ORIGINAL ARTICLE



upQMPSF, a Method for the Detection of BRCA1 Exon Copy Number Variants

Sami Azrak¹

Received: 19 June 2014/Accepted: 12 May 2015/Published online: 20 May 2015 © Springer Science+Business Media New York 2015

Abstract Large insertions/deletions mutations are frequently found in genes associated with certain diseases such as hereditary cancers. These mutations are mostly overlooked by current classical screening techniques due to their certain limitations. This justifies the need to improve the existing techniques or design novel ones. A modified version of quantitative multiplex PCR short fluorescent fragment (QMPSF), termed universally primed QMPSF (upQMPSF), was developed. The modifications enhance multiplexing capacity, reduce cost, and improve the mutation detection spectrum. upQMPSF was used to screen germline mutations in 88 familial ovarian cancer patients negative for point mutations. upQMPSF successfully detected a 2.8 kb copy number gain spanning exon 15 of *BRCA1* gene mediated by Alu–Alu homologous-based recombination. upQMPSF is a cost-efficient, versatile method, and demonstrated efficiency in detecting structural variations as a potential method for genetic testing in clinical and research laboratories.

Keywords QMPSF · MLPA · BRCA1/2 · MLH1 · RAD51

Background

Hereditary ovarian cancer accounts for only 5-10% caused by germline mutation in *BRCA1/2* genes (Wooster and Weber 2003). Close relatives carrying *BRCA1/2* gene mutation have elevated risks of developing cancers (Wooster and Stratton 1995; Pharoah and Ponder 2002). The focus of most mutation screening studies is on small size mutations such as missense, nonsense, and small insertions/deletions. Recently,

Sami Azrak sgazrak@gmail.com

¹ Department of Human Genetics, School of Medicine, Al-Andalus University for Medical Sciences, Al-Qadmous, Tartus, Syria

other types of genetic changes are found to be responsible for the etiology of cancer predisposition. Large genomic rearrangements (LGRs) involve loss or gain of hundreds or thousands of DNA nucleotide bases that encompass one or more exons and sometimes the entire gene. This creates a shift in the reading frame and eventually produces a premature truncated protein. Different forms of repetitive DNA sequences in the intron gene region are believed to be the major mediator for genetic instability resulting in genomic deletions or duplications (Gu et al. 2008). LGRs are no exception. LGRs are believed to be regulated by stretches of DNA segments that are highly similar in sequences, such as short and long interspersed elements (van der Klift et al. 2005). Therefore, the formation of LGRs is due to DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs) followed by DNA repair through homologous recombination (HR) or non-homologous end joining (NHEJ) (Bishop and Schiestl 2000; Lupski 2007).

Higher frequency of LGRs is reported in *BRCA1* than *BRCA2* gene in hereditary breast and ovarian cancer patients, which is attributed to more Alu and L1 contents in *BRCA1* gene (Smith et al. 1996). Moreover, LGRs were reported in high frequency in British families with breast and ovarian cancer, which is likely due to a founder effect such as *BRCA1* exon13 duplications (Puget et al. 1999; Mazoyer 2000). In addition, LGRs are found to be frequently present in other cancer susceptibility genes such as *MLH1* and *MSH2* in hereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer (HNPCC) (Charbonnier et al. 2000). Nevertheless, LGRs are mainly overlooked by most mutation detection methodologies due to their large size and heterozygous nature. Over the past years, multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification (MLPA) and quantitative multiplex polymerase chain reaction of short fluorescent fragment (QMPSF) have been used to detect changes in exon copy number in multiple hereditary syndromes. Despite their advantages, both methods have certain limitations. Therefore, the aim of this study was to develop an improved method for the detection of LGRs and other mutation types.

Materials and Methods

HNPCC Patient Samples

Genomic DNA was extracted from blood of four patients diagnosed with HNPCC from McGill University to test upQMPSF efficiency in detecting LGRs. The anonymous samples are known to have LGRs in *MLH1* which was perilously detected and characterized using southern blot and DNA sequencing (Li et al. 2006). HNPCC samples contain single-exon 12 *JGH202-413*, *PFT1045*, and multi-exon 3–6 *AUS17392*, 6–8 *JGH200-82* deletions.

Familial Ovarian Cancer Patient Samples

Genomic DNA extracted from the blood of 88 familial ovarian cancer patients was obtained from Gilda Rader Familial Ovarian Cancer Registry (GRFOCR) at Roswell Park Cancer Institute to establish upQMPSF and assess the contribution/

frequency of LGR in *BRCA*1/2 and other susceptible genes. Patient samples were previously tested negative for point mutation and small insertions/deletions in *BRCA*1/2 genes.

This study complies with the Helsinki Declaration, approval of ethics committees was obtained (protocol number I71705), and all patients were provided an informed consent.

