# *Rickettsia slovaca* and *Rickettsia raoultii* in *Dermacentor marginatus* and *Dermacentor reticulatus* ticks from Slovak Republic

Eva Špitalská • Katarína Štefanidesová • Elena Kocianová • Vojtech Boldiš

Received: 22 August 2011/Accepted: 17 February 2012/Published online: 6 March 2012 © Springer Science+Business Media B.V. 2012

Abstract Rickettsiae, obligate intracellular Gram-negative bacteria, responsible for mild to severe diseases in humans are associated with arthropod vectors. Dermacentor marginatus and Dermacentor reticulatus are known vectors of Rickettsia slovaca and Rickettsia raoultii distributed across Europe. A total of 794 D. marginatus, D. reticulatus and *Ixodes ricinus* adult ticks were collected from the vegetation, removed from horses, sheep, goats and dogs in Slovakia. The DNA of *Rickettsia* sp. was found in 229 ticks by PCR amplifying parts of gltA, ompA and sca4 genes. Next analyses of Rickettsia-positive samples by PCR-RFLP and/or sequencing showed D. reticulatus ticks were more infected with R. raoultii and D. marginatus were more infected with R. slovaca. The prevalence of R. raoultii was 8.1-8.6% and 22.3-27% in D. marginatus and D. reticulatus, respectively. The prevalence of R. slovaca was 20.6–24.3% in D. marginatus and 1.7–3.4% in D. reticulatus. Intracellular growth of R. raoultii isolate from D. marginatus tick was evaluated by rOmpA-based quantitative SybrGreen PCR assay. The highest point of multiplication was recorded on the 7th and 8th day postinfection in Vero and L929 cells, respectively. R. raoultii was transmitted during feeding of R. raoultii-positive ticks to guinea pigs and subsequently rickettsial infection was recorded in all organs, the highest infection was in spleen, liver and heart. Our study describes the detection and isolation of tick-borne pathogens R. raoultii and R. slovaca, show that they are spread in Slovakia and highlight their risk for humans.

**Keywords** Rickettsia slovaca · Rickettsia raoultii · Dermacentor marginatus · Dermacentor reticulatus · Slovakia

E. Špitalská (🖂) · K. Štefanidesová · E. Kocianová

Institute of Virology, Slovak Academy of Sciences, Bratislava, Slovak Republic e-mail: eva.spitalska@savba.sk

#### Introduction

Rickettsiae are obligate intracellular Gram-negative bacteria that are associated with arthropod vectors and are responsible for mild to severe diseases in humans (Raoult and Roux 1997).

Rickettsia raoultii, Rickettsia sp. genotypes DnS14, DnS28 and RpA4, was first identified as new rickettsiae of the Rickettsia massiliae genogroup in 1999, by rrs (16S rDNA), gltA and ompA sequencing from Dermacentor nutallii ticks collected in Siberia and Rhipicephalus pumilio ticks collected in Astrakhan (Rydkina et al. 1999). R. raoultii strains were isolated from PCR-positive Dermacentor ticks, Dermacentor silvarum, D. nuttalli, Dermacentor reticulatus and Dermacentor marginatus, collected in Russia, Kazakhstan, and France using cell cultures (Rydkina et al. 1999; Mediannikov et al. 2008). Herein, we describe the first cultivation of *R. raoultii* in Slovakia from *D.* marginatus. Since 1999, R. raoultii have been detected in Dermacentor ticks throughout Europe, in the European part of Russia (Shpynov et al. 2001), Germany (Dautela et al. 2006), Spain (Márquez 2008), Portugal (Vitorino et al. 2007), Netherlands (Nijhof et al. 2007), Slovakia (Boldiš et al. 2008), France and Croatia (Mediannikov et al. 2008), Poland (Chmielewski et al. 2009), UK (Tijsse-Klasen et al. 2011) and in Haemaphysalis punctata collected in Spain (Márquez 2008). In 2002, Mediannikov et al. (2008) detected R. raoultii DNA in D. marginatus tick taken from the scalp of a patient in whom TIBOLA (tick-borne lymphadenopathy)/DEBONEL (Dermacentor-borne necrosis erythema and lymphadenopathy) developed in France. It seems to be less pathogenic than Rickettsia slovaca (Parola et al. 2009).

