ORIGINAL PAPER

Modeling endangered mammal species distributions and forest connectivity across the humid Upper Guinea lowland rainforest of West Africa

Benedictus Freeman1 [·](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0895-4952) Patrick R. Roehrdanz2 · A. Townsend Peterson1

Received: 26 June 2018 / Revised: 25 October 2018 / Accepted: 11 December 2018 / Published online: 17 December 2018 © Springer Nature B.V. 2018

Abstract

Species distribution data provide critical baseline information for conservation planning and decision making. However, in many of the Earth's most biodiverse regions, such data are lacking for many species. Here, we used ecological niche modeling and connectivity analyses to model distributions of endangered species and protected area connectivity across the Upper Guinea Forest (UGF) Global Conservation Hotspot of West Africa. We estimated the current distributions of African forest elephant *Loxodonta cyclotis* (Vulnerable), western chimpanzee *Pan troglodytes verus* (Critically Endangered), and pygmy hippopotamus *Choeropsis liberiensis* (Endangered) across the region and optimized connectivity in two main forest complexes in the region. We used occurrence data for the period 2010–2016 for the three species from two well-sampled national parks in Liberia (Sapo National Park and Gola National Park), and remotely sensed MODIS enhanced vegetation index data for the period 2010–2015. Our models predicted a total of $75,157 \text{ km}^2$ of suitable habitat for chimpanzees in the region, 79,400 km^2 for elephants, and 290,696 km^2 for hippos. Of these areas, for chimpanzees, 30% of the area predicted falls within the boundaries of proposed or designated protected areas, and likewise 30% for elephants, and 19% for hippos. Liberia had the largest blocks of contiguous forest suitable for these species compared to other countries in the region but this forest was largely unprotected. This study identifes priority areas for biodiversity conservation and forest connectivity in the region, and reemphasizes the practicality of these tools to optimize conservation planning and implementation.

Keywords Conservation · African forest elephant · Western chimpanzee · Pygmy hippopotamus · Protected area connectivity · Ecological niche modeling

 \boxtimes Benedictus Freeman benedictusfreeman@gmail.com

Communicated by Samuel Cushman.

This article belongs to the Topical Collection: Forest and plantation biodiversity.

Extended author information available on the last page of the article

Introduction

Biodiversity conservation is a global priority, yet garnering resources to meet global conservation priorities is challenging, in largest part owing to escalating threats to biodiversity, particularly in biodiversity-rich regions of the world (Squires [2014\)](#page-13-0). These threats, including forest fragmentation, habitat degradation and destruction, overhunting, disease associated with human activities, and a myriad of negative impacts of climate change, are pushing endangered species to the brink of extinction (Thomas et al. [2004;](#page-13-1) Pimm et al. [2014\)](#page-13-2).

To optimize biodiversity conservation and reduce threats in the wake of limited resources, conservation biologists have employed conservation triage (i.e., prioritize investments for maximum returns, given limited resources (Wilson et al. [2007;](#page-14-0) Bottrill et al. [2008,](#page-12-0) [2009\)](#page-12-1), ecosystem/landscape level conservation, in situ species-level conservation, and ex situ captive breeding programs (Primack [2010\)](#page-13-3). The debate over whether specieslevel strategies versus ecosystem/landscape-level approaches may lead to greater success continues unabated (Lindenmayer et al. [2007](#page-13-4); Franklin [1993](#page-13-5)). Whereas Franklin [\(1993](#page-13-5)) argued that ecosystem/landscape level conservation is cost-efficient and holistic in species coverage (e.g., including microbes), Lindenmayer et al. ([2007\)](#page-13-4) argued that species-level strategies provide useful baseline information for policy and management, particularly for threatened species, keystone species, and invasive species, that have specifc impacts on the environment. Carignan and Villard [\(2002](#page-12-2)), however, cautioned that, whatever the case may be, conservationists should select indicators carefully, to optimize biodiversity conservation.

