ORIGINAL PAPER

Digging mammals contribute to rhizosphere fungal community composition and seedling growth

Shannon J. Dundas, et al. *[full author details at the end of the article]*

Received: 10 March 2018 / Revised: 10 June 2018 / Accepted: 12 June 2018 / Published online: 21 July 2018 © Springer Nature B.V. 2018

Abstract

Bioturbation is an important ecosystem process, and the loss of native digging mammals due to introduced predators and habitat loss may have detrimental consequences for ecosystem health. The mycophagous woylie (*Bettongia penicillata ogilbyi*) was once widespread across the Australian continent and currently exists in a greatly reduced range, while the omnivorous quenda (*Isoodon fusciventer*), which once occurred across the southern part of Western Australia (WA), remains common in south west WA over a reduced range. Populations of these two digging marsupials are currently maintained within sanctuaries where they can reach high densities. To assess the infuence these digging marsupials have on fungal assemblages, we investigated fungal root associations among seedlings of a key mycorrhizal forest canopy species, *Corymbia calophylla,* R. Br. K. D. Hill and L. A. S. Johnson. Seedlings were grown in soil collected from inside (heavily-dug soil) and outside (minimally-dug soil) two predator-proof sanctuaries. Our results showed that above-ground seedling biomass was signifcantly greater for seedlings grown in soil collected from inside the sanctuaries. There were no diferences in the diversity or species richness of rhizosphere fungal communities isolated from these seedlings; however, the community composition was signifcantly diferent. This was most obvious for the predator-proof enclosure that had been in place for 20 years (Karakamia Sanctuary) compared with the more recently-installed Perup Sanctuary (fenced in 2010; 4 years before this study). At Karakamia, there were greater numbers of putatively hypogeous ectomycorrhizal fungi inside the enclosure and four times the number of operational taxonomic units of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi outside the enclosure. The diferences in fungal communities suggest that digging mammals play a pivotal role in ecosystem functioning by infuencing the rhizosphere of this key forest canopy species, which has implications for maintaining the health and persistence of forests.

Keywords Bioturbation · Mammal reintroductions · Brush-tailed bettong · Marsupial · Mycorrhiza · Biopedturbation · Rhizosphere fungi

Communicated by David Hawksworth.

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article [\(https://doi.org/10.1007/s1053](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-018-1575-1) [1-018-1575-1\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-018-1575-1) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

Introduction

Since European settlement, almost half of Australia's digging mammals have gone extinct or are currently under threat (Fleming et al. [2014\)](#page-13-0), and, given the low population numbers, it is likely that ecosystem functioning provided by these species is greatly reduced. The primary factor that has driven the decline of populations is predation by eutherian predators—the red fox (*Vulpes vulpes*) and feral cat (*Felis catus*)—which is exacerbated by other threats such as altered fre regimes and clearing of habitat (Burbidge and McKenzie [1989;](#page-12-0) Short and Smith [1994;](#page-14-0) Woinarski et al. [2014](#page-14-1)). Digging mammals perform important ecosystem services such as soil bioturbation and fungal dispersal, and the broadscale loss of these species is likely to have changed soil dynamics within natural habitats, potentially compromising forest health (Eldridge and James [2009](#page-12-1); Fleming et al. [2014;](#page-13-0) Martin [2003](#page-13-1)).

Rhizosphere fungi perform a range of important roles in maintaining soil health and function. For example, pathogenic and saprotrophic fungi are key for the creation of dead root material and turnover of soil organic matter, while the symbiosis between plants and mycorrhizal fungi is critical for plant growth and survival. Mycorrhizal associations are generally benefcial, with the fungi providing plants with water, inorganic nutrients and trace elements derived from the decomposition of organic matter (Courty et al. [2010](#page-12-2); Finlay [2008](#page-12-3)). The plant, in turn, provides the fungi with metabolites derived from photosynthesis (Brundrett [2008\)](#page-12-4). The importance of mycorrhizal fungi in temperate forests is summarised by Tommerup and Bougher [\(2000](#page-14-2)), with mycorrhizae playing critical roles that lead to increased plant growth and resilience, and protection against plant pathogens.

Many fungi, including ectomycorrhizal and saprotrophic species, form macroscopic fruiting bodies either above ground (epigeous, e.g. mushrooms) or below ground (hypogeous, e.g. truffles). These fruiting bodies are important food resources for many vertebrate and invertebrate species, and digging mammals often serve as important vectors for the spread of fungal spores across the landscape (Lamont et al. [1985\)](#page-13-2). The symbiosis between digging mammals and hypogeous fungi is especially important, as these fungi have limited alternative means of dispersal (Fogel and Trappe [1978](#page-13-3)). As hypogeous fungi are predominantly ectomycorrhizal, their successful dispersal is key to maintaining plant health in many forested systems. Bandicoots (Peramelidae; *Isoodon* and *Perameles* species), bettongs, potoroos, and the rufous rat-kangaroo (Potoroidae; *Potorous* spp., *Bettongia* spp., *Aepyprymnus rufescens*) dig as they forage for hypogeous fungi that can constitute 50–90% of their diet (reviewed by Claridge and May [1994](#page-12-5); Johnson [1995;](#page-13-4) McIlwee and Johnson [1998;](#page-13-5) Nguyen et al. [2005](#page-13-6); Vernes et al. [2001\)](#page-14-3). Mycophagous specialists, especially species from the Potoroidae family, contribute disproportionately to the dispersal of fungi (Nuske et al. [2017\)](#page-14-4). Resulting soil turnover from digging for hypogeous fungi has the potential to infuence fungal communities, both by increasing fungal dispersal and by creating new habitat for fungi within digs (e.g. Johnson [1996](#page-13-7); Valentine et al. [2017\)](#page-14-5).

