INVASIVE SPARTINA



The extended phenology of *Spartina* invasion alters a native herbivorous insect's abundance and diet in a Chinese salt marsh

Rui-Ting Ju · Yang-Yun Chen · Lei Gao · Bo Li

Received: 19 March 2015/Accepted: 14 September 2015 © Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2016

Abstract Plant invasions can alter the trophic interactions of invaded ecosystems because of phenological differences between native and invasive plants that may affect the population dynamics and diets of indigenous arthropod herbivores. This issue, however, has seldom been studied. We here report on how abundance and diet of a local tussock moth (*Laelia coenosa*) are affected by the invasive plant *Spartina alterniflora* in a Chinese salt marsh previously dominated by the moth's native host plant, *Phragmites australis*. We monitored the population dynamics of *L. coenosa* from four types of hosts: (1) *Phragmites* in its monoculture, (2) *Spartina* in its monoculture, and either (3) *Phragmites*, or (4) *Spartina* in *Phragmites– Spartina* mixtures. Additionally, we tested the diet of

Guest editors: Alan Gray and Malika Ainouche/Invasive Spartina.

Rui-Ting Ju and Yang-Yun Chen have contributed equally to this work.

R.-T. Ju · Y.-Y. Chen · B. Li (⊠) Ministry of Education Key Laboratory for Biodiversity Science and Ecological Engineering, Institute of Biodiversity Science, Fudan University, 2005 Songhu Road, Shanghai 200438, People's Republic of China e-mail: bool@fudan.edu.cn

R.-T. Ju \cdot L. Gao

Department of Plant Protection, Shanghai Landscape Gardening Research Institute, 899 Longwu Road, Shanghai 200232, People's Republic of China L. coenosa from the mixtures with isotope analysis. We found that the larval densities of *L. coenosa* were similar on Spartina and Phragmites in their respective monocultures and mixtures in summer but were greater on Spartina than on Phragmites in autumn. Stable isotope analysis showed that Spartina was a food resource for L. coenosa. The change in the insect's population dynamics associated with Spartina invasion might be caused by phenological differences between Spartina and Phragmites in that Spartina has a longer growing season than Phragmites. Our study indicates that the extended phenology of Spartina invasion has altered the abundance and diet of the indigenous herbivorous insect (L. coenosa) previously feeding on native Phragmites. We predict such alternation may increase the consuming pressure to native plants via apparent competition, and thereby may facilitate the further invasion of the exotic plants in the salt marsh.

Keywords Diet · Habitat · Invasion · Native insect · Salt marsh · Yangtze River estuary

Introduction

Invasion by exotic plants is a major threat to biodiversity and ecosystem integrity and function (Dukes and Mooney 2004; Gratton and Denno 2006). Arthropod biodiversity and abundance are often lower in invasive than in native plant communities (Wu et al.

2009; also see the review by Bezemer et al. 2014). Some insect herbivores, however, can rapidly adapt or even evolve and thereby exploit exotic plants as new hosts because their native habitats are seriously disrupted (Agrawal and Kotanen 2003), which is often overlooked or ignored (Bezemer et al. 2014).

Previous studies have shown that differences in morphology, nutrition, and allelochemicals of exotic and native plants, as well in the predators and parasitoids that they support, may affect the preference of herbivorous insects for host plants in invaded areas (Bezemer et al. 2014). Although plant phenology is also known to greatly affect insect performance and population dynamics (Hunter 1992), there is little evidence concerning the effects of phenological differences between exotic and native plants on insect herbivores. The phenological effects of invasive plants, therefore, have been receiving increasing attention in food web ecology and invasion biology (Wolkovich and Cleland 2011).

We here report on how the abundance and diet of a tussock moth, Laelia coenosa, are affected by the invasive plant Spartina alterniflora (Spartina hereafter) in a salt marsh previously dominated by the moth's native host plant, Phragmites australis (Phragmites hereafter). The salt marsh is located in the Yangtze River estuary of China and has been heavily invaded by Spartina, leading to a replacement of native Phragmites and other natives. This invasion has caused multiple consequences to the native ecosystems (for a review, see Li et al. 2009), one of which is a shift in the habitat of certain native insect herbivores and/or their diet from Phragmites to Spartina in the salt marsh (Wu et al. 2009). The tussock moth L. coenosa, for example, has become increasingly prevalent in Spartina over the past 5 years (Fig. 1a, b), although Phragmites has historically been the main host for this moth in China (Zhao 2003).