Several habitat connectivity modeling approaches have been used in biodiversity sci-ence to optimize species and ecosystem conservation in a combined effort (Ball et al. [2009](#page-12-3); McRae et al. [2008](#page-13-6); Brás et al. [2013;](#page-12-4) Lehtomäki and Moilanen [2013;](#page-13-7) Cushman et al. [2018;](#page-12-5) Hearn et al. [2018](#page-13-8); Khosravi et al. [2018;](#page-13-9) Zacarias and Loyola [2018](#page-14-1)). These approaches are generally based on least-cost analysis of resistance surfaces (e.g., habitat suitability, topography) to assess connectivity between distributional areas, but vary in input data requirements, scale of analysis, and conservation goals. Here, we explore the use of correlative ecological niche modeling to build resistance surfaces (niche models) for habitat connectivity analysis to identify spatial patterns of connectivity in the humid rainforest of West Africa. Correlative ecological niche modeling (ENM) uses known occurrences of a species and associated environmental data (e.g., temperature, precipitation) to identify areas of similar suitable environments for the species (Peterson et al. [2011](#page-13-10)). Ecological niche models are usually developed using machine-learning algorithms to characterize current and potential distributions of species in geographic and environmental spaces, and has been adopted widely in the scientifc community, with notable applications in conservation, climate change, biogeography, and zoonotic disease research (Jennings and Veron [2015;](#page-13-11) Peterson et al. [2017](#page-13-12); DeMatteo et al. [2017\)](#page-12-6). A landscape connectivity approach, implemented in the Circuitscape program, which uses electronic circuit theory to predict patterns of animal movement between fragmented or heterogonous landscapes (e.g., connecting protected areas; McRae et al. [2008,](#page-13-6) [2016](#page-13-13)). Circuitscape uses resistance surfaces between fragmented range areas to map corridors with greater connectivity. Like ENM applications, several studies have applied Circuitscape to diverse challenges in large mammal conservation (Beier et al. [2011;](#page-12-7) Roever et al. [2013;](#page-13-14) Dickson et al. [2013](#page-12-8)).

Over the last 200 years, West Africa has been identifed to rank among the world's most heavily deforested regions, leaving its unique biota severely threatened (Allport [1991;](#page-12-9) Darwall et al. [2015](#page-12-10)). The remaining portions of the region's well-known humid Upper Guinea lowland rainforest are highly fragmented; the exception is Liberia, currently possessing \sim 42% of its original forest in a relatively well-preserved, extensive, and continuous state (Liu et al. [2016](#page-13-15)). However, an increasing wave of investments from the agricultural and mining sectors raises concerns about threats to the remaining biodiversity (Primack [2010\)](#page-13-3). Regional eforts have begun to address these threats, but little is known about the ecology and distributions of most West African species. If better documented and analyzed, distributional data could be leveraged to inform conservation programs and at least partially mitigate development-associated habitat fragmentation.

In this study, we integrated these two techniques to address questions about endangered species distributions, and protected area connectivity across the Upper Guinea Forest (UGF) of West Africa. Specifcally, we aimed to (1) use correlative ENM to predict the current distributions of African forest elephant (*Loxodonta cyclotis;* Vulnerable), western chimpanzee (*Pan troglodytes verus*; Critically Endangered), and pygmy hippopotamus (*Choeropsis liberiensis*; Endangered) (hereafter referred to as chimpanzee, elephant, and hippo) across the humid lowland UGF of West Africa. Then, (2) we use the resulting models as surrogates to explore landscape connectivity and identify ideal movement corridors to connect protected areas across Liberia and neighboring countries (Guinea, Côte d'Ivoire, and Sierra Leone) using Circuitscape. We identifed 14 suitable corridors to connect protected areas within two main forest complexes (Gola-Ziama and Sapo-Tai); these corridors likely represent practical candidate regions for applied conservation action.

Materials and methods

This study was conducted in the UGF, one of the two major humid tropical lowland rainforests blocks of western and central Africa (Fig. [1](#page-3-0)). We ftted ENM models in the portion of UGF from western Ghana to western Guinea to characterize suitable habitats for our target species, while forest connectivity analysis covered the portion of UGF within the Manor River Basin (Guinea, Sierra Leone, Liberia, and Côte d'Ivoire; Fig. [1\)](#page-3-0). We used three severely threatened, but well-sampled/documented species (chimpanzee, elephant, hippo) to answer our questions. These species were selected because they are known to be fagship/umbrella species with large home ranges, have high ecological importance, wellsampled, and are of high global conservation priority.

Input data

Ecological niche modeling requires two types of input datasets: environmental data and georeferenced species occurrence data. Environmental data typically include layers summarizing aspects of temperature, precipitation, and/or vegetation, all of which may infuence the survival of a species. Species occurrence data consist of unique, georeferenced locations where a species has been recorded (Peterson et al. [2011\)](#page-13-10). Occurrence data were split into calibration and evaluation subsets (Peterson et al. [2011](#page-13-10)). The geographic space was divided into a calibration area (M), the area that has been accessible to the species over relevant time periods (we further reduced this area to the area from which we had available detailed sampling, Sapo National Park or Sapo NP), and a projection area (see below), a larger area of interest to which models are transferred in space or time (Peterson et al. [2011\)](#page-13-10).

Fig. 1 The extent of Upper Guinea lowland rainforest in West Africa, proposed and protected areas across the region, including, Sapo National Park and Gola National Park in Liberia where our ecological niche models were calibrated and tested respectively

We used the NASA Moderate-resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) enhanced vegetation index (EVI) dataset at 250 m spatial and 16-day temporal resolutions to characterize the environmental landscape for the species ([www.modis.gsfc.](http://www.modis.gsfc.nasa.gov) [nasa.gov\)](http://www.modis.gsfc.nasa.gov). MODIS vegetation indices have high spatial resolution and provide a realistic characterization of place and time specifc environmental conditions (Bodbyl-Roels et al. [2011](#page-12-11); Feilhauer et al. [2012;](#page-12-12) Peterson [2014](#page-13-16)). We downloaded 16-day composite MODIS Terra Satellite EVI data for the period 2010–2015- for West Africa. We chose EVI over Normalized Diference Vegetation Index (NDVI) because of EVI's improved sensitivity over dense vegetation conditions, which is the case in our study region. Further processing of these data is described below.