PCR Kit

AccuPrimeTM *Taq* hot start DNA polymerase kit (Invitrogen, USA) with $10 \times$ PCR buffer I and II contain 200 mM Tris–HCl (pH 8.4), 500 mM KCl, 15 mM MgCl₂, 2 mM dGTP, 2 mM dATP, 2 mM dTTP, 2 mM dCTP, thermostable AccuPrimeTM protein, and 10% glycerol were used for PCR amplification in all three methods QMPSF, upQMPSF, and MM-PCR in equivocal amounts.

QMPSF

The assay was performed using 120 ng genomic DNA from HNPCC patients in a total volume of 25 μ l of PCR regents (5 μ l of primer mix, 2.5 μ l AccuPrimeTM 10X PCR buffer II, and 0.5 μ l hot start Taq polymerase). Similar PCR conditions and primer sequences for *MLH1* were used as previously reported by (Charbonnier et al. 2000).

MLPA

MLPA SALSA P003 *MLH1/MSH2* and P002 *BRCA1* kits were purchased from MRC-Holland (Amsterdam, Netherlands). MLPA was carried out according to the manufacturing protocol as following: 150–180 ng genomic DNA in a total volume of 5 μ l was denatured at 95°C for 5 m. Samples were cooled to 25°C and SALSA probemix was added and heated to 95°C for 60 s, followed by probe hybridization for >16 h at 60°C. Ligation step performed by ligase-65 enzyme mix, incubation at 54°C for 15 m, and inactivation at 98°C for 2 m. PCR amplification of 35 cycles using a single fluorescent primer: 30 s at 95°C, 30 s at 60°C, 60 s at 72°C, and 20 m final elongation at 72°C.

Mutation-specific Multiplex (MM-PCR)

MM-PCR was used to characterize the breakpoints and to confirm the upQMPSF detected exon15 duplication in *BRCA1* gene. A primer set was designed to be located at the 3' (F:<u>ctattctgaagactcccagagc</u>) and 5' (R3:<u>gctcattgcccccaaattgg</u>) in intron (14 and 15) ends, along with *GAPDH* gene internal control primer pairs (F:<u>gggtaaggagatgctgcattcg</u>), (R:tgtagcactcaagacgtctgagc). 5 μ l of primer mix (2.5 μ l 10× PCR buffer II and 0.5 μ l of Taq DNA polymerase) was added to 60–80 ng genomic DNA for a total volume of 25 μ l. Samples were subjected to 38 cycles of PCR amplification under the following conditions: 95°C for 4 m, 94°C for 1 m, 63°C for 30 s, elongation at 68°C for 20 m, and final extension at 68°C for 20 m.

upQMPSF

upQMPSF assay design relies on utilizing bioinformatics approaches of careful target sequence preparations and multiplex chimerical primer design steps. Exons and their flanking intron sequences were retrieved in a masked version using UCSC genome browser (Kent et al. 2002) in FASTA format with specific defline. This included the following: gene name and location, exon position, sequence length, and acquired product size. Consecutive exons, separated with small introns, were combined into one target sequence. Multiplex chimerical primers design step was performed using FastPCR[®] primer design software in stringent primer properties criteria (Kalendar et al. 2009). Each chimerical forward and reverse primer sequences consist of 3' target-specific sequence and 5' unique universal sequences (Fig. 1). Primer sequences were examined for the absence of genetic variations at the binding sites and selected for each multiplex set. Two consecutive PCR amplification runs, R1 and R2, were carried out. In R1, a total volume of 25 μ l primer mix (1.5 μ l of low concentrated chimerical primers mix, 2.5 μ l 10× PCR buffer II, and 0.5 μ l Taq polymerase) was added to 100–200 ng of genomic DNA. Two cycles of PCR amplification were performed under the following conditions: 95°C for 4 m, 94°C for 1 m, 58°C for 1 m, and elongation at 68°C for 1 m. Then, 8 cycles of amplification at 62°C for 1 m and a final extension at 68°C for 5 m were performed. In R2, 1.75 µl aliquot of R1 products were added to 3.75 µl of high concentrated universal primers (fluorescently labeled forward primer) for a total mixture volume of 25 µl. The mixer was subjected to 20 amplification cycles, which is empirically chosen to achieve amplification within the exponential range. R2 conditions are set as following: 95°C for 4 m, 94°C for 1 m, 48°C for 1 m, 68°C for



Fig. 1 Schematic representation of universal primer quantitative multiplex PCR short fluorescent fragments (upQMPSF) assay: two consecutive multiplex PCR amplifications (R1 and R2) using chimerical target-specific primers and universal primer pair with fluorescently labeled forward primer, respectively. Labeled PCR fragments are separated by capillary electrophoresis

1 m, and final extension at 68°C for 45 m to reduce the adenylation effect. All upQMPSF primer sequences were synthesized and purchased from Integrated DNA Technology (IDT), USA. Chimerical and universal primer concentrations were incrementally calculated based upon expected product sizes ranging between 0.3 and 1.8 μ M and were available upon request.

