RESEARCH ARTICLE

Power‑Law Scaling of Turbulence Cospectra for the Stably Stratifed Atmospheric Boundary Layer

YuCheng¹[®] · Qi Li² · Andrey Grachev³ · Stefania Argentini⁴ · **Harindra J. S. Fernando5 · Pierre Gentine1**

Received: 17 February 2020 / Accepted: 4 July 2020 / Published online: 26 July 2020 © Springer Nature B.V. 2020

Abstract

Surface turbulent fuxes provide a key boundary condition for the prediction of weather, hydrology, and atmospheric carbon dioxide. The turbulence cospectrum is assumed to typically follow a −7/3 power-law scaling, which is used for the high-frequency spectral correction of eddy-covariance data. The derivation of this scaling is mostly grounded on dimensional analysis. The dimensional analysis or cospectral budget analyses, however, can lead to alternative cospectral scaling. Here we examine the shape of turbulence cospectra at high Reynolds number and high wavenumbers based on extensive feld measurements of wind velocity and temperature in various stably stratifed atmospheric conditions. We show that the cospectral scaling deviates from the −7/3 scaling at high wavenumbers in the inertial subrange of the stable atmospheric boundary layer, and appears to follow a −2 power-law scaling. We suggest that −2 power-law scaling is a better alternative for cospectral corrections for eddy-covariance measurements of the stable boundary layer.

Keywords Eddy covariance · Stable boundary layer · Surface fuxes · Turbulence cospectra

1 Introduction

Turbulence cospectra of surface fuxes are typically assumed to follow a −7/3 power-law scaling in the isotropic inertial subrange (Kolmogorov [1941\)](#page-15-0) according to derivations based on dimensional analysis (Lumley [1964](#page-16-0), [1967\)](#page-16-1). The −7/3 power-law scaling has

 \boxtimes Yu Cheng yc2965@columbia.edu

¹ Department of Earth and Environmental Engineering, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027, USA

² School of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, USA

³ Department NOAA Earth System Research Laboratory/Cooperative Institute for Research in Environmental Sciences, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO 80305, USA

⁴ Institute of Atmospheric Sciences and Climate, CNR, Rome, Italy

⁵ Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering and Earth Sciences, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, IN 46556, USA

been validated with laboratory experiments (Saddoughi and Veeravalli [1994](#page-16-2)) and feld measurements in the atmospheric boundary layer (e.g., the Kansas experiment) (Kaimal et al. [1972;](#page-15-1) Wyngaard and Coté [1972\)](#page-17-0). The exact shape of the cospectra is important for feld observations as well as theoretical modeling. Indeed, in eddy-covariance (EC) measurements of turbulent fuxes in the atmospheric surface layer (ASL), an assumed cospectral shape is used for the spectral correction of momentum, heat, water-vapour, and $CO₂$ fluxes (Moore [1986;](#page-16-3) Leuning and Moncrieff [1990;](#page-15-2) Horst [1997;](#page-15-3) Moncrieff et al. [1997](#page-16-4); Aubinet et al. [1999;](#page-14-0) Massman [2000](#page-16-5)). Recently, Mamadou et al. ([2016](#page-16-6)) showed that the calculated long-term $CO₂$ fluxes from EC observations are particularly sensitive to the assumed cospectral shape, and a change of the assumed cospectral correction scaling can even reverse a net terrestrial carbon sink into a source.

Monin and Yaglom ([1975](#page-16-7)) pointed out that Lumley's derivation of the −7/3 power-law scaling (Lumley [1964](#page-16-0), [1967\)](#page-16-1) was not sufficiently rigorous and accurate as it relied on the rough approximation (Kovasznay [1948\)](#page-15-4) that the spectral energy transfer rate is only related to the turbulence energy spectrum and wavenumber. In recent years, other slopes of the turbulence cospectra have been reported. In a wind-tunnel experiment, an asymptotic −2 power-law scaling was observed for the heat-fux cospectrum (Mydlarski and Warhaft [1998](#page-16-8)) in stably stratified turbulence at R_{λ} =582, where R_{λ} is the Taylormicroscale-based Reynolds number. Mydlarski ([2003](#page-16-9)) also found a −2 power-law scaling for heat fux by analyzing both the cospectrum and the heat fux structure function at R_{λ} =407 when a temperature gradient was imposed in the transverse direction, although the study suggested that the slope might increase toward −7/3 as Reynolds number increases. Sakai et al. ([2008](#page-16-10)) showed a −2 power-law for radial velocity-concentration cospectrum in a turbulent jet at R_{λ} =263. These observations are still at lower Reynolds numbers than turbulence in the ABL where $R_λ$ typically exceeds 1000 (Table [1\)](#page-4-0) and therefore this raises the question about the actual cospectral shape in the stably stratifed atmospheric boundary layer.

Among numerical studies, O'Gorman and Pullin [\(2005](#page-16-11)) found a power-law scaling close to −2 in the velocity-scalar cospectrum in a direct numerical simulation (DNS) of homogeneous and isotropic velocity field with a mean scalar gradient at R_{λ} =265. Watanabe and Gotoh [\(2007](#page-17-1)) observed a −2 power-law scaling regime to the right side of the −7/3 power-law scaling regime in the cospectrum of scalar fux with a high-resolution DNS of isotropic turbulence at R_{λ} =585. In fact, figure 2 in their paper clearly shows that the −2 power-law scaling has a larger plateau compared to the −7/3 power-law scaling in the compensated cospectra. Bos et al. [\(2004](#page-15-5)) also found a clear −2 power-law scaling in velocityscalar cospectrum in large eddy simulations (LES) of isotropic turbulence with a mean scalar gradient. Bos et al. ([2004\)](#page-15-5) further suggested that the velocity-scalar cospectrum in the direction of mean scalar gradient can in fact have any slope between −7/3 and −5/3 based on a cospectral budget analysis. Cava and Katul [\(2012](#page-15-6)) showed, using a cospectral budget, that diferent velocity–scalar scaling laws can be observed in the canopy sublayer above tall forests when the fux transfer term becomes important (Li et al. [2015\)](#page-16-12). Recently, Li and Katul [\(2017](#page-16-13)) used a cospectral budget model to show that the $-7/3$ cospectrum scaling can be modifed depending on the relative importance of fux transfer and pressure decorrelation terms. These new theoretical developments motivate us to revisit the cospectral scaling in the atmospheric boundary layer (ABL) based on observational data. A specifc question to be addressed in this paper is whether the power-law scaling for turbulence cospectra under stable conditions deviates signifcantly from −7/3 at high wavenumbers, and whether it is closer to −2 scaling. As the −7/3 scaling was frst derived for the stably stratifed turbulence by Lumley [\(1964](#page-16-0)), here we focus on the stable condition.

