence or recurrence: a meta-analysis of prospective studies. Breast Cancer Res Treat 125(2):315–323
- Lee SA, Wen W, Xiang YB et al (2007) Assessment of dietary isoflavone intake among middle-aged Chinese men. J Nutr 137(4):1011–1016
- Velentzis LS, Woodside JV, Cantwell MM, Leathem AJ, Keshtgar MR (2008) Do phytoestrogens reduce the risk of breast cancer and breast cancer recurrence? What clinicians need to know. Eur J Cancer 44(13):1799–1806
- Buck K, Zaineddin AK, Vrieling A, Linseisen J, Chang-Claude J (2010) Meta-analyses of lignans and enterolignans in relation to breast cancer risk. Am J Clin Nutr 92(1):141–153
- Velentzis LS, Cantwell MM, Cardwell C, Keshtgar MR, Leathem AJ, Woodside JV (2009) Lignans and breast cancer risk in preand post-menopausal women: meta-analyses of observational studies. Br J Cancer 100(9):1492–1498
- Touillaud MS, Thiebaut AC, Fournier A, Niravong M, Boutron-Ruault MC, Clavel-Chapelon F (2007) Dietary lignan intake and postmenopausal breast cancer risk by estrogen and progesterone receptor status. J Natl Cancer Inst 99(6):475–486
- 22. Sonestedt E, Borgquist S, Ericson U, Gullberg B, Olsson H, Adlercreutz H, Landberg G, Wirfält E (2008) Enterolactone is differently associated with estrogen receptor beta-negative and positive breast cancer in a Swedish nested case-control study. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 17(11):3241–3251
- Olsen A, Knudsen KE, Thomsen BL, Loft S, Stripp C, Overvad K, Møller S, Tjønneland A (2004) Plasma enterolactone and breast cancer incidence by estrogen receptor status. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 13(12):2084–2089
- 24. Ward HA, Kuhnle GG, Mulligan AA, Lentjes MA, Luben RN, Khaw KT (2010) Breast, colorectal, and prostate cancer risk in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition-Norfolk in relation to phytoestrogen intake derived from an improved database. Am J Clin Nutr 91(2):440–448
- 25. Riboli E, Hunt KJ, Slimani N et al (2002) European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC): study populations and data collection. Public Health Nutr 5(6B):1113–1124
- 26. Zamora-Ros R, Knaze V, Lujan-Barroso L et al (2012) Dietary intakes and food sources of phytoestrogens in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC) 24-hour dietary recall cohort. Eur J Clin Nutr 66(8):932–941
- 27. Zamora-Ros R, Knaze V, Lujan-Barroso L et al (2013) Differences in dietary intakes, food sources, and determinants of total flavonoids between Mediterranean and non-Mediterranean countries participating in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC) Study. Br J Nutr. doi: 10.1017/S0007114512003273
- Riboli E, Kaaks R (1997) The EPIC Project: rationale and study design. European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition. Int J Epidemiol 26(Suppl 1):S6–S14

