

Non-target host risk assessment for the parasitoid *Torymus* sinensis

Chiara Ferracini · Ester Ferrari · Matteo Alessandro Saladini · Marianna Pontini · Marida Corradetti · Alberto Alma

Received: 20 February 2015/Accepted: 27 April 2015/Published online: 7 May 2015 © International Organization for Biological Control (IOBC) 2015

Abstract Torymus sinensis Kamijo (Hymenoptera: Torymidae) has been released throughout Italy for biological control of the chestnut gall wasp. In response to concern about non-target impacts associated with the introduction of this exotic biological control agent, this study aimed at investigating T. sinensis's host range. In total, 1371 non-target galls were collected in north-central Italy in a two-year period, representing nine different species. Collections were carried out on common oak, downy oak, sessile oak, Turkey oak, and wild rose. A total of five native torymid species were recorded from the non-target galls (Megastigmus dorsalis, Torymus affinis, T. auratus, T. flavipes, and T. geranii), and three 33 T. sinensis individuals emerged from Biorhiza pallida galls collected in the field. Under controlled conditions, most of the non-target galls tested were not suitable hosts for oviposition. T. sinensis females only laid eggs on Andricus curvator. In olfactometer bioassays, higher numbers of T. sinensis females showed more interest to the chestnut galls compared to non-target hosts. This data highlights how T. sinensis

Handling Editor: Stefano Colazza.

C. Ferracini (\boxtimes) \cdot E. Ferrari \cdot M. A. Saladini \cdot M. Pontini \cdot M. Corradetti \cdot A. Alma

has a broader ecological host range than reported in the literature and that it is attracted by non-target hosts other than *D. kuriphilus*.

Keywords Torymus sinensis · Risk assessment · Host specificity · Chestnut gall · Oak gall

Introduction

Biological control of arthropod pests, weeds and plant diseases has been practiced for centuries. It is a costeffective, environmentally friendly approach to resolve pest problems in terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems. Classical biological control, in particular, involves selecting natural enemies of invasive species in their native range and releasing them in a recently invaded environment. It can assure lasting, highly selective, and effective pest control (McEvoy 1996), but in addition to providing a long-term benefit, the dispersal and permanent establishment of a beneficial insect for biological control leads to an irreversible situation with the potential to cause negative consequences to species other than the target pest (Andreassen et al. 2009; Brodeur 2012).

Prey/host specificity appears to be one of the most variable biological traits of biocontrol agents. Natural enemies currently used in biological control programmes may show various degrees of specificity, ranging from organisms having a narrow host range restricted to a single species or genus to those with a

Department of Agricultural, Forest and Food Sciences (DISAFA), University of Torino, Largo Paolo Braccini 2, 10095 Grugliasco, TO, Italy e-mail: chiara.ferracini@unito.it

wide spectrum of potential hosts covering several orders, classes or even kingdoms. Specificity primarily establishes the intrinsic potential of a given species to become an efficient safe natural enemy of a target pest (Brodeur 2012). Risks to non-target species from biological control programmes were already noted in the 1980s. Issues concern the risks, if any, that biological control agents introduced to new countries may pose, causing a decline in species that are not the target pest (Howarth 1991; Strand and Obricky 1996; Brodeur 2012).

Torymus sinensis Kamijo is a biological control agent of the chestnut gall wasp Dryocosmus kuriphilus Yasumatsu that has been distributed in Japan (1975), the USA (in the late 1970s), Italy (2005), and France (2011) (Moriya et al. 1989b; Cooper and Rieske 2007; Quacchia et al. 2008; Borowiec et al. 2014). It is reported in the literature as univoltine like its host, predominantly reproducing amphigonically, even if recent evidence proved it may exhibit a prolonged diapause mainly as late instar larva. After emergence, that takes place in early spring, and mating, the female lays eggs inside the larval chamber of newly formed galls, usually one egg per host larva. After hatching the larva feeds ectoparasitically on the host larva, and it pupates in the host larval chamber during winter (Moriya et al. 1989a; Ferracini et al. 2015).

The introduction of this parasitoid is widely known as one of the typical successful cases of classical biological control in Japan and Italy too (Moriya et al. 2003; Ferracini et al. 2015). However, the risks of the agent concerning potential negative effects on nontarget native gall makers mainly present on oaks (Quercus spp.) and closely related parasitoids have never been evaluated thoroughly. Murakami et al. (1977) reported T. sinensis, among the Chinese parasitoids, as the only species host specific and synchronous with the chestnut gall wasp, but we currently know little about its host range and host specificity in its native or introduced ranges. A few limited host range tests were carried out under laboratory conditions with alternative host galls from Mikiola fagi Hartig (Diptera: Cecidomyiidae), and on asexual generation of the oak gall wasps Cynips quercusfolii L. and Andricus kollari Hartig (Hymenoptera: Cynipidae) in 2004 (Quacchia et al. 2008), but no oviposition ever occurred. More preliminary tests with seven oak gall species [A. crispator Tschek, A. curvator Hartig, A. cydoniae Giraud, A. *grossulariae* Giraud, *A. multiplicatus* Giraud, *Biorhiza pallida* Olivier, and *D. cerriphilus* Giraud (Hymenoptera: Cynipidae)] were also performed in 2014, supporting the specificity thesis further (Quacchia et al. 2014).

In response to concern about non-target impacts associated with the introduction of this exotic biological control agent, the EFSA Panel on Plant Health established a new alternative host species list for testing the host-specificity of *T. sinensis*, comprising galls which may be more susceptible to attack during the period that females are searching for hosts, such as *A. curvator* sexual generation, *A. cydoniae* sexual generation, *A. grossulariae* sexual generation, *A. inflator* sexual generation, *A. lucidus* sexual generation, *A. multiplicatus* sexual generation, *B. pallida* sexual generation, *D. cerriphilus* sexual and asexual generation) (EFSA Panel on Plant Health (PLH) 2010).