2 Dimensional Analysis

According to Kaimal and Finnigan ([1994](#page-15-7)), a cospectrum is the real part of the Fourier transform of cross-covariance. Here we focus on the momentum fux and the sensible heat flux but other scalar fluxes (not shown) such as water vapour and $CO₂$ are assumed to have the same scaling as the heat fux in the inertial subrange. For sensible heat fux, we have (Kaimal and Finnigan [1994\)](#page-15-7)

$$
\langle w'\theta'\rangle = \int\limits_0^\infty E_{w\theta}(k)dk,\tag{1}
$$

where $E_{w\theta}$ is the cospectrum of $w'\theta'$, *k* the wavenumber, *w* the vertical velocity, θ the potential temperature, w' the vertical velocity fluctuation, θ' the fluctuation of potential temperature, and $\langle \ \rangle$ denotes the Reynolds averaging. Assuming that the cospectrum of heat flux is only related to the gradient of the mean potential temperature $\partial \Theta/\partial z$, the turbulence kinetic energy (TKE) dissipation rate ϵ and the wavenumber k for isotropic turbulence, Lumley ([1964\)](#page-16-0) obtained the following form for the cospectrum using dimensional analysis

$$
E_{w\theta} = -c_1 \epsilon^{1/3} \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial z} k^{-7/3},\tag{2}
$$

where c_1 is a dimensionless parameter. Similarly, Lumley [\(1967](#page-16-1)) suggested the cospectrum of the momentum to have the following form:

$$
E_{wu} = -c_2 \epsilon^{1/3} \frac{\partial U}{\partial z} k^{-7/3},\tag{3}
$$

where c_2 is a dimensionless parameter, u is the streamwise velocity component and U the mean streamwise velocity component.

However, the above dimensional analysis does not yield a unique cospectral scaling law. Assuming that *E_{wθ}* is only related to *g*/Θ (*g* is the gravity acceleration), *ε*, *∂*Θ/*∂z* and *k*, based on dimensional analysis, we obtain

$$
E_{w\theta} = -c_3 \left(\frac{g}{\Theta} \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial z} \right)^{\frac{1-3a}{2}} \epsilon^a \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial z} k^{2a-3},\tag{4}
$$

where c_3 and *a* are dimensionless parameters. When $a=1/3$, this recovers Eq. [2](#page-2-0), which is the limit of the Boussinesq approximation where dependence on g is absent. When $a=2/3$, this leads to a $-5/3$ scaling, which is the scaling of velocity spectra and is regarded as another limit in Bos et al. ([2004\)](#page-15-5). On the other hand, when $a = 1/2$, Eq. [4](#page-2-1) yields a -2 power-law scaling for $E_{w\theta}$, as follows

$$
E_{w\theta} = -c_3 \left(\frac{g}{\Theta} \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial z}\right)^{-1/4} \epsilon^{1/2} \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial z} k^{-2}.
$$
 (5)

Similarly, assuming that E_{wu} is only related to ϵ , $\partial U/\partial z$ and k , we have (Cava and Katul [2012\)](#page-15-6):

$$
E_{wu} = -c_4 \varepsilon^b \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial z}\right)^{2-3b} k^{2b-3},\tag{6}
$$

where c_4 and *b* are dimensionless parameters. Again, when $b = 1/3$ $b = 1/3$, this recovers Eq. 3. However, when $b = 1/2$, a -2 power-law scaling for E_{w} emerges, as follows

$$
E_{wu} = -c_4 \epsilon^{1/2} \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial z}\right)^{1/2} k^{-2}.
$$
 (7)

In summary, a −2 scaling as reported by many previous studies (Mydlarski and Warhaft [1998;](#page-16-8) Sakai et al. [2008](#page-16-10)) is also possible based on dimensional analysis.

We emphasize that the above dimensional analysis is only strictly applicable for isotropic turbulence (Kolmogorov [1941\)](#page-15-0). It is generally believed that the Dougherty–Ozmidov scale (Dougherty [1961;](#page-15-8) Ozmidov [1965](#page-16-14)), $L_0 = 2\pi (\epsilon/N^3)^{1/2}$, characterizes the largest scale of isotropic turbulence in stably stratifed fuid (Gargett et al. [1984](#page-15-9); Waite [2011](#page-17-2); Grachev et al. [2015](#page-15-10); Li et al. [2016\)](#page-16-15), where *N* is Brunt–Väisälä frequency, which corresponds to the Dougherty–Ozmidov wavenumber $k_O = 2\pi/L_O$. Owing to wall effects (Townsend [1976;](#page-17-3) Katul et al. [2014](#page-15-11)) in the ASL, the wavenumber $k_a = 1/z$ will also constrain the existence of isotropic turbulence, where *z* is the height above ground. Therefore, we expect the previously derived power-law scaling for turbulence cospectra to be valid only for wavenumbers $k > \max(k_O, k_a)$.

3 Experiment Setup and Results

3.1 Observations of the Stable Atmospheric Boundary Layer

An eddy-covariance (EC) system over Lake Geneva was set up to measure high-frequency (20 Hz) velocity and temperature at four diferent heights (1.66 m, 2.31 m, 2.96 m and 3.61 m above water level) during August–October 2006 (Bou-Zeid et al. [2008](#page-15-12)). Four sonic anemometers (Campbell Scientifc CSAT3) and open-path gas analyzers (LICOR LI-7500) were used in the experiment. The resolution of the wind velocity was 0.001 m s^{-1} and that of temperature was 0.002 °C. 18 representative 15-min periods of EC data at 1.66 m were selected to calculate turbulence cospectra of heat and momentum fuxes, where *z*∕*L* ranged from 0.037 to 0.145 (Table [1\)](#page-4-0), *z* is the measurement height above the water surface, and *L* is the Obukhov length (Obukhov [1946\)](#page-16-16). The 18 periods $(0.037 \le z/L \le 0.145)$ in the lake experiment are more stable with larger z/L in the 36 available stable periods during August–October 2006 (Bou-Zeid et al. [2008\)](#page-15-12), while the rest of the dataset (e.g., *z*∕*L*~0.01) are closer to neutral conditions. Here, we focus on more stable conditions. Besides, the cospectral slopes from all 36 periods (not shown) do not difer from the results from the 18 periods. By estimating the Taylor-microscale-based Reynolds number through $(20.2)^{1/2}$ $R_{\lambda} = \left(\frac{20}{3}\right)$ 3 $\left(\frac{q^2}{\epsilon v}\right)^{1/2}$, where *q* is turbulence kinetic energy and *v* is kinematic viscosity, as in Pope (2000), we find that $R_λ$ ranged from 657 to 3236 in the 18 periods. The reader is referred to previous studies (Bou-Zeid et al. [2008](#page-15-12); Vercauteren et al. [2008;](#page-17-4) Li and Bou-Zeid [2011;](#page-15-13) Li et al. [2018](#page-16-18)) for detailed descriptions of the experiment set-up and data.

