$CO₂$ dynamics along Danish lowland streams: water–air gradients, piston velocities and evasion rates

Kaj Sand-Jensen • Peter Anton Staehr

Received: 4 February 2010 / Accepted: 16 December 2011 / Published online: 31 December 2011 © Springer Science+Business Media B.V. 2011

Abstract We measured $CO₂$ concentration and determined evasion rate and piston velocity across the water– air interface in flow-through chambers at eight stations along two 20 km long streams in agricultural landscapes in Zealand, Denmark. Both streams were 9–18-fold supersaturated in $CO₂$ with daily means of 240 and 340 μ M in January–March and 130 and 180 μ M in June–August. Annual CO_2 medians were 212 μ M in six other streams and $460 \mu M$ in four groundwater wells, while seven lakes were weakly supersaturated $(29 \mu M)$. Air concentrations immediately above stream surfaces were close to mean atmospheric conditions except during calm summer nights. Piston velocity from 0.4 to 21.6 cm h^{-1} was closely related to current velocity permitting calculation of evasion rates for entire streams. $CO₂$ evasion rates were highest in midstream reaches (170–1,200 mmol m^{-2} day⁻¹) where CO₂-rich soil water entered fast stream flow, while rates were tenfold lower $(25-100 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1})$ in slowflowing lower reaches. $CO₂$ evasion mainly derived

K. Sand-Jensen (\boxtimes) · P. A. Staehr Freshwater Biological Laboratory, Biological Institute, University of Copenhagen, Helsingørsgade 51, 3400 Hillerød, Denmark e-mail: ksandjensen@bio.ku.dk

Present Address: P. A. Staehr Institute of Bioscience, Aarhus University, Frederiksborgvej 399, PO Box 358, 4000 Roskilde, Denmark

from the input of $CO₂$ in soil water. The variability of $CO₂$ evasion along the two lowland streams covered much of the range in sub-Arctic and temperate streams reported previously. In budgets for the two stream catchments, loss of carbon from soils via the hydrological cycle was substantial $(3.2-5.7 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1})$ and dominated by CO_2 consumed to form HCO_3 ⁻ by mineral dissolution (69–76%) and export of organic carbon (15–23%) relative to dissolved $CO₂$ export $(7-9\%)$.

Keywords Lowland streams \cdot CO₂ concentrations \cdot $CO₂$ release to atmosphere \cdot Piston velocity

Introduction

Streams are usually supersaturated with $CO₂$ due to inflow of $CO₂$ -rich soil water and predominance of respiration relative to photosynthesis due to degradation of organic matter received from land (Kelly et al. [1983;](#page-12-0) Sand-Jensen et al. [1995](#page-13-0); Battin et al. [2008](#page-12-0)). Extensive $CO₂$ supersaturation supports photosynthesis and growth of numerous amphibious plants which are unable to use $HCO₃⁻$ for photosynthesis (Sand-Jensen et al. [1992](#page-13-0); Sand-Jensen and Frost-Christensen [1998\)](#page-13-0). Extensive $CO₂$ supersaturation of turbulent stream water should also lead to substantial evasion to the atmosphere of $CO₂$ originally derived from the soil as $CO₂$ or degradable organic matter. This loss is seldom included in budget calculations of soil $CO₂$ loss (Sand-Jensen et al. [2007\)](#page-13-0). Moreover, in studies of local, regional and global carbon cycles, the rapid $CO₂$ exchange with the atmosphere in the turbulent stream flow has rarely been measured directly and included in a wider $CO₂$ flux perspective. Our overall objective was to evaluate the magnitude of $CO₂$ evasion from streams in a carbon flux perspective for the stream and the catchment.

Evasion of $CO₂$ to the atmosphere is highly variable temporally and spatially due to the complexity of hydrological, biological and physical processes determining the gradient and exchange coefficient (piston velocity) of $CO₂$ from water to air (Hope et al. [2001](#page-12-0); Worall and Burt [2005](#page-13-0)). High evasion rates have been reported from turbulent upper reaches of streams draining temperate forests and peat land while evasion rates are 5–15 times lower in deeper downstream reaches of lower turbulence and $CO₂$ concentration (Hope et al. [2001;](#page-12-0) Silvennoinen et al. [2008\)](#page-13-0). This difference shows the importance of $CO₂$ supply in soil water and contact time with the atmosphere for evasion rates along the streams. To confirm the magnitude of estimated evasion rates derived indirectly from general hydraulic formulae or use of trace gases, direct measurements are needed. Our second aim was to analyze daily and seasonal variations in $CO₂$ supersaturation along two lowland Danish streams in fertile agricultural landscapes and measure $CO₂$ evasion rates directly in flow-through flux chambers. This approach can constrain the true variability of evasion rates within and among streams and provide integrated values for entire streams that can be used in mass balances of the external terrestrial and internal stream sources of $CO₂$ to atmospheric release.

We calculated piston velocity directly from measurements of evasion rates and gradients of $CO₂$ across the air–water interface using flux chambers and tested the relationship of piston velocity to common hydraulic parameters (e.g. current velocity, water depth, and stream slope) known to influence surface turbulence (Thyssen and Erlandsen [1987](#page-13-0); Sand-Jensen and Pedersen [1999](#page-13-0)). Near-surface turbulence drives the exchange of gases across the water–air interface (Jähne et al. 1987 ; Wanninkhof et al. 2009), but many individual processes can influence surface turbulence and gas transfer; for example, surface renewal (Komori et al. [1993\)](#page-12-0), surface penetration (Atmane et al. [2004\)](#page-12-0), surface divergence (Turney et al. [2005\)](#page-13-0) and thickness of the near-surface layer (Harriott [1962](#page-12-0)). Mechanistic approaches attempting to describe the turbulence have so far had limited applicability (Zappa et al. [2007](#page-13-0); Vachon et al. [2010](#page-13-0)). A formula relating piston velocity to turbulent dissipation rate to the 1/4 power has some predictive power for rivers, estuaries and oceans combined (Zappa et al. [2007](#page-13-0)), but its application requires measurements with advanced Doppler velocimeters and improved prediction of piston velocity to ensure reliable calculations of evasion rates (Vachon et al. [2010](#page-13-0)). Estimates of piston velocity for $CO₂$ derived from measurements on trace gases still suffer from limited replication and uncertainties when converted to $CO₂$ (Genereux and Hemond [1992;](#page-12-0) Raymond and Cole [2001\)](#page-13-0). This is an important problem because $CO₂$ reacts with water and water vapor (Ho et al. [1997](#page-12-0)) and reactions and transport depend on pH, temperature and organic surface films (Frew [1997](#page-12-0)) in ways that may differ from those of other trace gases. Moreover, correct determination of evasion rate requires knowledge of both piston velocity and the $CO₂$ gradient across the water– air interface and, thus, direct $CO₂$ measurements in water and air are needed anyway.