Flowchart summarizes the steps for the development of upQMPSF:

Step 1: Target sequence	1. Selection of target genomic regions (exon, intron, etc.)	
preparation	2. Sequence retrieval (masked version)	
	3. Combine small size exons separated with small introns into one target sequence	
	4. Formatting each target as separate in FASTA with defline contains gene name, location, exon position, and length	
Step 2: Primer design	1. Identify all possible forward and reverse primers for each target region using stringent criteria	
	2. Primer check for self-annealing or hairpin structure using "OligoCal"	
	3. Perform In-Silico PCR to avoid SNPs in the binding site, multiple matches in the genome. Also to confirm product size compatibility	
Step 3: Selection of multiplex sets	1. Select all possible multiplex sets for all regions based upon primer pair compatibility and product size	
	2. Primers compatibility checking step is performed in term of primer dimerization imposed high melting temperature using (FastPCR)	
Step 4: PCR amplification and detection	1. Two consecutive PCR amplifications (R1 and R2) using chimerical and universal primers, respectively	
	2. R2 chosen within an exponential amplification phase	
	3. Fluorescently labeled products are detected by CE	
	4. Multiple rounds of optimizations for comparable peak intensity, followed by assay reproducibility confirmation	
Step 5: Copy number analysis	Calculation of normalized ratio "upQMPSF scores" (ratio test vs. normal samples of ratio of test peak vs. internal control) for all samples	

The design of upQMPSF for *BRCA1* gene was used to detect exon copy number variants in two multiplex primer sets containing 27 amplicons for all 24 exons and the promoter region to enhance the cost efficiency (Table 1), including two products for the 3' and 5' ends of exon 11 (11a and 11b) in addition to internal control from different chromosomal region in each set for normalization.

upQMPSF was also developed for the detection of exon copy number variants in *BRCA2* gene. A total of 27 fragments representing partial exon regions, separated into two multiplex primer sets, including internal control from different chromosomal region in each set (Table 2).

Only two exon 11 fragments were designed spanning the 3' and 5' ends of (11a and 11b exons), respectively with their intron flanking regions for the detection of LGR mutations. Small size exons, such as 5, 6, 23, and 24 were combined into one amplicon in the multiplex set upQMPSF. *MLH1* and *RAD51* genes are designed in two multiplex primer sets for each gene.

Name	Primer sequence	Productsize	
SET1			
BCA1_pom*	UPF ^a -acctttacccagagcagagg	140	
BCA1_pom*	UPR ^b -gctcgctgagacttcctgga		
BCA1_E8	UPF-tttgaggttgtatccgctgc	161	
BCA1_E8	UPR-ggccaacaattgcttgactg		
BCA1_E12	UPF-gcaaaggacaccacacacac	182	
BCA1_E12	UPR-taaggtgaagcagcatctgg		
BCA1_E7	UPF-tttggcacggtttctgtagc	225	
BCA1_E7	UPR-gggtttctcttggtttctttg		
BCA1_E2	UPF-gaggcagagtggatggag	241	
BCA1_E2	UPR-ccatctggtaagtcagcac		
BCA1_E11a	<u>UPF</u> -acggctaattgtgctcactg	259	
BCA1_E11a	UPR-tctcagttcagaggcaacga		
BCA1_E6	UPF-cctgtgtcaagctgaaaagc	289	
BCA1_E6	UPR-cactgccatcacacggttt		
BCA1_E9	UPF-ccatgcctttaaccacttctctg	297	
BCA1_E9	UPR-ccagcttcatagacaaaggttctc		
BCA1_E11b	UPF-tcactcagaccaactccctg	315	
BCA1_E11b	UPR-accgttgctaccgagtgtct		
BCA1_E1	UPF-tettecacaaggteceatee	325	
BCA1_E1	UPR-ggctggtcatgaggtcagga		
BCA1_E10	UPF-tggtcagctttctgtaatcg	338	
BCA1_E10	UPR-gattttgtgggttgtaaaggtcc		
BCA1_E5	UPF-cacggcgatacagccctact	356	
BCA1_E5	UPR-gaaagggccttcacagtgtcc		
BCA1_E3	UPF-caactccagactagcagggt	400	
BCA1_E3	UPR-tgagatgtgcacccacagtg		
C1_mlh1	UPF-tgctgggatctgagggtt	442	
C1_mlh1	UPR-ggcagttgaggccctatg		
SET 2			
BCA1_E20	UPF-tacagagtggtggggtgag	130	
BCA1_E20	UPR-ccaaggtccaaagcgagca		
C2_p53	UPR-ctcacaacctccgtcatgtg	164	
C2_p53	UPR-tgttttgccaactggccaag		
BCA1_E23	UPF-actgtgctactcaagcacca	183	
BCA1_E23	UPR-acccaattgtggttgtgcag		
BCA1_E22	UPF-ggcacaggtatgtgggcag	234	
BCA1_E22	UPR-tggatggtacagctgtgtgg		
BCA1_E15	UPF-cgtcaaatcgtgtggccca	245	
BCA1_E15	UPR-tcacaattggtggcgatggt		
BCA1_E19	UPF-ggtatgagccacagtgcagg	254	
BCA1_E19	UPR-tctttaggggtgacccagtc		