The present study aimed at investigating T. sinensis's host range, with particular regard to the oak galls species listed by EFSA Panel on Plant Health (PLH) (2010). No choice trials were carried out applying recently-developed protocols for host/prey range testing, considering the hypothesis that species most closely related taxonomically and ecologically to the target are more likely to be utilized as hosts by the biological control agent being tested (Kuhlmann et al. 2006; van Lenteren et al. 2006). Furthermore, olfactometer bioassays were performed to assess the attractiveness of volatiles for T. sinensis females. Experiments were conducted to test the response of the parasitoid comparing chestnut galls, reported in literature as the only target host, versus non-target oak galls.

Materials and methods

Insect

All *T. sinensis* used in the trials emerged from parasitized chestnut galls randomly collected, by hand, from low branches (from ground level to 2 m high) and with the aid of lopping shears from the medium–high tree crown (from 2 to 5 m high), once a year, in winter, both in 2013 and 2014 in chestnut orchards in Piemont region, Italy, where the parasitoid

was first released in 2005 and then successfully established. The galls were kept in cardboard boxes outdoors from January to April until emergence of the parasitoids $(Tmin = -1.9 \ ^{\circ}C,$ $Tmax = 16.3 \,^{\circ}C,$ RHmin = 67.8 %, RHmax = 78.8 % in 2013: Tmin = 0.8 °C, $Tmax = 19.3 \ ^{\circ}C,$ RHmin =64.5 %, RHmax = 81.1 % in 2014). Newly emerged females were fed every 48 h with drops of honey on cardboard and individually kept in glass tubes (120 mm in height \times 18 mm in diameter), with no previous contact with a host, in a climatic chamber at 15 ± 1 °C, 60 ± 5 % RH, and a photoperiod of 16:8 (L:D) h.

Gall collection

Non-target galls were collected in four regions in Italy (two sites per region): Liguria [Borzonasca (GE) 9°23′45.9″ 44°26′01.6″N E, Sassello (SV) 44°29'33.7" N 8°33'17.9" E], Piemont [Molare (AL) 44°34'40.2" N 8°36'10.5" E, Pianfei (CN) 44°19'41.2" N 7°40'58.9" E], Tuscany [Marradi (FI) 44°04'53.1" N 11°38'17.8" E, Piazza al Serchio (LU) 44°10'31.8" N 10°17′14.9″ E], Valle d'Aosta [Arnad (AO) 45°38'22.7" N 7°43'41.8" E, Perloz (AO) 45°36'53.9" N 7°48'24.4" E] (Fig. 1). Collections were carried out on common oak (Quercus robur L.), downy oak (Q. pubescens Willdenow), sessile oak (Q. petraea (Mattuschka) Lieblein), Turkey oak (Q. cerris L.), and wild rose (Rosa spp. L.). The selection of non-target oak



Fig. 1 Location of the sampling sites (black dots). The inset indicates the location of the four surveyed regions in Italy

hosts was based on the species list for host-specificity testing established by EFSA Panel On Plant Health (PLH) (2010), and host galls from the genus *Rosa*, since their abundance in the wood, were tested as well as suggested by Gibbs et al. (2011).

Investigations were carried out over a two-year period (2013–2014) in all regions and in the same sites. Withered galls were collected in January–February in order to verify if *T. sinensis* may emerge from non-target hosts, and fresh galls were collected in April–May (during *T. sinensis* emergence) both on non-target hosts and chestnut trees (used as a control), to perform oviposition trials and olfactometer bioassays under controlled conditions.

Sampled trees and shrubs were located in mixed forests close to infested chestnut stands where *T. sinensis* was previously released in order to evaluate a potential shift from chestnut to non-target hosts. In Table 1 the years of release and the coordinates of *T. sinensis* release points are provided. Collections were made by hand from low branches (from ground level to 2 m high) and with the aid of lopping shears from the medium–high tree crown (from 2 to 5 m high). Galls were stored in plastic bags, transferred immediately to the laboratory, and identified using voucher specimens deposited at the DISAFA-Entomology laboratory, Italy.

Withered galls were individually isolated in plastic containers (70 mm in height by 55 mm in diameter) with a fine-gauze lid, and stored outdoors within 24 h from collection. Containers were checked weekly, and then daily after the first parasitoid emerged. Fresh galls were kept in climatic chamber at 15 ± 1 °C, 60 ± 5 % RH, and a photoperiod of 16:8 (L:D) h and used in the trials within 24 h from collection. Containers were kept outdoors from January to December until emergence of the parasitoids $(Tmin = -1.9 \ ^{\circ}C,$ $Tmax = 29.1 \,^{\circ}C,$ RHmin = 61.7 %, RHmax = 83.4 % in 2013; Tmin = 0.8 °C, $Tmax = 26.3 \ ^{\circ}C,$ RHmin = 63.0 %, RHmax = 89.7 % in 2014).

No choice oviposition trials

These tests, based on the 'no choice black box test' (van Lenteren et al. 2006), aimed to test *T. sinensis*'s host range, investigating the parasitoid's ability to develop in non-target hosts. No choice exposures to non-target hosts were conducted in an enclosed arena

Table 1 Years of releaseof *T. sinensis* release pointsin the surveyed sites

Italian ragions	Surveyed sites	Vaar of release
Italian regions	Surveyed sites	Teal of Telease
Liguria	Borzonasca	2012
	Sassello	2011
Piemont	Molare	2012
	Pianfei	2008
Tuscany	Marradi	2010
	Piazza al Serchio	2011
Valle d'Aosta	Arnad	2012
	Perloz	2012

in order to maximise the likelihood of non-target attack. Four fresh oak gall species included in the EFSA list were tested in 2013 (*A. curvator*, *A. grossulariae*, *B. pallida*, and *N. quercusbaccarum*), and only two in 2014 (*A. curvator*, and *B. pallida*).