*Rickettsia slovaca* was first isolated in 1968 from the tick *D. marginatus* collected in central Slovakia (Brezina et al. 1969). *R. slovaca* had been considered as a non-pathogenic microorganism for many years. In 1997 it was described as a human pathogen and the etiological agent of TIBOLA/DEBONEL human disease, which is associated with a tick bite, an inoculation eschar on the scalp, and cervical lymphadenopathies (Raoult et al. 1997). *R. slovaca* and human diseases caused by it were recorded across Europe (Parola et al. 2009).

Ixodes ricinus, D. marginatus, D. reticulatus, Haemaphysalis concinna, Haemaphysalis punctata, and Haemaphysalis inermis (the family Ixodidae, the order Acari) are exophillic tick species occurring in Slovakia. *Ixodes ricinus* ticks are widely distributed throughout the country whereas D. reticulatus and D. marginatus ticks are limited to along the rivers and Krupinská planina, respectively (Řeháček et al. 1991).

The aim of this study was to isolate, identify and characterize rickettsial species occurring in *Dermacentor* ticks inhabiting Slovakia.

#### Materials and methods

## Collection of ticks

*D. marginatus* and *D. reticulatus* ticks were collected by blanket-dragging over the vegetation in Bratislava, Horný Bar, Martinský les, Moravský sv. Ján localities in western Slovakia and Veľký Lom, Kalonda, Píla, Sása, Pinciná, Budiná localities in central Slovakia during 2004–2010 years. They were classified to species, sex and maintained alive at +4°C prior to the examination. Hemocyte test (HT)

Ticks were microscopically tested by HT, hemocytes from one droplet of hemolymph from ticks were stained by the Gimenez method (Burgdorfer 1970). HT can indicate the presence of microorganisms with rickettsial morphology, such as *Rickettsia* sp., *Coxiella burnetii*, rickettsia-like microorganisms, but can not differentiate microorganism species. Ticks were still alive after the screening by the HT method, which is important for the isolation and cultivation of rickettsiae in cell lines.

Isolation and cultivation of rickettsiae in Vero and L929 cell lines

Isolation of rickettsiae was attempted on the hemolymph-positive *Dermacentor* tick. One droplet of hemolymph obtained from previously unbroken leg of tick, was inoculated into one cultivation well (shell vial) containing monolayer of confluent Vero or L929 cells. After inoculation, the shell vials were centrifuged for 45 min at 1,000g, 25°C. Then the monolayer was incubated in a CO<sub>2</sub> incubator for 120 min at 33°C. Finally, the cells were incubated in CO<sub>2</sub> incubator at 33°C for 7–10 days. For study of intracellular growth, *R. raoultii* was cultivated in Vero and L929 cells (inoculum ~ 10<sup>5</sup> *R. raoultii* per flask). Negative controls of Vero and L929 cells without rickettsiae were also done. In three parallel series of static cultivation the growth medium was not replaced over the 14 days. Each 24 h intervals of cultivation infected cells in growth medium were scrapped, frozen at  $-70^{\circ}$ C and after defrost centrifuged (5,000g, 10 min). Pellet was processed to extraction of DNA for quantification of rickettsial DNA copies by qPCR.

Infection of guinea pigs with Rickettsia raoultii

Experimental infection of guinea pigs through infected ticks was done analogous to study of Niebylski et al. (1999). *Rickettsia raoultii*-positive adult ticks fed 7 days on 3 guinea pigs. Blood from guinea pigs was collected every seventh day during 4 weeks and serum, heart, marrow, liver, lungs, bones, spleen and brain were collected from guinea pigs after their death. Guinea pigs died spontaneously. All organs and blood have been subjected to DNA extraction and subsequently to qPCR.

# DNA extraction

All infected cell line, tick, blood and organ samples were individually processed by PCR. The DNA from infected mammalian cells, blood and organs from guinea pigs was isolated according to Wilson (1995) by phenol–chloroform extraction. The DNA from ticks collected from the vegetation was extracted using alkaline hydrolysis. Each ticks were washed with 70% ethanol and sterile water, crushed with sterile forceps and treated with 0.7 M ammonium hydroxide (NH<sub>4</sub>OH) for 15 min at 100°C in sealed PCR tubes. Subsequently, NH<sub>4</sub>OH was evaporated for 25 min at 100°C. DNA from ticks acquired from animals was extracted using Dneasy Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen) according to manufacturing protocol. DNA extractions were stored at  $-20^{\circ}$ C and later used as templates for the PCR amplification.