The interaction between digging mammals, soil fungi and plants is likely to infuence aspects of ecosystem health (Fleming et al. [2014\)](#page-13-0). Reduced colonisation by ectomycorrhizal (ECM) fungi has previously been observed in declining native eucalypt forest (Ishaq et al. [2013](#page-13-8), [2018;](#page-13-9) Sapsford [2017\)](#page-14-6), and declining remnant forest in an agricultural region of south west Western Australia (Tommerup and Bougher [2000](#page-14-2)). The fruiting bodies of ectomycorrhizal fungi are a key dietary component of digging mammals in south west Western Australia (Christensen [1980;](#page-12-6) Lamont et al. [1985](#page-13-2)), and lower rates of foraging digging activity have been observed in areas where native eucalypt forests are in decline (Moore et al. [2014\)](#page-13-10). If declining forests are less attractive to digging mammals, it may result in a cascade effect with reduced digging activity, lower rates of scat deposition and therefore potentially reduced colonisation by fungi, which may further exacerbate forest declines.

The woylie (*Bettongia penicillata ogilbyi*; Potoroidae) was once abundant $across \sim 35\%$ of the Australian mainland (Abbott [2008](#page-12-7); Shortridge [1909](#page-14-7)), where it inhabited a broad range of habitats (Finlayson [1958](#page-13-11); Start et al. [1998\)](#page-14-8). Today, largely due to the impact of introduced predators, this species occupies less than 1% of its original range in the wild in south Western Australia, reinforced by additional populations within predator-proof sanctuaries (Wayne et al. [2015](#page-14-9)). Each woylie $\left(\sim 1.3 \text{ kg}$ body mass) creates between 38 and 114 diggings per night searching for their main diet of hypogeous fungi, extrapolating to \sim 4.8 tonnes of soil/year per individual (Garkaklis et al. [2004](#page-13-12)). The decrease in woylie foraging has had a marked impact on soil turnover, soil dynamics, and nutrient cycling across these landscapes (Garkaklis et al. [2000](#page-13-13), [2003\)](#page-13-14).

Quenda (*Isoodon fusciventer*; Peramelidae) are common within south western Australia; however, their historical distribution of has contracted in the last 150 years (Abbott [2008\)](#page-12-7). Quenda inhabit dense vegetation within native forest (Abbott [2008\)](#page-12-7) but are also commonly seen in remnant vegetation within urban areas (Bryant et al. [2017;](#page-12-8) Hillman and Thompson [2016](#page-13-15)). The quenda is omnivorous, feeding on insects, plants, fruits, seeds and fungi (Quin [1985\)](#page-14-10). Quenda are active diggers, creating characteristic cone shaped diggings which collect leaf litter and seeds (Valentine et al. [2013\)](#page-14-11). A single quenda $(1.1-1.7 \text{ kg}$ body mass) can create ~ 45 digs per day, which equates to 3.9 tonnes of soil moved per year by each animal (Valentine et al. [2013\)](#page-14-11). Their diggings markedly alter water infltration, nutrient cycling, seedling recruitment (Valentine et al. [2017\)](#page-14-5) and growth (Valentine et al. [2018](#page-14-12)).

Previous studies have shown that the absence or exclusion of digging mammals infuences fungal communities (e.g. Clarke et al. [2015](#page-12-9); Gehring et al. [2002\)](#page-13-16), and it is therefore important to identify the impacts this may have on plant growth and health. Mycophagous mammals infuence soil properties with their digging activity (Eldridge et al. [2015](#page-12-10); Valentine et al. [2017\)](#page-14-5), in addition to being vectors of fungal spores which in turn is likely to infuence soil fungi assemblages (Tay et al. [2018\)](#page-14-13). Seedlings grown in the spoil heap of quenda diggings exhibited improved growth compared to those grown in soil from within the digging or in undug soil (Valentine et al. [2018\)](#page-14-12) and seedlings inoculated with quenda scats demonstrate a greater diversity of rhizosphere fungi compared with controls (Tay et al. [2018\)](#page-14-13). The existence of woylies and quenda at high densities within large predatorproof wildlife sanctuaries provides the opportunity to examine their impact on communities of rhizosphere fungi and fow-on efects for seedling establishment.

'Dug' soil was collected from within predator-proof sanctuaries where woylies and quenda have been reintroduced and the turnover of soil is considerable. 'Undug' soil was collected from immediately outside these sanctuaries where lower densities of animals still dig, but the overall rate of soil turnover is considerably lower compared to within the sanctuary. We compared the rhizosphere fungi for seedlings grown in soil collected from inside and outside two predator-proof sanctuaries, and identifed whether dug soils had improved seedling growth. We predicted that the communities of rhizosphere fungi in the presence of greater densities of woylies and quenda (within sanctuaries) would be distinctly diferent from those in the absence of such high densities (outside sanctuaries). For example, we predicted a greater abundance of hypogeous ectomycorrhizal species associated with seedlings grown on soil from within sanctuaries. We also predicted that seedlings grown in soil from within sanctuaries would have a larger biomass than seedlings grown in soil from outside due to the increased abundance and diversity of benefcial rhizosphere fungi.

Methods

Study sites

In October 2014, soil was collected from two predator-proof sanctuaries in south Western Australia where populations of woylies and quenda are maintained: Karakamia and Perup. Both sites are located in mesic forest, dominated by the forest canopy species *Corymbia calophylla* (marri), co-dominant with *Eucalyptus marginata* Donn ex Sm. (jarrah), and *Eucalyptus wandoo* Blakely (wandoo). These reserves protect important woylie populations, as well as populations of quenda. Both species make a signifcant contribution to soil turnover.

