

A proteomics approach for the analysis of hemolymph proteins involved in the immediate defense response of the soft tick, *Ornithodoros savignyi*, when challenged with *Candida albicans*

Elaine Stopforth · Albert W. H. Neitz · Anabella R. M. Gaspar

Received: 7 August 2009/Accepted: 28 January 2010/Published online: 26 February 2010
© Springer Science+Business Media B.V. 2010

Abstract A proteomics approach was employed to identify proteins secreted into the hemolymph of *Ornithodoros savignyi* ticks 2 h after immune-challenge with the yeast, *Candida albicans*. Profiling of the proteins present in hemolymph of unchallenged ticks versus ticks challenged with heat-killed yeast revealed five proteins to be differentially expressed. The modulated protein spots were subjected to tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS) analysis, but could not be positively identified. These proteins can be assigned to the immune response as they were not induced after aseptic injury. In an attempt to identify hemolymph proteins that recognize and bind to yeast cells, hemolymph obtained from both unchallenged and challenged ticks was incubated with *C. albicans*. Elution of the bound proteins followed by SDS-PAGE analysis indicated that three proteins (97, 88 and 26 kDa) present in both unchallenged and challenged hemolymph samples bind to yeast cells. The constant presence of these three proteins in tick hemolymph leads us to believe that they may be involved in non-self recognition and participate in yeast clearance from tick plasma. The analyzed yeast-binding proteins could also not be positively identified, suggesting that all the tick immune proteins investigated in this study are novel.

Keywords *Ornithodoros savignyi* · Hemolymph · Differential protein expression · Yeast · Yeast-binding proteins

Introduction

The soft tick, *Ornithodoros savignyi* Audouin, more commonly known as the sand tampan, is distributed throughout the North Western parts of Southern Africa as well as Egypt, Ethiopia, Kenya and Zimbabwe (Paton and Evans 1929). The bites of these ticks cause irritation, blisters and bruising (Estrada-Pena and Jongejan 1999). They cause large losses of livestock especially young calves and lambs in the areas where they occur and are of special relevance in Southern Africa (Mans and Neitz 2003). Pathogenesis associated with these

E. Stopforth · A. W. H. Neitz · A. R. M. Gaspar (✉)
Department of Biochemistry, University of Pretoria, Pretoria 0002, South Africa
e-mail: anabella.gaspar@up.ac.za

ticks is caused by secretion of a toxin during feeding and can lead to cardiac failure (Mans et al. 2004). The toxin also induces a serious allergic reaction in humans (Howell et al. 1975). No infectious diseases are transmitted by these ticks, although it has been reported that *O. savignyi* caused relapsing fever in humans (Paton and Evans 1929).

Invertebrates only have an innate immune system which is the first line of defense against infections. It is activated by host proteins that recognize conserved surface determinants of pathogens, such as lipopolysaccharide (LPS), lipoteichoic acid (LTA) and peptidoglycan (PGN) from bacteria and β -1,3-glucans from fungi (Medzhitov and Janeway 2002). Both cellular and humoral immune responses are activated to eliminate pathogens (Imler and Hoffmann 2000). In contrast to the well investigated immune response in insects, research into tick innate immunity is rather limited (Sonenshine and Hynes 2008). In ticks, cellular responses include phagocytosis and encapsulation, while humoral responses lead to the secretion of antimicrobial peptides and proteins. Most of the research in this field has focused on the identification and characterization of antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) in various hard tick species and in one soft tick, *O. moubata*.

Antimicrobial peptides and other immune-related proteins are synthesized within the fat bodies or hemocytes and secreted into the plasma. Both mRNA-based and proteomic approaches should be combined to decipher the complexity of innate immunity (Levy et al. 2004), however, proteomic analysis allows the investigation of innate immunity at the protein level, the real mediators of physiological function. Moreover, genomic approaches cannot be used for fluids such as hemolymph. The proteins in the hemolymph of *Drosophila melanogaster* have been extensively researched. The second dimension (2D)-map of hemolymph proteins of *Drosophila* was constructed to serve as a reference database for researchers investigating the changes that occur at protein level in different developmental stages, physiological conditions or after infection (Vierstraete et al. 2003). The 2D-database was updated by de Moraes Guedes et al. (2003). In one of the first studies of this kind, Vierstraete et al. (2004) employing 2D difference gel electrophoresis (DIGE) identified 10 differential proteins that appear in the fruit fly hemolymph very early after immune-challenge with LPS. Using 2D-gel electrophoresis (2DE), Levy et al. (2004) found that more than 70 out of a total of 160 hemolymph proteins from *Drosophila* were modulated by at least fivefold after microbial infection. MS and data bank searches revealed the identity of proteins directly involved in innate immunity such as proteases, protease inhibitors and recognition molecules. Proteins with a potential function in the immune response as well as molecules resulting from the cleavage of proteins were also identified in this study.

Since the first paper was published by Madden et al. (2002) highlighting the complications associated with using proteomics approaches to characterize protein profiles of two related tick species, *Amblyomma americanum* and *A. maculatum*, several papers using the above approach have appeared in the tick field (Untalan et al. 2005; Vennestrøm and Jensen 2007; Oleaga et al. 2007; Francischetti et al. 2008a, b; Rachinsky et al. 2007, 2008; Wickramasekara et al. 2008). Most of these papers described the identification of either salivary gland or larval proteins from a few hard and soft tick species. Only two papers have reported using differential protein expression to identify proteins modulated in *Babesia bovis*-infected *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus* ovaries and midgut (Rachinsky et al. 2007, 2008).

Hemolymph molecules associated with tick immunity that have been described thus far include lysozyme, defensins, histidine-rich peptides, non-cationic peptides as well as an alpha-macroglobulin like glycoprotein (reviewed in Sonenshine and Hynes 2008; Taylor 2006). To date no information on innate immunity has been published for *O. savignyi*.

To our knowledge no proteomics investigations have been performed to identify hemolymph proteins involved in tick innate immunity. This paper is the first report of a differential analysis performed in an attempt to identify hemolymph proteins involved in the immediate defense response of *O. savignyi* to *Candida albicans* challenge. In addition preliminary characterization of hemolymph proteins involved in the recognition and binding of the yeast cells is also described.

Materials and methods

Ticks

Ornithodoros savignyi ticks were collected from the Upington region (Northern Cape, South Africa), by sifting of sand, placed in plastic containers containing sterile sand and kept at room temperature. Adult female ticks were used in all experiments.

Culturing of microorganisms

Candida albicans in pellet form was obtained from the American type culture collection (ATCC catalog no. 90028TM, USA) and cultured according to the supplier's specifications. For long term storage, 20 ml of the yeast culture was aliquoted into Eppendorf tubes (500 µl of yeast each) and 500 µl of 25% glycerol. The tubes were stored at -70°C. For the challenge of ticks with *C. albicans*, an aliquot of the yeast culture was thawed at room temperature and 500 µl of the culture added to 9.5 ml of potato dextrose broth (PDB) and grown overnight at 30°C in a shaking incubator. The culture (1 ml) was then centrifuged at 8,200g for 15 min, the supernatant removed and the pellet reconstituted in 1 ml of sterile saline (0.9% NaCl) to obtain an OD_{600 nm} = 0.25. The suspension was inactivated by boiling at 95°C for 5 min (heat killed).

Challenge of ticks and hemolymph collection

Ticks were immobilized onto Petri-dishes with their ventral sides up using double sided tape. Before inoculation, tick integuments were wiped with ethanol. Ticks were injected into their idiosoma between their second and third coxa using a 10 µl Hamilton micro syringe (Hamilton Co., Reno, Nevada) and a 30 G1/2 sterile needle (Becton–Dickinson and Co.). Hemolymph was collected from ticks by piercing their legs with a needle after they were immobilized as described above. The hemolymph was extracted with a PCR micropipette (1–5 µl, Drummond Scientific Co., USA) and collected into Eppendorf tubes containing either lysis solution or tick bleeding buffer (TBB).

For 2D differential expression experiments, 25 ticks were injected with either 1 µl of sterile saline (aseptic injury control; S2) or heat-killed yeast cells (Y2) and the hemolymph collected after 2 h into a lysis solution containing 8 M urea, 5% (w/v) CHAPS and a mixture of protease inhibitors (Complete, Roche Diagnostics) at 4°C. Hemolymph obtained from 25 non-injected ticks served as the unchallenged control (UN). The samples were centrifuged for 15 min at 8,200g at 4°C and the protein content of the supernatants was determined using the Bradford method (1976).

For the isolation of yeast-binding proteins from hemolymph plasma, 50 ticks were injected with either 1 µl of sterile saline (S2), 5.4 µg β-1,3-glucan (B2) or heat killed yeast

cells (Y2) and the hemolymph collected from the ticks 2 h post challenge. Hemolymph obtained from 50 non-injected ticks served as the unchallenged control (UN). For each of the four experimental conditions, the collected hemolymph (approximately 100 µl) was added to an equal volume of TBB (50 mM sodium hydrogen phosphate, 0.15 mM sodium chloride, 2 mM EDTA, 0.02% sodium azide, 2 mM phenylthiourea, protease inhibitor cocktail, pH 7.0) at 4°C. The hemolymph samples were centrifuged for 15 min at 8,200g (4°C) to obtain plasma samples.

Isolation of yeast-binding proteins from unchallenged and challenged hemolymph plasma samples

The procedure followed was adapted from the method described by Zhu et al. (2005). For saturated cultures, *C. albicans* was grown overnight at 30°C in a shaking incubator. Overnight cultures were washed once with 0.9% NaCl and twice with phosphate buffered saline (PBS). Cells were sedimented by centrifugation at 12,000g for 2 min after each wash. The washed cells were re-suspended in a small volume of PBS (1/4 of original volume) and incubated with the unchallenged or challenged hemolymph samples. As a control, yeast cells were incubated with TBB alone. After incubation of samples with rotation for 10 min, the yeast cells were pelleted by centrifugation for 2 min at 12,000g and washed twice with PBS. Bound proteins were eluted with 25 µl 0.15 M triethylamine, pH 11.5. The cells were pelleted and the eluted proteins were concentrated with acetone precipitation (one part protein: four parts acetone) and re-suspended in 10 µl first-dimensional electrophoresis (1DE) reducing buffer (0.06 M Tris, pH 6.8, 2% SDS, 0.1% glycerol, 0.05% β-mercaptoethanol and 0.025% bromophenol blue). Samples were analyzed by 1DE according to the method described by Laemmli (1970) using 12.5% polyacrylamide gels. Controls representing total hemolymph proteins (HL) and total yeast proteins (YC) were included. For the total hemolymph protein control, unchallenged hemolymph was used, while for the yeast protein control, heat killed yeast cells were sonified (Branson Sonic Power Company, USA), centrifuged at 8,200g for 15 min and the collected supernatant used for analysis. Electrophoresed proteins were detected by staining gels either with MS-compatible silver stain (Yan et al. 2000) or colloidal Coomassie G-250 (Candiano et al. 2004) for MS/MS analysis.

2DE: Isoelectric focusing

Hemolymph sample volumes were adjusted in order to analyze the same amount of protein (60 µg) for each set of experiments. Each sample was applied by including it in the rehydration solution [8 M urea, 0.5% (w/v) CHAPS, 0.125% (v/v) IPG buffer]. DTT was added to the rehydration solution prior to use to give a final concentration of 18 mM. Rehydration of 7 cm immobilized pH gradient strips (IPG-strips; non-linear pH gradient 3–10) and Isoelectric focusing (IEF) were performed at 20°C using the Ettan IPGphor II system (Amersham Bioscience). After rehydration for 12 h, IEF was performed at 100 V for 30 min, 500 V for 30 min, 1,000 V for 30 min and 5,000 V for 1 h 40 min. The current was limited to 50 µA/IPG strip.

In a separate experiment, 60 µg of the 2D protein standards: conalbumin (MW = 76 kDa, pI 6.6), albumin (MW = 66 kDa, pI 5.5), actin (MW = 43 kDa, pI 5.1), glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (MW = 36 kDa, pI 8.8), carbonic anhydrase (MW = 31 kDa, pI 5.9), trypsin inhibitor (MW = 21.5 kDa, pI 4.5) and myoglobin (MW = 17.5 kDa, pI 7.0) were loaded onto an IPG strip as described above.

2DE: SDS-PAGE and image analysis

Focused IPG strips were subjected to reduction/alkylation prior to second dimensional electrophoresis. Strips were incubated for 15 min in an equilibration buffer containing 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.8), 6 M Urea, 2% (w/v) SDS and 1% (w/v) DTT. The incubation was repeated for another 20 min with the same solution substituting the DTT with 2.5% (w/v) iodoacetamide. 2DE was carried out on 12.5% gels using a Hoefer mini vertical electrophoresis system (miniVE) at 60 V for 30 min and then 120 V until the bromophenol-blue front reached the bottom of the gel. Thereafter, the gels were placed into a fixing solution containing 30% ethanol, 10% acetic acid, before staining. The spots were detected with Flamingo fluorescent stain (BioRad) according to the manufacturer's instructions for quantitative analysis.

Each experimental condition was repeated four times. The 2D gels were scanned with a Pharos FX Plus Molecular imager (BIORAD). The four biological repeats were used to produce a master image for each of the three experimental conditions. Spots that were present in two or more of the gels were included in the respective master images. The master images obtained for the challenged hemolymph (aseptic injury and yeast-injected) were compared with the master image obtained for unchallenged hemolymph. Generation of the master images as well as statistical analysis of the modulated spots was performed using PDQuest™ software. Differentially expressed spots were analyzed using the Student's *t*-test ($p < 0.05$).

In-gel tryptic digestion of proteins

Selected protein spots or bands were excised from gels for MS/MS analysis at the University of York (UK). Briefly, the gel pieces were washed twice with 50% (v:v) aqueous acetonitrile containing 25 mM ammonium bicarbonate, then once with acetonitrile and dried in a vacuum concentrator for 20 min. Sequencing-grade, modified porcine trypsin (Promega) was dissolved in the 50 mM acetic acid supplied by the manufacturer, then diluted fivefold by adding 25 mM ammonium bicarbonate to give a final trypsin concentration of 0.01 $\mu\text{g}/\mu\text{l}$. The gel pieces were rehydrated with 10 μl of trypsin solution and covered with 25 mM ammonium bicarbonate solution for 30 min. These digests were incubated overnight at 37°C.

Matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF/TOF) and database searches

For the MS analysis 1 μl aliquot of each fraction was applied to the ground steel MALDI target plate. An equal volume of freshly prepared 5 mg/ml 4-hydroxy- α -cyano-cinnamic acid [Sigma, in 50% aqueous (v:v), acetonitrile containing 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid (v:v)] was then added to the fractions. A positive-ion MALDI-MS spectra was obtained (Bruker Ultraflex III in reflectron mode, equipped with a Nd:YAG smart beam laser) and the MS spectra were acquired over a m/z range of 800–4,000. The final mass spectra were externally calibrated against an adjacent spot containing six peptides (des-Arg¹-bradykinin, 904.681; angiotensin I, 1,296.685; Glu¹-fibrinopeptide B, 1,750.677; ACTH (1–17 clip), 2,093.086; ACTH (18–39 clip), 2,465.198; ACTH (7–38 clip), 3,657.929.). Monoisotopic masses were obtained using a SNAP averagine algorithm (C 4.9384, N 1.3577, O 1.4773, S 0.0417, H 7.7583) and a S/N threshold of 2.

The 10 strongest peaks of interest for each spot were then selected for MS/MS fragmentation (signal to noise ratio greater than 10). The fragmentation was performed without the introduction of a collision gas. A default calibration was used for the MS/MS spectra, which were first baseline-subtracted and smoothed (Savitsky-Golay, width 0.15 m/z, cycles 4); monoisotopic peak detection used a SNAP averagine algorithm (C 4.9384, N 1.3577, O 1.4773, S 0.0417, H 7.7583) with a minimum S/N of 3. Bruker FlexAnalysis software was used to perform the spectral processing and peak list generation for both the MS and MS/MS spectra.

MS/MS ion searches of the NCBI database were performed using a locally-running copy of the Mascot program (Matrix Science Ltd., version 2.1), through the Bruker BioTools interface (version 3.1). Search criteria included: carbamidomethyl (C) as a fixed modification for all alkylated samples, enzyme, trypsin; variable modifications, oxidation (M); peptide tolerance, 200 ppm; MS/MS tolerance, 0.8 Da; instrument, MALDI-TOF-TOF.

For the de novo sequence interpretations from the tandem mass spectra PredictSequence routine (BioMultiview 1.4 software, MDS Sciex) was used. The complete and partial peptide sequences obtained were then searched with MS BLAST using PAM30 matrix and the nrdb95 database (NCBI). The search was performed with the WU-BLAST2 program (Gish W (1996–1999) <http://blast.wustl.edu>) on an EMBL server <http://dove.embl-heidelberg.de/Blast2/>.

Additionally de novo sequences were compared with virtual translations of the sequences deposited in the current tick EST databases at the Gen Index databases (<http://compbio.dfci.harvard.edu/tgi/>), namely, *A. variegatum*, *R. microplus*, *Ixodus scapularis* and *Rhipicephalus appendiculatus* using the tBLASTN program.

Results and discussion

Comparative 2D-gel analysis of hemolymph proteins

Previous 1DE of hemolymph plasma proteins (results not shown) indicated that the most abundant proteins have molecular weights above 76 kDa, while fewer proteins were observed in the molecular weight range of 14–66 kDa. The fact that large (~200 kDa) proteins, hydrophobic proteins and basic ($\text{pI} > 8$) proteins can all precipitate during IEF and are poorly resolved by 2DE (Yarmush and Jayaraman 2002) may explain why protein spots >76 kDa were absent from 2D gels. In this study the 2D comparative analysis was thus restricted to proteins in the molecular weight range of 17.5–76 kDa.

To determine which proteins are differentially expressed in the hemolymph, the profile obtained for unchallenged hemolymph (UN) was compared to the profiles obtained for ticks injected with saline (S2) or heat killed *C. albicans* (Y2), respectively. Differentially expressed spots ($P < 0.05$) are indicated on the 2DE master images (Fig. 1) as determined by PDQuest. Two proteins were found to be down-regulated in the saline control, while four protein spots were up-regulated and one protein spot down-regulated in the hemolymph of ticks challenged with yeast (Table 1). The modulated proteins are all acidic proteins (pI 4.8–6.4) and their molecular weights range from 22 to 64 kDa. The proteins modulated by yeast challenge can be assigned to the immune response as they were not induced after aseptic injury.

It was previously observed that inoculation of *O. savignyi* ticks with either heat killed gram-positive or gram-negative bacteria increased the gram-positive antibacterial activity in the hemolymph up to 2 h after which the activity decreased (results not shown). Based on the




Fig. 1 Comparative 2D-gel analysis of hemolymph proteins. Comparison of the master image obtained for hemolymph proteins from unchallenged ticks with **(a)** the image for proteins from saline-injected ticks and **(b)** the image for proteins from yeast injected ticks. Each experimental condition was repeated four times. The four biological repeats of each experimental condition were used to produce a master image and this was compared to the master image from the four biological repeats obtained from unchallenged ticks, respectively. Spots that are present in two or more of the gels are included in the master image. The numbered dark spots are differentially expressed ($P < 0.05$) as determined by PDQuest software

Table 1 Summary of differentially expressed spots

	Unchallenged vs. challenged	Up-regulated spots	Down-regulated spots	pI ^a	MM (kDa) ^a
Saline		1		5.4	54
		2		6.2	54
Yeast	3			4.9	53
	4			4.8	35
			5	5.2	53
	6			6.0	22
	7			6.4	64

^a Experimental values

above observation hemolymph was collected 2 h post challenge as hemolymph proteome changes would identify proteins involved in the tick's immediate response to challenge before the induction of biosynthesis of defense peptides and proteins. The five modulated proteins analyzed in this study are most likely involved in the very first line of the tick's defense against yeast. The four up-regulated proteins are probably released into the hemolymph plasma from storage sites in either hemocytes or from the fat body. The decrease in the level of the one protein suggests that due to its involvement in the immediate response it is rapidly depleted. In a differential proteomic analysis of *Drosophila* hemolymph, Levy et al. (2004) found that at least 70 proteins were up- or down-regulated after a bacterial or fungal challenge. These studies were performed on hemolymph collected 6 and 72 h, post bacterial and fungal challenge, respectively. Maximum alterations in protein expression occurred at these selected time points. However, similar to the results obtained in our study, Vierstraete et al. (2004) identified only 10 differentially expressed proteins in *Drosophila* hemolymph

25 min after challenge with LPS, suggesting that these proteins are involved in the very early defense response of the fruit fly.

A total of five spots (no 3–7, Fig. 1b) were subjected to MS/MS analysis. Peptide mass fingerprint searches were not performed, instead the MS/MS ion spectra data obtained for each protein were used to search for homologous proteins using MASCOT software. The results (Table 2) show that MS/MS ion searches failed to positively identify any of the five modulated proteins. For proteins not available in databases, it is necessary to determine partial or complete amino acid sequences (Shevchenko et al. 2001; Samyn et al. 2006). De novo sequencing based on MS/MS data provided amino acid sequence data for the proteins (Table 3). BLAST searching of the NCBI database with these sequences also failed to identify these proteins. For instance, in the case of spot 3, each of the four derived amino acid sequences for this protein matched a different protein in the database. No significant alignments were observed between the deduced peptide sequences of the soft tick, *O. savignyi*, and the sequences in the tick EST databases. The fact that the proteins in this study do not match the sequences deposited in databases for salivary gland proteins of *O. parkeri* and *O. coriaceus* (Francischetti et al. 2008a, b), suggests that these proteins are most likely not expressed in salivary glands. The limited number of tick sequences available in databases is a serious drawback to the identification of tick proteins using proteomic approaches. Rachinsky et al. (2007) investigated the proteins that were differentially expressed in ovarian tissues collected from *Babesia bovis*-infected and uninfected *R. microplus* and could only identify 19 of the 43 differentially expressed proteins. Similarly, Oleaga et al. (2007) could only identify 27 spots out a total of 97 analyzed in the salivary glands of *O. moubata* and *O. erraticus*.

In this study 7-cm strips were employed because hemolymph samples were extremely limited in quantity. A higher protein load using longer strips would have resulted in more spots and could have improved protein identification. Another point worth mentioning is the presence of high-abundance proteins of high molecular weight in hemolymph samples which prevented optimal focusing and limited loading capacity of low-abundance proteins. In an attempt to remove high-abundance proteins initial hemolymph sample preparation included filtration of samples through a size-exclusion filter (cut-off, 100 kDa). 1DE analysis of the filtrate revealed that the filter excluded all proteins of molecular weight above 30 kDa (results not shown). This additional sample preparation step was thus omitted as it resulted in substantial protein loss and was unsuitable for enriching the proteins of interest.

Yeast-binding proteins from hemolymph

Due to the limitations associated with 2DE, alternative approaches are required to detect additional hemolymph proteins involved in the immune response. *C. albicans* cells were used as affinity beads to adsorb proteins from the hemolymph plasma. The strategy used in our study was based on the method described by Zhu et al. (2005) who were able to identify a functional homolog of vertebrate complement 3 in the hemolymph of the horseshoe crab, *Carcinoscorpius rotundicauda*, using gram-positive *Staphylococcus aureus*. Using a similar strategy Koizumi et al. (1997) isolated a protein involved in the clearance of *E. coli* from the larval hemolymph of the silkworm *Bombyx mori*.

In these experiments, yeast cells were incubated with UN and with hemolymph collected from ticks 2 h after injection with saline (S2) or β -1,3-glucan (B2) or heat killed yeast (Y2). In each case the bound proteins were eluted from the yeast cells with triethylamine, pH 11.5, and analyzed with 1DE (Fig. 2). The results showed that several proteins

Table 2 MS/MS ion search results for proteins modulated by yeast challenge

Spot	Hit	Protein ^a	Accession number	Peptide sequence ^b	Observed mass (M + H+)/Da	Theoretical mass (M)/Da	Mass error/ ^c ppm	Mowse score	Expect score
3	1	Conserved hypothetical protein (<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>)	gi 187939647	R.NHAIADAVLLSGDEDV.R.V	1,794.8259	1,793.8187	-40.20	25	22
	2	Phytanol-CoA dihydrogenase (<i>Solibacter usitatus</i> Ellin6076)	gi 116622764	M.SNFPSAEDLHR.V	1,385.7280	1,384.7208	34.1	25	32
3	3	Methylase, putative (<i>Chlorobium tepidum</i> TLS)	gi 21673792	-MQIHAGRYR.G + Oxidation (M)	1,147.5603	1,146.5530	-16.25	18	180
	4	General secretion pathway protein K (<i>Desulfuromonas acetoides DSM 684</i>)	gi 95931262	M.SVFFAKKPLGNER.G	1,492.7182	1,491.7109	-72.98	18	150
4	1	Cantactin-3 precursor (Brain-derived immunoglobulin superfamily protein 1, BIG-1) Plasmacytoma-associated neuronal glycoprotein (<i>Monodelphis domestica</i>)	gi 126336353	R.EPSNNIFPVGSEEK.K	1,546.7206	1,545.7133	-11.50	24	32
	2	Hypothetical protein FBALC1_16342 (<i>Flavobacteriales bacterium ALC-1</i>)	gi 163786772	K.HLEPETSFR.S	1,115.6164	1,114.6091	61.3	25	35
	3	Sensor histidine kinase, putative (<i>Oceanibulbus indolifex HEL-4.5</i>)	gi 163746438	R.RDEATRPFSSRL	1,234.6850	1,233.6777	45.7	18	150
	4	Hypothetical protein PFL_4711 (<i>Pseudomonas fluorescens Pf-5</i>)	gi 70732040	K.ALMLNRMLITGSR.E	1,475.7624	1,474.7551	-38.02	18	140
5	1	To-component sensor histidine kinase (<i>Bacillus cereus</i> B264)	gi 168134092	R.HEDRILVK.R	1,122.6266	1,121.6193	-32.41	38	1.4
	2	Unnamed protein product (<i>Tetradon nigroviridis</i>)	gi 47226843	R.HELILGYDR.V	1,115.6024	1,114.5952	16.2	28	16
	3	Polyphosphate kinase (<i>unidentified Eubacterium SCB49</i>)	gi 49371389	K.TNYALIEIPRS	1,189.6152	1,188.6079	-35.58	28	19
	4	Hypothetical protein, conserved in <i>Plasmodium</i> species (<i>Plasmodium knowlesi</i> strain <i>H</i>)	gi 93807613	K.MVNLYMKLLDR.E + 2 Oxidation (M)	1,491.7352	1,490.7279	1.20	28	28

Table 2 continued

Spot	Hit	Protein ^a	Accession number	Peptide sequence ^b	Observed mass (M + H+)/Da	Theoretical mass (M)/Da	Mass error/ ppm	Mowse score	Expect score
6	1	Predicted protein (<i>Aspergillus terreus</i> <i>NH2624</i>)	gi 15388339	R.LHELYPGMR.Q	1,115.6256	1,114.6183	52.9	32	7.1
	2	Thiamine monophosphate kinase (<i>Idiomarina loihensis</i> L2TR)	gi 56461240	R.LHYPTPR.V	883.4456	882.4383	-37.26	29	10
	3	Hypothetical protein BPSS0216 (<i>Burkholderia pseudomallei</i> K96243)	gi 53721251	R.LLVGQAQPGR.A	910.5931	909.5859	50.9	25	10
	4	Katanin p60 subunit A-like 1 (<i>Gallus gallus</i>)	gi 50730993	R.KESPGLQPR.G	1,011.5299	1,010.5226	-27.93	25	35
7	1	Conserved hypothetical protein (<i>Comamonas testosteroni</i> KF-1)	gi 18053819	R.DLLVQDVINMMER.H	1,575.8525	1,574.8452	41.6	37	1.6
	2	Iron permease FTR1 (<i>Exiguobacterium</i> <i>sibiricum</i> 255-15)	gi 172057621	R.QTGLAMINLAGRS.+ Oxidation (M)	1,147.5370	1,146.5298	-45.16	36	2.6
	3	Hypoxia associated factor (<i>Tribolium</i> <i>castaneum</i>)	gi 91090962	R.YTGPIMEFKE.E	1,085.5952	1,084.5879	56.8	30	9.4
	4	Hypothetical protein LOC780085 (<i>Xenopus tropicalis</i>)	gi 18404668	KSTMERNLVLLEER.S.+ Oxidation (M)	1,492.6934	1,491.6861	-32.85	30	8.8

^a Protein to which the peptide sequence was matched with MASCOT^b Sequence information obtained for matched peptide from tandem mass spectrometry as determined by searching with MASCOT

Table 3 De novo sequence results for proteins modulated by yeast challenge

Spot no	Hit	Score ^a	Accession number	Peptide sequence ^b	Protein name ^c
3	1	110	gil89200423 reflZP_01179176.1I	451 DTFRPHIWN 461	Membrane bound O-acyl transferase, MBOAT (<i>Bacillus cereus</i> subsp.)
	2	108	gil118789505 reflXP_317464.3I	225 WDMFVQDV 234	ENSANGP00000012356 (<i>Anopheles gambiae</i> str. PEST)
	3	106	gil108760113 reflYP_633239.1I	48 FTWTASPPR 56	Putative phosphomethylpyrimidine kinase (<i>Myxococcus xanthus</i>)
	4	90	gil473161 emb CAA81555.1I	178 TTWRSST 184	Microneme antigen (<i>Sarcocystis muris</i>)
4	1	99	gil125806480 reflXP_001346220.1I	572 YHNYNDNYYC 581	Hypothetical protein (<i>Danio rerio</i>)
	2	96	gil125846263 reflXP_001342573.1I	86 YYYYDNNYDY 95	Hypothetical protein (<i>Danio rerio</i>)
	3	88	gil124802919 reflXP_001347634.1I	318 SDAEHYND 325	Hypothetical protein PF10_0350 (<i>Plasmodium falciparum</i> 3D7)
	4	88	gil110800410 reflYP_695004.1I	105 SNWYY 110	Cell wall binding repeat domain protein (<i>Clostridium perfringens</i>)
5	1	127	gil115609728 reflXP_001185319.1I	155 EGHLVV 164	Similar to ankyrin 2.3/unc44 (<i>Strongylocentrotus purpuratus</i>)
	2	95	gil57238755 reflYP_179891.1I	214 AHDIEHAV 221	Hypothetical protein Erum0250 (<i>Ehrlichia ruminantium</i> str)
	3	93	gil118752713 reflZP_01600528.1I	96 EGHMDVEAML 105	Conserved hypothetical protein (<i>Metallosphaera sedula</i>)
6	1	73	gil47496945 dbj BAD20015.1I	287 AGDEQCRRW 297	Hypothetical protein [<i>Oryza sativa</i> (japonica cultivar-group)]
	2	69	gil88806673 reflZP_01122190.1I	1 MNYRRNTNPTGI 11	Hypothetical protein RB2501_01336 (<i>Robiginitalea biformata</i>)
	3	68	gil119356379 reflYP_911023.1I	479 NRIGYKRLLGSGV 491	ABC-type branched-chain amino acid transport systems periplasmic component-like (<i>Chlorobium phaeobacteroides</i>)
7	1	112	gil70950671 reflXP_744639.1I	121 SFVTVIMPYLT 134	Hypothetical protein (<i>Mus musculus</i>)
	2	75	gil94369673 reflXP_996645.1I	45 YTTLMDIM 57	Hypothetical protein PC103780.00.0 (<i>Plasmodium chabaudi chabaudi</i>)

^a Score (high scoring pair, HSP) as determined by BLAST, using sequences obtained from de novo sequencing. Matches higher than 64 are considered significant

^b Peptide sequence of the de novo data (only sequences with highest scores are given). The numbers give the residues to which the query sequence matched to the protein sequence in the database

^c Identity of the proteins to which the de novo sequences were matched

present in unchallenged hemolymph as well as hemolymph collected from both injured and yeast-challenged ticks bound to the yeast cells. These proteins were not present when yeast cells were incubated with buffer alone, confirming that the eluted proteins were of hemolymph origin. The 97 and 88 kDa proteins correspond to high-abundance proteins present in the hemolymph plasma control and may be binding to yeast non-specifically. However, the 26 kDa protein showed a clear differential pattern and appeared mainly in the hemolymph fraction collected after β -1,3-glucan injection. For these experiments, hemolymph was collected directly into TBB followed by centrifugation to remove the hemocytes. It can thus be assumed that the plasma samples prepared in this manner represent mainly plasma proteins as lysis of hemocytes was prevented. One can speculate




Fig. 2 1D-gel analysis of hemolymph proteins bound to *Candida albicans*. Yeast cells were incubated with only buffer (C), unchallenged hemolymph (UN) or hemolymph collected 2 h after injecting ticks with either saline (S2), β -1,3-glucan (B2) or heat-killed yeast (Y2). Eluted proteins were precipitated with acetone, re-suspended and analyzed on a 12.5% gel. In each case 10 μ l of protein was loaded and visualized by silver staining. Controls representing total hemolymph plasma proteins (HL) and total yeast proteins (YC) were included. The molecular masses of the standard proteins are on the left

that these proteins may play an important role in the recognition and removal of yeast cells in tick plasma. They are possibly pattern-recognition proteins that specifically bind to β -1,3-glucans, conserved surface determinants of fungi. Similar experiments using gram-negative *E. coli* as affinity beads resulted in the analysis of two different high molecular weight bacteria-binding proteins from tick hemolymph (results not shown). However, these gram-negative binding proteins were only detected in hemolymph after either aseptic or bacterial challenge. These preliminary findings suggest that tick hemolymph plasma contains different proteins involved in pathogen recognition, some being permanently present while others are released following either aseptic or septic activation of the immune response.

For their identification, the experiment was repeated and the three proteins (97, 88 and 26 kDa) were excised from a colloidal Coomassie stained 1DE gel and subjected to MS/MS analysis. MASCOT searches using the MS/MS ion spectra (Table 4) as well as BLAST searches using derived *de novo* sequences (Table 5), failed to positively identify any of the *C. albicans* binding proteins.

Conclusion

This study enabled the analysis of hemolymph proteins involved in the immediate immune response of the tick when challenged with *C. albicans*. The proteins analyzed by differential expression are released from their storage sites and are most likely involved in the very first line of the tick's defense against yeast. These proteins can be assigned to the immune response as they were not induced after aseptic injury. Using the yeast cells as affinity beads allowed the analysis of additional hemolymph proteins involved in the yeast-

Table 4 MS/MS ion search results for *Candida albicans* binding proteins

Protein band	Hit Protein ^a	Accession number	Peptide sequence ^b	Observed mass (M + H+)/Da	Theoretical mass (M)/Da	Mowse score	Expect score
1	1 Peptidase M48, Sce24p [Burkholderia sp. 383] 2 Hypothetical protein Bxe_A4392 [Burkholderia xenovorans LB400]	gil78067922 gil91781454	R.LPDMLMPYAK.A R.LPDMLMPYAK.A	1,176.6140 1,176.6140	1,175.6067 1,175.6067	56 54	0.025 0.035
3	Gamma-hydroxybutyrate,2-oxoglutarate dioxygenase, putative [uncultured bacterium 578]	gil40063312	R.LVSELVAYR.A	1,049.6051	1,048.5978	51	0.06
4	Cytochrome P450 monooxygenase [Noocardia farcinica IFM 10152]	gil54026491	R.LVSELVMSR.S	1,049.6051	1,048.5978	51	0.06
2	1 Similar to Kaitanin p60 subunit A-like 1 [<i>Gallus gallus</i>] 2 vacJ lipoprotein [<i>Methyllococcus capsulatus</i> str. Bath] 3 hypothetical protein Bpse1_03001421 [Burkholderia <i>pseudomallei</i> 16551]	gil50730993 gil53803895 gil99912487	R.KESPGLQPR.G K.QEGPEAGAPR.T K.KADSLPKPR.Y	1,011.4938 1,011.4938 1,011.4938	1,010.4865 1,010.4865 1,010.4865	40 40 40	0.79 0.79 0.79
3	4 NT02FT1147 [synthetic construct] 1 Hypothetical protein LOC755239 2 Hypothetical protein Bd2369 3 Hypothetical protein MGG_13328 4 Predicted protein	gil54114569 gil148233280 gil42523815 gil45609013 gil156384031	R.IHEILTTAVVK.A R.TPTISKER.T K.TPVDSKER.N R.TPLTSQERA .MAARLPTKA	1,122.6151 931.5029 931.5029 931.5029 903.5832	1,121.6078 930.4956 930.4956 930.4956 902.5759	39 45 44 43 41	0.92 0.36 0.44 0.58 0.39

^a Protein to which the peptide sequence was matched with MASCOT^b Sequence information obtained for matched peptide from tandem mass spectrometry as determined by searching with MASCOT

Table 5 De novo sequence results for *Candida albicans* binding proteins

Band	Hit	Score ^a	Accession number	Peptide sequence ^b	Protein name ^c
1	1	107 (70 + 37)	trembl AACACY014845881A ACY01484588_1	69 DYFVRMTINVKVAFL 82 120 FLWVY 124	Unknown
	2	72	sprembl Q9FOC0 Q9FOC0	144 KPTFCWNNSPFLHP 156	Beta(1,3)galactosyltransferase (<i>Lactobacillus delbreuckii</i>)
	3	70	sprembl Q62LL9 Q62LL9	26 ACTDIPNNIAANMIP 40	N-formy glutamate amidohydrolase (EC3.5.1.68) (<i>Burkholderia Mallei</i>)
	4	69	sprembl Q7UJE7 Q7UJE7	185 MEYLFWDER 193	Hypothetical protein // :sprembl Q7UJE7 Q7UJE7_RHOBA
	5	67	trembl X04942 HSTCR A08_1	51 ELF FWY VNY AR 60	Human mRNA for T-cell receptor alpha-chain HAVP36
	6	67	trembl Z47370 HSXPFI0A2_1	46 ELF FWY VNY AR 55	TCRAV9SI"; product: "T-cell receptor alpha-chain V-region (V-J-C)
2	1	69	sprembl Q8SGS638 Q8SGS638	42 WRWFGLHG 49	Hypothetical protein OSINBa0004P12.8 (<i>Oryza sativa</i> (japonica cultivar-group))
	2	69	sprembl O32454 O32454	29 WPELFDEY 36	ORF //:sprembl O32454 O32454_9ACTO ORF (<i>Actinomadura hibisci</i>)
	3	68	trembl AACACY01070275 AACACY01070275_2	4 YLFVKLLHVLF 14	Unknown
	4	65	trembl AAEK01000004 AAEK01000004_8	34 VHKDVEDFE 42	Conserved hypothetical protein (<i>Bacillus cereus</i>)
	5	65	trembl AAEK01000004 AAEK01000004_173	21 VHKDVEDFE 29	Conserved hypothetical protein (<i>Bacillus cereus</i>)
	6	65	swiss Q9Z5C9 NRDI_STAAM	42 LVTGHIGFGE VEE 54	NrdI protein //:sprembl Q6GBA0 Q6GBA0 Hypothetical protein (<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>)
	7	64	sprembl Q9LP94 Q9LP94	73 SEVIFDEYYR 82	T32E20.26.//:sprembl Q9LP94 Q9LP94_- ARATH T32E20.26. (<i>Arabidopsis thaliana</i>)

Table 5 continued

Band	Hit	Score ^a	Accession number	Peptide sequence ^b	Protein name ^c
3	1	71	trembl AACY01071833 AACY01071833_2	373 AGIEFNTIVGAHIRK 387	Unknown
	2	70	sptrembl Q61M93 Q61M93_CAEBR	1026 YLAKLGTVEH 1035	Hypothetical protein CBG08584
	3	69	sptrembl Q5WNK3 Q5WNK3_CAEBR	232 IEYTAFPAV 240	Hypothetical protein CBG07969
	4	67	trembl AACY01759246 AACY01759246_1	213 GRLPNTLVATLK 224	Unknown
	5	67	sptrembl Q83YL6 Q83YL6	137 TAEADITHR 145	Putative transposase (<i>Mycobacterium fortuitum</i>)
	6	66	trembl AACY01718843 AACY01718843_1	243 KELFNTLVGAHLK 255	Unknown

^a Score (high scoring pair, HSP) as determined by BLAST, using sequences obtained from de novo sequencing. Matches higher than 64 are considered significant^b Peptide sequence of the de novo data (only sequences with highest scores are given). The numbers give the residues to which the query sequenced matched to the protein sequence in the database^c Identity of the proteins to which the de novo sequences were matched

response, not detected with differential expression analysis. For these investigations a combination of strategies is therefore necessary in order to obtain the maximum amount of information on the proteins involved in a process as complex as innate immunity.

None of the proteins analyzed in this study could be identified. The limited number of tick protein sequences available in databases is a drawback to the identification of tick hemolymph proteins using a proteomics approach. However, the number of sequence information is rapidly growing and the MS/MS data as well as de novo sequences obtained for these proteins may be archived and used to search databases in the future. Until then, de novo peptide sequences may be used for the design of degenerate primers for cloning of the genes of the corresponding proteins by a PCR-based approach. RNA interference studies can be performed to investigate the functional role of these proteins in tick innate immunity. Such investigations are in progress.

In conclusion, results obtained from these and similar studies will lead to a better understanding of tick innate immunity. Moreover, information obtained from structural and functional characterization of tick proteins involved in pathogen defence may be applied in future for the design of novel anti-infective agents.

Acknowledgments We acknowledge the National Research Foundation of South Africa and the University of Pretoria for financial support. We thank the University of York, Department of Biology for MS/MS analysis of proteins as well as C. Gains from the University of York for her assistance in the interpretation of the MS/MS data.

References

- Bradford MM (1976) A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. *Anal Biochem* 72:248–254
- Candiano G, Bruschi M, Musante L, Santucci L, Ghiglieri GM, Carnemolla B, Orecchia P, Zardi L, Righetti PG (2004) Blue silver: a very sensitive colloidal Coomassie G-250 staining for proteome analysis. *Electrophoresis* 25:1327–1333. doi:[10.1002/elps.2002305844](https://doi.org/10.1002/elps.2002305844)
- De Moraes Guedes S, Vitorino R, Torner K, Domingues MRM, Correia AJF, Amado F, Domingues P (2003) *Drosophila melanogaster* larval hemolymph protein mapping. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 312:545–554. doi:[10.1016/j.bbrc.2003.10.156](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2003.10.156)
- Estrada-Pena A, Jongejan F (1999) Ticks feeding on humans: a review of records on human-biting *Ixodidae* with special reference to pathogen transmission. *Exp Appl Acarol* 23:685–715. doi:[10.1023/A:1006241108739](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1006241108739)
- Francischetti IBM, Meng Z, Mans BJ, Guderra N, Hall M, Veenstra TD, Pham VM, Kotsyfakis M, Ribeiro JMC (2008a) An insight into the salivary transcriptome and proteome of the soft tick and vector of epizootic bovine abortion, *Ornithodoros coriaceus*. *J Proteomics* 71:493–512. doi:[10.1016/j.jprot.2008.07.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jprot.2008.07.006)
- Francischetti IBM, Mans BJ, Meng Z, Guderra N, Veenstra TD, Pham VM, Ribeiro JMC (2008b) An insight into the sialome of the soft tick, *Ornithodoros parkeri*. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* 38:1–21. doi:[10.1016/j.ibmb.2007.09.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2007.09.009)
- Howell CJ, Neitz AWH, Potgieter DJJ (1975) Some toxic and chemical properties of the oral secretion of the sand tampan, *Ornithodoros savignyi* Audouin (1825). *Onderstepoort J Vet Res* 43:99–102 PMID:1196584
- Imler JL, Hoffmann JA (2000) Signaling mechanisms in the antimicrobial host defence of *Drosophila*. *Curr Opin Microbiol* 3:16–22. doi:[10.1010/S1369-5274\(99\)00045-4](https://doi.org/10.1010/S1369-5274(99)00045-4)
- Koizumi N, Morozumi A, Imamura M, Tanaka E, Iwahana H, Sato R (1997) Lipopolysaccharide-binding proteins and their involvement in the bacterial clearance from the hemolymph of the silkworm *Bombyx mori*. *Eur J Biochem* 248:217–224. doi:[10.1111/j.1432-1033.1997.ta-1-00217.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1432-1033.1997.ta-1-00217.x)
- Laemmli UK (1970) Cleavage of structural proteins during the assembly of the head of bacteriophage T4. *Nature* 227:680–685 PMID:5432063
- Levy F, Bulet P, Ehret-Sabatier L (2004) Proteomic analysis of the systemic immune response of *Drosophila*. *Mol Cell Proteomics* 3:156–166. doi:[10.1074/MCP.M300114-MCP200](https://doi.org/10.1074/MCP.M300114-MCP200)

- Madden RD, Sauer JF, Dillwith JW (2002) A proteomics approach to characterizing tick salivary secretions. *Exp Appl Acarol* 28:77–87. doi:[10.1023/B:APPA.0000018241.81636.91](https://doi.org/10.1023/B:APPA.0000018241.81636.91)
- Mans BJ, Neitz AWH (2003) Adaptation of ticks to a blood-feeding environment: evolution from a functional perspective. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* 34:1–17. doi:[10.1016/j.ibmb.2003.09.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2003.09.002)
- Mans BJ, Venter JD, Coons LB, Louw AI, