ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Orbits and manifolds near the equilibrium points around a rotating asteroid

Yu Jiang · Hexi Baoyin · Junfeng Li · Hengnian Li

Received: 20 June 2013 / Accepted: 28 August 2013 / Published online: 19 September 2013 © Springer Science+Business Media Dordrecht 2013

Abstract We study the orbits and manifolds near the equilibrium points of a rotating asteroid. The linearised equations of motion relative to the equilibrium points in the gravitational field of a rotating asteroid, the characteristic equation and the stable conditions of the equilibrium points are derived and discussed. First, a new metric is presented to link the orbit and the geodesic of the smooth manifold. Then, using the eigenvalues of the characteristic equation, the equilibrium points are classified into 8 cases. A theorem is presented and proved to describe the structure of the submanifold as well as the stable and unstable behaviours of a massless test particle near the equilibrium points. The linearly stable, the non-resonant unstable, and the resonant equilibrium points are discussed. There are three families of periodic orbits and four families of quasi-periodic orbits near the linearly stable equilibrium point. For the nonresonant unstable equilibrium points, there are four relevant cases; for the periodic orbit and the quasi-periodic orbit, the structures of the submanifold and the subspace near the equilibrium points are studied for each case. For the resonant equilibrium points, the dimension of the resonant manifold is greater than 4, and we find at least one family of periodic orbits near the resonant equilibrium points. As an application of the theory developed here, we study relevant orbits for the asteroids 216 Kleopatra, 1620 Geographos, 4769 Castalia and 6489 Golevka.

Y. Jiang (⊠) · H. Li

Y. Jiang · H. Baoyin (⊠) · J. Li School of Aerospace, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China e-mail: baoyin@tsinghua.edu.cn **Keywords** Asteroids · Equilibrium points · Stability · Periodic orbits · Smooth manifold

1 Introduction

Recently, several sample return missions to Near-Earth Asteroid (NEA) have been selected (Barucci 2012; Barucci et al. 2012a, 2012b; Boynton et al. 2012; Brucato 2012; Duffard et al. 2011), including MarcoPolo-R¹ and OSIRIS-Rex.² MarcoPolo-R is a sample-return mission to a NEA selected for an assessment study in the framework of ESA's Cosmic Vision 2015-25 program (Barucci 2012; Barucci et al. 2012a, 2012b; Brucato 2012). The primary target of the MarcoPolo-R mission is the asteroid (341843) 2008 EV5, which offers an optimal mission profile both from the operational and technical standpoints (Brucato 2012). OSIRIS-Rex is a sample-return mission to asteroid (101955) Bennu that was selected by NASA in May 2011 as the third New Frontiers Mission (Boynton et al. 2012). The analysis of data collected by MarcoPolo-R should help us to understand the geomorphology, dynamics and evolution of a NEA (Barucci et al. 2012a).

Missions like the ones described above demand a detailed study of the dynamics of a spacecraft (which is customarily modelled as a massless test particle) near asteroids. This interesting topic will be the subject of our study. The application of the classical method of the Legendre polynomial series to the gravitational potential of asteroids produces divergencies at some points due to the irregular shape of the asteroid (Balmino 1994; Elipe and Lara 2003). Several strategies have been considered to solve this difficulty.

State Key Laboratory of Astronautic Dynamics, Xi'an Satellite Control Center, Xi'an 710043, China e-mail: jiangyu_xian_china@163.com

¹https://www.oca.eu/MarcoPolo-R/.

²http://osiris-rex.lpl.arizona.edu/.

Some simple-shaped bodies have been used to simulate the motion of a particle moving near an asteroid as well as to help understanding the equilibrium points and the periodic orbits near asteroids. The dynamics around a straight segment (Riaguas et al. 1999; Elipe and Lara 2003), a solid circular ring (Broucke and Elipe 2005), a homogeneous annulus disk (Angelo and Claudio 2007; Fukushima 2010), and a homogeneous cube (Liu et al. 2011) have been studied in detail. These studies are limited to simple and symmetric bodies, which rotate around their symmetry axes. For these bodies, the characteristic equation at the equilibrium points is a quartic equation (Liu et al. 2011). Werner and Scheeres (1996) modelled the shape and the gravitational potential of the asteroids using homogeneous polyhedrons and applied this method to 4769 Castalia. The polyhedron model is more precise than the simple-shaped-body models and the Legendre polynomial model. However, this model presents many free parameters (Elipe and Lara 2003; Werner and Scheeres 1996).

The dynamic equation of motion of a massless particle near a rotating asteroid in the body-fixed frame was studied and applied to the cases of 4769 Castalia and 4179 Toutatis by Scheeres et al. (1996, 1998). The zero-velocity surface is defined using the Jacobi integral and this surface separates the forbidden regions from the allowable regions for the particle (Scheeres et al. 1996; Yu and Baoyin 2012a). Families of periodic orbits near asteroids can be calculated using Poincaré maps and numerical iterations (Scheeres et al. 1996, 1998; Yu and Baoyin 2012b). The positions of the equilibrium points near the asteroids as well as the eigenvalues and the periodic orbits near the equilibrium points can be calculated numerically (Yu and Baoyin 2012a).

The stability of the equilibrium points was discussed by several authors using special models. The periodic orbits of a particle around a massive straight segment were investigated in Riaguas et al. (1999). A finite straight segment with constant linear mass density was considered to study the chaotic motion and the equilibrium points around the asteroid (433) Eros (Elipe and Lara 2003). The dynamics of a particle around a homogeneous annulus disk was analysed in Alberti and Vidal (2007). Moreover, a precise numerical method to calculate the acceleration vector that is caused by a uniform ring or disk was described in Fukushima (2010). The dynamics of a particle around a non-rotating 2nd-degree and order-gravity field was examined, and the closed-form solutions for the averaged orbital motion of the particle were derived (Scheeres and Hu 2001).

This paper aims to derive the characteristic equation of the equilibrium points near a rotating asteroid and to provide some corollaries about the stability, the instability and the resonance of the equilibrium points. Then, we will discuss the orbits and the structure of the submanifold and the subspace near the equilibrium points. This work focuses on the dynamics near the equilibrium points of a rotating asteroid while providing the theoretical basis for orbital design and control of spacecraft motion near an asteroid.

The linearised equations of motion relative to the equilibrium points in the gravitational field of a rotating asteroid are derived in Sect. 2; then, the characteristic equation of the equilibrium points is presented. The stability conditions of the equilibrium points in the potential field of a rotating asteroid are obtained and proved in Sect. 3. Furthermore, a new metric in a smooth manifold is provided in Sect. 4 to link the orbit and the geodesic of the smooth manifold. The equilibrium points are classified into eight cases using the eigenvalues of the characteristic equation in Sect. 5. It is found that the structure of the submanifold near the equilibrium point is related to the eigenvalues. A theorem that describes the structure of the submanifold and the stable and unstable behaviours of the particle near the equilibrium points is proved. Using this theorem, the linearly stable, the non-resonant unstable and the resonant equilibrium points are studied. The equilibrium point is resonant if and only if at least two pure imaginary eigenvalues are equal. Subsequently, four families of quasi-periodic orbits are found near the linearly stable equilibrium point. The periodic and quasi-periodic orbits, the structure of the submanifolds and subspaces near the non-resonant unstable equilibrium points are studied. For the resonant equilibrium points, there are three cases. For each case, the resonant orbits and the resonant manifolds are analysed. There is at least one family of periodic orbits near each resonant equilibrium point, and the dimension of the resonant manifold is greater than 4.

In Sect. 6, the theory developed in Sects. 2, 3, 4 and 5 is applied to asteroids 216 Kleopatra, 1620 Geographos, 4769 Castalia and 6489 Golevka. For asteroids 216 Kleopatra, 1620 Geographos and 4769 Castalia, the equilibrium points are unstable, which are denoted as E1, E2, E3 and E4. There are two families of periodic orbits and one family of quasiperiodic orbits on the central manifold near each of the equilibrium points E1 and E2, while there is only one family of periodic orbits on the central manifold near each of the other two equilibrium points E3 and E4.

For asteroid 6489 Golevka, two of the equilibrium points, which are denoted as E1 and E2, are unstable while the other two, labelled as E3 and E4, are linearly stable. There are two families of periodic orbits as well as one family of quasiperiodic orbits on the central manifold near each of the two unstable equilibrium points E1 and E2. On the other hand, there are three families of periodic orbits as well as four families of quasi-periodic orbits on the central manifold near each of the two linearly stable equilibrium points E3 and E4.

2 Equations of motion

The equation of motion relative to the rotating asteroid can be given as (Scheeres et al. 1996)

$$\ddot{\mathbf{r}} + 2\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \dot{\mathbf{r}} + \boldsymbol{\omega} \times (\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \mathbf{r}) + \dot{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \times \mathbf{r} + \frac{\partial U(\mathbf{r})}{\partial \mathbf{r}} = 0$$
(1)

where **r** is the body-fixed vector from the centre of mass of the asteroid to the particle, $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ is the rotational angular velocity vector of the asteroid relative to the inertial frame of reference, and $U(\mathbf{r})$ is the gravitational potential.

Let us define a function H as (Scheeres et al. 1996)

$$H = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\mathbf{r}}\cdot\dot{\mathbf{r}} - \frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\omega}\times\mathbf{r})(\boldsymbol{\omega}\times\mathbf{r}) + U(\mathbf{r})$$
(2)

If $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ is time-invariant, then *H* is also time-invariant, and it is called the Jacobian constant.

The effective potential can be defined as (Scheeres et al. 1996; Yu and Baoyin 2012a)

$$V(\mathbf{r}) = -\frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \mathbf{r})(\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \mathbf{r}) + U(\mathbf{r})$$
(3)

Hence, the equation of motion can be written as

$$\ddot{\mathbf{r}} + 2\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \dot{\mathbf{r}} + \dot{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \times \mathbf{r} + \frac{\partial V(\mathbf{r})}{\partial \mathbf{r}} = 0$$
(4)

For a uniformly rotating asteroid, Eq. (4) can be written as (Yu and Baoyin 2012a)

$$\ddot{\mathbf{r}} + 2\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \dot{\mathbf{r}} + \frac{\partial V(\mathbf{r})}{\partial \mathbf{r}} = 0$$
(5)

and the Jacobian constant can be given by

$$H = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\mathbf{r}}\cdot\dot{\mathbf{r}} + V(\mathbf{r}) \tag{6}$$

The zero-velocity manifolds are determined by the following equation (Scheeres et al. 1996; Yu and Baoyin 2012a)

$$V(\mathbf{r}) = H \tag{7}$$

The inequality $V(\mathbf{r}) > H$ denotes the forbidden region for the particle, whereas the inequality $V(\mathbf{r}) \le H$ denotes the allowable region for the particle. The equation $V(\mathbf{r}) = H$ implies that the velocity of the particle relative to the rotating body-fixed frame is zero.

Let ω be the modulus of the vector $\boldsymbol{\omega}$; then, the unit vector $\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{z}}$ is defined by $\boldsymbol{\omega} = \omega \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{z}}$. The body-fixed frame is defined through a set of orthonormal right-hand unit vectors \mathbf{e}

$$\mathbf{e} \equiv \begin{cases} \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{x}} \\ \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{y}} \\ \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{z}} \end{cases}$$
(8)

The frame of reference used across this paper is the bodyfixed frame. The equilibrium points are the critical points of the effective potential $V(\mathbf{r})$. Thus, the equilibrium points satisfy the following condition

$$\frac{\partial V(x, y, z)}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial V(x, y, z)}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial V(x, y, z)}{\partial z} = 0$$
(9)

where (x, y, z) are the components of **r** in the body-fixed coordinate system. Let $(x_L, y_L, z_L)^T$ denote the coordinates of the critical point; the effective potential V(x, y, z) can be written using a Taylor expansion at the equilibrium point $(x_L, y_L, z_L)^T$. To study the stability of the equilibrium point, the equations of motion relative to the equilibrium point are linearised, and the characteristic equation of motion is derived. In addition, it is necessary to check whether any solutions of the characteristic equation have positive real components. The Taylor expansion of the effective potential V(x, y, z) at the point $(x_L, y_L, z_L)^T$ can be written as

$$V(x, y, z) = V(x_{\rm L}, y_{\rm L}, z_{\rm L}) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x^2} \right)_{\rm L} (x - x_{\rm L})^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial y^2} \right)_{\rm L} (y - y_{\rm L})^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial z^2} \right)_{\rm L} (z - z_{\rm L})^2 + \left(\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x \partial y} \right)_{\rm L} (x - x_{\rm L})(y - y_{\rm L}) + \left(\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x \partial z} \right)_{\rm L} (x - x_{\rm L})(z - z_{\rm L}) + \left(\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial y \partial z} \right)_{\rm L} (y - y_{\rm L})(z - z_{\rm L}) + \cdots$$
(10)

where the solving derivation sequence is changeable, which implies that

$$\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x \partial y} = \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial y \partial x}, \quad \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x \partial z} = \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial z \partial x}, \quad \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial y \partial z} = \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial z \partial y}$$

Let's define

$$\begin{aligned} & V_{xx} = \left(\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x^2}\right)_{L} & V_{xy} = \left(\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x \partial y}\right)_{L} \\ & \xi = x - x_{L} & \\ & \eta = y - y_{L}, \quad V_{yy} = \left(\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial y^2}\right)_{L} & \text{and} \quad V_{yz} = \left(\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial y \partial z}\right)_{L} \\ & \zeta = z - z_{L} & \\ & V_{zz} = \left(\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial z^2}\right)_{L} & V_{xz} = \left(\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x \partial z}\right)_{L} \end{aligned}$$

$$(11)$$

Combining these equations with Eq. (5), the linearised equations of motion relative to the equilibrium point can be expressed as

$$\begin{split} \ddot{\xi} &- 2\omega \dot{\eta} + V_{xx}\xi + V_{xy}\eta + V_{xz}\zeta = 0\\ \ddot{\eta} &+ 2\omega \dot{\xi} + V_{xy}\xi + V_{yy}\eta + V_{yz}\zeta = 0\\ \ddot{\zeta} &+ V_{xz}\xi + V_{yz}\eta + V_{zz}\zeta = 0 \end{split}$$
(12)

It is natural to write the characteristic equation in the following form

$$\begin{vmatrix} \lambda^2 + V_{xx} & -2\omega\lambda + V_{xy} & V_{xz} \\ 2\omega\lambda + V_{xy} & \lambda^2 + V_{yy} & V_{yz} \\ V_{xz} & V_{yz} & \lambda^2 + V_{zz} \end{vmatrix} = 0$$
(13)

Furthermore, it can be written as

$$\lambda^{6} + (V_{xx} + V_{yy} + V_{zz} + 4\omega^{2})\lambda^{4} + (V_{xx}V_{yy} + V_{yy}V_{zz} + V_{zz}V_{xx} - V_{xy}^{2} - V_{yz}^{2} - V_{xz}^{2} + 4\omega^{2}V_{zz})\lambda^{2} + (V_{xx}V_{yy}V_{zz} + 2V_{xy}V_{yz}V_{xz} - V_{xx}V_{yz}^{2} - V_{yy}V_{xz}^{2} - V_{zz}V_{xy}^{2}) = 0$$
(14)

where λ denotes the eigenvalues of Eq. (12). Equation (14) is a sextic equation for λ . The stability of the equilibrium point is determined by six roots of Eq. (13). Let λ_i (i = 1, 2, ..., 6) be the roots of Eq. (13). The equilibrium point is asymptotically stable if and only if Re $\lambda_i < 0$ for i = 1, 2, ..., 6, i.e., the equilibrium point is a sink (minimum) of the nonlinear dynamics system (Eq. (5)). Furthermore, the equilibrium point is non-resonant unstable if and only if there is a *i* equals to one of 1, 2, ..., 6, such that Re $\lambda_i < 0$, i.e., the equilibrium point is a source or a saddle.

3 Stability of the equilibrium points in the potential field of a rotating asteroid

In this section, the stability of the equilibrium points in the potential field of a rotating asteroid is studied. First, the roots of the characteristic equation at the equilibrium point are investigated; then, a theorem about the eigenvalues is given. In addition, a sufficient condition for the stability of equilibrium points is presented, which only depends on the Hessian matrix of the effective potential. Finally, a necessary and sufficient condition for the stability of equilibrium points is also presented.

