

# Soil respiration partitioning in afforested temperate peatlands

A. Jonay Jovani-Sancho 💿 · Thomas Cummins 💿 · Kenneth A. Byrne 💿

Received: 12 February 2018/Accepted: 5 September 2018/Published online: 12 September 2018 © Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2018

**Abstract** Understanding and quantifying soil respiration and its component fluxes are necessary to model global carbon cycling in a changing climate as small changes in soil  $CO_2$  fluxes could have important implications for future climatic conditions. A soil respiration partitioning study was conducted in eight afforested peatland sites in south-west Ireland. Using trenched points, annual soil  $CO_2$  emissions, and the contributions of root and heterotrophic respiration as components of total soil respiration, were estimated. Nonlinear regression models were evaluated to determine the best predictive soil respiration model for each component flux, using soil temperature and water

Responsible Editor: Jonathan Sanderman.

**Electronic supplementary material** The online version of this article (https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-018-0496-0) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

A. J. Jovani-Sancho · K. A. Byrne (⊠) Department of Biological Sciences, School of Natural Sciences, University of Limerick, Limerick, Ireland e-mail: ken.byrne@ul.ie

Present Address:

A. J. Jovani-Sancho School of Biosciences, University of Nottingham, Nottingham NG7 2RD, UK

# T. Cummins

UCD School of Agriculture and Food Science, University College Dublin, Dublin 4, Ireland

table level as explanatory variables. Temporal variation in soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux was driven by soil temperature at 10 cm depth, with all treatment points also affected by water table level fluctuations. The effect of water table level on soil respiration was best accounted for by incorporating a water level Gaussian function into the soil-temperature-soil-respiration model. Mean root respiration was 44% of mean total soil respiration, varying between 1100 and 2049 g  $CO_2$  m<sup>-2</sup> year<sup>-1</sup>. Heterotrophic respiration was divided between peat respiration and litter respiration, which accounted for 35 and 21% of total soil respiration, respectively. While peat respiration varied between 774 and 1492 g  $CO_2 m^{-2} year^{-1}$ , litter respiration varied between 514 and 1013 g CO<sub>2</sub>  $m^{-2}$  year<sup>-1</sup>. Although the extrapolation of these results to other sites should be done with caution, the empirical models developed for the entire dataset in this study are a useful tool to predict and simulate CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in similar afforested peatlands (e.g. pine and spruce plantations) in temperate maritime climate conditions.

 $\label{eq:constraint} \begin{array}{l} \mbox{Keywords} \quad \mbox{Root respiration} \cdot \mbox{Heterotrophic} \\ \mbox{respiration} \cdot \mbox{Litter decomposition} \cdot \mbox{Soil CO}_2 \mbox{ efflux} \cdot \\ \mbox{Sitka spruce} \cdot \mbox{Lodgepole pine} \cdot \mbox{Blanket peat} \end{array}$ 

# Introduction

Forest ecosystems contain approximately 80% of all terrestrial aboveground organic carbon (C) and around 40% of all belowground terrestrial C (Dixon et al.

1994). These ecosystems have great importance in global C cycling and they can act as sinks or sources of carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) depending on the balance between photosynthesis and ecosystem respiration (Hargreaves et al. 2003; Houghton 2005; Saiz et al. 2006a). Ecosystem respiration can be partitioned into aboveground plant respiration and belowground respiration (soil respiration) (Janssens et al. 2001). Depending on the vegetation type and on the season, soil respiration can represent between 45 and 95% of total ecosystem respiration (Davidson et al. 2005) and it is the main pathway for soil C returning from ecosystems to the atmosphere (Raich and Schlesinger 1992; Ryan and Law 2005).

Soil respiration is a biological process mostly originating in the surface organic horizons, which sustain most of the nutrient cycling and biological activity in soils (Kutsch et al. 2001). Furthermore, about 90% of total soil respiration (R<sub>TOT</sub>) originates within the top 30 cm of soil (Goffin et al. 2014; Wiaux et al. 2015). This flux originates from root respiration (R<sub>R</sub>), which includes all rizhospheric activity, and heterotrophic respiration, which includes decomposition of newly deposited litter  $(R_L)$  and oxidation of older and more recalcitrant soil organic matter (i.e. peat; R<sub>P</sub>) (Mäkiranta et al. 2008). In afforested peatlands ecosystems, R<sub>TOT</sub> and its component fluxes have been shown to be mainly regulated by soil temperature (T) (Byrne and Farrell 2005; Mäkiranta et al. 2008; Minkkinen et al. 2007; Ojanen et al. 2010). Water level (WL) regulates the volume of oxic peat where aerobic respiration occurs, and thus plant productivity (Chivers et al. 2009; Tuittila et al. 2004). Despite this, only a few studies have been able to include WL as a significant variable in empirical models simulating soil respiration across different peatland ecosystems (Laine et al. 2007; Mäkiranta et al. 2009; Renou-Wilson et al. 2014; Tuittila et al. 2004). The dependence of soil respiration on soil T and moisture content in mineral soils has been investigated recently by using multiple nonlinear analysis and statistics (Jovani-Sancho et al. 2017a; Lellei-Kovács et al. 2016). However, unlike in mineral soils, the various WL response functions that have been proposed in peatlands ecosystems, including linear (Mäkiranta et al. 2008), sigmoidal (Tuittila et al. 2004) and Gaussian type equations (Jovani-Sancho et al. 2017b; Mäkiranta et al. 2009) have not been compared. Moreover, there is a lack of consensus between studies regarding not only the optimum WL for soil respiration but also how increasing or decreasing WL may limit or increase soil  $CO_2$ emissions in peatlands ecosystems. In addition, soil respiration component fluxes may have different sensitivities to soil T and moisture changes (Boone et al. 1998; Mäkiranta et al. 2008; Wei et al. 2010). Therefore, accurate partitioning of R<sub>TOT</sub> into R<sub>R</sub>, R<sub>L</sub> and R<sub>P</sub> is necessary to understand the response of soil respiration to environmental changes (Hanson et al. 2000; Lee et al. 2003; Mäkiranta et al. 2008) and to constrain the C budget of forest ecosystems.

In a boreal peatland forest experiment, Mäkiranta et al. (2008) measured  $R_P$  on points that had been trenched to a depth of 30 cm and where the aboveground litter had been removed. Litter decomposition was estimated by subtracting  $R_P$  rates from soil CO<sub>2</sub> effluxes measured in similar trenched points with the litter left intact. They finally estimated R<sub>R</sub> by subtracting R<sub>P</sub> values from emissions in control points where the roots were left intact but the aboveground litter was removed. Although the trenching approach is one of the most commonly used methods to separate  $R_R$  from  $R_{TOT}$  (Bond-Lamberty et al. 2011; Hanson et al. 2000), other partitioning methods (e.g. C isotopic techniques and component integration) exist. It is well known that trenching methods cause some disturbance effects in the soil and that they may lead to overestimation of heterotrophic respiration because decomposition of fine roots in the trenched points gives an extra source of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions (Díaz-Pinés et al. 2010). However, all partitioning methods have some disadvantages and previous research has not found significant differences between different methods for partitioning soil respiration (Kuzyakov 2006).

Several studies have been conducted to assess soil respiration and its component fluxes on forest mineral soils in temperate climates (Díaz-Pinés et al. 2010; Drake et al. 2012; Saiz et al. 2006a). However, partitioning of soil respiration in afforested peatlands in temperate maritime climates has received little attention. The proportion of each soil respiration component contributing to  $R_{TOT}$  is still unclear as, to date, data for these climatic conditions and soil types is still scarce. In pursuance of this, the objectives of this study were to: (i) study the effect of soil T and WL on soil respiration; (ii) compare the suitability of different multiple nonlinear models and determine the best

predictive soil respiration model; (iii) quantify the contribution of the soil respiration components to  $R_{TOT}$ , and; (iv) estimate their annual CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, in afforested organic soils on temperate maritime climate conditions. It was hypothesized, that (a) the inclusion of a WL Gaussian function in the soil respiration model would improve the model performance; (b) the effect of WL on soil respiration was dependent on soil T, and; (c) pooled data from all sites could be used to develop a model to scale up soil respiration in afforested peatlands to regional level under similar climatic and environmental conditions.

#### Materials and methods

#### Study sites

This research was conducted in southern Ireland on the Mullaghareirk Mountains. The climate is temperate maritime, with mild mean annual air temperatures (10 °C) and mean annual rainfall (1350 mm), with nearly 200 days with 1 mm or more rainfall and potential evapotranspiration of about 500 mm (Collins and Cummins 1996), leading to persistently wet soils. This climate corresponds with the Cfb of the Köppen-Geiger climate classification (Kottek et al. 2006). The study sites were seven plantations of Sitka spruce (Picea sitchensis (Bong.) Carr.) and one of lodgepole pine (*Pinus contorta* Dougl.) (Table 1), all established in poorly drained Dystric Histosols (IUSS Working Group WRB 2015). They were located within a radius of 12 km. The youngest site  $(SS_{18})$  was a secondrotation plantation 18 years old and the oldest site (SS<sub>44</sub>) was 44 years old. All sites had closed canopy and the older sites were mature and ready for harvesting. The youngest site  $(SS_{18})$  was unthinned but all the other sites had undergone one systematic thinning (one row of trees removed in every five rows). Sites SS<sub>18</sub>, SS<sub>24</sub> and LP<sub>23</sub> were mounded. The ground preparation included the digging of surface drains every 10-11 m and mounds at approximately  $1.9 \times 1.9$  m spacing. The other sites were either single or double mouldboard ploughed at about 2.1 m intervals. The latter sites were wetter, and deeper drains (up to 1 m deep) were dug at approximately 7 m intervals. No further works had been conducted at any of the sites since tree planting. Ground vegetation in all sites consisted of diverse mosses (Hylocomium splendens, Pleurozium schreberi and Polytrichum sp.) and forest lichens. In addition, bilberry (*Vaccinium myrtillus*) grew at  $LP_{23}$ . The youngest site had natural regeneration of Sitka spruce growing between the planted trees. Trees were planted in all sites on top of the cultivation features, whether excavated mounds or plough ridges.