The $CO₂$ concentration above the water surface is usually assumed to be equal to the mean level in the atmosphere. This assumption has rarely been tested and it is violated if $CO₂$ accumulates in stagnant air receiving a high $CO₂$ flux from supersaturated waters below. This situation may arise in small, windprotected streams receiving $CO₂$ -rich soil water. Our final objective was to test whether $CO₂$ does accumulate in air above CO_2 -rich small streams and how it influences the $CO₂$ gradient and the evasion rate.

Methods

Study sites

Pøle and Havelse are two small lowland streams located in an open calcareous moraine landscape in North Zealand, Denmark (Fig. [1](#page-2-0); Sand-Jensen et al. [2007\)](#page-13-0). The Pøle stream is 21 km long and drains 92 km² of mixed agricultural (57%), urban (30%) and forested (13%) area. Pøle stream receives purified treated sewage (mechanically, biologically, and chemically) from the large town of Hillerød (35,000

Fig. 1 Map over North Zealand, Denmark showing the location of the two streams, Pøle and Havelse with sampling sites and the largest cities and beech forest in the otherwise agricultural landscape

inhabitants). The percentage of lakes is about 1% of the Pøle catchment. Including the large Lake Arresø (38 km^2) located downstream of Pøle stream raises the percentage to 22. The Havelse stream is 22.6 km long and drains 130 km^2 of mixed agricultural (85%) , urban (10%) and forested (5%) area and receives chemically and biologically purified sewage from small villages (about 5,000 inhabitants). The Havelse catchment is devoid of lakes.

Eight stations were located equidistantly along the length of the Pøle and the Havelse streams. The uppermost stations in the Pøle stream (P1 and P2) and the Havelse stream (H1 to H3) were very shallow (mean depth $\langle 0.2 \text{ m} \rangle$ and narrow (mean width \leq 1.5 m). The lowermost station in the Pøle stream (P8) was 9.7 m wide and 0.9 m deep, while the lowermost station in the Havelse stream (H8) was 6.6 m wide and 0.5 m deep. Both streams are channelized, have relatively uniform depths and the banks

are without trees but support tall emergent herbs during summer offering shading and wind protection.

The annual average discharge for the last 30 years is similar for both streams; 26.5 for Pøle and 24.7 10^6 m³ for Havelse (Frederiksborg [1996](#page-12-0)). High discharge and low surface irradiance during winter reduce aquatic plant growth while substantial growth of benthic microalgae and submerged macrophytes takes place in May–August (Sand-Jensen et al. [1988](#page-13-0); Sand-Jensen and Frost-Christensen [1998](#page-13-0)). Daily mean discharge and water depth and transversal depth profiles across the stream were available for six hydrometric stations in the Pøle stream (P3–P8) and three stations in the Havelse stream (H3, H5 and H7) continuously operated by the county for more than 30 years (unpublished reports from Frederiksborg Amt). This information permitted calculation of daily mean current velocity (U, m s^{-1}) throughout the year as daily mean discharge $(Q, m^3 s^{-1})$ divided by daily mean transversal area (A, m^2) , i.e. $U = Q A^{-1}$. Discharge, water depth and current velocity at the remaining stations were estimated by measuring the hydraulic parameters twice a month, establishing close relationships to hydrometric stations nearby and estimating the daily hydraulic parameters from these relationships. Oxygen consumption of the input of easily degradable organic matter (BOD) over 24 h is expected to take place within the streams and these rates were measured at the same eight stations along both streams at monthly intervals and converted to $CO₂$ release using a molar quotient of $CO₂$ to $O₂$ of 1.0 (Pedersen [2004](#page-13-0)).

To obtain an overall picture of the regional freshwater $CO₂$ concentration we performed yearround measurements during 1999 at several stations arranged equidistantly along six other streams, in the outlet from seven large eutrophic lakes, in four groundwater wells and in soil water leaving the drain pipes (Sand-Jensen et al. [1995](#page-13-0)). All localities were within 30 km from the town of Hillerød. The median $CO₂$ concentration in groundwater was 460 μ M (88%) of 133 measurements were between 320 and 640 μ M, see later) and concentrations in drain water were at the same level for the same average bicarbonate alkalinity as in the streams $(4,500-5,500 \text{ } \mu\text{eq } 1^{-1}, \text{ Sand-Jensen})$ et al. [2007](#page-13-0)). This agreement was anticipated due to the equilibrium of calcite dissolution by $CO₂$ in carbonate-rich soils (Dreybrodt [1988](#page-12-0)). Silicate weathering only contributes $\sim 10\%$ to HCO_3^- formation in the

soils, while 90% derive from dissolution of carbonates (unpublished data). In the dissolution of silicate in the soil one CO_2 molecule is produced for every HCO_3 ⁻ molecule formed and in the dissolution of carbonates one CO_2 molecule is consumed for every two HCO_3 ⁻ molecule formed permitting determination of total $CO₂$ loss from the soils to the streams in dissolved $CO₂$ and HCO_3^- (Sand-Jensen and Staehr [2007\)](#page-13-0). Including loss of terrestrial organic carbon to the streams (Pedersen [2004](#page-13-0)) permits construction of carbon budgets on a catchment scale.

$CO₂$ concentration, evasion rate and piston velocity

The $CO₂$ concentration was determined about monthly at all 16 stations over 1 year by measuring pH, alkalinity, temperature and conductivity in water samples collected just before noon (10.00–12.00) following standard methods (Mackereth et al. [1978](#page-12-0); Sand-Jensen and Frost-Christensen [1998\)](#page-13-0).

Air concentrations of $CO₂$ at 2 m above the stream surface were measured with a portable infrared gas analyzer (IRGA; LCA-3, Analytical Development Company, England) during daytime measurements of $CO₂$ evasion with a flow-through chamber (see below). Air $CO₂$ concentration was measured with greater spatial and temporal resolution during a calm summer night and day in a 4 m wide mid-stream station (P4) in the Pøle stream. Air samples were collected using PVC pipes (3 m long, 0.5 cm in diameter, and perforated with small holes) mounted horizontally 1, 2 and 4 cm above the stream. Air was sucked at a rate of 140 ml min^{-1} through air-tight tubing by a pump in the IRGA. Wind velocity was measured 2 m above the water surface using a Hobo anemometer.

 $CO₂$ evasion from supersaturated stream waters was determined in repeated measurements from sunrise to the afternoon between June and November at 9 midstream and downstream stations in the Pøle (P4–P8) and the Havelse stream (H3–H8) representing the largest surface areas and emission rates from the streams. A full daily measurement program was performed at every station on three occasions in June–July, August– September and October–November. To save time, measurements were not performed at the most upstream stations because flow conditions here resemble those at mid-stream stations and piston velocity and $CO₂$ evasion rate can be calculated from measured current velocity and $CO₂$ concentration. Also, upstream stations are narrow and contribute less to the integrated stream evasion of $CO₂$ than wider stations further downstream. Measurements of evasion rates in the streams covered most of the range in water depths (0.09–0.90 m) and current velocity $(0.01-0.82 \text{ m s}^{-1})$ that is observed at the stream reaches over the year.