Considering that *Spartina* and *Phragmites* differ in phenology (Liao et al. 2007), we hypothesized that this difference might have resulted in differences in *L. coenosa* performance between the exotic and native plants. To test this hypothesis, we studied the abundance and diet of *L. coenosa* larvae on *Phragmites* and *Spartina* from summer to autumn in the salt marsh. Our aims were to determine whether the longer growing season of *Spartina* versus *Phragmites* affects the native herbivore's abundance and diet in the

invaded salt marsh and what are the possible consequences to the native ecosystems if any.

Methods

Study site

This study was conducted at the Chongming Dongtan National Nature Reserve in the Yangtze River estuary, Shanghai, China (31°25′–31°38′N, 121°50′–122°05′E). The whole wetland occupies an area of 32,600 ha and is dominated by native *Scirpus* spp. and *Phragmites* and by exotic *Spartina*. Over the study area, where there is no *Scirpus* spp., *Phragmites* and *Spartina* exist as either their respective monocultures or mixtures (Li et al. 2014).

Monitoring of population dynamics of L. coenosa

We monitored the population dynamics of L. coenosa at two wetland sites (A: 31°30.930'N, 121°57.411'E; B: 31°30.961'N, 121°57.438'E) 72 m apart. These two collecting sites were selected because numbers of L. coenosa were found to be much higher than in other regions in the last 5 years, and also because there were three habitat patterns in which four types of hosts could be investigated: (1) Phragmites in its monoculture (PMC); (2) Spartina in its monoculture (SMC); (3) Phragmites in a Phragmites-Spartina mixture (PMT); and (4) Spartina in a Phragmites-Spartina mixture (SMT). At each site, we investigated all of the four host types, i.e. PMC, SMC, PMT and SMT. The mixed habitat (PMT, SMT) was transitional in that Phragmites was being gradually replaced by the invasive Spartina. Five replicate plots $(1 \times 1 \text{ m per}$ plot) were evaluated for each host type. In each replicate, we randomly selected 20 individual shoots of Spartina or Phragmites in their respective monocultures, 20 Phragmites individuals in the PMT, and 20 Spartina individuals in the SMT. The number of L. coenosa larvae on these plants were counted. In 2011, we surveyed all plots three times (23 June, 26 July, and 20 September) based on the moth's generation numbers in the year and the greatest abundance for each generation's larva. Both Phragmites and Spartina were growing healthily and had green leaves in June and July. From late September, however, Phragmites started to lose its leaves but Spartina still had more healthy green leaves. The first and second generations



Fig. 1 Laelia coenosa and seasonal development of Spartina and Phragmites at the Chongming Dongtan National Nature Reserve, China. a Larva of L. coenosa on Spartina; b egg, adult, and cocoon with pupa of L. coenosa on Spartina; c, e, g Spartina

of *L. coenosa* appeared in June and July, respectively, while the third generation appeared and began to prepare for overwintering from late September till late October.

in late June, late July, and late September, respectively; **d**, **f**, **h** *Phragmites* in late June, late July, and late September, respectively

Isotope analysis of the diet of L. coenosa

To determine the diet of *L. coenosa*, we randomly collected five larvae from each mixed habitat at site A

and B on 23 June 2011. The specimens were divided into two groups: one group collected from Phragmites, and the other from Spartina. The samples were dried at 75 °C for 72 h and then ground into a fine powder with a mortar and pestle; the powder was passed through a 0.15-mm screen. The powders (0.5-1.0 mg dry mass)per sample) were subjected to a stable isotope analysis (Wu et al. 2009) with an isotope ratio mass spectrometer (DELTA plus Advantage, Thermo Scientific, USA) at Nanjing Institute of Geography and Limnology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Jiangsu Province. Stable isotope patterns for *Phragmites* and *Spartina* plants were analyzed by the same method. Leaf tissues of Phragmites or Spartina for isotope analysis were collected from 10 randomly selected plants from each of sampled plot, immersed and rinsed with deionized water before use (Wu et al. 2009). Each treatment was replicated four times.

Data analysis

All data for different habitat treatments at the same collecting time and site and for isotope analysis were subjected to one-way ANOVAs. Before analyses, all data were checked for normality and equal variance. The results are represented as means and SE, and means were compared with Tukey's test. The analyses were performed with the statistical package SPSS NLN, 15.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA). All data of isotope analysis were multiplied by 10 and then log-transformed before the statistical analysis.

The stable isotope ratios were calculated by the following equation:

$$\delta(X) = \left[\left(\frac{R_{\text{sample}}}{R_{\text{standard}}} \right) - 1 \right] \times 1000 \tag{1}$$

where X is ¹³C, R is the ratio of ¹³C/¹²C, and δ is a notation for the ratios and expressed as ‰. The analytical precision of the measurement is 0.2 ‰ for δ^{13} C.