An EC system at Dome C, Antarctica was set up to measure the high-frequency (10 Hz) velocity and temperature using an ultrasonic anemometer (Metek USA-1) at 3.5 m above ground (Vignon et al. [2017a](#page-17-5), [b](#page-17-6)). Balloon sounding measurements provided temperature

Table 1 Details of selected stable periods in feld observations: eddy-covariance (EC) systems over Lake Geneva, EC systems at Dome C in Antarctica, EC systems of

gradient (Petenko et al. [2018\)](#page-16-19). The accuracy of wind speed was 0.05 m s^{-1} and that of temperature was 0.01 °C. In fact, 70 representative 30-min stable periods in 9–12 January 2015 were selected, where *z*∕*L* ranged from 0.182 to 5.891 (Table [1\)](#page-4-0). The 70 periods (0.182≤*z*∕*L*≤5.891) in the Dome C experiment are more stable with larger *z*∕*L* in the 93 available stable periods during 9–12 January 2015, while the stabilities of the rest of the dataset overlap with those of the lake experiment. The Taylor-microscale-based Reynolds number $R₂$ ranged from 313 to 2091 in the 70 periods. The reader is referred to Vignon et al. ([2017a\)](#page-17-5) for details on the experiment setup.

An EC system over an Arctic ice pack during the Surface Heat Budget of the Arctic Ocean experiment (SHEBA) was set up to measure high-frequency (10 Hz) velocity and temperature using ATI (Applied Technologies, Inc) three-axis sonic anemometer at 2 heights (2.2 m and 3.2 m) from October 1997 through September 1998 (Andreas et al. [2006;](#page-14-1) Grachev et al. [2013\)](#page-15-14). The resolution of the wind velocity was 0.01 m s⁻¹ and that of temperature was 0.01 °C . 10 available 60-min periods of EC data from 8 nights at 3.2 m were used for analyzing the cospectra of heat and momentum fuxes, where *z*∕*L* ranged from 0.040 to 2.538 (Table [1](#page-4-0)). The cospectra were calculated from overlapping 13.65-min blocks (corresponding to 2^{13} data points) and then averaged over 1-h periods following Persson et al. [\(2002](#page-16-20)). The experimental setup and data have been extensively discussed elsewhere (Grachev et al. [2005](#page-15-15), [2013;](#page-15-14) Andreas et al. [2006,](#page-14-1) [2010a,](#page-14-2) [b](#page-14-3)).

A sonic and hot-flm anemometer dyad (Kit et al. [2017\)](#page-15-16) was installed at the Granite Mountain Atmospheric Sciences Testbed (GMAST) of the US Army Dugway Proving Ground (DPG), Utah, as part of the feld measurements of the Mountain Terrain Atmospheric Modeling and Observations (MATERHORN) program during September–October 2012 (Fernando et al. [2015](#page-15-17)) to capture fne-scale turbulence in the ABL. Wind velocity was measured at a height of 2 m with a temporal frequency of 2000 Hz. The spatial resolution of the composite probe was ~ 0.7 mm, and the measurement resolution of the hot-film X-wire probes was~1 mm. 6 available 30-minute periods on 9 October 2012 were used for analyzing the momentum cospectrum, where z/L ranged from 0.027 to 0.647 (Table [1](#page-4-0)). The reader is referred to details on the instrument setup and measurement methods elsewhere (Fernando et al. [2015](#page-15-17); Kit and Liberzon [2016](#page-15-18); Kit et al. [2017](#page-15-16); Conry et al. [2018;](#page-15-19) Sukoriansky et al. [2018](#page-16-21)).

3.2 Turbulence Cospectra

The stability parameter *z*∕*L* was calculated to characterize the stability of the ABL, where *z* is the measurement height above the surface, $L = -\frac{u^3}{\frac{\kappa g}{\theta_0}w^{\prime}\theta}$ is the Obukhov length (Obukhov [1946\)](#page-16-16), u_* is the friction velocity, κ is the von Kármán constant, θ_0 is the reference potential temperature and θ' is the potential-temperature fluctuation. Note that we use air temperature to approximate potential temperature, as our measurements were all below 3.5 m above the surface. Rather than directly measuring the cospectra in wavenumber space, we converted the frequency cospectra into wavenumber cospectra, invoking Taylor's frozen turbulence hypothesis (Taylor [1938](#page-17-7)). Wavelet transform (Torrence and Compo [1998\)](#page-17-8) was used to calculate turbulence cospectra (software was provided by C. Torrence and G. Compo, and is available at: <http://paos.colorado.edu/research/wavelets/>) for observations at Lake Geneva and Dome C. The fast Fourier transform (Frigo and Johnson [1998](#page-15-20)) was used to calculate turbulence cospectra for observations from the SHEBA and MATERHORN experiments. Both wavelet and Fourier transform are used to eliminate the possible efects of the calculation method on cospectral slopes, while both methods were routinely applied to calculate turbulence cospectra in the ABL (Hudgins et al. [1993;](#page-15-21) Cornish et al. [2006;](#page-15-22) Li et al. [2015\)](#page-16-12).

Both frequency and cospectra (based on wavelets) were normalized in a similar way to Kaimal et al. ([1972\)](#page-15-1). Four examples from the lake experiment are shown in Fig. [1.](#page-6-0) A few cospectra at the highest wavenumbers are seen due to the limitation of the instrumental temporal sampling. At low wavenumbers, the cospectral slope is shallower than −2, and even approaches zero in some cases (Fig. [1](#page-6-0)). This is because internal gravity waves (Lumley [1964;](#page-16-0) Caughey and Readings [1975;](#page-15-23) Smedman [1988\)](#page-16-22) and wall efects (Townsend [1976;](#page-17-3) Katul et al. [2014](#page-15-11)) have stronger impacts on larger eddies. Hence turbulence deviates more from isotropic condition at lower wavenumbers (Lienhard and Van Atta [1990\)](#page-16-23), as expected.

To further examine whether the −2 or the −7/3 slope better captures the observed cospectral scaling at high wavenumbers, the median cospectrum of 18 diferent stable periods for each frequency is shown (Fig. [2a](#page-7-0)) for the lake experiment. The −2 slope starts matching the cospectrum at around 1.5 Hz, which is lower compared to that of the −7/3 slope. The −7/3 slope seems to match the cospectrum at frequencies higher than 5 Hz. In fact, the slope at frequencies higher than 5 Hz is even steeper than −7/3. However, Bos et al. ([2004\)](#page-15-5) showed that the asymptotic slope should be between $-5/3$ and $-7/3$ using a cospectral budget analysis, and thus a slope steeper than −7/3 is likely caused by the temporal sampling cutoff of instruments.