Measurements were made with a flux chamber which sealed off 19.1 dm³ (V_{ch}) of atmospheric air above 11.68 dm² (A_{ch}) of stream surface area (Fig. 2). The accumulation of $CO₂$ in the enclosed air volume was measured with the IRGA while maintaining unaltered stream flow and turbulence. The rationale for construction and use of the chamber is that gas piston velocity (K_L) in these shallow and narrow streams is regulated by surface turbulence (Zappa et al. [2007\)](#page-13-0) which, in turn, is determined by turbulence in the streaming water generated by current velocity and roughness elements at the bottom and along the banks. Wind exposure is small and its influence on surface turbulence and piston velocity is insignificant (Moog and Jirta [1999](#page-13-0); Raymond and Cole [2001](#page-13-0)). Likewise, piston velocity of oxygen in small streams was predicted previously from hydrological parameters (e.g., current velocity, water depth and energy gradient; Thyssen and Erlandsen [1987](#page-13-0); Thyssen et al. [1987\)](#page-13-0).

The flux chamber was a half-cylinder 94 cm long and 18 cm in internal diameter made of gas-impermeable PVC painted white to prevent heating (Fig. 2). The chamber has end-walls that are 2 cm shorter than the chamber walls and are supplied with 4 cm long skirts of thin flexible plastic that rest on the water

Fig. 2 Sketch of flux chamber made of a half-cylinder of gastight Perspex which is aligned with the flow, placed with the side walls 1 cm below the water surface and sealed by plastic flaps from the end walls floating on the water surface. Air within the chamber is circulated via a continuously measuring $CO₂$ analyzer

surface in the direction of flow and form a gas tight seal (Christensen [2000\)](#page-12-0). The chamber was placed with the main axis oriented in the flow direction and the lower 1 cm under water. Thus, the maximum height of enclosed air was 8 cm. The chamber had two 120 cm long iron pegs glued to the outer wall and rested on iron pegs placed transversally across the stream. The transversal pegs were connected to vertical pegs bored into the sediment well away from the chamber to avoid interference with the flow. The height of the transversal pegs was adjusted such that the chamber side walls extended exactly 1 cm below the water and the plastic skirts of the end walls rested on the water surface.

The inlet and outlet of the IRGA was connected to the air volume in the chamber via air-tight tubing. Gas was circulated at a rate of $600 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ min}^{-1}$ and gas movements were sufficient to keep the chamber air mixed and ensure a linear rise in $CO₂$ concentration over time. The $CO₂$ concentration was analyzed every 10 s over 150 s and the linear rise based on 16 data points (α , μ l CO₂ l⁻¹ atmospheric air s⁻¹) was used to determine the CO₂ flux (F_A , mol CO₂ m⁻² h⁻¹) according to

$$
F_A = \alpha V_{ch} f V_{CO_2} (temp)^{-1} A_{ch}^{-1}
$$
 (1)

where f converts flux rates from seconds to hours and from dm² to m² and V_{CO2} (temp) is the volume of 1 mol of $CO₂$ at the actual temperature and one atmosphere. Pressure changes are small and can safely be neglected. V_{CO2} (temp) was corrected according to

$$
V_{CO_2} (temp) = V_{STP} (Temp + 273.15) (273.15)^{-1}
$$
\n(2)

where V_{STP} is 22.258 l CO₂ mol⁻¹ at 0°C and one atmosphere and Temp is the actual temperature in $^{\circ}C$ (Waser et al. [1982](#page-13-0)).

Piston velocity $(K_L, m h^{-1} = 100 cm h^{-1})$ was calculated from flux measurements (F_A, mol m⁻² h⁻¹) and the concentration difference of $CO₂$ between water and air (Thyssen et al. [1987\)](#page-13-0)

$$
K_{L} = F (CO_{2}^{mea} - CO_{2}^{equ})^{-1}
$$
 (3)

where CO_2^{mea} is the actual CO_2 concentration dissolved in the water calculated from continuous measurements of pH, conductivity and temperature (YSI-multisonde, 600 XL-100-0) and acidimetric Gran titration of total dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) in water samples retrieved every 30 min and

analyzed following Mackereth et al. [\(1978](#page-12-0)). CO_2^{equ} is the concentration of $CO₂$ in water in equilibrium with the measured $CO₂$ concentration in the air 2 m above the stream surface. The air concentration was measured approximately every 20 min. CO_2^{equ} is calculated from the measured air concentration and Henry's constant corrected for the influence of temperature (Maberly [1996\)](#page-12-0).

Measuring range of the IRGA was $0-1,600 \mu l$ CO_2 l⁻¹ and measuring accuracy was ± 0.5 µl CO₂ l⁻¹. Calibration was made by manufactured gases of known concentration. A total of 200 triplicate flux measurements (i.e. 600 single flux measurements) were made. Coefficients of determination (r^2) based on linear regression of $CO₂$ concentration versus time in the flux experiments averaged 0.99. Triplicate determinations of piston velocity were highly reproducible with an average CV (SD/mean) of only 5.3% in 200 series.

Measurements at each reach were performed from before sunrise to the afternoon at one to four positions of the flux chamber depending on physical heterogeneity across the stream. In wide, heterogeneous streams, the surface was divided into a near-bank section and a midstream section of equal sizes and two positions were selected in the middle of the two. Metal frames to support the flux chamber were set up at all positions and the chamber was moved between these positions such that 3–8 triplicate measurements were made at each position over the day. Current velocity was measured in replicate over 1 min at a position 0.5 m upstream of the flux chamber during each measurement series. Water depth was the mean of measurements at positions along the sides, upstream and downstream of the chamber. Piston velocity for an entire reach was calculated as the mean value of all piston velocities determined at different local sites and periods for an entire day. The mean slope of the water surface along the reach $(m \text{ km}^{-1})$ was measured by filling a 12 m long flexible, transparent plastic tube with water and measuring the difference in hydraulic head between the downstream open end of the tube relative to the water surface with an accuracy of 1 mm. Mean current velocity over a 50 m reach was measured as the passage time of 50% of an added quantum of NaCl dissolved in 20 l of water. Salt passage was followed by continuously logging conductivity downstream of the reach. Mean depth was calculated from measurements at 25 cm intervals across 11 transversal sections placed 5 m between each other along the reach.