Let's denote

$$P(\lambda) = \lambda^{6} + (V_{xx} + V_{yy} + V_{zz} + 4\omega^{2})\lambda^{4} + (V_{xx}V_{yy} + V_{yy}V_{zz} + V_{zz}V_{xx} - V_{xy}^{2} - V_{yz}^{2} - V_{xz}^{2} + 4\omega^{2}V_{zz})\lambda^{2} + (V_{xx}V_{yy}V_{zz} + 2V_{xy}V_{yz}V_{xz} - V_{xx}V_{yz}^{2} - V_{yy}V_{xz}^{2} - V_{zz}V_{xy}^{2})$$

and it follows that $P(\lambda) = P(-\lambda)$. Hence:

Proposition 1 If λ is an eigenvalue of the equilibrium point in the potential field of a uniformly rotating asteroid, then $-\lambda$, $\overline{\lambda}$, and $-\overline{\lambda}$ are also eigenvalues of the equilibrium point. Namely, all eigenvalues are likely to have the form $\pm \alpha (\alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha > 0), \pm i\beta (\beta \in \mathbb{R}, \beta > 0), and \pm \sigma \pm i\tau (\sigma, \tau \in \mathbb{R}; \sigma, \tau > 0).$

Theorem 1 If the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} V_{XX} & V_{Xy} & V_{XZ} \\ V_{Xy} & V_{yy} & V_{yZ} \\ V_{XZ} & V_{yZ} & V_{ZZ} \end{pmatrix}$$

is positive definite, the equilibrium point in the potential field of a rotating asteroid is stable.

Proof Equation (12) can be expressed as

$$\mathbf{M}\ddot{\mathbf{X}} + \mathbf{G}\dot{\mathbf{X}} + \mathbf{K}\mathbf{X} = 0, \tag{15}$$

where

$$\mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} \xi & \eta & \zeta \end{bmatrix}^{\mathrm{T}}, \quad \mathbf{M} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$\mathbf{G} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2\omega & 0 \\ 2\omega & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{K} = \begin{pmatrix} V_{\mathrm{xx}} & V_{\mathrm{xy}} & V_{\mathrm{xz}} \\ V_{\mathrm{xy}} & V_{\mathrm{yy}} & V_{\mathrm{yz}} \\ V_{\mathrm{xz}} & V_{\mathrm{yz}} & V_{\mathrm{zz}} \end{pmatrix}$$

The matrices **M**, **G**, and **K** satisfy $\mathbf{M}^{T} = \mathbf{M}$ with $\mathbf{M} > 0$, $\mathbf{G}^{T} = -\mathbf{G}$, and $\mathbf{K}^{T} = \mathbf{K}$, respectively. The system that can be expressed by the equation $\mathbf{M}\ddot{\mathbf{X}} + \mathbf{G}\dot{\mathbf{X}} + \mathbf{K}\mathbf{X} = 0$ is stable if the matrix

$$\mathbf{K} = \begin{pmatrix} V_{\mathrm{xx}} & V_{\mathrm{xy}} & V_{\mathrm{xz}} \\ V_{\mathrm{xy}} & V_{\mathrm{yy}} & V_{\mathrm{yz}} \\ V_{\mathrm{xz}} & V_{\mathrm{yz}} & V_{\mathrm{zz}} \end{pmatrix}$$

is positive definite.

Theorem 1 is a sufficient condition for the stability of the equilibrium points in the potential field of a rotating asteroid. The following Theorem 2 will provide a necessary and sufficient condition for the stability of the equilibrium points in the potential field of a rotating asteroid.

Theorem 2 *The equilibrium point in the potential field of a rotating asteroid is stable if and only if*

$$\begin{cases} V_{xx} + V_{yy} + V_{zz} + 4\omega^2 > 0 \\ V_{xx}V_{yy} + V_{yy}V_{zz} + V_{zz}V_{xx} + 4\omega^2 V_{zz} > V_{xy}^2 + V_{yz}^2 + V_{zz}^2 \\ V_{xx}V_{yy}V_{zz} + 2V_{xy}V_{yz}V_{xz} > V_{xx}V_{yz}^2 + V_{yy}V_{xz}^2 + V_{zz}V_{xy}^2 \\ A^2 + 18ABC > 4A^3C + 4B^3 + 27C^2 \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{cases}
A = V_{xx} + V_{yy} + V_{zz} + 4\omega^{2} \\
B = V_{xx}V_{yy} + V_{yy}V_{zz} + V_{zz}V_{xx} - V_{xy}^{2} - V_{yz}^{2} - V_{xz}^{2} \\
+ 4\omega^{2}V_{zz} \\
C = V_{xx}V_{yy}V_{zz} + 2V_{xy}V_{yz}V_{xz} - V_{xx}V_{yz}^{2} - V_{yy}V_{xz}^{2} \\
- V_{zz}V_{xy}^{2}
\end{cases}$$

Proof Consider the linearised equation of motion relative to the equilibrium point

 $\mathbf{M}\ddot{\mathbf{X}} + \mathbf{G}\dot{\mathbf{X}} + \mathbf{K}\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{0}$

Because the matrices **M**, **G**, **K** satisfy $\mathbf{M}^{T} = \mathbf{M}$ with $\mathbf{M} > 0$, $\mathbf{G}^{T} = -\mathbf{G}$, $\mathbf{K}^{T} = \mathbf{K}$. The linearised equation is a gyroscope conservative system.

The characteristic equation of the gyroscope conservative system is

$$P(\lambda) = \lambda^{6} + (V_{xx} + V_{yy} + V_{zz} + 4\omega^{2})\lambda^{4} + (V_{xx}V_{yy} + V_{yy}V_{zz} + V_{zz}V_{xx} - V_{xy}^{2} - V_{yz}^{2} - V_{xz}^{2} + 4\omega^{2}V_{zz})\lambda^{2} + (V_{xx}V_{yy}V_{zz} + 2V_{xy}V_{yz}V_{xz} - V_{xx}V_{yz}^{2} - V_{yy}V_{xz}^{2} - V_{zz}V_{xy}^{2}) = 0$$

Using the stability condition of the gyroscope conservative system (Hughes 1986), the conclusion can easily be obtained. $\hfill \Box$

From Theorem 2, it can be noted that the necessary and sufficient condition for the stability of the equilibrium points in the potential field of a rotating asteroid depends on the effective potential and the rotational angular velocity of the asteroid.

4 Orbits, differentiable manifold and geodesic

Previously, we denoted (x, y, z) as the position of the spacecraft in the asteroid body-fixed coordinate system. Assuming that \mathbf{A}^3 is the topological space generated by (x, y, z), the open sets of \mathbf{A}^3 are naturally defined. It is clear that \mathbf{A}^3 is a smooth manifold. In this section, a metric $d\rho^2$ is provided so that the geodesic of $(\mathbf{A}^3, d\rho^2)$ is the orbit of the particle relative to the asteroid.

Theorem 3 Denote $d\rho^2 = 2m(h - U)[d\mathbf{r} \cdot d\mathbf{r} - (\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \mathbf{r}) \cdot (\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \mathbf{r})]$; then, the orbit of the particle relative to the asteroid on the manifold H = h is the geodesic of $(\mathbf{A}^3, d\rho^2)$ with the metric $d\rho^2$. In addition, the geodesic of $(\mathbf{A}^3, d\rho^2)$ with the metric $d\rho^2$ is the orbit of the particle relative to the asteroid on the manifold H = h.

Proof The equation of motion is Eq. (1), and the Jacobian constant is expressed by Eq. (2).

Let

$$J = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left[d\mathbf{r} \cdot d\mathbf{r} - (\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \mathbf{r}) \cdot (\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \mathbf{r}) \right] dt$$
(17)

Then, on the manifold H = h, the variation is zero.

$$\delta J = 0$$

On the manifold H = h, the following equation holds

$$\frac{d\mathbf{r}}{dt} \cdot \frac{d\mathbf{r}}{dt} - (\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \mathbf{r}) \cdot (\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \mathbf{r}) = 2(h - U)$$
(18)

Substituting this result into Eq. (17) yields the following

$$J = \int_{\mathbf{r}_1}^{\mathbf{r}_2} d\rho$$

from which it can be shown that the variation δJ along the orbit is zero. This result implies that the orbit on the manifold H = h is the geodesic of $(\mathbf{A}^3, d\rho^2)$ with the metric $d\rho^2$, and the geodesic of $(\mathbf{A}^3, d\rho^2)$ with the metric $d\rho^2$ is the orbit on the manifold H = h.

Denote $M = (\mathbf{A}^3, d\rho^2)$, where *M* is a smooth manifold, and the metric $d\rho^2$ is not positive definite. For the equilibrium point $L \in M$, denote its tangent space as $T_L M$. Then, dim $M = \dim T_L M = 3$. Let

$$TM = \bigcup_{p \in M} T_p M = \left\{ (p, q) | p \in M, q \in T_p M \right\}$$

then, *TM* is its tangent bundle. It follows that dim *TM* = 6. Let Ξ be a sufficiently small open neighbourhood of the equilibrium point on the smooth manifold *M*. Then, the tangent bundle of Ξ is $T\Xi = \bigcup_{p \in \Xi} T_p\Xi = \{(p,q) | p \in \Xi, q \in T_p\Xi\}$, and dim $T\Xi = 6$. Let $(\mathbf{S}, \boldsymbol{\Omega})$ be a 6-dimensional symplectic manifold near the equilibrium point such that \mathbf{S} and $T\Xi$ are topological homeomorphism but not diffeomorphism, where $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ is a non-degenerate skew-symmetric bilinear quadratic form.

5 Manifold, periodic orbits and quasi-periodic orbits near equilibrium points

To determine the motion, the manifold, the periodic orbits and the quasi-periodic orbits near the equilibrium points, the stability and the eigenvalues of the equilibrium points must be known.

5.1 Eigenvalues and submanifold

The equilibrium point in the potential field of a rotating asteroid has 6 eigenvalues, which are in the form of $\pm \alpha_j (\alpha \in$ R, $\alpha > 0$; j = 1, 2, 3), $\pm i\beta_j (\beta \in$ R, $\beta > 0$; j = 1, 2), and $\pm \sigma \pm i\tau (\sigma, \tau \in$ R; $\sigma, \tau > 0$). In fact, the forms of the eigenvalues determine the structure of the submanifold and the subspace. There is a bijection between the form of the eigenvalues and the submanifold or the subspace.

Let us denote the Jacobian constant at the equilibrium point by H(L), and the eigenvector of the eigenvalue λ_j as \mathbf{u}_j . Let us define the asymptotically stable, the asymptotically unstable and the central manifold of the orbit on the manifold H = h near the equilibrium point, where h = $H(L) + \varepsilon^2$, and ε^2 is sufficiently small that there is no other equilibrium point \tilde{L} in the sufficiently small open neighbourhood on the manifold (**S**, Ω) with the Jacobian constant $H(\tilde{L})$ that satisfies $H(L) \leq H(\tilde{L}) \leq h$.

The asymptotically stable manifold $W^{s}(\mathbf{S})$, the asymptotically unstable manifold $W^{u}(\mathbf{S})$, and the central manifold $W^{c}(\mathbf{S})$ are tangent to the asymptotically stable subspace $E^{s}(L)$, the asymptotically unstable subspace $E^{u}(L)$, and the central subspace $E^{c}(L)$ at the equilibrium point, respectively, where

$$E^{s}(L) = span \{ \mathbf{u}_{j} | \operatorname{Re} \lambda_{j} < 0 \},$$

$$E^{c}(L) = span \{ \mathbf{u}_{j} | \operatorname{Re} \lambda_{j} = 0 \},$$

$$E^{u}(L) = span \{ \mathbf{u}_{j} | \operatorname{Re} \lambda_{j} > 0 \}$$

Let's define $W^r(\mathbf{S})$ as the resonant manifold, which is tangent to the resonant subspace $E^r(L) = span\{\mathbf{u}_j | \exists \lambda_k, s.t. \text{Re } \lambda_i = \text{Re } \lambda_k = 0, \text{Im } \lambda_i = \text{Im } \lambda_k, j \neq k\}.$

Thus, it can be seen that $(\mathbf{S}, \boldsymbol{\Omega}) \simeq T\Xi \cong W^{s}(\mathbf{S}) \oplus W^{c}(\mathbf{S}) \oplus W^{u}(\mathbf{S})$, where \simeq denotes a topological homeomorphism, \cong denotes a diffeomorphism, and \oplus denotes a direct sum. Then, $E^{r}(L) \subseteq E^{c}(L)$ and $W^{r}(\mathbf{S}) \subseteq W^{c}(\mathbf{S})$.

By defining $T_L S$ as the tangent space of the manifold (S, Ω) , the homeomorphism of the tangent space can be written as $T_L S \cong E^s(L) \oplus E^c(L) \oplus E^u(L)$. Considering the dimension of the manifolds, the following equations hold

$$\dim W^{s}(\mathbf{S}) + \dim W^{c}(\mathbf{S}) + \dim W^{u}(\mathbf{S}) = \dim(\mathbf{S}, \boldsymbol{\Omega})$$
$$= \dim T \boldsymbol{\Sigma} = 6$$

 $\dim E^{s}(L) + \dim E^{c}(L) + \dim E^{u}(L) = \dim T_{L}\mathbf{S} = 6$

 $\dim E^{r}(L) = \dim W^{r}(\mathbf{S}) \le \dim E^{c}(L) = \dim W^{c}(\mathbf{S})$

Based on the conclusions above, the following theorem can be obtained.

Theorem 4 There are eight cases for the non-degenerate equilibrium points in the potential field of a rotating asteroid:

Case 1: The eigenvalues are different and in the form of $\pm i\beta_j(\beta_j \in \mathbb{R}, \beta_j > 0; j = 1, 2, 3)$; then, the structure of the submanifold is $(\mathbf{S}, \Omega) \simeq T \Xi \cong W^c(\mathbf{S})$, and $\dim W^r(\mathbf{S}) = 0$.