- 1. Karakamia Sanctuary (Fig. [1a](#page-3-0); − 31.823349°, 116.251425°) is a 275-ha predator-proof enclosure managed by Australian Wildlife Conservancy (AWC). Karakamia Sanctuary was fenced was fenced in 1994, 20 years prior to this study. The current population is estimated to be stable at \sim 249 woylies (95% credibility intervals 211–291), i.e. \sim 1 woylie/ha (M. Smith Regional Ecologist AWC *pers. comm.*). There are no woylies outside the sanctuary. Quenda are abundant inside the sanctuary (estimated population ~ 100–200; 0.3–0.7 quenda/ha, M. Smith Regional Ecologist AWC *pers. comm.*) and at (unknown) lower densities outside the sanctuary.
- 2. Perup Sanctuary (Fig. [1b](#page-3-0); − 34.174328°, 116.569293°) is a 420-ha predator-proof enclosure maintained by the Western Australian Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions (DBCA [2013\)](#page-12-11). The enclosure was established in November 2010 (4 years prior to this study) to protect an existing population of woylies. Upon completion of the fence in 2010, the enclosure was populated with 41 woylies from the sur-

Fig. 1 Map of the two predator-proof sanctuaries in south Western Australia where soil samples were collected. Images on the left show the habitat type at each site. 'Outside' samples were collected from the same habitat type immediately outside each enclosure beyond the frebreak bordering the fence-line

rounding area. Current population estimates suggest \sim 1 woylie/ha inside the sanctuary (M. Virgo Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions, *pers. comm.*). Woylies persist outside the sanctuary but at lower densities compared with inside the fence. Quenda are also present both inside the sanctuary (current estimate~1.42 quenda/ ha, M. Virgo Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions, unpublished data) and outside the sanctuary at lower densities. There are also 10 animal-proof exclosures within the main fence, each 10×10 m, constructed in 2010, which are monitored for vegetation changes.

Soil collection

A total of 30 soil cores were collected at each study site; 15 from within the sanctuary and 15 from the surrounding forest outside the sanctuary fence. In addition, 11 soil cores were collected at the Perup site from within six of the 10 vegetation monitoring exclosures. All cores were collected from within the rhizosphere of mature *C. calophylla* trees, located<1 m from the base of randomly-selected trees.

Dry leaves and sticks were brushed from the surface of the soil, and then soil corers $[100\times270$ mm (diameter \times length) plastic PVC pipe with a sharpened cutting edge] were hammered into the ground to a depth of \sim 200 mm with a rubber mallet. Decomposed organic matter on the soil surface was collected with the soil core. The intact soil core was then transferred to new 160×160 mm (diameter x height) plastic pots. Asepsis was maintained throughout soil collection activities with the corer and digging tools wiped with methylated spirits between samples. Pots were kept covered during transport and eforts were made when handling pots to ensure no cross-contamination occurred.

Seedling biomass

Pots were seeded with *C. calophylla* seeds sourced from the Northern Jarrah Forest bioregion. Three seeds per pot were planted; seedlings were thinned at the cotyledon stage to one per pot. Seedlings were grown in an evaporatively-cooled glasshouse for 4 months from October 2014 until February 2015. Pots were watered automatically for only 30 s, three times a day, in an efort to reduce leaching from the free-draining pots. Pot locations were randomised weekly. In late January, seedlings exhibited symptoms of nutrient defciency and were consequently treated with 50 mL of a general plant fertiliser (Thrive All Purpose liquid fertiliser; Yates, Padstow NSW) 0.25 g/L solution, twice weekly for 4 weeks before harvest.

Root harvesting and seedling measurements

Seedlings were harvested after 4 months and the roots manually extracted and gently washed to remove all soil. The fne roots (i.e. those most likely to contain mycorrhiza and other fungi of interest) were then stripped off by hand and stored at -18 °C until analysis by High Throughput Sequencing (HTS). The harvested seedlings were dried at 51 °C for 72 h before being weighed.

DNA sequencing

For each sample, total DNA was extracted from a 0.05 g representative (mixed) subsample of fresh root material using the PowerPlant® Pro DNA Isolation Kit (MO BIO Laboratories 2014) following the manufacturer's protocols. Extraction controls were undertaken to test the purity of the reagents used and detect any contamination introduced during the extraction process. The ITS2 region of the ribosome encoding genes was amplifed using the fungal-specifc primer fITS7 (GAACGCAGCRAAIIGCGATA; specifc for higher fungi) (Ihrmark et al. [2012](#page-13-17)) and the general primer ITS4 (White et al. [1990\)](#page-14-14) with adapters attached. Amplifcation was performed following the protocols outlined in Ihrmark et al. ([2012\)](#page-13-17) using HotStarTaq (Qiagen, Valencia, CA), 30 amplifcation cycles and an annealing temperature of 57 °C. The ITS4 primer contained a 10 base pair tag specifc to each sample. Two replicate PCRs were performed per sample and these were combined following amplifcation. The amplifed products were visualised on a 1% agarose gel and then mixed in similar concentrations before being cleaned using an Agencourt AMPure® XP PCR purifcation kit (Beckman Coulter Inc., MA, USA). The fnal library was then subjected to 454 sequencing on a Roche GS Junior at the Western Australian State Agricultural Biotechnology Centre, located at Murdoch University. Extraction controls and PCR controls were also tagged separately and sequenced to ensure no contamination was introduced during the amplifcation process; no sequencing reads were obtained from these controls.

Deconvolution (quality control and single-linkage clustering) was carried out in the Sequence Clustering and Analysis of Tagged Amplicons (SCATA) pipeline (scata. mykopat.slu.se). SCATA is a bioinformatics pipeline specially developed for processing fungal ITS data sets derived from 454-sequencing (Lindahl et al. [2013\)](#page-13-18), the workfow of which has been used extensively detailed by Clemmensen et al. [\(2015](#page-12-12)). We used the settings described by Nguyen et al. [\(2017](#page-13-19)). Prior to clustering, all sequences were trimmed to 300 bp length and the primers removed. A clustering similarity of 98.5% was used, corresponding approximately to species level for ITS (Lindahl et al. [2013;](#page-13-18) Nguyen et al. [2017;](#page-13-19) Ottosson et al. [2015](#page-14-15)). Raw molecular data are stored at the Sequence Read Archive (SRA) curated by NCBI under the accession number SRP151221.