The predictive relationships between piston velocity and hydraulic parameters were established for piston velocities at 20° C (K²⁰). Temperature correction from ambient temperature (K_L^{amb}) to 20 °C was performed according to Thyssen and Erlandsen ([1987\)](#page-13-0)

$$
K_L^{amb} = K_L^{20} \ (1.024^{(amb \ -20)}) \tag{4}
$$

The annual integrated evasion rates for entire streams were estimated from measured mean monthly $CO₂$ concentrations and water temperatures at eight stations along the streams and mean piston velocity estimated from mean monthly current velocity and temperature at the same stations. The established empirical relationship between piston velocity and current velocity (see later in Table [2,](#page-8-0) line 1) and the temperature correction in Eq. 4 were used to calculate piston velocity. Stream surface areas between stations were calculated from measured stream width and distance between stations.

Net photosynthesis was measured between 9.00 and 13.00 during flux measurements according to Odum's single station method (Odum [1956\)](#page-13-0). Dissolved oxygen in the water was recorded continuously (YSI, 600XL-100-0) and atmospheric exchange was calculated using Eq. [3](#page-4-0) by substituting oxygen for $CO₂$ and converting measured piston velocity for $CO₂$ to oxygen following Thyssen and Kelly [\(1985](#page-13-0)).

Results

$CO₂$ concentration in air

During measurements with the flux chamber, the mean CO₂ concentration in the air at 2 m was 352 μ l l⁻¹ corresponding to equilibrium concentrations in Pøle stream of 16.2 ± 2.7 µM (SD, $n = 495$), while air and equilibrium concentrations were slightly higher in Havelse stream (17.0 \pm 1.8 μ M, $n = 305$). At windprotected reaches with tall herbs on the banks, the air concentration had risen in the night and early morning on five of 24 occasions to 1.3–2.0 times above the typical atmospheric level (i.e. $20.0-31.9$ μ M in water at air equilibrium). These locally elevated air concentrations declined within 1–2 h when solar heating induced a weak wind (Fig. 3).

 $CO₂$ at four vertical levels in the air during a very calm summer night rose to 580 μ l l⁻¹ at 1, 2 and 4 cm

Fig. 3 Diurnal changes in $CO₂$ concentration measured in the air 1 cm (open circle), 2 cm (filled circle), 4 cm (open triangle) and 2 m (filled triangle) above the water surface (upper) and wind speed measured at 2 m (lower)

above the water surface, while CO_2 rose to 500 µl 1^{-1} at 2 m (Fig. 3). Elevated $CO₂$ disappeared at low wind speeds in the morning $(<0.2 \text{ m s}^{-1})$ and had a limited impact on $CO₂$ fluxes because they coincided with peak CO_2 concentration in the water (145–590 μ M). The water–air $CO₂$ gradient was at most reduced by 11% due to $CO₂$ accumulation in still air and delayed $CO₂$ evasion for only 1–2 h.

$CO₂$ concentration in water

 $CO₂$ was greatly supersaturated at all eight stations in both streams throughout the year (Fig. [4](#page-6-0)). The uppermost station in Pøle stream (P1) received soil water from fields and a refuse dump and had particularly high HCO_3^- and CO_2 concentrations (annual median 1490 μ M CO₂). CO₂ concentration became significantly lower (annual median $115 \mu M$ at P6 and P7) at the relatively wide and shallow midstream reaches conducive to $CO₂$ evasion and photosynthesis. $CO₂$ concentration increased (median $170 \mu M$) and became less variable towards the mouth of Pøle stream (P8),

Fig. 4 $CO₂$ concentration at eight stations in the Pøle (upper panel) and Havelse streams (lower panel) in winter (January– March), spring (April–May), summer (June–September) and autumn (October–December). Data are means of 2 or 3 monthly measurements

which has deeper slow-flowing water that restricts $CO₂$ evasion. $CO₂$ concentration changed less along the Havelse stream, but as in Pøle stream, it was slightly higher at upper and lower reaches than at mid-stream reaches (Fig. 4). Over the year, median $CO₂$ concentration was highest in winter (Havelse $= 210 \mu M$; Pøle $= 430 \mu M$; Fig. 4) and lowest in mid-summer (Havelse = 116 μ M; Pøle = 171 μ M) because input of CO_2 -rich soil water was higher and photosynthetic $CO₂$ consumption lower in winter than in summer.

Downstream decline of $CO₂$ concentration can be explained by the increased retention time of supersaturated water in the stream which facilitates release to the atmosphere, while net photosynthesis did not change significantly along Pøle stream ($r = -0.46$, $P = 0.44$) and Havelse stream ($r = 0.75$, $P = 0.25$). $CO₂$ loss to the atmosphere from a given stream water volume and unit time is given by the concentration difference multiplied by the time-specific piston velocity $(K_2 = K_L H^{-1})$, where H is mean water depth). K_2 declined during summer to almost zero in the deeper, lowermost reaches (P8 and H8: 0.02 h^{-1})

compared to higher values at shallower midstream reaches in Pøle $(0.23-0.39 \text{ h}^{-1})$ and Havelse streams $(0.38-0.98 \text{ h}^{-1})$. This pattern permitted input of CO₂ from soil water to exceed atmospheric loss in the deep, lowermost reaches and, thereby, stream $CO₂$ concentration to increase (Fig. 4).

A compilation of 539 measurements of $CO₂$ from six other streams in North Zealand corresponded to those from the Pøle and Havelse streams (median 212 μ M, Fig. [5\)](#page-7-0). Groundwater was markedly supersaturated and more than 80% of 133 measurements had $CO₂$ concentration between 320 and 640 μ M (median 460 μ M). CO₂ measurements in the outlets from seven eutrophic lakes ($n = 1755$) ranged from below air saturation during summer to above air saturation in winter and the annual median (29 μ M) exceeded air saturation.

$CO₂$ evasion rate and sources

During summer, $CO₂$ supersaturation declined tenfold from sunrise to the afternoon at stream stations with intense photosynthesis and $CO₂$ evasion declined similarly (data not shown). At other stations with reduced photosynthesis, $CO₂$ concentration and evasion varied much less over the day (i.e. threefold, see Fig. [6\)](#page-7-0). Piston velocity varied much less diurnally than $CO₂$. Evasion of $CO₂$ was much larger at high current velocity in the middle of the stream profile than in slower flowing water with low piston velocity close to the banks (Fig. [6](#page-7-0)).

Mean daily concentration, evasion and piston velocity of $CO₂$ changed downstream during June– August (Fig. [7\)](#page-8-0). In the Pøle stream, concentration and evasion of CO_2 (500–1,200 mmol m⁻² day⁻¹) were highest at the two first mid-stream stations (P4 and P5). As a consequence of these high losses, $CO₂$ depletion and lower evasion rates occurred downstream at the two following stations (P6 and P7). At the stream mouth (P8), $CO₂$ evasion remained low $(100 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1})$ because of low piston velocity in the deeper, slow-flowing water. In the Havelse stream, $CO₂$ evasion stayed relatively constant (i.e. 210–265 mmol m^{-2} day⁻¹) at mid-stream stations (H5 and H7) located 8 and 17 km from the source, but as in the Pøle stream, evasion tended to be higher upstream $(235-470 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$ at H3) and markedly lower (25 mmol m^{-2} day⁻¹) in slow-flowing water at the outlet (H8, Fig. [7](#page-8-0)).