Fig. 1 (a)–(d) Normalized cospectra of the heat fux in four representative 15-min periods of EC measurements over Lake Geneva. E_{wT} is the wavelet cospectrum of the vertical velocity fluctuations w' and temperature fuctuation *T*′ in time, *U* the mean streamwise wind velocity, *z* the measurement height above the lake, *u*∗ the friction velocity, *T*∗ the scaling temperature, *k* the wavenumber, and *L* the Obukhov length. *E* denotes the normalized heat flux cospectrum; $k₀$ and k_a denote the Dougherty–Ozmidov wavenumber and the wavenumber k_a for the distance to the wall, respectively. Note that the units on the *y* axis are not necessarily non-dimensional

Fig. 2 (a) The median of the normalized cospectra of heat flux (denoted by $E_{wT}/(u_*T_*)$ or *E*) across 18 representative 15-min periods over Lake Geneva. (b) $E_{wT} / (u_* T_*)$ in (a) multiplied by f^2 . (c) $E_{wT} / (u_* T_*)$ in (a) multiplied by $f^{7/3}$. (d) The median of normalized cospectrum of momentum flux (denoted by $E_{w\mu}/\mu^2$ or *E*). (e) E_{wu}/u_*^2 in (d) multiplied by f^2 . (f) E_{wu}/u_*^2 in (d) multiplied by $f^{7/3}$. Empty circles (blue for $f^{7/3}E$ and red for f^2E) denote the 25th and 75th percentiles of cospectrum at each frequency. *p* is an exponent equal to $7/3$ or 2, f is the sampling frequency in Hz, and the other variables have the same meaning as those in Fig. [1](#page-6-0)

To better assess the exact slope, we then evaluate the compensated cospectra and multiply the median cospectra by f^2 f^2 and $f^{7/3}$, respectively (Fig. 2b, c), where f is the sampling frequency in Hz, to better distinguish the two slopes. At frequencies 1.5 $Hz < f < 4$ Hz, there is a plateau for f^2E_{wT} . However, there is a positive slope before approximately 4.5 Hz and a negative slope after 4.5 Hz for $f^{7/3}E_{\rm wT}$. It is possible that $f^{7/3}E_{\rm wT}$ might reach a plateau at higher frequencies but this cannot be observed due to the instrumental sampling cutof. Besides, the 25th and 75th percentiles of cospectrum denoted by empty circles at each frequency also show a larger plateau in f^2E compared to $f^{7/3}E$. In Dome C observations, it is harder to observe a plateau for $f^{7/3}E_{\rm wT}$ but a small plateau exists for $f^2E_{\rm wT}$ at around 2 Hz (Fig. [3a](#page-8-0), b) for the heat flux. In the SHEBA campaign, the median of $f^{7/3}E_{\rm wT}$ shows a positive slope from 2 to 4 Hz but $f^2E_{\mu T}$ has a plateau in the same frequency regime (Fig. [4](#page-9-0)a, b). The cospectrum jump after 4 Hz is possibly due to instrumental noise. These atmospheric observations of the compensated cospectra therefore suggest that −2 better characterizes the cospectral scaling of sensible heat flux at high frequencies (>2 Hz) compared to −7/3.

For the momentum fux cospectrum (Fig. [2](#page-7-0)d–f), the diference between the −2 and −7/3 slopes is smaller than that of heat-fux cospectrum in the lake experiment. In the Dome C observation, a plateau is observed for f^2E_{wu} at 1.5–2.5 Hz (Fig. [3c](#page-8-0)) but not for $f^{7/3}E_{wu}$ (Fig. [3d](#page-8-0)), which keeps increasing with frequency. In the SHEBA campaign,

Fig. 3 The median of normalized cospectra of (a) heat flux (denoted by $E_{wT}/(u_*T_*)$ or *E*) multiplied by f^2 , (b) heat flux (denoted by $E_{wT}/(u_*T_*)$ or *E*) multiplied by $f^{7/3}$, (c) momentum flux (denoted by E_{wu}/u_*^2 or E) multiplied by or f^2 , and (d) momentum flux (denoted by E_{wu}/u_*^2 or E) multiplied by or $f^{7/3}$ across 70
representative 30-min periods at Dome C. Empty circles (blue for $f^{7/3}$ and red for f^2E) denote the 25 and 75th percentiles of cospectrum at each frequency; *p* is an exponent equal to 7/3 or 2, *f* is the sampling frequency in Hz, and the other variables have the same meaning as those in Fig. [1](#page-6-0)

a slightly larger plateau is seen in $f^2 E_{wu}$ compared to $f^{7/3} E_{wu}$ in high-frequency parts (Fig. [4c](#page-9-0), d). In the MATERHORN campaign, the median of $f^{7/3}E_{wu}$ shows a positive slope from 10 to 300 Hz, while f^2E_{wu} is flat in the same frequency regime (Fig. [5\)](#page-9-1). Again, the cospectral scaling of momentum flux better matches -2 than $-7/3$ in these feld observations.

In addition to these analyses, we further ftted a slope for the heat fux cospectrum between 1.6 and 3.4 Hz in each period (e.g., the frequency domain in Fig. [2b](#page-7-0)) and obtained a mean slope of −2.03 and a standard deviation of 0.22 for 18 periods (Table [1\)](#page-4-0) in the lake experiment. The frequency domain was selected to ensure that the cospectrum started to match a power-law at the lower limit and was not infuenced by instrumental cutoff at the higher limit. We extended the frequency range by 33.3%, within 1.3 Hz < f < 3.7 Hz, and found a slope of -2.02 , which is very close to the initial −2.03 slope estimate. We performed similar sensitivity test of the slope ftting the slopes of the cospectra in other datasets. The ftted slope for the heat fux cospectra in the Dome C and SHEBA campaigns are −2.07 and −1.93, with a standard deviation of 0.25 and 0.41, respectively (Table [1\)](#page-4-0). Therefore, based on our data, a −2 scaling appears to be more likely observed than the $-7/3$ (-2.33) cospectrum for the heat flux. For the cospectrum of momentum flux, the fitted slopes in the 4 campaigns are -2.00 , -2.11 , −1.99 and −2.02, respectively (Table [1\)](#page-4-0), again close to a −2 slope. It is worth noting that the standard deviation of the momentum cospectra is generally larger than that of

Fig. 4 The median of normalized cospectra of (a) heat flux (denoted by $E_{wT}/(u_*T_*)$ or *E*) multiplied by f^2 , (b) heat flux (denoted by $E_{wT}/(u_*T_*)$ or *E*) multiplied by $f^{7/3}$, (c) momentum flux (denoted by E_{wu}/u_*^2 or *E*) multiplied by f^2 , and (d) momentum flux (denoted by E_{wu}/u_*^2 or *E*) multiplied by $f^{7/3}$ across 10 representative averaged 13.65-min periods from the SHEBA experiment. Empty circles (blue for $f^{7/3}E$ and red for f^2E) denote the 25th and 75th percentiles of cospectrum at each frequency; *p* is an exponent equal to 7/3 or 2, *f* is sampling frequency in Hz and the other variables have the same meaning as those in Fig. [1](#page-6-0)

Fig. 5 The median of normalized cospectra of momentum flux (denoted by E_{wu}/u_*^2 or *E*) multiplied by $f^{7/3}$ (blue lines) or f^2 (red lines) across six periods from the MATERHORN experiment; p is an exponent equal to $7/3$ or 2 and f is the sampling frequency in Hz and other variables have the same meaning as those in Fig. [1](#page-6-0)

heat cospectra (Table [1](#page-4-0)), which is consistent with the larger ratio of the 25th to 75th percentiles of cospectrum in momentum fux (Fig. [2b](#page-7-0), e) due to the more variable nature of momentum compared to scalars.