The most abundant genotype for each cluster was used to represent each OTU. Representative cluster sequences (operational taxonomic units or OTUs) were identifed by searching against internally curated SCATA databases and by blasting against NCBI's sequence database GenBank (Altschul et al. [1990\)](#page-12-13) through Geneious. Internally-curated SCATA databases included a recent version of UNITE (Clemmensen et al. [2015](#page-12-12); Kõljalg et al. [2013](#page-13-20)) and data collected for other studies of fungi in south Western Australia (Saps-ford [2017](#page-14-6); Tay et al. [2018](#page-14-13)). Putative species-level assignment was made based on best matches over the entire length of the query sequence and 98.5–100% sequence similarity, 94–98% sequence similarity for genus level, 90–93% family level and 80–89% sequence similarity for ordinal level, after Ottosson et al. ([2015\)](#page-14-15) and Nguyen et al. [\(2016](#page-13-21)). Similarity less than 80% was assigned to class level. Potentially similar OTUs were aligned in Geneious to confrm identifcation. The relative abundance of each OTU per sample was determined from the sequence reads as the number of reads for an OTU divided by the total number of reads for the sample (Nguyen et al. [2017\)](#page-13-19).

Where identifcations could be made, OTUs were designated into functional categories based on their putative life history following ecological guild assignment sensu FUNGuild (Nguyen et al. [2016\)](#page-13-21). The life history of the majority of identifed OTUs was determined based on literature searches. For a small number of OTUs, searches on NCBI revealed a close alignment with sequences from a known source (e.g. fruiting body, mycorrhizal root tip) and this information was used as an additional layer to guide putative life history classifcation. Guilds used in this study included ectomyccorhiza (ECM), arbuscular mycorrhiza (AM), ericoid mycorrhiza (ericoid), saprotroph, endophyte, or pathogen. Where guild membership was ambiguous (i.e. ECM or saprotroph), OTUs were assigned membership in both groups.

Data analysis

Seedling above‑ground biomass

We compared the above-ground biomass by two-way factorial ANOVA with sanctuary and location (inside/outside enclosure) as independent factors. Additionally, we carried out a one-way ANOVA for the Perup data only with location (enclosure inside, enclosure outside and exclosure inside) as an independent factor as we had additional samples from exclosures within the sanctuary.

Fungal diversity and species richness

To compare the diversity of fungi present, we calculated the Shannon's Diversity Index (PAST v3) and total number of fungal taxa and compared these data by two-way factorial ANOVA with site (Karakamia and Perup) and location (inside/outside sanctuary) as independent factors. We also carried out one-way ANOVA for the Perup data only as we had additional samples from exclosures within the sanctuary.

Fungal community composition

To compare the fungal community composition in the root samples, we performed nonmetric Multidimensional Scaling (MDS) using Bray–Curtis similarity index (PAST v3) (Hammer and Harper [2013](#page-13-22); Hammer et al. [2001](#page-13-23)) using the relative abundances of each fungal OTU (Nguyen et al. [2017;](#page-13-19) Ottosson et al. [2015\)](#page-14-15). A two-way PERMANOVA was carried out, comparing sanctuary (Karakamia and Perup) and location (inside and outside the enclosure) as independent factors. For Perup, we also carried out a one-way PERMANOVA comparing fungal community composition by location (inside enclosure, outside enclosure, or within the animal exclosures). Two samples (one from each sanctuary) were marked outliers on the Multidimensional scaling plot (MDS) and were therefore excluded from analysis. These analyses were followed by similarity percentage (SIMPER) analyses to determine which OTUs contributed to the observed diferences in fungal communities inside and outside the sanctuaries.

Results

Seedling above‑ground biomass

There was a signifcant efect of location of soil sample on *C. calophylla* seedling growth, with seedlings having signifcantly higher above-ground biomass (dry weight) when grown in soils taken from within the sanctuaries compared with outside $(F_{1,54} = 16.91, p < 0.001;$

Fig. 2 Comparison of seedling shoot weight (g), diversity of fungal communities (represented by Shannon ► Diversity Index) and the total number of OTUs present in fungal root associations for *Corymbia calophylla* seedlings grown in soil taken from inside (I) and outside (O) the enclosures at Perup and Karakamia Sanctuaries (left column), south western Australia. The right column represents the samples from Perup Sanctuary only, where soil samples were collected from three locations: inside the predator-proof sanctuary (I; high density digging), outside the sanctuary (O; low density digging), and from the vegetation exclosure plots (E; no digging). Values are means $\pm 0.95\%$ confidence intervals for n=15 replicates for inside and outside sanctuaries, and $n=11$ for Perup exclosures

Fig. [2a](#page-7-0)). There was no signifcant diference in seedling biomass between sanctuaries $(F_{1,54}=0.19, p=0.657)$ or for the sanctuary x location interaction term $(F_{1,54}=0.23,$ p=0.628). There was a signifcant location (inside enclosure, outside enclosure, or within the animal exclosures) efect for Perup Sanctuary: seedlings grown in soil from inside the Sanctuary had signifcantly greater biomass compared with seedlings grown on soil collected from outside the enclosure or within the animal exclosure plots $(F_{1,37}=14.72)$, p<0.001) (Fig. [2b](#page-7-0)).

Fungal diversity and species richness

There was no difference in rhizosphere fungal diversity between sanctuaries $(F_{1.54}=0.18,$ $p=0.668$), but there was a significant location effect ($F_{1,54}=4.02$, $p=0.045$) with more fungal species identified for the samples grown on soil collected outside the fence (Fig. [2c](#page-7-0)). The sanctuary \times location interaction term was not significant ($F_{1,54}$ = 0.06, p = 0.804). For Perup, there was no significant location effect on the diversity of fungi present ($F_{2,37}$ = 5.56, $p=0.062$) (Fig. [2d](#page-7-0)).

There was no difference between sanctuaries $(F_{1,54}=2.81, p=0.094)$ or location effect $(F_{1,54} < 0.01, p = 0.955)$ on species richness (the number of fungal taxa present) (Fig. [2e](#page-7-0)). The sanctuary × location interaction term was also not significant $(F_{1,54}=0.10, p=0.748)$. For Perup, there was no signifcant location efect on the number of fungal taxa present $(F_{2,37} = 4.83, p = 0.089)$ (Figs. [2](#page-7-0)f).

Fungal community composition

There were marked diferences in rhizosphere fungal community composition between the sanctuaries (two-way PERMANOVA; pseudo- $F_{1,54}$ =4.23, p < 0.001; Fig. [3\)](#page-9-0) and with location $(F_{1,54} = 2.42, p < 0.001)$. The sanctuary x location interaction term was not significant $(F₁₅₄=1.54, p=0.078).$

At Karakamia, the rhizosphere fungal community composition for seedlings grown on soils collected from inside the sanctuary was signifcantly diferent from those grown on soil collected from outside $(F_{1,54}=1.97, p=0.003;$ $(F_{1,54}=1.97, p=0.003;$ $(F_{1,54}=1.97, p=0.003;$ Fig. 4). At Karakamia, a total of 125 fungal OTUs were found exclusively on seedlings grown in soil collected from inside the enclosure and 137 for seedlings grown on soil from outside the enclosure; 36 OTUs were recorded for samples from both inside and outside. Specifcally, there were similar numbers of saprophytes and ectomycorrhizal fungal OTUs found exclusively inside or outside the enclosure, but there were four times the number of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal OTUs found exclusively outside the enclosure. There were also greater numbers of putatively hypogeous ectomycorrhizal fungi inside the enclosure. At Perup, there was no signifcant location effect on fungal community composition $(F_{2,37}=0.92, p=0.550)$ (Figs. 1S, 2S).

Fig. 3 Multidimensional Scaled (MDS) plot showing the diference for fungal communities associated with *Corymbia calophylla* seedlings grown in soil from **a** inside (flled squares) and outside (open squares) Karakamia Sanctuary, south western Australia; and **b** inside (flled triangles), outside (open, inverse triangles) and exclosures within the sanctuary (open triangle) at Perup Sanctuary, south western Australia

Discussion

The impact of changes in populations of digging mammals on soil microbial communities is not well documented, despite the signifcant role microbes play in ecosystem health (Brundrett [2009](#page-12-14); Clarke et al. [2015](#page-12-9)). In our study, *Corymbia calophylla* seedlings grew better (that is, had a greater above-ground biomass) in soil collected from within two predator-proof sanctuaries containing digging mammal populations compared with seedlings grown in soils from outside the sanctuaries. While there were no diferences in the diversity or species richness for rhizosphere fungal communities isolated from these seedlings, the fungal community composition was signifcantly diferent, suggesting that changes in abundance of these mammal populations infuences the overall distributions of fungal communities. Because colonisation by fungi is key for successful growth of many plant species around the world (Lodge [2000](#page-13-24)), it is likely that loss of these important mammals will have long-term impacts on tree health.

As a mycophagous species, woylies and quenda play a critical role in maintaining the diversity of rhizosphere fungi through their foraging activities and fungal spore dispersal. Previous scat analysis of Karakamia Sanctuary woylies indicated that fungi are the most abundant food type present $(>69\%$ of undigested material), followed by plant material $(9-17%)$ and invertebrates $(<5%)$ (Zosky et al. [2010](#page-14-16)). Woylies consume a diversity of fungi, with 32 spore classes identifed from faecal samples (Zosky et al. [2010](#page-14-16)). At Perup, in a study carried out prior to the installation of the predator-proof enclosure, spores of the truffle *Mesophellia trabalis* Trappe, Castellano and Malajczuk were the most prevalent species of ectomycorrhizal fungi present in woylie scats (Lamont et al. [1985](#page-13-2)). Improved root tip colonisation of *Mesophellia* occurred for spores from woylie scats, suggesting that processing through the digestive tract of digging animals may assist the fungal lifecycle (Lamont et al. [1985](#page-13-2)). Similarly, quenda consume a diversity of fungal fruiting bodies and fungal spores from scats of quenda colonise eucalypt seedlings (Tay et al. [2018\)](#page-14-13). In our

Fig. 4 The diference in relative abundance (inside sanctuary and outside sanctuary) for all identifed fungal OTUs from *Corymbia calophylla* seedling roots grown in soils sampled from Karakamia Sanctuary, south western Australia, contributing to the top 70% of a similarity percentage (SIMPER) analysis

study, fungi found both inside and outside the sanctuaries formed fruiting bodies that could be eaten and dispersed by woylies and quenda. There were many more putatively hypogeous fungi exclusively found inside the enclosure at Karakamia. The diference in fungal communities inside and outside the sanctuary and the improved seedling growth in soil collected from within the sanctuaries may be an indirect result of woylie and quenda activity. Increased plant growth associated with digging activities has also been noted by Travers et al. [\(2012](#page-14-17)). Our work suggests that digging mammals such as the woylie and quenda play an important part as dispersers of fungi and are important for plant growth in forest ecosystems.

It is likely that the length of time that the sanctuaries had been in existence infuences the degree of diference in fungal communities on either side of the fence. The diferences in fungal communities were most obvious for Karakamia Sanctuary, which had been in place for 20 years at the time of this study, and has markedly fewer digging mammals outside the sanctuary compared with inside. By contrast, Perup Sanctuary had been fenced only four years before this study and animals were also present outside the fence, albeit at lower densities. Therefore, although both sanctuaries have similar densities of digging

animals presently, they have diferent histories. The ongoing volume of soil moved by these species is substantial. Given the soil turnover by woylies (4.8 tonnes per individual per year, Garkaklis et al. [2004](#page-13-12)) and quenda (3.9 tonnes per individual per year, Valentine et al. [2013](#page-14-11)), we estimated total soil turnover since establishment of the sanctuaries as 25.8 tonnes/ha in Perup (woylies total 4982 tonnes; quenda total 5838 tonnes) and 134.5 tonnes/ha in Karakamia (woylies total 22,550 tonnes; quenda total 14,430 tonnes) (from a starting population of 41 woylies at Perup, DBCA [2013](#page-12-11); and 31 woylies at Karakamia, Pacioni et al. [2013](#page-14-18) and assuming a population increase of 100% following the installation of fences until it reached current population levels). This intense digging activity most likely improves soil aeration, water infltration and mixing of organic matter, which in turn will infuence the soil microbial communities and therefore nutrient turnover. It would be informative to continue this analysis across time by comparing the impacts of digging mammals at these same sanctuaries in the future.