Fig. 5 Frequency distribution of daily mean $CO₂$ concentration (μM) in seven large eutrophic lakes, six streams and four groundwater wells studied throughout the year in North Zealand, Denmark. Medians (m) and number of measurements (n) are shown

The main annual input of $CO₂$ to the streams (79–94%) was as dissolved free $CO₂$ in soil water, while $CO₂$ release from degradation of easily degradable organic matter in the water (BOD) was a minor source (Table [1](#page-8-0)). Output of $CO₂$ through evasion to the atmosphere (70–74%) and export via the outlet (26–30%) approximately matched the input.

Total annual carbon loss from terrestrial soils to Pøle and Havelse streams was dominated by $CO₂$

Fig. 6 Concentration (μ M, *upper panel*), evasion rate (mmol m⁻² h⁻¹, *middle panel*) and piston velocity for CO_2 $(\text{cm } h^{-1}$, lower panel) during the day at positions close to the shore (filled circles) and at faster flow in the middle of the stream (open circles) at P4 in Pøleå on a day of sustained $CO₂$ supersaturation. Mean values and SD

consumed in dissolution of minerals to form HCO_3^- (1,596 and 1,395 tons C, respectively) and organic carbon export (539 and 281 tons C) compared to export of dissolved $CO₂$ ([1](#page-8-0)72 and 160 tons, Table 1). These annual export rates are substantial when scaled to catchment area of Pøle (25.1 $\rm g \ C \ m^{-2}$) and Havelse streams (14.1 g C m⁻²).

Piston velocity and empirical models

Repeated measurements during the day for every location of the flux chamber yielded 55 mean daily

Fig. 7 Mean daily concentration (μ M, *first* panel), piston velocity $(cm h⁻¹, second panel)$ and evasion rate for $CO₂$ $\text{ (mmol m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}, \text{third})$ panel) at different distances downstream in the Pøle and Havelse streams in June– July (closed circles) and August (open circles). Mean values and SD

Table 1 Sources and rates of annual $CO₂$ import and export for Pøle and Havelse streams

All values in 10^3 kg C stream⁻¹ year⁻¹

values of evasion rate and piston velocity. Piston velocity (K_L^{20}) ranged from 0.4 to 21.6 cm h^{-1} and had an approximately normal distribution with most values (63%) between 4 and 12 cm h⁻¹. Piston velocity increased significantly with current velocity according to a log–log linear least square regression $(P<0.0001$, slope 0.742; Table 2). The negative influence of increasing water depth on piston velocity was not significant ($P = 0.11$).

Table 2 Significant relationships $(P < 0.0001)$ of piston velocity (K_L at 20°C, cm h⁻¹) as a function of current velocity $(U, m s⁻¹)$ and water depth (H, m)

I. Means of all daytime measurements at local sites $(n = 55)$
Log K _L = 1.257(0.041) + 0.742(0.055) log U (r^2 = 0.77)
II. Means of every triplicate experiment at local sites ($n = 200$)
Log K _L = 1.286(0.029) + 0.776(0.035) log U (r^2 = 0.71)
Log K _L = $0.282(0.077) - 0.812(0.122) \log H (r^2 = 0.18)$
Log K _L = 1.170(0.064) + 0.743(0.038) log U - 0.159(0.079)
$\log H(r^2 = 0.72)$

Relationships to the energy gradient $(S, m km^{-1})$ were not significant, I. Relationships between means of all measurements at a given position of the flux chamber during the day, II. Relationships between means of triplicate measurements at different times during the day

SE standard error (in parenthesis), r^2 coefficient of determination, n number of measurements

Almost the same relationship of piston velocity to current velocity was found for 200 triplicate measurements (slope 0.776), but the negative relationship to water depth was significant as " n " was greater,

although it accounted for only 18% of the variability (Table [2](#page-8-0)). In multiple regression models, current velocity contributed most and water depth less to the prediction of piston velocity, but the total variability accounted for was almost the same (72%) as that attained by current velocity alone.

Discussion

$CO₂$ supersaturation in streams

Havelse, Pøle and other streams in North Zealand were greatly supersaturated with $CO₂$ due to the year-round inflow of CO_2 -rich soil water, the short retention time $(<24$ h) and the surplus of respiration to photosynthesis (Simonsen [1974;](#page-13-0) Sand-Jensen [1997](#page-13-0)). Increasing contact time with the atmosphere can account for the decline of $CO₂$ from upstream to midstream reaches of the Havelse and Pøle streams, while declining evasion rates can account for the increased $CO₂$ concentration in the slow-flowing lowermost reaches.

The widespread $CO₂$ supersaturation in lowland streams is a prerequisite for the existence of a diverse community of amphibious plants (Riis et al. [2001\)](#page-13-0) which are unable to use HCO_3^- and, therefore, require high $CO₂$ concentration to attain sufficient photosynthesis (Sand-Jensen and Frost-Christensen [1998](#page-13-0)). The amphibious species are unable to grow in lake outlets because long water retention time and phytoplankton photosynthesis in the lakes often reduce $CO₂$ to below air saturation during summer resulting in negative net photosynthesis by the amphibious species (Sand-Jensen and Frost-Christensen [1998](#page-13-0)).

Photosynthesis of aquatic species in the two study streams contributed to the lower $CO₂$ concentration during summer than winter (Fig. [4](#page-6-0)) and caused a mean 2.7-fold reduction of CO_2 from sunrise (105–749 µM) to late afternoon $(25-479 \mu M)$ during 24 diurnal summer measurements. In no instance did the $CO₂$ concentration fall below air saturation. Thus, $CO₂$ exchange was consistently directed from the stream waters to the atmosphere in accordance with previous studies in temperate lowland (Rebsdorf et al. [1991](#page-13-0); Neal et al. [1998](#page-13-0)) and upland streams (Hope et al. [2001\)](#page-12-0) and in boreal streams (Sobek et al. [2003](#page-13-0)).

The contrast between annual median $CO₂$ concentration in groundwater (460 μ M), streams (212 μ M) and lake outlets $(29 \mu M)$ in North Zealand has several important implications. Soil water is the main contribution to the systematic $CO₂$ supersaturation in the streams and to the substantial $CO₂$ evasion as con-firmed by mass balances (Table [1](#page-8-0)). $CO₂$ concentration in the lakes are only slightly above air saturation suggesting that the annual $CO₂$ flux is usually directed from the lake water to the atmosphere, though at much lower rates than from the streams, due to the 10–20 times lower water–air $CO₂$ gradient and the lower piston velocity compared to streams. For the largest and most wind-exposed of the seven lakes in North Zealand (Lake Arresø, area $=$ 38 km²), the annual mean piston velocities (K_L) were estimated to 6.9 cm h^{-1} for 2 years using the model by Jonsson et al. ([2008\)](#page-12-0) and continuous wind measurements. For the two streams the mean piston velocity of 8.4 cm h^{-1} was 1.2 times higher. The larger air–water gradient and piston velocity in the streams imply that the mean $CO₂$ evasion rates per $m²$ are at least 15-fold higher from streams than large lakes.