A single fresh non-target gall was offered to a sixday-old naïve *T. sinensis* female placed on a wet filter paper inside a Petri dish (diameter 10 cm) in order to obtain data on its behaviour in relation to parasitism, with a minimum of 20 replications for each gall species. Observations were performed using a stereomicroscope for 1 h. Three behavioural sequences were recorded: host location, defined as a walk on the gall locating the host through vibrotaxis; attempted oviposition, defined as the attempted insertion of the ovipositor; and oviposition, defined as successful insertion of the ovipositor followed by the pumping action of the abdomen.

At the end of the observation the female was then removed from the arena and individually isolated in a Petri dish containing a fresh unparasitised chestnut gall as control, and the three behavioural sequences were recorded following the same procedure described above. All the tested galls were individually stored in glass tubes (120 mm in height \times 18 mm in diameter), and then dissected with the aid of a scalpel using a stereomicroscope. Since eggs may have escaped detection, galls were stored in a climatic chamber at 24 ± 2 °C, 50 ± 10 % RH, and a photoperiod of 16:8 (L:D) h for ten days to ease the detection of the parasitoid at larval stage. All the trials were performed under laboratory conditions. To avoid any influence in the behaviour of the parasitoid, chestnut galls were collected in Alto Adige region in a site with no presence of T. sinensis. On the contrary, since during collection it was not possible to detect previously parasitised galls (e.g. by visual inspection), oak galls were discarded after the trials if any native parasitoid larva was identified by molecular analysis after dissection.

Olfactometer bioassays

In the olfactometer bioassays, six-day-old T. sinensis females were used to assess their olfactory responses to the odours of the chestnut gall (used as a control) and six non-target galls (A. curvator, A. cydoniae, A. grossulariae, A. multiplicatus, B. pallida, N. quercusbaccarum) as alternative hosts. Before the trials, the insects were individually kept at room temperature without any host in a glass tube for 18 h with a humid cotton cap and microdrops of honey to acclimate the wasps to the experimental conditions. The bioassays were carried out in a horizontal Y-shaped Pyrex tube following the procedure described for another wasp, Necremnus spp. (Ferracini et al. 2012). The air flow was provided by an air pump (Air 275R, Sera, Heinsberg, Germany) and then filtered in an activated CO_2 filter, regulated with a flowmeter at 2.5 l min⁻¹ (EK-2NRK, Comer, Bologna, Italy) and humidified in a 1-1 water bubbler half filled with deionized water. After the air flow was established, a single parasitoid female was introduced into the entry arm. Each female was observed until she had moved at least 2 cm up one of the side arms or until 10 min had elapsed. For each test the same odour sources were used while a female was evaluated only once to prevent any behaviour conditioned by experience. The odour sources chosen by females that responded were recorded. Thirty responses were recorded for each pair of odour sources. After testing five females, the odour sources were switched between the left-hand and right-hand side arms to minimize any spatial effect on choices. The Y-tube and cameras were cleaned with mild soap

and alcohol (70 % v) and sterilized in an autoclave at 120 °C for 20 min. The olfactory bioassays were conducted at 24 ± 2 °C, 50 ± 10 % RH, and 250 ± 10 lux.

Parasitoid identification

Among all the parasitoids emerged from non-target hosts, only the torymid species were morphologically identified using specific dichotomous keys (Kamijo 1982; de Vere Graham and Gijswijt 1998) and by comparison with voucher specimens deposited at the DISAFA-Entomology laboratory, Italy. Doubtful species and larvae recorded in dissected galls in the no choice oviposition trials were submitted to DNA extraction and then sequenced for the cytochrome oxidase I (COI) gene following Kaartinen et al. (2010).

Statistical analyses

In the behavioural trials the numbers of times that *T*. *sinensis* females engaged in three types of behaviour (host location, attempted oviposition, and oviposition) were recorded, and means were analyzed for each non-target gall to *D. kuriphilus* gall (as control) by paired *t*-tests for dependent samples. In the olfactory bioassays, the responses of parasitoid females were analysed by a χ^2 test. The null hypothesis was that parasitoid females had a 50:50 distribution across the two odour sources. All analyses were performed using SPSS version 20.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA).

Results

In total, 1371 non-target galls were collected over the two-year period, corresponding to four different genera: Andricus, Biorhiza, Diplolepis, and Neuroterus (Table 2). The galls found most frequently were the sexual generations of *B. pallida* (856), while only three galls from *A. grossulariae* and one gall from *A. lucidus* were recorded. A total of 794 native torymid specimens emerged from the isolated galls, belonging to five species: Megastigmus dorsalis, Torymus affinis, T. auratus, T. flavipes, and T. geranii (Table 3). The most frequent species was T. flavipes (381 specimens), while *M. dorsalis* (six specimens), and T. geranii (three specimens) were recorded sporadically. *B. pallida* galls proved to be parasitized by all the parasitoid

species recorded, except for *M. dorsalis*, which was recorded emerging from galls of *A. cydoniae*, *A. lucidus*, and *A. multiplicatus*. *T. geranii* emerged only from *B. pallida* galls, and the only species recorded emerging from *D. rosae* was *T. auratus*.