In this study, the changes observed in fungal communities in the presence of woylies and quenda are likely to substantially impact ecosystem health. We found diferent taxa of ectomycorrhizal fungi and saprotrophs in soil collected from within the sanctuaries compared with outside. These diferent taxa perform diferent ecological roles. Importantly, in our study, arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi were more prevalent outside the sanctuaries than inside. The type of mycorrhizal colonisation, whether it be arbuscular or ectomycorrhizal, may be an important bioindicator of ecosystem health (Brundrett and Tedersoo [2018](#page-12-15)). For example, in a study by Ishaq et al. ([2013,](#page-13-8) [2018](#page-13-9)), arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi were more common on seedlings grown in soil taken from the rhizosphere of declining trees, while colonisation by ectomycorrhizal fungi was found to be greater in soil from beneath healthy trees. Thus, digging mammals may be critical to ecosystem health through their infuence on fungal community structure and function.

The continued loss of digging mammals and the ecosystem processes they provide changing soil properties through their digging actions, dispersing fungi, altering microclimates for seedling recruitment and growth—will have fow-on efects for forest health (Fleming et al. [2014\)](#page-13-0). For example, the detection of fungal taxa exclusively inside the sanctuaries suggests that the loss of digging mammals could lead to co-extinction of fungi (Clarke et al. [2015](#page-12-9)). Co-extinction is predicted to be the most common form of species loss (Dunn et al. [2009](#page-12-16); Koh et al. [2004\)](#page-13-25). Indeed, the loss of mammals, and hence the loss the key functional microbes they disperse, could make it difficult for key overstorey and understory plant species to maintain health and resilience, increasing their vulnerability to plant pathogens such as *Phytophthora*. Furthermore, changes in functional microbe communities have implications for ecosystem processes such as nutrient cycling, decomposition rates, soil respiration, and the capacity of ecosystems to maintain soil organic carbon (Beare et al. [1992](#page-12-17); McGuire and Treseder [2010](#page-13-26); Van Der Heijden et al. [2008](#page-14-19)). Thus, although the loss of digging mammals alone may seem like an isolated issue, it has ecosystem-level implications. The loss of digging mammals therefore represents a critical loss in ecosystem functioning.

Conclusions

Our research demonstrates that the communities of rhizosphere fungi associated with a key forest canopy species are infuenced by the presence of digging mammal species. Seedling growth of a key forest species is signifcantly greater in soil taken from sites within sanctuaries where these digging mammals are present. With the widespread loss of digging mammals across the Australian landscape, it is likely these ecosystems have changed drastically. Improving our knowledge of how digging mammals infuence fungal communities and seedling development contributes to a better understanding of ecosystem functioning, revealing how these mammals can play a critical role in maintaining and restoring forests.

Acknowledgements The authors would like to thank Bryony Palmer and Mike Smith from the Australian Wildlife Conservancy (AWC), and Adrian Wayne, Julia Wayne and Mark Virgo from the Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions for facilitating entry into the sanctuaries and for providing unpublished trapping data. We would also like to thank Pat Dundas, Judy Gardner (Scion, New Zealand) and Yvonne Lau for help collecting soil samples in the feld. Thank you to the two anonymous reviewers who provided constructive comments to improve the manuscript. Support for this project was received through a Murdoch University Small Grants Scheme. SD, AH and KR were funded through the State Centre of Excellence for Climate Change, Woodland and Forest Health, which is a partnership between private industry, community groups, universities and the Government of Western Australia.

References

- Abbott I (2008) Historical perspectives of the ecology of some conspicuous vertebrate species in south-west Western Australia. Conserv Sci West Aust 6:1–214
- Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ (1990) Basic local alignment search tool. J Mol Biol 215:403–410
- Beare MH, Parmelee RW, Hendrix PF, Cheng W, Coleman DC, Crossley DA (1992) Microbial and faunal interactions and efects on litter nitrogen and decomposition in agroecosystems. Ecol Monogr 62:569–591
- Brundrett MC (2008) Mycorrhizal associations: The Web Resource
- Brundrett MC (2009) Mycorrhizal associations and other means of nutrition of vascular plants: understanding the global diversity of host plants by resolving conficting information and developing reliable means of diagnosis. Plant Soil 320:37–77
- Brundrett MC, Tedersoo L (2018) Evolutionary history of mycorrhizal symbioses and global host plant diversity. New Phytol. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.14976>
- Bryant GL, Kobryn HT, Hardy GES, Fleming PA (2017) Habitat islands in a sea of urbanisation. Urban For Urban Green 28:131–137
- Burbidge AA, McKenzie NL (1989) Patterns in the modern decline of Western Australia's vertebrate fauna: causes and conservation implications. Biol Conserv 50:143–198
- Christensen PES (1980) The biology of *Bettongia penicillata* Gray, 1837, and *Macropus eugenii* (Desmarest, 1817) in relation to fre. Forests Department of Western Australia. Bulletin 91
- Claridge AW, May TW (1994) Mycophagy among Australian mammals. Aust J Ecol 19:251–275
- Clarke LJ, Weyrich LS, Cooper A (2015) Reintroduction of locally extinct vertebrates impacts arid soil fungal communities. Mol Ecol 24:3194–3205
- Clemmensen KE, Finlay RD, Dahlberg A, Stenlid J, Wardle DA, Lindahl BD (2015) Carbon sequestration is related to mycorrhizal fungal community shifts during long-term succession in boreal forests. New Phytol 205:1525–1536
- Courty P-E, Buée M, Diedhiou AG, Frey-Klett P, Le Tacon F, Rineau F, Turpault M-P, Uroz S, Garbaye J (2010) The role of ectomycorrhizal communities in forest ecosystem processes: new perspectives and emerging concepts. Soil Biol Biochem 42:679–698
- DBCA (2013) Perup Sanctuary. (ed C. a. A. Western Australian Department of Biodiversity)
- Dunn RR, Harris NC, Colwell RK, Koh LP, Sodhi NS (2009) The sixth mass coextinction: are most endangered species parasites and mutualists? Proc R Soc B 276:3037–3045
- Eldridge DJ, James AI (2009) Soil-disturbance by native animals plays a critical role in maintaining healthy Australian landscapes. Ecol Manage Restor 10:S27–S34
- Eldridge DJ, Woodhouse JN, Curlevski NJA, Hayward M, Brown MV, Neilan BA (2015) Soil-foraging animals alter the composition and co-occurrence of microbial communities in a desert shrubland. ISME J 9:2671–2681
- Finlay RD (2008) Ecological aspects of mycorrhizal symbiosis: with special emphasis on the functional diversity of interactions involving the extraradical mycelium. J Exp Bot 59:1115–1126
- Finlayson HH (1958) On Central Australian mammals (with notice of related species from adjacent tracts). Part III. The Potoroinae. Rec South Aust Mus 13:235–302
- Fleming PA, Anderson H, Prendergast AS, Bretz MR, Valentine LE, Hardy GESJ (2014) Is the loss of Australian digging mammals contributing to a deterioration in ecosystem function? Mamm Rev 44:94–108

