

The response of tree ring $\delta^{15}N$ to whole-watershed urea fertilization at the Fernow Experimental Forest, WV

Mark B. Burnham : Brenden E. McNeil · Mary Beth Adams · William T. Peterjohn

Received: 19 February 2016/Accepted: 20 September 2016/Published online: 4 October 2016 © Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2016

Abstract Plant tissue δ^{15} N is frequently used as a proxy for N availability and N cycle dynamics, and the δ^{15} N signature of tree rings could potentially be used to reconstruct past changes in the N cycle due to forest disturbance or anthropogenic N deposition. However, there are substantial uncertainties regarding how effectively tree ring δ^{15} N records N cycle dynamics. We used increment tree cores from a forested watershed that received a one-time application of urea, along with the long-term stream water chemistry record from that watershed and a nearby reference watershed, to determine the effectiveness of tree ring $\delta^{15}N$ in recording a change in N availability, and whether its effectiveness differed by species or mycorrhizal type. Tree ring $\delta^{15}N$ of three species increased rapidly (within ~ 1 to 3 years) following fertilization (Quercus rubra, Fagus grandifolia, and Prunus serotina), while that of Liriodendron tulipifera did not respond to

Responsible Editor: Stephen Porder.

M. B. Burnham (⊠) · W. T. Peterjohn Department of Biology, West Virginia University, 53 Campus Drive, Morgantown, WV 26506, USA e-mail: mburnha1@mix.wvu.edu

B. E. McNeil

Department of Geology and Geography, West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV, USA

M. B. Adams

USDA Forest Service Northern Research Station, Morgantown, WV, USA fertilization but increased ~ 10 years later. Tree ring δ^{15} N tended to remain elevated throughout the measured time period (1967-2000), well past the pulsed fertilization response in stream water. This extended δ^{15} N response may be partially caused by chronic atmospheric N deposition in the region, which also contributed to greater losses of nitrate in stream water by ~1980. Additionally, local recycling of N compounds, and retranslocation of N within the trees, may account for the persistence of elevated tree ring $\delta^{15}N$ levels beyond the direct fertilization effects. Collectively, these results confirm that tree ring $\delta^{15}N$ from some species can document the onset of historical changes in the N cycle. We suggest that studies utilizing tree ring δ^{15} N as a proxy for long-term N cycle dynamics should look for a consistent pattern of change among several species rather than relying on the record from a single species.

 $\label{eq:keywords} \begin{array}{ll} & \delta^{15}N \cdot Nitrogen \ deposition \cdot Nitrogen \\ cycle \cdot Tree \ rings \end{array}$

Introduction

Anthropogenic reactive N input into terrestrial ecosystems has more than doubled over the past century (Galloway et al. 2004), stimulating extensive research on the short- and long-term effects of N deposition, and the recovery of natural ecosystems as deposition has declined in some regions (Gundersen et al. 1998; Adams et al. 2007; Likens and Buso 2012). However, investigating long-term changes requires long-term records of N cycling in order to identify trends and characterize baseline conditions. Unfortunately, continuous measurements of stream water N are spatially and temporally limited, with the longest record, that we are aware, beginning in 1964 (Knapp et al. 2012; Argerich et al. 2013). In the absence of numerous, long-term records of N cycling, tree ring δ^{15} N could serve as an indicator of the N status of an area over time and yield valuable information about the timing and extent of the impacts resulting from N deposition.

Stable isotopes are used to study numerous biogeochemical and physiological processes, and ¹⁵N has emerged as a tool in N cycling research (Pardo et al. 2006; Pardo and Nadelhoffer 2012). In particular, plant tissue δ^{15} N can act as an integrator of complex N cycle processes occurring in the soil (Robinson 2001), and the use of tree ring δ^{15} N to study past N cycle dynamics has increased over the past two decades (Gerhart and McLauchlan 2014). When N availability increases, elevated rates of nitrification can lead to the loss of ¹⁵Ndepleted NO₃ in stream water, resulting in an increase in the δ^{15} N of the remaining plant available N pool (Hogberg 1997; Pardo et al. 2002). Elevated N availability can also increase the otherwise low levels of gaseous N losses in deciduous broadleaf forests (Peterjohn et al. 1998; Venterea et al. 2004; Wallenstein et al. 2006), which favors the removal of ¹⁵Ndepleted N compounds (Yoshida 1988; Barford et al. 1999; Sebilo et al. 2003) and can have a substantial impact on soil δ^{15} N (Houlton et al. 2006; Wexler et al. 2014). The potential usefulness of plant tissue δ^{15} N as a record of shifts in the N cycle is supported by evidence from disturbance events such as clear-cutting or selective tree removal (Pardo et al. 2002; Bukata and Kyser 2005; Beghin et al. 2011; Falxa-Raymond et al. 2012), from studies of N deposition gradients (Saurer et al. 2004), and from long-term N deposition data (McLauchlan et al. 2007; Hietz et al. 2010; Sun et al. 2010). However, there is still a high degree of unexplained variation in wood stable N isotope records.

Some variability among species in tree ring δ^{15} N response could be due to their type of mycorrhizal association, especially in mixed forests where anthropogenic N deposition is prevalent. While arbuscular mycorrhizae (AM) are thought to have a minor role in organic N mobilization, ectomycorrhizal (ECM) fungi

can cleave organic polymers to access bound N (Read and Perez-Moreno 2003) and transfer strongly ¹⁵Ndepleted compounds from ECM fungi to the host plant (Hobbie and Hobbie 2006; Hobbie and Högberg 2012). It is also thought that ECM plants may be less dependent on organic N in temperate ecosystems where mineral N availability is higher than in more northern latitudes (Lilleskov et al. 2002; Mayor et al. 2015). However, when N availability changes, it is unclear how rapidly the ECM community composition might shift, and how rapidly the N acquisition role of ECM fungi might change (Treseder 2004; Hawkins et al. 2015). If a reduction in the reliance on organic N is slow (or doesn't occur), then the transfer of ¹⁵Ndepleted compounds to the host plant by ECM fungi may delay the appearance of a plant δ^{15} N response to changes in inorganic N availability. Thus, we expect that the record of tree ring δ^{15} N in AM species should be more responsive to changes in the availability of inorganic N than the record of tree ring δ^{15} N in ECM tree species, but changes in the reliance by ECM trees on organic N sources could make the interpretation of tree ring $\delta^{15}N$ signals in these species more challenging.