Results

At each site, the larval density of each plant type decreased with plant growing season prolonging, but the decrease was greater on *Phragmites* than on *Spartina* (Fig. 2). In summer (June–July), *L. coenosa* larval

densities were similar on *Spartina* and *Phragmites* in their either monocultures or mixtures, although monocultures support higher densities than mixtures (Fig. 2a– d). In autumn (late September), however, the densities were much higher on *Spartina* than on *Phragmites* both in monocultures and mixtures (Fig. 2e, f).

Stable isotope patterns of *Phragmites*, *Spartina*, and *L. coenosa* collected from *Phragmites* and *Spartina* were significantly different ($F_{3, 15} = 82.3$, P < 0.001) (Fig. 3). The δ^{13} C value of *L. coenosa* collected from *Phragmites* plants was almost the same as that of *Phragmites*, while that of *L. coenosa* collected from *Spartina* plants was intermediate with respect to the values of *Phragmites* and *Spartina* (Fig. 3), indicating that in the mixed habitat, *L. coenosa* collected from *Phragmites* still had selected *Phragmites* as their basal dietary resource, but those collected from *Spartina* had fed not only on *Spartina* but also on *Phragmites*.

Discussion

Invasive plants disrupt many trophic interactions in native communities (van Hengstum et al. 2014). Although effects of exotic plants on native arthropods have been frequently reported (e.g., Wolkovich 2010; Tang et al. 2012), the impact of invasive plants on the population dynamics and diets of native insects has rarely been examined (Bezemer et al. 2014). In the present study, we monitored the population dynamics of native L. coenosa on the native Phragmites and the invasive Spartina and examined its use of the native and the invasive plants as food sources. We found that although some L. coenosa still depended on Phragmites, many L. coenosa larvae lived in the exotic plants in both Spartina monocultures and mixed habitats of Spartina and Phragmites. Isotope analysis indicated that the larvae consumed Spartina tissue. These results suggest that Spartina may have been a new host species for L. coenosa.

Temporal variation in the quantity of the plant resources may support different population sizes of herbivores (Hunter and Price 1992). As such, difference in food supply between *Spartina* and *Phragmites* may be an important factor determining which plant species *L. coenosa* lives on and consumes, although both plants can be hosts for the insect. In eastern China, *Spartina* leaves usually start to develop in early Fig. 2 Larval densities of L. coenosa on Spartina and Phragmites as affected by host type, collecting time, and site. Values are means + SE. Within each panel, means with the same letters are not significantly different (Tukey's test: P < 0.05). The host types SMC, PMC, SMT, and PMT represent, respectively, Spartina in its monoculture, Phragmites in its monoculture, Spartina in a mixed habitat of Spartina and Phragmites, and Phragmites in a mixed habitat of Spartina and Phragmites. (a, b), (c, d), and (\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{f}) indicate densities on 23 June, 26 July, and 20 September, respectively. (a, c, e) and (b, d, f) indicate densities at site A and site B, respectively



March and senesce in late November, while *Phragmites* leaves emerge in late March and wither in early October. Because the length of the growing season is 270 days for *Spartina* but only 220 days for *Phragmites* in the Yangtze River estuary (Liao et al. 2007), the temporal differences in the population densities of *L. coenosa* in the monocultures and mixtures may have been caused by phenological differences between the two plant species. In the summer growing season (June and July), both *Spartina* and *Phragmites* produce abundant green, soft leaves (Fig. 1c–f) that supply adequate nutrients to insect herbivores and thus, generate the same higher abundance of *L. coenosa* on the exotic and native plants. In autumn (late September), however, *Spartina* still provides sufficient green leaves for *L. coenosa* but *Phragmites* does



Fig. 3 Distribution of δ^{13} C isotope in *Phragmites* plants (PH), *Spartina* plants (SP), *L. coenosa* larvae that were collected from either *Spartina* (LS) or *Phragmites* (LP) in the mixtures of PH and SP. Values are means + SE. Means with the same letters are not significantly different (Tukey's test: P < 0.05)

not because the latter senesces (Fig. 1g, h), and therefore, *Spartina* can support more larvae than *Phragmites*, as temperature is still suitable for the larval development (Zhao 2003).