- **Case 2:** The forms of the eigenvalues are $\pm \alpha_j (\alpha_j \in \mathbf{R}, \alpha_j > 0, j = 1)$ and $\pm i\beta_j (\beta_j \in \mathbf{R}, \beta_j > 0; j = 1, 2)$, and the imaginary eigenvalues are different; then, the structure of the submanifold is $(\mathbf{S}, \Omega) \simeq T\Xi \cong W^s(\mathbf{S}) \oplus W^c(\mathbf{S}) \oplus W^u(\mathbf{S})$, dim $W^c(\mathbf{S}) = 4$, dim $W^s(\mathbf{S}) = \dim W^u(\mathbf{S}) = 1$, and dim $W^r(\mathbf{S}) = 0$.
- **Case 3:** The forms of the eigenvalues are $\pm \alpha_j (\alpha_j \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha_j > 0; j = 1, 2)$ and $\pm i\beta_j (\beta_j \in \mathbb{R}, \beta_j > 0, j = 1)$; then, the structure of the submanifold is $(\mathbf{S}, \Omega) \simeq T \Xi \cong W^s(\mathbf{S}) \oplus W^c(\mathbf{S}) \oplus W^u(\mathbf{S})$, dim $W^r(\mathbf{S}) = 0$, and dim $W^s(\mathbf{S}) = \dim W^c(\mathbf{S}) = \dim W^u(\mathbf{S}) = 2$.
- **Case 4:** The forms of the eigenvalues are $\pm \alpha_j (\alpha_j \in \mathbf{R}, \alpha_j > 0, j = 1)$ and $\pm \sigma \pm i\tau(\sigma, \tau \in \mathbf{R}; \sigma, \tau > 0)$; or $\pm \alpha_j (\alpha_j \in \mathbf{R}, \alpha_j > 0, j = 1, 2, 3)$; then, the structure of the submanifold is $(\mathbf{S}, \Omega) \simeq T \Xi \cong W^s(\mathbf{S}) \oplus W^u(\mathbf{S})$, and dim $W^s(\mathbf{S}) = \dim W^u(\mathbf{S}) = 3$.
- **Case 5:** The forms of the eigenvalues are $\pm i\beta_j (\beta_j \in \mathbb{R}, \beta_j > 0, j = 1)$ and $\pm \sigma \pm i\tau(\sigma, \tau \in \mathbb{R}; \sigma, \tau > 0)$; then, the structure of the submanifold is $(\mathbf{S}, \Omega) \simeq T\Xi \cong W^s(\mathbf{S}) \oplus W^c(\mathbf{S}) \oplus W^u(\mathbf{S}), \dim W^r(\mathbf{S}) = 0$, and $\dim W^s(\mathbf{S}) = \dim W^c(\mathbf{S}) = \dim W^u(\mathbf{S}) = 2$.
- **Case 6:** The forms of the eigenvalues are $\pm i\beta_j (\beta_j \in \mathbb{R}, \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \beta_3 > 0; j = 1, 2, 3)$; then, the structure of the submanifold is $(\mathbf{S}, \Omega) \simeq T\Xi \simeq W^c(\mathbf{S}) \simeq W^r(\mathbf{S})$, and dim $W^r(\mathbf{S}) = \dim W^c(\mathbf{S}) = 6$.
- **Case 7:** The forms of the eigenvalues are $\pm i\beta_j (\beta_j \in \mathbb{R}, \beta_j > 0, \beta_1 = \beta_2 \neq \beta_3; j = 1, 2, 3)$; then, the structure of the submanifold is $(\mathbf{S}, \Omega) \simeq T \Xi \cong W^c(\mathbf{S})$, and dim $W^r(\mathbf{S}) = 4$.
- **Case 8:** The forms of the eigenvalues are $\pm \alpha_j (\alpha_j \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha_j > 0, j = 1), \pm i\beta_j (\beta_j \in \mathbb{R}, \beta_1 = \beta_2 > 0; j = 1, 2);$ then, the structure of the submanifold is $(\mathbf{S}, \Omega) \simeq T \Xi \cong W^s(\mathbf{S}) \oplus W^c(\mathbf{S}) \oplus W^u(\mathbf{S}), \dim W^r(\mathbf{S}) = \dim W^c(\mathbf{S}) = 4, and \dim W^s(\mathbf{S}) = \dim W^u(\mathbf{S}) = 1.$

Theorem 4 describes the structure of the submanifold as well as the stable and unstable behaviours of the particle near the equilibrium points. For Cases 6–8, because the resonant manifold and the resonant subspace exist, the equilibrium point is resonant. Considering that the structures of the submanifold and the subspace are fixed by the characteristic of the equilibrium points, it can be concluded that the equilibrium points with resonant manifolds are resonant equilibrium points. Only Case 1 leads to linearly stable equilibrium points. Thus, one can obtain

Corollary 1 The equilibrium point is linearly stable if and only if it belongs to Case 1. The equilibrium point is unstable and non-resonant if and only if it belongs to one of the *Cases* 2–5. *The equilibrium point is resonant if and only if it belongs to one of the Cases* 6–8.

The classes of orbit near the equilibrium points include: periodic orbit, Lissajous orbit, quasi-periodic orbit, almost periodic orbit, etc. Let T^k be a k-dimensional torus. Then, the periodic orbit is on a 1-dimensional torus T^1 , the Lissajous orbit is on a 2-dimensional torus T^2 , and the quasiperiodic orbit is on a k-dimensional torus T^k ($k \ge 1$).

5.2 Linearly stable equilibrium points

Theorem 4 and Corollary 1 show that the linearly stable equilibrium points only correspond to Case 1. In this section, more properties of Case 1 are discussed.

In Case 1, there are three pairs of imaginary eigenvalues of the equilibrium point, which is linearly stable. The motion of the spacecraft relative to the equilibrium point follows a quasi-periodic orbit, which is expressed as

$$\begin{cases} \xi = C_{\xi 1} \cos \beta_1 t + S_{\xi 1} \sin \beta_1 t + C_{\xi 2} \cos \beta_2 t + S_{\xi 2} \sin \beta_2 t \\ + C_{\xi 3} \cos \beta_3 t + S_{\xi 3} \sin \beta_3 t \\ \eta = C_{\eta 1} \cos \beta_1 t + S_{\eta 1} \sin \beta_1 t + C_{\eta 2} \cos \beta_2 t + S_{\eta 2} \sin \beta_2 t \\ + C_{\eta 3} \cos \beta_3 t + S_{\eta 3} \sin \beta_3 t \\ \zeta = C_{\zeta 1} \cos \beta_1 t + S_{\zeta 1} \sin \beta_1 t + C_{\zeta 2} \cos \beta_2 t + S_{\zeta 2} \sin \beta_2 t \\ + C_{\zeta 3} \cos \beta_3 t + S_{\zeta 3} \sin \beta_3 t \end{cases}$$
(19)

There are three families of periodic orbits, which have periods

$$T_1 = \frac{2\pi}{\beta_1}, \qquad T_2 = \frac{2\pi}{\beta_2}, \qquad T_3 = \frac{2\pi}{\beta_3}$$
 (20)

With the condition

 $C_{\xi 2} = C_{\eta 2} = C_{\zeta 2} = S_{\xi 2} = S_{\eta 2} = S_{\zeta 2} = C_{\xi 3} = C_{\eta 3} = C_{\zeta 3} = S_{\xi 3} = S_{\eta 3} = S_{\zeta 3} = 0$, the first family of periodic orbits has the form

$$\begin{cases} \xi = C_{\xi 1} \cos \beta_1 t + S_{\xi 1} \sin \beta_1 t \\ \eta = C_{\eta 1} \cos \beta_1 t + S_{\eta 1} \sin \beta_1 t \\ \zeta = C_{\zeta 1} \cos \beta_1 t + S_{\zeta 1} \sin \beta_1 t \end{cases}$$
(21)

The period of the first family of periodic orbits is $T_1 = \frac{2\pi}{\beta_1}$.

Denote t_0 as the initial time. Then, the initial state can be expressed as

$$\begin{cases} \xi(t_0) = \xi_0, \dot{\xi}(t_0) = \dot{\xi}_0\\ \eta(t_0) = \eta_0, \dot{\eta}(t_0) = \dot{\eta}_0\\ \zeta(t_0) = \zeta_0, \dot{\zeta}(t_0) = \dot{\zeta}_0 \end{cases}$$
(22)

and the coefficients yield

$$\begin{cases} C_{\xi_{1}} = \xi_{0} \cos \beta_{1} t_{0} - \frac{\xi_{0}}{\beta_{1}} \sin \beta_{1} t \\ S_{\xi_{1}} = \xi_{0} \sin \beta_{1} t_{0} + \frac{\xi_{0}}{\beta_{1}} \cos \beta_{1} t \\ C_{\eta_{1}} = \eta_{0} \cos \beta_{1} t_{0} - \frac{\eta_{0}}{\beta_{1}} \sin \beta_{1} t \\ S_{\eta_{1}} = \eta_{0} \sin \beta_{1} t_{0} + \frac{\eta_{0}}{\beta_{1}} \cos \beta_{1} t \\ C_{\xi_{1}} = \xi_{0} \cos \beta_{1} t_{0} - \frac{\xi_{0}}{\beta_{1}} \sin \beta_{1} t \\ S_{\xi_{1}} = \xi_{0} \sin \beta_{1} t_{0} + \frac{\xi_{0}}{\beta_{1}} \cos \beta_{1} t \end{cases}$$
(23)

Using Eq. (23), we can calculate the coefficients of the first families of periodic orbits if we know the initial state. The other two families of periodic orbits satisfy the condition

$$C_{\xi 1} = C_{\eta 1} = C_{\zeta 1} = S_{\xi 1} = S_{\eta 1} = S_{\zeta 1} = C_{\xi 3} = C_{\eta 3}$$
$$= C_{\zeta 3} = S_{\xi 3} = S_{\eta 3} = S_{\zeta 3} = 0$$

or

$$C_{\xi 1} = C_{\eta 1} = C_{\zeta 1} = S_{\xi 1} = S_{\eta 1} = S_{\zeta 1} = C_{\xi 2} = C_{\eta 2} = C_{\zeta 2}$$
$$= S_{\xi 2} = S_{\eta 2} = S_{\zeta 2} = 0$$

In addition, they have similar forms of the position equation and the coefficient equation.

Theorem 5 For an equilibrium point in the potential field of a rotating asteroid, the following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) It is linearly stable.
- (b) The roots of the characteristic equation P(λ) are in the form of ±iβ_j(β_j ∈ R, β_j > 0; j = 1, 2, 3), and if j ≠ k(j = 1, 2, 3; k = 1, 2, 3), then β_j ≠ β_k.
- (c) The motion of the spacecraft relative to the equilibrium point follows a quasi-periodic orbit, which is expressed as

$$\begin{cases} \xi = C_{\xi 1} \cos \beta_1 t + S_{\xi 1} \sin \beta_1 t + C_{\xi 2} \cos \beta_2 t + S_{\xi 2} \sin \beta_2 t \\ + C_{\xi 3} \cos \beta_3 t + S_{\xi 3} \sin \beta_3 t \\ \eta = C_{n1} \cos \beta_1 t + S_{n1} \sin \beta_1 t + C_{n2} \cos \beta_2 t + S_{n2} \sin \beta_2 t \end{cases}$$

 $\eta = C_{\eta 1} \cos \beta_1 t + S_{\eta 1} \sin \beta_1 t + C_{\eta 2} \cos \beta_2 t + S_{\eta 2} \sin \beta_2 t$ $+ C_{\eta 3} \cos \beta_3 t + S_{\eta 3} \sin \beta_3 t$ $\zeta = C_{r1} \cos \beta_1 t + S_{r1} \sin \beta_1 t + C_{\zeta 2} \cos \beta_2 t + S_{\zeta 2} \sin \beta_2 t$

$$\zeta = C_{\zeta 1} \cos \beta_1 t + S_{\zeta 1} \sin \beta_1 t + C_{\zeta 2} \cos \beta_2 t + S_{\zeta 2} \sin \beta_1 t + C_{\zeta 3} \cos \beta_3 t + S_{\zeta 3} \sin \beta_3 t$$

 (d) There are four families of quasi-periodic orbits in the tangent space of the equilibrium point, which can be expressed as

$$\begin{cases} \xi = C_{\xi 1} \cos \beta_1 t + S_{\xi 1} \sin \beta_1 t + C_{\xi 2} \cos \beta_2 t \\ + S_{\xi 2} \sin \beta_2 t \\ \eta = C_{\eta 1} \cos \beta_1 t + S_{\eta 1} \sin \beta_1 t + C_{\eta 2} \cos \beta_2 t \\ + S_{\eta 2} \sin \beta_2 t \\ \zeta = C_{\zeta 1} \cos \beta_1 t + S_{\zeta 1} \sin \beta_1 t + C_{\zeta 2} \cos \beta_2 t \\ + S_{\zeta 2} \sin \beta_2 t \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \xi = C_{\xi 1} \cos \beta_1 t + S_{\xi 1} \sin \beta_1 t + C_{\xi 3} \cos \beta_3 t \\ + S_{\xi 3} \sin \beta_3 t \\ \eta = C_{\eta 1} \cos \beta_1 t + S_{\eta 1} \sin \beta_1 t + C_{\eta 3} \cos \beta_3 t \\ + S_{\eta 3} \sin \beta_3 t \\ \zeta = C_{\zeta 1} \cos \beta_1 t + S_{\zeta 1} \sin \beta_1 t + C_{\zeta 3} \cos \beta_3 t \\ + S_{\zeta 3} \sin \beta_3 t \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \xi = C_{\xi 2} \cos \beta_2 t + S_{\xi 2} \sin \beta_2 t + C_{\xi 3} \cos \beta_3 t \\ + S_{\xi 3} \sin \beta_3 t \\ \eta = C_{\eta 2} \cos \beta_2 t + S_{\eta 2} \sin \beta_2 t + C_{\eta 3} \cos \beta_3 t \\ + S_{\eta 3} \sin \beta_3 t \\ \zeta = C_{\zeta 2} \cos \beta_2 t + S_{\zeta 2} \sin \beta_2 t + C_{\zeta 3} \cos \beta_3 t \\ + S_{\eta 3} \sin \beta_3 t \\ \zeta = C_{\zeta 2} \cos \beta_1 t + S_{\xi 1} \sin \beta_1 t + C_{\xi 2} \cos \beta_3 t \\ + S_{\xi 3} \sin \beta_3 t \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \xi = C_{\xi 1} \cos \beta_1 t + S_{\xi 1} \sin \beta_1 t + C_{\xi 2} \cos \beta_2 t \\ + S_{\xi 2} \sin \beta_2 t + C_{\eta 3} \cos \beta_3 t + S_{\xi 3} \sin \beta_3 t \\ \eta = C_{\eta 1} \cos \beta_1 t + S_{\eta 1} \sin \beta_1 t + C_{\eta 2} \cos \beta_2 t \\ + S_{\eta 2} \sin \beta_2 t + C_{\eta 3} \cos \beta_3 t + S_{\eta 3} \sin \beta_3 t \\ \zeta = C_{\zeta 1} \cos \beta_1 t + S_{\zeta 1} \sin \beta_1 t + C_{\zeta 2} \cos \beta_2 t \\ + S_{\zeta 2} \sin \beta_2 t + C_{\zeta 3} \cos \beta_3 t + S_{\eta 3} \sin \beta_3 t \end{cases}$$

- (e) There are four families of quasi-periodic orbits near the equilibrium point, and they are on the k-dimensional tori T^k(k = 2, 3).
- (f) There are three families of periodic orbits in the tangent space of the equilibrium point, which have the periods T₁ = ^{2π}/_{β1}, T₂ = ^{2π}/_{β2}, T₃ = ^{2π}/_{β3}.
 (g) There are three families of periodic orbits near the equi-
- (g) There are three families of periodic orbits near the equilibrium point.
- (h) There is no asymptotically stable manifold near the equilibrium point, and the roots of the characteristic equation $P(\lambda)$ satisfy the following condition: If $j \neq k(j = 1, 2, ..., 6; k = 1, 2, ..., 6)$, then $\lambda_j \neq \lambda_k$.
- (i) There is no unstable manifold near the equilibrium point, and the roots of the characteristic equation $P(\lambda)$ satisfy the following condition: If $j \neq k(j = 1, 2, ..., 6; k = 1, 2, ..., 6)$, then $\lambda_j \neq \lambda_k$.
- (j) The dimensions of the central manifold W^c(S) and the resonant manifold W^r(S) satisfy dim W^c(S) = 6 and dim W^r(S) = 0, respectively.
- (k) The dimensions of the central subspace $E^{c}(L)$ and the resonant subspace $E^{r}(L)$ satisfy dim $E^{c}(L) = 6$ and dim $E^{r}(L) = 0$, respectively.
- (1) The structure of the submanifold is $(\mathbf{S}, \Omega) \simeq T \Xi \cong W^c(\mathbf{S}), W^r(\mathbf{S}) = \emptyset$, where \emptyset is an empty set.
- (m) The structure of the subspace is $T_L \mathbf{S} \cong E^c(L)$, and $E^r(L) = \emptyset$.

Proof (a) \Rightarrow (b): Using Theorem 4, it is obvious.

(b) \Rightarrow (c): Using the solution theory of ordinary differential equations, one can obtain (c).

(c) \Rightarrow (d): It is obvious.

(d) \Rightarrow (e): Among the four families of quasi-periodic orbits that are expressed in (d), the first three families of

quasi-periodic orbits near the equilibrium point are on 2dimensional tori T^2 , and the last family of quasi-periodic orbits near the equilibrium point is on a 3-dimensional torus T^3 .

(e) \Rightarrow (f): Assuming that there are four families of quasiperiodic orbits near the equilibrium point, which are on the *k*-dimensional tori T^k (k = 2, 3), we can eliminate Cases 2–8. Thus, the equilibrium point is linearly stable, and (a) is established.

Considering (a) and (c), we derive that there are three families of periodic orbits in the tangent space of the equilibrium point that have the periods $T_1 = \frac{2\pi}{\beta_1}$, $T_2 = \frac{2\pi}{\beta_2}$ and $T_3 = \frac{2\pi}{\beta_3}$.

(f) \Rightarrow (g): It is obvious.

(g) \Rightarrow (a): Because there are three families of periodic orbits, we can eliminate Cases 2–8 and obtain (a).

(a) \Rightarrow (i): Because the equilibrium point is linearly stable, there is no unstable manifold or resonant manifold near the equilibrium point. We obtain (i).