#### PCR amplification, PCR-RFLP and DNA sequencing

The PCR for eubacteria was used for amplification of 470 bp part of the 16S rRNA gene using primers GA1B and 16S8FE (Bekker et al. 2002). Detection of *Rickettsia* sp. was done with specific primers RpCS.877p–RpCS.1258n amplified 381 bp part of the *gltA* gene, RR190.70F–RR190.701R amplified 632 bp part of *ompA* gene and D767f–D1390r primers amplified a part of 623 bp of the *sca4* gene (Regnery et al. 1991; Roux et al. 1996). Enzymatic digestion for the identification of *R. slovaca* was performed as described by Špitalská et al. (2008). PCR and PCR–RFLP products were analyzed by eletrophoresis in a 1% agarose gel, stained with GelRed (Biotium), and visualized with UV transilluminator. Amplicons were purified using a QIAquick Spin PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen) as described by the manufacturer. The sequencing was performed by Macrogen (South Korea; http://www.macrogen.com). DNA sequences were compared with available databases in GenBank using the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) on http://blast.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/. Evolutionary analyses were conducted in MEGA5 (Tamura et al. 2011).

#### qPCR assay

PCR amplification was conducted using DyNAmo HS SYBR Green qPCR kit reagents according to the manufacturer's instructions (Finnzymes, Finland). The reaction mixture and conditions for amplification were described by Boldiš and Špitalská (2010). Negative controls contained all PCR reaction components, as template DNA were DNAs of uninfected mammalian cells and nuclease-free water. The 632-bp fragment of the *ompA* gene of *R. slovaca* strain B13 was amplified and cloned into pGEM-T easy plasmid (Promega), vector was transformed into *Escherichia coli* competent cells and used as a standard for absolute quantification. To quantify the copy numbers of rickettsial gene in samples, tenfold serial dilutions of a plasmid were used to generate a standard curve.

#### Results

A total of 540 adult ticks, 272 *D. marginatus*, and 268 *D. reticulatus* were collected from the vegetation. Totally 249 adult *Dermacentor* ticks, 96 *D. marginatus*, 153 *D. reticulatus* were collected from horses, sheep, goats and dogs, and only 5 *I. ricinus* from horses (Table 1).

The DNA of *Rickettsia* sp. was found in 229 ticks, 69 *D. reticulatus* and 88 *D. marginatus* ticks from the vegetation and 44 *D. reticulatus* and 28 *D. marginatus* ticks collected from animals using PCR. The infection rate was from 25.49 to 36.17% depending on the collection and from 16.67 to 44% depending on sex of ticks (Table 1). PCR–RFLP and/ or sequencing for identification of *Rickettsia* species showed that *D. reticulatus* ticks were more infected with *R. raoultii*, 86.67 and 94.12% infection of tick from vegetation and animals, respectively. Seventy-five percent of *D. marginatus* ticks from vegetation were infected with *R. slovaca* and *R. slovaca*-infection was found in 70.59% of *D. marginatus* ticks from animals. Table 2 shows the prevalence of each rickettsia in each species of *Dermacentor* ticks.

Isolation of rickettsiae was attempted on *D. marginatus* ticks, the hemolymphs of which were positive in the HT. Rickettsiae were detected in eukaryotic cells by genus-specific PCR followed by sequencing. The obtained isolate showed infection with *R. slovaca* 

Table 1 Infection rate with   Rickettsia sp. in Dermacentor ticks   ticks ticks	Ticks from	D. marginatus	D. reticulatus
	Vegetation	88/272 (32.35%)	69/268 (25.75%)
		21/102 (20.59%) M	31/108 (28.70%) M
		67/170 (39.41%) F	38/160 (23.75%) F
	Horses	1/4	26/102 (25.49%)
		0/1 M	8/48 (16.67%) M
		1/3 F	18/54 (33.33%) F
	Sheep	25/89 (31.46%)	
		7/41 (17.07%) M	
		18/48 (43.9%) F	
	Goats	2/3 M	1/4
			0/1 M
			1/3 F
	Dogs		17/47 (36.17%)
			11/25 (44.0%) M
			6/22 (27.27%) F
	Total from animals	26/93 (27.96%)	44/153 (28.76%)
		9/45 (20.0%) M	19/74 (25.68%) M
No. of <i>Rickettsia</i> sp. positive ticks/no. of tested ticks		19/51 (37.25%) F	25/79 (31.65%) F

**Table 2**The prevalence of *Rickettsia raoultii* and *R. slovaca* in *Dermacentor marginatus* and *D. reticulatus*ticks in Slovakia

	In ticks from vegetation (%)		In ticks from animals (%)	
	R. raoultii	R. slovaca	R. raoultii	R. slovaca
D. marginatus	8.09	24.26	8.58	20.59
D. reticulatus	22.31	3.43	27.02	1.69

(Boldiš and Špitalská 2010) and *R. raoultii*. Partial sequencing of *ompA* gene of *R. raoultii* isolate (*R. raoultii* DMS, Acc. No. JN398480) confirmed 99.6% identity with *R. raoultii* strain Marne (Acc. No. DQ365799), (Fig. 1). Intracellular growth of *R. raoultii* (Fig. 2) was evaluated by quantitative PCR assay using L929 cells (triangle) and Vero cells (circles). Curves of bacterial growth were modeled with lag, exponential, stationary and death phases. *R. raoultii* achieved the highest point of multiplication on the 7th and 8th day postinfection in Vero and L929 cells, respectively. The rickettsial copy number in Vero and L929 cells per flask at this point was 1.6 and 1.4 times greater than rickettsial DNA copy number of inoculum, respectively.

Figure 3 shows the rickettsial copy number in blood and organs of guinea pigs, on which *R. raoultii*-positive adult ticks fed. The rickettsial infection was recorded in all organs, the highest infection was in spleen, liver and heart. The infection increased in time in blood samples.



**Fig. 1** Evolutionary relationship of *Rickettsia* spp. inferred from the comparison of a portion of the *ompA* gene using the Neighbor-Joining method. Bootstrap values are reported at the nodes. Sequences of *R. raoultii* DMS (highlighted by the *black circle*) were compared with sequences downloaded from the GenBank



**Fig. 2** The growth kinetics of *Rickettsia raoultii* in Vero and L929 determined by the quantitative PCR assay. Eukaryotic cells approaching confluence were infected with  $\sim 10^5$  copy number of bacteria per flask. Values are the average  $\pm$  SE of three replicates



Fig. 3 Infection with *Rickettsia raoultii* in blood and organs of guinea pigs. Each point corresponds to the mean of three distinct experiments

### Discussion

For long time is known, that *Dermacentor* ticks are the main vectors of some species of *Rickettsia*. To this time only prevalence of *Rickettsia* spp. in *Dermacentor* ticks in Slovakia was determined, but no information exists regarding the prevalence of R. slovaca and R. raoultii in D. reticulatus and D. marginatus ticks. Herein we provide detailed data related to the infection with these rickettsiae in *Dermacentor* ticks. Infection rates of *R. raoultii* in D. reticulatus were 86.7 and 94.1% from vegetation and animals, respectively. Contrary, infection rates of R. slovaca in D. marginatus were 75 and 70.6% from vegetation and animals, respectively. Similar study was conducted by Milhano et al. (2010) in Portugal, where 58.5% of D. marginatus ticks were infected with R. raoultii and 41.5% were R. slovaca positive. Socolovschi et al. (2009) defined infection rate with R. slovaca in D. marginatus ticks, which is 7.2-40.6% and with R. raoultii in D. reticulatus is 5.6-23%, in D. marginatus 22.5-83.3%. Part of our findings is in accordance with Socolovschi's et al. (2009) data. In our study, more D. marginatus ticks were infected by R. slovaca with prevalence 20.6 and 24.3% in ticks from animals and from vegetation, respectively. More D. reticulatus were infected by R. raoultii with 22.3% prevalence in ticks from vegetation and 27.0% in ticks from animals. Infection of *D. marginatus* with *R. raoultii* (8.0–8.6%) is lower in comparisson with Socolovschi's et al. (2009) data (22.5-83.3%). In previously published studies, R. raoultii has been more frequently detected in D. marginatus in Spain (73%) and Portugal (65%), in 57% of *D. reticulatus* ticks in Poland (Márquez et al. 2006; Vitorino et al. 2007; Chmielewski et al. 2009). The most (13 from 17) D. reticulatus ticks from Wales and England showed 100% homology with R. raoultii (Tijsse-Klasen et al. 2011). Infection of ticks removed from animals was studied by Dautela et al. (2006), Nijhof et al. (2007) and Selmi et al. (2009). They found that 14-23% D. reticulatus ticks were infected with R. raoultii and recorded 1.8 and 32.1% infection prevalence of D. marginatus with R. raoultii and R. slovaca, respectively. Their results are similar to ours. Differences in infection rates of both rickettsia in both *Dermacentor* species could be explained by the sampling methods, size of the samples, the potential PCR inhibitors, PCR reaction mixture and different detection surveys. Herein, we also described successful the first cultivation of R. raoultii in Slovakia from D. marginatus ticks. According to our knowledge, the bacterial growth kinetics of *R. raoultii* in mammalian cells was not done up to date. In general, bacterial growth can be modeled with known four different phases. Similar phases of *R. raoultii* growth curves were seen in our study. Our findings added growth data that bacteria might be equally accustomed to growth in L929 and Vero cell lines at 33°C supplemented with RPMI 1,640 cell culture medium (PAA Laboratories, Austria) containing 5% fetal bovine serum (Gibco, BRL, USA). *R. raoultii* was transmitted during feeding of *R. raoultii*-positive ticks to guinea pigs. It is human pathogen causing a mild rickettsiosis in humans, but pathogenicity for guinea pigs is not known and requires more studies. Pathological assessment of *R. raoultii* was not the objective of the study, therefore it is not possible to identify whether *R. raoultii* caused any disease in guinea pigs.