# Site installations

The eight sites were established during January and February 2014. At each site two temperature probes, inserted horizontally at 10 cm soil depth, were used to record soil T at 10 min intervals and then averaged for hourly and daily values (TG-4520 & PB-5002; Gemini Data Loggers UK Ltd., UK). Long-term precipitation and air temperature data were obtained from Mount Russell climatological station (Met Éireann, Data licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International licence).

For soil gas efflux measurements, seven subsites, representing the areal proportion of the different microtopographies, were established at each site. Three subsites were established on the flat and undisturbed ground, two on the furrows, and two on the tree-planting lines (Fig. 1a). Subsites were separated from each other by at least 3 m and located within an area of 20  $\times$  20 m. Each subsite consisted of three treatment points: (i) an undisturbed point, representing  $R_{TOT}$ ; (ii) a trenched point with a rootcutting collar inserted through the intact litter and the peat layers, representing heterotrophic respiration (decomposition of peat and litter; R<sub>PL</sub>) and; (iii) a trenched point like in R<sub>PL</sub> but with the aboveground litter removed, representing R<sub>P</sub>; as represented in Fig. 1b. There were 168 sample points in total (3 respiration partitions  $\times$  7 subsites  $\times$  8 sites). The undisturbed point had a surface collar not inserted into the ground, used to mark the exact location for soil respiration measurements. Each trenched point consisted of a rigid PCV cylinder with 15.4 cm internal diameter and 32 cm long driven vertically 30 cm into the soil and functioning as collars to initially cut roots, prevent root ingrowth, and provide a soil-surface contact for the measurement device. Removable aluminium nets of 1 mm mesh size were laid over the collar openings to prevent soil disturbances by animals and to prevent the further accumulation of fresh litter within each collar between measurements.

Site code	Latitude (N)	Longitude (W)	Planting year	Ground preparation	Elevation (m.a.s.l.)	Peat depth (cm)	Age (in 2015)	Slope (%)	Density (trees ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Mean dbh (cm)	Mean ba (m <sup>2</sup> ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Yield class (m <sup>-3</sup> ha <sup>-1</sup> year <sup>-1</sup> )	Area (ha)
$SS_{18}$	52°20'43.20"	9°6'30.02"	1997	Mounded	360	> 100	18	2.1	3000	13.6	43.5	16	2.4
$SS_{24}$	52°18'00.85"	9°9′28.94″	1991	Mounded	293	65-75	24	4.4	2767	15.4	51.8	14	20.2
$SS_{27}$	52°19′58.01″	9°8′15.48″	1988	Double ploughed	243	> 90	27	4.6	2469	18.2	63.9	16	22.7
$SS_{28}$	52°19′04.50″	9°8′14.23″	1987	Single ploughed	319	60–75	28	4.8	1733	26.2	93.4	20	18.8
$SS_{39}$	52°17′57.06″	9°9′41.72″	1976	Double ploughed	288	50-60	39	4.1	2345	n.d.	n.d.	14	9.6
$SS_{43}$	52°20′43.20″	9°6′30.02″	1972	Double ploughed	317	> 100	43	2.3	2133	18.3	55.8	10	3.2
$SS_{44}$	52°19′50.40″	9°4'01.55″	1971	Single ploughed	358	> 100	44	2.2	1733	20.5	72.6	10	3.8
$LP_{23}$	52°18′16.60″	9°8′56.55″	1992	Mounded	287	> 90	23	1.8	2500	16.4	52.5	12	4.2
Subsci n.d. nc	ipt numbers und determined, S	der the site cc S Sitka spruce	ode correspo e, LP lodge	ond to number pole pine	of years sinc	e planting (	in 2015). S	ites SS <sub>27</sub>	and SS <sub>39</sub> unde	rwent unpla	nned clearfell	l in June 2015	

Table 1 General site features. Description included stand mean diameter at breast height (mean dbh) and stand basal area (ba)

🖄 Springer



Fig. 1 Site installation diagram a microtopographies selected within each site and b soil respiration treatment points established in each microtopography. The plane A-A' represents three treatment points established within the flat microtopography. This included one trenching collar with the litter removed representing peat respiration (R<sub>P</sub>), one trenching collar

Mosses and tree seedlings growing inside the collars were removed at the beginning of the measurements and when necessary throughout the study period. At each subsite, one dipwell, consisting of a perforated pipe (Fig. 1b), was installed to 100 cm depth for WL measurements.

# Soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux measurements and soil respiration partitioning

Soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux was measured weekly or fortnightly from June 2014 until mid-February 2016 using a portable infrared gas analyser attached to a closed chamber (diameter 15.4 cm, height 14.8 cm) (EGM-4 and modified SRC-1; PP Systems Ltd., UK). The total volume of the chamber including the collar's headspace volume was 2755 cm<sup>3</sup>. For a full description of the chamber and the method see Jovani-Sancho et al. (2017b). That study demonstrated that in these ecosystems, a trenching depth of 30 cm is sufficient to exclude the entire  $R_R$  efflux by severing all fine roots inside the collar.  $R_R$  and  $R_L$  were estimated as follows:



with the litter left intact representing peat and litter respiration ( $R_{PL}$ ), and one surface collar marking the area used to measure total soil respiration ( $R_{TOT}$ ). This was repeated three times in the flat, two times in the furrow and two times in the ridge microtopographies at each site. The top and bottom of each collar are open

$$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{R}} = \mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{TOT}} - \mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{PL}} \tag{1}$$

$$\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{L}} = \mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{PL}} - \mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{P}} \tag{2}$$

During soil respiration measurements, soil T was measured vertically at 10 cm soil depth (from the ground surface) and in triplicate next to each collar (HD-2307.0 & TP-473 P.O; Delta OHM S.r.L., UK). At each subsite, WL was measured at the same time. Water table level was measured from the ground surface (to the nearest centimetre) using an electric contact meter (KLL-Mini; SEBA Hydrometrie GmbH & Co. KG, Germany). A positive scale was used for belowground values and a negative scale for values above the ground surface.

# Soil sampling and analysis

During summer 2015, litter and peat samples were collected at all eight sites, in each case only from undisturbed, flat microtopographic positions. At each site, four sampling points were selected along a transect of the study zone, at about 2 m spacing. At each sampling point, forest litter (L and F layers combined) was collected using a circular frame 15.4 cm inner diameter. With the full thickness of

forest-derived litter layer sampled, a peat core,  $7.0 \times 7.5 \times 30$  cm was collected, using a modification of the volumetric peat sampler proposed by Jeglum et al. (1991). The peat extraction produced minimum compaction and each core was then divided into three 10 cm long sections. Samples were placed in zipper plastic bags and stored at 4 °C. Within 1 day, soil pH was measured by mixing 10 g of peat with 25 ml of distilled water. Moisture content was determined by calculating the loss of mass of the samples after drying them at 105 °C until constant weight (EN 14774-3:2009 standard). Thereafter, the ash content was determined by calculating the loss of mass of the oven dried samples after complete incineration of the organic matter at a controlled temperature of 550 °C (EN 14775:2009 standard). Soil bulk density (BD) was calculated for every 10 cm depth interval by dividing the dry mass (at 70 °C) by the volume of fresh samples, based on the dimensions of the corer. Ovendry samples were ground in a rotor mill to pass through a 2 mm sieve. Two bulked samples from each soil depth interval were used to assess soil organic C (SOC) and Nitrogen (N) content in an elemental analyser (Elementar MACRO Cube analyser) by combusting 50 mg of oven dried sample in presence of a carrier gas (helium) mixture and analysing quantitatively the mass fractions of C and N in the gas stream produced (EN 15104:2011 standard). Soil C stocks (SCS) were then calculated for each depth interval and for the soil profile to 30 cm depth. Soil C stocks for the L-F layers were calculated using their site-specific C content and the total dry mass collected within the quadrat.

# Data analysis and modelling

Daily WL gaps between measurement days were filled by linear interpolation. Occasionally, logged soil T values were missing due to malfunctioning of the equipment. Gaps were filled based on soil T data from the nearest site. In addition, gaps in soil T occurred in all sites for September 21–24, 2014 and July 07–22, 2015. Missing soil T data were derived by site-specific correlations between soil T at Mount Russell weather station and soil T at each site.

Mean values of all variables at each site (arithmetic mean of the seven subsites, representing the weighted mean for the site) were calculated for each day. Thereafter, several simple and multiple nonlinear regressions were used to study the relationship between the soil respiration components, soil T and WL. The relationship between soil  $CO_2$  efflux and soil T was tested by an exponential function with two parameters Eq. 3,

$$y = a_i (\exp)^{b_i T} \tag{3}$$

where y is the measured soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux rate, T is the measured soil temperature at 10 cm depth and  $a_i$  and  $b_i$  are fitted parameters greater than 0 obtained by nonlinear regression analysis.