In addition to native torymid species, in 2013 a total of three $\Im \Im T$. *sinensis* individuals emerged from nontarget *B. pallida* galls collected in the Piemont region in both surveyed sites (two $\Im \Im$ from Pianfei and one \Im from Molare). The cytochrome oxidase I gene obtained from the specimens submitted to molecular identification was sequenced and sequences were compared with those in the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) sequence database. In all cases, a minimum of 99 % similarity with *T. sinensis*-related sequences was observed.

No other emergence of the exotic parasitoid was recorded for the other non-target oak galls nor for *D. rosae* during the surveyed period (Table 3). All the torymid species emerged from the withered non-target galls were collected between April and May, depending on the site.

No choice oviposition trials

In the no choice oviposition trials all the behavioural traits recorded on non-target and target hosts are reported in Table 4. In the close confinement imposed by the experimental design, T. sinensis females responded to all non-target and target species by locating and investigating the hosts. The number of host location events was significantly lower for A. curvator both in 2013 and 2014 (t-test = -4.59; df = 29; P < 0.001 in 2013; *t*-test = -2.99; df = 29; P = 0.008 in 2014), and for A. grossulariae (t-test = -3.14; df = 19; P = 0.005), and N. quercusbaccarum (t-test = -4.36; df = 19; P < 0.001) compared to the control, while for B. pallida no significant differences were revealed (*t*-test = -0.82; df = 19; P = 0.42 in 2013; *t*-test = -1.18; df = 19; P = 0.25 in 2014). Attempts of oviposition were observed when the parasitoid was offered B. pallida galls both in 2013 and in 2014 (*t*-test = 1.83; df = 19; P = 0.08 in 2013; *t*-test = 1.79; df = 19; P = 0.09 in 2014), but no oviposition ever occurred.

Only in 2014 both host location and oviposition was observed when the parasitoid was offered a non-target gall. In fact, six *T. sinensis* females out of the 20 tested showed interest in a non-target host, laying eggs in *A*.

Year	Italian regions	Host plant	Galls species	Generation	No.
2013	Liguria	Quercus robur	Andricus curvator	Sexual	1
		Quercus petraea	Biorhiza pallida	Sexual	29
	Piemont	Quercus robur	Andricus curvator	Sexual	26
		Quercus cerris	Andricus cydoniae	Sexual	205
		Quercus robur	Andricus inflator	Sexual	46
		Quercus cerris	Andricus multiplicatus	Sexual	12
		Quercus robur	Biorhiza pallida	Sexual	132
		Rosa spp.	Diplolepis rosae	Asexual	17
Tuscany Valle d'Aosta		Quercus robur	Neuroterus quercusbaccarum	Sexual	2
	Tuscany	Quercus cerris	Andricus lucidus	Sexual	1
	Quercus cerris	Andricus multiplicatus	Sexual	41	
		Rosa spp.	Diplolepis rosae	Asexual	1
	Quercus robur	Andricus curvator	Sexual	61	
	Quercus cerris	Andricus cydoniae	Sexual	2	
		Quercus cerris	Andricus grossulariae	Sexual	3
		Quercus pubescens	Andricus inflator	Sexual	12
		Quercus pubescens	Biorhiza pallida	Sexual	317
		Rosa spp.	Diplolepis rosae	Asexual	6
		Quercus pubescens	Neuroterus quercusbaccarum	Sexual	79
2014	Liguria	Quercus robur	Biorhiza pallida	Sexual	76
	Piemont	Quercus robur	Biorhiza pallida	Sexual	150
	Tuscany	Quercus petraea	Biorhiza pallida	Sexual	10
	Valle d'Aosta	Quercus robur	Biorhiza pallida	Sexual	142

Table 2Number of non-
target galls collected in the
two-year period
(2013–2014) from the
surveyed sites according to
the oak host gall species list
for host-specificity testing
established by EFSA Panel
on Plant Health (PLH)
(2010)

curvator galls (each female laid one egg per gall), although this was significantly lower that the number of *T. sinensis* females that subsequently oviposited on the control, *D. kuriphilus* galls (*t*-test = -3.25; df = 19; P = 0.004).

In the non-target galls tested no native parasitoid was detected by molecular analysis carried out after dissection. In the control trials oviposition occurred in 96 % of the chestnut galls tested.

The cytochrome oxidase I gene obtained from each of the larvae found in the dissected galls, both on nontarget and target hosts, was submitted to molecular identification, sequenced and the sequences compared with those in the National Centre for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) sequence database. In all cases, a minimum of 99 % similarity with *T. sinenisis*-related sequences was observed.

Olfactometer bioassays

In the olfactometer bioassays all the T. sinensis females tested responded by making a choice within

the fixed time. Higher numbers of *T. sinensis* females were attracted to the chestnut galls compared to nontarget hosts. In particular, significant differences in the responses of adults were found when chestnut gall was compared to *A. cydoniae* ($\chi^2 = 6.53$; df = 1; P = 0.01), and *A. grossulariae* ($\chi^2 = 13.33$; df = 1; P < 0.01), while for *A. curvator* ($\chi^2 = 3.33$; df = 1; P = 0.07), *A. multiplicatus* ($\chi^2 = 3.33$; df = 1; P = 0.07), *B. pallida* ($\chi^2 = 3.33$; df = 1; P = 0.07), and *N. quercusbaccarum* ($\chi^2 = 2.13$; df = 1; P = 0.14) no significant differences were observed (Fig. 2).