Table 1upQMPSF multiplexprimer sets for the detection ofLGRs in BRCA1

T 1 1 1			
Table 1 continued	Name	Primer sequence	Productsize
	BCA1_E16	UPF-ttcttctggggtcaggcca	272
	BCA1_E16	UPR-cccagagtcagctcgtgttg	
	BCA1_E17	UPF-tcgcctcatgtggttttatgc	302
	BCA1_E17	UPR-tgtagaacgtgcaggattgc	
	BCA1_E21	UPF-tctgaggaacccccatcgtg	362
	BCA1_E21	UPR-gactgcttgtccctgggaag	
	BCA1_E14	UPF-tgtcagcagaaaggccttc	376
	BCA1_E14	UPR-ccttgtctcagctgggtgtc	
	BCA1_E13	UPF-tgaatgactgccttgggtcc	405
	BCA1_E13	UPR-gcagagggataccatgcaac	
^a <u>UPF</u> : universal forward	BCA1_E18	UPF-ggcttgtagcagcagtttcc	462
primer	BCA1_E18	UPR-tgtgtgtgaacggacactg	
^b <u>UPR</u> : universal reverse primer	BCA1_E24	UPF-tcgatcccaagcactctcc	520
* Promoter region of BRCA1 gene	BCA1_E24	<u>UPR</u> -acagccactactgactgcag	

DNA Fragment Analysis

Fluorescently labeled PCR products from MLPA, QMPSF, and upQMPSF were analyzed on ABI PRISM 3130XL (Applied Biosystem, USA) as per fragment analysis protocol. 1 μ l of PCR product loaded with 10 μ l of formamide and 1 μ l size standard (Rox500, Applied Biosystem, USA) in a capillary plate. Fragment size, fluorescent intensity (peak height), and area size were collected and visualized using GeneMapper[®] software. Downstream quantitative data analysis was used for calculating upQMPSF score that represents the normalized ratio (NR) of Rt (R ratio for test peaks/internal control peak height in tumor samples)/Rn (R ratio for peak height/internal control in 80 normal samples). This score was analyzed and a cut off value for copy number variant was determined.

DNA Sequencing Analysis

Breakpoint characterization of exon15 duplication was performed by amplifying a genomic region using MM-PCR. The PCR product was resolved on 1% agarose gel stained with Ethidium Bromide (EtBr) followed by DNA gel extraction via QIAquick gel extraction Kit (QIAGEN, USA).

Results

upQMPSF Assay Validation for LGRs Detection

The efficiency of upQMPSF in detecting LGRs was tested in comparison with QMPSF and MLPA using a set of four HNPCC patient samples with characterized

Name	Primer Sequence	Product Size
SET 1		
BRCA2_E4	UPF-gcatatcaccacaccagc	133
BRCA2_E4	UPR-tgggaggattgcttgaggca	
BRCA2_E11b	UPF-tgccaagtcatgccacaca	186
BRCA2_E11b	UPR-agtgattggcaacacgaaagg	
BRCA2_E7	UPF-ccttaatgatcagggcatttc	196
BRCA2_E7	UPR-tagaactaagggtgggtggtg	
BRCA2_E12	UPF-ggagaaccctcaatcaaaagaaac	228
BRCA2_E12	UPR-actttgagaggcaggtggatca	
C1_p53F	UPF-ctcacaacctccgtcatgtg	302
C1_p53R	UPR-ctagtgggttgcaggaggt	
BRCA2_E13	UPF-tgctgatttctgttgtatgcttg	327
BRCA2_E13	UPR-agggtacacaggtaatcggctct	
BRCA2_E15	UPF-acaaaggcaacgcgtctttcc	361
BRCA2_E15	UPR-actttagcaggatgaggcagg	
BRCA2_E2	UPF-accgttccaggagatggga	371
BRCA2_E2	UPR-ggttctaagcaacactgtgacg	
BRCA2_E1	UPF-gcttctgaaactaggcggca	249
BRCA2_E1R	UPR-tcgtcccaacccactaccac	
BRCA2_E11a	UPF-gattctctgtcatgcctgcag	434
BRCA2_E11a	UPR-tctcaggtggcaacagctcaac	
BRCA2_E10	UPF-gtctaaatggagcccagatgg	455
BRCA2_E10	UPR-agtccactttcagaggcttc	
BRCA2_E3	UPF-tcaaagagcaagggctgactc	458
BRCA2_E3	UPR-tcctctgtgatagcttgcaga	
BRCA2_E14	UPF-gttttggaatggcaaccatgg	513
BRCA2_E14	UPR-ctatcatcagagccatgtcca	
BRCA2_E5-6	UPF-tacacggtttccagcagctg	572
BRCA2_E5-6	UPR-tgcctgtatgaggcagaatg	
BRCA2_E8	UPF-cacagcatcatctgactttcca	604
BRCA2_E8	UPR-cccatgaataggggactacgg	
SET 2		
BRCA2_E19	UPF-ctgctaacagtactcggcct	143
BRCA2_E19R	UPR-cctccatcactgaaaagcga	
BRCA2_E21	UPF-aagacagcaagttcgtgctt	208
BRCA2_E21	UPR-ccttcctgtgatggccagag	
BRCA2_E27	UPF-aggaagtctgtttccacacc	230
BRCA2_E27	UPR-gctgaaatgccttctgtgca	
BRCA2_E22	UPF-tcaagggatgtcacaaccgt	241
BRCA2_E22R	UPR-tgggcattagtagtggattttgc	
BRCA2_E23-24	UPF-cagatttaccagccacggga	253
BRCA2_E23-24	UPR-ttgccaactggtagctccaa	
	-	

Table 2 upQMPSF multiplexprimer sets for the detection ofLGRs in *BRCA2*

Table 2 continued			
	Name	Primer Sequence	Product Size
	BRCA2_E17	UPF-ttcagggctctgtgtgacac	318
	BRCA2_E17	UPR-acaactggcttgtgcaacat	
	BRCA2_E18	UPF-atggcagactgacagttggt	327
	BRCA2_E18	UPR-ggacctcccaaaaactgcac	
	BRCA2_E26	UPF-tgcaaatgatcccaagtggt	342
	BRCA2_E26	UPR-acctgtttggaaagtgtgcac	
	BRCA2_E9	UPF-gctgcaagtcatggtaagtcct	362
	BRCA2_E9R	UPR-gagcaatccttcaatggtgc	
	C2_mlh1_E10	UPF-tgagctaatgggggcaaagg	384
	C2_mlh1_E10	UPR-tgggcaaataggctgcatac	
	BRCA2_E16	UPF-gcagatttagcaggaggcgt	439
	BRCA2_E16	UPR-gggtatgagccatccaccat	
	BRCA2_E25	UPF-ccagaatccaaatcaggcct	467
	BRCA2_E25	UPR-actgctgactgctgcaaacc	
	BRCA2_E20	UPF-tgtgcctggcctgatacaat	561
	BRCA2_E20	UPR-ggtcctagttccaggcata	

LGRs in *MLH1* gene. The result shows significant reduction in the exon deletion peak height when compared with its corresponding peak height in normal samples. This result was reproducible in all tested methods (Fig. 2a, b). upQMPSF score of *MLH1* set2 (exon 10–19) was calculated and displayed in a histogram with their corresponding frequencies.

Normal scores demonstrated a normal distribution pattern around a mean value of 1. Both JGH202-413 and PFT1045 patient with exon 12 deletions had upQMPSF scores of 0.5 and 0.55, respectively (Fig. 3a). These scores were located outside the region of normal variation in a range of 4 standard deviations. In another representation, the log2 values of upQMPSF scores were plotted and the distribution revealed a copy number loss with value of ≤ -0.5 (Fig. 3b).

Other ovarian cancer susceptible genes, such as *BRCA2*, *MLH1*, and *RAD51* were screened by upQMPSF for the detection of LGRs; however, no exon copy number variant was found in tested ovarian cancer patients.

Detection and Characterization of Exon 15 Duplication in BRCA1 Gene

A case of exon 15 duplication was detected in patient 81 in *BRCA1* gene which was previously reported (Ramus et al. 2007). upQMPSF peak profile yielded an increase in exon 15 peak intensity when compared with neighboring normal peaks (Fig. 4). The intensity was visually distinguished from normal samples with upQMPSF score of 1.47, which is nearly 50% increase in comparison with overall normal samples, which averaged f 1. This score is significantly separated from the normal variation range by a distance of 8 standard deviations (Fig. 5a). upQMPSF score ≥ 1.35 was



Fig. 2 MLPA, QMPSF, and upQMPSF method comparison for the detection of MLH1 LGRs in HNPCC patients: **a** Lower peak height depicted by red arrow, represents the deleted copy of MLH1 exon12 in two different HNPCC patients (e12 in JGH202-413 and e12 in PFT1045) in comparison with normal peak height in control sample in three detection methods, including upQMPSF. **b** Similar representation with lower peak height for two HNPCC samples in MLH1 multi-exon deletions (e3-6 in AUS17392 and e6-8 in JGH200-82)



Fig. 3 Statistical data analysis for MLH1 exon12 deletion in HNPCC patients: **a** Histogram shows upQMPSF scores for MLH1 set2 with their frequencies (*black bars*) in normal samples. upQMPSF score for MLH1 exon 12 deletions (*green bars*) were located outside the region of normal distribution $\sim 4 \times$ standard deviation from the mean of 1. upQMPSF score of 0.65 (*red arrow*) was chosen as a cut off value for quantitative detection of exon copy number loss. **b** log2 values (*black dots*) of all upQMPSF scores distributed around the value of 0 in normal samples, upQMPSF score for MLH1 exon12 deletions (*green lower dots*) are shown to have a log2 value of <-0.5 demonstrated exon copy number loss



Fig. 4 upQMPSF and the detection of BRCA1 exon 15 duplication in familial ovarian cancer patient 81: upQMPSF peak profile for BRCA1 set2 (exon 13–24), exon numbers are depicted on the *top* of each peak. *Red arrow (lower panel)* represents the detection of BRCA1 exon 15 duplication demonstrated by higher peak height in ovarian cancer patient 81 compared with the peak height in normal sample (*upper panel*) and other neighboring peaks

chosen as a cut off value for the detection of copy number gain. Log2 values of upQMPSF scores for *BRCA1* gene set2 scores revealed normal sample values around 0. Meanwhile, ovarian cancer samples with copy number gain had a value of ~ 0.48 (Fig. 5b). upQMPSF replicates showed consistent pattern for patient 81 and the duplication was confirmed by MLPA with an increase in exon 15 peak height (Fig. 6). Additional multiplex primer subset was established to amplify two intron 15 fragments 15_1 and 15_2 in addition to exon 14, 15, 16 fragments. upQMPSF results showed copy number gain in amplicons 15 and 15_1, but not 15_2. The data confirmed and refined the duplicated region in *BRCA1* gene to ~ 3.8 kb.

MM-PCR was used to characterize the breakpoints and to confirm the upQMPSF detected exon 15 duplication in *BRCA1* gene. A ~ 1.8 kb band, smaller in size than the internal control, was detected in cancer patients but was not found in healthy family members (Fig. 7a). Sequence analysis of the detected product revealed a 2.8 kb genomic duplication that involved exon 15 of *BRCA1* gene, consisting of 1.15 of intron 14 and 1.65 kb of intron 15 (Fig. 7b). The 3' and 5' breakpoints contained Alu repeats sequences (Alu sg/x and Alu sp) with high sequence homology in similar orientation and sharing identical sequence of 20 bp "ATTCTCCTGCCTCAGCCTCC". This suggested that homologous-based recombination is the underlying mechanism for the duplication rearrangement (Fig. 7b). Three other family members of patient 81 in the pedigree (Fig. 8) were also



Fig. 5 Statistical data analysis for BRCA1 exon15 duplication in familial ovarian cancer patient 81: a Histogram shows upQMPSF scores for BRCA1 set2 with their frequencies (*black bars*) in all normal samples. The score for BRCA1 exon15 duplication (E15dup, *red arrow*) was located outside the region of normal distribution $\sim 8 \times$ standard deviation from the mean of 1. upQMPSF score of 1.35 (*red arrow*) was chosen as a cut off value for the quantitative detection of exon copy number gain. **b** log2 values (*black dots*) of all upQMPSF scores distributed around the value of 0 in normal samples; upQMPSF score for BRCA1 exon15 duplication (E15dup, *red arrow*) was shown to have a log2 value of ~ 0.5 demonstrating exon copy number gain



Fig. 6 MLPA for BRCA1 exon15 duplication in familial ovarian cancer patient 81: MLPA result confirms the exon 15 duplication in ovarian cancer patient #81 detected by upQMPSF; the data shows increase in peak height in exon 15 in ovarian cancer sample in comparison with normal sample

screened to provide a prediction of cancer risk among family/relatives using upQMPSF, MM-PCR, and MLPA methods. All members were tested negative for exon 15 duplication mutation.



Fig. 7 Breakpoint characterization in BRCA1 exon15 duplication: **a** MM-PCR detected a band of 1.8 kb generated as a result of duplication, using primers (f and r3) in reverse direction in patient 81 with size smaller than (GAPDH) internal control. However, negative control (C), normal sample (N), and family members (004, 011, and 025) were negative. **b** Sequence analysis shows high content of Alu repeats in the region of exon 15 (*black arrows*), (*pink*) sequence represents the sequence of intron 14 and (*green*) represents intron 15 sequence. DNA sequencing of the detected region demonstrates the presence of two Alu sequences represents the matching Alu sequences with highlighted (*yellow* and *blue*) mismatch bases and (*gray*) 20 bp sequence that shares identical region

Discussion

Point mutation detection assays, including direct sequencing have identified nearly 63% of *BRCA1* coding region mutations in patients with breast and ovarian cancer in various ethnic groups (Collins 1996; Shattuck-Eidens et al. 1995). The predicted number has exceeded the actual detected mutations, which is partially attributed to the lack of superior methodologies detecting these mutations, such as LGRs. Southern blot is the classical detection method (Petrij-Bosch et al. 1997). However, it is laborious, time consuming, and lacks the quantitative analysis. Over the past years, two semi-quantitative PCR-based assays, MLPA and QMPSF, are being used to detect LGRs and changes in exon copy number in multiple hereditary syndromes



Fig. 8 Family pedigree of ovarian cancer patient 81: Ovarian cancer patient 81 (*blue, dark filled-in circle*) and healthy family members (*red circles* 004, 025, and 011), which are found to be negative for BRCA1 exon 15 duplication

(Schouten et al. 2002; Casilli et al. 2002). Despite their advantages, both methods have certain limitations and disadvantages. MLPA is commonly used and commercially available. However, it is considered expensive, requires 16 h probe hybridization, and extra ligation step and lacks versatility in research due to its inability to be customized. QMPSF is simple, easy, and it relies on simultaneous amplification of different target sequences in multiplex fashion using region-specific primers. Nevertheless, QMPSF is considered costly, particularly in small-scale studies, due to the use of fluorescently labeled primers in each amplified region and lower multiplexing capacity compared with MLPA.

Due to these method limitations, there is a critical need for a simple and costeffective technique for various types of mutation detections. The objective of the study is to develop an improved version of QMPSF with versatility, simplicity in the design, high efficiency, and reproducibility in detecting various mutation types. The newly created method was termed universal primer quantitative multiplex PCR short fluorescent fragments (upQMPSF). upQMPSF was established for quantitative detection of LGRs or exon/gene copy number changes. This is based upon simultaneous amplification of multiple genetic target regions using compatible multiplex region-specific chimerical primers, unique universal primers, and fluorescently labeled forward primer (Fig. 1). The improved concept replaces QMPSF individual fluorescently labeled primers with one universal primer to enhance robustness and improve assay cost efficiency by increasing multiplexing capacity up to 15 products per set. Moreover, upQMPSF improves the detection resolution since the multiplex primers are designed in the intron regions. Thus, the entire exon region including the splicing sites (exon–intron boundary regions) will be included in the analysis.

In comparison with MLPA and QMPSF, results showed that upQMPSF is as sensitive as the other methods in detecting previously characterized LGRs in 4 HNPCC patient samples.

Moreover, upQMPSF was used to screen 88 ovarian cancer patients for LGRs in *BRCA1/2* genes. Exon 15 duplication in *BRCA1* gene spanning 2.8 kb was detected in patient 81. The duplication was confirmed, breakpoints were characterized and the mechanism of duplication was found to be mediated by Alu–Alu-based homologous recombination event. However, no LGRs were detected in *BRCA2* gene.

Germline mutations in *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* genes account for most but not all familial breast and ovarian cancer cases. Therefore, it is essential to examine LGRs in other ovarian cancer susceptibility genes. *MutL1 Homology (MLH1)* gene is a member of mismatch repair genes. Previous studies suggest that defects in *MLH1* gene also increase the risk of pancreatic, prostate, endometrial, gastric, and ovarian cancers (Lynch et al. 1998). In another report, *RAD51* gene was found to have a major role in initiating HR repair along with *BRCA1/2* genes at the site of DNA damage (Cousineau et al. 2005; Baumann and West 1998). Like *BRCA1gene*, *RAD51* gene sequence analysis showed high content of 89 Alu repeat compositions, which make it prone to HR events and LGRs. upQMPSF showed no LGRs was found in both *MLH1* and *RAD51* genes in tested samples.

Conclusion

Various types of genetic abnormalities with different resolutions are found in cancer cells. They range in size from a point mutation/SNP to hundreds or thousands of bases of insertions and deletions such as large genomic rearrangements.

upQMPSF was developed for the detection of large size genomic rearrangements. A heterozygous genomic duplication spanning exon 15 was detected in *BRCA1* gene and mediated via Alu-based homologous recombination. No LGR was found in *BRCA2*, *MLH1*, and *RAD51* other hereditary ovarian cancer susceptible genes. The result concluded that LGRs are present in less than 1% in tested patient set. Nevertheless, LGRs detection in *BRCA1/2* should be included in the clinical genetic testing for the diagnosis of hereditary ovarian cancer.

When compared with currently available methods, upQMPSF is shown to be a sensitive, reproducible, cost-effective, easy to customize, and versatile method with improved detection resolution of LGRs and various types of mutations. As a mutation screening assay in genetic testing for hereditary diseases, upQMPSF allows for low cost and faster turn-around result.

Considering upQMPSF's capacity in detecting exon copy number variants, it should be considered for research and molecular diagnostic purposes with wider

spectrum for *BRCA1* gene mutation detection, as well as a safe alternative method, particularly for the confirmation or for first line of analysis.

Acknowledgments This research was supported in part by Gynecology Oncology Foundation and Roswell Alliance Foundation. Special thanks to Dr. Ping Liang for guiding this research and Dr. Richard DiCioccio for providing the ovarian cancer patient samples from Gilda Radner Ovarian Cancer Registry (GROCR) at Roswell Park Cancer Institute (RPCI). Also thanks to Dr. William Foulkes.

References

- Baumann P, West SC (1998) Role of the human RAD51 protein in homologous recombination and double-stranded-break repair. Trends Biochem Sci 23:247–251
- Bishop AJ, Schiestl RH (2000) Homologous recombination as a mechanism for genome rearrangements: environmental and genetic effects. Hum Mol Genet 9:2427–2434
- Casilli F, Di Rocco ZC, Gad S, Tournier I, Stoppa-Lyonnet D, Frebourg T, Tosi M (2002) Rapid detection of novel BRCA1 rearrangements in high-risk breast-ovarian cancer families using multiplex PCR of short fluorescent fragments. Hum Mutat 20:218–226
- Charbonnier F, Raux G, Wang Q, Drouot N, Cordier F, Limacher JM, Saurin JC, Puisieux A, Olschwang S, Frebourg T (2000) Detection of exon deletions and duplications of the mismatch repair genes in hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer families using multiplex polymerase chain reaction of short fluorescent fragments. Cancer Res 60:2760–2763
- Collins FS (1996) BRCA1-lots of mutations, lots of dilemmas. N Engl J Med 334:186-188
- Cousineau I, Abaji C, Belmaaza A (2005) BRCA1 regulates RAD51 function in response to DNA damage and suppresses spontaneous sister chromatid replication slippage: implications for sister chromatid cohesion, genome stability, and carcinogenesis. Cancer Res 65:11384–11391
- Gu W, Zhang F, Lupski JR (2008) Mechanisms for human genomic rearrangements. Pathogenetics 1:4
- Kalendar R, Lee D, Schulman AH (2009) FastPCR software for PCR primer and probe design and repeat search. Genes Genomics 3:1–14
- Kent WJ, Sugnet CW, Furey TS, Roskin KM, Pringle TH, Zahler AM, Haussler D (2002) The human genome browser at UCSC. Genome Res 12:996–1006
- Li L, McVety S, Younan R, Liang P, Du Sart D, Gordon PH, Hutter P, Hogervorst FB, Chong G, Foulkes WD (2006) Distinct patterns of germ-line deletions in MLH1 and MSH2: the implication of Alu repetitive element in the genetic etiology of Lynch syndrome (HNPCC). Hum Mutat 27:388
- Lupski JR (2007) Genomic rearrangements and sporadic disease. Nat Genet 39:S43-S47
- Lynch HT, Casey MJ, Lynch J, White TE, Godwin AK (1998) Genetics and ovarian carcinoma. Semin Oncol 25:265–280
- Mazoyer S, The BRCA1 Exon 13 Duplication Screening Group (2000) The exon 13 duplication in the BRCA1 gene is a founder mutation present in geographically diverse populations. Am J Hum Genet 67:207–212
- Petrij-Bosch A, Peelen T, van Vliet M, van Eijk R, Olmer R, Drüsedau M, Hogervorst FB, Hageman S, Arts PJ, Ligtenberg MJ, Meijers-Heijboer H, Klijn JG, Vasen HF, Cornelisse CJ, van't Veer LJ, Bakker E, van Ommen GJ, Devilee P (1997) BRCA1genomic deletions are major founder mutations in Dutch breast cancer patients. Nat Genet 17:341–345
- Pharoah PD, Ponder BA (2002) The genetics of ovarian cancer. Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol 16:449–468
- Puget N, Sinilnikova OM, Stoppa-Lyonnet D, Audoynaud C, Pagès S, Lynch HT, Goldgar D, Lenoir GM, Mazoyer S (1999) An Alu-mediated 6-kb duplication in the BRCA1 gene: a new founder mutation? Am J Hum Genet 64:300–302
- Ramus SJ, Harrington PA, Pye C, DiCioccio RA, Cox MJ, Garlinghouse-Jones K, Oakley-Girvan I, Jacobs IJ, Hardy RM, Whittemore AS, Ponder BA, Piver MS, Pharoah PD, Gayther SA (2007) Contribution of BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations to inherited ovarian cancer. Hum Mutat 28:1207–1215
- Schouten JP, McElgunn CJ, Waaijer R, Zwijnenburg D, Diepvens F, Pals G (2002) Relative quantification of 40 nucleic acid sequences by multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification. Nucleic Acids Res 30:e57

- Shattuck-Eidens D, McClure M, Simard J, Labrie F, Narod S, Couch F, Hoskins K, Weber B, Castilla L, Erdos M, Brody L, Friedman L, Ostermeyer E, Szabo C, King M, Jhanwar S, Offit K, Norton L, Gilewski T, Lubin M, Osborne M, Black D, Boyd M, Steel M, Ingles S, Haile R, Lindblom A, Olsson H, Borg A, Bishop T et al (1995) A collaborative survey of 80 mutations in the BRCA1 breast and ovarian cancer susceptibility gene. Implications for presymptomatic testing and screening. JAMA 273:535–541
- Smith TM, Lee MK, Szabo CI, Jerome N, McEuen M, Taylor M, Hood L, King MC (1996) Complete genomic sequence and analysis of 117 kb of human DNA containing the gene BRCA1. Genome Res 6:1029–1049
- van der Klift H, Wijnen J, Wagner A, Verkuilen P, Tops C, Otway R, Kohonen-Corish M, Vasen H, Oliani C, Barana D, Moller P, Delozier-Blanchet C, Hutter P, Foulkes W, Lynch H, Burn J, Möslein G, Fodde R (2005) Molecular characterization of the spectrum of genomic deletions in the mismatch repair genes MSH2, MLH1, MSH6, and PMS2 responsible for hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer (HNPCC). Genes Chromosomes Cancer 44:123–138
- Wooster R, Stratton MR (1995) Breast cancer susceptibility: a complex disease unravels. Trends Genet 11:3–5

Wooster R, Weber BL (2003) Breast and ovarian cancer. N Engl J Med 348:2339-2347