Multiple models that had been previously used to study the relationship between soil  $CO_2$  efflux and WL in peatlands were tested for each site: a linear relationship Eq. 4 (Mäkiranta et al. 2008), a sigmoidal relationship Eq. 5 (Tuittila et al. 2004), and a Gaussian form equation Eq. 6 (Jovani-Sancho et al. 2017b). These are:

$$y = y_i + a_i WL \tag{4}$$

$$y = \left[\frac{a_i}{1 + \exp\left(\frac{WL - b_i}{c_i}\right)}\right]$$
(5)

$$y = a_i \exp\left[-0.5\left(\frac{WL - b_i}{c_i}\right)^2\right]$$
(6)

where y is the measured soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux rate, WL is the measured water table level,  $y_i$  is a parameter derived by linear regression,  $a_i$ ,  $b_i$  and  $c_i$  are specific fitted parameters determined using least squares nonlinear regression for each of the equations tested. Thereafter, to study the combined effect of soil T and WL on the soil respiration components, multiplicative and additive paired combinations of Eqs. 3 with 4, 5 and 6 were tested to develop site-specific empirical models. In addition, daily pooled data from all sites were used to develop models for the entire dataset for each soil respiration component.

Model accuracy and performance were evaluated according to different statistics and criteria. Firstly, the model parameters had to be statistically significant (*P* value < 0.05). Then, the standard error of the estimates had to be as low as possible. Third, the coefficient of the determination had to be as high as possible. When two or more models were statistically indistinguishable, the-best fit model was evaluated by the Akaike's Information Criterion (AIC<sub>c</sub>) with a biasadjustment for finite samples (Eq. 7) (Burnham and Anderson 2004; Jovani-Sancho et al. 2017a; Lellei-Kovács et al. 2016). Also, the statistical performance for modelled effluxes was evaluated by examining observed versus modelled respiration values and by calculating the mean bias (Eq. 8). Finally the model efficiency (MEF) was tested using Eq. 9 (Elsgaard et al. 2012; Soares et al. 1995). These are described as follow,

$$AIC_c = n \times \ln \frac{RSS}{n} + 2 \times K + \frac{2 \times K \times (K+1))}{(n-K-1)}$$
(7)

$$Mean \, bias = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i}^{n} (Efflux_{Mod_i} - Efflux_{Obs_i}) \tag{8}$$

$$MEF = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i}^{n} (Efflux_{Obs_{i}} - Efflux_{Mod_{i}})^{2}}{\sum_{i}^{n} (Efflux_{Obs_{i}} - Efflux_{Mean})^{2}}$$
(9)

where *n* is the total number of observations, *RSS* is the residual sum of squares, *K* is the number of parameters in the model, *Efflux<sub>Modi</sub>* is the modelled soil respiration efflux, *Efflux<sub>Obsi</sub>* is the measured soil respiration efflux, and *Efflux<sub>Mean</sub>* is the mean of the observed soil respiration effluxes. The model with the minimum AIC<sub>c</sub> may be interpreted as the model which best fits the relationship of CO<sub>2</sub> efflux with soil temperature and WL. The MEF statistic gives the relative performance of the model, where negative values indicate poor performance, 1 indicates an "almost perfect" fit and 0 means that the model is not better than using the mean of the measured effluxes.

Based on the above, best site-specific models were used to simulate hourly soil CO<sub>2</sub> effluxes. Daily R<sub>TOT</sub>,  $R_{PL}$  and  $R_{P}$  were estimated by summing up hourly simulated values. Thereafter, annual emissions were calculated by summing up daily estimated values, over two 12-months periods (Year 1: February 22, 2014 to February 21, 2015; Year 2: February 22, 2015 to February 21, 2016). Daily  $R_R$  and  $R_L$  values were estimated using Eqs. 1 and 2, respectively. To crossvalidate the models developed for the entire dataset, these models were also used to simulate daily fluxes for each individual site, year and soil-respiration component. Annual soil CO<sub>2</sub> values simulated with site-specific models and with the models for the entire dataset were compared to assess the validity of the models. These models were intended to scale up soil respiration in afforested peatlands at regional and national level under similar climatic and environmental conditions.

# Statistics and uncertainty

Soil T and WL values were tested for normality and homogeneity of variance using the Kolmogorov– Smirnov and Lavene's test. Parametric and nonparametric tests were used to examine differences between sites. This was necessary because the study variables were not normally distributed at all the sites. Firstly, a one way ANOVA test followed by a Bonferroni post hoc test were performed for both soil T and WL. After that, the non-parametric Kruskal– Wallis and Mann–Whitney U tests were also conducted for WL values. Parametric and non-parametric tests produced similar results. Differences in soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux between subsite-types within each site and between sites were also studied with non-parametric tests only.

All raw data were processed with EXCEL 2010; statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 22.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) and all regression analyses and graphs were conducted using SigmaPlot 12.0 (Systat Software Inc. USA). All the statistical tests were carried out at the P = 0.05 significance level.

# Results

#### Environmental factors

Daily mean air temperature throughout the study period ranged between -0.2 and 20.9 °C (measured at Mount Russell climatological station,  $\sim 35$  km east of the study sites). Mean air temperature was 10.2 °C. Monthly soil T was normally distributed in all sites. The one way ANOVA and the Bonferroni post hoc tests did not show any significant difference between sites. Mean soil T across all sites was 8.7 °C and mean daily values varied between 2.7 and 15.8 °C. Pairwise comparison did not show any statistical difference between the 2 years. While maximum mean daily soil T recorded during the second year was around 2 °C lower than during the first year, minimum soil T was very similar between the 2 years. Air and soil T followed the same seasonal pattern, Fig. 2 a Mean hourly air temperature recorded at Mount Russell climatological station (about 35 km east of the study sites). b Mean hourly soil temperature measured at 10 cm depth at the SS<sub>24</sub> (black line) and SS44 (grey line) sites. c Measured (symbols) and interpolated (solid lines) daily water table level at the 24 (black line) and 44 (grey line) year old Sitka spruce sites. Vertical bars represent daily rainfall recorded at Rockchapel weather station (about 2 km south of SS<sub>24</sub>). Vertical dashed line separates the measurement time into the two study years. Year 1: 22/02/ 2014-21/02/2015 and year 2: 22/02/2015-21/02/2016. Only two sites are presented here as an example



increasing towards the summer months and decreasing gradually into the winter months (Fig. 2a, b).

As is typical of maritime temperate climate, winter months were wetter than summer months. Moreover, rainfall occurred in every month and it presented a small seasonal trend with a distinct summer effect of evapotranspiration in year 1 leading to deeper WL. The driest (26 mm) and the wettest (372 mm) months throughout the study period were September 2014 and December 2015, respectively (Fig. 2c). Annual rainfall for the first and second study years was 1313 and 1871 mm, respectively (measured at Rockchapel weather station).

Water table level had a temporal variation related to precipitation, decreasing after rainfall events and increasing during lower-rainfall periods (Fig. 2c). Mean site-specific WL (measured from the soil surface) varied between 24.6 and 50.1 cm and between 29.6 and 48.0 cm during the first and second year, respectively. Site-specific mean WL was on average 10% deeper in the first year than in the second year. Minimum and maximum daily WL were 10.6 and 95.9 cm and they were measured at sites  $SS_{27}$  and  $LP_{23}$ , respectively. Mean monthly WL was normally distributed in all sites except in  $SS_{27}$  and  $SS_{28}$ . The one way ANOVA and the Bonferroni tests concluded that mean monthly WL in  $LP_{23}$  was significantly deeper than in the other sites (Fig. S1a). The results of the Kruskal–Wallis and the pairwise comparisons with the Mann–Whitney U tests agreed with the former conclusion but also that mean monthly WL in  $SS_{27}$  was significantly shallower than in all the other sites. Water table level differed between subsite-types. In all sites, mean WL measured in the different subsite-types increased in the following order: furrow, flat, and ridge microtopographies (Fig. S1b).

# Soil analysis

In all sites, except in  $SS_{38}$ , SOC increased with depth. Consistent with this, ash content decreased with each depth increment in all sites except  $SS_{38}$  where it increased with depth. Nitrogen content, C/N ratios and pH increased or decreased with depth depending on

Site	Depth (cm)	Thickness (cm)	SOC (%)	N (%)	C/N	Ash content (%)	BD (g cm <sup>-3</sup> )	SCS (t C ha <sup>-1</sup> )	рН
SS <sub>18</sub>	L/F	11–16	52.5 (0.1)	1.5 (0.0)	35.0	2.6 (0.0)	n.d.	47.5	n.d.
	0–30	30	55.1 (0.3)	1.7 (0.1)	32.4	3.7 (0.5)	0.12 (0.02)	205.1	3.41 (0.01)
$SS_{24}$	L/F	7–14	54.2 (0.1)	1.7 (0.0)	31.9	3.5 (0.0)	n.d.	30.2	n.d.
	0-30	30	54.0 (2.2)	3.2 (0.1)	16.9	7.2 (2.6)	0.12 (0.01)	186.5	3.52 (0.04)
SS <sub>27</sub>	L/F	4-8	54.3 (0.0)	2.9 (0.0)	18.7	2.3 (0.0)	n.d.	25.6	n.d.
	0-30	30	55.5 (2.0)	2.5 (0.3)	22.2	7.4 (1.2)	0.15 (0.01)	256.7	4.54 (0.12)
SS <sub>28</sub>	L/F	6–13	53.0 (0.0)	1.9 (0.0)	27.9	5.5 (0.0)	n.d.	31.6	n.d.
	0-30	30	47.5 (1.4)	2.6 (0.2)	18.3	17.1 (2.5)	0.18 (0.02)	256.8	4.03 (0.13)
SS <sub>38</sub>	L/F	12–17	54.3 (0.2)	1.5 (0.0)	35.2	1.6 (0.0)	n.d.	54.3	n.d.
	0–30	30	55.1 (0.7)	2.4 (0.1)	23.0	7.4 (0.2)	0.24 (0.04)	395.6	4.22 (0.35)
SS <sub>43</sub>	L/F	9–14	53.5 (0.0)	1.6 (0.0)	33.4	1.5 (0.0)	n.d.	45.9	n.d.
	0-30	30	53.5 (0.4)	1.8 (0.1)	29.7	2.4 (0.3)	0.10 (0.01)	158.7	3.54 (0.04)
SS <sub>44</sub>	L/F	13–18	53.9 (0.1)	1.5 (0.0)	35.9	1.8 (0.0)	n.d.	53.3	n.d.
	0-30	30	56.5 (0.4)	1.7 (0.1)	33.2	2.7 (0.5)	0.12 (0.01)	210.4	3.43 (0.01)
LP <sub>23</sub>	L/F	12–15	53.8 (0.1)	1.6 (0.0)	33.6	2.3 (0.0)	n.d.	29.5	n.d.
	0–30	30	53.7 (0.6)	2.0 (0.1)	26.9	2.4 (0.5)	0.11 (0.01)	174.9	3.71 (0.01)