Even within an individual tree, the N content (%N) of tree rings typically increases dramatically in the outermost rings due to the movement of labile N compounds toward actively growing tissue (Elhani et al. 2003; Hart and Classen 2003; Härdtle et al. 2014). This could occur due to direct movement of mobile N compounds across rings, or internal recycling of N compounds (Hagen-Thorn et al. 2006). Thus, the movement of N compounds within the tree has the potential to blur the isotopic signal by spreading it over multiple years (Hart and Classen 2003; Tomlinson et al. 2014). Furthermore, some of the physiological transformations N compounds undergo from uptake to storage in woody tissue can discriminate against δ^{15} N (Kalcsits et al. 2014). For example, Pardo et al. (2013) found variability in the δ^{15} N signal between different tree tissues, pointing to fractionation as N is transported throughout the tree. However, if the fractionations that impact the $\delta^{15}N$ composition of transported N are consistent across years, then the signal preserved in tree rings should still reflect temporal changes in the openness of the N cycle.

To determine the effectiveness of different tree species as recorders of past N cycling, a known shift or

disturbance in the N cycle can be used as a reference point. Past studies have used events such as forest disturbance to investigate tree ring $\delta^{15}N$ response (Bukata and Kyser 2005; Falxa-Raymond et al. 2012), and numerous studies have attributed a change in plant tissue δ^{15} N to increases in N deposition (Choi et al. 2005; Bukata and Kyser 2007; Savard et al. 2009; Hietz et al. 2011; Jung et al. 2013). McLauchlan and Craine (2012) found differences in the temporal trends of tree ring $\delta^{15}N$ between species, but no study has directly compared the temporal response of $\delta^{15}N$ in tree rings of multiple co-existing species to a known, and independently-measured past disturbance to the N cycle. Thus, the purpose of this study was to examine the effectiveness of different species in recording a known shift in N cycle dynamics in tree ring δ^{15} N. Similar to a pulse-chase experiment, we used a onetime, whole-watershed, fertilization event from 1971 that caused a distinct, short-term increase in a continuously measured stream water N record. By comparing the tree ring and stream water records from both within this single-dose fertilized watershed, as well as a nearby reference watershed, we examined the following hypotheses:

- (1) Tree ring δ^{15} N would increase in response to fertilization, followed by a decline back to pre-fertilization levels.
- (2) The reduction of δ^{15} N back to pre-fertilization levels would not be as rapid as the return of stream water chemistry because tree-ring N could be retranslocated from senescent tissues and reused.
- (3) The tree ring δ^{15} N record in AM species would be more responsive to changes in N cycling than that of ECM species, and more closely parallel changes in stream water NO₃ concentration.

Methods

Study site

We sampled tree rings from multiple species in a 30-ha experimental watershed (WS 1), as well as from one tree species in a 39-ha reference watershed (WS 4) at the Fernow Experimental Forest (FEF) in Tucker County, WV. The predominant soil is Calvin channery silt loam and is relatively acidic (pH $\sim 4.5-5$). The

FEF receives approximately 145 cm annual precipitation (Kochenderfer 2006). Stream flow in both watersheds is continuously monitored using 120° V-notch weirs (Trimble 1977), and monthly stream water conductivity and flow-weighted NO₃ concentration have been measured since 1958 and 1970, respectively. Peterjohn et al. (1996) estimated that the average wet N deposition rate was ~ 6.7 kg N ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ from 1982 to 1993. The experimental watershed was commercially clear-cut in the winter of 1957-1958, with all merchantable trees removed down to approximately 15 cm DBH; prior to this cut, the watershed was a 50-year-old uneven aged stand dominated by Quercus, Acer, Liriodendron, Prunus, and Fagus species (Reinhart et al. 1963). In 1970, the stand averaged ~ 10 m in height and was dominated by these same species as well as Tilia americana (Patric and Smith 1978). In May, 1971, the experimental watershed received a one-time, 617.75 kg ha⁻¹, aerial application of urea, which added 288 kg N ha⁻¹ and caused a rapid, short-lived increase in stream water conductivity and NO₃ (Patric and Smith 1978). Based on recent measurements from a nearby watershed, the N content in the top 5 cm of mineral soil was ~ 1514 kg ha⁻¹, and so the added N likely was ~ 14 to 20 % of the N originally present in top 5 cm of soil. Although no δ^{15} N measurement was made on the applied urea at that time, typical $\delta^{15}N$ values for urea range from -2.3 to -1 % (Nommik et al. 1994; Choi et al. 2002; Zhou et al. 2013), and potentially up to 1.3 % (Li and Wang 2008). While no measurements of net N mineralization or nitrification rates have ever been made in WS 1, evidence for a positive relationship between net nitrification rates and NO₃ level in soil and stream water exists for other areas of the FEF, including the reference watershed (Peterjohn et al. 1996, 1999; Gilliam and Adams 2011). From these results, we think it is likely that the rate of net nitrification in the soils of WS 1 increased rapidly after fertilization, causing the observed increase in stream water NO₃ concentration.

Tree core collection and analysis

We collected tree cores from four *Fagus grandifolia* and *Quercus rubra* trees (ECM) and five *Prunus serotina* and *Liriodendron tulipifera* trees (AM) in the fertilized watershed (WS 1), and from three large *Liriodendron tulipifera* trees located near the weir

used for stream water measurements in the reference watershed (WS 4). Using a 5-mm increment borer (Mora of Sweden, Mora, Sweden), we extracted two cores parallel to the topographical contour from each tree, rinsing the increment borers with deionized water between trees. Trees were selected at 5 points along a mid-elevation band to be evenly spaced through the fertilized watershed to control for potential elevational effects on the $\delta^{15}N$ signal in plant available N pools (Garten 1993). At each point, we cored the largest canopy tree within ~ 30 m, with a minimum DBH of 30 cm. F. grandifolia trees tended to be smaller in girth, and so a minimum DBH of 25 cm was used for this species. We sampled the wood tissue from each individual tree ring between 1967 and 1980-a range surrounding the year of urea application (1971). In addition, we pooled 5-year tree-ring segments for 1981-1985, 1986-1990, 1991-1995, and 1996-2000. Since the temporal dynamics of fertilizer application and stream water chemistry response were known, this made it possible to detect any inward translocation of the δ^{15} N signal to earlier tree rings, and also whether changes in the tree ring δ^{15} N signal lasted longer than those in stream water chemistry (Elhani et al. 2003).