- 29. Slimani N, Deharveng G, Unwin I et al (2007) The EPIC nutrient database project (ENDB): a first attempt to standardize nutrient databases across the 10 European countries participating in the EPIC Study. Eur J Clin Nutr 61(9):1037–1056
- 30. Haftenberger M, Lahmann PH, Panico S et al (2002) Overweight, obesity and fat distribution in 50- to 64-year-old participants in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC). Public Health Nutr 5(6B):1147–1162
- Layfield LJ, Gupta D, Mooney EE (2000) Assessment of Tissue Estrogen and Progesterone Receptor Levels: a Survey of Current Practice, Techniques, and Quantitation Methods. Breast J 6(3): 189–196
- U.S. Department of Agriculture (2004) USDA Database for the proanthocyanidin content of selected foods. USDA, Beltsville
- 33. U.S. Department of Agriculture (2007) USDA Database for the flavonoid content of selected foods. USDA, Beltsville
- 34. U.S. Department of Agriculture (2008) USDA Database for the isoflavone content of selected foods. USDA, Beltsville
- Neveu V, Perez-Jimenez J, Vos F et al (2010) Phenol-Explorer: an online comprehensive database on polyphenol contents in foods. Database (Oxford) 2010:bap024. doi:10.1093/database/ bap024
- 36. Knaze V, Zamora-Ros R, Luján-Barroso L et al (2012) Intake estimation of total and individual flavan-3-ols, proanthocyanidins and theaflavins, their food sources and determinants in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC) Study. Br J Nutr 108(6):1095–1108
- 37. Zamora-Ros R, Knaze V, Lujan-Barroso L et al (2011) Estimation of the intake of anthocyanidins and their food sources in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC) Study. Br J Nutr 106(7):1090–1099
- Zamora-Ros R, Knaze V, Lujan-Barroso L et al (2011) Estimated dietary intakes of flavonols, flavanones and flavones in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC) 24-hour dietary recall cohort. Br J Nutr 106(12):1915– 1925
- Schoenfeld D (1980) Chi squared goodness of fit tests for the proportional hazards regression model. Biometrika 67(1):145– 153
- Thiebaut AC, Benichou J (2004) Choice of time-scale in Cox's model analysis of epidemiologic cohort data: a simulation study. Stat Med 23(24):3803–3820
- Romieu I, Ferrari P, Rinaldi S et al (2012) Dietary glycemic index and glycemic load and breast cancer risk in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC). Am J Clin Nutr 96(2):345–355
- Willett W, Sampfer MJ (1986) Total energy intake: implications for epidemiological analyses. Am J Epidemiol 124(1):17–27
- 43. Iwasaki M, Inoue M, Sasazuki S, Miura T, Sawada N, Yamaji T, Shimazu T, Willett WC, Tsugane S (2010) Plasma tea polyphenol levels and subsequent risk of breast cancer among Japanese women: a nested case–control study. Breast Cancer Res Treat 124(3):827–834
- 44. Fink BN, Steck SE, Wolff MS, Britton JA, Kabat GC, Schroeder JC, Teitelbaum SL, Neugut AI, Gammon MD (2007) Dietary flavonoid intake and breast cancer risk among women on Long Island. Am J Epidemiol 165(5):514–523
- 45. Touvier M, Druesne-Pecollo N, Kesse-Guyot E, Andreeva VA, Fezeu L, Galan P, Hercberg S, Latino-Martel P (2013) Dual association between polyphenol intake and breast cancer risk according to alcohol consumption level: a prospective cohort study. Breast Cancer Res Treat 137(1):225–236
- Keinan-Boker L, van der Schouw YT, Grobbee DE, Peeters PH (2004) Dietary phytoestrogens and breast cancer risk. Am J Clin Nutr 79(2):282–288

- 47. Travis RC, Allen NE, Appleby PN, Spencer EA, Roddam AW, Key TJ (2006) A prospective study of vegetarianism and isoflavone intake in relation to breast cancer risk in British women. Int J Cancer 122(3):705–710
- Qin LQ, Xu JY, Wang PY, Hoshi K (2006) Soyfood intake in the prevention of breast cancer risk in women: a meta-analysis of observational epidemiological studies. J Nutr Sci Vitaminol (Tokyo) 52(6):428–436
- 49. Cassidy A, Bingham S, Setchell KD (1994) Biological effects of a diet of soy protein rich in isoflavones on the menstrual cycle of premenopausal women. Am J Clin Nutr 60(3):333–340
- Messina M, Hilakivi-Clarke L (2009) Early intake appears to be the key to the proposed protective effects of soy intake against breast cancer. Nutr Cancer 61(6):792–798
- 51. Suzuki R, Rylander-Rudqvist T, Saji S, Bergkvist L, Adlercreutz H, Wolk A (2008) Dietary lignans and postmenopausal breast cancer risk by oestrogen receptor status: a prospective cohort study of Swedish women. Br J Cancer 98(3):636–640
- Thanos J, Cotterchio M, Boucher BA, Kreiger N, Thompson LU (2006) Adolescent dietary phytoestrogen intake and breast cancer risk (Canada). Cancer Causes Control 17(10):1253–1261
- 53. Goodman MT, Shvetsov YB, Wilkens LR, Franke AA, Le Marchand L, Kakazu KK, Nomura AM, Henderson BE, Kolonel LN (2009) Urinary phytoestrogen excretion and postmenopausal breast cancer risk: the multiethnic cohort study. Cancer Prev Res (Phila) 2(10):887–894

- 54. McCann SE, Kulkarni S, Trevisan M, Vito D, Nie J, Edge SB, Muti P, Freudenheim JL (2006) Dietary lignan intakes and risk of breast cancer by tumor estrogen receptor status. Breast Cancer Res Treat 99(3):309–311
- 55. Wang L, Chen J, Thompson LU (2005) The inhibitory effect of flaxseed on the growth and metastasis of estrogen receptor negative human breast cancer xenograftsis attributed to both its lignan and oil components. Int J Cancer 116(5):793–798
- 56. Rinaldi S, Peeters PH, Berrino F et al (2006) IGF-I, IGFBP-3 and breast cancer risk in women: the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC). Endocr Relat Cancer 13(2):593–605
- 57. Tabernero J (2007) The role of VEGF and EGFR inhibition: implications for combining anti-VEGF and anti-EGFR agents. Mol Cancer Res 5(3):203–220
- Skeie G, Braaten T, Hjartaker A et al (2009) Use of dietary supplements in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition Calibration Study. Eur J Clin Nutr 63(Suppl 4):S226–S238