Soil values were calculated for each 10 cm soil depth and then averaged for the top 30 cm of soil

SOC soil organic carbon, N nitrogen, C/N carbon and nitrogen ratio, BD bulk density, SCS soil carbon stocks, n.d. not determined

the site without any clear pattern. Bulk density and SCS decreased with depth in half of the sites, and increased with depth in the other half. Mean SOC and peat N content for the top 30 cm of soil ranged between 47.5 and 56.5% and 1.7–3.2%, respectively (Table 2). Mean BD (to a depth of 30 cm) and ash content varied between 0.10 and 0.24 g cm<sup>-3</sup> and 2.4–17.1%, respectively, with site SS<sub>38</sub> a clear outlier in both cases. For the same peat profile, C/N ratios and pH ranged between 16.9 and 33.2 and 3.43–4.54, respectively. While SCS to 30 cm soil depth (including the forest floor litter) measured at SS<sub>38</sub> was 449.9 t C ha<sup>-1</sup> it varied between 204.4 and 288.4 t C ha<sup>-1</sup> among the other sites.

# Soil respiration partitioning

Mean measured hourly (± SE)  $R_{TOT}$  ranged between 0.33 ± 0.02 and 0.48 ± 0.03 g CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>. In addition, mean measured hourly (± SE)  $R_P$  varied between 0.10 ± 0.01 and 0.19 ± 0.03 g CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>. On the other hand, estimated mean hourly  $R_R$  (± SE) varied between 0.15 ± 0.01 and 0.24 ± 0.02 g CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>. Mean estimated hourly

 $R_L$  varied between  $0.06 \pm 0.01$  and  $0.12 \pm 0.01$  g CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 3). In all sites, the highest soil respiration rates were measured during the summer months. Conversely, minimum effluxes were measured during the winter months. Heavy rainfall events (Fig. 2) produced a sudden decrease in WL, with associated decreased soil respiration rates at all sites (Fig. 4).

Site-specific hourly emissions were not normally distributed for any of the soil respiration components. The non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test showed statistical differences among sites. Pairwise comparisons with the Mann-Whitney test indicated that mean hourly R<sub>TOT</sub> was significantly lower at sites SS<sub>43</sub>, SS<sub>44</sub> and LP<sub>23</sub> than at the other sites (Fig. 3). In addition, mean hourly R<sub>P</sub> from SS<sub>43</sub> was significantly lower than in all the other sites. Mean hourly R<sub>R</sub> was highest at site  $SS_{28}$  and lowest at LP<sub>23</sub>. By contrast mean hourly  $R_L$  was highest at  $SS_{18}$  and minimum at  $SS_{44}$ . At the subsite-type level, emissions measured at the furrow microtopography were in general higher than emissions measured at the other microtopographies. This was significantly higher for the R<sub>L</sub> component in almost all sites. By contrast, R<sub>R</sub> was significantly

Fig. 3 Sites comparison of a mean total soil respiration (R<sub>TOT</sub>), c mean peat respiration (R<sub>P</sub>) e mean root respiration  $(R_R)$  and, g mean litter respiration (RL). Also, mean hourly effluxes comparison between subsites within each site, of  $\boldsymbol{b} \; \boldsymbol{R}_{TOT}, \, \boldsymbol{d} \; \boldsymbol{R}_{P}, \, \boldsymbol{f} \; \boldsymbol{R}_{R} \; \text{and},$ h R<sub>L</sub>. Different letters between sites, and also between subsites within each site, indicate that they are significantly different (P value < 0.05)



lower at the ridge microtopography in almost all sites. However, results varied among the component fluxes and between sites and no clear patterns were observed (Fig. 3).

# Modelling soil respiration

In all sites,  $R_{TOT}$ ,  $R_{PL}$  and  $R_P$  were strongly dependent on soil T and this relationship was described by an



**Fig. 4** Measured (symbols) and simulated (curves) peat respiration ( $R_P$ ), peat and litter respiration ( $R_{PL}$ ) and total soil respiration ( $R_{TOT}$ ) at the eight study sites. Each measured value is the mean value of seven manual measurements. Vertical

exponential function (Eq. 3). Within each site, soil T alone explained 51-88%, 51-92% and 52-82% of the temporal variation in R<sub>TOT</sub>, R<sub>PL</sub> and R<sub>P</sub>, respectively (Table S1). Among the multiple nonlinear equations

dashed line separates the measurement time into 2 years. Year 1: 22/02/2014-21/02/2015 and year 2: 22/02/2015-21/02/2016. Sites SS<sub>27</sub> and SS<sub>39</sub> underwent unplanned clearfell in June 2015 and therefore, only 1 year simulations are presented

producing models with statistically significant parameters and after comparing them with the AICc statistic, the incorporation of the WL Gaussian function resulted in better models in all sites except in  $LP_{23}$ 

Site	R <sub>TOT</sub>			R <sub>PL</sub>			R <sub>P</sub>		
	Linear	Sig	Gauss	Linear	Sig	Gauss	Linear	Sig	Gauss
SS <sub>18</sub>	- 217	- 217	- 217	- 249	- 249	- 259	- 289	- 288	- 287
SS <sub>24</sub>	- 225	- 233	- 235	- 283	- 292	- 295	- 334	- 339	- 345
SS <sub>27</sub>	- 116	n.c.	- 117	- 120	- 117	- 118	- 108	- 107	- 110
SS <sub>28</sub>	- 233	n.c.	- 233	- 256	- 254	- 253	- 305	- 320	- 320
SS <sub>39</sub>	- 87	- 87	- 87	- 136	- 134	- 134	- 154	- 151	- 151
SS <sub>43</sub>	- 234	- 232	- 235	- 286	- 286	- 293	- 342	- 353	- 347
SS <sub>44</sub>	- 200	- 198	- 216	- 271	- 268	- 294	- 310	- 307	- 315
LP <sub>23</sub>	- 234	- 233	- 233	- 287	- 285	- 294	- 383	n.c.	n.c.
Entire dataset	- 1406	- 1407	- 1415	- 1730	- 1742	- 1747	- 1230	- 1228	- 2042

**Table 3** Results of the combined models (soil temperature and water level) comparison using the Akaike's Information Criterion (AICc) with a bias-adjustment for finite samples

n.c. model regression did not converge

Best models denoted by the lowest AICc are in bold. Values highlighted in italics mean that at least one of the model parameters was not statistically significant and therefore the model combination was discarded. Combined models consisted of pair combinations of the soil temperature exponential function (Eq. 3) with water level, in linear (Eq. 4), sigmoidal (Sig; Eq. 4) and Gaussian (Gauss; Eq. 5) functions

(Table 3). The addition of a WL linear equation to the  $R_{\rm P}$  model best fulfilled the model selection criteria at LP<sub>23</sub>. Additive combinations of Eqs. 3 and 6 were best in some sites, while multiplicative combinations of the same equations were best in others (Table S1). Combined models accounted for 56-91%, 68-95% and 66–88% of the variation in the  $R_{TOT}$ ,  $R_{PL}$  and  $R_{P}$ models, respectively. The analysis of observed versus modelled respiration values did not show any specific pattern (Fig. S2). Modelled values were highly correlated with the observed effluxes and ME varied between 0.55 and 0.96. The mean bias between modelled and observed R<sub>P</sub> effluxes were slightly positive (i.e. observed  $R_P$  > modelled  $R_P$ ) suggesting that these models may underestimate R<sub>P</sub> effluxes, particularly at higher R<sub>P</sub>. Site-specific mean bias values for R<sub>TOT</sub> and R<sub>PL</sub> were neutral.

Pooled data from all sites were fed into a single nonlinear model following the same approach. A multiplicative combined model of Eqs. 3 and 6 (Table 4) resulted in the best model combination to simulate  $R_{TOT}$ ,  $R_{PL}$  and  $R_P$  emissions. These models satisfied all the model selection criteria and they also fit the data well (*P* value < 0.001). Analysis of observed versus modelled respiration values were evenly distributed and did not show any specific pattern (Fig. 5). The mean bias between modelled and observed was almost zero and the ME varied between 0.60 and 0.63. Moreover, they performed similarly to the site-specific models (Fig. 6). Nevertheless, these models tended to underestimate higher measured  $CO_2$  effluxes at all treatment points (Fig. 5b, d, f).