We mounted, sanded, measured, and cross-dated one core from each tree (Stokes and Smiley 1996), calculated basal area increment (BAI) using ring widths and tree diameter measurements at breast height, and assessed cross-dating accuracy using the dplR package in R (Bunn 2010). The second core from each tree was sanded only lightly to minimize crosscontamination between rings. We separated years selected for isotope analysis from the core using a razor blade and ground the tissue to a fine powder using a dental amalgamator (Henry Schein, Inc., Melville, NY), wrapping approximately 5 mg of ground tissue in tin capsules for isotope ratio gas chromatography-mass spectrometry analysis. Isotope analysis was completed by the University of Maryland Central Appalachians Stable Isotope Facility (Frostburg, MD). Due to variable results of wood N extraction techniques (reviewed by Gerhart and McLauchlan 2014), we analyzed raw wood tissue rather than performing any N extraction.

Statistical analysis

To reduce tree-to-tree differences in absolute $\delta^{15}N$ level while preserving the temporal trend, we


standardized the tree ring δ^{15} N values for each tree by subtracting the within-tree average from each ring's value (Gerhart and McLauchlan 2014). While Gerhart and McLauchlan (2014) suggest that some studies standardize to the same mean within site to focus on temporal trends, we standardized within each tree due to species differences in δ^{15} N at our single site and tree differences within species at different locations within the watershed. Data were analyzed using a nested two-way factorial design with tree ring δ^{15} N as the response variable. For this analysis, we used the 4 years prior to fertilization (1967-1970) as a pretreatment reference time period, while considering the 4 years following fertilization (1972–1975) to be the treatment time period. A two-way model was constructed with species nested within mycorrhizal type and year nested within pre- versus post-fertilization time period. To test our hypotheses we focused on detecting a significant effect ($\alpha = 0.05$) due to the time period (pre- vs. post-fertilization), and due to the mycorrhizal type by time period interaction. A significant time period effect would indicate a change in tree ring δ^{15} N from the 4 years prior to fertilization to the 4 years after, and a significant interaction effect between time period and mycorrhizal type would indicate that the change in tree ring δ^{15} N from years prior to fertilization to years post-fertilization differs by mycorrhizal association (ECM or AM).


Results

Stream NO₃ and tree growth

Stream water conductivity (not shown) and NO₃ were strongly correlated (r = 0.765, P < 0.001) and peaked shortly after urea fertilization (Fig. 1) (Patric and Smith 1978). The peak in stream water NO₃ was shortlived (lasting ~3 years), but NO₃ concentrations never completely returned to pre-fertilization levels – with levels in 2006 (~100 μ M) still 4× greater than pre-fertilization levels (~25 μ M in 1970). In addition, there was a 57 % increase in NO₃ concentration from 1978–1979 (75 μ M) to 1980–1981 (117 μ M), an increase that coincided with a 145 % increase (17–42 μ M) in stream NO₃ concentration in the nearby reference watershed (WS 4).

Since not all trees were harvested from WS 1 in 1957–1958, ~ 50 % of the trees we cored were





established prior to 1957. The ring width and BAI of all four species increased markedly (51.4 % for *L. tulipifera* to 178 % for *F. grandifolia*) after the watershed was commercially clear-cut in 1957 (Fig. 2). This BAI increase was most apparent for *F. grandifolia* trees whose growth had been suppressed in the understory prior to 1957. A second increase in BAI (P < 0.001) occurred during the 5 years after urea fertilization compared to the 5 years prior for three of the species we examined; *L. tulipifera* (189 %), *P. serotina* (118 %), and Q. *rubra* (45 %). There was no significant change (P = 0.101) in *F. grandifolia* BAI following urea fertilization (Fig. 2).

General species differences in $\delta^{15}N$

The non-standardized average wood $\delta^{15}N$ signature across all years differed between species. Specifically, we found that F. grandifolia and Q. rubra had the highest mean δ^{15} N values (-0.322 and -0.556 ‰, respectively), while the mean $\delta^{15}N$ value for P. serotina was significantly lower (-1.480 %), and the value for L. tulipifera was significantly lower than all other species (-2.603 %). There was a positive correlation between ring width and tree ring δ^{15} N for P. serotina (r = 0.623, P < 0.001) and Q. rubra (r = 0.378, P = 0.006), and a negative correlation for F. grandifolia (r = -0.473, P = 0.002), while the correlation for L. tulipifera was not statistically significant. Non-standardized wood δ^{15} N also differed between species (P < 0.001) for pre-fertilization rings and followed the same pattern as $\delta^{15}N$ averaged over




Fig. 2 Mean basal area increment (BAI) of each species through time $(\pm SE)$

all years. *F. grandifolia* and *Q. rubra* had the highest pre-fertilization δ^{15} N values (-1.039 and -1.201 ‰, respectively), while *P. serotina* δ^{15} N was lower (-2.340 ‰) and *L. tulipifera* was lowest of all species (-2.943 ‰).

Species differences in fertilization effects on $\delta^{15}N$

When averaged across all species, standardized tree ring δ^{15} N increased 0.84 ‰ from the 4 years before urea fertilization to the 4 years after (P < 0.001). However, the magnitude of the increase differed by species, with *Q. rubra*, *F. grandifolia*, and *P. serotina* all showing a >1 ‰ increase in tree ring δ^{15} N, while *L. tulipifera* did not respond noticeably to the fertilization event (Fig. 3). In *Q. rubra*, tree ring δ^{15} N increased 1.56 ‰ from 1968 through 1973, while *F. grandifolia* tree ring δ^{15} N increased 1.16 ‰ between 1970 and 1972. *P serotina* tree ring δ^{15} N increased 1.41 ‰ from 1971 through 1974.

Grouping tree species by mycorrhizal type indicated that the tree ring δ^{15} N of ECM species increased more strongly due to fertilization than that of AM species (P = 0.0099). However, this difference was driven by the tree ring δ^{15} N signal for one of the two AM species examined (*L. tulipifera*), and when *L. tulipifera* was not considered, the three other species showed similar increases in tree ring δ^{15} N after fertilization with respect to their timing and overall magnitude.

Timing and duration of the δ^{15} N response

Tree ring δ^{15} N increased within 2 years of fertilization for three of the four species examined (Fig. 3). Of these three species, the increase did not precede fertilization for F. grandifolia. For P. serotina the δ^{15} N signal increased every year from 1967 to 1974, including a trend towards a significant increase from 1967 to 1971 (P = 0.091). However, of the total increase found for P. serotina, most (76.6 %) of it occurred after fertilization. The increase in tree ring δ^{15} N for *Q. rubra* appeared to begin ~2 years prior to fertilization, with most (62.8 %) of the maximum increase occurring prior to fertilization. Wood $\delta^{15}N$ for F. grandifolia and P. serotina increased after fertilization, with F. grandifolia reaching a plateau after 1972 (at ~0.1 % non-standardized δ^{15} N) and P. serotina peaking in 1974 (at ~ 0.82 ‰) and stabilizing after 1977 (at ~0.2 ‰). Wood δ^{15} N for *Q. rubra* began to increase 2 years prior to fertilization and plateaued from 1973 through 1980 ($\sim 0.02 \ \%$ nonstandardized). After 1980, the tree ring δ^{15} N for Q. *rubra* declined and remained ~ 1 % lower than the years immediately post-fertilization (1973-1980).