We used primary occurrence data collected over the period 2010–2016, as part of ongoing systematic biomonitoring projects and other surveys across Sapo NP in southeastern Liberia and Gola National Park (Gola NP) in northwestern Liberia (e.g., Tweh et al. [2015](#page-13-17); Fig. [1\)](#page-3-0). All models were calibrated in Sapo NP and tested in Gola NP (Fig. [1](#page-3-0)). Sapo NP was chosen as our calibration area (M) because it is well-sampled and contains high-quality primary occurrence data for each of our species of interest; Gola NP was well-sampled and independent of Sapo NP. All data were collected as part of systematic surveys that used GPS for establishing geographic coordinates. Occurrence data for both Sapo NP and Gola NP were imported into ArcGIS and converted to point shapefles. We used SDM Toolbox to subsample high-density cells and remove duplications in occurrence data (Brown [2014](#page-12-13)). At the end of the cleaning exercise, we calibrated our models with a total of 40 occurrence points for hippo, 120 for chimpanzee, and 59 for elephant.

Data processing and analysis

The original set of MODIS EVI data layers was mosaicked and cleaned in ArcGIS version 10.3. Cloudy images or artifacts were removed and excluded from further analysis, leaving images for 105 time periods that were included in model ftting. We applied principal components analysis (PCA) to the remaining 105 images to reduce dimensionality and correlation among data layers. PCA extracts the major axes of variation in a dataset and compresses them into fewer orthogonal variables or principal components, with the frst PC explaining the most variation in the dataset (Abdi and Williams [2010\)](#page-12-14). In our case, the frst 46 PCs accounted for 95% of the variation in the data. These 46 PCs were converted to ASCII fle format for ENM. We used the extract by mask (batch) tool in ArcGIS to extract Sapo NP (the model calibration area) from the 46 PCs which were used in calibrating models.

Ecological niche modeling

To estimate the current distribution of our focal species, we used Maxent version 3.3.3 k, a machine-learning software platform widely used to model ecological niches of species (Phillips et al. [2006;](#page-13-18) Phillips and Dudík [2008](#page-13-19)). Before ftting our models, we further reduced the 46 PCs for each species using the delete-one jackknife approach in Maxent with each species' occurrence data and the 46 PCs (Wold et al. [1987;](#page-14-2) Abdi and Williams [2010;](#page-12-14) Shcheglovitova and Anderson [2013\)](#page-13-20). To do this step, we set the random test percentage to 50%, output format to logistic, and left all other features at default. Once the variable set was reduced, we desired to assess many calibration conditions to be able to choose optimal models. As a result, we used six combinations of feature classes (Linear, Quadratic, Product, Threshold and Hinge) and fve regularization multiplier values (0.1, 0.5, 1, 2, and 5) in Maxent (Phillips and Dudík [2008](#page-13-19); Shcheglovitova and Anderson [2013](#page-13-20); Muscarella et al. [2014](#page-13-21)). We further explored two environmental data sets for each species: one set using the jackknife-selected PCs, and another using the first nine PCs (1–9) that accounted for 85% of the variation in our dataset from the 46 PCs. We used these nine PCs separately because we observed that most of the PCs selected by the jackknife approach were not within the frst ten PCs for all the species. We also used transects surveyed in Sapo NP as bias surfaces for each model to reflect survey effort (Fourcade et al. [2014](#page-13-22)), which constituted another variable in the model selection process.

To choose optimal models, we assessed model signifcance, performance, and complexity, in candidate models for each species. We used ENMtools (Warren et al. [2010\)](#page-14-3) to generate scores for sample-corrected Akaike Information Criterion (AICc) for each model to assess complexity. We used Niche Toolbox for partial ROC signifcance test ([http://shiny](http://shiny.conabio.gob.mx:3838/nichetoolb2/) [.conabio.gob.mx:3838/nichetoolb2/;](http://shiny.conabio.gob.mx:3838/nichetoolb2/) Peterson et al. [2008\)](#page-13-23) and we calculated an omission rate for each species (based on a 5% training presence threshold). Also, we calculated the proportion of areas predicted by each model and used this quantity in a subsequent binomial signifcance test. Best models for each species were selected based on (1) partial ROC and binomial signifcance tests, (2) minimum omission rates, and (3) model complexity (Table [1](#page-5-0)), in that order. Best models were projected across the region to predict suitable habitats for each species, both with and without clamping and extrapolation. We then took the median of the medians of the fnal models for each species and applied a 5% minimum training presence threshold to produce a binary distribution map. Finally, we used Mobility