(h) \Leftrightarrow (i) \Leftrightarrow (j) \Leftrightarrow (k) \Leftrightarrow (l) \Leftrightarrow (m): It is obvious.

(m) \Rightarrow (a): The structure of the subspace is $T_L \mathbf{S} \cong E^c(L)$, and $E^r(L) = \emptyset$. Thus, the dimensions of the unstable manifold and the resonant manifold near the equilibrium point are equal to 0. Then, the eigenvalues have the form $\pm i\beta_j$ ($\beta_j \in \mathbb{R}, \beta_j > 0; j = 1, 2, 3$), and if $j \neq k(j = 1, 2, 3; k = 1, 2, 3)$, then $\beta_j \neq \beta_k$. This result leads to (a). \Box

Strictly speaking, Eq. (19) is the projection of the motion to the tangent space of the equilibrium point; Eq. (19) is the approximate expression of the motion. Because Theorem 6 is about the necessary and sufficient conditions of the linear stability of the equilibrium points in the potential field of a rotating asteroid, there is a corollary for the linear instability of the equilibrium points.

Corollary 2 For an equilibrium point in the potential field of a rotating asteroid, the following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) It is linearly unstable.
- (b) The roots of the characteristic equation P(λ) do not have the form ±iβ_j(β_j ∈ R, β_j > 0; β_j ≠ β_k; j, k = 1, 2, 3, j ≠ k).
- (c) There are fewer than four families of quasi-periodic orbits on the k-dimensional tori $T^k(k = 2, 3)$ near the equilibrium point.
- (d) There are fewer than three families of periodic orbits in the tangent space of the equilibrium point.
- (e) There is an asymptotically stable manifold near the equilibrium point or one of the Cases 6–8 is satisfied.
- (f) There is an unstable manifold near the equilibrium point or one of the Cases 6–8 is satisfied.

(g)

$$\dim W^{c}(\mathbf{S}) < 6 \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{cases} \dim W^{c}(\mathbf{S}) = 6\\ \dim W^{r}(\mathbf{S}) > 0 \end{cases}$$

6

(h)

$$\dim E^{c}(L) < 6 \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{cases} \dim E^{c}(L) = 6\\ \dim E^{r}(L) > 0 \end{cases}$$

5.3 Unstable equilibrium points

In this section, the unstable equilibrium points with no resonant manifold are discussed. The unstable equilibrium points can be classified into four cases, which have been presented in Theorem 4. From Theorem 4, it is known that an equilibrium point is unstable if and only if dim $W^{u}(\mathbf{S}) \geq 1$, dim $W^{r}(\mathbf{S}) = 0$, with Case 2 corresponding to dim $W^{u}(\mathbf{S}) = 1$ and dim $W^{r}(\mathbf{S}) = 0$; Case 3 and Case 5 corresponding to dim $W^{u}(\mathbf{S}) = 2$ and dim $W^{r}(\mathbf{S}) = 0$; Case 4 corresponding to dim $W^{u}(\mathbf{S}) = 3$ and dim $W^{r}(\mathbf{S}) = 0$.

5.3.1 Case 2

There are two pairs of imaginary eigenvalues and one pair of real eigenvalues for the unstable equilibrium point. The motion of the spacecraft near this equilibrium point, and relative to it, is expressed by

$$\begin{cases} \xi = A_{\xi 1} e^{\alpha_{1}t} + B_{\xi 1} e^{-\alpha_{1}t} + C_{\xi 1} \cos \beta_{1}t + S_{\xi 1} \sin \beta_{1}t \\ + C_{\xi 2} \cos \beta_{2}t + S_{\xi 2} \sin \beta_{2}t \\ \eta = A_{\eta 1} e^{\alpha_{1}t} + B_{\eta 1} e^{-\alpha_{1}t} + C_{\eta 1} \cos \beta_{1}t + S_{\eta 1} \sin \beta_{1}t \\ + C_{\eta 2} \cos \beta_{2}t + S_{\eta 2} \sin \beta_{2}t \\ \zeta = A_{\zeta 1} e^{\alpha_{1}t} + B_{\zeta 1} e^{-\alpha_{1}t} + C_{\zeta 1} \cos \beta_{1}t + S_{\zeta 1} \sin \beta_{1}t \\ + C_{\zeta 2} \cos \beta_{2}t + S_{\zeta 2} \sin \beta_{2}t \end{cases}$$
(24)

The almost periodic orbit near the equilibrium point can be expressed by

$$\begin{cases} \xi = B_{\xi 1} e^{-\alpha_1 t} + C_{\xi 1} \cos \beta_1 t + S_{\xi 1} \sin \beta_1 t \\ + C_{\xi 2} \cos \beta_2 t + S_{\xi 2} \sin \beta_2 t \\ \eta = B_{\eta 1} e^{-\alpha_1 t} + C_{\eta 1} \cos \beta_1 t + S_{\eta 1} \sin \beta_1 t \\ + C_{\eta 2} \cos \beta_2 t + S_{\eta 2} \sin \beta_2 t \\ \zeta = B_{\zeta 1} e^{-\alpha_1 t} + C_{\zeta 1} \cos \beta_1 t + S_{\zeta 1} \sin \beta_1 t \\ + C_{\zeta 2} \cos \beta_2 t + S_{\zeta 2} \sin \beta_2 t \end{cases}$$
(25)

The central manifold is a 4-dimensional smooth manifold, which is given by $A_{\xi 1} = B_{\xi 1} = A_{\eta 1} = B_{\eta 1} = A_{\zeta 1} =$ $B_{\zeta 1} = 0$. The motion of the spacecraft relative to the equilibrium point on the central manifold follows a Lissajous orbit.

There are two families of periodic orbits on the central manifold. The first family of periodic orbits is given by

$$\begin{cases} A_{\xi 1} = B_{\xi 1} = A_{\eta 1} = B_{\eta 1} = A_{\zeta 1} = B_{\zeta 1} = 0 \\ C_{\xi 2} = S_{\xi 2} = C_{\eta 2} = S_{\eta 2} = C_{\zeta 2} = S_{\zeta 2} = 0 \end{cases}$$

and the period is $T_1 = \frac{2\pi}{\beta_1}$. The second family of periodic orbits is given by

$$\begin{cases} A_{\xi 1} = B_{\xi 1} = A_{\eta 1} = B_{\eta 1} = A_{\zeta 1} = B_{\zeta 1} = 0\\ C_{\xi 1} = S_{\xi 1} = C_{\eta 1} = S_{\eta 1} = C_{\zeta 1} = S_{\zeta 1} = 0 \end{cases}$$

and the period is

$$T_2 = \frac{2\pi}{\beta_2}$$

The asymptotically stable manifold is generated by

$$\begin{cases} \xi = B_{\xi 1} e^{-\alpha_1 t} \\ \eta = B_{\eta 1} e^{-\alpha_1 t} \\ \zeta = B_{\zeta 1} e^{-\alpha_1 t} \end{cases}$$
(26)

It is a 1-dimensional smooth manifold.

The unstable manifold is generated by

$$\begin{cases} \xi = A_{\xi 1} e^{\alpha_1 t} \\ \eta = A_{\eta 1} e^{\alpha_1 t} \\ \zeta = A_{\zeta 1} e^{\alpha_1 t} \end{cases}$$
(27)

It is a 1-dimensional smooth manifold. The general result of Case 2 is stated as follows.

Theorem 6 For an equilibrium point in the potential field of a rotating asteroid, the following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) The roots of the characteristic equation $P(\lambda)$ are in the form of $\pm \alpha_i (\alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha_i > 0, j = 1)$ and $\pm i\beta_i (\beta_i \in \mathbb{R})$ R, $\beta_i > 0$; j = 1, 2), where $\beta_1 \neq \beta_2$.
- (b) The motion of the spacecraft near the equilibrium point, and relative to it, can be expressed as

$$\begin{cases} \xi = A_{\xi 1} e^{\alpha_1 t} + B_{\xi 1} e^{-\alpha_1 t} + C_{\xi 1} \cos \beta_1 t + S_{\xi 1} \sin \beta_1 t \\ + C_{\xi 2} \cos \beta_2 t + S_{\xi 2} \sin \beta_2 t \\ \eta = A_{\eta 1} e^{\alpha_1 t} + B_{\eta 1} e^{-\alpha_1 t} + C_{\eta 1} \cos \beta_1 t + S_{\eta 1} \sin \beta_1 t \\ + C_{\eta 2} \cos \beta_2 t + S_{\eta 2} \sin \beta_2 t \\ \zeta = A_{\zeta 1} e^{\alpha_1 t} + B_{\zeta 1} e^{-\alpha_1 t} + C_{\zeta 1} \cos \beta_1 t + S_{\zeta 1} \sin \beta_1 t \\ + C_{\zeta 2} \cos \beta_2 t + S_{\zeta 2} \sin \beta_2 t \end{cases}$$

(c) The characteristic roots are different, and there are two families of quasi-periodic orbits in the tangent space of the equilibrium point, which can be expressed as

 $\begin{cases} \xi = C_{\xi 1} \cos \beta_1 t + S_{\xi 1} \sin \beta_1 t + C_{\xi 2} \cos \beta_2 t + S_{\xi 2} \sin \beta_2 t \\ \eta = C_{\eta 1} \cos \beta_1 t + S_{\eta 1} \sin \beta_1 t + C_{\eta 2} \cos \beta_2 t + S_{\eta 2} \sin \beta_2 t \\ \zeta = C_{\zeta 1} \cos \beta_1 t + S_{\zeta 1} \sin \beta_1 t + C_{\zeta 2} \cos \beta_2 t + S_{\zeta 2} \sin \beta_2 t \end{cases}$

- (d) The characteristic roots are different, and there are two families of quasi-periodic orbits near the equilibrium point on the 2-dimensional tori T^2 .
- (e) There are two families of periodic orbits in the tangent space of the equilibrium point, which have the periods $T_1 = \frac{2\pi}{\beta_1}, T_2 = \frac{2\pi}{\beta_2}.$
- (f) There are two families of periodic orbits near the equilibrium point.
- (g) The structure of the submanifold is $(\mathbf{S}, \Omega) \simeq T\Xi \cong W^{s}(\mathbf{S}) \oplus W^{c}(\mathbf{S}) \oplus W^{u}(\mathbf{S})$; dim $W^{c}(\mathbf{S}) = 4$, dim $W^{s}(\mathbf{S}) =$ dim $W^{u}(\mathbf{S}) = 1$, and dim $W^{r}(\mathbf{S}) = 0$.
- (h) The structure of the subspace is $T_L \mathbf{S} \cong E^s(L) \oplus E^c(L) \oplus E^u(L)$; dim $E^c(L) = 4$, dim $E^s(L) = \dim E^u(L) = 1$, and dim $W^r(\mathbf{S}) = 0$.

Proof The proof for Theorem 6 is similar to that for Theorem 5. \Box

5.3.2 Case 3

The eigenvalues of the equilibrium point are in the form of $\pm \alpha_j (\alpha_j \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha_j > 0; j = 1, 2)$ and $\pm i\beta_j (\beta_j \in \mathbb{R}, \beta_j > 0, j = 1)$. The equilibrium point is unstable. The motion of the spacecraft near the equilibrium point, and relative to it, is expressed as

$$\begin{cases} \xi = A_{\xi 1} e^{\alpha_{1}t} + B_{\xi 1} e^{-\alpha_{1}t} + A_{\xi 2} e^{\alpha_{2}t} + B_{\xi 2} e^{-\alpha_{2}t} \\ + C_{\xi 1} \cos \beta_{1}t + S_{\xi 1} \sin \beta_{1}t \\ \eta = A_{\eta 1} e^{\alpha_{1}t} + B_{\eta 1} e^{-\alpha_{1}t} + A_{\eta 2} e^{\alpha_{2}t} + B_{\eta 2} e^{-\alpha_{2}t} \\ + C_{\eta 1} \cos \beta_{1}t + S_{\eta 1} \sin \beta_{1}t \\ \zeta = A_{\zeta 1} e^{\alpha_{1}t} + B_{\zeta 1} e^{-\alpha_{1}t} + A_{\zeta 2} e^{\alpha_{2}t} + B_{\zeta 2} e^{-\alpha_{2}t} \\ + C_{\zeta 1} \cos \beta_{1}t + S_{\zeta 1} \sin \beta_{1}t \end{cases}$$
(28)

The almost periodic orbit near the equilibrium point can be expressed as

$$\begin{cases} \xi = B_{\xi 1} e^{-\alpha_1 t} + B_{\xi 2} e^{-\alpha_2 t} + C_{\xi 1} \cos \beta_1 t + S_{\xi 1} \sin \beta_1 t \\ \eta = B_{\eta 1} e^{-\alpha_1 t} + B_{\eta 2} e^{-\alpha_2 t} + C_{\eta 1} \cos \beta_1 t + S_{\eta 1} \sin \beta_1 t \\ \zeta = B_{\zeta 1} e^{-\alpha_1 t} + B_{\zeta 2} e^{-\alpha_2 t} + C_{\zeta 1} \cos \beta_1 t + S_{\zeta 1} \sin \beta_1 t \end{cases}$$
(29)

The central manifold is given by

$$\begin{cases} A_{\xi 1} = B_{\xi 1} = A_{\eta 1} = B_{\eta 1} = A_{\zeta 1} = B_{\zeta 1} = 0\\ A_{\xi 2} = B_{\xi 2} = A_{\eta 2} = B_{\eta 2} = A_{\zeta 2} = B_{\zeta 2} = 0 \end{cases}$$

and it is a 2-dimensional smooth manifold.

There is one family of periodic orbits, which is given by

$$\begin{cases} A_{\xi 1} = B_{\xi 1} = A_{\eta 1} = B_{\eta 1} = A_{\zeta 1} = B_{\zeta 1} = 0\\ A_{\xi 2} = B_{\xi 2} = A_{\eta 2} = B_{\eta 2} = A_{\zeta 2} = B_{\zeta 2} = 0 \end{cases}$$

and the period is

$$T_1 = \frac{2\pi}{\beta_1}$$

The asymptotically stable manifold is generated by

$$\begin{cases} \xi = B_{\xi 1} e^{-\alpha_1 t} + B_{\xi 2} e^{-\alpha_2 t} \\ \eta = B_{\eta 1} e^{-\alpha_1 t} + B_{\eta 2} e^{-\alpha_2 t} \\ \zeta = B_{\zeta 1} e^{-\alpha_1 t} + B_{\zeta 2} e^{-\alpha_2 t} \end{cases}$$
(30)

It is a 2-dimensional smooth manifold.

The unstable manifold is generated by

$$\begin{cases} \xi = A_{\xi 1} e^{\alpha_1 t} + A_{\xi 2} e^{\alpha_2 t} \\ \eta = A_{\eta 1} e^{\alpha_1 t} + A_{\eta 2} e^{\alpha_2 t} \\ \zeta = A_{\zeta 1} e^{\alpha_1 t} + A_{\zeta 2} e^{\alpha_2 t} \end{cases}$$
(31)

It is a 2-dimensional smooth manifold. Then, the general result for Case 3 is stated as follows.