On the other hand, as suggested by previous researchers (e.g., Feeny 1970; Coley 1980), phenological changes in plant foliar quality, such as seasonal increases in leaf toughness and concentrations of secondary chemicals, may also play an important role in explaining variations in insect abundance among different plants or seasons. In this study, larval density of L. coenosa decreased with sampling date, but the decrease was greater on *Phragmites* than on *Spartina*. Other than effects of temperature, seasonal changes in L. coenosa abundance between Spartina and Phrag*mites* may be further explained by effects of different physical and chemical characteristics between the two plant species in different seasons. In addition, larval densities of *L. coenosa* in summer were much higher in monocultures than in mixtures, which may be caused by differences in disturbances (e.g., allelochemicals, predators and parasitoids) between the two community patterns (Bezemer et al. 2014).

Stable isotope analysis provides a relatively quick and logical result for exploring what resources are being used by consumers (Hobson 1999). The stable isotopes of ¹³C and ¹²C (expressed as δ^{13} C) are relatively conservative as 'carbon' is transferred from plants to herbivores via a food web (Gratton and Denno 2006) and thus, the C isotope signatures can be used to determine the food sources for herbivores (Vander Zanden et al. 1999). In our study, *Phragmites* (C₃ plant) and Spartina (C₄ plant) have distinguishing δ^{13} C isotope signatures (Gratton and Denno 2006) that allow us to identify the food sources of L. coenosa through comparing their δ^{13} C signatures among different treatments (Wu et al. 2009). Our results indicate that the insects collected from Phragmites still use Phragmites as their food resource, but those living in Spartina community have fed on both Spartina and Phragmites, suggesting that L. coenosa collected from Spartina in the mixture may have come from those feeding on Phragmites. Although we did not test δ^{13} C signatures in the monocultures, we predict that the insect may feed on both Spartina and Phragmites in their respective monocultures. Consistent with our findings, previous studies have shown that a native butterfly, Euphydryas editha, can shift its diet from its native host plant (Collinasia parviflora) to an exotic plant (*Plantago lanceolata*); E. editha evolved such that the proportion that preferred the exotic host to the native host rapidly increased due to ten-year feeding on *P. lanceolata* (Thomas et al. 1987; Singer et al. 1993). Our earlier study (Wu et al. 2009) also predicted that if native Phragmites was widely replaced by exotic Spartina in China, the native insects that feed on Phragmites might expand their diets to include Spartina and might even also evolve to prefer Spartina.

In the current situation, two issues need to be considered. First, as the phenology of Spartina extends, the overwintering of L. coenosa may be delayed and its generation number may increase due to the extended period of food resources the insect can use (referred to as "resource effect" of Spartina). Second, Spartina has standing litter throughout the year while Phragmites is often harvested for industrial use. The latter leaves only the litter of culm in winter even if it is not harvested. Therefore, L. coenosa can be sheltered effectively by Spartina in the overwintering period when the tide comes (referred to as "pool effect" of Spartina). If the "resource" and "pool" effects are additive, we can predict that L. coenosa abundance may increase considerably, which will ultimately alter interactions between native consumers and native plants.

Nonetheless, the efficiency of the insect's control over *Spartina* may be devalued because the third

generation of L. coenosa occurs in the late growing season of Spartina (i.e. at the mature stage of its seeds), which leads to little regulating influence on Spartina at population level. Till now, the ability of L. coenosa feeding on Phragmites is still stronger than on Spartina in the salt marsh (personal observation by the authors), indicating that although the exotic plant supports its higher abundance, the insect cannot effectively control Spartina at population level. However, because Phragmites is the traditional natural host for the insect (Zhao 2003), the plant has been found to be seriously damaged by L. coenosa in eastern China including Chongming Dongtan. The differences in damaging ability between the invasive and native plants by the moths may lead to "apparent competition" (Holt and Kotler 1987; Noonburg and Byers 2005) that will further facilitate Spartina to displace Phragmites via increasing the pressure of local consumers to native plants (i.e. indirect invasion of Spartina). Effect by apparent competition may create an advancing invasion front unlikely to retreat, and could interact with other mechanisms of biological invasion (Orrock et al. 2008), hindering the conservation and restoration of the invaded ecosystem.

In conclusion, our results suggest that *Spartina* invasion has altered the population abundance and has extended the diet of the indigenous herbivorous insect, *L. coenosa* that previously feeds on native *Phragmites*, which may be caused by phenological differences between the invasive and native plants. Such differences can be predicted to change the trophic interactions of the invaded ecosystem and may facilitate further invasion of exotic *Spartina* curtailing the reestablishment of native *Phragmites*.

Acknowledgments We thank Prof. Bruce Jaffee of the University of California at Davis for improving the English language of this manuscript. Financial support for this study was provided by the National Basic Research Program of China (Grant No. 2013CB430404), the National Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 30930019), the Science and Technology Commission of Shanghai Municipality (Grant No. 14DZ1206003), and Ministry of Education of China (Grant No. 20120071110017).

References

Agrawal AA, Kotanen PM (2003) Herbivores and the success of exotic plants: a phylogenetically controlled experiment. Ecol Lett 6:712–715

- Bezemer TM, Harvey JA, Cronin JT (2014) The response of native insect communities to invasive plants. Ann Rev Entomol 59:119–141
- Coley PD (1980) Effects of leaf age and plant life history patterns on herbivory. Nature 284:545–546
- Dukes JS, Mooney HA (2004) Disruption of ecosystem processes in western North America by invasive species. Rev Chil Hist Nat 77:411–437
- Feeny P (1970) Seasonal changes in oak leaf tannins and nutrients as a cause of spring feeding by winter moth caterpillars. Ecology 51:565–581
- Gratton C, Denno RF (2006) Arthropod food web restoration following removal of an invasive wetland plant. Ecol Appl 16:622–631
- Hobson KA (1999) Tracing origins and migration of wildlife using stable isotopes: a review. Oecologia 120:314–326
- Holt RD, Kotler BP (1987) Short-term apparent competition. Am Nat 130:412–430
- Hunter MD (1992) A variable insect-plant interaction: the relationship between tree budburst phenology and population levels of insect herbivores among trees. Ecol Entomol 17:91–95
- Hunter MD, Price PW (1992) Playing chutes and ladders: heterogeneity and the relative roles of bottom-up and topdown forces in natural communities. Ecology 73:724–732
- Li B, Liao CZ, Zhang XD, Chen HL, Wang Q, Chen ZY, Gan XJ, Wu JH, Zhao B, Ma ZJ, Cheng XL, Jiang LF, Chen JK (2009) *Spartina alterniflora* invasions in the Yangtze River estuary, China: an overview of current status and ecosystem effects. Ecol Eng 35:511–520
- Li H, Zhang ZM, Zheng RS, Li X, Elmer WH, Wolfe LM, Li B (2014) Indirect effects of non-native *Spartina alterniflora* and its fungal pathogen (*Fusarium palustre*) on native salt marsh plants in China. J Ecol 102:1112–1119
- Liao CZ, Luo YQ, Jiang LF, Zhou XH, Wu XW, Chen JK, Li B (2007) Invasion of *Spartina alterniflora* enhanced ecosystem carbon and nitrogen stocks in the Yangtze estuary, China. Ecosystems 10:1351–1361
- Noonburg EG, Byers JE (2005) More harm than good: when invader vulnerability to predators enhances impact on native species. Ecology 86:2555–2560
- Orrock JL, Witter MS, Reichman OJ (2008) Apparent competition with an exotic plant reduces native plant establishment. Ecology 89:1168–1174
- Singer MC, Thomas CD, Parmesan C (1993) Rapid humaninduced evolution of insect-host associations. Nature 366:681–683
- Tang Y, Warren RJ II, Kramer TD, Bradford MA (2012) Plant invasion impacts on arthropod abundance, diversity and feeding consistent across environmental and geographic gradients. Biol Invasions 14:2625–2637
- Thomas CD, Ng D, Singer MC, Mallet JLB, Parmesan C, Billington HD (1987) Incorporation of a European weed into the diet of a North American herbivore. Evolution 41:892–901
- van Hengstum T, Hooftman DAP, Oostermeijer JGB, van Tienderen PH (2014) Impact of plant invasions on local arthropod communities: a meta-analysis. J Ecol 102:4–11
- Vander Zanden MJ, Casselman JM, Rasmussen JB (1999) Stable isotope evidence for the food web consequences of species invasions in lakes. Nature 401:464–467

- Wolkovich EM (2010) Nonnative grass litter enhances grazing arthropod assemblages by increasing native shrub growth. Ecology 91:756–766
- Wolkovich EM, Cleland EE (2011) The phenology of plant invasions: a community ecology perspective. Front Ecol Environ 9:287–294
- Wu YT, Wang CH, Zhang XD, Zhao B, Jiang LF, Chen JK, Li B (2009) Effects of saltmarsh invasion by *Spartina alterniflora* on arthropod community structure and diets. Biol Invasions 11:635–649
- Zhao ZL (2003) Fauna Sinica: Insecta, Lepidoptera, Lymantriidae, vol 30. Science Press, Beijing (in Chinese)