Piston velocity

There are several advantages of directly measuring $CO₂$ evasion rates and concentration differences between water and air and calculating piston velocity from the two parameters instead of relying on indirect methods. First, direct measurements were highly accurate and reproducible. Second, establishment of close relationships between piston velocity and current velocity permitted up-scaling of evasion rates to entire streams and annual rates. Third, several uncertainties associated with indirect methods and conversion from measurements of trace gases to $CO₂$ were avoided.

Direct measurements of $CO₂$ evasion rate and $CO₂$ concentration in air and water were fast, sensitive and highly reproducible. $CO₂$ concentrations were here derived from determination of alkalinity, pH and temperature in discrete water samples, but continuously operating and reliable pH and temperature sensors are available (e.g. Maberly [1996\)](#page-12-0) and alkalinity can be derived from continuously operating conductivity probes (Sand-Jensen and Frost-Christensen [1998](#page-13-0)). New techniques are also available to directly measure dissolved $CO₂$ in freshwaters (Johnson et al. [2010](#page-12-0)).

There are also potential drawbacks in the use of $CO₂$ flux chambers, however. First, there are potential errors by the use of flux chambers because water and air turbulence, air temperature and pressure can be influenced by the presence of the chamber (Bilett and Moore [2008](#page-12-0)). With the chamber construction used here, we could perform the measurement over very short time intervals and avoid changes in air temperature and pressure but we have no measurements of water turbulence to document that it was entirely unaffected by the presence of the chamber, although it was constructed with that purpose. Secondly, it may be difficult to apply flux chambers over very rough water surfaces in stream riffles which may be hot spots for $CO₂$ evasion. Such stream sections were not present in the channelized North Zealand streams studied here. Third, upscaling of variable evasion rates from the site specific location of flux chambers to entire stream reaches is time-consuming and may introduce error which is difficult to evaluate. Upscaling is avoided by the use of inert trace gases over long reaches.

Piston velocity increased in close correlation with current velocity across the wide range of velocities $(0.01 - 0.82 \text{ m s}^{-1})$ and water depths $(0.09 - 0.90 \text{ m})$ covered by this study. The relationship between piston velocity and current velocity can be used in most local physical settings in lowland streams over the year to predict piston velocity from current velocity and other hydrological features. Once piston velocity is known, evasion rates can be calculated from temperature and $CO₂$ concentration in air and water. Air measurement of $CO₂$ concentrations can apparently be left out in most cases because they are either very close to mean atmospheric levels or elevated concentration just above the stream surfaces only occur briefly during very calm nights and mornings when $CO₂$ concentration in the water is often so high that the outward $CO₂$ gradient is barely reduced. Water current velocity for prediction of piston velocity is either available from periodic measurements or it can be derived from measurements in a routine hydraulic program. As a result, it becomes realistic to determine annual $CO₂$ evasion rate for all streams within a catchment.

The relationship of piston velocity to current velocity in the stream may reflect a more direct coupling to surface turbulence (Moog and Jirta [1999\)](#page-13-0) as previously documented for lowland streams (Sand-Jensen and Pedersen [1999](#page-13-0)). Thus, we cannot dismiss the possibility that a strong empirical relationship between piston velocity and current velocity, as established here for channelized streams, varies appreciably between stream types depending on channel morphology, depth patterns and bed roughness influencing the turbulence regime. If so, more general predictors of piston velocity should be sought and near-surface turbulence appears to be a promising parameter (Zappa et al. [2007\)](#page-13-0) although relationships of piston velocity to turbulent dissipation rate so far have not attained the same predictive power as that of piston velocity to current velocity presented here.

Measurements of evasion rate and piston velocity along reaches have the advantage of covering the relevant scale for budget calculation, but those available have the disadvantage of limited replication and use of gases (e.g., oxygen, propane, ethane, $SF₆$) which requires conversion to $CO₂$ (Cole and Caraco [1998\)](#page-12-0). This conversion may induce error when the studied gases behave differently or unexpectedly to that of $CO₂$ in water, in air and over the interface separating them (Simonsen [1974](#page-13-0); Thyssen and Kelly [1985\)](#page-13-0). For example, $CO₂$ takes part in complex pHdependent conversions in the stream water, in rainwater and in the dew that forms above streams during nights and early mornings (Ho et al. [1997](#page-12-0)). The resistance to passage of different gases through organic layers on stream surfaces (Frew [1997](#page-12-0)) is not predictable from molecular weight if differences in solubility between test gases and $CO₂$ influence passage across the interface. Consequently, there is room for subjectivity and analytical variability in the use of equations converting the piston velocity of, for example, propane to $CO₂$ (Genereux and Hemond [1992;](#page-12-0) Hope et al. [2001\)](#page-12-0). One solution to these shortcomings and an independent check of the data could be to take advantage of the direct approach used here and combine it with full-scale measurements by covering entire reaches with transparent gas-tight material that encloses a large air volume for measurement of $CO₂$ accumulation rates over time.

$CO₂$ evasion from streams: a wider perspective

Daily evasion rates during summer were highest $(170-1,200 \text{ mmol m}^{-2})$ in the middle parts of the Pøle and Havelse streams where $CO₂$ -rich soil water entered shallow reaches with relatively fast flow. Rates were markedly lower $(25-100 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1})$ further downstream in the deeper, slow-flowing reaches because of the lower piston velocity (Table [3\)](#page-11-0). The annual mean $CO₂$ evasion rate was 395 in the Havelse

Table 3 Daily mean $CO₂$ evasion to the atmosphere from selected sub-Arctic and temperate streams and rivers in different settings

Direct measurements from June to November, this study

^b Annual mean values

- \textdegree Kling et al. ([1991\)](#page-12-0)
- d Raymond et al. ([1997\)](#page-13-0)
- ^e Silvennoinen et al. [\(2008](#page-13-0))
- f Worall and Burt [\(2005](#page-13-0))
- g Hope et al. [\(2001](#page-12-0))
- h Jonsson et al. ([2007\)](#page-12-0), 200 days with open stream flow
- $\frac{1}{1}$ Yao et al. ([2007\)](#page-13-0)
- ^j Jones and Mulholland ([1998\)](#page-12-0)
- k Neal et al. [\(1998\)](#page-13-0)
- ¹ Direct measurements of evasion rate (this study)

 m Estimates using direct measurements of piston velocity</sup>

stream and 495 mmol m^{-2} day⁻¹ in the Pøle stream (Table 3). These values are about 5–10 times higher than the average formation of excess $CO₂$ within systems as a result of greater ecosystem respiration than gross production in the compilation of data from 62 streams $(100 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1})$ and 37 rivers $(55 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1})$; Battin et al. [2008\)](#page-12-0). This comparison supports our conclusion that the main $CO₂$ source to the evasion from the two lowland streams (79–94%) was dissolved free $CO₂$ in soil water, while $CO₂$ release from degradation of imported easily degradable organic matter (BOD) represented a minor source (Table [1\)](#page-8-0). Input of degradable organic matter would make a greater contribution if the streams had been longer and more time had been available for degradation of imported organic material and if untreated sewage and not tertiary treated sewage had been received.