# Annual soil respiration

Soil respiration followed a clear seasonal pattern in all sites and was closely related to the soil temperature trend. All component fluxes followed the same seasonal variation. Soil CO2 effluxes increased exponentially during the summer months and they decreased towards the winter months (Fig. 4). Mean annual R<sub>TOT</sub> at the study sites varied between 2669 and 4057 g  $CO_2$  m<sup>-2</sup> year<sup>-1</sup>. On the other hand, mean annual  $R_R$  varied between 1110 and 2049 g  $CO_2$  m<sup>-2</sup> year<sup>-1</sup>. Mean annual R<sub>P</sub> and R<sub>L</sub> varied from 774 to 1492 and from 514 to 1013 g  $CO_2$  m<sup>-2</sup> year<sup>-1</sup>, respectively (Table 5). The contribution of the different component fluxes to R<sub>TOT</sub> differed among sites and also between years. Annual estimated R<sub>P</sub> and R<sub>L</sub> during the second year were on average 8 and 13% lower, respectively, than during the first year. Mean annual R<sub>TOT</sub> was also 12% lower in the second year than in the first one. By contrast, annual estimated R<sub>R</sub> was approximately 13% higher in the second year.

Component	Model #	Parameters					$r^2$	u
		ai	bi	c <sub>i</sub>	d <sub>i</sub>	$WL_i$		
R <sub>ror</sub>	$y = a_i exp^{b_i T}$	0.097 (0.008)	0.149 (0.007)	I	I	I	0.59	349
	$y = a_i exp^{b_i T}  imes exp \left[ -0.5 \left( rac{WL - WL_i}{d_i}  ight)^2  ight]$	0.123 (0.014)	0.134 (0.009)	I	55.99 (8.45)	55.21 (3.52)	0.60	
R <sub>PL</sub>	$y = a_i exp^{b_i T}$	0.037 (0.004)	0.183 (0.009)	I	I	I	0.57	348
	$y = a_i exp^{b_i T}  imes exp \left[ -0.5 \left( rac{WL - WL_i}{d_i}  ight)^2  ight]$	0.067 (0.009)	0.147 (0.010)	I	46.19 (4.95)	65.92 (3.51)	0.63	
$R_{\rm P}$	$y = a_i exp^{b_i T}$	0.023 (0.003)	0.184 (0.009)	I	Ι	I	0.57	349
	$y = a_i exp^{b_i T}  imes exp \left[ -0.5 \left( rac{WL - WL_i}{d_i}  ight)^2  ight]$	0.041 (0.006)	0.148 (0.011)	I	42.81 (4.62)	62.61 (2.86)	0.61	

respiration (R<sub>P</sub>). Each n value represents the mean value of seven measurements

peat

# Discussion

Seasonal trend and temporal variation of in soil respiration

Among all sites and all treatment points, soil T was the main factor controlling soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux (Table S1). Soil respiration followed a clear seasonal trend and it was regulated by the temporal variation of soil T. This observed strong correlation is reported in numerous former studies (Buchmann 2000; Byrne and Kiely 2006; Mäkiranta et al. 2009; Saiz et al. 2006b). The effect of WL on soil respiration was also significant but weaker than soil T. Water table level determines the volume of aerated peat and therefore it regulates the soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux production rate. Tuittila et al. (2004) found that WL controlled soil respiration in two different ways. On one hand, the authors found that soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux increased with increasing WL as this increases the volume of oxic peat. Thereafter, WL reaches a depth at which soil CO<sub>2</sub> production is maximum. On the other hand, the same authors found that soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux decreased for WL values greater than 30 cm as the lack of water became a limiting factor for peat decomposition. Although the same effect has been found in this study, the optimum WL for soil CO<sub>2</sub> production differed between sites and also between treatment points. Treatment-specific pooled data showed that soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux was maximum when WL was on average 55 cm—for the R<sub>TOT</sub> treatment— , 66 cm—for the R<sub>PL</sub> treatment—and 63 cm—for the  $R_P$  treatment—(Fig. 5). These results are in agreement with Mäkiranta et al. (2009) who, in a similar experiment in Finland, found an optimum WL for R<sub>P</sub> of 61 cm.

Soil respiration was affected concurrently by soil T and WL. In this study, it was found that depending on the site, either an additive or a multiplicative approach was the best model that satisfied all the model selection criteria (Table S1). However, when pooled data was used together to develop equations for the entire dataset, the multiplicative combined model resulted in the best model to simulate soil respiration. This result supports the hypothesis that the effect of WL on soil respiration is dependent on soil T in all treatment points as reported by Tuittila et al. (2004) and Mäkiranta et al. (2009). Although it is clear that soil temperature and WL are the main variables controlling soil  $CO_2$  efflux in afforested peatland, the

Fig. 5 Pooled measured data (symbols) from the eight study sites combined together to create a single model for the entire dataset. Simulated values (mesh) represent the multiplicative combined effect of soil temperature and water level on a total soil respiration  $(R_{TOT})$ , c peat and litter respiration (R<sub>PL</sub>) and, e peat respiration (R<sub>P</sub>). Relationship between observed and modelled effluxes, correlation coefficients (r), model efficiency (MEF) and mean bias are presented for **b**  $R_{TOT}$ , **d**  $R_{PL}$  and, **f**  $R_{P}$ . Solid lines indicate a 1:1 relationship between observed and modelled effluxes. Notice the different scales used for R<sub>TOT</sub>, R<sub>PL</sub> and R<sub>P</sub>



disparity in the equations used to model soil  $CO_2$  efflux found in the literature (Mäkiranta et al. 2009, 2008; Tuittila et al. 2004), and the way that they are used in combined models (additive and multiplicative combinations), confirm that there is a need for continued research to improve our understanding of C efflux from drained peatland forests.

#### Total soil respiration

In this study, momentary  $R_{TOT}$  fluxes ranged from 0.08 to 1.20 g  $CO_2 m^{-2} h^{-1}$  among all Sitka spruce sites and between 0.03 and 0.88 g  $CO_2 m^{-2} h^{-1}$  at the lodgepole pine site. In a 31-year-old Sitka spruce site growing on a low-humic gley soil in Ireland, Saiz et al. (2006b) reported  $R_{TOT}$  values between 0.06 and 0.49 g  $CO_2 m^{-2} h^{-1}$ . Similarly, Zerva et al. (2005) reported



**Fig. 6** Annual soil respiration originating from peat respiration  $(R_P)$ , root respiration  $(R_R)$  and litter respiration  $(R_L)$ . **b** Contribution of soil respiration components to total soil respiration  $(R_{TOT})$ . Solid bars represent annual soil CO<sub>2</sub> emissions calculated using site-specific models (Table S1). Cross-hatching bars represent annual soil CO<sub>2</sub> emissions calculated using the models developed for the entire dataset (Table 4)

 $R_{TOT}$  emissions between 0.05 and 0.63 g CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> from a 4-year-old Sitka spruce site growing on a peaty gley soil in the UK. While minimum soil respiration values recorded in this study match those of these other experiments, maximum  $R_{TOT}$  differ greatly from them. Substrate availability is a key factor in soil respiration (Ryan and Law 2005). Organic C in litter, top soil and roots (the most readily decomposable C in soils) can significantly influence

soil respiration (Zhou et al. 2013) as these soil C stocks provide an excellent substrate for soil heterotrophs (Wang et al. 2006). Although the reason for the discrepancy in  $R_{TOT}$  emissions is not clear, the higher soil C content of the afforested peatland sites compared to the low-humic gley and peaty gley Sitka spruce sites could explain the higher momentary  $R_{TOT}$ emissions. In addition, differences in age, spatial location, fine root biomass, forest productivity and specific soil temperature, soil moisture and WL conditions presented during the years when the experiments were conducted could have influenced the momentary  $R_{TOT}$  emissions (Buchmann 2000; Davidson et al. 2006; Janssens et al. 2001; Lecki and Creed 2016).

Previously estimated mean annual R<sub>TOT</sub> values reported for afforested peatlands in Ireland are 953 g  $\dot{CO}_2$  m<sup>-2</sup> year<sup>-1</sup> for a mature Sitka spruce and  $367-513 \text{ g CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ year}^{-1}$  for a lodgepole pine chronosequence (four sites of different ages) (Byrne and Farrell 2005). By contrast, mean annual  $R_{TOT}$ values estimated in this study are 3563 and 2669 g  $CO_2$  m<sup>-2</sup> year<sup>-1</sup> for the Sitka spruce chronosequence and for the lodgepole pine site, respectively, that is, larger by several times. Byrne and Farrell (2005) used the soda-lime method to estimate these annual fluxes. This method may have underestimated these fluxes (Janssens et al. 2001; Wei et al. 2010), potentially explaining the discrepancy in the annual R<sub>TOT</sub> values. Total soil respiration reported for lodgepole pine site in a raised peat-bog in central Scotland is 1650 g  $CO_2$  m<sup>-2</sup> year<sup>-1</sup> (Yamulki et al. 2013). More recent R<sub>TOT</sub> values simulated for afforested peatlands (average value of six sites of different ages and tree species) in boreal climates are 2530 g CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> year<sup>-1</sup> (Mäkiranta et al. 2008). These two studies used a similar method (infrared gas analyser attached to soil respiration chamber) to measure soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux in their sites and therefore, with comparable results. The spatial variation in  $R_{TOT}$ values may be explained by differences in the mean annual temperatures registered in the Finnish and Irish sites, respectively (4.0 vs. 10.2 °C), differences in previous land use and management (agricultural fields vs. heather-dominated blanket peat) and root biomass (Schwendenmann and Macinnis-Ng 2016).