Although there was a distinct, and short-lived, peak in stream water NO₃, this peak was not as evident in the tree ring $\delta^{15}N$ record of any species we examined (Fig. 3). Rather, tree ring δ^{15} N increased within 2 years of fertilization, but tended to level off near its highest value or only gradually decline. Tukey's HSD post hoc analysis indicated no reduction in tree ring δ^{15} N during 1976-1980 when compared to 1972-1975, the four vears immediately after fertilization. In particular, $\delta^{15}N$ of both Q. rubra and F. grandifolia remained elevated through 1980. And although the isotopic signature of P. serotina trees during 1976-1979 appears to be lower than during the peak years of 1972-1975, this was not statistically significant (P = 0.713). Considering the full extent of the post-fertilization tree ring record (through the year 2000), we found that tree ring δ^{15} N in species responding to fertilization never returned to the pre-fertilization levels (Fig. 2). Even for Q. rubra tree ring δ^{15} N, which declined from 1980 to 2000, remained ~ 0.8 ‰ above the initial pre-fertilization tree ring δ^{15} N. The tree ring δ^{15} N of both *F. grandifolia* and *P*. serotina remained at levels similar to 1975-1980 throughout the entire tree ring record. However, while L. tulipifera tree ring δ^{15} N did not shift in response to fertilization, a large increase (~1.25 ‰) occurred between 1979 and 1985, and was sustained through 2000.

Increases in tree ring δ^{15} N did not correspond with heartwood-sapwood boundaries in AM species we examined (Fig. 3). The heartwood-sapwood boundaries in *L. tulipifera* trees occurred during 1989–1990, with the exception of one tree in which the transition was in the 1980 ring. In *P. serotina*, all heartwoodsapwood transitions occurred during the late-1990s. In the two ECM species, the heartwood-sapwood transitions were not visible on dried, sanded cores.

Discussion

Following the urea fertilization to WS 1 in 1971, stream water measurements showed a significant increase in NO₃ concentration, very likely due to an increase in the rates of soil net nitrification (Peterjohn et al. 1996, 1999). This increased loss of NO₃ to stream water likely caused a disproportionate amount of the isotopically lighter isotope to leave the forested catchment (Spoelstra et al. 2010), which should increase the δ^{15} N signal in the residual pool of plant

Fig. 3 Mean annual standardized tree ring $\delta^{15}N$ by species and mean annual stream water conductivity. Dashed vertical lines indicate the 1971 urea fertilization. Dashed line segment in top panel includes estimated values based on the relationship between NO3 and stream water conductivity. Heartwood-sapwood boundaries are indicated by shaded (heartwood) and open (sapwood) horizontal bars in P. serotina and L. tulipifera panels



available N. Within ~ 1 to 3 years of the wholewatershed fertilization event, this increase in δ^{15} N was preserved in the tree rings of 3 of the 4 species that we examined. We found little evidence for significant movement of the $\delta^{15}N$ signal across more than a few annual rings, with only *O*. *rubra* tree ring δ^{15} N showing a statistically significant increase prior to fertilization, and only by ~ 2 years. Another species (P. serotina) also showed a trend towards an increase prior to fertilization, but the increase was minor relative to the rate of change that occurred after fertilization. Although some N compounds may be mobile within the tree (Elhani et al. 2003), our results show that movement across rings does not substantially impact the tree ring $\delta^{15}N$ signal and its response to local N cycle disturbance-at least for species we examined. Thus, our findings indicate that tree ring δ^{15} N from some species can effectively document the onset of a known change in the N cycle.

Consistent with our expectations, the reduction of δ^{15} N back to pre-fertilization levels was not as rapid as the return of stream water chemistry. However, we were surprised to observe that, even 29 years after the fertilization event, the tree ring δ^{15} N signals showed almost no return to pre-fertilization levels. In fact, the observed short-lived duration of the peak in stream NO₃ levels was not captured by any of the tree ring isotopic response to urea fertilization, a decline in tree ring δ^{15} N either was not detectable (*F. grandifolia & P. serotina*) or was significantly delayed (*Q. rubra*) relative to the measured decline in stream NO₃ concentrations.

The mechanisms responsible for the lack of any substantial reduction in the post-fertilization $\delta^{15}N$ signal in tree rings were not determined, but may include both plant and soil processes. The annual retranslocation of approximately 50 % of foliar N during autumn senescence (Hagen-Thorn et al. 2006) causes some N taken up in 1 year to be stored and potentially available for the growth of new tissues in subsequent years. The N lost in litterfall may also be mineralized and taken up by the tree as it cycles through the soils near a given tree (Zeller et al. 2000). In addition, the persistence of elevated stream water NO_3 compared to pre-fertilization estimates (Fig. 1) indicates that the soil N cycle was altered well past the years immediately following fertilization. Thus, it appears that the combination of long-term changes in soil N cycling, internal retranslocation, and local recycling of N may explain the extended duration of elevated tree ring δ^{15} N beyond the urea fertilization event.

Contrary to our expectations, a clear record of an acute urea fertilization event was present in both ECM and AM tree species. Research in boreal forests and tundra suggests that ECM fungi aid in N mobilization and acquisition by their host plant, and the transfer of N compounds from fungi to the plant host appears to strongly discriminate against ¹⁵N, leaving the fungal tissue enriched and the plant tissue depleted in ¹⁵N (Hobbie and Hobbie 2006; Craine et al. 2009). However, these findings may apply primarily to low-N cycling ecosystems. Furthermore, there is considerable overlap in δ^{15} N values between ECM and AM species across the globe (Craine et al. 2009), and the signature is not always lower in ECM species, even in northern alpine climates (Makarov et al. 2014). In temperate forests, ECM tree species can also have higher tissue δ^{15} N values than AM species (Pardo et al. 2013). This may be especially true in areas of high N availability and regions that have historically received high N inputs from the atmosphere where ECM trees may depend less on their fungal symbionts for meeting their N demand (Read and Perez-Moreno 2003), and the δ^{15} N of ECM plant tissue should more closely reflect that of the available soil N. Indeed, the δ^{15} N of ECM species in this study was not consistently lower than that of AM species prior to fertilization, and the observed increase in tree ring $\delta^{15}N$ after fertilization occurred in both AM and ECM species.

Among the three responsive tree species, the fertilization event was more apparent in the temporal change in δ^{15} N than in any change in growth. While tree ring width and BAI trends are commonly used to detect and reconstruct a variety of environmental changes (fire, drought, etc.), our data suggest that tree ring δ^{15} N, rather than growth, is a stronger indicator of a disturbance in the N cycle. This was especially evident in the results obtained from *F. grandifolia* where tree ring δ^{15} N increased 1.16 ‰ after fertilization with no detectable change in BAI. Since a variety of factors other than N availability (light, water, etc.) can influence growth, we suggest that using tree ring δ^{15} N is most appropriate when studying changes in the N cycle.

In addition to enhanced nitrification and the loss of 15 N-depleted NO₃, other aspects of the N cycle and urea fertilization could have affected the δ^{15} N of the

pool of plant available N. First, the isotopic composition of the fertilizer could have changed the δ^{15} N of the soil N pool regardless of NO₃ leaching. Since samples of the fertilizer used in 1971 were not archived, or their isotopic composition measured, it is impossible to know the exact $\delta^{15}N$ of the fertilizer that was applied to WS 1. However, typical $\delta^{15}N$ values for urea fertilizer range from -2.3 to -1 %(Nommik et al. 1994; Choi et al. 2002; Zhou et al. 2013) but can be as high as 1.3 % (Li and Wang 2008). Thus, the increase in plant $\delta^{15}N$ may be partially a signal from the urea δ^{15} N if it were in the 0-1 ‰ range. Second, an ammonia odor, and moss and leaf damage, were reported in the watershed after fertilization, indicating that there was substantial ammonia volatilization after urea addition (Patric and Smith 1978). Indeed, it is thought that $\sim 50 \%$ of the urea added was volatilized and lost as ammonia compared to an estimated loss of ~ 20 % in elevated stream-water N losses (Patric and Smith 1978). And any ammonia volatilization should increase the plant tissue $\delta^{15}N$ since this process favors the loss of the lighter isotope, leaving the pool of plant-available ammonium more enriched in ¹⁵N (Mizutani et al. 1986; Mizutani and Wada 1988). Finally, it is possible that discrimination against ¹⁵N by the loss of other N gases—and ¹⁵N enrichment of the available N pool resulted from increased rates of nitrification and denitrification (Wexler et al. 2014; Mnich and Houlton 2015). However, although fertilizer additions can enhance the loss of N gases (Castro et al. 1994; Venterea et al. 2004), the magnitude of these losses in temperate forests is often considered to be low relative to the magnitude of N losses in stream water (Campbell et al. 2004). Thus, the changes in tree ring δ^{15} N we observed may reflect a combination of increased nitrification leading to an enhanced loss of NO3 in stream water, the δ^{15} N signature of the fertilizer that was added, or increased loss of N gases by ammonia volatilization, nitrification, and/or denitrification. However, the exact manner by which the δ^{15} N signal of plant available N was altered does not change our conclusions regarding the effects of mycorrhizal type on tree ring δ^{15} N response to N cycle disturbance, or the timing and persistence of the signal through time.

A striking and surprising result was the lack of response detected in *L. tulipifera* tree ring $\delta^{15}N$ after urea fertilization. The reason behind this result is unclear but may be attributable to an initially strong N

limitation on their growth. Indeed, prior to fertilization L. tulipifera had the lowest values for tree ring δ^{15} N of any of the species we sampled, and fertilization with urea in 1971 led to substantial increases in BAI (189 % 3 years post-fertilization) and increased bud N concentrations in these trees (Patric and Smith 1978). Collectively, these observations suggest greater N retention, and a reduced loss of ¹⁵N-depleted NO₃ in the soils surrounding these young L. tulipifera trees. However, a greater N retention associated with this species is not likely to be a sufficient explanation since the large amount of ammonia volatilization should have enriched the residual pool of plant available ammonium with ¹⁵N. Furthermore, we estimate the BAI stimulation due to fertilization of L. tulipifera would yield $\sim 21.2 \text{ kg year}^{-1} \text{ tree}^{-1}$ of additional growth, or ~14,600 kg year⁻¹ ha⁻¹ (Brenneman et al. 1978). Assuming a C content of 50 % and a C:N ratio of 165 (Vitousek et al. 1988), then this amount of enhanced growth would sequester ~44 kg N ha⁻¹ year⁻¹, or only ~15 % of the added N. However, under a more complex set of circumstances it may be possible that the δ^{15} N of plant tissue could remain relatively unaltered if a given species relied primarily on nitrate, utilized it completely (i.e. little to no nitrate loss from the rhizosphere), and if the enrichment of the ammonium N pool with ¹⁵N by volatilization was offset by elevated rates of nitrification which produces NO₃ that is depleted in 15 N.

While the reasons for the response of *L. tulipifera* trees compared to the other three species remain unknown, our results highlight how different species' tree ring δ^{15} N can respond differently to changes in local soil N processes. And further research on potential reasons for the surprising *L. tulipifera* result could be valuable, since *Liriodendron* species are common in areas of elevated N deposition and N cycle alteration in the US and China.

An equally striking result was that the δ^{15} N record in tree rings of *L. tulipifera* increased dramatically ~8 years after fertilization. At this time, stream water NO₃ increased in both WS 1 and a nearby mature (last cut ca. 1910), unfertilized watershed (WS 4). Furthermore, the tree ring δ^{15} N of older *L. tulipifera* trees also increased at this time in WS 4 (Fig. 4). The increase in WS 4 stream water NO₃ has been attributed to N saturation caused by chronic additions of N from atmospheric deposition (Peterjohn et al. 1996), and the concurrent increase in WS 1 (Fig. 1) points to a similar




Fig. 4 *L. tulipifera* tree ring δ^{15} N and annual mean of monthly flow-weighted stream water NO₃ in a long-term reference watershed (WS 4) at the Fernow Experimental Forest. Trend line is a 2-year moving average of *L. tulipifera* tree ring δ^{15} N to

effect in this watershed. The soil N pool was likely smaller when signs of N saturation due to long-term deposition appeared than immediately following urea application. The percent of the N pool transformed via nitrification was likely high during the N saturation shift in stream water NO_3 (Peterjohn et al. 1996) compared to urea fertilization, when the soil N pool was much larger. This high percent nitrification, followed by NO₃ loss under N saturation, could have a large impact on the residual plant available N pool. Thus, it is possible that the cumulative effects of N deposition on soil N cycling had a greater effect on L. tulipifera tree ring isotope composition than a onetime fertilization, and that the wood $\delta^{15}N$ of this species is a more effective indicator of the effects of long-term N deposition than the effects of a short-term N cycle disturbance.