Fogel R, Trappe JM (1978) Fungus consumption (Mycophagy) by small animals. Northwest Sci 52:1–31

- Garkaklis MJ, Bradley JS, Wooller RD (2000) Digging by vertebrates as an activity promoting the development of water-repellent patches in sub-surface soil. J Arid Environ 45:35–42
- Garkaklis MJ, Bradley JS, Wooller RD (2003) The relationship between animal foraging and nutrient patchiness in south-west Australian woodland soils. Soil Res 41:665–673
- Garkaklis MJ, Bradley JS, Wooller RD (2004) Digging and soil turnover by a mycophagous marsupial. J Arid Environ 56:569–578
- Gehring CA, Wolf JE, Theimer TC (2002) Terrestrial vertebrates promote arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal diversity and inoculum potential in a rain forest soil. Ecol Lett 5:540–548
- Hammer Ø, Harper DAT (2013) PAST: version 2.17c<http://folk.uio.no/ohammer/past>
- Hammer Ø, Harper DAT, Ryan PD (2001) PAST: paleontological statistics software package for education and data analysis. Palaeontol Electron 41:1–9
- Hillman A, Thompson RCA (2016) Interactions between humans and urban-adapted marsupials on private properties in the greater Perth region. Aust Mammal 38:253–255
- Ihrmark K, Bödeker ITM, Cruz-Martinez K, Friberg H, Kubartova A, Schenck J, Strid Y, Stenlid J, Brandström-Durling M, Clemmensen KE (2012) New primers to amplify the fungal ITS2 region–evaluation by 454-sequencing of artifcial and natural communities. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 82:666–677
- Ishaq L, Barber PA, Hardy GESJ, Calver M, Dell B (2013) Seedling mycorrhizal type and soil chemistry are related to canopy condition of *Eucalyptus gomphocephala*. Mycorrhiza 23:359–371
- Ishaq L, Barber PA, Hardy GESJ, Dell B (2018) Diversity of fungi associated with roots of *Eucalyptus gomphocephala* seedlings grown in soil from healthy and declining sites. Australas Plant Pathol 47:155–162
- Johnson CN (1995) Interactions between fre, mycophagous mammals, and dispersal of ectomycorrhizal fungi in *Eucalyptus* forests. Oecologia 104:467–475
- Johnson CN (1996) Interactions between mammals and ectomycorrhizal fungi. Trends Ecol Evol 11:503–507
- Koh LP, Dunn RR, Sodhi NS, Colwell RK, Proctor HC, Smith VS (2004) Species Coextinctions and the Biodiversity Crisis. Science 305:1632–1634
- Kõljalg U, Nilsson RH, Abarenkov K, Tedersoo L, Taylor AFS, Bahram M, Bates ST, Bruns TD, Bengtsson-Palme J, Callaghan TM, Douglas B, Drenkhan T, Eberhardt U, Dueñas M, Grebenc T, Grifth GW, Hartmann M, Kirk PM, Kohout P, Larsson E, Lindahl BD, Lücking R, Martín MP, Matheny PB, Nguyen NH, Niskanen T, Oja J, Peay KG, Peintner U, Peterson M, Põldmaa K, Saag L, Saar I, Schüßler A, Scott JA, Senés C, Smith ME, Suija A, Taylor DL, Telleria MT, Weiss M, Larsson K-H (2013) Towards a unifed paradigm for sequence-based identifcation of fungi. Mol Ecol 22:5271–5277
- Lamont BB, Ralph CS, Christensen PES (1985) Mycophagous marsupials as dispersal agents for ectomycorrhizal fungi on *Eucalyptus calophylla* and *Gastrolobium bilobum*. New Phytol 101:651–656
- Lindahl BD, Nilsson RH, Tedersoo L, Abarenkov K, Carlsen T, Kjøller R, Kõljalg U, Pennanen T, Rosendahl S, Stenlid J, Kauserud H (2013) Fungal community analysis by high-throughput sequencing of amplifed markers—a user's guide. New Phytol 199:288–299

Lodge DJ (2000) Ecto- or arbuscular mycorrhizas—which are best? New Phytol 146:353–354