Although there are large differences in mean annual temperatures between the Finnish and the Irish sites, differences in  $R_{TOT}$  are small. It is possible that

Site	Annual estimat	te (g $CO_2 m^{-2}$ year	<sup>-1</sup> )		Percentage (%)				
	R <sub>TOT</sub>	R <sub>P</sub>	R <sub>R</sub>	R <sub>L</sub>	R <sub>TOT</sub>	R <sub>P</sub>	R <sub>R</sub>	R <sub>L</sub>	
SS <sub>18</sub>	3899 (209)	1214 (82)	1672 (14)	1013 (112)	100	31	43	26	
SS <sub>24</sub>	3672 (279)	1309 (129)	1693 (79)	670 (72)	100	36	46	18	
SS <sub>27</sub>	3614 (n.d)	1492 (n.d)	1314 (n.d)	808 (n.d)	100	41	36	23	
SS <sub>28</sub>	4057 (50)	1255 (103)	2049 (96)	753 (43)	100	31	51	18	
SS <sub>39</sub>	3958 (n.d)	1367 (n.d)	1831 (n.d)	760 (n.d)	100	35	46	19	
SS <sub>43</sub>	2742 (75)	774 (23)	1219 (24)	749 (29)	100	28	45	27	
SS <sub>44</sub>	2999 (261)	1162 (77)	1323 (101)	514 (83)	100	39	44	17	
$LP_{27}$	2669 (42)	995 (46)	1100 (87)	574 (83)	100	37	41	22	

Table 5 Annual contributions of peat respiration ( $R_p$ ), litter respiration ( $R_L$ ) and root respiration ( $R_R$ ) to total soil respiration and their proportion calculated using site-specific models

Standard error (SE) of the mean (2 years calculations) is presented in parenthesis. Sites without SE represent only 1 year simulations as they were clearfelled after 1 year

n.d. not determined

the wet soil conditions at the Irish sites may have limited R<sub>TOT</sub>. In addition, differences in mean temperatures during the growing season, from May to October, between drained peatland forests in Finland (Mäkiranta et al. 2010) and Ireland are not large (9.9 vs. 13.0 °C, respectively). Moreover, maximum measured hourly R<sub>TOT</sub> values at the Irish sites were usually smaller than 1 g CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>(Fig. 4). In contrast, a visual inspection of the maximum hourly CO<sub>2</sub> effluxes measured by Mäkiranta et al. (2008) at the Finnish sites show that there were a large number of "SR<sub>PR</sub>" measurements (i.e.  $R_P + R_R$ ) greater than 1 g CO<sub>2</sub>  $m^{-2}h^{-1}$ . Furthermore,  $R_{TOT}$  values at the Finnish sites would be on average 17% greater than the presented SRPR values. Therefore, the greater number of measurements with higher hourly R<sub>TOT</sub> values used to develop the soil respiration models for the Finnish sites (with higher basal respiration rates and temperature sensitivities) could justify the small difference in annual R<sub>TOT</sub> emissions between both countries. Although sites were located in different climatic zones, it is very likely that using the soil respiration models proposed by Mäkiranta et al. (2008) with the soil T dataset used in this new study would probably give much higher annual R<sub>TOT</sub> values for the Irish sites.

# Heterotrophic respiration

In this study, annual heterotrophic respiration was 1926 g  $CO_2$  m<sup>-2</sup> year<sup>-1</sup>. This is approximately 60%

greater than previously reported annual heterotrophic respiration in a Sitka spruce chronosequence on wet mineral gley in central Ireland (Saiz et al. 2006a). However, this annual value is about 37% lower than heterotrophic respiration reported for a 19-years old Sitka spruce plantation on an industrial cutaway peatland in the Irish midlands (i.e. 2641 g  $CO_2 m^{-2}$ year<sup>-1</sup>) (Wilson and Farrell 2007). The peat type at the industrial cutaway experiment was a woody fen/ *Phragmites* overlying a sub-peat mineral soil consisting of glacial till and clay. Therefore, the higher annual values reported by Wilson and Farrell (2007) may be due to the higher pH and nutrient content of the residual peat compared to the blanket peat of the present study.

On one hand, mean annual R<sub>P</sub> was 1196 g CO<sub>2</sub>  $m^{-2}$  year<sup>-1</sup> which is somewhat lower than annual  $R_P$ reported for a hemiboreal forestry-drained peatland in central Estonia (i.e. 1379 g  $CO_2 m^{-2} y ear^{-1}$ ) (Minkkinen et al. 2007) but higher than values reported by Mäkiranta et al. (2008) for afforested organic soil croplands in Finland 1080 g  $CO_2$  m<sup>-2</sup> year<sup>-1</sup>. On the other hand, mean annual  $R_{\rm L}$  was 730 g  $\rm CO_2~m^{-2}$ year<sup>-1</sup>. Although R<sub>L</sub> is greatly influenced by the litter type and the environmental conditions (Laiho et al. 2008), the mean  $R_L$  value in this study is slightly higher than previous values reported by Mäkiranta et al. (2008) (i.e. 430 g  $CO_2$  m<sup>-2</sup> year<sup>-1</sup>) for afforested organic soil croplands in Finland. In this study, mean  $R_P$  and  $R_L$  represented 34.7 and 21.2% of R<sub>TOT</sub>, respectively. No other soil respiration

partitioning studies in afforested peatland in temperate maritime climates are known to have been conducted so far. However, the results presented here are similar to those reported by Mäkiranta et al. (2008) for boreal afforested organic soil croplands ( $R_P = 41\%$ ;  $R_L = 17\%$ ;).

The high C stock accumulated in the L/F layers  $(25.6-54.3 \text{ t C ha}^{-1})$  would explain the high soil CO<sub>2</sub> emissions attributed to R<sub>L</sub> (Table 2). In addition, this high C stock could be explained by the high rates of aboveground litter production reported for Sitka spruce plantations (i.e. 5.3 t ha<sup>-1</sup> year<sup>-1</sup>) in wet mineral soils in Ireland (Tobin et al. 2006). Considering a mean organic C content of 53.7% (mean L/F layer, Table 2), a mean R<sub>L</sub> of 730 g CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> year<sup>-1</sup> and assuming that the production of aboveground litter in the wet mineral soils and in the organic soils is the same, the aboveground litter would accumulate in the soil, on average, at a rate of 313 g CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> year<sup>-1</sup>.

# Root respiration

Root respiration was estimated as the difference between R<sub>TOT</sub> and R<sub>PL</sub>. In this study, simulated mean annual  $R_R$  was 1586 and 1100 g  $CO_2$  m<sup>-2</sup> year<sup>-1</sup> for the spruce chronosequence and the pine sites, respectively. The greater R<sub>R</sub> efflux in the Sitka spruce could explain the higher productivity of this species over the lodgepole pine. Farrell and Boyle (1990) reported yield classes of 10.6  $\text{m}^{-3}$  ha<sup>-1</sup> year<sup>-1</sup> for lodgepole pine and of 13.3  $m^{-3}$  ha<sup>-1</sup> year<sup>-1</sup> for Sitka spruce growing on low-level blanket peat in western Ireland. The annual R<sub>R</sub> estimates presented in this study are at the higher end of previously reported values. This suggests that, in temperate climates, root activity in afforested peatland may be relatively high. Moreover, this would also be supported by the fact that roots were actively respiring throughout the year, though less so during the winter months. In addition, R<sub>R</sub> measured at the furrows was in general significantly higher than R<sub>R</sub> measured at the ridge microtopographies. Saiz et al. (2006a) reported that furrow microtopographies may accumulate more litter and organic debris resulting in thicker organic layers. The same authors reported that this organic layer thickness has a direct relationship with soil respiration.

Root respiration can vary between 10 and 90% of  $R_{TOT}$  and it depends on the plant community, the season and the amount of fine roots (Hanson et al.

2000; Heinemeyer et al. 2007; Saiz et al. 2006a). In this study,  $R_R$  represented 44.5 and 41.3% of  $R_{TOT}$  in the Sitka spruce sites (mean of the seven sites) and the lodgepole pine site, respectively. This is slightly lower than mean  $R_R$  contributions reported by Saiz et al. (2006a) for another Sitka spruce chronosequence in Ireland in mineral soils (i.e. 55.6%) but higher than  $R_R$  contributions reported by Mäkiranta et al. (2008) for afforested peatlands in Finland (i.e. 41%).

#### Errors and assumptions

The main assumption of trenching experiments is that trenching collars completely terminate the R<sub>R</sub> component by severing all roots within the collar (Lee et al. 2003; Mäkiranta et al. 2008). Jovani-Sancho et al. (2017b) demonstrated that an insertion depth of 30 cm, as used in this study, was able to supress all rizhospheric activity in the trenched area, supporting this assumption. Notwithstanding this, Uchida et al. (1998) found that excised roots may still respire for some time after trenching. This was also acknowledged by Lee et al. (2003) and Mäkiranta et al. (2008) in other trenching experiments. In this study, soil respiration measurements started between 4 and 6 months after trenching, so it is assumed that the respiration from excised roots was completely terminated when the first measurements started. In addition, examination of some collars after the termination of this study did not show any root regrowth inside the trenched area.

It has been previously reported that soil  $CO_2$  emissions significantly increase after trenching as a consequence of soil disturbance and injured roots (Lee et al. 2003; Uchida et al. 1998). In a similar experimental setup, Jovani-Sancho et al. (2017b) showed that soil  $CO_2$  emissions from trenched points exceeded those from non-trenched points during the first 2 months after trenching and decreased significantly thereafter. Therefore, it is assumed that the same effect occurred in this trenching experiment.

Although some studies have estimated that the effect of fine root decomposition on soil respiration was minor some time after trenching (Lee et al. 2003; Mäkiranta et al. 2008), it is possible that this effect could have led to underestimation of  $R_R$  and overestimation of  $R_P$  and  $R_L$  emissions (Hanson et al. 2000). Bond-Lamberty et al. (2004) estimated that  $R_R$  was underestimated by 5–10% due to root decay in

trenched points in a boreal black spruce (Picea mariana (Mill.) Britton, Sterns & Poggenburg) chronosequence. In a similar manner, Díaz-Pinés et al. (2010) demonstrated that decomposition of roots after trenching may lead to an overestimation of heterotrophic respiration. By contrast, root and litter exclusion may have underestimated heterotrophic respiration due to the suppression of fresh decomposable substrate inputs from tree litterfall and root turnover (Heinemeyer et al. 2012). Hartley et al. (2007) found that the availability of labile C for decomposition is a limiting factor for heterotrophic respiration. Root exudates are an important source of substrate for soil respiration and continuous microbial decomposition of this root-derived C may led to a decline in soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux in late summer months and autumn (Kirschbaum 2004; Phillips et al. 2013). Therefore, it is assumed that the same depletion of easily decomposable substrate occurred within the trenched points.

It is very likely that both effects, CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from decomposing roots and depletion of easily decomposable substrates, occurred at the same time within the trenched points throughout the length of this experiment. Rather than assume that these cancelled each other, it is proposed that they have been minimised relative to the measured values, by the installation recovery period before measurements started, by the slow decay rate of roots from coniferous trees due to their high concentration of lignin and recalcitrant organic C (Silver and Miya 2001) and by the moderate length of the study (i.e. 2 years) that minimised the over-depletion of easily decomposable substrates, so they are not large compared to other measurement uncertainties.

# Conclusion

This is one of the first studies partitioning soil respiration in afforested peatland ecosystems in temperate maritime climate conditions and one of the few studies conducted on global forested peatlands. Although soil T measured at 10 cm depth was the main driver of temporal variation in soil respiration and its component fluxes, this study has demonstrated that the inclusion of WL in soil respiration models can improve their predictive capacity (i.e. higher r<sup>2</sup>, lower bias and higher MEF) by accounting for hydrological

fluctuations. The effect of WL on soil respiration was dependent on soil T, while all soil respiration components had different sensitivities and responses to changing soil T and WL values. Therefore, specific predictive models should be used for each one of the soil respiration components. In addition, the effect of WL on soil respiration, incorporated as a Gaussian function, showed that there is an optimum WL for soil respiration in afforested peatlands. The WL thresholds found (i.e. when soils are either too wet or too dry) have important implications in predicting soil CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in future climate change scenarios. In peatland forests, with wet soils and WL less than optimum, a decrease in precipitation would increase WL and is likely to increase soil CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Moreover, this study has also provided relatively simple models able to simulate the temporal and the spatial variation of soil respiration. The accuracy of the models developed for the entire dataset have been cross-validated (Fig. 6), and although they do not provide the detail of the site-specific models, we propose they are suitable for calculating annual soil CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, with high enough confidence, when upscaling to ecosystem level under similar climatic and site conditions. The combined model requires WL data in order to provide accurate soil CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Therefore, a robust method to simulate WL in peatland forests, using commonly measured environmental variables, is needed. Despite this, if WL is not available, the temperature exponential models developed for the entire dataset may be used with confidence to scale up soil respiration and its component fluxes at a regional level.

Acknowledgements We gratefully acknowledge the Department of Agriculture, Food and the Marine and the Forestry Research Programme for funding the Additions and refinements to the Irish forest carbon accounting and reporting tool (CForRep) project (Grant No. 11/C/205), and Coillte for use of sites. We also thank Dr. Richard Lane for his assistance in setting up the study sites and also Síle-Caitríona O'Callaghan for helping with taking soil samples and conducting laboratory analysis.

# References

Bond-Lamberty B, Wang C, Gower ST (2004) Contribution of root respiration to soil surface CO<sub>2</sub> flux in a boreal black spruce chronosequence. Tree Physiol 24:1387–1395. https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/24.12.1387

- Bond-Lamberty B, Bronson D, Bladyka E, Gower ST (2011) A comparison of trenched plot techniques for partitioning soil respiration. Soil Biol Biochem 43:2108–2114. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2011.06.011
- Boone RD, Nadelhoffer KJ, Canary JD, Kaye JP (1998) Roots exert a strong influence on the temperature sensitivity of soil respiration. Nature 396:570–572. https://doi.org/10. 1038/25119
- Buchmann N (2000) Biotic and abiotic factors controlling soil respiration rates in *Picea abies* stands. Soil Biol Biochem 32:1625–1635. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717(00)00077-8
- Burnham KP, Anderson DR (2004) Multimodel inference: understanding AIC and BIC in model selection. Sociol Methods Res 33:261–304. https://doi.org/10.1177/ 0049124104268644
- Byrne KA, Farrell EP (2005) The effect of afforestation on soil carbon dioxide emissions in blanket peatland in Ireland. Forestry 78:217–227. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-005-6065-z
- Byrne KA, Kiely G (2006) Partitioning of respiration in an intensively managed grassland. Plant Soil 282:281–289. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-005-6065-z
- Chivers MR, Turetsky MR, Waddington JM, Harden JW, McGuire AD (2009) Effects of experimental water table and temperature manipulations on ecosystem CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes in an Alaskan rich fen. Ecosystems 12:1329–1342. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-009-9292-y
- Collins JF, Cummins T (1996) Agroclimatic atlas of Ireland. AgMet, Dublin, p 190
- Davidson E, Richardson A, Savage K, Hollinger D (2006) A distinct seasonal pattern of the ratio of soil respiration to total ecosystem respiration in a spruce-dominated forest. Glob Change Biol 12:230–239. https://doi.org/10.1111/j. 1365-2486.2005.01062.x
- Díaz-Pinés E, Schindlbacher A, Pfeffer M, Jandl R, Zechmeister-Boltenstern S, Rubio A (2010) Root trenching: a useful tool to estimate autotrophic soil respiration? A case study in an Austrian mountain forest. Eur J For Res 129:101–109. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10342-008-0250-6
- Dixon RK, Solomon AM, Brown S, Houghton RA, Trexier MC, Wisniewski J (1994) Carbon pools and flux of global forest ecosystems. Science 263:185–190. https://doi.org/10. 1126/science.263.5144.185
- Drake JE, Oishi AC, Giasson MA, Oren R, Johnsen KH, Finzi AC (2012) Trenching reduces soil heterotrophic activity in a loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda*) forest exposed to elevated atmospheric [CO<sub>2</sub>] and N fertilization. Agric For Meteorol 165:43–52. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2012.05. 017
- Elsgaard L, Görres C-M, Hoffmann CC, Blicher-Mathiesen G, Schelde K, Petersen SO (2012) Net ecosystem exchange of CO<sub>2</sub> and carbon balance for eight temperate organic soils under agricultural management. Agric Ecosyst Environ 162:52–67. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2012.09.001
- Farrell EP, Boyle G (1990) Peatland forestry in the 1990s. 1. Low-level blanket bog. Ir For 47:69–78
- Goffin S, Aubinet M, Maier M, Plain C, Schack-Kirchner H, Longdoz B (2014) Characterization of the soil CO<sub>2</sub> production and its carbon isotope composition in forest soil layers using the flux-gradient approach. Agric For

Meteorol 188:45–57. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet. 2013.11.005

- Hanson PJ, Edwards NT, Garten CT, Andrews JA (2000) Separating root and soil microbial contributions to soil respiration: a review of methods and observations. Biogeochemistry 48:115–146. https://doi.org/10.1023/A: 1006244819642
- Hargreaves KJ, Milne R, Cannell MGR (2003) Carbon balance of afforested peatland in Scotland. Forestry 76:299–317. https://doi.org/10.1093/forestry/76.3.299
- Hartley IP, Heinemeyer A, Ineson P (2007) Effects of three years of soil warming and shading on the rate of soil respiration: substrate availability and not thermal acclimation mediates observed response. Glob Change Biol 13:1761–1770. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2007. 01373.x
- Heinemeyer A, Hartley IP, Evans SP, Carreira De La Fuente JA, Ineson P (2007) Forest soil CO<sub>2</sub> flux: uncovering the contribution and environmental responses of ectomycorrhizas. Glob Change Biol 13:1786–1797. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2007.01383.x
- Heinemeyer A, Wilkinson M, Vargas R, Subke JA, Casella E, Morison JIL, Ineson P (2012) Exploring the "overflow tap" theory: linking forest soil CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes and individual mycorrhizosphere components to photosynthesis. Biogeosciences 9:79–95. https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-9-79-2012
- Houghton RA (2005) Aboveground forest biomass and the global carbon balance. Glob Change Biol 11:945–958. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2005.00955.x
- IUSS Working Group WRB (2015) World reference base for soil resources 2014, update 2015. International soil classification system for naming soils and creating legends for soil maps. World Soil Resources Reports. FAO, Rome. http://www.fao.org/3/a-i3794e.pdf
- Janssens IA, Lankreijer H, Matteucci G, Kowalski AS, Buchmann N, Epron D, Pilegaard K, Kutsch W, Longdoz B, Grünwald T, Montagnani L, Dore S, Rebmann C, Moors EJ, Grelle A, Rannik Ü, Morgenstern K, Oltchev S, Clement R, Guðmundsson J, Minerbi S, Berbigier P, Ibrom A, Moncrieff J, Aubinet M, Bernhofer C, Jensen NO, Vesala T, Granier A, Schulze ED, Lindroth A, Dolman AJ, Jarvis PG, Ceulemans R, Valentini R (2001) Productivity overshadows temperature in determining soil and ecosystem respiration across European forests. Glob Change Biol 7:269–278. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2486.2001. 00412.x
- Jeglum J, Rothwell R, Berry G, Smith G (1991) New volumetric sampler increases speed and accuracy of peat surveys. Forestry Canada, Ontario Region, Sault Ste. Marie, Ontario.Frontline Technical Note 9
- Jovani-Sancho AJ, Brosnan S, Byrne KA (2017a) Partitioning of soil respiration in a first rotation beech plantation. Biol Environ. https://doi.org/10.3318/bioe.2017.09
- Jovani-Sancho AJ, Cummins T, Byrne KA (2017b) Collar insertion depth effects on soil respiration in afforested peatlands. Biol Fertil Soils 53:677–689. https://doi.org/10. 1007/s00374-017-1210-4
- Kirschbaum MUF (2004) Soil respiration under prolonged soil warming: are rate reductions caused by acclimation or substrate loss? Glob Change Biol 10:1870–1877. https:// doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2004.00852.x

