LETTER TO THE EDITOR

New Trends in Same-Sex Sexual Contact for American Adolescents?

Nanette K. Gartrell · Henny M. W. Bos · Naomi G. Goldberg

Published online: 15 December 2011 © Springer Science+Business Media, LLC 2011

In our article on the sexual orientation, sexual behavior, and sexual risk exposure of adolescents in the U.S. National Longitudinal Lesbian Family Study (NLLFS) (Gartrell, Bos, & Goldberg, 2011), we compared the sexual behavior of the 17-year-old adolescents in our sample with same-age adolescents in a national probability sample—the 2002 U.S. National Survey of Family Growth (NSFG Cycle 6) (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2005, 2006). The NSFG data were weighted to ensure that the sample was similar to the United States population in terms of gender, age, and race/ethnicity. The NLLFS and NSFG participants entered their responses to the questions directly into computers, in private.

After obtaining the permission of the National Center for Health Statistics, the 17-year-old adolescents in the NSFG Cycle 6 were selected, consisting of 235 girls and 199 boys. For these 17-year-old NSFG adolescents, weighted frequencies and means were computed for the following variables: (1) heterosexual contact, same-sex contact, and the prevalence of sexually transmitted infections (separately for adolescent girls and boys); (2) contraception and pregnancy (only for adolescent girls); and (3) impregnation (only for adolescent boys). Comparing the above-mentioned variables in the NLLFS and NSFG Cycle 6 samples revealed that the NLLFS adolescent girls and boys were

N. K. Gartrell (⊠) · N. G. Goldberg The Williams Institute, UCLA School of Law, Los Angeles, CA, USA

N. K. Gartrell 3570 Clay St., San Francisco, CA 94118, USA e-mail: ngartrell@nllfs.org

H. M. W. Bos

Graduate School of Pedagogical and Educational Sciences, Faculty of Social and Behavioral Sciences, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands significantly older than their gender-matched peers in the NSFG were at the time of their first heterosexual contact. In addition, the NLLFS adolescent girls were significantly more likely to have had sexual contact with other girls and, among girls who were heterosexually active, the NLLFS girls were significantly more likely to have used emergency contraception and less likely to have used other forms of contraception than NSFG girls. The NLLFS adolescent boys were significantly less likely to have been heterosexually active by the age of 17 than the NSFG Cycle 6 boys, but no more likely to have engaged in same-sex sexual contact (see Table 1).

In March 2011, the results of the NSFG Cycle 7 data collection (2006–2008) were released by the National Center for Health Statistics, with a public report that twice as many women reported same-sex contact in their lifetimes as men (Chandra, Mosher, Copen, & Sionean, 2011). Because the Cycle 7 data collection corresponded more closely with the time that we were collecting the data on the NLLFS adolescents (2006-2009), we thought it would be interesting to repeat the comparative analyses we conducted for our article, using the 2006-2008 NSFG ACASI data with permission of the National Center for Health Statistics (G. Martinez, personal communication, August 1, 2011; U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2010, 2011).

Using the same selection criteria and statistical analyses that we used for our article, the 17-year-old adolescents in the NSFG Cycle 7 were selected, consisting of 237 girls and 267 boys. Comparative analyses revealed that the findings for the 17-year-old NLLFS and NSFG boys were, with one exception, the same using the 2002 NSFG Cycle 6 as the 2006-2008 Cycle 7 data. The NLLFS boys were older than the NSFG boys at first heterosexual contact was significant when the comparison was based on the 6th but not the 7th cycle data. For the 17-year-old girls, comparisons between the NLLFS and NSFG 2006–2008 data revealed no significant differences in same-sex behavior or the use of emergency contraception, whereas there were

	NLL	FS	6th Cycle NSFG		7th Cycle NSFG		NLLFS Cycle N	vs. 6th ISFG	NLLFS Cycle N	vs. 7th JSFG	NSFG 6 7th Cyc	óth vs. le
	N	% answering "Yes'' or M age (SD)	Unweighted N	Weighted % answering ''Yes'' or M age (SD)	Unweighted N	Weighted % answering ''Yes'' or M age (SD)	$\chi^{2/t}$	d	χ^2/t	d	χ^2/t	d
Adolescent girls	39		235		237							
Sex with boys	21	53.8	149	63.2	128	45.7	1.48	ns	1.03	ns	14.46	.025
Sex with other girls	9	15.4	17	5.1	32	10.7	6.66°	.009 ^d	√.	ns ^d	5.23	.019
Age first sexual contact ^a	20	16.3 (1.02)	113	15.1 (1.49)	128	15.5 (1.32)	3.69	<.0001	2.76	.006	5.89	.010
STI^{b}	0	0.0	17	12.3	10	6.7	2.33°	ns ^d	√.	ns ^d	2.31	su
Contraception-ever ^a	10	47.6	108	73.5	106	75.9	7.20	.007	9.15	.003	$\overline{\vee}$	su
Emergency contraception ^a	Ζ	35.0	5	5.3	19	16.8	27.60 ^c	<.0001 ^d	3.00°	.083 ^d	7.38	600.
Pregnancy ^a	0	0.0	33	18.2	20	11.7	3.52°	.061 ^d	1.77^{c}	ns ^d	2.79	.001
Adolescent boys	39		199		267							
Sex with girls	14	37.8	123	58.8	164	61.4	8.47	.004	0.70	.001	$\overline{\vee}$	su
Sex with other boys	7	5.6	12	6.6	5	1.4	$\sqrt{1}^{c}$	ns ^d	1.63°	ns ^d	8.34	su
Age first sexual contact ^a	14	15.9 (1.23)	97	15.0 (1.55)	164	15.4 (1.21)	2.24	.025	1.81	079.	2.07	su
STI^{b}	0	0.0	6	6.8	5	2.2	$\overrightarrow{}_{c}$	ns ^d	\overrightarrow{v}_{c}	ns ^d	3.72	su
Made someone pregnant ^a	0	0.0	2	1.1	3	6.	\overrightarrow{V}^{c}	ns ^d	1.15 ^c	ns ^d	$\overline{\vee}$	su
^a Means (SD) and percentage	tes are	based on those who h	ad been heterose;	kually active								
^b Sexually Transmitted Infec	ctions	(STI) in adolescents v	who had been het	erosexually or homosexua	lly active							

 Table 1
 Sexual behavior: NLLFS versus NSFG 17-year-old adolescents of the 6th and 7th Cycle

D Springer

 $^{\rm c}$ Yates' χ^2 because at least 20% of expected frequencies were less than 5 $^{\rm d}$ Yates' p value because at least 20% of expected frequencies were less than 5

significant differences in these measures when using the 2002 data (see Table 1).

These findings suggest that the Cycle 7 NSFG data on adolescent sexual behavior more closely matches that of the NLLFS adolescents than the Cycle 6 data, which were collected during an earlier time period. Moreover, when gender-matched adolescents of the 6th and 7th cycles were compared, for boys, there were no significant differences on the studied variables. The 7th cycle boys were less likely to have had sex with other boys than 6th cycle boys, but this difference was not statistically significant. However, the 7th cycle girls were found to be significantly older at first heterosexual contact and less likely to have been heterosexually active or pregnant; the 7th cycle girls were also significantly more likely to have used emergency contraception and to have had same-sex contact than 6th cycle girls. In the time interval between the 6th and the 7th cycles, the percentage of girls who had had sex with boys dropped notably, and the percentage of girls who had had sex with other girls increased from 5% to 10% (see Table 1).

These findings emphasize the importance of studying sexual behavior not only from the perspective of person-context interrelatedness, but also with a consideration of temporal-cultural factors (Bronfenbrenner & Morris, 2006). As we noted in our article, studies have shown considerable fluidity in the development and expression of sexual behavior and orientation over time, particularly in young women (Diamond, 2005, 2007, 2008; Diamond & Butterworth, 2008). The current comparison of 17-year-olds in the 6th and 7th cycles of the NSFG suggests that further exploration of factors that may be contributing to changing patterns of same-sex sexual behavior in American adolescents is warranted.

References

Bronfenbrenner, U., & Morris, P. A. (2006). The bioecological model of human development. In W. Damon & R. M. Lerner (Eds.), *Handbook* of child psychology, Vol. 1: Theoretical models of human development (6th ed ed., pp. 793–828). New York: Wiley.

- Chandra, A., Mosher, W. D., Copen, C., & Sionean, C. (2011). Sexual behavior, sexual attraction, and sexual identity in the United States: Data from the 2006–2008 National Survey of Family Growth. *National Health Statistics Reports*, 36, 1–28.
- Diamond, L. M. (2005). A new view of lesbian subtypes: Stable versus fluid identity trajectories over an 8-year period. *Psychology of Women Quarterly*, 29, 119–128.
- Diamond, L. M. (2007). A dynamical systems approach to the development and expression of female same-sex sexuality. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 2, 142–161.
- Diamond, L. M. (2008). Sexual fluidity: Understanding women's love and desire. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Diamond, L. M., & Butterworth, M. (2008). Questioning gender and sexual identity: Dynamic links over time. Sex Roles, 59, 365–376.
- Gartrell, N. K., Bos, H. M. W., & Goldberg, N. G. (2011). Adolescents of the U.S. National Longitudinal Lesbian Family Study: Sexual orientation, sexual behavior, and sexual risk exposure. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*, 40, 1199–1209.
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. (2005). *National survey of family growth. Cycle 6: 2002 ACASI file. User's guide and documentation.* Hyattsville, MD: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. (2006). National survey of family growth. Cycle 6: Sample design, weighting, imputation, and variance estimation. *Vital Health Statistics*, *142*, 1–82.
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. (2010). Public use data file documentation, continuous national survey of family growth, 2006-2008, User's guide. Hyattsville, MD: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Public Health Service. Retrieved from http://www.cdc.gov/NCHS/data/nsfg/NSFG_2006-08_UserGuide_ MainText.pdf.
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. (2011). National survey of family growth: 2006-2008 ACASI file user's guide and documentation. Hyattsville, MD: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Retrieved from http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nsfg/20062008NSFG_ ACASIFile_UserGuide_ALL.pdf.