The 50-fold range of daily evasion rates at different reaches in the Pøle and Havelse streams (25– 1,200 mmol m^{-2} day⁻¹) covers most of the global range of mean $CO₂$ evasion rates for different subarctic streams (10–115 mmol m^{-2} day⁻¹, Kling et al. [1991\)](#page-12-0) and temperate streams in either open lowlands $(20-3,820 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$, Raymond et al. [1997](#page-13-0); Worall and Burt [2005\)](#page-13-0), forest-covered lowlands (Silvennoinen et al. [2008\)](#page-13-0), and in upland peat lands (Hope et al. 2001 ; Table 3). $CO₂$ evasion rates are also highly variable from lake surfaces (e.g. $0.1-25$ mmol m⁻² day⁻¹, Sand-Jensen and Staehr [2009\)](#page-13-0), but the levels are two orders of magnitude lower per surface area in lakes than streams because of lower concentrations of $CO₂$ in the surface waters. This contrast between streams and lakes also exists in the catchment areas of the Pøle stream. Thus, the mean evasion rate was 3 mmol m^{-2} day^{-1} from the large Lake Arresø located downstream (Fig. [1](#page-2-0)) and 14 mmol m^{-2} day⁻¹ for 19 small lakes located within the catchment (Sand-Jensen and Staehr 2009). The 19 small lakes had an annual $CO₂$ evasion of 5×10^6 mol compared with 16×10^6 from the stream. The annual $CO₂$ evasion was larger from downstream Lake Arresø (42 \times 10⁶ mol) in the particular years. In the Havelse catchment lakes were absent and all aquatic $CO₂$ evasion was from the stream surfaces.

Scaled to surface area of the catchments, daily mean $CO₂$ evasion rates from freshwaters were 1.9 mmol m^{-2} day⁻¹ for the Pøle catchment (including downstream Lake Arresø) and 0.21 mmol m^{-2} day^{-1} for the Havelse catchment. Similar $CO₂$ evasion rates from surface waters scaled to catchment area were estimated in a Canadian peatland (Bilett and Moore 2008). Total carbon loss from terrestrial soils via the hydraulic cycle in North Zealand are more substantial, however, because, in addition to dissolved $CO₂$, organic carbon is also exported and $CO₂$ is consumed in dissolution of minerals to form high $HCO₃⁻$ concentrations in the effluent water. Total carbon losses from terrestrial soils with the water flow amounted to daily means of 5.7 and 3.2 mmol m^{-2} day^{-1} for Pøle and Havelse catchments which are substantial rates in the carbon flux budget of terrestrial habitats amounting to 3–50% of the net production rates of beech forests (typically $11-125$ mmol m⁻² day^{-1} , Granier et al. 2000).

In conclusion, our results document the considerable variability of $CO₂$ evasion rate from upstream to downstream reaches within streams, between different streams and among streams and lakes from the same region. To integrate evasion rates into overall budgets for all water bodies within catchments requires programs for measuring or predicting $CO₂$ concentration and piston velocity with a high spatial and temporal resolution that has now become practically possible thanks to continuously operating sensors and formulation of general empirical predictions of piston velocity. From the catchment fluxes presented here it is evident that considerable amounts of carbon are lost from terrestrial soils as CO_2 , HCO_3^- and organic carbon via the hydrological cycle and must be included in the carbon budgets of streams, lakes as well as terrestrial ecosystems to attain reliable results.

Acknowledgments This project was funded by a grant from the Danish Natural Science Research Council to KSJ. We are grateful for technical assistance from Charlotte Andersen, Birgit Kjøller, Jens C. B. Christensen and Niels Lagergaard Pedersen and helpful comments by Jonathan Cole, John Anderson and two anonymous reviewers.

