

# **Laboratory life cycle of** *Ornithodoros puertoricensis* **(Ixodida: Argasidae) collected in the Colombian Caribbean**

**Laura Natalia Robayo‑Sánchez1 · Yesica López2 · Sebastián Muñoz‑Leal3 ·**  Alejandro Ramírez-Hernández<sup>1,4</sup> · Ader Aleman<sup>2</sup> · Jesús Alfredo Cortés-Vecino<sup>1</sup> · **Salim Mattar2 · Álvaro A. Faccini‑Martínez5,[6](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1127-0132)**

Received: 29 March 2022 / Accepted: 26 October 2022 / Published online: 5 November 2022 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2022

## **Abstract**

Three studies on the biology of *Ornithodoros puertoricensis* are available in the literature, using diferent hosts and incubation temperatures. In a previous study, we identifed *O. puertoricensis* in the Colombian Caribbean. The aim of the present work was to analyze life cycle data along one generation from these specimens under laboratory conditions. Eggs of *O. puertoricensis* were collected in between fragments of bahareque material in a rural dwelling in the municipality of Planeta Rica (Córdoba Department, Colombia), and transported to the laboratory. All post-egg stages (i.e., larvae, nymphs, and adults) were incubated at 27 °C and 85% RH and fed on laboratory mice (*Mus musculus*). Sixteen engorged larvae were obtained to start a laboratory colony. Average feeding period for larvae was 4.6 days (4–5). The frst nymphal instar (N1) did not require feeding and the subsequent nymphal stages (N2, N3, and N4) and adults had feeding periods ranging from 55 to 75 min. Average pre-molting period in nymphs was 15 days (10–21). Most of the N3 molted to males and all N4 molted to females. Two gonotrophic cycles were recorded: the first had a preoviposition period of 12 days  $(7-18)$  and produced 190 eggs  $(171-223)$ , the second lasted 6.6 days (6–7) and produced 146 eggs (104–201). The mean life cycle duration (from parental eggs to F1 eggs) was 70.7 days (58.7–82.7) without fasting periods. The collected data agree with previous studies even with diferences in hosts and maintenance conditions.

 $\boxtimes$  Álvaro A. Faccini-Martínez afaccini@gmail.com; afaccini@fucsalud.edu.co

<sup>5</sup> Research Institute, Fundación Universitaria de Ciencias de la Salud – FUCS, Bogotá D.C, Colombia

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Grupo Parasitología Veterinaria, Departamento de Salud Animal, Facultad de Medicina Veterinaria y de Zootecnia, Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Bogotá D.C, Colombia

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Instituto de Investigaciones Biológicas del Trópico, Universidad de Córdoba, Montería, Colombia

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Departamento de Ciencia Animal, Facultad de Ciencias Veterinarias, Universidad de Concepción, Chillán, Chile

<sup>4</sup> Universidad de La Salle, Bogotá D.C, Colombia

<sup>6</sup> Servicios y Asesorías en Infectología - SAI, Bogotá D.C, Colombia

**Keywords** Soft ticks · Biology · Animal model · Ectoparasitic infestations

## **Introduction**

The Argasidae family comprises about 220 species globally (Dantas-Torres et al. [2019;](#page-7-0) Muñoz-Leal et al. [2021](#page-8-0)). Among these, the genus *Ornithodoros* includes 122 species, 62 of them occurring in the Neotropical Region (Venzal et al. [2015](#page-8-1); Muñoz-Leal et al. [2016](#page-8-2), [2021\)](#page-8-0). *Ornithodoros* ticks are nidicolous parasites of animals that live in burrows and caves, and are well adapted to starve in arid environments for prolonged periods (Hoogstraal [1985](#page-7-1); Oliver [1989;](#page-8-3) Nava et al. [2017](#page-8-4)). They feed in a wide range of vertebrate hosts including humans, a fact that renders these parasites of public health concern (Gray et al. [2014;](#page-7-2) Bermúdez et al. [2017\)](#page-7-3). Species of the genus *Ornithodoros* can cause infammatory skin reactions in the host and systemic disorders (Mans et al. [2004\)](#page-8-5). Moreover, they are vectors of relapsing fever group borreliae (RFGB) (Dworkin et al. [2008](#page-7-4)). An RFGB (*Borrelia puertoricensis* sp. nov.) was recently isolated from *Ornithodoros puertoricensis* in Panama (Bermúdez et al. [2021\)](#page-7-5).

*Ornithodoros puertoricensis* was described in 1947 from specimens collected on *Rattus* spp. in Puerto Rico (Fox [1947\)](#page-7-6). This species was further recorded in other Caribbean islands, such as Jamaica and Trinidad, and also in Colombia, Mexico, Nicaragua, Panama, and Venezuela (Fairchild et al. [1966;](#page-7-7) Bermúdez et al. [2015](#page-7-8), [2017](#page-7-3); Webb [1980;](#page-8-6) Thompson [1950;](#page-8-7) Jones et al. [1972](#page-7-9); Kohls et al. [1965](#page-7-10); Paternina et al. [2009\)](#page-8-8). In Colombia, it has been recorded in the Departments of Cesar (Fairchild et al. [1966](#page-7-7)), Sucre (Paternina et al. [2009\)](#page-8-8), Córdoba (López et al. [2021](#page-7-11)) (Colombian Caribbean Region), in the Pacifc Region (Butler and Gibbs [1984](#page-7-12)) and Antioquia Department (Quintero et al. [2013,](#page-8-9) [2021;](#page-8-10) Londoño et al. [2017\)](#page-7-13). Initial studies on the biological cycle of *O. puertoricensis* were published by Fox [\(1947](#page-7-6)) using laboratory rats (*Rattus norvegicus*) as hosts and under environmental conditions in Puerto Rico. Subsequently, Davis [\(1955](#page-7-14)) conducted additional biological studies using guinea pigs (*Cavia porcellus*) as vertebrate model. In a more compre-hensive study, Endris et al. ([1991\)](#page-7-15) registered biological data at various temperatures using guinea pigs and mice (*Mus musculus*) to feed larvae and subsequent stages (i.e., nymphs and adults), respectively.

Although *O. puertoricensis* does bite humans in Colombia (López et al. [2021\)](#page-7-11), few data on the distribution, biology, and transmitted pathogens are available in the country. The aim of the present work was to analyze life cycle data along one generation of *O*. *puertoricensis* from the Colombian Caribbean region under laboratory conditions, using mice as hosts.

### **Materials and methods**

#### **Origin of ticks and laboratory conditions**

The ticks used in this study originated from eggs collected in December 2020 and were found together with several larvae, nymphs and adults within bahareque walls of a rural dwelling in the municipality of Planeta Rica (8°31°46"N, 75°39'51"W), Córdoba Department, northwestern Colombia (López et al. [2021](#page-7-11)). The obtained eggs and all subsequent stages (from a single generation) were kept inside an incubator under controlled temperature (25  $\pm$  2 °C) and humidity conditions (85  $\pm$  1% RH).

#### **Tick feeding**

All stages (from parental larvae to F1 larvae) were fed on laboratory mice (*M. musculus*, Balb/C strain) using a standardized protocol (Veterinary Parasitology Laboratory, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine and Animal Science, National University of Colombia) previously approved by the Institutional Bioethics Committee. Animals were intraperitoneally anesthetized with a mixture of ketamine (80–90 mg/kg) and acepromazine (10–20 mg/kg) (Flecknell [2009\)](#page-7-16). Larvae hatched from feld-collected eggs were fed in a chamber glued onto the dorsum of the animals. The chamber was daily checked to observe and recover fully engorged specimens (Mateos-Hernández et al. [2020\)](#page-8-11). Nymphs and adults were fed directly on mouse skin (Nuss et al. [2017\)](#page-8-12). After feeding, larvae and nymphs were individually placed in wells of  $200 \mu L$  inside an incubator, and daily inspected to record molting.

Obtained males and females were coupled 1 day before feeding on mice and subsequently housed together for an additional 7 days. Females were placed in 1 mL plastic microtubes with cotton caps until oviposition. Obtained eggs were transferred to a diferent plastic tube for hatching. Females were weighed before and after feeding, as well as their egg batches, with an electronic precision balance (Adam Equipment/PW 254). For an additional gonotrophic cycle, a 30-day-interval period was established for the next female feeding.

Egg production indexes (EPI) were obtained with the following formula:  $EPI =$  (weight of eggs/initial weight of engorged female) $\times 100$  (Bennett [1974](#page-7-17)).

#### **Results**

The whole study comprised 1 year duration, from initial egg collection to the second gonotrophic cycle. In total, 36 viable eggs hatched and were used to start the laboratory colony. While larvae were feeding, six specimens got compressed in the feeding chamber edges or remained accidentally glued; in addition, 14 specimens did not attach to mice and died. Finally, a total of 16 larvae successfully engorged in around 4.6 days (4–5). Molting from larva to N1 instar lasted 4 days (4–5). The N1 instar did not require to feed and molted to N2 instar in an average of 11.6 days (10–12). In this and subsequent stages (i.e., N3 and N4) a feeding phase was required before molting, with an average feeding time of 56.2 min (28–111) (varying among nymphal instars) and an average pre-molting period of 20.8 days (16–24), as shown for each instar in Table [1](#page-3-0).

Two out of the 16 specimens of N3 instar did not feed. From the 14 individuals that got fully engorged in this phase, 12 molted directly to adults (five females and seven males) and the remaining two required an additional nymphal instar (i.e., N4) to reach adulthood (two females). Adult feeding period lasted on average 74.8 min (20–131) (Table [1](#page-3-0)).

To observe and record reproductive data, in total fve males and fve females (fve couples) were used; however, two of them died after feeding. The remaining couples were separated in tubes and placed inside the incubator; males remained with females for 7 days and then they were separated. Reproductive data registered along two gonotrophic cycles are summarized in Table [2](#page-4-0). Average pre-oviposition period was 9.3 days  $(12 \pm 5.5 \text{ and} 12 \pm 1.5 \text{ m})$  $6.6 \pm 0.5$  days for the first and second gonotrophic cycle, respectively). The oviposition was



**Table 1** Biological parameters (mean +SD, range in parentheses) of immature and adult stages of *Ornithodoros puertoricensis* under laboratory conditions, in this study and 1 <sup>1</sup> 3<sup>7</sup><br>97<br>Table<sup>1</sup> Biological parameters (mean±SD, range in parentheses) of immature and adult stages of *Ornithodoros puertoricensis* under laboratory conditions, in this study and<br>Paper of the study and the study an

<span id="page-3-0"></span>mouse (nymphs, adults)





<span id="page-4-0"></span>

 ${}^{d}$ EPI = (weight of eggs/initial weight of engorged female) × 100

 ${}^{4}EPI$  = (weight of eggs/initial weight of engorged female)  $\times$  100

continuous with an average period of 8.6 days ( $9.6 \pm 1.5$  and  $7.6 \pm 2$  days for the first and second gonotrophic cycle, respectively) (Table [2\)](#page-4-0).

Two females required two feeding processes to trigger the frst gonotrophic cycle and an additional meal for a second one. The average number of eggs laid in the frst and second cycles was 190 (171–223) and 146.6 (104–201), respectively. Egg production indexes (EPI) were  $39.7 \pm 3.5$  and  $26.9 \pm 2.2$  for the first and second gonotrophic cycle, respectively. Noteworthy, males occasionally fed on engorged females while mating (hyperparasitism).

The total cycle duration (egg**-**to**-**egg period), not considering fasting periods, was on average 70.7 days (58.7–82.7). The maximum larval survival period was on average 73.5 days (48–106).

## **Discussion**

Diferent species of rodents have been used to feed tick colonies and develop studies on their biology. Herein, we used laboratory mice to study the biological cycle of *O*. *puertoricensis*, mirroring what Endris et al. [\(1991](#page-7-15)) did to feed nymphs and adults of the same soft tick species. Rats (Fox [1947](#page-7-6)) and guinea pigs (Davis [1955\)](#page-7-14) have also served as animal model to collect biological data of *O. puertoricensis*. In the present study, a shorter feeding period was recorded for larval stages compared to those reported by Endris et al. [\(1991](#page-7-15)) and Davis [\(1955](#page-7-14)). We also observed that N1 molted to  $N2$  without feeding requirement, which agrees with previous studies for the species (Fox [1947](#page-7-6); Davis [1955](#page-7-14); Endris et al. [1991\)](#page-7-15).

The feeding duration for N2 and N3 was longer than that registered by Fox ([1947\)](#page-7-6). This could be explained by diferent feeding temperatures. In our study, mice were maintained in a room with environmental temperatures oscillating between 16 and 20  $\degree$ C (average 17  $\degree$ C). The average molting periods for these nymphal stages (N2–N4) were longer that those recorded by Fox  $(1947)$  $(1947)$  and Endris et al.  $(1991)$  $(1991)$ , who also incubated the eggs at slightly higher temperatures (26.9–29 and 27 °C, respectively) than we did (25 $\pm$ 2 °C). Our results reinforce the conclusions of Endris et al. ([1991\)](#page-7-15) who compared biological data at diferent incubation temperatures, noting that pre-ecdysis periods were shorter at higher temperatures, therefore accelerating the biological cycle.

In our experiment, adults molted from N3 in comparable proportions for males and females, though with higher numbers for the former. This concurs with previous records made by Fox [\(1947](#page-7-6)) and Davis [\(1955](#page-7-14)) with incubation temperatures of 22 and 26  $\degree$ C, respectively. Likewise, Endris et al. [\(1991](#page-7-15)) described adults emerging from N2 nymphs at 27–33 °C, with higher proportions of males. However, in the same study, adults emerging from N3 recorded a higher proportion of females which difers with results recorded in our study and those registered by Fox ([1947\)](#page-7-6) and Davis ([1955\)](#page-7-14).

Adult**-**feeding period recorded in this study (74.8 min) is higher than the period recorded by Fox ([1947](#page-7-6)) for nymphs and adults (20–40 min) and constitutes the frst specifc register for this stage (adults). Regarding the reproductive data, one female required a unique meal and mating event to initiate oviposition, whereas the other two oviposited after a second feeding phase and an additional 7**-**day exposure with males to begin oviposition. That some females fed and mate once in order to initiate their frst gonadotropic cycle and oviposit agrees with Endris et al. ([1991](#page-7-15)), who showed that an additional feeding phase is not necessary for a female to lay an average of 600 eggs with a unique exposure to a male. On the other hand, females that required a second feeding to trigger their frst gonotrophic cycle, required an additional mating to oviposit.

Pre-oviposition and oviposition periods recorded in this study were longer in the frst gonotrophic cycle than in the second. Despite slight diferences in incubation conditions, this is in accordance with what Davis [\(1955\)](#page-7-14) and Endris et al. ([1991](#page-7-15)) recorded. Similarly, the average number of eggs was higher for the frst than for the second gonotrophic cycle. Davis ([1955](#page-7-14)) noticed on average 182 and 389 eggs for the frst and second gonotrophic cycles, respectively. Endris et al. [\(1991\)](#page-7-15) registered on average 117 eggs, yet no information was given regarding the gonadotrophic cycle's number. In relation to the egg production index (EPI) (Bennett [1974](#page-7-17)), our study reports for the frst time values for *O*. *puertoricensis*, which were higher during the frst gonotrophic cycle when compared to *O. brasiliensis* (Ramirez et al. [2016\)](#page-8-13) and *O. rostratus* (Ribeiro et al. [2013\)](#page-8-14).

This study was limited to 1 year, thus restricting the number of gonotrophic cycles observed (i.e., two) to one generation only. By contrast, Endris et al. [\(1991\)](#page-7-15) stated that 10–20 gonotrophic cycles could be recorded in 1 year, under optimum incubation temperatures such as 33  $\degree$ C. Therefore, considering that 30  $\degree$ C is the average environmental temperature where we collected *O. puertoricensis* in this study, one can infer that the in situ life cycle might include at least more than one gonotrophic cycle yearly.

Interestingly, here we recorded a male feeding on engorged females which was also registered by Endris et al. [\(1991\)](#page-7-15). Hyperparasitism has been commonly described in soft ticks (Argasidae) and less frequently in hard ticks (Ixodidae) (Moorhouse and Heath [1975](#page-8-15)). This phenomenon has been reported in at least eight species of *Ornithodoros* (Llanos**-**Soto et al. [2019](#page-7-18)), suggesting that this strategy could be a potential survival mechanism during long fasting periods in absence of available hosts (Gray et al. [2014\)](#page-7-2). Also, it would constitute an alternate mechanism for pathogen transmission between ticks (Labruna et al. [2007;](#page-7-19) Williamson et al. [2018](#page-8-16)).

The average life cycle length registered for *O. puertoricensis* in this study was 70.7 days, clearly shorter than the estimate of 91.2 days made by Endris et al. ([1989](#page-7-20)). Interestingly, in a subsequent study, Endris et al. ([1991\)](#page-7-15) recorded a length of 52.5 days at 27  $\degree$ C, which is comparable to the temperature used in our study. These data reinforce the general observation that environmental conditions and other extrinsic factors (e.g., host type) directly infuence the development of ticks and life cycle length (Sonenshine and Roe [2013;](#page-8-17) Klompen and Oliver [1993](#page-7-21)). Davis [\(1955\)](#page-7-14) recorded 8 years as the maximum survival period of fasting *O. puertoricensis* nymphs and adults, under laboratory conditions, whereas Endris et al. ([1991](#page-7-15)) recorded a period of 3–4 years. This survival period is related with optimal environmental conditions in a laboratory setting, that seldomly could be extrapolated to natural conditions.

Further studies should continue to investigate the biology of *O. puertoricensis* under laboratory and natural conditions, and expand the knowledge of this species, its vertebrate hosts, pathogen transmission, and potential management and control strategies.

**Funding** The authors declare that no funds, grants, or other support were received during the preparation of this manuscript.

**Author contributions** All authors contributed to the study conception and design. LNRS: Material preparation, data collection and analysis were performed. LNRS, ÁAFM, ARH, SML: The frst draft of the manuscript was written, and all authors commented on previous versions of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the fnal manuscript.

## **Declarations**

**Confict of interest** The authors have no relevant fnancial or non-fnancial interests to disclose.

## **References**

- <span id="page-7-17"></span>Bennett GF (1974) Oviposition of *Boophilus microplus* (Canestrini) (Acarida:Ixodidae) Infuence of tick size on egg production. Acarologia 16(1):52–61
- <span id="page-7-8"></span>Bermúdez S, Miranda RJ, Cleghorn J, Venzal JM (2015) *Ornithodoros* (*Alectorobius*) *puertoricensis* (Ixodidae: Argasidae) parasitizing exotic reptiles in Panama. Revista FAVE Sección Ciencias veterinarias  $14(1-2):1-5$
- <span id="page-7-3"></span>Bermúdez SE, Castillo E, Pohlenz TD, Kneubehl A, Krishnavajhala A, Domínguez L et al (2017) New records of *Ornithodoros puertoricensis* fox 1947 (Ixodida: Argasidae) parasitizing humans in rural and urban dwellings, Panama. Ticks Tick-Borne Dis 8:466–469. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ttbdis.2017.02.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ttbdis.2017.02.004) [004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ttbdis.2017.02.004)
- <span id="page-7-5"></span>Bermúdez S, Armstrong BA, Domínguez L, Krishnavajhala A, Kneubehl AR, Gunter SM et al (2021) Isolation and genetic characterization of a relapsing fever spirochete isolated from *Ornithodoros puertoricensis* collected in central panama. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 15:e0009642. [https://doi.org/10.1371/](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0009642) [journal.pntd.0009642](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0009642)
- <span id="page-7-12"></span>Butler JF, Gibbs EPJ (1984) Distribution of potential soft tick vectors of African swine fever in the Caribbean region (Acari: Argasidae). Prev Vet Med 2:63–70. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-5877\(84\)90049-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-5877(84)90049-7)
- <span id="page-7-0"></span>Dantas-Torres F, Fernandes Martins T, Muñoz-Leal S, Onofrio V, Barros-Battesti D (2019) Ticks (Ixodida: Argasidae, Ixodidae) of Brazil: updated species checklist and taxonomic keys. Ticks and Tick-borne Dis. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ttbdis.2019.06.012>
- <span id="page-7-14"></span>Davis GE (1955) Observations on the biology of the argasid tick Ornithodoros puertoricensis Fox. J Parasitol 41(1):76–79
- <span id="page-7-4"></span>Dworkin M, Schwan T, Anderson D, Borchardt S (2008) Tick-borne relapsing fever mark. Infect Dis Clin North Am 22:449–468. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.idc.2008.03.006>
- <span id="page-7-20"></span>Endris RG, Keirans JE, Robbins RG, Hess WR (1989) *Ornithodoros* (*Alectorobius*) *puertoricensis* (Acari:Argasidae): redescription by scanning electron microscopy. J Med Entomol 26(3):146–154
- <span id="page-7-15"></span>Endris RG, Haslett TM, Monahan MJ, Phillips JG (1991) Laboratory biology of *Ornithodoros* (*Alectorobius*) *puertoricensis* (Acari:Argasidae). J Med Entomol 28(1):49–62
- <span id="page-7-7"></span>Fairchild G, Kohls G, Tipton V (1966) The ticks of Panama (Acarina: Ixodoidea). Field Museum of Natural History, Chicago, pp 167–219
- <span id="page-7-16"></span>Flecknell P (2009) Laboratory animal anaesthesia, 3rd edn. Academic Press, Cambridge
- <span id="page-7-6"></span>Fox I (1947) *Ornithodoros* (*Alectorobius*) *puertoricensis*, a new tick from rats in Puerto Rico. J Parasitol 33(3):253–259
- <span id="page-7-2"></span>Gray J, Estrada-Peña A, Vial L (2014) Ecology of nidicolous ticks. In: Sonenshine DE, Roe RM (eds) The Biology of Ticks, 2nd edn. Oxford University Press, New York, pp 49–50
- <span id="page-7-1"></span>Hoogstraal H (1985) Argasid and nuttalliellid ticks as parasites and vectors. Avd Parasitol 24:135–238
- <span id="page-7-9"></span>Jones EK, Cliford CM, Keirans JE, Kohls GE (1972) The ticks of Venezuela (Acarina: Ixodoidea) with a key to the species of *Amblyomma* in the Western Hemisphere. Brigham Young University Science Bulletin 17. Provo, Utah
- <span id="page-7-21"></span>Klompen JSH, Oliver JHJ (1993) Systematic relationships in the soft ticks (Acari:Ixodida: Argasidae). Syst Entomol 18:313–331
- <span id="page-7-10"></span>Kohls GM, Sonenshine DE, Cliford CM (1965) The systematics of the subfamily Ornithodorinae (Acarina: Argasidae). II. Identifcation of the larvae of the Western hemisphere and descriptions of three new species. Ann Entomol Soc Am 58(3):331–364
- <span id="page-7-19"></span>Labruna MB, Ahid SMM, Soares HS, Suassuna ACD (2007) Hyperparasitism in *Amblyomma rotundatum* (Acari: Ixodidae). J Parasitol 93:1531–1532.<https://doi.org/10.1645/GE-1277.1>
- <span id="page-7-18"></span>Llanos-Soto S, Muñoz-Leal S, González-Acuña D (2019) Hyperparasitism in the seabird tick *Ornithodoros amblus* (Acari: Argasidae). Syst Appl Acarol 24(3):525–528
- <span id="page-7-13"></span>Londoño AF, Acevedo-Gutiérrez Y, Marín D, Contreras V, Díaz FJ, Valbuena G et al (2017) Wild and domestic animals likely involved in rickettsial endemic zones of Northwestern Colombia. Ticks Tick Borne Dis 8:887–894
- <span id="page-7-11"></span>López Y, Robayo-Sánchez LN, Muñoz-Leal S, Aleman A, Arroyave E, Ramírez-Hernández A, Cortés-Vecino JA, Mattar S, Faccini-Martínez ÁA (2021) *Ornithodoros puertoricensis* (Ixodida:Argasidae)
- <span id="page-8-5"></span>Mans BJ, Gothe R, Neitz AWH (2004) Biochemical perspectives on paralysis and other forms of toxicoses caused by ticks. Parasitology 129:S95–111. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182003004670>
- <span id="page-8-11"></span>Mateos-Hernández L, Rakotobe S, Defaye B, Cabezas-Cruz A, Šimo L (2020) A capsule-based model for immature hard tick stages infestation on laboratory mice. J Vis Exp. <https://doi.org/10.3791/61430>
- <span id="page-8-15"></span>Moorhouse DE, Heath ACG (1975) Parasitism of female ticks by males of the genus *Ixodes*. J Med Entomol 12:571–572.<https://doi.org/10.1093/jmedent/12.5.571>
- <span id="page-8-2"></span>Muñoz-Leal S, Venzal JM, González-Acuña D, Nava S, Lopes M, Martins T, Figueroa C, Fernández N, Labruna M (2016) A new species of *Ornithodoros* (Acari: Argasidae) from desert areas of northern Chile. Ticks Tick-Borne Dis 7(5):901–910
- <span id="page-8-0"></span>Muñoz-Leal S, Venzal JM, Jorge FR, Teixeira BM, Labruna MB (2021) A new species of soft tick from dry tropical forests of Brazilian Caatinga. Ticks Tick Borne Dis Sep 12(5):101748. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ttbdis.2021.101748) [1016/j.ttbdis.2021.101748](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ttbdis.2021.101748) Epub 2021 May 19. PMID: 34052668
- <span id="page-8-4"></span>Nava S, Venzal J, González-Acuña D, Martins T, Guglielmone A (2017) Ticks of the southern cone of America: diagnosis, distribution, and hosts with taxonomy, ecology and sanitary importance, 1st edn. Elsevier, London, United Kingdom, pp 117–124
- <span id="page-8-12"></span>Nuss AB, Mathew MG, Gulia-Nuss M (2017) Rearing *Ixodes scapularis*, the black-legged tick: feeding immature stages on mice. J Vis Exp.<https://doi.org/10.3791/55286>
- <span id="page-8-3"></span>Oliver JH (1989) Biology and systematics of ticks. Annu Rev Ecol Syst 20:397–430
- <span id="page-8-8"></span>Paternina LE, Díaz-Olmos Y, Paternina-Gómez M, Bejarano EE (2009) *Canis familiaris*, un nuevo hospedero de *Ornithodoros* (*A*.) *puertoricensis* Fox, 1947 (Acari: Ixodida) en Colombia. Acta Biol Colomb 14:153–160
- <span id="page-8-9"></span>Quintero JC, Londoño AF, Díaz FJ, Agudelo-Flórez P, Arboleda M, Rodas JD (2013) Ecoepidemiología de la infección por rickettsias en roedores, ectoparásitos y humanos en el noroeste de Antioquia. Colombia Biom 33:38–51
- <span id="page-8-10"></span>Quintero JC, Mignone J, Osorio QL, Cienfuegos-Gallet AV, Rojas AC (2021) Housing conditions linked to tick (Ixodida: Ixodidae) infestation in rural areas of Colombia: a potential risk for rickettsial transmission. J Med Entomol 58:439–449
- <span id="page-8-13"></span>Ramirez DG, Landulfo GA, Onofrio VC, Simons SM, Reck J, Martins JR, Labruna MB, Barros-Battesti DM (2016) Laboratory life cycle of *Ornithodoros brasiliensis* (Acari: Argasidae): an endemic tick from southern Brazil. Ticks Borne Dis 7(5):730–733. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ttbdis.2016.03.001>
- <span id="page-8-14"></span>Ribeiro CC, Faccini JL, Cançado PH, Piranda EM, Barros-Battesti DM, Leite RC (2013) Life cycle of *Ornithodoros rostratus* (Acari: Argasidae) under experimental conditions and comments on the host-parasite relationship in the Pantanal wetland region, Brazil. Exp Appl Acarol 61(1):139–146. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10493-013-9669-7) [org/10.1007/s10493-013-9669-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10493-013-9669-7)
- <span id="page-8-17"></span>Sonenshine DE, Roe RM (2013) Biology of ticks, 2nd edn. Oxford University Press, Oxford
- <span id="page-8-7"></span>Thompson GB (1950) Ticks of Jamaica, B.W.I. Records and notes (including a summary of the distribution of the West Indian species). Ann Mag Nat Hist 12(3):220–229
- <span id="page-8-1"></span>Venzal JM, González-Acuña D, Muñoz-Leal S, Mangold AJ, Nava S (2015) Two new species of *Ornithodoros* (Ixodida; Argasidae) from the Southern Cone of South America. Exp Appl Acarol 66:127–139

<span id="page-8-6"></span>Webb JW (1980) Parasites of small Indian mongoose on St. Croix, Virgin Islands. J Parasitol 66(1):176–178

<span id="page-8-16"></span>Williamson BN, Schwan TG (2018) Conspecifc hyperparasitism: an alternative route for *Borrelia hermsii* transmission by the tick *Ornithodoros hermsi*. Ticks Tick Borne Dis. 9(2):334–339

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.