Theorem 7 For an equilibrium point in the potential field of a rotating asteroid, the following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) The roots of the characteristic equation $P(\lambda)$ have the form $\pm \alpha_j (\alpha_j \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha_j > 0; j = 1, 2)$ and $\pm i\beta_j (\beta_j \in \mathbb{R}, \beta_j > 0, j = 1)$.
- (b) The motion of the spacecraft near the equilibrium point, and relative to it, is expressed as

$$\begin{cases} \xi = A_{\xi 1} e^{\alpha_1 t} + B_{\xi 1} e^{-\alpha_1 t} + A_{\xi 2} e^{\alpha_2 t} + B_{\xi 2} e^{-\alpha_2 t} \\ + C_{\xi 1} \cos \beta_1 t + S_{\xi 1} \sin \beta_1 t \\ \eta = A_{\eta 1} e^{\alpha_1 t} + B_{\eta 1} e^{-\alpha_1 t} + A_{\eta 2} e^{\alpha_2 t} + B_{\eta 2} e^{-\alpha_2 t} \\ + C_{\eta 1} \cos \beta_1 t + S_{\eta 1} \sin \beta_1 t \\ \zeta = A_{\zeta 1} e^{\alpha_1 t} + B_{\zeta 1} e^{-\alpha_1 t} + A_{\zeta 2} e^{\alpha_2 t} + B_{\zeta 2} e^{-\alpha_2 t} \\ + C_{\zeta 1} \cos \beta_1 t + S_{\zeta 1} \sin \beta_1 t \end{cases}$$

- (c) There is one family of periodic orbits in the tangent space of the equilibrium point, which has the period $T_1 = \frac{2\pi}{\beta_1}$, and the dimension of the unstable manifold satisfies dim $W^u(\mathbf{S}) = 2$; there is at least one characteristic root in the real axis.
- (d) There is one family of periodic orbits near the equilibrium point, and the dimension of the unstable manifold satisfies dim W^u(S) = 2; there is at least one characteristic root in the real axis.
- (e) The asymptotically stable manifold is generated by

$$\begin{cases} \xi = B_{\xi 1} e^{-\alpha_1 t} + B_{\xi 2} e^{-\alpha_2 t} \\ \eta = B_{\eta 1} e^{-\alpha_1 t} + B_{\eta 2} e^{-\alpha_2 t} \\ \zeta = B_{\zeta 1} e^{-\alpha_1 t} + B_{\zeta 2} e^{-\alpha_2 t} \end{cases}$$

(f) The unstable manifold is generated by

 $\begin{cases} \xi = A_{\xi 1} e^{\alpha_1 t} + A_{\xi 2} e^{\alpha_2 t} \\ \eta = A_{\eta 1} e^{\alpha_1 t} + A_{\eta 2} e^{\alpha_2 t} \\ \zeta = A_{\zeta 1} e^{\alpha_1 t} + A_{\zeta 2} e^{\alpha_2 t} \end{cases}$

(g) The structure of the submanifold is $(\mathbf{S}, \Omega) \simeq T\Xi \cong W^s(\mathbf{S}) \oplus W^c(\mathbf{S}) \oplus W^u(\mathbf{S})$, and dim $W^s(\mathbf{S}) = \dim W^c(\mathbf{S}) = \dim W^u(\mathbf{S}) = 2$; there is at least one characteristic root in the real axis.

(h) The structure of the subspace is $T_L \mathbf{S} \cong E^s(L) \oplus E^c(L) \oplus E^u(L)$, and dim $E^s(L) = \dim E^c(L) = \dim E^u(L) = 2$; there is at least one characteristic root in the real axis.

5.3.3 Case 4

The forms of the eigenvalues are $\pm \alpha_j (\alpha_j \in \mathbf{R}, \alpha_j > 0, j = 1)$ and $\pm \sigma \pm i\tau(\sigma, \tau \in \mathbf{R}; \sigma, \tau > 0)$, or $\pm \alpha_j (\alpha_j \in \mathbf{R}, \alpha_j > 0, j = 1, 2, 3)$. The equilibrium point is unstable. The motion of the spacecraft near the equilibrium point, and relative to it, is expressed as

$$\begin{cases} \xi = A_{\xi 1} e^{\alpha_1 t} + B_{\xi 1} e^{-\alpha_1 t} + E_{\xi} e^{\sigma t} \cos \tau t + F_{\xi} e^{\sigma t} \sin \tau t \\ + G_{\xi} e^{-\sigma t} \cos \tau t + H_{\xi} e^{-\sigma t} \sin \tau t \\ \eta = A_{\eta 1} e^{\alpha_1 t} + B_{\eta 1} e^{-\alpha_1 t} + E_{\eta} e^{\sigma t} \cos \tau t + F_{\eta} e^{\sigma t} \sin \tau t \\ + G_{\eta} e^{-\sigma t} \cos \tau t + H_{\eta} e^{-\sigma t} \sin \tau t \\ \zeta = A_{\zeta 1} e^{\alpha_1 t} + B_{\zeta 1} e^{-\alpha_1 t} + E_{\zeta} e^{\sigma t} \cos \tau t + F_{\zeta} e^{\sigma t} \sin \tau t \\ + G_{\zeta} e^{-\sigma t} \cos \tau t + H_{\zeta} e^{-\sigma t} \sin \tau t \end{cases}$$

or

$$\begin{cases} \xi = A_{\xi 1} e^{\alpha_1 t} + B_{\xi 1} e^{-\alpha_1 t} + A_{\xi 2} e^{\alpha_2 t} + B_{\xi 2} e^{-\alpha_2 t} + A_{\xi 3} e^{\alpha_3 t} \\ + B_{\xi 3} e^{-\alpha_3 t} \\ \eta = A_{\eta 1} e^{\alpha_1 t} + B_{\eta 1} e^{-\alpha_1 t} + A_{\eta 2} e^{\alpha_2 t} + B_{\eta 2} e^{-\alpha_2 t} + A_{\eta 3} e^{\alpha_3 t} \\ + B_{\eta 3} e^{-\alpha_3 t} \\ \zeta = A_{\zeta 1} e^{\alpha_1 t} + B_{\zeta 1} e^{-\alpha_1 t} + A_{\zeta 2} e^{\alpha_2 t} + B_{\zeta 2} e^{-\alpha_2 t} + A_{\zeta 3} e^{\alpha_3 t} \\ + B_{\zeta 3} e^{-\alpha_3 t} \end{cases}$$

The asymptotically stable manifold is generated by

$$\begin{cases} \xi = B_{\xi 1} e^{-\alpha_1 t} + G_{\xi} e^{-\sigma t} \cos \tau t + H_{\xi} e^{-\sigma t} \sin \tau t \\ \eta = B_{\eta 1} e^{-\alpha_1 t} + G_{\eta} e^{-\sigma t} \cos \tau t + H_{\eta} e^{-\sigma t} \sin \tau t \\ \zeta = B_{\zeta 1} e^{-\alpha_1 t} + G_{\zeta} e^{-\sigma t} \cos \tau t + H_{\zeta} e^{-\sigma t} \sin \tau t \end{cases}$$
or
$$(33)$$

 $\begin{cases} \xi = B_{\xi 1} e^{-\alpha_1 t} + B_{\xi 2} e^{-\alpha_2 t} + B_{\xi 3} e^{-\alpha_3 t} \\ \eta = B_{\eta 1} e^{-\alpha_1 t} + B_{\eta 2} e^{-\alpha_2 t} + B_{\eta 3} e^{-\alpha_3 t} \\ \zeta = B_{\zeta 1} e^{-\alpha_1 t} + B_{\zeta 2} e^{-\alpha_2 t} + B_{\zeta 3} e^{-\alpha_3 t} \end{cases}$

It is a 3-dimensional smooth manifold. The unstable manifold is generated by

$$\begin{cases} \xi = A_{\xi 1} e^{\alpha_1 t} + E_{\xi} e^{\sigma t} \cos \tau t + F_{\xi} e^{\sigma t} \sin \tau t \\ \eta = A_{\eta 1} e^{\alpha_1 t} + E_{\eta} e^{\sigma t} \cos \tau t + F_{\eta} e^{\sigma t} \sin \tau t \\ \zeta = A_{\zeta 1} e^{\alpha_1 t} + E_{\zeta} e^{\sigma t} \cos \tau t + F_{\zeta} e^{\sigma t} \sin \tau t \end{cases}$$
or
$$(34)$$

$$\begin{cases} \xi = A_{\xi 1} e^{\alpha_1 t} + A_{\xi 2} e^{\alpha_2 t} + A_{\xi 3} e^{\alpha_3 t} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \xi = A_{\xi 1} e^{\alpha_{1}t} + A_{\xi 2} e^{\alpha_{2}t} + A_{\xi 3} e^{\alpha_{3}t} \\ \eta = A_{\eta 1} e^{\alpha_{1}t} + A_{\eta 2} e^{\alpha_{2}t} + A_{\eta 3} e^{\alpha_{3}t} \\ \zeta = A_{\zeta 1} e^{\alpha_{1}t} + A_{\zeta 2} e^{\alpha_{2}t} + A_{\zeta 3} e^{\alpha_{3}t} \end{cases}$$

It is a 3-dimensional smooth manifold. Then, the general result of Case 4 is stated as follows.

Theorem 8 For an equilibrium point in the potential field of a rotating asteroid, the following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) The roots of the characteristic equation $P(\lambda)$ are in the form of $\pm \alpha_j (\alpha_j \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha_j > 0, j = 1)$ and $\pm \sigma \pm i\tau(\sigma, \tau \in \mathbb{R}; \sigma, \tau > 0)$, or $\pm \alpha_j (\alpha_j \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha_j > 0, j = 1, 2, 3)$.
- (b) The motion of the spacecraft near the equilibrium point, and relative to it, is expressed as

$$\begin{cases} \xi = A_{\xi 1} e^{\alpha_1 t} + B_{\xi 1} e^{-\alpha_1 t} + E_{\xi} e^{\sigma t} \cos \tau t \\ + F_{\xi} e^{\sigma t} \sin \tau t + G_{\xi} e^{-\sigma t} \cos \tau t + H_{\xi} e^{-\sigma t} \sin \tau t \\ \eta = A_{\eta 1} e^{\alpha_1 t} + B_{\eta 1} e^{-\alpha_1 t} + E_{\eta} e^{\sigma t} \cos \tau t \\ + F_{\eta} e^{\sigma t} \sin \tau t + G_{\eta} e^{-\sigma t} \cos \tau t + H_{\eta} e^{-\sigma t} \sin \tau t \\ \zeta = A_{\zeta 1} e^{\alpha_1 t} + B_{\zeta 1} e^{-\alpha_1 t} + E_{\zeta} e^{\sigma t} \cos \tau t \\ + F_{\zeta} e^{\sigma t} \sin \tau t + G_{\zeta} e^{-\sigma t} \cos \tau t + H_{\zeta} e^{-\sigma t} \sin \tau t \end{cases}$$

or

(32)

$$\begin{cases} \xi = A_{\xi 1} e^{\alpha_1 t} + B_{\xi 1} e^{-\alpha_1 t} + A_{\xi 2} e^{\alpha_2 t} + B_{\xi 2} e^{-\alpha_2 t} \\ + A_{\xi 3} e^{\alpha_3 t} + B_{\xi 3} e^{-\alpha_3 t} \\ \eta = A_{\eta 1} e^{\alpha_1 t} + B_{\eta 1} e^{-\alpha_1 t} + A_{\eta 2} e^{\alpha_2 t} + B_{\eta 2} e^{-\alpha_2 t} \\ + A_{\eta 3} e^{\alpha_3 t} + B_{\eta 3} e^{-\alpha_3 t} \\ \zeta = A_{\zeta 1} e^{\alpha_1 t} + B_{\zeta 1} e^{-\alpha_1 t} + A_{\zeta 2} e^{\alpha_2 t} + B_{\zeta 2} e^{-\alpha_2 t} \\ + A_{\zeta 3} e^{\alpha_3 t} + B_{\zeta 3} e^{-\alpha_3 t} \end{cases}$$

- (c) *There is no periodic orbit in the tangent space of the equilibrium point.*
- (d) There is no periodic orbit near the equilibrium point.
- (e) The asymptotically stable manifold is generated by

$$\begin{cases} \xi = B_{\xi 1} e^{-\alpha_1 t} + G_{\xi} e^{-\sigma t} \cos \tau t + H_{\xi} e^{-\sigma t} \sin \tau t \\ \eta = B_{\eta 1} e^{-\alpha_1 t} + G_{\eta} e^{-\sigma t} \cos \tau t + H_{\eta} e^{-\sigma t} \sin \tau t \\ \zeta = B_{\zeta 1} e^{-\alpha_1 t} + G_{\zeta} e^{-\sigma t} \cos \tau t + H_{\zeta} e^{-\sigma t} \sin \tau t \end{cases}$$
or

$$\begin{cases} \xi = B_{\xi 1} e^{-\alpha_1 t} + B_{\xi 2} e^{-\alpha_2 t} + B_{\xi 3} e^{-\alpha_3 t} \\ \eta = B_{\eta 1} e^{-\alpha_1 t} + B_{\eta 2} e^{-\alpha_2 t} + B_{\eta 3} e^{-\alpha_3 t} \\ \zeta = B_{\zeta 1} e^{-\alpha_1 t} + B_{\zeta 2} e^{-\alpha_2 t} + B_{\zeta 3} e^{-\alpha_3 t} \end{cases}$$

(f) The unstable manifold is generated by

 $\begin{cases} \xi = A_{\xi 1} e^{\alpha_1 t} + E_{\xi} e^{\sigma t} \cos \tau t + F_{\xi} e^{\sigma t} \sin \tau t \\ \eta = A_{\eta 1} e^{\alpha_1 t} + E_{\eta} e^{\sigma t} \cos \tau t + F_{\eta} e^{\sigma t} \sin \tau t \\ \zeta = A_{\zeta 1} e^{\alpha_1 t} + E_{\zeta} e^{\sigma t} \cos \tau t + F_{\zeta} e^{\sigma t} \sin \tau t \end{cases}$ or

$$\begin{cases} \xi = A_{\xi 1} e^{\alpha_1 t} + A_{\xi 2} e^{\alpha_2 t} + A_{\xi 3} e^{\alpha_3 t} \\ \eta = A_{\eta 1} e^{\alpha_1 t} + A_{\eta 2} e^{\alpha_2 t} + A_{\eta 3} e^{\alpha_3 t} \\ \zeta = A_{\zeta 1} e^{\alpha_1 t} + A_{\zeta 2} e^{\alpha_2 t} + A_{\zeta 3} e^{\alpha_3 t} \end{cases}$$

- (g) The structure of the submanifold is $(\mathbf{S}, \Omega) \simeq T \Xi \cong W^s(\mathbf{S}) \oplus W^u(\mathbf{S}).$
- (h) The dimension of the unstable manifold satisfies $\dim W^u(\mathbf{S}) = 3$.
- (i) The dimension of the asymptotically stable manifold satisfies dim W^s(S) = 3.
- (j) The structure of the subspace is $T_L \mathbf{S} \cong E^s(L) \oplus E^u(L)$.

- (k) The dimension of the unstable subspace satisfies $\dim E^u(L) = 3$.
- (1) The dimension of the asymptotically stable subspace satisfies dim $E^{s}(L) = 3$.

5.3.4 Case 5

The forms of the eigenvalues are $\pm i\beta_j(\beta_j \in \mathbb{R}, \beta_j > 0, j = 1)$ and $\pm \sigma \pm i\tau(\sigma, \tau \in \mathbb{R}; \sigma, \tau > 0)$. The equilibrium point is unstable. The motion of the spacecraft near the equilibrium point, and relative to it, is expressed as

$$\begin{cases} \xi = C_{\xi 1} \cos \beta_1 t + S_{\xi 1} \sin \beta_1 t + E_{\xi} e^{\sigma t} \cos \tau t \\ + F_{\xi} e^{\sigma t} \sin \tau t + G_{\xi} e^{-\sigma t} \cos \tau t + H_{\xi} e^{-\sigma t} \sin \tau t \\ \eta = C_{\eta 1} \cos \beta_1 t + S_{\eta 1} \sin \beta_1 t + E_{\eta} e^{\sigma t} \cos \tau t \\ + F_{\eta} e^{\sigma t} \sin \tau t + G_{\eta} e^{-\sigma t} \cos \tau t + H_{\eta} e^{-\sigma t} \sin \tau t \\ \zeta = C_{\zeta 1} \cos \beta_1 t + S_{\zeta 1} \sin \beta_1 t + E_{\zeta} e^{\sigma t} \cos \tau t \\ + F_{\zeta} e^{\sigma t} \sin \tau t + G_{\zeta} e^{-\sigma t} \cos \tau t + H_{\zeta} e^{-\sigma t} \sin \tau t \end{cases}$$
(35)

The almost periodic orbit near the equilibrium point can be expressed as

$$\begin{cases} \xi = C_{\xi 1} \cos \beta_1 t + S_{\xi 1} \sin \beta_1 t + G_{\xi} e^{-\sigma t} \cos \tau t \\ + H_{\xi} e^{-\sigma t} \sin \tau t \\ \eta = C_{\eta 1} \cos \beta_1 t + S_{\eta 1} \sin \beta_1 t + G_{\eta} e^{-\sigma t} \cos \tau t \\ + H_{\eta} e^{-\sigma t} \sin \tau t \\ \zeta = C_{\zeta 1} \cos \beta_1 t + S_{\zeta 1} \sin \beta_1 t + G_{\zeta} e^{-\sigma t} \cos \tau t \\ + H_{\zeta} e^{-\sigma t} \sin \tau t \end{cases}$$
(36)

The central manifold is given by

$$\begin{cases} E_{\xi} = F_{\xi} = G_{\xi} = H_{\xi} = 0 \\ E_{\eta} = F_{\eta} = G_{\eta} = H_{\eta} = 0 \\ E_{\zeta} = F_{\zeta} = G_{\zeta} = H_{\zeta} = 0 \end{cases}$$
(37)

It is a 2-dimensional smooth manifold.