To demonstrate how tree ring δ^{15} N might help to extend stream water NO₃ records, we used the strong association between stream water NO₃ concentration and *L. tulipifera* δ^{15} N from 1970 through 2005 in the WS 4 (r = 0.928) to estimate stream NO₃ concentrations between 1920 and 1970 (Fig. 4). These estimates extend the existing long-term record (1970–2010) by an additional 50 years and suggest that prior to ~1980 stream NO₃ concentrations were typically ~15 uM and

visually depict the long-term trend. Calculated stream water NO₃ values (*open circles*) are based on the linear relationship between tree ring δ^{15} N and stream water NO₃ measurements 1970–2005 (P < 0.001, r = 0.928)

relatively constant (C.V. ~ 0.51). While very useful at our study site, the value of using tree ring δ^{15} N records to reconstruct stream water NO₃ levels at other locations may depend on conditions found at the FEF that may not apply elsewhere. These include high rates of net nitrification (Gilliam et al. 1996), a high percentage of mineralized N that is nitrified (Peterjohn et al. 1996), an apparent relationship between rates of soil nitrification and stream NO₃ level (Gilliam and Adams 2011), and relatively low rates of gaseous N losses (Peterjohn et al. 1998; Venterea et al. 2004). It may also require a stable or relatively slowly changing δ^{15} N signature in atmospheric N deposition. While this cannot be confirmed at the FEF, Rose et al. (2015) reported precipitation δ^{15} N values of -0.1 % for the FEF in 2010, which is similar to regional values from 2000 (Elliott et al. 2007) and 1993–1994 (Russell et al. 1998).

In general, the results of this study support the potential utility of tree ring $\delta^{15}N$ in documenting significant changes in soil N cycling dynamics (Pardo and Nadelhoffer 2012; Gerhart and McLauchlan 2014), but show that the temporal record of tree ring $\delta^{15}N$ in different species can vary in response to the same change in the N cycle. As such, we suggest that research using tree ring $\delta^{15}N$ should utilize multiple species to obtain a synthetic view of the N cycle through time. In

addition, tree ring δ^{15} N natural abundance should not be considered a recorder of the local N cycle with annual resolution due to the potential for inter-annual N movement, retranslocation, and recycling. Rather, it would be best used as an indicator of N cycle "openness", i.e. proportion of N lost from the system as NO₃ via nitrification or gaseous N losses, on a decadal time scale. Finally, additional measurements of site-specific soil N cycle processes, current or historic, can aid in the interpretation of the tree ring δ^{15} N signal and enhance our ability to draw conclusions about longterm N cycling dynamics.

Acknowledgments The authors thank Dr. Tom Schuler and U.S. Forest Service staff for continuous stream water data and access to the FEF. We also thank Lillian Hill, Devon Raiff, and Justin Lego for help with data collection, and Dr. James McGraw for aid in data analysis. We also thank Chris Walter for input in data analysis and interpretation. This work was supported by the Long Term Research in Environmental Biology (LTREB) program at the National Science Foundation (Grant Nos. DEB-0417678 and DEB-1019522), by the WVU Office of the Dean's Awards for Research Team Scholarship (ARTS), and by the WVU Research Corporation's Program to Stimulate Competitive Research (PSCoR).

References

- Adams MB, Kochenderfer JN, Edwards PJ (2007) The Fernow watershed acidification study: ecosystem acidification, nitrogen saturation and base cation leaching. Water Air Soil Pollut Focus 7:267–273. doi:10.1007/s11267-006-9062-1
- Argerich A, Johnson SL, Sebestyen SD et al (2013) Trends in stream nitrogen concentrations for forested reference catchments across the USA. Environ Res Lett 8:1–8. doi:10.1088/1748-9326/8/1/014039
- Barford CC, Montoya JP, Altabet MA, Mitchell R (1999) Steady-state nitrogen isotope effects of N₂ and N₂O production in *Paracoccus denitrificans*. Appl Eviron Microbiol 65:989–994
- Beghin R, Cherubini P, Battipaglia G et al (2011) Tree-ring growth and stable isotopes (¹³C and ¹⁵N) detect effects of wildfires on tree physiological processes in *Pinus sylvestris* L. Trees 25:627–636. doi:10.1007/s00468-011-0539-9
- Brenneman B, Frederick D, Gardner W et al (1978) Biomass of species and stands of West Virginia hardwoods. In: Proceedings odf the 2nd Century Hardwood Forest Conference, West Lafayette, pp 159–178
- Bukata AR, Kyser TK (2005) Response of the nitrogen isotopic composition of tree-rings following tree-clearing and landuse change. Environ Sci Technol 39:7777–7783
- Bukata AR, Kyser TK (2007) Carbon and nitrogen isotope variations in tree-rings as records of perturbations in regional carbon and nitrogen cycles. Environ Sci Technol 41:1331–1338

- Bunn AG (2010) Statistical and visual crossdating in R using the dplR library. Dendrochronologia 28:251–258. doi:10. 1016/j.dendro.2009.12.001
- Campbell JL, Hornbeck JW, Mitchell MJ et al (2004) Inputoutput budgets of inorganic nitrogen for 24 forest watersheds in the northeastern United States: a review. Water Air Soil Pollut 151:373–396
- Castro M, Peterjohn W, Melillo J, Steudler P (1994) Effects of nitrogen fertilization on the fluxes of N_2O , CH_4 , and CO_2 from soils in a Florida slash pine plantation. Can J For Res 24:9–13
- Choi W, Lee S, Ro H et al (2002) Natural δ^{15} N abundances of maize and soil amended with urea and composted pig manure. Plant Soil 245:223–232
- Choi W-J, Lee S-M, Chang SX, Ro H-M (2005) Variations of δ^{13} C and δ^{15} N in *Pinus densiflora* tree-rings and their relationship to environment changes in eastern Korea. Water Air Soil Pollut 164:173–187
- Craine JM, Elmore AJ, Aidar MPM et al (2009) Global patterns of foliar nitrogen isotopes and their relationships with climate, mycorrhizal fungi, foliar nutrient concentrations, and nitrogen availability. New Phytol 183:980–992. doi:10. 1111/j.1469-8137.2009.02917.x
- Elhani S, Lema B, Zeller B et al (2003) Inter-annual mobility of nitrogen between beech rings: a labelling experiment. Ann For Sci 60:503–508. doi:10.1051/forest
- Elliott EM, Kendall C, Wankel SD et al (2007) Nitrogen isotopes as indicators of NO(x) source contributions to atmospheric nitrate deposition across the midwestern and northeastern United States. Environ Sci Technol 41:7661–7667
- Falxa-Raymond N, Patterson AE, Schuster WSF, Griffin KL (2012) Oak loss increases foliar nitrogen, δ^{15} N and growth rates of *Betula lenta* in a northern temperate deciduous forest. Tree Physiol 32:1092–1101. doi:10.1093/treephys/tps068
- Galloway J, Dentener F, Capone D et al (2004) Nitrogen cycles: past, present, and future. Biogeochemistry 70:153–226
- Garten C (1993) Variation in foliar ¹⁵N abundance and the availability of soil nitrogen on Walker Branch Watershed. Ecology 74:2098–2113
- Gerhart LM, McLauchlan KK (2014) Reconstructing terrestrial nutrient cycling using stable nitrogen isotopes in wood. Biogeochemistry 120:1–21. doi:10.1007/s10533-014-9988-8
- Gilliam FS, Adams MB (2011) Effects of nitrogen on temporal and spatial patterns of nitrate in streams and soil solution of a central hardwood forest. ISRN Ecol 2011:1–9. doi:10. 5402/2011/138487
- Gilliam FS, Adams MB, Yurish BM (1996) Ecosystem nutrient responses to chronic nitrogen inputs at Fernow Experimental Forest, West Virginia. Can J For Res 26:196–205
- Gundersen P, Emmett BA, Kjgnaas OJ et al (1998) Impact of nitrogen deposition on nitrogen cycling in forests: a synthesis of NITREX data. For Ecol Manag 101:37–55
- Hagen-Thorn A, Varnagiryte I, Nihlgård B, Armolaitis K (2006) Autumn nutrient resorption and losses in four deciduous forest tree species. For Ecol Manag 228:33–39. doi:10. 1016/j.foreco.2006.02.021
- Härdtle W, Niemeyer T, Fichtner A et al (2014) Climate imprints on tree-ring $\delta^{15}N$ signatures of sessile oak

(*Quercus petraea* Liebl.) on soils with contrasting water availability. Ecol Indic 45:45–50. doi:10.1016/j.ecolind. 2014.03.015

- Hart SC, Classen AT (2003) Potential for assessing long-term dynamics in soil nitrogen availability from variations in δ^{15} N of tree rings. Isotopes Environ Health Stud 39:15–28. doi:10.1080/1025601031000102206
- Hawkins BJ, Jones MD, Kranabetter JM (2015) Ectomycorrhizae and tree seedling nitrogen nutrition in forest restoration. New For 46:747–771. doi:10.1007/s11056-015-9488-2
- Hietz P, Dünisch O, Wanek W (2010) Long-term trends in nitrogen isotope composition and nitrogen concentration in brazilian rainforest trees suggest changes in nitrogen cycle. Environ Sci Technol 44:1191–1196. doi:10.1021/ es901383g
- Hietz P, Turner BL, Wanek W et al (2011) Long-Term Change in the Nitrogen Cycle of Tropical Forests. Science 334:664–666. doi:10.1126/science.1211979
- Hobbie J, Hobbie E (2006) ¹⁵N in symbiotic fungi and plants estimates nitrogen and carbon flux rates in arctic tundra. Ecology 87:816–822
- Hobbie EA, Högberg P (2012) Nitrogen isotopes link mycorrhizal fungi and plants to nitrogen dynamics. New Phytol 196:367–382. doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.2012.04300.x
- Hogberg P (1997) ¹⁵N natural abundance in soil-plant systems. New Phytol 137:179–203
- Houlton BZ, Sigman DM, Hedin LO (2006) Isotopic evidence for large gaseous nitrogen losses from tropical rainforests. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 103:8745–8750. doi:10.1073/ pnas.0510185103
- Jung K, Choi W-J, Chang SX, Arshad MA (2013) Soil and tree ring chemistry of *Pinus banksiana* and *Populus tremuloides* stands as indicators of changes in atmospheric environments in the oil sands region of Alberta, Canada. Ecol Indic 25:256–265. doi:10.1016/j.ecolind.2012.10.006
- Kalcsits LA, Buschhaus HA, Guy RD (2014) Nitrogen isotope discrimination as an integrated measure of nitrogen fluxes, assimilation and allocation in plants. Physiol Plant 151:293–304. doi:10.1111/ppl.12167
- Knapp AK, Smith MD, Hobbie SE et al (2012) Past, present, and future roles of long-term experiments in the LTER network. Bioscience 62:377–389. doi:10.1525/bio.2012.62.4.
- Kochenderfer JN (2006) Fernow and the appalachian hardwood region. The Fernow watershed acidification study. Springer, The Netherlands, pp 17–39
- Li D, Wang X (2008) Nitrogen isotopic signature of soil-released nitric oxide (NO) after fertilizer application. Atmos Environ 42:4747–4754. doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.01. 042
- Likens GE, Buso DC (2012) Dilution and the elusive baseline. Environ Sci Technol 46:4382–4387. doi:10.1021/ es3000189
- Lilleskov E, Hobbie E, Fahey T (2002) Ectomycorrhizal fungal taxa differing in response to nitrogen deposition also differ in pure culture organic nitrogen use and natural abundance of nitrogen isotopes. New Phytol 154:219–231. doi:10. 1046/j.1469-8137.2002.00367.x
- Makarov MI, Onipchenko VG, Malysheva TI et al (2014) Determinants of ¹⁵N natural abundance in leaves of co-

Deringer

occurring plant species and types within an alpine lichen heath in the Northern Caucasus. Arctic, Antarct Alp Res 46:581–590. doi:10.1657/1938-4246-46.3.581

- Mayor J, Bahram M, Henkel T et al (2015) Ectomycorrhizal impacts on plant nitrogen nutrition: emerging isotopic patterns, latitudinal variation and hidden mechanisms. Ecol Lett 18:96–107. doi:10.1111/ele.12377
- McLauchlan KK, Craine JM (2012) Species-specific trajectories of nitrogen isotopes in Indiana hardwood forests, USA. Biogeosciences 9:867–874. doi:10.5194/bgd-8-5935-2011
- McLauchlan KK, Craine JM, Oswald WW et al (2007) Changes in nitrogen cycling during the past century in a northern hardwood forest. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 104:7466–7470. doi:10.1073/pnas.0701779104
- Mizutani H, Wada E (1988) Nitrogen and carbon isotope ratios in seabird rookeries and their ecological implications. Ecology 69:340–349
- Mizutani H, Hasegawa H, Wada E (1986) High nitrogen isotope ratios for soils of seabird rookeries. Biogeochemistry 2:221–247
- Mnich ME, Houlton BZ (2015) Evidence for a uniformly small isotope effect of nitrogen leaching loss: results from disturbed ecosystems in seasonally dry climates. Oecologia 181:323–333. doi:10.1007/s00442-015-3433-0
- Nommik H, Pluth J, Larsson K, Mahendrappa MK (1994) Isotopic fractionation accompanying fertilizer nitrogen transformations in soil and trees of a Scots spine ecosystem. Plant Soil 158:169–182
- Pardo LH, Nadelhoffer KJ (2012) Using nitrogen isotope ratios to assess terrestrial ecosystems at regional and global scales. In: West JB, Bowen GJ, Dawson TE, Tu KP (eds) Isoscapes. Springer, Netherlands, pp 221–249
- Pardo LH, Hemond HF, Montoya JP et al (2002) Response of the natural abundance of ¹⁵N in forest soils and foliage to high nitrate loss following clear-cutting. Can J For Res 32:1126–1136. doi:10.1139/x02-041
- Pardo LH, Templer PH, Goodale CL et al (2006) Regional assessment of N saturation using foliar and root δ^{15} N. Biogeochemistry 80:143–171. doi:10.1007/s10533-006-9015-9
- Pardo LH, Semaoune P, Schaberg PG et al (2013) Patterns in δ^{15} N in roots, stems, and leaves of sugar maple and American beech seedlings, saplings, and mature trees. Biogeochemistry 112:275–291. doi:10.1007/s10533-012-9724-1
- Patric J, Smith D (1978) Some effects of urea fertilization on a forested watershed in West Virginia. In: Proceedings of the 2nd Century Hardwood Forest Conference, West Lafayette, pp 210–227
- Peterjohn WT, Adams MB, Gilliam FS (1996) Symptoms of nitrogen saturation in two central Appalachian hardwood forest ecosystems. Biogeochemistry 35:507–522
- Peterjohn WT, McGervey RJ, Sexstone AJ et al (1998) Nitrous oxide production in two forested watersheds exhibiting symptoms of nitrogen saturation. Can J For Res 28:1723–1732. doi:10.1139/x98-158
- Peterjohn WT, Foster CJ, Christ MJ, Adams MB (1999) Patterns of nitrogen availability within a forested watershed exhibiting symptoms of nitrogen saturation. For Ecol Manag 119:247–257. doi:10.1016/S0378-1127(98)00526-X

- Read D, Perez-Moreno J (2003) Mycorrhizas and nutrient cycling in ecosystems—a journey towards relevance? New Phytol 157:475–492
- Reinhart K, Eschner A, Trimble G (1963) Effect on streamflow of four forest practices in the mountains of West Virginia. Research Paper NE-1, USDA Forest Service Northeastern Research Station, Upper Darby, PA.
- Robinson D (2001) δ^{15} N as an integrator of the nitrogen cycle. Trends Ecol Evol 16:153–162
- Rose LA, Elliott EM, Adams MB (2015) Triple nitrate isotopes indicate differing nitrate source contributions to streams across a nitrogen saturation gradient. Ecosystems 18:1209–1223. doi:10.1007/s10021-015-9891-8
- Russell KM, Galloway JN, Macko SA et al (1998) Souces of nitrogen in wet deposition to the Chesapeake Bay region. Atmos Environ 32:2453–2465
- Saurer M, Cherubini P, Ammann M et al (2004) First detection of nitrogen from NOx in tree rings: a ¹⁵N/¹⁴N study near a motorway. Atmos Environ 38:2779–2787. doi:10.1016/j. atmosenv.2004.02.037
- Savard MM, Bégin C, Smirnoff A et al (2009) Tree-ring nitrogen isotopes reflect anthropogenic NOx emissions and climatic effects. Environ Sci Technol 43:604–609
- Sebilo M, Billen G, Grably M, Mariotti A (2003) Isotopic compsition of nitrate nitrogen as a marker of riparian and benthic denitrification at the scale of the whole Seine River system. Biogeochemistry 63:35–51
- Spoelstra J, Schiff SL, Semkin RG et al (2010) Nitrate attenuation in a small temperate wetland following forest harvest. For Ecol Manag 259:2333–2341. doi:10.1016/j.foreco. 2010.03.006
- Stokes M, Smiley T (1996) An introduction to tree-ring dating. The University of Arizona Press, Tucson
- Sun F, Kuang Y, Wen D et al (2010) Long-term tree growth rate, water use efficiency, and tree ring nitrogen isotope composition of *Pinus massoniana* L. in response to global climate change and local nitrogen deposition in Southern China. J Soils Sediments 10:1453–1465. doi:10.1007/ s11368-010-0249-8

- Tomlinson G, Siegwolf R, Buchmann N et al (2014) The mobility of nitrogen across tree-rings of Norway spruce (*Picea abies* L.) and the effect of extraction method on tree-ring δ^{15} N and δ^{13} C values. Rapid Commun Mass Spectrom 28:1–7. doi:10.1002/rcm.6897
- Treseder KK (2004) A meta-analysis of mycorrhizal responses to nitrogen, phosphorus, and atmospheric CO₂ in field studies. New Phytol 164:347–355. doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.2004.01159.x
- Trimble G (1977) A history of the Fernow Experimental Forest and the Parsons Timber and Watershed Laboratory. General Technical Report NE-28, USDA Forest Service Northeastern Forest Experiment Station, Upper Darby, PA.
- Venterea RT, Groffman PM, Castro MS et al (2004) Soil emissions of nitric oxide in two forest watersheds subjected to elevated N inputs. For Ecol Manag 196:335–349. doi:10. 1016/j.foreco.2004.03.028
- Vitousek PM, Fahey T, Johnson DW, Swift MJ (1988) Element interactions in forest ecosystems: succession, allometry and input-output budgets. Biogeochemistry 5:7–34
- Wallenstein M, Peterjohn W, Schlesinger W (2006) N fertilization effects on denitrification and N cycling in an aggrading forest. Ecol Appl 16:2168–2176
- Wexler SK, Goodale CL, McGuire KJ et al (2014) Isotopic signals of summer denitrification in a northern hardwood forested catchment. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 111:16413–16418. doi:10.1073/pnas.1404321111
- Yoshida N (1988) ¹⁵N-depleted N₂0 as a product of nitrification. Nature 335:528–529
- Zeller B, Colin-Belgrand M, Dambrine E et al (2000) Decomposition of ¹⁵N-labelled beech litter and fate of nitrogen derived from litter in a beech forest. Oecologia 123:550–559
- Zhou W, Hu C-S, Li J et al (2013) Natural ¹⁵N abundance in winter wheat amended with urea and compost: a long-term experiment. Pedosphere 23:835–843. doi:10.1016/S1002-0160(13)60075-2