References

- Atmane MA, Asher WE, Jessup AT (2004) On the use of the active infrared technique to infer heat and gas transfer velocities at the air-water free surface. J Geophys Res 109:CO8314. doi[:10.1029/2003JC001805](http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2003JC001805)
- Battin TJ, Kaplan LA, Findlay S et al (2008) Biophysical controls on organic carbon fluxes in fluvial networks. Nat Geosci 1:95–100
- Bilett MF, Moore TR (2008) Supersaturation and evasion of $CO₂$ and $CH₄$ in surface waters at Mer Bleue peatland, Canada. Hydrol Process 22:2044–2054
- Christensen JCB (2000) $CO₂$ in streams: concentrations and fluxes (in Danish). MS thesis, Freshwater biological laboratory, University of Copenhagen
- Cole JJ, Caraco NE (1998) Atmospheric exchange of carbon dioxide in a low-wind oligotrophic lake measured by the addition of $SF₆$. Limnol Oceanogr 43:647–656
- Dreybrodt W (1988) Processes in karst systems. Elsevier, Heidelberg
- Frederiksborg Amt (1996) Streams and springs—state and development 1995 (in Danish). Vandmiljøovervågning nr. 24. Hillerød, Denmark
- Frew NM (1997) The role of organic films in air-sea gas exchange. In: Liss PS, Duce RA (eds) The sea surface and global change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp 121–163
- Genereux DP, Hemond HF (1992) Determination of gas exchange rate constants for a small stream on Walker Branch Watershed, Tenessee. W Resour Res 28:2365–2374
- Granier A, Ceshia E, Damesin C et al (2000) The carbon balance of young beech forest. Funct Ecol 14:313–325
- Harriott P (1962) A random eddy modification of the penetration theory. Chem Eng Sci 17:149–154
- Ho DT, Bliven LF, Wanninkhof R, Schlosser P (1997) The effect of rain on air-water gas exchange. Tellus 49:149–158
- Hope D, Palmer SM, Billett MF, Dawson JJ (2001) Variations in dissolved $CO₂$ and methane evasion from a peatland stream. Limnol Oceanogr 46:847–857
- Jähne B, Hünnich O, Bösinger R et al (1987) On the parameters influencing air-water gas exchange. J Geophys Res 92: 1937–1949
- Johnson MS, Billett MF, Dinsmore KJ, Wallin M, Dyson KE, Jassal KE (2010) Direct and continuous measurement of dissolved carbon dioxide in freshwater aquatic systems method and application. Ecohydrology 3:68–78
- Jones JB, Mulholland PJ (1998) Carbon dioxide variation in a hard-wood forest stream: an integrative measure of whole catchment soil respiration. Ecosystems 1:183–196
- Jonsson A, Algesten G, Bergström A-K et al (2007) Integrating aquatic carbon fluxes in a boreal catchment budget. J Hydrol 334:141–150
- Jonsson A, Åberg J, Lindroth A et al. (2008) Gas transfer and $CO₂$ flux between an unproductive lake and the atmosphere in northern Sweden. J Geophys Res 113. doi[:10.1029/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2008JG000688) [2008JG000688](http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2008JG000688)
- Kelly MG, Thyssen N, Moeslund B (1983) Light and the annual variation of oxygen-based measurements of productivity in a macrophyte-dominated river. Limnol Oceanogr 28: 503–515
- Kling GW, Kipphut GW, Miller MC (1991) Arctic lakes and streams as gas conduits to the atmosphere: implications for tundra carbon balances. Science 251:298–301
- Komori S, Nagasaki M, Tamaki H et al (1993) Turbulence structure and mass transfer across a sheared air–water interface in wind-driven turbulence. J Fluid Mech 249: 161–183
- Maberly SC (1996) Diel, episodic and seasonal changes in pH and concentrations of inorganic carbon in a productive lake. Freshw Biol 35:579–598
- Mackereth FJH, Heron J, Talling JF (1978) Water analysis: some revised methods for limnologists. Freshwater Biological Association. No. 36. United Kingdom
- Moog DB, Jirta GH (1999) Stream reaeration in nonuniform flow: macroroughness enhancement. J Hydrol Eng 125: 11–16
- Neal C, House WA, Jarvie HP et al (1998) The significance of dissolved carbon dioxide in major lowland rivers entering the North Sea. Sci Total Environ 210–211:205–231
- Odum HT (1956) Primary production in flowing waters. Limnol Oceanogr 1:102–117
- Pedersen, NL (2004) Oxygen consumption as a function of temperature and composition of organic matter in streams (in Danish). MS thesis, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen
- Raymond PA, Cole JJ (2001) Gas exchange in rivers and estuaries: choosing a gas transfer velocity. Estuaries 24: 312–317
- Raymond PA, Caraco NF, Cole JJ (1997) Carbon dioxide concentration and atmospheric flux in the Hudson River. Estuaries 20:381–390
- Rebsdorf Aa, Thyssen N, Erlandsen M (1991) Regional and temporal variation in pH, alkalinity and carbon dioxide in Danish streams related to soil type and land use. Freshw Biol 25:419–436
- Riis T, Sand-Jensen K, Larsen SE (2001) Plant distribution and abundance in relation to physical conditions and location within Danish stream systems. Hydrobiol 448:217–228
- Sand-Jensen K (1997) Macrophytes as biological engineers in the ecology of Danish streams. In: Sand-Jensen K, Pedersen O (eds) Freshwater biology. Priorities and development in Danish research. Gads Publishers, Copenhagen, pp 74–101
- Sand-Jensen K, Brodersen P, Madsen TV et al (1995) Plants and CO2 supersaturation in streams (in Danish). Vand and Jord 2:72–77
- Sand-Jensen K, Christensen JB, Pedersen NL et al (2007) Streams are a window for $CO₂$ release to the atmosphere (In Danish). Vand og Jord 14:146–150
- Sand-Jensen K, Frost-Christensen H (1998) Photosynthesis of amphibious and obligately submerged plants in a $CO₂$ -rich stream. Oecologia 119:19–31
- Sand-Jensen K, Møller J, Olesen BH (1988) Biomass regulation of microbenthic algae in Danish lowland streams. Oikos 53:332–340
- Sand-Jensen K, Pedersen MF, Nielsen SL (1992) Photosynthetic use of inorganic carbon among primary and secondary water plants in streams. Freshw Biol 27:283–293
- Sand-Jensen K, Pedersen O (1999) Velocity gradients and turbulence around macrophyte stands in streams. Freshwat Biol 42:315–328
- Sand-Jensen K, Staehr PA (2007) Scaling of pelagic metabolism to size, trophy and forest cover in small Danish lakes. Ecosystems 10:127–141
- Sand-Jensen K, Staehr PA (2009) Net heterotrophy in small Danish lakes: a widespread feature over gradients in trophic status and land cover. Ecosystems 12:336–348
- Silvennoinen H, Liikanen A, Rintala J et al (2008) Greenhouse gas fluxes from the eutrophic Temmesjoki River and its estuary in the Liminganlahti Bay (the Baltic Sea). Biogeochemistry 90:193–208
- Simonsen JF (1974) Oxygen fluctuations in streams. Ph.D. thesis. Danish Technical University, Copenhagen
- Sobek S, Algesten G, Bergström A-K et al (2003) The catchment and climate regulation of $pCO₂$ in boreal lakes. Glob Ch Biol 9:630–641
- Thyssen N, Erlandsen M (1987) Reaeration of oxygen in shallow, macrophyte-rich streams. II. Relationship between the reaeration rate coefficient and hydraulic properties. Int Rev Ges Hydrobiol 72:575–597
- Thyssen N, Kelly MG (1985) Water-air exchange of carbon dioxide and oxygen in a river: measurement and comparison of rates. Arch Hydrobiol 105:219–228
- Thyssen N, Erlandsen M, Jeppesen E et al (1987) Reaeration of oxygen in a shallow, macrophyte-rich stream. I. Determination of the reaeration rate coefficient. Int Rev Ges Hydrobiol 72:405–429
- Turney DE, Smith WC, Banerjee S (2005) A measure of nearsurface fluid motion that predicts air-water gas transfer in a wide range of conditions. Geophys Res Let 32:LO4607. doi[:10.1029/2004GL021671](http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2004GL021671)
- Vachon D, Prairie YT, Cole JJ (2010) The relationship between near-surface turbulence and gas transfer velocity in freshwater systems and its implications for floating chamber measurements of gas exchange. Limnol Oceanogr 55: 1723–1732
- Wanninkhof R, Asher W, Ho DT et al (2009) Advances in quantifying air-sea gas exchange and environmental forcing. Ann Rev Mar Sci 1:213–244
- Waser J, Trueblood KN, Knobler CM (1982) Ideal gases, 2nd edn. MCGraw-Hill Book, Co., Auckland
- Worall F, Burt T (2005) Reconstructing long-terms records of dissolved $CO₂$. Hydrol Process 19:1791–1806
- Yao G, Gao Q, Wang Z et al (2007) Dynamics of $CO₂$ partial pressure and $CO₂$ outgassing in the lower reaches of the Xijiang River, a subtropical monsoon river I China. Sci Total Environ 376:255–266
- Zappa CJ, McGillis WR, Raymond PA et al. (2007) Environmental turbulent mixing controls on air-water gas exchange in marine and aquatic systems. Geophys Res Let 34