L Linear, *Q* quadratic, *H* hinge, *P* product, *T* threshold

^aNumber of occurrence records for each species used in the analysis

^aNumber of occurrence records for each species used in the analysis

Table 1 Best ftted models for each species (rows), including their parameterizations, measures of model complexity (AICc), signifcance test (partial ROC and Binomial test),

To identify suitable corridors for connectivity between suitable protected areas for our species of concern identifed in our ENMs, we used 15 proposed and designated protected areas in the two major forest complexes in Liberia, Guinea, Sierra Leone, and Côte d'Ivoire (Fig. [3\)](#page-8-0). These forest complexes together comprise>60% of remaining forest in the UGF region. We used Circuitscape version 3.5 and its auxiliary software, Linkage Mapper (which uses least-cost corridor analysis to identify and map linkages between core areas) and Pinchpoint Mapper (which uses Circuitscape to identify pinch-points or bottlenecks in corridors produced by Linkage Mapper; McRae et al. [2016](#page-13-13), [2008\)](#page-13-6) to model connectivity between proposed and protected areas for each species within these forest complexes. Circuitscape uses electronic circuit theory concept to predict patterns of animal movement between fragmented or heterogeneous landscapes (McRae et al. [2008\)](#page-13-6). To do this, it requires a resistance surface layer(s) and layers of the areas to be connected or core areas. We rescaled the continuous versions of our individual best models for each species from 250 m spatial resolution to 1 km resolution to reduce processing time, summed them using raster calculator tool in ArcGIS, and took the additive inverse (i.e., subtracting from unity) of each sum to recreate resistance surfaces. Our resistance values range between 1 and 100, representing low to high resistance. We used these resistance layers together with shapefles of proposed and designated protected areas in the two forest complexes to build connectivity models (Fig. [3](#page-8-0)). To build networks and linkages between our core areas, we used pairwise analysis between core areas in each forest complex separately. We set the cut-of distance (cost-weighted corridor width) at 20 km.

Results

We calibrated a total of 120 models (5 values of regularization multiplier \times 6 combinations feature classes x 2 sets of environmental variables x bias/no bias surface) for each species. Of the 120 models ftted for chimpanzees, three were selected as best. These models were significantly different from random and had relatively low omission rates $\langle 28\%$ (Table [1](#page-5-0)).

For elephants, three models were selected; however, none of the three models met our criteria for model selection. They had reasonable omission rates, but were not statistically signifcant, and were the most complex models of all species (Table [1](#page-5-0)). Eight models were selected for hippo, all of which were signifcantly diferent from random, less complex, and had low omission rates. All the best models for chimpanzees and elephants were models derived from the frst PC variables, whereas the best models for hippos were those from jackknife-selected variables.

Our models predicted a total of $75,157 \text{ km}^2$ of suitable habitats for chimpanzees in the region, 79,400 km^2 for elephants, and 290,696 km^2 for hippos (Fig. [2\)](#page-7-0). Of these areas, for chimpanzees, 30% of the area predicted falls within the boundaries of proposed or designated protected areas, and likewise 30% for elephants, and 19% for hippos (Fig. [4](#page-9-0)). For both chimpanzees and elephants, Liberia held the largest area of suitable habitats (51 and 53% of total areas, respectively), followed by Côte d'Ivoire (33% for chimpanzees and 32% for elephants), and Ghana (12 and 11%; Fig. [4](#page-9-0)). Thirty-nine percent of suitable habitat for both species predicted in Liberia was within proposed or designated protected areas, whereas in Côte d'Ivoire, 48% was within the boundaries of protected areas; in Ghana, 14% fell within the boundaries of protected areas. Guinea and Sierra Leone had the least area of

Fig. 2 Distribution maps within the Upper Guinea lowland rainforest for western chimpanzee, African forest elephant, and pygmy hippopotamus as predicted by ecological niche modeling

suitable habitats for both species, with Guinea hosting 1.3% for chimpanzees and 1.6% for elephants, and Sierra Leone hosting 2.7% for chimpanzees and 2.3% for elephants (Fig. [4](#page-9-0)). Côte d'Ivoire held the largest predicted area for hippos (35%), followed by Liberia 25%, and Ghana (19%; Fig. [4](#page-9-0)). Connectivity analysis showed that Liberia has the largest blocks of suitable contiguous forest compared to Côte d'Ivoire, Guinea, and Sierra Leone, which had much more fragmented forest landscapes (Fig. [3](#page-8-0)). The forest blocks are concentrated in

Fig. 3 Suitable corridors for connectivity of proposed and protected areas predicted within the Sapo-Tai and Gola-Ziama forest complexes in West Africa based on least cost path. 1. Tai National Park 2. Krahn-Grebo National Park 3. Sapo National Park 4. Grand Kru Proposed Protected Area (PPA) 5. Senkwen PPA 6. Gbi PPA 7. Zwedru PPA 8. Gouin Park 9. Scio Park 10. Kpo PPA 11. Gola National Park 12. Foya PPA 13. Wologizi PPA 14. Ziama Forest Park 15. Mt. Yonon

southeastern Liberia, with Sapo NP connecting to Tai National Park in southwestern Côte d'Ivoire to the northwest, and in northwestern Liberia, with Gola NP connecting to Gola Rainforest National Park in Sierra Leone to the west, and Ziama Forest in Guinea to the north. Our connectivity analysis in the two forest complexes identifed a total of 14 suitable corridors to connect proposed and designated protected areas in the two forest complexes, many of which overlapped among the three species (Fig. [3,](#page-8-0) Table [2\)](#page-10-0).

Fig. 4 Estimated protected and unprotected suitable areas for each species in Upper Guinea Forest across each country. Note the diferent vertical scale used for the hippo models, which suggests and refects the overly general nature of the models for this species

Discussion

Model predictions

ENM provides a framework for estimating geographic distributions of species; however, ENMs are cast on geographic extents, and as such do not and cannot take into account fner-scale phenomena such as land use and land cover distributions. In ENM, the best models are those that meet set criteria of statistical signifcance, model complexity, and performance. However, in this study, we found no agreement between AICc and the other two criteria. Even though the AICc metric has been widely adopted in the feld of ENM as a criterion (Shcheglovitova and Anderson [2013;](#page-13-20) Warren et al. [2013;](#page-14-4) Muscarella et al. [2014\)](#page-13-21), we caution that it should be used with care and along with other criteria as used in

Forest complex	Corridor		Distance (km)
Gola-Ziama	Kpo Proposed Protected Area	Gola NP	39
Gola-Ziama	Foya Proposed Protected Area	Kpo Proposed Protected Area	21
Gola-Ziama	Kpo Proposed Protected Area	Wologizi Proposed Protected Area	44
Gola-Ziama	Foya Proposed Protected Area	Gola NP	13
Gola-Ziama	Foya Proposed Protected Area	Wologizi Proposed Protected Area	6
Gola-Ziama	Ziama Forest	Wologizi Proposed Protected Area	14
Sapo-Tai	Senkwen Proposed Protected Area	Gbi Proposed Protected Area	73
Sapo-Tai	Senkwen Proposed Protected Area	Sapo NP	37
Sapo-Tai	Gbi Proposed Protected Area	Sapo NP	28
Sapo-Tai	Gbi Proposed Protected Area	Zwedru Proposed Protected Area	13
Sapo-Tai	Zwedru Proposed Protected Area	Gouin Park	17
Sapo-Tai	Grand Kru Proposed Protected Area	Sapo NP	8
Sapo-Tai	Krahn-Grebo National Park	Sapo NP	60
Sapo-Tai	Krahn-Grebo National Park	Tai National Park	4

Table 2 Fourteen suitable corridors identifed in this study including their respective distances between core areas

this study. In particular, the best models selected for elephants did not meet our criteria, perhaps for two reasons. First, the species as sampled here within Sapo NP only, is a classic example of a "Wallace's Dream species," as described in Saupe et al. ([2012\)](#page-13-25). In this situation, a species' distributional area is constrained by dispersal capabilities, and models for such species will not be signifcantly diferent from random because all habitats in the species' calibration area are suitable. The second related reason could be attributed to our selection of Sapo NP as a calibration area, which was infuenced by the availability of high-quality data. We recognize that this feature was a limitation of this study that should be carefully considered in future studies (Barve et al. [2011\)](#page-12-15).

However, in spite of the statistical uncertainty in the elephant models, their biological explanation is relevant. Areas of suitable habitats predicted by the elephant models are similar to those predicted by chimpanzees, as we expected given the broader distribution of these species in the region. Unlike the related African elephant *Loxodonta africana*, African forest elephants inhabit the dense humid tropical rainforest of west and central Africa and have overlapping ranges with western chimpanzees. In Sapo NP, for example, these species inhabit the same sites, as evident by camera trapping records (Vogt [2012\)](#page-14-5). In addition, we tested our chimpanzee models using independent data from several chimpanzee projects across the region. We found that our predictions strongly correlated with areas currently occupied by chimpanzees, including areas in Sierra Leone and Guinea, which are known important sanctuaries for chimpanzees and elephants in the region. A notable example is the Western Area Peninsula National Park in Sierra Leone, a designated World Heritage Site that hosts 80–90% of Sierra Leone's terrestrial biodiversity, including chimpanzee (UNESCO World Heritage [2018](#page-14-6)), which was identifed as a disjunct, but highly suitable area for chimpanzees.

In contrast, and perhaps of greatest concern in this study, hippo models met all of our criteria for statistical signifcance, model complexity, and performance, and yet the models seemed to be underft and overly general. Of the three species, hippos use the

most restricted habitats (aquatic forest habitats); as such, the widespread distributional potential of the species across the region compared to elephants and chimpanzees was unexpected. This could be attributed to the spatial bias in our dataset as articulated above and explained by Warren et al. ([2013\)](#page-14-4). Thus, we caution that the hippo models should be interpreted with care.