Anyway, the high percentage of *D. reticulatus* and *D. marginatus* ticks infected with *R. slovaca* and *R. raoultii* strongly indicates increasing needs of medical attention for people in localities where these ticks occur. Data of this study indicate that clinicians should be aware that patient with tick-borne lymphadenopathy may be in Slovakia.

Acknowledgments The study was financially supported by the grants VEGA Nos. 2/0065/09, 2/0142/10 and 2/0031/11 from the Scientific Grant Agency of Ministry of Education of Slovak Republic. We thank to Marianna Pjechová and Veronika Balážová for collection of samples and excellent technical assistance.

#### References

- Bekker CPJ, de Vos S, Taoufik A, Sparagano OAE, Jongejan F (2002) Simultaneous detection of Anaplasma and Ehrlichia species in ruminants and detection of Ehrlichia ruminantium in Amblyomma variegatum ticks by reverse line blot hybridization. Vet Microbiol 89:223–238
- Boldiš V, Špitalská E (2010) Dermacentor marginatus and Ixodes ricinus ticks versus L929 and Vero cell lines in Rickettsia slovaca life cycle evaluated by quantitative real time PCR. Exp Appl Acarol 50:353–359. doi:10.1007/s10493-009-9322-7
- Boldiš V, Kocianová E, Štrus J, Tušek-Žnidarič M, Sparagano OAE, Štefanidesová K, Špitalská E (2008) Rickettsial agents in Slovakian ticks (Acarina, Ixodidae) and their ability to grow in Vero and L929 cell lines. Ann NY Acad Sci 1149:281–285
- Brezina R, Reháček J, Ač P, Majerská M (1969) Two strains of rickettsiae of Rocky Mountain SFG recovered from *D. marginatus* ticks in Czechoslovakia. Results of preliminary serological identification. Acta Virol 13:142–145
- Burgdorfer W (1970) Hemolymph test: a technique for detection of rickettsiae in ticks. Am J Trop Med Hyg 19:1010–1014
- Chmielewski T, Podsiadly E, Karbowiak G, Tylewska-Wierzbanowska S (2009) Rickettsia spp. in ticks, Poland. Emerg Infect Dis 15:486–488. doi:10.3201/eid1503.080711
- Dautela H, Dippela C, Oehmeb R, Harteltb K, Schettler E (2006) Evidence for an increased geographical distribution of *Dermacentor reticulatus* in Germany and detection of *Rickettsia* sp. RpA4. Int J Med Microbiol 296 (S1):149–156
- Márquez FJ (2008) Spotted fever group *Rickettsia* in ticks from southeastern Spain natural parks. Exp Appl Acarol 45:185–194. doi:10.1007/s10493-008-9181-7
- Márquez FJ, Rojas A, Ibarra V, Cantero A, Rojas J, Oteo JA, Muniain MA (2006) Prevalence data of *Rickettsia slovaca* and other SFG Rickettsiae species in *Dermacentor marginatus* in the southeastern Iberian Peninsula. Ann NY Acad Sci 1078:328–330
- Mediannikov O, Matsumoto K, Samoylenko I, Drancourt M, Roux V, Rydkina E, Davoust B, Tarasevich I, Brouqui P, Fournier PE (2008) *Rickettsia raoultii* sp. nov., a new spotted fever group rickettsia associated with *Dermacentor* ticks in Europe and Russia. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 58:1635–1639. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.64952-0
- Milhano N, de Carvalho IL, Alves AS, Arroube S, Soares J, Rodriguez P, Carolino M, Núncio MS, Piesman J, de Sousa R (2010) Coinfections of *Rickettsia slovaca* and *Rickettsia helvetica* with *Borrelia lusi-taniae* in ticks collected in a Safari Park, Portugal. Ticks Tick Borne Dis 1:172–177
- Niebylski ML, Peacock MG, Schwan TG (1999) Lethal effect of *Rickettsia rickettsii* on its tick vector (*Dermacentor andersoni*). Appl Envirol Microbiol 65:773–778