- Martin G (2003) The role of small ground-foraging mammals in topsoil health and biodiversity: implications to management and restoration. Ecol Manage Restor 4:114–119
- McGuire KL, Treseder KK (2010) Microbial communities and their relevance for ecosystem models: decomposition as a case study. Soil Biol Biochem 42:529–535
- McIlwee AP, Johnson C (1998) The contribution of fungus to the diets of three mycophagous marsupials in eucalyptus forests, revealed by stable isotope analysis. Funct Ecol 12:223–231
- Moore TL, Craig MD, Valentine LE, Hardy GESJ, Fleming PA (2014) Signs of wildlife activity and *Eucalyptus wandoo* condition. Aust Mammal 36:146–153
- Nguyen VP, Needham AD, Friend JA (2005) A quantitative dietary study of the 'critically endangered' Gilbert's potoroo *Potorous gilbertii*. Aust Mammal 27:1–6
- Nguyen NH, Song ZW, Bates ST, Branco S, Tedersoo L, Menke J, Schilling JS, Kennedy PG (2016) FUNGuild: an open annotation tool for parsing fungal community datasets by ecological guild. Fungal Ecol 20:241–248
- Nguyen D, Boberg J, Cleary M, Bruelheide H, Hönig L, Koricheva J, Stenlid J (2017) Foliar fungi of *Betula pendula*: impact of tree species mixtures and assessment methods. Sci Rep 7:41801
- Nuske SJ, Vernes K, May TW, Claridge AW, Congdon BC, Krockenberger A, Abell SE (2017) Redundancy among mammalian fungal dispersers and the importance of declining specialists. Fungal Ecol 27:1–13
- Ottosson E, Kubartová A, Edman M, Jönsson M, Lindhe A, Stenlid J, Dahlberg A (2015) Diverse ecological roles within fungal communities in decomposing logs of Picea abies. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 91:fv012
- Pacioni C, Wayne AF, Spencer PBS (2013) Genetic outcomes from the translocations of the critically endangered woylie. Curr Zool 59:294–310
- Quin DG (1985) Observations on the diet of the southern brown bandicoot, *Isoodon obesulus* (Marsupialia: peramelidae), in southern Tasmania. Aust Mammal 11:15–25
- Sapsford SJ (2017) Factors predisposing *Corymbia calophylla* to canker disease caused by *Quambalaria coyrecup*. PhD Thesis. Murdoch University, Perth
- Short J, Smith A (1994) Mammal decline and recovery in Australia. J Mammal 75:288–297
- Shortridge GC (1909) An account of the geographical distribution of the marsupials and monotremes of south-west Australia, having special reference to the specimens collected during the Balston Expedition of 1904–1907. Proc Zool Soc Lond 79:803–848
- Start AN, Burbidge AA, Armstrong D (1998) A review of the conservation status of the woylie, *Bettongia penicillata ogilbyi* (Marsupialia: Potoroidae) using IUCN criteria. CALMScience 2:277–289
- Tay N, Hopkins AJM, Ruthrof KX, Burgess T, Hardy GESJ, Fleming PA (2018) The tripartite relationship between a bioturbator, mycorrhizal fungi, and a key Mediterranean-type forest tree. Austral Ecol. [https](https://doi.org/10.1111/aec.12598) [://doi.org/10.1111/aec.12598](https://doi.org/10.1111/aec.12598)
- Tommerup IC, Bougher NL (2000) The role of ectomycorrhizal fungi in nutrient cycling in temperate Australian woodlands. Surrey Beatty & Sons Pty., Ltd., Chipping Norton
- Travers SK, Eldridge DJ, Koen TB, Soliveres S (2012) Animal foraging pit soil enhances the performance of a native grass under stressful conditions. Plant Soil 352:341–351
- Valentine LE, Anderson H, Hardy GESJ, Fleming PA (2013) Foraging activity by the southern brown bandicoot (*Isoodon obesulus*) as a mechanism for soil turnover. Aust J Zool 60:419–423
- Valentine LE, Bretz M, Ruthrof KX, Fisher R, Hardy GESJ, Fleming PA (2017) Scratching beneath the surface: bandicoot bioturbation contributes to ecosystem processes. Austral Ecol 42:265–276
- Valentine LE, Ruthrof KX, Fisher R, Hardy GESJ, Hobbs RJ, Fleming PA (2018) Bioturbation by bandicoots facilitates seedling growth by altering soil properties. Funct Ecol. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.13179) [org/10.1111/1365-2435.13179](https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.13179)
- Van Der Heijden MGA, Bardgett RD, Van Straalen NM (2008) The unseen majority: soil microbes as drivers of plant diversity and productivity in terrestrial ecosystems. Ecol Lett 11:296–310
- Vernes K, Castellano M, Johnson CN (2001) Efects of season and fre on the diversity of hypogeous fungi consumed by a tropical mycophagous marsupial. J Anim Ecol 70:945–954
- Wayne A, Maxwell MA, Ward CG, Vellios CV, Wilson I, Wayne JC, Williams MR (2015) Sudden and rapid decline of the abundant marsupial *Bettongia penicillata* in Australia. Onyx 49(1):175–185
- White TJ, Bruns T, Lee S, Taylor J (1990) Amplifcation and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. Academic Press, San Diego
- Woinarski JCZ, Burbidge AA, Harrison PL (2014) The action plan for Australian mammals 2012. CSIRO Publishing, Collingwood
- Zosky K, Bryant K, Calver M, Wayne A (2010) Do preservation methods afect the identifcation of dietary components from faecal samples? A case study using a mycophagous marsupial. Aust Mammal 32:173–176

Afliations

Shannon J. Dundas^{1,2} • Anna J. M. Hopkins^{1,3} • Katinka X. Ruthrof^{1,[4](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2038-2264)} • **Natasha E. Tay1 [·](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8234-7646) Treena I. Burgess1 [·](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7962-219X) Giles E. St. J. Hardy1 · Patricia A. Fleming[1](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0626-3851)**

 \boxtimes Shannon J. Dundas S.Dundas@murdoch.edu.au

- ¹ School of Veterinary and Life Sciences, Murdoch University, Murdoch, WA 6152, Australia
- ² NSW Department of Primary Industries, 1447 Forest Rd, Orange, NSW 2800, Australia
- ³ Centre for Ecosystem Management, School of Science, Edith Cowan University, 270 Joondalup Drive, Joondalup, WA 6027, Australia

 Kings Park Science, Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions, 1 Kattidj Close, Kings Park, WA 6005, Australia