A $-7/3$ power-law scaling would indicate that $E_{wT} \propto \epsilon^{1/3}$ according to Eq. [2](#page-2-0), while a −2 power-law scaling would suggest that $E_{wT} \propto \epsilon^{1/2}$ according to Eq. [5](#page-2-3). Similarly, a −7/3 scaling would indicate that $E_{wu} \propto \epsilon^{1/3}$ according to Eq. [3,](#page-2-2) while a -2 scaling would suggest that $E_{wu} \propto \epsilon^{1/2}$ according to Eq. [7.](#page-3-0) It is thus helpful to examine the power-law relation of E_{wT} (E_{wu}) with ϵ to further determine the cospectra slope. We fitted a linear relationship between normalized E_{wT} and $\epsilon^{1/3}$ in a log-log plot (Fig. [6](#page-10-0)a) for the 18 periods of observations (minimizing the sum of squared errors) in the lake experiment and obtained a coefficient of determination $R^2 = 0.55$. We also fitted a linear relationship between normalized E_{wT} and $\epsilon^{1/2}$ in the log-log plot (Fig. [6](#page-10-0)b) and obtained $R^2 = 0.73$, suggesting that $E_{wT} \propto \epsilon^{1/2}$ is a better approximation—thus further confirming that the -2 scaling better captures the heat flux cospectrum. In addition, we fitted a linear regression between normalized E_{ww} and ϵ in a similar way as Fig. [6a](#page-10-0) and obtained R^2 =0.56 (see Fig. [7](#page-11-0)a). We fitted a linear regression between the normalized E_{wu} and ϵ in a way similar to Fig. [6b](#page-10-0) and obtained $R^2 = 0.74$ (see Fig. [7](#page-11-0)b). This also suggests that $E_{wu} \propto \epsilon^{1/2}$ is a better approximation, and thus -2 scaling better captures the momentum flux cospectrum than the −7/3 scaling.

To further conclude our analysis, we examine the "structure function" of the temperature fux (Mydlarski [2003\)](#page-16-9),

$$
D_{\nu T} = \langle \Delta w \Delta T \rangle, \tag{8}
$$

where $\Delta w \equiv w(x + r) - w(x)$, $\Delta T \equiv T(x + r) - T(x)$, x is spatial coordinate and *r* is the spatial separation between two points. We also defned the higher-order functions $D_{w^2T^2} = \langle (\Delta w \Delta T)^2 \rangle$ and $D_{w^4T^4} = \langle (\Delta w \Delta T)^4 \rangle$. Similarly, $D_{wu} = \langle \Delta w \Delta u \rangle$ denotes the structure function of momentum flux, and $D_{w^2u^2} = \langle (\Delta w \Delta u)^2 \rangle$ and $D_{w^4u^4} = \langle (\Delta w \Delta u)^4 \rangle$. Following Antonia and Van Atta ([1978\)](#page-14-4), the temporal measurements were used to represent

Fig. 6 Normalized cospectrum of heat flux plotted against the mean turbulent kinetic energy dissipation $\text{F}_{\text{eff}}(a) = 18 \text{ respectively.}$ rate (ϵ) in 18 representative 15-min periods collected over Lake Geneva; (a) $f^{7/3}E_{\rm wT}(\frac{\partial T_{\rm c}}{\partial z})$ according to Eq. [2](#page-2-0); (b) $f^2 E_{wT} \left(\frac{\partial T_0}{\partial z}\right)^{-3/4}$ according to Eq. [5;](#page-2-3) T_0 is mean temperature in time, ϵ is mean turbulent energy dissipation rate, \hat{R}^2 is the coefficient of determination, and the other variables have the same meaning as those in Fig. [1](#page-6-0)

Fig. 7 Normalized cospectrum of momentum fux plotted against the mean turbulent kinetic energy dissipation rate (ϵ) in 18 representative 15-min periods collected over Lake Geneva; (a) $f^{7/3}E_{wu}\left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial z}\right)^{-1}$ to Eq. [3](#page-2-2), (b) $f^2 E_{wu} \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial z}\right)^{-1/2}$ according to Eq. [7](#page-3-0); R^2 is the coefficient of determination, and the other varia according bles have the same meaning as those in Fig. [1](#page-6-0)

the spatial structure functions by invoking Taylor's frozen turbulence hypothesis (Tay-lor [1938\)](#page-17-7). The −7/3 scaling of cospectrum would indicate $D_{wT} \propto r^{4/3}$ ($D_{w^2T^2} \propto r^{8/3}$ and $D_{w^4T^4} \propto r^{16/3}$ respectively) in the inertial subrange (Mydlarski [2003](#page-16-9)), while the −2 scaling would indicate $D_{wT} \propto r (D_{w^2T^2} \propto r^2)$ and $D_{w^4T^4} \propto r^4$ respectively). The structure function $D_{\nu T}$ is therefore multiplied by $r^{-4/3}$ and r^{-1} , respectively (Fig. [8a](#page-12-0)), for the lake data. At scales smaller than 0.5 m, $r^{-1}D_{\nu T}$ exhibits a plateau, while $r^{-4/3}D_{\nu T}$ has a steeper, positive, slope (Fig. [8a](#page-12-0)). For the momentum structure function, a fat region for *r*[−]¹*Dwu* at $0.7 \text{ m} < r < 1.5 \text{ m}$ can be observed, while there is only a much smaller plateau for $r^{-4/3}D_{wu}$ (Fig. [8b](#page-12-0)). The flat region of $r^{-1}D_{wT}$ ($r^{-1}D_{wu}$) corresponds to the relation $D_{wT} \propto r^{-4/3}D_{wu}$ $(D_{wu} \propto r)$ and a -2 scaling of the cospectrum. The abrupt change of slope at $r < 0.3$ m for the compensated D_{wT} (Fig. [8a](#page-12-0)) and at $r < 0.6$ m for the compensated D_{wu} (Fig. [8b](#page-12-0)) suggests smaller amplitude of D_{wT} and D_{wu} , which could be due to relatively larger instrument noise at small spatial separation. This noise efect is reduced for even-order functions, such as $D_{w^2T^2}$ (Fig. [8](#page-12-0)c) and $D_{w^4T^4}$ (Fig. 8e) since they are more stable. The normalized higherorder functions $r^{-2}D_{w^2T^2}$, $r^{-2}D_{w^2u^2}$, and $r^{-4}D_{w^4T^4}$ and $r^{-4}D_{w^4u^4}$ thus approach a plateau at the smallest scales (Fig. [8](#page-12-0)c–f), while there is still an obvious negative slope at the smallest scales for $r^{-8/3}D_{w^2T^2}$, $r^{-8/3}D_{w^2u^2}$, $r^{-16/3}D_{w^4T^4}$ and $r^{-16/3}D_{w^4u^4}$. These results suggest that the relationships $D_{w^2T^2} \propto r^2$ and $D_{w^4T^4} \propto r^4$ are better approximations of the structure functions. Similar results are seen at the Dome C observations (Fig. [9\)](#page-13-0). It is worth noting that the plateau occurs over broader range of scales for low-order functions $r^{-1}D_{\nu T}$ and $r^{-1}D_{\nu U}$ than higher-order functions $r^{-2}D_{w^2T^2}$, $r^{-2}D_{w^2u^2}$, and $r^{-4}D_{w^4T^4}$, $r^{-4}D_{w^4u^4}$, which is consistent with the finding that higher-order structure functions (Kolmogorov [1941\)](#page-15-0) have narrower inertial subrange (Van Atta and Chen [1970;](#page-17-9) Anselmet et al. [1984\)](#page-14-5). Therefore, the structure functions of the fuxes suggest that the −2 scaling is a better approximation for turbulence cospectra than −7/3 scaling across a wide range of observed stable conditions.