- Nijhof AM, Bodaan Ch, Postigo M, Nieuwenhuijs H, Opsteegh M, Franssen L, Jebbink F, Jongejan F (2007) Ticks and associated pathogens collected from domestic animals in the Netherlands. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis 7:585–596. doi:10.1089/vbz.2007.0130
- Parola P, Rovery C, Rolain JM, Brouqui P, Davoust B, Raoult D (2009) Rickettsia slovaca and R. raoultii in Tick-borne Rickettsioses. Emerg Infect Dis 15:1105–1108
- Raoult D, Roux V (1997) Rickettsioses as paradigms of new or emerging infectious diseases. Clin Microbiol Rev 10:694–719
- Raoult D, Berbis P, Roux V, Xu W, Maurin M (1997) A new tick-transmitted disease due to *Rickettsia slovaca*. Lancet 350:112–113. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(05)61814-4
- Regnery RL, Spruill CL, Plikaytis BD (1991) Genotypic identification of rickettsiae and estimation of intraspecies sequence divergence for portions of two rickettsial genes. J Bacteriol 173:1576–1589
- Řeháček J, Úrvölgyi J, Kocianová E, Sekeyová Z, Vavreková M, Kováčova E (1991) Extensive examination of different tick species for infestation with *Coxiella burnetii* in Slovakia. Eur J Epidemiol 7:299–303
- Roux V, Fournier PE, Raoult D (1996) Differentiation of spotted fever group rickettsiae by sequencing and analysis of restriction fragment length polymorphism of PCR amplified DNA of the gene encoding the protein rOmpA. J Clin Microbiol 34:2058–2065
- Rydkina E, Roux V, Rudakov N, Gafarova M, Tarasevich I et al (1999) New Rickettsiae in ticks collected in territories of the former Soviet Union. Emerg Infect Dis 5:811–814
- Selmi M, Martello E, Bertolotti L, Bisanzio D, Tomassone L (2009) Rickettsia slovaca and Rickettsia raoultii in Dermacentor marginatus ticks collected on wild boards in Tuscany, Italy. J Med Entomol 46:1490–1493
- Shpynov S, Parola P, Rudakov N, Samoilenko I, Tankibaev M, Tarasevich I, Raoult D (2001) Detection and identification of spotted fever group rickettsiae in *Dermacentor* ticks from Russia and central Kazakhstan. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 20:903–905
- Socolovschi C, Mediannikov O, Raoult D, Parola P (2009) The relationship between spotted fever group Rickettsiae and Ixodid ticks. Vet Res 40:34. doi:10.1051/vetres/2009017
- Špitalská E, Štefanidesová K, Kocianová E, Boldiš V (2008) Specific detection of *Rickettsia slovaca* by restriction fragment length polymorphism of *sca4* gene. Acta Virol 52:189–191
- Tamura K, Peterson D, Peterson N, Stecher G, Nei M, Kumar S (2011) MEGA5: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis using maximum likelihood, evolutionary distance, and maximum parsimony methods. Mol Biol Evol. doi:10.1093/molbev/msr121
- Tijsse-Klasen E, Jameson LJ, Fonville M, Leach S, Sprong H, Medlock JM (2011) First detection of spotted fever group rickettsiae in *Ixodes ricinus* and *Dermacentor reticulatus* ticks in the UK. Epidemiol Infect 139:524–529
- Vitorino L, De Sousa R, Bacellar F, Zé-Zé L (2007) Rickettsia sp. strain RpA4 detected in Portuguese Dermacentor marginatus ticks. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis 7:217–220. doi:10.1089/vbz.2006.0603
- Wilson K (1995) Preparation of genomic DNA from bacteria. In: Ausubel MF, Brent R, Kingston RE, Moore DD, Seidman JG, Smith JA, Struhl K (eds) Current protocols in molecular biology. Wiley, New York, pp 2.4.1–2.4.5