Discussion

In recent years there has been growing concern about the potential or actual threat presented by alien entomophagous biological control agents to populations of native non-target arthropod species (López-Vaamonde and Moore 1998). The use of tests to assess plants as potential hosts for herbivorous insects began

Table 3 Numbers of native torymid species and the Image: Species and the	Year	Italian regions Gall species		Torymid species emerged	ŶŶ	33
exotic Torymus sinensis (in	2013	Liguria	Biorhiza pallida	Torymus affinis	9	6
bold) emerged from non-				Torymus auratus	40	36
two-vear period				Torymus flavipes	20	3
(2013-2014) from the		Piemont	Andricus cydoniae	Megastigmus dorsalis	0	1
surveyed sites			Biorhiza pallida	Torymus affinis	10	13
				Torymus auratus	0	2
				Torymus geranii	0	1
				Torymus sinensis	0	3
			Diplolepis rosae	Torymus auratus	1	3
		Tuscany	Andricus lucidus	Megastigmus dorsalis	4	0
			Andricus multiplicatus	Megastigmus dorsalis	0	1
		Valle d'Aosta	Andricus curvator	Torymus flavipes	27	44
			Andricus cydoniae	Torymus flavipes	1	0
			Biorhiza pallida	Torymus affinis	3	7
				Torymus auratus	11	14
				Torymus flavipes	105	105
				Torymus geranii	0	2
			Neuroterus quercusbaccarum	Torymus flavipes	24	50
	2014	Liguria	Biorhiza pallida	Torymus auratus	4	8
		Piemont	Biorhiza pallida	Torymus affinis	23	40
				Torymus auratus	2	0
		Tuscany	Biorhiza pallida	Torymus affinis	7	4
				Torymus auratus	3	1
		Valle d'Aosta	Biorhiza pallida	Torymus affinis	84	69
				Torymus auratus	2	2
	_			Torymus flavipes	0	2

over 70 years ago and has long been routine. In contrast, interest in estimating parasitoid and predator host ranges has lagged considerably behind (van Driesche and Murray 2004).

A full environmental risk assessment relies on the identification and evaluation of potential risks associated with natural enemy release and the development of a plan to minimize them. That is why in a classical biological program it is extremely important, prior to releasing the exotic natural enemy, to identify, assess and weigh all adverse and beneficial effects in a riskcost benefit assessment (Gibbs et al. 2011).

The set of species that can support the development of a parasitoid or serve as prey for a predator-observed under laboratory conditions exclusively-is defined as the fundamental host range of a potential agent, also termed the physiological host range. In contrast, the ecological host range is defined as the current and evolving set of host species actually used for successful reproduction in the field (Onstad and Mcmanus 1996; Haye et al. 2005). However, assessment of the host range of a biological control agent in the laboratory often yields a significantly broader fundamental host range in comparison to the ecological host range (Have et al. 2005), overestimating the field host range. Generally, the results of the host specificity study constitute a key factor in the risk analysis performed before an exotic beneficial arthropod can be safely utilized as a biological control agent.

In the last decade annual chestnut production in Italy underwent several drops, mainly ascribed to adverse climatic conditions and pests. In particular, the Asian chestnut gall wasp has been responsible for a severe reduction in fruiting, with yield losses estimated to reach between 65 and 85 % in northern Italy (Bosio et al. 2013; Battisti et al. 2014), with a heavy economic impact on Italian chestnut production.

Table 4 Mean number	$(\pm SE)$ of	host locat	ion, attempted
oviposition, and ovipos	sition events	s engaged	by T. sinensis
females comparing nor	n-target oak	galls (the	selection was
based on the species list	for host-spe	ecificity test	ing established

by EFSA Panel on Plant Health (PLH) 2010) and *D. kuriphilus* galls (control) recorded during 1 h observation periods in no choice oviposition trials over a two-year period (2013–2014)

Year	Non-target species compared to the control	No.	Host location	Attempted oviposition	Oviposition
2013	Andricus curvator	30	$0.33 \pm 0.09^{***}$	0.00	0.00***
	Dryocosmus kuriphilus	30	0.97 ± 0.10	0.00	0.83 ± 0.07
	Biorhiza pallida	20	1.40 ± 0.29	0.15 ± 0.08	0.00***
	Dryocosmus kuriphilus	20	1.45 ± 0.15	0.00	0.80 ± 0.09
	Andricus grossulariae	20	$0.85 \pm 0.15^{**}$	0.00	0.00***
	Dryocosmus kuriphilus	20	1.55 ± 0.17	0.00	0.90 ± 0.07
	Neuroterus quercusbaccarum	20	$0.80 \pm 0.12^{***}$	0.00	0.00***
	Dryocosmus kuriphilus	20	1.90 ± 0.22	0.00	0.80 ± 0.09
2014	Andricus curvator	20	$0.35 \pm 0.11^{**}$	0.00	$0.30 \pm 0.11^{**}$
	Dryocosmus kuriphilus	20	0.95 ± 0.15	0.00	0.80 ± 0.09
	Biorhiza pallida	20	1.50 ± 0.44	0.25 ± 0.14	0.00***
	Dryocosmus kuriphilus	20	1.55 ± 0.18	0.00	0.90 ± 0.07

Means were compared for each non-target species using a paired *t*-tests for dependent samples

** P < 0.01; *** P < 0.001



Fig. 2 Responses of *T. sinensis* (number of responding females in bars) in a Y-tube olfactometer to the odours of chestnut gall and non-target galls. Numbers in bars represent individuals that moved toward the volatiles. χ^2 statistics (*P < 0.05; df = 1)

From the first report of this pest in Italy in 2002, following the successful experiences in Japan and North America, and due to the severity of the problem, tested the hypothesis that the distribution of side arm choices deviated from a null model where odour sources were chosen with equal frequency

pros and cons in the release of the exotic parasitoid were balanced, and biological control was considered the only economically and environmentally sustainable solution to deal with the pest promptly, since in the literature alternative approaches (e.g. chemical treatments, resistant varieties) were all found infeasible. In its native distribution, *D. kuriphilus* populations are controlled by natural enemies. In all the countries invaded by the pest a rich parasitoid community has been reported, but the attack rates have remained low (typically less than 2 %) (Aebi et al. 2007; Gibbs et al. 2011; Quacchia et al. 2013).