Fig. 8 The median of normalized structure function of (a) D_{wT} , (b) D_{ww} , (c) $D_{w^2T^2}$, (d) $D_{w^2u^2}$, (e) $D_{w^4T^4}$, and (f) $D_{w^4T^4}$ across 18 representative 15-min periods over Lake Geneva; *r* is the spatial separation

3.3 Discussion

Our feld observations (with the highest Taylor-microscale-based Reynolds number of R_{λ} =3236) are consistent with previous laboratory experiments (Mydlarski and Warhaft [1998;](#page-16-8) Mydlarski [2003](#page-16-9); Sakai et al. [2008\)](#page-16-10), which reported a −2 spectral scaling for turbulence cospectra at Taylor-microscale-based Reynolds number below 582. Previous numerical simulations (Bos et al. [2004;](#page-15-5) O'Gorman and Pullin [2005](#page-16-11)) also showed a −2 scaling in homogeneous and isotropic turbulence with a mean scalar gradient. It is worth noting that some studies (Kaimal et al. [1972;](#page-15-1) Saddoughi and Veeravalli [1994;](#page-16-2) Bos [2014](#page-15-24)) suggested a −7/3 scaling for the cospectra but did not compare their results with other scaling exponents, in particular to the −2 scaling proposed here. Therefore, it is reasonable to infer that −7/3 scaling has not been frmly established as the proper scaling for cospectra of heat, momentum and scalar fluxes at moderate Reynolds numbers $(R_{\lambda} \sim 10^3)$.

In terms of theoretical analyses, O'Gorman and Pullin ([2003](#page-16-24)) proposed that both a −5/3 scaling leading term and a next-order −7/3 scaling term contribute to the cospectrum of velocity and scalar based on a stretched-spiral vortex model. Bos et al. ([2005](#page-15-25)) showed using eddy-damped quasi-normal Markovian (EDQNM) (Orszag [1970](#page-16-25)) closure that the −7/3 scaling for velocity-scalar cospectrum could only be observed at very high Taylor-microscale Reynolds number $(R_{\lambda} = 10^7)$ while a smaller cospectral scaling exponent could be observed at lower Reynolds numbers. Li and Katul ([2017](#page-16-13)) showed that deviations from −7/3 are related to the fux transfer and pressure decorrelation terms for momentum fux budget, while the exact value of the scaling cannot be determined from

Fig. 9 The median of the normalized structure function of (a) D_{wT} , (b) D_{wu} , (c) $D_{w^2T^2}$, (d) $D_{w^2u^2}$, (e) $D_{w^4T^4}$, and (f) D_{w+T^4} across 70 representative 30-min periods at Dome C

this model. In other words, these theoretical models imply the possibility of −2 scaling at moderate Reynolds numbers $(R_{\lambda} \sim 10^3)$ such as in the stable ABL (Bradley et al. [1981;](#page-15-26) Gulitski et al. [2007\)](#page-15-27). Recent studies (Stiperski and Calaf [2018;](#page-16-26) Stiperski et al. [2019](#page-16-27)) suggested that the anisotropy of the Reynolds stress tensor is linked to the turbulence similarity scaling. Although our observations do not demonstrate how the anisotropy of the Reynolds stress tensor directly infuences the cospectral scaling, it might still be of interest to explore the efects of the anisotropy in future studies with high-resolution numerical simulations free from measurement errors.

As for the application of the cospectral scaling in spectral corrections of EC obser-vations in the ABL, equation (33) in Kaimal et al. ([1972](#page-15-1)) suggested a -2.1 power-law scaling for the cospectra of heat and momentum fuxes at high wavenumbers, while they suggested $-7/3$ slope as the asymptotic cospectral scaling. The -2.1 slope was then adopted in the spectral correction method by Moore ([1986](#page-16-3)). Yet, Horst [\(1997](#page-15-3)) assumed a −2 scaling for scalar cospectrum as it better approximated his observations, as well as considering the ease of analytical computations. Similarly, Massman [\(2000\)](#page-16-5) and Massman and Lee ([2002](#page-16-28)) applied a -2 scaling for cospectral correction of EC measurements. Massman [\(2000\)](#page-16-5) further suggested that the corrections for EC measurements are sensitive to the exact shape of turbulence cospectra in stable conditions. As such, the −2 scaling has already been applied in some earlier spectral correction methods of EC measurements, yet without justifcation. We have provided further evidence from multiple observational feld campaigns that the cospectra might follow the −2 spectral

scaling in the stable ABL rather than a $-7/3$ scaling typically assumed. We thus recommend processing eddy-covariance data with a −2 cospectrum.

However, there remain open questions. The asymptotic cospectral scaling at infnite Reynolds number is still unknown. The cospectral scaling at $R_{\lambda} \sim 10^3$ in the stable ABL may not be directly extendable to higher Reynolds numbers, for example $R_{\lambda} \sim 10^7$ (Bos et al. [2005\)](#page-15-25). While such larger Reynolds numbers are of theoretical interest, our study covers typical Reynolds numbers of natural stable ABLs and is hence of immediate utility.

4 Conclusion

Our field observations in the stable ABL suggest that the $-7/3$ law may not accurately describe the cospectral scaling when the compensated cospectrum, the relation between cospectrum and turbulence kinetic energy dissipation rate and the structure function of fuxes are carefully examined. The observations are consistent with moderate Reynolds number $(R_{\lambda} \leq 10^3)$ results of laboratory experiments (Mydlarski and Warhaft [1998;](#page-16-8) Mydlarski [2003](#page-16-9); Sakai et al. [2008\)](#page-16-10), DNS (O'Gorman and Pullin [2005](#page-16-11); Watanabe and Gotoh [2007\)](#page-17-1) and LES (Bos et al. [2004](#page-15-5)) studies, which compared the −2 power-law scaling with the −7/3 power-law scaling. Although whether asymptotic cospectral scaling exists at infnite Reynolds numbers is yet unknown, our observations suggest that −2 might be a better approximation for cospectral scaling for stably stratifed ABL at feld Reynolds numbers. Therefore, the −2 power-law scaling is recommended for spectral corrections of eddy-covariance measurements in the stable ABL.