The asymptotically stable manifold is generated by

$$\begin{cases} \xi = G_{\xi} e^{-\sigma t} \cos \tau t + H_{\xi} e^{-\sigma t} \sin \tau t \\ \eta = G_{\eta} e^{-\sigma t} \cos \tau t + H_{\eta} e^{-\sigma t} \sin \tau t \\ \zeta = G_{\zeta} e^{-\sigma t} \cos \tau t + H_{\zeta} e^{-\sigma t} \sin \tau t \end{cases}$$
(38)

It is a 2-dimensional smooth manifold.

The unstable manifold is generated by

$$\begin{cases} \xi = E_{\xi} e^{\sigma t} \cos \tau t + F_{\xi} e^{\sigma t} \sin \tau t \\ \eta = E_{\eta} e^{\sigma t} \cos \tau t + F_{\eta} e^{\sigma t} \sin \tau t \\ \zeta = E_{\zeta} e^{\sigma t} \cos \tau t + F_{\zeta} e^{\sigma t} \sin \tau t \end{cases}$$
(39)

It is a 2-dimensional smooth manifold. Then, the general result for Case 5 is stated as follows.

Theorem 9 For an equilibrium point in the potential field of a rotating asteroid, the following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) The roots of the characteristic equation $P(\lambda)$ are in the form of $\pm i\beta_j(\beta_j \in \mathbb{R}, \beta_j > 0, j = 1)$ and $\pm \sigma \pm i\tau(\sigma, \tau \in \mathbb{R}; \sigma, \tau > 0)$.
- (b) *The motion of the spacecraft near the equilibrium point, and relative to it, is expressed as*

$$\begin{cases} \xi = C_{\xi 1} \cos \beta_1 t + S_{\xi 1} \sin \beta_1 t + E_{\xi} e^{\sigma t} \cos \tau t \\ + F_{\xi} e^{\sigma t} \sin \tau t + G_{\xi} e^{-\sigma t} \cos \tau t + H_{\xi} e^{-\sigma t} \sin \tau t \\ \eta = C_{\eta 1} \cos \beta_1 t + S_{\eta 1} \sin \beta_1 t + E_{\eta} e^{\sigma t} \cos \tau t \\ + F_{\eta} e^{\sigma t} \sin \tau t + G_{\eta} e^{-\sigma t} \cos \tau t + H_{\eta} e^{-\sigma t} \sin \tau t \\ \zeta = C_{\zeta 1} \cos \beta_1 t + S_{\zeta 1} \sin \beta_1 t + E_{\zeta} e^{\sigma t} \cos \tau t \\ + F_{\zeta} e^{\sigma t} \sin \tau t + G_{\zeta} e^{-\sigma t} \cos \tau t + H_{\zeta} e^{-\sigma t} \sin \tau t \end{cases}$$

- (c) There is only one family of periodic orbits in the tangent space of the equilibrium point, which has the period T₁ = ^{2π}/_{β1}, and the dimension of the unstable manifold satisfies dim W^u(S) = 2. There is no characteristic root in the real axis.
- (d) There is only one family of periodic orbits near the equilibrium point, and the dimension of the unstable manifold satisfies dim $W^{u}(\mathbf{S}) = 2$. There is no characteristic root in the real axis.
- (e) The asymptotically stable manifold is generated by

 $\begin{cases} \xi = G_{\xi} e^{-\sigma t} \cos \tau t + H_{\xi} e^{-\sigma t} \sin \tau t \\ \eta = G_{\eta} e^{-\sigma t} \cos \tau t + H_{\eta} e^{-\sigma t} \sin \tau t \\ \zeta = G_{\zeta} e^{-\sigma t} \cos \tau t + H_{\zeta} e^{-\sigma t} \sin \tau t \end{cases}$

(f) The unstable manifold is generated by

 $\begin{cases} \xi = E_{\xi} e^{\sigma t} \cos \tau t + F_{\xi} e^{\sigma t} \sin \tau t \\ \eta = E_{\eta} e^{\sigma t} \cos \tau t + F_{\eta} e^{\sigma t} \sin \tau t \\ \zeta = E_{\zeta} e^{\sigma t} \cos \tau t + F_{\zeta} e^{\sigma t} \sin \tau t \end{cases}$

- (g) The structure of the submanifold is $(\mathbf{S}, \Omega) \simeq T\Xi \cong W^s(\mathbf{S}) \oplus W^c(\mathbf{S}) \oplus W^u(\mathbf{S})$, and dim $W^s(\mathbf{S}) = \dim W^c(\mathbf{S}) = \dim W^u(\mathbf{S}) = 2$. There is no characteristic root in the real axis.
- (h) The structure of the subspace is $T_L \mathbf{S} \cong E^s(L) \oplus E^c(L) \oplus E^u(L)$, and dim $E^s(L) = \dim E^c(L) = \dim E^u(L) = 2$. There is no characteristic root in the real axis.

5.4 Resonant equilibrium points

The resonant manifold and the resonant orbits exist if and only if one of the Cases 6–8 is satisfied. An equilibrium point is resonant if and only if dim $W^r(\mathbf{S}) > 0$, with Case 6 corresponding to $(\mathbf{S}, \Omega) \simeq T \Xi \simeq W^c(\mathbf{S}) \simeq W^r(\mathbf{S})$, dim $W^r(\mathbf{S}) = \dim W^c(\mathbf{S}) = 6$; Case 7 corresponding to $(\mathbf{S}, \Omega) \simeq T \Xi \simeq W^c(\mathbf{S})$, dim $W^c(\mathbf{S}) = 6$ and dim $W^r(\mathbf{S}) =$ 4; as well as Case 8 corresponding to $(\mathbf{S}, \Omega) \simeq T \Xi \simeq$ $W^s(\mathbf{S}) \oplus W^c(\mathbf{S}) \oplus W^u(\mathbf{S})$, dim $W^r(\mathbf{S}) = \dim W^c(\mathbf{S}) = 4$, and dim $W^s(\mathbf{S}) = \dim W^u(\mathbf{S}) = 1$. 5.4.1 Case 6

The motion of the spacecraft relative to the equilibrium point is on a 1:1:1 resonant manifold, which can be expressed as

$$\begin{cases} \xi = C_{\xi 1} \cos \beta_1 t + S_{\xi 1} \sin \beta_1 t + P_{\xi 1} t \cos \beta_1 t \\ + Q_{\xi 1} t \sin \beta_1 t + P_{\xi 2} t^2 \cos \beta_1 t + Q_{\xi 2} t^2 \sin \beta_1 t \\ \eta = C_{\eta 1} \cos \beta_1 t + S_{\eta 1} \sin \beta_1 t + P_{\eta 1} t \cos \beta_1 t \\ + Q_{\eta 1} t \sin \beta_1 t + P_{\eta 2} t^2 \cos \beta_1 t + Q_{\eta 2} t^2 \sin \beta_1 t \\ \zeta = C_{\zeta 1} \cos \beta_1 t + S_{\zeta 1} \sin \beta_1 t + P_{\zeta 1} t \cos \beta_1 t \\ + Q_{\zeta 1} t \sin \beta_1 t + P_{\zeta 2} t^2 \cos \beta_1 t + Q_{\zeta 2} t^2 \sin \beta_1 t \end{cases}$$
(40)

The resonant manifold is a 6-dimensional smooth manifold. There is only one family of periodic orbits, which is given by

 $\begin{cases} P_{\xi 1} = Q_{\xi 1} = P_{\xi 2} = Q_{\xi 2} = 0\\ P_{\eta 1} = Q_{\eta 1} = P_{\eta 2} = Q_{\eta 2} = 0\\ P_{\zeta 1} = Q_{\zeta 1} = P_{\zeta 2} = Q_{\zeta 2} = 0 \end{cases}$

and the period is $T_1 = \frac{2\pi}{\beta_1}$. Then, the general result of Case 6 is stated as follows.

Theorem 10 For an equilibrium point in the potential field of a rotating asteroid, the following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) The roots of the characteristic equation $P(\lambda)$ are in the form of $\pm i\beta_i(\beta_i \in \mathbb{R}, \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \beta_3 > 0; j = 1, 2, 3)$.
- (b) *The motion of the spacecraft near the equilibrium point, and relative to it, can be expressed as*

$$\begin{cases} \xi = C_{\xi 1} \cos \beta_1 t + S_{\xi 1} \sin \beta_1 t + P_{\xi 1} t \cos \beta_1 t \\ + Q_{\xi 1} t \sin \beta_1 t + P_{\xi 2} t^2 \cos \beta_1 t + Q_{\xi 2} t^2 \sin \beta_1 t \\ \eta = C_{\eta 1} \cos \beta_1 t + S_{\eta 1} \sin \beta_1 t + P_{\eta 1} t \cos \beta_1 t \\ + Q_{\eta 1} t \sin \beta_1 t + P_{\eta 2} t^2 \cos \beta_1 t + Q_{\eta 2} t^2 \sin \beta_1 t \\ \zeta = C_{\zeta 1} \cos \beta_1 t + S_{\zeta 1} \sin \beta_1 t + P_{\zeta 1} t \cos \beta_1 t \\ + Q_{\zeta 1} t \sin \beta_1 t + P_{\zeta 2} t^2 \cos \beta_1 t + Q_{\zeta 2} t^2 \sin \beta_1 t \end{cases}$$

- (c) The structure of the submanifold is (S, Ω) ≃ T Ξ ≃ W^c(S) ≃ W^r(S).
- (d) The resonant manifold is a 6-dimensional manifold: $\dim W^{r}(\mathbf{S}) = \dim W^{c}(\mathbf{S}) = 6.$
- (e) The structure of the subspace is $T_L \mathbf{S} \cong E^c(L) \cong E^r(L)$.
- (f) The resonant subspace is a 6-dimensional space: $\dim E^{c}(L) = \dim E^{r}(L) = 6.$

5.4.2 Case 7

The motion of the spacecraft near the equilibrium point, and relative to it, can be expressed as

$$\begin{cases} \xi = C_{\xi 1} \cos \beta_1 t + S_{\xi 1} \sin \beta_1 t + P_{\xi 1} t \cos \beta_1 t \\ + Q_{\xi 1} t \sin \beta_1 t + C_{\xi 3} \cos \beta_3 t + S_{\xi 3} \sin \beta_3 t \\ \eta = C_{\eta 1} \cos \beta_1 t + S_{\eta 1} \sin \beta_1 t + P_{\eta 1} t \cos \beta_1 t \\ + Q_{\eta 1} t \sin \beta_1 t + C_{\eta 3} \cos \beta_3 t + S_{\eta 3} \sin \beta_3 t \\ \zeta = C_{\zeta 1} \cos \beta_1 t + S_{\zeta 1} \sin \beta_1 t + P_{\zeta 1} t \cos \beta_1 t \\ + Q_{\zeta 1} t \sin \beta_1 t + C_{\zeta 3} \cos \beta_3 t + S_{\zeta 3} \sin \beta_3 t \end{cases}$$
(41)

There is a 1:1 resonant manifold, which is generated by

$$\begin{cases} \xi = C_{\xi 1} \cos \beta_1 t + S_{\xi 1} \sin \beta_1 t + P_{\xi 1} t \cos \beta_1 t \\ + Q_{\xi 1} t \sin \beta_1 t \\ \eta = C_{\eta 1} \cos \beta_1 t + S_{\eta 1} \sin \beta_1 t + P_{\eta 1} t \cos \beta_1 t \\ + Q_{\eta 1} t \sin \beta_1 t \\ \zeta = C_{\zeta 1} \cos \beta_1 t + S_{\zeta 1} \sin \beta_1 t + P_{\zeta 1} t \cos \beta_1 t \\ + Q_{\zeta 1} t \sin \beta_1 t \end{cases}$$
(42)

The resonant manifold is a 4-dimensional smooth manifold. There are two families of periodic orbits. The first family of periodic orbits is given by

$$\begin{cases} P_{\xi 1} = Q_{\xi 1} = C_{\xi 3} = S_{\xi 3} = 0\\ P_{\eta 1} = Q_{\eta 1} = C_{\eta 3} = S_{\eta 3} = 0\\ P_{\zeta 1} = Q_{\zeta 1} = C_{\zeta 3} = S_{\zeta 3} = 0 \end{cases}$$

and the period is $T_1 = \frac{2\pi}{\beta_1}$. The second family of periodic orbits is given by

$$\begin{cases} P_{\xi 1} = Q_{\xi 1} = C_{\xi 1} = S_{\xi 1} = 0\\ P_{\eta 1} = Q_{\eta 1} = C_{\eta 1} = S_{\eta 1} = 0\\ P_{\zeta 1} = Q_{\zeta 1} = C_{\zeta 1} = S_{\zeta 1} = 0 \end{cases}$$

and the period is $T_3 = \frac{2\pi}{\beta_3}$. Then, the general result of Case 7 is stated as follows.

Theorem 11 For an equilibrium point in the potential field of a rotating asteroid, the following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) The roots of the characteristic equation $P(\lambda)$ are in the form of $\pm i\beta_j(\beta_j \in \mathbb{R}, \beta_j > 0, \beta_1 = \beta_2 \neq \beta_3; j = 1, 2, 3).$
- (b) The motion of the spacecraft near the equilibrium point, and relative to it, can be expressed as

 $\begin{cases} \xi = C_{\xi 1} \cos \beta_1 t + S_{\xi 1} \sin \beta_1 t + P_{\xi 1} t \cos \beta_1 t \\ + Q_{\xi 1} t \sin \beta_1 t + C_{\xi 3} \cos \beta_3 t + S_{\xi 3} \sin \beta_3 t \\ \eta = C_{\eta 1} \cos \beta_1 t + S_{\eta 1} \sin \beta_1 t + P_{\eta 1} t \cos \beta_1 t \\ + Q_{\eta 1} t \sin \beta_1 t + C_{\eta 3} \cos \beta_3 t + S_{\eta 3} \sin \beta_3 t \\ \zeta = C_{\zeta 1} \cos \beta_1 t + S_{\zeta 1} \sin \beta_1 t + P_{\zeta 1} t \cos \beta_1 t \\ + Q_{\zeta 1} t \sin \beta_1 t + C_{\zeta 3} \cos \beta_3 t + S_{\zeta 3} \sin \beta_3 t \end{cases}$

- (c) There are two families of periodic orbits in the tangent space of the equilibrium point, and dim $W^r(\mathbf{S}) = 4$.
- (d) The structure of the submanifold is $(\mathbf{S}, \Omega) \simeq T \Xi \cong W^c(\mathbf{S})$, and dim $W^r(\mathbf{S}) = 4$.
- (e) dim $W^c(\mathbf{S}) = 6$ and dim $W^r(\mathbf{S}) = 4$.
- (f) The structure of the subspace is $T_L \mathbf{S} \cong E^c(L)$, and dim $E^r(L) = 4$.
- (g) dim $E^{c}(L) = 6$ and dim $E^{r}(L) = 4$.