Introductions of exotic organisms carry with it some unknown level of environmental risk, but these risks must be weighed against the consequences of not initiating biological control, which can also include serious environmental as well as economic consequences (Heimpel et al. 2004). The releases of *T. sinensis* carried out aided in restoring a habitat to similar conditions as those observed prior to the pest introduction, representing a large benefit for the chestnuts and chestnut growers. Even though the host range of *T. sinensis* has never been studied or tested in detail in either its native or introduced ranges over a long period of time, the parasitoid was considered specific to *D. kuriphilus* (Murakami et al. 1977; Quacchia et al. 2014).

Nevertheless the host range of an apparently strictly monophagous parasitoid species may not be constant, either in space or time. It could expand in environments with greater diversity and hence have a larger number of new potential hosts (López-Vaamonde and Moore 1998). Attacking non-target hosts is of concern due to the potential harm that exotic natural enemies may impose on native or beneficial exotic species (Nadel et al. 2009). However, the risk to non-target species is complex and difficult to estimate. Guidelines for appropriate host range tests have been proposed by the EFSA Panel on Plant Health (PLH) (2010). Following this suggestion, our approach was to assess T. sinensis's capacity to attack and reproduce on non-target gall species inhabiting common habitat with Castanea trees.

Even if, to date, no severe non-target effects have ever been reported in the literature after the release of *T. sinensis*, this paper represents the first report of potential negative effects on non-target native galls makers mainly present on oaks (*Quercus* spp.) by introducing the exotic parasitoid *T. sinensis* as a biological control agent for the chestnut gall wasp, *D. kuriphilus*. In contrast to Quacchia et al. (2008, 2014), who confirmed a high level of specificity for *T*. sinensis on the basis of a set of non-target species tested, our study highlights how *B. pallida* oak galls proved to be successfully parasitized. Even if the case record was low (three galls parasitised by T. sinensis out of 856 collected in the field), this finding suggests that this oak gall species is a suitable host for the exotic parasitoid. In 2013 the emergence of T. sinensis was recorded only from B. pallida galls collected in both surveyed sites of Piemont region. That is why, due to the considerable presence of B. pallida in our environment, a mass collection of this gall species was performed in 2014, but no other emergence was recorded. In the laboratory the conditions under which non-target tests are conducted may also limit interpretation of the host range. Test arenas confine the parasitoid with the host and may force encounters with non-target hosts, increasing the probability of the parasitoid accepting completely factitious hosts (Mason et al. 2011). Nevertheless, in the no choice oviposition trials, when they were offered to T. sinensis females, oviposition only occurred in 2014 on A. curvator. Since galls were dissected to detect the presence of the larvae, no data is available about their potential development to the adult stage. Some probing attempts were recorded on B. pallida, but no oviposition ever occurred.

In general, *T. sinensis* proved to be more attracted by chestnut galls compared to non-target hosts, showing a similar responsiveness in the olfactometer bioassays as well. Statistical differences were observed only for *A. cydoniae* and *A. grossulariae*, but the interest showed by the parasitoid for *A. curvator*, *A. multiplicatus*, *B. pallida*, and *N. quercusbaccarum* have to be further investigated.

In the oviposition trials, all the parasitoid females were naïve and tests were conducted under no choice conditions only. In accordance with Withers and Brown (2005), no choice tests with both naïve and oviposition-experienced females should be performed because it has been shown that oviposition experience may either reduce or enhance responsiveness. Furthermore, since parasitoids can display wider host ranges in choice tests, it would be useful to set up choice trials where the parasitoid is given a choice of host and non-target host for a more accurate prediction of potential host range. In fact, parasitoid response is generally expected to be biased toward the familiar host, especially after the parasitoid successfully oviposits in it (Nadel et al. 2009). In this study we confirmed that *T. sinensis* has a broader physiological host range than reported in the literature and determined that it may be attracted by non-target hosts other than *D. kuriphilus*. The assessment of risk requires consideration of the likelihood and magnitude of an effect and evaluation of risk management priorities (Moeed et al. 2006). Methods for quantifying the magnitude and spatiotemporal scale of impact of exotic natural enemies on populations of native insects are crucial to advance current risk assessment (Wyckhuys et al. 2009). However, the incidence of these host shift in the complex chestnut-oak is currently difficult to be quantified in the natural environments.

Over the two-year period, in order to monitor the potential emergence of *T. sinensis*, eight oak gall species suggested in the EFSA list were collected, while in the oviposition trials four non-target species out of nine were tested. At present, research is ongoing to test *A. cydoniae*, *A. inflator*, *A. lucidus*, *A. multiplicatus*, and *D. cerriphilus* in controlled conditions as possible hosts for *T. sinensis*, since they provide the closest phenological match to the flight period of the parasitoid (i.e. between April and May in Italy) (EFSA Panel On Plant Health (PLH) 2010; Aebi et al. 2011). Further investigations need also to be performed on *B. pallida*, given the emergence of the parasitoid from galls collected in the field and the interest showed by some females in the oviposition trials.

Food availability is an important aspect that may influence the biological traits of many arthropods. Recently a novel insight concerning T. sinensis's life cycle was highlighted in this regard: a prolongation of diapause was reported. Even if it is hard to speculate what are the factors that triggered this response, this may be read as an adaptive value to protect the population against the yearly fluctuation in food supply (Ferracini et al. 2015). At present, there is growing evidence that the T. sinensis parasitism rate is dramatically increasing in some Italian regions, almost reaching 98 % in old release sites (Bosio et al. 2013), and parasitisation on non-target hosts was recorded only in sites where a stable population of the exotic parasitoid is present (Piemont region), since the first releases date back to 2005. Hence, a host-shift to oak galls may be due to the need to find another suitable host since populations of the Asian chestnut gall wasp have recently declined significantly (Ferracini et al. 2015), and even though the frequency of cases of observed non-target impacts were small, major effects on non-target gall populations could be expected to be detectable. Hence, longer term studies are necessary to allow more precise conclusions to be drawn on non target impacts, that is why an exhaustive research about all potential non-target galls and their phenology is needed in order to better understand the relationship between the exotic parasitoid and native biocoenoses. At the same time, since in this paper four native Torymus species emerged from non-target galls during T. sinensis flight period as well, and in the literature five native species [T. auratus, T. erucarum (Schrank), T. flavipes, T. geranii, and T. scutellaris (Walker)] are reported from chestnut galls (Alma et al. 2014), an evaluation of the potential for hybridization between these congeneric species is also required in order to have a comprehensive knowledge of the environmental risk to non-target species that T. sinensis may pose to native biodiversity.

Acknowledgments We wish to thank Johann Laimer who kindly provided the unparasitised chestnut galls used in the behavioural trials, and Ivo Poli for helping during the field activity. We are also grateful to Cecilia Ferrara, Federica Fleury, Lindsay Hernández Nova, Greta Pastorino, Cristina Pogolotti, and Valentina Tosi for their technical assistance.

References

- Aebi A, Schönenberger N, Melika G, Quacchia A, Alma A, Stone GN (2007) Native and introduced parasitoids attacking the invasive chestnut gall wasp *Dryocosmus kuriphilus*. EPPO Bull 37:166–171
- Aebi A, Schönenberger N, Bigler F (2011) Evaluating the use of *Torymus sinensis* against the chestnut gall wasp *Dryocosmus kuriphilus* in Canton Ticino, Switzerland. Agroscope Reckenholz-Tänikon Report, p 71
- Alma A, Ferracini C, Sartor C, Ferrari E, Botta R (2014) Il cinipide orientale del castagno: lotta biologica e sensibilità varietale. Italus Hortus 21(3):15–29
- Andreassen LD, Kuhlmann U, Mason PG, Holliday NJ (2009) Host range testing of a prospective classical biological control agent against cabbage maggot, *Delia radicum*, in Canada. Biol Control 48:210–220
- Battisti A, Benvegnù I, Colombari F, Haack RA (2014) Invasion by the chestnut gall wasp in Italy causes significant yield loss in *Castanea sativa* nut production. Agric Forest Entomol 16:75–79
- Borowiec N, Thaon M, Brancaccio L, Warot S, Vercken E, Fauvergue X, Ris N, Malausa JC (2014) Classical biological control against the chestnut gall wasp *Dryocosmus kuriphilus* (Hymenoptera, Cynipidae) in France. Plant Prot Q 29(1):7–10

- Bosio G, Armando M, Moriya S (2013) Verso il controllo biologico del cinipide del castagno. Inftore Agrario 4(14):60–64
- Brodeur J (2012) Host specificity in biological control: insights from opportunistic pathogens. Evol Appl 5:470–480
- Cooper WR, Rieske LK (2007) Community associates of an exotic gallmaker, *Dryocosmus kuriphilus* (Hymenoptera: Cynipidae), in Eastern North America. Ann Entomol Soc Am 100(2):236–244
- de Vere Graham MWR, Gijswijt MJ (1998) Revision of the European species of *Torymus* Dalman (s. Lat.) (Hymenoptera: Torymidae). Zool Verh Leiden 317:1–202
- EFSA Panel on Plant Health (PLH) (2010) Risk assessment of the oriental chestnut gall wasp, *Dryocosmus kuriphilus* for the EU territory on request from the European Commission. EFSA J 8:1619
- Ferracini C, Ingegno BL, Navone P, Ferrari E, Mosti M, Tavella L, Alma A (2012) Adaptation of indigenous larval parasitoids to *Tuta absoluta* (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) in Italy. J Econ Entomol 105:1311–1319
- Ferracini C, Gonella E, Ferrari E, Saladini MA, Picciau L, Tota F, Pontini M, Alma A (2015) Novel insight in the life cycle of *Torymus sinensis*, biocontrol agent of the chestnut gall wasp. BioControl 60:169–177
- Gibbs M, Schönrogge K, Alma A, Melika G, Quacchia A, Stone GN, Aebi A (2011) *Torymus sinensis*: a viable management option for the biological control of *Dryocosmus kuriphilus* in Europe? BioControl 56:527–538
- Haye T, Goulet H, Mason PG, Kuhlmann U (2005) Does fundamental host range match ecological host range? A retrospective case study of a *Lygus* plant bug parasitoid. Biol Control 35:55–67
- Heimpel GE, Ragsdale DW, Venette R, Hopper KR, O'Neil RJ, Rutledge CE, Wu Z (2004) Prospects for importation biological control of the soybean aphid: anticipating potential costs and benefits. Ann Entomol Soc Am 97(2):249–258
- Howarth FG (1991) Environmental impacts of classical biological control. Annu Rev Entomol 36:485–509
- Kaartinen R, Stone GN, Hearn J, Lohse K, Roslin T (2010) Revealing secret liaisons: DNA barcoding changes our understanding of food webs. Ecol Entomol 35:623–638
- Kamijo K (1982) Two new species of *Torymus* (Hymenoptera, Torymidae) reared from *Dryocosmus kuriphilus* (Hymenoptera, Cynipidae) in China and Korea. Kontyû 50:505–510
- Kuhlmann U, Schaffner U, Mason PG (2006) Selection of nontarget species for host-specificity testing. In: Bigler F, Babendreier D, Kuhlmann U (eds) Environmental impact of invertebrates for biological control of arthropods: methods and risk assessment. CABI Publishing, Wallingford, UK, pp 15–37
- López-Vaamonde C, Moore D (1998) Developing methods for testing host specificity of *Phymastichus coffea* LaSalle (Hym.: Tetrastichinae), a potential biological control agent of *Hypothenemus hampei* (Ferrari) (Col.: Scolytidae) in Colombia. Biocontrol Sci Technol 8(3):397–411
- Mason PG, Broadbent AB, Whistlecraft JW, Gillespie DR (2011) Interpreting the host range of *Peristenus digoneutis* and *Peristenus relictus* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) biological control agents of *Lygus* spp. (Hemiptera: Miridae) in North America. Biol Control 57:94–102

- McEvoy PB (1996) Host specificity and biological pest control. BioSci 46(6):401–405
- Moeed A, Hickson R, Barratt BIP (2006) Principles of environmental risk assessment with emphasis on the New Zealand perspective. In: Bigler F, Babendreier D, Kuhlmann U (eds) Environmental impact of invertebrates for biological control of arthropods: methods and risk assessment. CABI Publishing, Wallingford, pp 241–253
- Moriya S, Inoue K, Mabuchi M (1989a) The use of *Torymus* sinensis to control chestnut gall wasp, *Dryocosmus kuriphilus*, in Japan. Tech Bull Food Fertlizer Tech Cent 118:1–12
- Moriya S, Inoue K, Ôtake A, Shiga M, Mabuchi M (1989b) Decline of the chestnut gall wasp population, *Dryocosmus* kuriphilus Yasumatsu (Hymenoptera: Cynipidae) after the establishment of *Torymus sinensis* Kamijo (Hymenoptera: Torymidae). Appl Entomol Zool 24:231–233
- Moriya S, Shiga M, Adachi I (2003) Classical biological control of the chestnut gall wasp in Japan. In: van Driesche RG (ed) Proceedings of the 1st international symposium on biological control of arthropods. USDA Forest Service, Washington, DC, USA, pp 407–415
- Murakami Y, Umeya K, Oho N (1977) A preliminary introduction and released of a parasitoid (Chalcidoidea, Torymidae) of the chestnut gall wasp *Dryocosmus kuriphilus* Yasumatsu. Jpn J Appl Entomol Zool 21:197–203
- Nadel H, Daane KM, Hoelmer KA, Pickett CH, Johnson MW (2009) Non-target host risk assessment of the idiobiont parasitoid *Bracon celer* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) for biological control of olive fruit fly in California. Biocontrol Sci Technol 19(7):701–715
- Onstad DW, McManus ML (1996) Risks of host range expansion by parasites of insects. BioSci 46(6):430–435
- Quacchia A, Moriya S, Bosio G, Scapin G, Alma A (2008) Rearing, release and settlement prospect in Italy of *Torymus sinensis*, the biological control agent of the chestnut gall wasp *Dryocosmus kuriphilus*. BioControl 53:829–839
- Quacchia A, Ferracini C, Nicholls JA, Piazza E, Saladini MA, Tota F, Melika G, Alma A (2013) Chalcid parasitoid community associated with the invading pest *Dryocosmus kuriphilus* in north-western Italy. Insect Conserv Diver 6(2):114–123
- Quacchia A, Moriya S, Askew R, Schönrogge K (2014) Torymus sinensis: biology, host range and hybridization. Acta Hortic 1043:105–111
- Strand MR, Obricky JJ (1996) Host specificity of insect parasitoids and predators. BioSci 46(6):422–429
- van Driesche RG, Murray TJ (2004) Overview of testing schemes and designs used to estimate host ranges. In: van Driesche RG, Murray T, Reardon R (eds) Assessing host ranges of parasitoids and predators used for classical biological control: a guide to best practice. Forest Health Technology Enterprise Team, Morgantown, USA, pp 68–89
- van Lenteren JC, Bale J, Bigler F, Hokkanen HMT, Loomans AJM (2006) Assessing risks of releasing exotic biological control agents of arthropod pests. Annu Rev Entomol 51:609–634
- Withers TM, Brown LB (2005) Behavioral and physiological processes affecting outcomes of host range testing. In: van Driesche RG, Murray T, Reardon R (eds) Assessing host

ranges of parasitoids and predators used for classical biological control: a guide to best practice. Forest Health Technology Enterprise Team, Morgantown, USA, pp 40–55

Wyckhuys KAG, Koch RL, Kula RR, Heimpel GE (2009) Potential exposure of a classical biological control agent of the soybean aphid, *Aphis glycines*, on non-target aphids in North America. Biol Invasions 11:857–871

Chiara Ferracini is an entomologist researcher involved in the integrated management and biological control of native and exotic agricultural and forestry pests.

Ester Ferrari is interested in pest control strategies, particularly on biological control of insect pests. Matteo Alessandro Saladini is a post-doc with research experience on integrated management of arthropod pests.

Marianna Pontini focuses on the identification of biocontrol agents by means of molecular analyses.

Marida Corradetti is interested in parasitoid identification.

Alberto Alma is full professor of general and applied entomology with research experience in agricultural pests, vectors of phytopathogenic agents, implementation of environmental-friendly pest control techniques.