Overall, an important consideration in interpretation of ENM results is the movement capability (mobility) of a species. That is, uninhabited suitable areas predicted in these models can only be occupied if dispersal barriers do not prevent these species from colonizing them (Peterson et al. 2011). Therefore, providing suitable corridors that connect suitable habitats will enhance these dispersal abilities of these species to colonize uninhabited habitats.

Species distributions and forest connectivity

Using ENM to inform conservation planning is a relatively novel approach in Africa, particularly for West Africa (Iloh and Ogundipe [2016](#page-13-26)). This study predicted areas of suitable habitat for each of the three focal species across the western sector of the Upper Guinea Forest block, highlighting priority areas inside and outside of protected areas. One notable result was the congruence between predicted suitable habitats and the distribution of the remaining forest in the region, as reported in other studies (Allport [1991](#page-12-9); Liu et al. [2016](#page-13-15)). Our models clearly showed that Liberia retains the largest contiguous portions of suitable habitats for these species, followed by Côte d'Ivoire. However, most Liberian forest areas remain unprotected, far short of its neighbors, which have all major forest patches designated as protected areas. Since 2006, the Liberian Government set a goal of protecting 30% of the country's forest in a network of protected areas (NFRL [2006\)](#page-13-27); this goal is yet to be realized, with just \sim 10% of the country's forest presently designated as protected areas.

Our connectivity analysis identifed 14 corridors and three potential sites for establishment of new protected areas in both Gola-Ziama and Sapo-Tai forest complexes, several of which coincide and at least partially agree with results of previous analyses (Junker et al. [2015](#page-13-28)), reinforcing the importance of these areas as putative habitat corridors. The southeastern block of forest (in Liberia) connecting to southwestern Côte d'Ivoire (Sapo-Tai forest complex) should be considered a particularly signifcant regional priority. This forest block holds $>50\%$ of the contiguous forest suitable for our focal species in the region. Interestingly, the Liberian side of this forest is largely unprotected, and is under increasing threats from oil palm (*Elias guinesis*) plantation expansion, artisanal mining, and logging (Freeman et al. [2018](#page-13-29)). We emphasize here that the potential to preserve this forest block should focus on these areas with urgency. We acknowledge current regional initiatives aimed at this forest block, one of which led to the recent (2017) designation of the Krahn-Grebo National Park. However, even though we applaud these eforts, they should be expanded to refect the proportional signifcance of this area. Additionally, the Gola-Ziama forest complex, in northwestern Liberia (bordering Sierra Leone and Guinea), is notable for its potential conservation urgency, given that it is also largely unprotected and equally threatened. We recognize eforts of the last decade resulting in designation of Gola National Park in Liberia and Gola Rainforest National Park in Sierra Leone; the northern portion of this forest block, extending north to Ziama in Guinea, is characterized by highly heterogeneous natural landscapes (e.g., submontane forest, semi-deciduous forest), and many unique, high-value species for conservation. Additionally, it should be noted here that to optimize biodiversity conservation, conservation eforts should not only be focused on forest protection but should also address other major drivers of biodiversity loss, particularly hunting, a major threat to West African biodiversity to avoid the empty forest syndrome (Wilkie et al. [2011](#page-14-7)).

We show that the integration of ENM and connectivity analysis studies provides powerful practical tools to optimize conservation planning and identify priority areas objectively for implementation (conservation action), with the goal of optimizing connectivity among distributional areas of species. These tools, when adopted and applied appropriately, will accelerate knowledge of species distribution and conservation eforts in incompletely-known but highly biodiverse regions of the world. We emphasize that connecting suitable habitats for these species will enhance their dispersal abilities and survivability in the region.

Acknowledgements This study was made possible by the contribution of data from Fauna & Flora International, Liberia (FFI) for Sapo NP; the Society for Conservation of Nature in Liberia (SCNL), Conservation Society of Sierra Leone (CSSL), and the Royal Society for the Protection of Birds (RSPB) for Gola forest in Liberia and Sierra Leone; and Wild Chimpanzee Foundation and the Pan African Programme at the Max-Planck Institute for other parts of the region. We are also very grateful to developers of the APES database [\(http://apesportal.eva.mpg.de/database](http://apesportal.eva.mpg.de/database)) for providing access to these datasets. Finally, we are thankful to the participants of KU-EEB's spring semester (2018) scientifc writing working group class for critical reviews of previous drafts of this manuscript, Daniel Jiménez-Garcia and Lindsay Campbell for their advice on processing remote sensing data, and Luis Osorio for writing the R script used in the MOP analysis.

References

Abdi H, Williams LJ (2010) Principal component analysis. Wiley Interdiscip Rev Comput Stat 2:433–459

- Allport G (1991) The status and conservation of threatened birds in the Upper Guinea forest. Bird Conserv Int 1:53–74
- Ball IR, Possingham HP, Watts M (2009) Marxan and relatives: software for spatial conservation prioritisation. In: Spatial conservation prioritisation: quantitative methods and computational tools, pp 185–195
- Barve N, Barve V, Jiménez-Valverde A et al (2011) The crucial role of the accessible area in ecological niche modeling and species distribution modeling. Ecol Model 222:1810–1819
- Beier P, Spencer W, Baldwin RF, McRAE BH (2011) Toward best practices for developing regional connectivity maps: regional connectivity maps. Conserv Biol 25:879–892
- Bodbyl-Roels S, Peterson AT, Xiao X (2011) Comparative analysis of remotely-sensed data products via ecological niche modeling of avian infuenza case occurrences in Middle Eastern poultry. Int J Health Geogr 10:1–21
- Bottrill MC, Joseph LN, Carwardine J et al (2008) Is conservation triage just smart decision making? Trends Ecol Evol 23:649–654
- Bottrill MC, Joseph LN, Carwardine J et al (2009) Finite conservation funds mean triage is unavoidable. Trends Ecol Evol 24:183–184
- Brás R, Cerdeira JO, Alagador D, Araújo MB (2013) Linking habitats for multiple species. Environ Model Softw 40:336–339
- Brown JL (2014) SDMtoolbox: a python-based GIS toolkit for landscape genetic, biogeographic and species distribution model analyses. Methods Ecol Evol 5:694–700
- Carignan V, Villard M-A (2002) Selecting indicator species to monitor ecological integrity: a review. Environ Monit Assess 78:45–61
- Cushman SA, Elliot NB, Bauer D et al (2018) Prioritizing core areas, corridors and confict hotspots for lion conservation in southern Africa. PLoS ONE 13:e0196213
- Darwall W, Polidoro B, Smith K, Somda J (2015) Ecosystem profle Guinean Forests of West Africa biodiversity hotspot. Critical Ecosystem Partnership Fund Report
- DeMatteo KE, Rinas MA, Zurano JP et al (2017) Using niche-modelling and species-specifc cost analyses to determine a multispecies corridor in a fragmented landscape. PLoS ONE 12:e0183648
- Dickson BG, Roemer GW, McRae BH, Rundall JM (2013) Models of regional habitat quality and connectivity for pumas (*Puma concolor*) in the southwestern United States. PLoS ONE 8:e81898
- Feilhauer H, He KS, Rocchini D (2012) Modeling species distribution using niche-based proxies derived from composite bioclimatic variables and MODIS NDVI. Remote Sens 4:2057–2075
- Fourcade Y, Engler JO, Rödder D, Secondi J (2014) Mapping species distributions with MAXENT using a geographically biased sample of presence data: a performance assessment of methods for correcting samplingbias. PLoS ONE 9:e97122
- Franklin JF (1993) Preserving biodiversity: species, ecosystems, or landscapes? Ecol Appl 3:202–205
- Freeman B, Danjuma DF, Molokwu-Odozi M (2018) Status of globally threatened birds of Sapo National Park. Ostrich-J Afr Ornithol, Liberia.<https://doi.org/10.2989/00306525.2018.1502694>
- Hearn AJ, Cushman SA, Goossens B et al (2018) Evaluating scenarios of landscape change for Sunda clouded leopard connectivity in a human dominated landscape. Biol Conserv 222:232–240
- Iloh AC, Ogundipe O (2016) Using ecological niche modelsto plan conservation in a changing environment: a case for the plant *Chasmanthera dependens* Hochst (Menispermaceae) in West Africa. J Ecol Nat Environ $8:1-8$
- Jennings AP, Veron G (2015) Predicted distributions, niche comparisons, and conservation status of the spotted linsang and banded linsang. Mammal Res 60:107–116
- Junker J, Boesch C, Freeman T et al (2015) Integrating wildlife conservation with conficting economic landuse goals in a West African biodiversity hotspot. Basic Appl Ecol 16:690–702
- Khosravi R, Hemami M-R, Cushman SA (2018) Multispecies assessment of core areas and connectivity of desert carnivores in central Iran. Divers Distrib 24:193–207
- Lehtomäki J, Moilanen A (2013) Methods and workfow for spatial conservation prioritization using Zonation. Environ Model Softw 47:128–137
- Lindenmayer DB, Fischer J, Felton A et al (2007) The complementarity of single-species and ecosystem-oriented research in conservation research. Oikos 116:1220–1226
- Liu Z, Wimberly MC, Dwomoh FK (2016) Vegetation dynamics in the Upper Guinean Forest Region of West Africa from 2001 to 2015. Remote Sens 9:1–5
- McRae BH, Dickson BG, Keitt TH, Shah VB (2008) Using circuit theory to model connectivity in ecology, evolution, and conservation. Ecology 89:2712–2724
- McRae B, Shah V, Edelman A (2016) Circuitscape: modeling landscape connectivity to promote conservation and human health. Nat Conserv
- Muscarella R, Galante PJ, Soley-Guardia M et al (2014) ENMeval: an R package for conducting spatially independent evaluations and estimating optimal model complexity for Maxent ecological niche models. Methods Ecol Evol 5:1198–1205
- NFRL (2006) National Forestry Reform Law of 2006. [http://www.fao.org/forestry/16151-05fd47b845599b5](http://www.fao.org/forestry/16151-05fd47b845599b5d3a594a9b0240dacff.pdf) [d3a594a9b0240dacf.pdf](http://www.fao.org/forestry/16151-05fd47b845599b5d3a594a9b0240dacff.pdf). Accessed 1 May 2018
- Owens HL, Campbell LP, Dornak LL et al (2013) Constraints on interpretation of ecological niche models by limited environmental ranges on calibration areas. Ecol Model 263:10–18
- Peterson AT (2014) Mapping disease transmission risk: enriching models using biogeography and ecology. Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore
- Peterson AT, Papeş M, Soberón J (2008) Rethinking receiver operating characteristic analysis applications in ecological niche modeling. Ecol Model 213:63–72
- Peterson AT, Soberón J, Pearson RG et al (2011) Ecological niches and geographic distributions. Princeton University Press, Princeton
- Peterson AT, Campbell LP, Moo-Llanes DA et al (2017) Infuences of climate change on the potential distribution of *Lutzomyia longipalpis* sensu lato (Psychodidae: Phlebotominae). Int J Parasitol 10–11:667–674
- Phillips SJ, Dudík M (2008) Modeling of species distributions with Maxent: new extensions and a comprehensive evaluation. Ecography 31:161–175
- Phillips SJ, Dudík M, Schapire RE (2006) A maximum entropy approach to species distribution modeling. In: Proceedings of the twenty-frst international conference on Machine learning. ACM, p 83
- Pimm SL, Jenkins CN, Abell R et al (2014) The biodiversity of species and their rates of extinction, distribution, and protection. Science 344:1246752
- Primack RB (2010) Essentials of conservation biology. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland
- Roever CL, van Aarde RJ, Leggett K (2013) Functional connectivity within conservation networks: delineating corridors for African elephants. Biol Conserv 157:128–135
- Saupe EE, Barve V, Myers CE et al (2012) Variation in niche and distribution model performance: the need for a priori assessment of key causal factors. Ecol Model 237–238:11–22
- Shcheglovitova M, Anderson RP (2013) Estimating optimal complexity for ecological niche models: a jackknife approach for species with small sample sizes. Ecol Model 269:9–17
- Squires D (2014) Biodiversity conservation in Asia. Asia Pac Policy Stud 1:144–159
- Thomas CD, Cameron A, Green RE et al (2004) Extinction risk from climate change. Nature 427:145–148
- Tweh CG, Lormie MM, Kouakou CY et al (2015) Conservation status of chimpanzees Pan troglodytes verus and other large mammals in Liberia: a nationwide survey. Oryx 49:710–718
- UNESCO World Heritage (2018) Western Area Peninsula National Park. In: UNESCO World Herit. Cent. [https](https://whc.unesco.org/en/tentativelists/5741/) [://whc.unesco.org/en/tentativelists/5741/.](https://whc.unesco.org/en/tentativelists/5741/) Accessed 18 Apr 2018
- Vogt M (2012) Biomonitoring & research programme, Sapo National Park: updated plan for research opportunities and priorities in and around Sapo National Park. Fauna Flora Int Liberia
- Warren DL, Glor RE, Turelli M (2010) ENMTools: a toolbox for comparative studies of environmental niche models. Ecography 33:607–611
- Warren DL, Wright AN, Seifert SN et al (2013) Incorporating model complexity and spatial sampling bias into ecological niche models of climate change risks faced by 90 California vertebrate species of concern. Divers Distrib 20:334–343
- Wilkie DS, Bennett EL, Peres CA, Cunningham AA (2011) The empty forest revisited. Ann N Y Acad Sci 1223(1):120–128
- Wilson KA, Underwood EC, Morrison SA et al (2007) Conserving biodiversity efficiently: what to do, where, and when. PLoS Biol 5:e223
- Wold S, Esbensen K, Geladi P (1987) Principal component analysis. Chemom Intell Lab Syst 2:37–52
- Zacarias D, Loyola R (2018) Distribution modelling and multi-scale landscape connectivity highlight important areas for the conservation of savannah elephants. Biol Conserv 224:1–8. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2018.05.014) [org/10.1016/j.biocon.2018.05.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2018.05.014)

Afliations

Benedictus Freeman1 [·](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0895-4952) Patrick R. Roehrdanz2 · A. Townsend Peterson1

- ¹ Biodiversity Institute and Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Kansas, Lawrence, KS 66045, USA
- ² Conservation International, Betty and Gordon Moore Center for Science, Arlington, VA 22202, **USA**