- Kottek M, Grieser J, Beck C, Rudolf B, Rubel F (2006) World map of the Köppen-Geiger climate classification updated. Meteorol Z 15:259–263
- Kutsch WL, Staack A, Wötzel J, Middelhoff U, Kappen L (2001) Field measurements of root respiration and total soil respiration in an alder forest. New Phytol 150:157–168. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1469-8137.2001.00071.x
- Kuzyakov Y (2006) Sources of CO<sub>2</sub> efflux from soil and review of partitioning methods. Soil Biol Biochem 38:425–448. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2005.08.020
- Laiho R, Minkkinen K, Anttila J, Vávřová P, Penttilä T (2008) Dynamics of litterfall and decomposition in peatland forests: towards reliable carbon balance estimation? In: Vymazal J (ed) Wastewater treatment, plant dynamics and management in constructed and natural wetlands. Springer, Netherlands, pp 53–64. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-8235-1 6
- Laine A, Byrne KA, Kiely G, Tuittila E-S (2007) Patterns in vegetation and CO<sub>2</sub> dynamics along a water level gradient in a lowland blanket bog. Ecosystems 10:890–905. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-007-9067-2
- Law BE, Ryan MG, Anthoni PM (1999) Seasonal and annual respiration of a ponderosa pine ecosystem. Glob Change Biol 5:169–182. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2486. 1999.00214.x
- Lecki NA, Creed IF (2016) Forest soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux models improved by incorporating topographic controls on carbon content and sorption capacity of soils. Biogeochemistry 129:307–323. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-016-0233-5
- Lee MS, Nakane K, Nakatsubo T, Koizumi H (2003) Seasonal changes in the contribution of root respiration to total soil respiration in a cool-temperate deciduous forest. Plant Soil 255:311–318. https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1026192607512
- Lellei-Kovács E, Botta-Dukát Z, de Dato G, Estiarte M, Guidolotti G, Kopittke GR, Kovács-Láng E, Kröel-Dulay G, Larsen KS, Peñuelas J, Smith AR, Sowerby A, Tietema A, Schmidt IK (2016) Temperature dependence of soil respiration modulated by thresholds in soil water availability across European shrubland ecosystems. Ecosystems 19:1460–1477. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-016-0016-9
- Mäkiranta P, Minkkinen K, Hytönen J, Laine J (2008) Factors causing temporal and spatial variation in heterotrophic and rhizospheric components of soil respiration in afforested organic soil croplands in Finland. Soil Biol Biochem 40:1592–1600. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2008.01. 009
- Mäkiranta P, Laiho R, Fritze H, Hytönen J, Laine J, Minkkinen K (2009) Indirect regulation of heterotrophic peat soil respiration by water level via microbial community structure and temperature sensitivity. Soil Biol Biochem 41:695–703. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2009.01.004
- Mäkiranta P, Riutta T, Penttilä T, Minkkinen K (2010) Dynamics of net ecosystem CO<sub>2</sub> exchange and heterotrophic soil respiration following clearfelling in a drained peatland forest. Agric For Meteorol 150:1585–1596. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2010.08.010
- Minkkinen K, Lame J, Shurpali NJ, Mäkiranta P, Alm J, Penttilä T (2007) Heterotrophic soil respiration in forestry-drained peatlands. Boreal Environ Res 12:115–126

- Ojanen P, Minkkinen K, Alm J, Penttilä T (2010) Soil–atmosphere CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes in boreal forestry-drained peatlands. For Ecol Manage 260:411–421. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.foreco.2010.04.036
- Phillips CL, McFarlane KJ, Risk D, Desai AR (2013) Biological and physical influences on soil <sup>14</sup>CO<sub>2</sub> seasonal dynamics in a temperate hardwood forest. Biogeosciences 10:7999–8012. https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-10-7999-2013
- Raich JW, Schlesinger WH (1992) The global carbon-dioxide flux in soil respiration and its relationship to vegetation and climate. Tellus Ser B 44:81–99. https://doi.org/10.1034/j. 1600-0889.1992.t01-1-00001.x
- Renou-Wilson F, Barry C, Müller C, Wilson D (2014) The impacts of drainage, nutrient status and management practice on the full carbon balance of grasslands on organic soils in a maritime temperate zone. Biogeosciences 11:4361–4379. https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-11-4361-2014
- Ryan GM, Law EB (2005) Interpreting, measuring, and modeling soil respiration. Biogeochemistry 73:3–27. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s10533-004-5167-7
- Saiz G, Byrne KA, Butterbach-Bahl K, Kiese R, Blujdea V, Farrell EP (2006a) Stand age-related effects on soil respiration in a first rotation Sitka spruce chronosequence in central Ireland. Glob Change Biol 12:1007–1020. https:// doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2006.01145.x
- Saiz G, Green C, Butterbach-Bahl K, Kiese R, Avitabile V, Farrell E (2006b) Seasonal and spatial variability of soil respiration in four Sitka spruce stands. Plant Soil 287:161–176. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-006-9052-0
- Schwendenmann L, Macinnis-Ng C (2016) Soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux in an old-growth southern conifer forest (*Agathis australis*)— magnitude, components and controls. Soil 2:403–419. https://doi.org/10.5194/soil-2-403-2016
- Silver WL, Miya RK (2001) Global patterns in root decomposition: comparisons of climate and litter quality effects. Oecologia 129:407–419. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s004420100740
- Soares P, Tomé M, Skovsgaard JP, Vanclay JK (1995) Evaluating a growth model for forest management using continuous forest inventory data. For Ecol Manage 71:251–265. https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-1127(94)06105-R
- Tobin B, Black K, Osborne B, Reidy B, Bolger T, Nieuwenhuis M (2006) Assessment of allometric algorithms for estimating leaf biomass, leaf area index and litter fall in different-aged Sitka spruce forests. Forestry 79:453–465. https://doi.org/10.1093/forestry/cpl030
- Tuittila E-S, Vasander H, Laine J (2004) Sensitivity of C sequestration in reintroduced sphagnum to water-level variation in a cutaway peatland. Restor Ecol 12:483–493. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1061-2971.2004.00280.x
- Uchida M, Nakatsubo T, Horikoshi T, Nakane K (1998) Contribution of micro-organisms to the carbon dynamics in black spruce (*Picea mariana*) forest soil in Canada. Ecol Res 13:17–26. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1440-1703.1998. 00244.x
- Wang C, Yang J, Zhang Q (2006) Soil respiration in six temperate forests in China. Glob Change Biol 12:2103–2114. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2006.01234.x
- Wei W, Weile C, Shaopeng W (2010) Forest soil respiration and its heterotrophic and autotrophic components: global

patterns and responses to temperature and precipitation. Soil Biol Biochem 42:1236–1244. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.soilbio.2010.04.013

- Wiaux F, Vanclooster M, Van Oost K (2015) Vertical partitioning and controlling factors of gradient-based soil carbon dioxide fluxes in two contrasted soil profiles along a loamy hillslope. Biogeosciences 12:4637–4649. https:// doi.org/10.5194/bg-12-4637-2015
- Wilson D, Farrell E (2007) CARBAL: carbon gas balances in industrial cutaway peatlands in Ireland. Final report for Bord na Móna. Forest ecosystem research group, University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland
- Yamulki S, Anderson R, Peace A, Morison JIL (2013) Soil CO<sub>2</sub> CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes from an afforested lowland raised peatbog in Scotland: implications for drainage and

restoration. Biogeosciences 10:1051–1065. https://doi.org/ 10.5194/bg-10-1051-2013

- Yuste JC, Nagy M, Janssens I, Carrara A, Ceulemans R (2005) Soil respiration in a mixed temperate forest and its contribution to total ecosystem respiration. Tree Physiol 25:609–619. https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/25.5.609
- Zerva A, Ball T, Smith KA, Mencuccini M (2005) Soil carbon dynamics in a Sitka spruce (*Picea sitchensis* (Bong.) Carr.) chronosequence on a peaty gley. For Ecol Manage 205:227–240. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2004.10. 035
- Zhou Z, Zhang Z, Zha T, Luo Z, Zheng J, Sun OJ (2013) Predicting soil respiration using carbon stock in roots, litter and soil organic matter in forests of Loess Plateau in China. Soil Biol Biochem 57:135–143. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. soilbio.2012.08.010