Acknowledgements PG would like to acknowledge funding from the National Science Foundation (NSF CAREER, EAR-1552304), and from the Department of Energy (DOE Early Career, DE-SC00142013). The lake data were collected by the Environmental Fluid Mechanics and Hydrology Laboratory of Professor M. Parlange at l'Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne. We would like to thank Prof. M. Parlange and Prof. Elie Bou-Zeid for sharing Lake EC data. Mountain Terrain Atmospheric Modeling and Observations $(MATERHORN)$ program was funded by the Office of Naval Research $(N00014-11-1-0709)$. Dome C data were acquired in the frame of the projects Mass lost in wind fux (MALOX) and Concordia multi-process atmospheric studies (COMPASS) sponsored by PNRA. Special thanks to P. Grigioni and all the staf of Antarctic Meteorological Observatory of Concordia for providing the radio sounding data used in this study, and to Dr. Igor Petenko of CNR ISAC for running the feld experiment at Concordia station.

References

- Andreas EL, Clafey KJ, Jordan RE, Fairall CW, Guest PS, Persson POG, Grachev AA (2006) Evaluations of the von Kármán constant in the atmospheric surface layer. J Fluid Mech 559:117–149
- Andreas EL, Horst TW, Grachev AA, Persson POG, Fairall CW, Guest PS, Jordan RE (2010a) Parametrizing turbulent exchange over summer sea ice and the marginal ice zone. Q J R Meteorol Soc 136(649):927–943
- Andreas EL, Persson POG, Grachev AA, Jordan RE, Horst TW, Guest PS, Fairall CW (2010b) Parameterizing turbulent exchange over sea ice in winter. J Hydrometeorol 11(1):87–104
- Anselmet F, Gagne Y, Hopfnger E, Antonia R (1984) High-order velocity structure functions in turbulent shear fows. J Fluid Mech 140:63–89
- Antonia R, Van Atta C (1978) Structure functions of temperature fuctuations in turbulent shear fows. J Fluid Mech 84(3):561–580
- Aubinet M, Grelle A, Ibrom A, Rannik Ü, Moncrief J, Foken T, Kowalski AS, Martin PH, Berbigier P, Bernhofer C (1999) Estimates of the annual net carbon and water exchange of forests: the EUROFLUX methodology. In: Fitter AH, Rafaelli DG (eds) Advances in ecological research, vol 30. Academic Press. London, UK, pp 113–175
- Bos W (2014) On the anisotropy of the turbulent passive scalar in the presence of a mean scalar gradient. J Fluid Mech 744:38–64
- Bos W, Touil H, Shao L, Bertoglio J-P (2004) On the behavior of the velocity-scalar cross correlation spectrum in the inertial range. Phys Fluids 16(10):3818–3823
- Bos W, Touil H, Bertoglio J-P (2005) Reynolds number dependency of the scalar fux spectrum in isotropic turbulence with a uniform scalar gradient. Phys Fluids 17(12):125108
- Bou-Zeid E, Vercauteren N, Parlange MB, Meneveau C (2008) Scale dependence of subgrid-scale model coefficients: an a priori study. Phys Fluids $20(11):115106$
- Bradley EF, Antonia R, Chambers A (1981) Turbulence Reynolds number and the turbulent kinetic energy balance in the atmospheric surface layer. Boundary-Layer Meteorol 21(2):183–197
- Caughey S, Readings C (1975) An observation of waves and turbulence in the earth's boundary layer. Boundary-Layer Meteorol 9(3):279–296
- Cava D, Katul G (2012) On the scaling laws of the velocity-scalar cospectra in the canopy sublayer above tall forests. Boundary-Layer Meteorol 145(2):351–367
- Conry P, Kit E, Fernando HJ (2018) Measurements of mixing parameters in atmospheric stably stratifed parallel shear fow. Environ Fluid Mech 1–21
- Cornish CR, Bretherton CS, Percival DB (2006) Maximal overlap wavelet statistical analysis with application to atmospheric turbulence. Boundary-Layer Meteorol 119(2):339–374
- Dougherty J (1961) The anisotropy of turbulence at the meteor level. J Atmos Terr Phys 21(2–3):210–213
- Fernando H, Pardyjak E, Di Sabatino S, Chow F, De Wekker S, Hoch S, Hacker J, Pace J, Pratt T, Pu Z (2015) The MATERHORN: unraveling the intricacies of mountain weather. Bull Am Meteorol Soc 96(11):1945–1967
- Frigo M, Johnson SG (1998) FFTW: an adaptive software architecture for the FFT. In: Proceedings of the 1998 IEEE international conference on acoustics, speech and signal processing, Seattle, WA, USA, IEEE, pp 1381–1384
- Gargett A, Osborn T, Nasmyth P (1984) Local isotropy and the decay of turbulence in a stratifed fuid. J Fluid Mech 144:231–280
- Grachev AA, Fairall CW, Persson POG, Andreas EL, Guest PS (2005) Stable boundary-layer scaling regimes: the SHEBA data. Boundary-Layer Meteorol 116(2):201–235
- Grachev AA, Andreas EL, Fairall CW, Guest PS, Persson POG (2013) The critical Richardson number and limits of applicability of local similarity theory in the stable boundary layer. Boundary-Layer Meteorol 147(1):51–82
- Grachev AA, Andreas EL, Fairall CW, Guest PS, Persson POG (2015) Similarity theory based on the Dougherty–Ozmidov length scale. Q J R Meteorol Soc 141(690):1845–1856
- Gulitski G, Kholmyansky M, Kinzelbach W, Lüthi B, Tsinober A, Yorish S (2007) Velocity and temperature derivatives in high-Reynolds-number turbulent fows in the atmospheric surface layer. Part 1. Facilities, methods and some general results. J Fluid Mech 589:57–81
- Horst T (1997) A simple formula for attenuation of eddy fuxes measured with frst-order-response scalar sensors. Boundary-Layer Meteorol 82(2):219–233
- Hudgins L, Friehe CA, Mayer ME (1993) Wavelet transforms and atmopsheric turbulence. Phys Rev Lett 71(20):3279
- Kaimal JC, Finnigan JJ (1994) Atmospheric boundary layer fows: their structure and measurement. Oxford University Press, New York
- Kaimal JC, Wyngaard JC, Izumi Y, Cote OR (1972) Spectral characteristics of surface-layer turbulence. Q J R Meteorol Soc 98(417):563–589
- Katul GG, Porporato A, Shah S, Bou-Zeid E (2014) Two phenomenological constants explain similarity laws in stably stratifed turbulence. Phys Rev E 89(2):023007
- Kit E, Liberzon D (2016) 3D-calibration of three-and four-sensor hot-flm probes based on collocated sonic using neural networks. Meas Sci Technol 27(9):095901
- Kit E, Hocut C, Liberzon D, Fernando H (2017) Fine-scale turbulent bursts in stable atmospheric boundary layer in complex terrain. J Fluid Mech 833:745–772
- Kolmogorov AN (1941) The local structure of turbulence in incompressible viscous fuid for very large Reynolds numbers. Dokl Akad Nauk SSSR 30:299–303
- Kovasznay LS (1948) Spectrum of locally isotropic turbulence. J Aeronaut Sci 15(12):745–753
- Leuning R, Moncrieff J (1990) Eddy-covariance $CO₂$ flux measurements using open-and closed-path CO₂ analysers: corrections for analyser water vapour sensitivity and damping of fluctuations in air sampling tubes. Boundary-Layer Meteorol 53(1–2):63–76
- Li D, Bou-Zeid E (2011) Coherent structures and the dissimilarity of turbulent transport of momentum and scalars in the unstable atmospheric surface layer. Boundary-Layer Meteorol 140(2):243–262
- Li D, Katul GG (2017) On the linkage between the k−5/3 spectral and k−7/3 cospectral scaling in high-Reynolds number turbulent boundary layers. Phys Fluids 29(6):065108
- Li D, Katul GG, Bou-Zeid E (2015) Turbulent energy spectra and cospectra of momentum and heat fuxes in the stable atmospheric surface layer. Boundary-Layer Meteorol 157(1):1–21
- Li D, Salesky ST, Banerjee T (2016) Connections between the Ozmidov scale and mean velocity profle in stably stratifed atmospheric surface layers. J Fluid Mech 797:R3
- Li Q, Bou-Zeid E, Vercauteren N, Parlange M (2018) Signatures of air–wave interactions over a large lake. Boundary-Layer Meteorol 167(3):445–468
- Lienhard JH, Van Atta CW (1990) The decay of turbulence in thermally stratifed fow. J Fluid Mech 210:57–112
- Lumley JL (1964) The spectrum of nearly inertial turbulence in a stably stratifed fuid. J Atmos Sci 21(1):99–102
- Lumley JL (1967) Theoretical aspects of research on turbulence in stratifed fows. In: Proceedings of international colloquium atmospheric turbulence and radio wave propagation, Moscow, pp 105–110
- Mamadou O, de la Motte LG, De Ligne A, Heinesch B, Aubinet M (2016) Sensitivity of the annual net ecosystem exchange to the cospectral model used for high frequency loss corrections at a grazed grassland site. Agric For Meteorol 228:360–369
- Massman WJ (2000) A simple method for estimating frequency response corrections for eddy covariance systems. Agric For Meteorol 104(3):185–198
- Massman WJ, Lee X (2002) Eddy covariance fux corrections and uncertainties in long-term studies of carbon and energy exchanges. Agric For Meteorol 113(1):121–144
- Moncrief JB, Massheder J, De Bruin H, Elbers J, Friborg T, Heusinkveld B, Kabat P, Scott S, Søgaard H, Verhoef A (1997) A system to measure surface fuxes of momentum, sensible heat, water vapour and carbon dioxide. J Hydrol 188:589–611
- Monin A, Yaglom A (1975) Statistical fuid mechanics: mechanics of turbulence. MIT Press, Cambridge
- Moore C (1986) Frequency response corrections for eddy correlation systems. Boundary-Layer Meteorol 37(1–2):17–35
- Mydlarski L (2003) Mixed velocity-passive scalar statistics in high-Reynolds-number turbulence. J Fluid Mech 475:173–203
- Mydlarski L, Warhaft Z (1998) Passive scalar statistics in high-Péclet-number grid turbulence. J Fluid Mech 358:135–175
- O'Gorman P, Pullin D (2003) The velocity-scalar cross spectrum of stretched spiral vortices. Phys Fluids 15(2):280–291
- O'Gorman P, Pullin D (2005) Efect of Schmidt number on the velocity-scalar cospectrum in isotropic turbulence with a mean scalar gradient. J Fluid Mech 532:111–140
- Obukhov A (1946) Turbulence in thermally inhomogeneous atmosphere. Trudy Inst Teor Geofz Akad Nauk SSSR. 1:95–115
- Orszag SA (1970) Analytical theories of turbulence. J Fluid Mech 41(2):363–386
- Ozmidov R (1965) On the turbulent exchange in a stably stratifed ocean. Izv Acad Sci USSR Atmos Ocean Phys 1:861–871
- Persson POG, Fairall CW, Andreas EL, Guest PS, Perovich DK (2002) Measurements near the Atmospheric Surface Flux Group tower at SHEBA: near-surface conditions and surface energy budget. J Geophys Res 107(C10):8045
- Petenko I, Argentini S, Casasanta G, Genthon C, Kallistratova M (2018) Stable surface-based turbulent layer during the polar winter at Dome C, Antarctica: sodar and in situ observations. Boundary-Layer Meteorol 171:101–128
- Pope S (2000) Turbulent flows. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
- Saddoughi SG, Veeravalli SV (1994) Local isotropy in turbulent boundary layers at high Reynolds number. J Fluid Mech 268:333–372
- Sakai Y, Uchida K, Kubo T, Nagata K (2008) Statistical features of scalar fux in a high-Schmidt-number turbulent jet. In: Kaneda Y (ed) IUTAM symposium on computational physics and new perspectives in turbulence. Springer, Dordrecht, pp 209–214
- Smedman A-S (1988) Observations of a multi-level turbulence structure in a very stable atmospheric boundary layer. Boundary-Layer Meteorol 44(3):231–253
- Stiperski I, Calaf M (2018) Dependence of near-surface similarity scaling on the anisotropy of atmospheric turbulence. Q J R Meteorol Soc 144(712):641–657
- Stiperski I, Calaf M, Rotach MW (2019) Scaling, anisotropy, and complexity in near-surface atmospheric turbulence. J Geophys Res Atmos 124(3):1428–1448
- Sukoriansky S, Kit E, Zemach E, Midya S, Fernando H (2018) Inertial range skewness of the longitudinal velocity derivative in locally isotropic turbulence. Phys Rev Fluids 3(11):114605

Taylor GI (1938) The spectrum of turbulence. Proc R Soc Lond A Math Phys Eng Sci 164(919):476–490 Torrence C, Compo GP (1998) A practical guide to wavelet analysis. Bull Am Meteorol Soc 79(1):61–78 Townsend AA (1976) The structure of turbulent shear fow. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge

- Van Atta C, Chen W (1970) Structure functions of turbulence in the atmospheric boundary layer over the ocean. J Fluid Mech 44(1):145–159
- Vercauteren N, Bou-Zeid E, Parlange MB, Lemmin U, Huwald H, Selker J, Meneveau C (2008) Subgrid-scale dynamics of water vapour, heat, and momentum over a lake. Boundary-Layer Meteorol 128(2):205–228
- Vignon E, Genthon C, Barral H, Amory C, Picard G, Gallée H, Casasanta G, Argentini S (2017a) Momentum-and heat-fux parametrization at Dome C, Antarctica: a sensitivity study. Boundary-Layer Meteorol 162(2):341–367
- Vignon E, van de Wiel BJ, van Hooijdonk IG, Genthon C, van der Linden SJ, van Hooft JA, Baas P, Maurel W, Traullé O, Casasanta G (2017b) Stable boundary-layer regimes at Dome C, Antarctica: observation and analysis. Q J R Meteorol Soc 143(704):1241–1253
- Waite ML (2011) Stratifed turbulence at the buoyancy scale. Phys Fluids 23(6):066602
- Watanabe T, Gotoh T (2007) Scalar fux spectrum in isotropic steady turbulence with a uniform mean gradient. Phys Fluids 19(12):121701
- Wyngaard J, Coté O (1972) Cospectral similarity in the atmospheric surface layer. Q J R Meteorol Soc 98(417):590–603

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.