5.4.3 Case 8

The motion of the spacecraft near the equilibrium point, and relative to it, can be expressed as

$$\begin{cases} \xi = A_{\xi 1} e^{\alpha_{1}t} + B_{\xi 1} e^{-\alpha_{1}t} + C_{\xi 1} \cos \beta_{1}t + S_{\xi 1} \sin \beta_{1}t \\ + P_{\xi 1}t \cos \beta_{1}t + Q_{\xi 1}t \sin \beta_{1}t \\ \eta = A_{\eta 1} e^{\alpha_{1}t} + B_{\eta 1} e^{-\alpha_{1}t} + C_{\eta 1} \cos \beta_{1}t + S_{\eta 1} \sin \beta_{1}t \\ + P_{\eta 1}t \cos \beta_{1}t + Q_{\eta 1}t \sin \beta_{1}t \\ \zeta = A_{\zeta 1} e^{\alpha_{1}t} + B_{\zeta 1} e^{-\alpha_{1}t} + C_{\zeta 1} \cos \beta_{1}t + S_{\zeta 1} \sin \beta_{1}t \\ + P_{\zeta 1}t \cos \beta_{1}t + Q_{\zeta 1}t \sin \beta_{1}t \end{cases}$$
(43)

There is a 1:1 resonant manifold, which is generated by

$$\begin{cases} \xi = C_{\xi 1} \cos \beta_1 t + S_{\xi 1} \sin \beta_1 t + P_{\xi 1} t \cos \beta_1 t \\ + Q_{\xi 1} t \sin \beta_1 t \\ \eta = C_{\eta 1} \cos \beta_1 t + S_{\eta 1} \sin \beta_1 t + P_{\eta 1} t \cos \beta_1 t \\ + Q_{\eta 1} t \sin \beta_1 t \\ \zeta = C_{\zeta 1} \cos \beta_1 t + S_{\zeta 1} \sin \beta_1 t + P_{\zeta 1} t \cos \beta_1 t \\ + Q_{\zeta 1} t \sin \beta_1 t \end{cases}$$
(44)

The resonant manifold is a 4-dimensional smooth manifold. There is only one family of periodic orbits, which is given by

$$\begin{cases}
A_{\xi 1} = B_{\xi 1} = P_{\xi 1} = Q_{\xi 1} = 0 \\
A_{\eta 1} = B_{\eta 1} = P_{\eta 1} = Q_{\eta 1} = 0 \\
A_{\zeta 1} = B_{\zeta 1} = P_{\zeta 1} = Q_{\zeta 1} = 0
\end{cases}$$

and the period is $T_1 = \frac{2\pi}{\beta_1}$. The asymptotically stable manifold is generated by

$$\begin{cases} \xi = B_{\xi 1} e^{-\alpha_1 t} \\ \eta = B_{\eta 1} e^{-\alpha_1 t} \\ \zeta = B_{\zeta 1} e^{-\alpha_1 t} \end{cases}$$

$$\tag{45}$$

It is a 1-dimensional smooth manifold. The unstable manifold is generated by

$$\begin{cases} \xi = A_{\xi 1} e^{\alpha_1 t} \\ \eta = A_{\eta 1} e^{\alpha_1 t} \\ \zeta = A_{\zeta 1} e^{\alpha_1 t} \end{cases}$$
(46)

It is a 1-dimensional smooth manifold. Then, the general result of Case 8 is stated as follows.

Theorem 12 For an equilibrium point in the potential field of a rotating asteroid, the following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) The roots of the characteristic equation $P(\lambda)$ are in the form of $\pm \alpha_j (\alpha_j \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha_j > 0, j = 1)$ and $\pm i\beta_j (\beta_j \in \mathbb{R}, \beta_1 = \beta_2 > 0; j = 1, 2)$.
- (b) The motion of the spacecraft near the equilibrium point, and relative to it, can be expressed as

$$\begin{cases} \xi = A_{\xi 1} e^{\alpha_1 t} + B_{\xi 1} e^{-\alpha_1 t} + C_{\xi 1} \cos \beta_1 t + S_{\xi 1} \sin \beta_1 t \\ + P_{\xi 1} t \cos \beta_1 t + Q_{\xi 1} t \sin \beta_1 t \\ \eta = A_{\eta 1} e^{\alpha_1 t} + B_{\eta 1} e^{-\alpha_1 t} + C_{\eta 1} \cos \beta_1 t + S_{\eta 1} \sin \beta_1 t \\ + P_{\eta 1} t \cos \beta_1 t + Q_{\eta 1} t \sin \beta_1 t \\ \zeta = A_{\xi 1} e^{\alpha_1 t} + B_{\zeta 1} e^{-\alpha_1 t} + C_{\zeta 1} \cos \beta_1 t + S_{\zeta 1} \sin \beta_1 t \\ + P_{\zeta 1} t \cos \beta_1 t + Q_{\zeta 1} t \sin \beta_1 t \end{cases}$$

- (c) There is one family of periodic orbits in the tangent space of the equilibrium point, and dim $W^r(\mathbf{S}) = 4$.
- (d) The structure of the submanifold is $(\mathbf{S}, \Omega) \simeq T \Xi \cong W^s(\mathbf{S}) \oplus W^c(\mathbf{S}) \oplus W^u(\mathbf{S})$, and dim $W^r(\mathbf{S}) = 4$.
- (e) dim $W^c(\mathbf{S}) = \dim W^r(\mathbf{S}) = 4$.
- (f) dim $W^c(\mathbf{S}) = \dim W^r(\mathbf{S}) = 4$ and dim $W^s(\mathbf{S}) = \dim W^u(\mathbf{S}) = 1$.
- (g) The structure of the subspace is $T_L \mathbf{S} \cong E^s(L) \oplus E^c(L) \oplus E^u(L)$, and dim $E^r(L) = 4$.
- (h) dim $E^c(L)$ = dim $E^r(L)$ = 4 and dim $E^s(L)$ = dim $E^u(L)$ = 1.

6 Applications to asteroids

In this section, the theorems described in the previous sections are applied to asteroids 216 Kleopatra, 1620 Geographos, 4769 Castalia, and 6489 Golevka. The physical model of these four asteroids was calculated using radar observations and the polyhedral model of Neese (2004).

6.1 Application to asteroid 216 Kleopatra

The estimated bulk density of asteroid 216 Kleopatra is 3.6 g cm⁻³ (Descamps et al. 2011), its rotational period is 5.385 h and with overall dimensions of $217 \times 94 \times 81$ km

 Table 1 Positions of the equilibrium points around asteroid 216

 Kleopatra

Equilibrium points	x (km)	y (km)	z (km)	
<i>E</i> 1	142.852	2.45436	1.18008	
<i>E</i> 2	-144.684	5.18855	-0.282998	
E3	2.21701	-102.102	0.279703	
<i>E</i> 4	-1.16396	100.738	-0.541516	

Table 2 Eigenvalues of the equilibrium points around asteroid 216 Kleopatra

$\times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$	λ_1	λ_2	λ ₃	λ_4	λ_5	λ ₆
<i>E</i> 1	0.376	-0.376	0.413 <i>i</i>	-0.413 <i>i</i>	0.425 <i>i</i>	-0.425i
<i>E</i> 2	0.422	-0.422	0.414 <i>i</i>	-0.414i	0.466 <i>i</i>	-0.466i
E3	0.327 <i>i</i>	-0.327i	0.202 + 0.304i	0.202-0.304 <i>i</i>	-0.202 + 0.304i	-0.202 - 0.304i
<i>E</i> 4	0.323 <i>i</i>	-0.323i	0.202 + 0.306i	0.202-0.306i	-0.202 + 0.306i	-0.202 - 0.306i

100

-50

-100

100

15 10

5

50

y(km)

Π

-50

-100

0 g(km)



Fig. 1a A quasi-periodic orbit near the equilibrium point E1

(Ostro et al. 2000). There are two moonlets near 216 Kleopatra, which are Alexhelios (S/2008 (216) 1) and Cleoselene (S/2008 (216) 2) (Descamps et al. 2011). Table 1 shows the positions of the equilibrium points in the body-fixed frame, which were calculated by the function of NEQNF in Fortran to solve Eq. (9). Table 2 shows the eigenvalues of the equilibrium points, which were calculated using Eq. (14). E1 and E2 belong to Case 2, whereas E3 and E4 belong to Case 5. Yu and Baoyin (2012a) calculated the positions of the equilibrium points as well as the eigenvalues of the equilibrium points for asteroid 216 Kleopatra using a numerical method. 150

155

150

x(km)

100

50

-50

-100

x(km)

97

Fig. 1b A single periodic orbit near the equilibrium point E1

-10 130

Figure 1a shows a quasi-periodic orbit near the equilibrium point E1 of asteroid 216 Kleopatra, where the coefficients have the values

$$\begin{cases} C_{\xi 1} = C_{\zeta 1} = S_{\eta 1} = C_{\eta 2} = 10\\ S_{\zeta 2} = 2 \end{cases}$$

y(km)

other coefficients being equal to zero. The flight time of the orbit for the massless test particle is 12 days. Figure 1b shows a single oscillation of the periodic orbit near the equilibrium point E1 of asteroid 216 Kleopatra, where the coefficients have the values $C_{\xi 1} = C_{\zeta 1} = S_{\eta 1} = 10$; other coefficients being equal to zero. E1 belongs to Case 2. There is one family of quasi-periodic orbits near E1, which is on the 2-dimensional tori T^2 . The orbit in Figs. 1a, 1b belongs to



Fig. 2 A quasi-periodic orbit near the equilibrium point E2

the quasi-periodic orbital family. For the equilibrium point E2, Fig. 2 shows a quasi-periodic orbit near the equilibrium point E2 of asteroid 216 Kleopatra, the coefficients have the values

$$\begin{cases} C_{\xi 1} = C_{\zeta 1} = S_{\eta 1} = C_{\eta 2} = 10\\ S_{\zeta 2} = 2 \end{cases}$$

and the remaining coefficients being equal to zero. The flight time of the orbit for the massless test particle is 12 days. E2 also belongs to Case 2. There is one family of quasiperiodic orbits near E2, which is on the 2-dimensional tori T^2 . The orbit belongs to a quasi-periodic orbital family that is notably similar to that of E1.

Figure 3 shows an almost periodic orbit near the equilibrium point E3 of asteroid 216 Kleopatra. The flight time of the orbit for the massless test particle is 12 days. There is only one family of periodic orbits near E3. Figure 4 shows an almost periodic orbit near the equilibrium point E4 of asteroid 216 Kleopatra. The flight time of the orbit around the equilibrium point E4 is 12 days. There is only one family of periodic orbits near E4.



Fig. 3 An orbit near the equilibrium point E3

 Table 3
 Positions of the equilibrium points around asteroid 1620 Geographos

Equilibrium points	<i>x</i> (km)	y (km)	<i>z</i> (km)
E1	2.69925	-0.041494	0.085296
E2	-2.84097	-0.057621	0.142056
E3	-0.141618	2.11961	-0.021510
E4	-0.125678	-2.08723	-0.025536

6.2 Application to asteroid 1620 Geographos

The estimated bulk density of asteroid 1620 Geographos is 2.0 g cm⁻³ (Hudson and Ostro 1999), its rotational period is 5.222 h (Ryabova 2002) and with overall dimensions of $(5.0 \times 2.0 \times 2.1) \pm 0.15$ km (Hudson and Ostro 1999). Table 3 shows the positions of the equilibrium points in the body-fixed frame, which were calculated using Eq. (9). Table 4 shows the eigenvalues of the equilibrium points. E1 and E2 belong to Case 2, whereas E3 and E4 belong to Case 5.

Table 4 Eigenvalues of the equilibrium points around asteroid 1620 Geographos

$\times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$	λ_1	λ_2	λ_3	λ_4	λ_5	λ_6
E1	0.455	-0.455	0.427i	-0.427i	0.487i	-0.487i
E2	0.608	-0.608	0.511i	-0.511i	0.566i	-0.566i
E3	0.334i	-0.334i	0.152 + 0.271i	0.152-0.271i	-0.152 + 0.271i	-0.152-0.271i
E4	0.334i	-0.334i	0.174 + 0.284i	0.174 - 0.284i	-0.174 + 0.284i	-0.174 - 0.284i



Figure 5 shows a quasi-periodic orbit near the equilibrium point E1 of asteroid 1620 Geographos, where the coefficients have the values

$$\begin{cases} C_{\xi 1} = C_{\zeta 1} = S_{\eta 1} = C_{\eta 2} = 0.01 \\ S_{\zeta 2} = 0.02 \end{cases}$$

other coefficients being equal to zero. The flight time of the orbit for the massless test particle is 12 days. E1 belongs to Case 2. There is one family of quasi-periodic orbits near E1, which is on the 2-dimensional tori T^2 . The orbit in Fig. 5 belongs to the quasi-periodic orbital family. For the equilibrium point E2, Fig. 6 shows a quasi-periodic orbit near



Fig. 5 A quasi-periodic orbit near the equilibrium point E1

the equilibrium point E2 of asteroid 1620 Geographos, the coefficients have the values

$$\begin{cases} C_{\xi 1} = C_{\zeta 1} = S_{\eta 1} = C_{\eta 2} = 0.1 \\ S_{\zeta 2} = 0.2 \end{cases}$$

with the remaining coefficients being equal to zero. The flight time of the orbit for the massless test particle is 12 days. E2 also belongs to Case 2. There is one family of quasi-periodic orbits near E2, which is on the 2-dimensional tori T^2 . The orbit belongs to a quasi-periodic orbital family that is notably similar to that of E1.

Figure 7 shows an almost periodic orbit near the equilibrium point E3 of asteroid 1620 Geographos. The flight time of the orbit for the massless test particle is 12 days. There is



Fig. 6 A quasi-periodic orbit near the equilibrium point E2

Table 5 Positions of the equilibrium points around asteroid 4769Castalia

Equilibrium points	<i>x</i> (km)	y (km)	<i>z</i> (km)
E1	0.978767	0.023603	0.028032
E2	-1.01550	0.116078	0.0257862
E3	-0.043617	0.815747	0.002265
E4	-0.034338	-0.823895	-0.006950

only one family of periodic orbits near E3. Figure 8 shows an almost periodic orbit near the equilibrium point E4 of asteroid 1620 Geographos. The flight time of the orbit for the massless test particle around the equilibrium point E4 is 12 days. There is only one family of periodic orbits near E4.

6.3 Application to asteroid 4769 Castalia

The estimated bulk density of asteroid 4769 Castalia is 2.1 g cm^{-3} (Hudson and Ostro 1994; Scheeres et al. 1996) and its rotational period is 4.095 h (Hudson et al. 1997). The minimum radius is approximately 0.3 km at the poles of the asteroid, the maximum radius is approximately 0.8 km at the



Fig. 7 An orbit near the equilibrium point E3

ends, and the mean radius is 0.543 km (Werner and Scheeres 1996). Table 5 shows the positions of the equilibrium points in the body-fixed frame, which were calculated using Eq. (9). Table 6 shows the eigenvalues of the equilibrium points. E1 and E2 belong to Case 2, whereas E3 and E4 belong to Case 5.

Figure 9 shows a quasi-periodic orbit near the equilibrium point E1 of asteroid 4769 Castalia, where the coefficients have the values

$$\begin{cases} C_{\xi 1} = C_{\zeta 1} = S_{\eta 1} = C_{\eta 2} = 0.04 \\ S_{\zeta 2} = 0.08 \end{cases}$$

other coefficients being equal to zero. The flight time of the orbit for the massless test particle is 4 days. E1 belongs to Case 2. There is one family of quasi-periodic orbits near E1, which is on the 2-dimensional tori T^2 . The orbit in Fig. 9 belongs to the quasi-periodic orbital family. For the equilibrium point E2, Fig. 10 shows a quasi-periodic orbit near the equilibrium point E2 of asteroid 4769 Castalia, the coefficients have the values

$$\begin{cases} C_{\xi 1} = C_{\zeta 1} = S_{\eta 1} = C_{\eta 2} = 0.04 \\ S_{\zeta 2} = 0.08 \end{cases}$$

Table 6 Eigenvalues of the equilibrium points around asteroid 4769 Castalia

$\times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$	λ_1	λ_2	λ_3	λ_4	λ_5	λ_6
E1	0.341	-0.341	0.416i	-0.416i	0.470i	-0.470i
E2	0.423	-0.423	0.467i	-0.467i	0.490i	-0.490i
E3	0.380i	-0.380i	0.195+0.324i	0.195-0.324i	-0.195 + 0.324i	-0.195-0.324i
E4	0.386i	-0.386i	0.197 + 0.322i	0.197-0.322i	-0.197 + 0.322i	-0.197 - 0.322i





Fig. 9 A quasi-periodic orbit near the equilibrium point E1

Fig. 8 An orbit near the equilibrium point E4

massless test particle around the equilibrium point E4 is 4 days. There is only one family of periodic orbits near E4.

6.4 Application to asteroid 6489 Golevka

The estimated bulk density of asteroid 6489 Golevka is 2.7 g cm⁻³ (Mottola et al. 1997), its rotational period is 6.026 h and with overall dimensions of $0.35 \times 0.25 \times 0.25$ km (Mottola et al. 1997). Table 7 shows the positions of the equilibrium points in the body-fixed frame, which were calculated using Eq. (9). Table 8 shows the eigenvalues of the equilibrium points. E1 and E2 belong to Case 2, whereas E3 and E4 belong to Case 1.

Figure 13 shows a quasi-periodic orbit near the equilibrium point E1 of asteroid 6489 Golevka, where the coeffi-

with the remaining coefficients being equal to zero. The flight time of the orbit for the massless test particle is 4 days. E2 also belongs to Case 2. There is one family of quasi-periodic orbits near E2, which is on the 2-dimensional tori T^2 . The orbit belongs to a quasi-periodic orbital family that is notably similar to that of E1.

Figure 11 shows an almost periodic orbit near the equilibrium point E3 of asteroid 4769 Castalia. The flight time of the orbit for the massless test particle is 4 days. There is only one family of periodic orbits near E3. Figure 12 shows an almost periodic orbit near the equilibrium point E4 of asteroid 4769 Castalia. The flight time of the orbit for the 101

$\times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$	λ_1	λ_2	λ_3	λ_4	λ_5	λ_6	
E1	0.125	-0.125	0.297 <i>i</i>	-0.297i	0.309i	-0.309i	
E2	0.181	-0.181	0.304 <i>i</i>	-0.304i	0.329i	-0.329i	
E3	0.207 <i>i</i>	-0.207i	0.216i	-0.216i	0.280i	-0.280i	
E4	0.185 <i>i</i>	-0.185i	0.213i	-0.213i	0.297i	-0.297i	

 Table 8 Eigenvalues of the equilibrium points around asteroid 6489 Golevka



Fig. 10 A quasi-periodic orbit near the equilibrium point E2

Table 7 Positions of the equilibrium points around asteroid 6489Golevka

Equilibrium points	<i>x</i> (km)	y (km)	<i>z</i> (km)
E1	0.564128	-0.0234156	-0.002882
E2	-0.571527	0.035808	-0.006081
E3	-0.021647	0.537470	-0.001060
E4	-0.026365	-0.546646	-0.000182

cients have the values

$$\begin{cases} C_{\xi 1} = C_{\zeta 1} = S_{\eta 1} = C_{\eta 2} = 0.01 \\ S_{\zeta 2} = 0.02 \end{cases}$$



Fig. 11 An orbit near the equilibrium point E3

other coefficients being equal to zero. The flight time of the orbit for the massless test particle is 4 days. E1 belongs to Case 2. There is one family of quasi-periodic orbits near E1, which is on the 2-dimensional tori T^2 . The orbit in Fig. 13 belongs to the quasi-periodic orbital family. For the equilibrium point E2, Fig. 14 shows a quasi-periodic orbit near the equilibrium point E2 of asteroid 6489 Golevka, the coefficients have the values

$$\begin{cases} C_{\xi 1} = C_{\zeta 1} = S_{\eta 1} = C_{\eta 2} = 0.01 \\ S_{\zeta 2} = 0.02 \end{cases}$$

with the remaining coefficients being equal to zero. The flight time of the orbit for the massless test particle is 4 days. E2 also belongs to Case 2. There is one family of quasi-periodic orbits near E2, which is on the 2-dimensional



Fig. 12 An orbit near the equilibrium point E4

tori T^2 . The orbit belongs to a quasi-periodic orbital family that is notably similar to that of E1.

Figure 15 shows a quasi-periodic orbit near the equilibrium point E3 of asteroid 6489 Golevka, where the coefficients have the values

$$\begin{cases} C_{\xi 1} = 0.03 \\ S_{\eta 1} = 0.04 \\ C_{\zeta 1} = 0.01 \end{cases}, \qquad \begin{cases} C_{\eta 2} = 0.005 \\ S_{\zeta 2} = 0.006 \end{cases}, \qquad \begin{cases} C_{\eta 3} = 0.003 \\ S_{\zeta 3} = 0.002 \end{cases}$$

other coefficients being equal to zero. The flight time of the orbit for the massless test particle is 12 days. E3 belongs to Case 1. There are four families of quasi-periodic orbits near E3, which are on the 3-dimensional tori T^3 . The orbit in Fig. 15 belongs to a quasi-periodic orbital family. For the equilibrium point E4, Fig. 16 shows a quasi-periodic orbit near the equilibrium point E4 of asteroid 6489 Golevka, the coefficients have the values

$$\begin{cases} C_{\xi 1} = 0.03 \\ S_{\eta 1} = 0.04 \\ C_{\zeta 1} = 0.01 \end{cases}, \qquad \begin{cases} C_{\eta 2} = 0.005 \\ S_{\zeta 2} = 0.006 \end{cases}, \qquad \begin{cases} C_{\eta 3} = 0.003 \\ S_{\zeta 3} = 0.002 \end{cases}$$

with the remaining coefficients being equal to zero. The flight time of the orbit for the massless test particle is



Fig. 13 A quasi-periodic orbit near the equilibrium point E1

12 days. E4 also belongs to Case 1. There are four families of quasi-periodic orbits near E4, which are on the 3dimensional tori T^3 . The orbit belongs to a quasi-periodic orbital family that is notably similar to that of E3.

6.5 Discussion of applications

The theorems described in the previous sections have been applied to asteroids 216 Kleopatra, 1620 Geographos, 4769 Castalia and 6489 Golevka in Sects. 6.1, 6.2, 6.3 and 6.4. For asteroids 216 Kleopatra, 1620 Geographos and 4769 Castalia, the equilibrium points E1 and E2 belong to Case 2, whereas the equilibrium points E3 and E4 belong to Case 5. There are two families of periodic orbits and one family of quasi-periodic orbits on the central manifold near each of the equilibrium points E1 and E2. There is only one family of periodic orbits on the central manifold near each of the equilibrium points E3 and E4.

On the other hand, for asteroid 6489 Golevka, E1 and E2 belong to Case 2, whereas E3 and E4 belong to Case 1. There are two families of periodic orbits and one family of quasi-periodic orbits on the central manifold near each of the equilibrium points E1 and E2. The equilibrium points E3 and E4 around asteroid 6489 Golevka are linearly stable.



Fig. 14 A quasi-periodic orbit near the equilibrium point E2

There are three families of periodic orbits and four families of quasi-periodic orbits on the central manifold near each of the equilibrium points E3 and E4.

7 Conclusions

We have studied the motion of a massless test particle in the potential field of a rotating asteroid by means of the analysis of the linearised equation of motion relative to the equilibrium points and the characteristic equation of the equilibrium points. In addition, one sufficient condition and one necessary and sufficient condition for the stability of the equilibrium points in the potential field of a rotating asteroid are derived.

Considering the orbit of the particle near the asteroid, we link the orbit and the geodesic of a smooth manifold with a new metric. The metric does not have a positive definite quadratic form. Then, we classify the equilibrium points into eight cases using the eigenvalues. The structure of the submanifold near the equilibrium point is related to the eigenvalues. A theorem is presented to describe the structure



Fig. 15 A quasi-periodic orbit near the equilibrium point E3

of the submanifold as well as the stable and unstable behaviours of the particle near the equilibrium points.

Near the linearly stable equilibrium point, there are four families of quasi-periodic orbits, which are on the *k*dimensional tori T^k (k = 2, 3). There are neither asymptotically stable nor asymptotically unstable manifolds near linearly stable equilibrium points; only central manifolds near them. The structure of the submanifold and the subspace of the linearly stable equilibrium points are different from those of the linearly unstable equilibrium points.

Near the non-resonant unstable equilibrium points, the dimension of the unstable manifold is greater than 0. The various orbits have been studied near the non-resonant unstable equilibrium points. Near the resonant equilibrium points, there is at least one family of periodic orbits. The dimension of the resonant manifold is greater than four.

The theory is applied to asteroids 216 Kleopatra, 1620 Geographos, 4769 Castalia and 6489 Golevka. For asteroids 216 Kleopatra, 1620 Geographos and 4769 Castalia, the equilibrium points are unstable, which are denoted as E1, E2, E3 and E4; two of them belong to Case 2 while the other two belong to Case 5. However, for asteroid 6489 Golevka, two of the equilibrium points belong to Case 2; whereas the other two belong to Case 1, i.e. they are linearly stable.



x(km) Fig. 16 A quasi-periodic orbit near the equilibrium point E4

The different behaviour found for the various asteroids studied to the actual shape of the asteroid is that clubbed-like asteroids are more likely to have no linearly stable equilibrium points while spheroidal-like asteroids are more likely to have linearly stable equilibrium points.

Acknowledgements This research was supported by the National Basic Research Program of China (973 Program, 2012CB720000) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11072122).

References

- Alberti, A., Vidal, C.: Dynamics of a particle in a gravitational field of a homogeneous annulus disk. Celest. Mech. Dyn. Astron. 98(2), 75-93 (2007)
- Angelo, A., Claudio, V.: Dynamics of a particle in a gravitational field of a homogeneous annulus disk. Celest. Mech. Dyn. Astron. 98(2), 75-93 (2007)
- Balmino, G.: Gravitational potential harmonics from the shape of a homogeneous body. Celest. Mech. Dyn. Astron. 60(3), 331-364 (1994)
- Barucci, M.A.: MarcoPolo-R: near earth asteroid sample return mission candidate as ESA-M3 class mission. In: Proceedings of the 39th COSPAR Scientific Assembly, Mysore, India, p. 105 (2012) Abstract F3.2-24-12

- Barucci, M.A., Cheng, A.F., Michel, P., Benner, L.A.M., Binzel, R.P., Bland, P.A., Böhnhardt, H., Brucato, J.R., Campo Bagatin, A., Cerroni, P., Dotto, E., Fitzsimmons, A., Franchi, I.A., Green, S.F., Lara, L.-M., Licandro, J., Marty, B., Muinonen, K., Nathues, A., Oberst, J., Rivkin, A.S., Robert, F., Saladino, R., Trigo-Rodriguez, J.M., Ulamec, S., Zolensky, M.: MarcoPolo-R near earth asteroid sample return mission. Exp. Astron. 33(2-3), 645-684 (2012a)
- Barucci, M.A., Michel, P., Böhnhardt, H., Dotto, E., Ehrenfreund, P., Franchi, I.A., Green, S.F., Lara, L.-M., Marty, B., Koschny, D., Agnolon, D., Romstedt, J., Martin, P.: MarcoPolo-R mission: tracing the origins. In: Proceedings of the European Planetary Science Congress, Madrid, Spain (2012b) EPSC2012-157
- Boynton, W.V., Lauretta, D.S., Beshore, E., Barnouin, O., Bierhaus, E.B., Binzel, R., Christensen, P.R., Daly, M., Grindlay, J., Hamilton, V., Hildebrand, A.R., Mehall, G., Reuter, D., Rizk, B., Simon-Miller, A., Smith, P.S.: The OSIRIS-REx mission to RQ36: nature of the remote sensing observations. In: Proceedings of the European Planetary Science Congress, Madrid, Spain (2012) EPSC2012-875
- Broucke, R.A., Elipe, A.: The dynamics of orbits in a potential field of a solid circular ring. Regul. Chaotic Dyn. 10(2), 129-143 (2005)
- Brucato, J.R.: MarcoPolo-R: asteroid sample return mission. In: Proceedings of the 39th COSPAR Scientific Assembly, Mysore, India, p. 251 (2012) Abstract B0.5-4-12
- Descamps, P., Marchis, F., Berthier, J., Emery, J.P., Duchêne, G., de Pater, I., Wong, M.H., Lim, L., Hammel, H.B., Vachier, F., Wiggins, P., Teng-Chuen-Yu, J.-P., Peyrot, A., Pollock, J., Assafin, M., Vieira-Martins, R., Camargo, J.I.B., Braga-Ribas, F., Macomber, B.: Triplicity and physical characteristics of asteroid (216) Kleopatra. Icarus **211**(2), 1022–1033 (2011)
- Duffard, R., Kumar, K., Pirrotta, S., Salatti, M., Kubínyi, M., Derz, U., Armytage, R.M.G., Arloth, S., Donati, L., Duricic, A., Flahaut, J., Hempel, S., Pollinger, A., Poulsen, S.: A multiple-rendezvous, sample-return mission to two near-Earth asteroids. Adv. Space Res. 48(1), 120-132 (2011)
- Elipe, A., Lara, M.: A simple model for the chaotic motion around (433) Eros. J. Astronaut. Sci. 51(4), 391-404 (2003)
- Fukushima, T.: Precise computation of acceleration due to uniform ring or disk. Celest. Mech. Dyn. Astron. 108(4), 339-356 (2010)
- Hudson, R.S., Ostro, S.J.: Shape of asteroid 4769 Castalia (1998 PB) from inversion of radar images. Science 263(5149), 940-943 (1994)
- Hudson, R.S., Ostro, S.J.: Physical model of asteroid 1620 Geographos from radar and optical data. Icarus 140(2), 369-378 (1999)
- Hudson, R.S., Ostro, S.J., Harris, A.W.: Constraints on spin state and Hapke parameters of asteroid 4769 Castalia using lightcurves and a radar-derived shape model. Icarus 130(1), 165-176 (1997)
- Hughes, P.C.: Spacecraft Attitude Dynamics, pp. 517-518. Wiley, New York (1986)
- Liu, X., Baoyin, H., Ma, X.: Equilibria, periodic orbits around equilibria, and heteroclinic connections in the gravity field of a rotating homogeneous cube. Astrophys. Space Sci. 333, 409-418 (2011)
- Mottola, S., Erikson, A., Harris, A.W., Hahn, G., Neukum, G., Buie, M.W., Sears, W.D., Harris, A.W., Tholen, D.J., Whiteley, R.J., Magnusson, P., Piironen, J., Kwiatkowski, T., Borczyk, W., Howell, E.S., Hicks, M.D., Fevig, R., Krugly, Y.N., Velichko, F.P., Chiorny, V.G., Gaftonyuk, N.M., DiMartino, M., Pravec, P., Sarounova, L., Wolf, M., Worman, W., Davies, J.K., Schober, H.J., Pych, W.: Physical model of near-Earth asteroid 6489 Golevka (1991 JX) from optical and infrared observations. Astron. J. 114(3), 1234-1245 (1997)
- Neese, C. (ed.) Small Body Radar Shape Models V2.0. EAR-A-5-DDR-RADARSHAPE-MODELS-V2.0, NASA Planetary Data System, 2004. Available online at http://sbn.psi.edu/pds/resource/ rshape.html
- Ostro, S.J., Hudson, R.S., Nolan, M.C.: Radar observations of asteroid 216 Kleopatra. Science 288(5467), 836-839 (2000)

- Riaguas, A., Elipe, A., Lara, M.: Periodic orbits around a massive straight segment. Celest. Mech. Dyn. Astron. 73(1/4), 169–178 (1999)
- Ryabova, G.O.: Asteroid 1620 Geographos: I rotation. Sol. Syst. Res. **36**(2), 168–174 (2002)
- Scheeres, D.J., Hu, W.: Secular motion in a 2nd degree and ordergravity field with no rotation. Celest. Mech. Dyn. Astron. 79(3), 183–200 (2001)
- Scheeres, D.J., Ostro, S.J., Hudson, R.S., Werner, R.A.: Orbits close to asteroid 4769 Castalia. Icarus **121**, 67–87 (1996)
- Scheeres, D.J., Ostro, S.J., Hudson, R.S., DeJong, E.M., Suzuki, S.: Dynamics of orbits close to asteroid 4179 Toutatis. Icarus 132(1), 53–79 (1998)
- Werner, R., Scheeres, D.J.: Exterior gravitation of a polyhedron derived and compared with harmonic and mascon gravitation representations of asteroid 4769 Castalia. Celest. Mech. Dyn. Astron. 65(3), 313–344 (1996)
- Yu, Y., Baoyin, H.: Orbital dynamics in the vicinity of asteroid 216 Kleopatra. Astron. J. 143(3), 62–70 (2012a)
- Yu, Y., Baoyin, H.: Generating families of 3D periodic orbits about asteroids. Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 427(1), 872–881 (2012b)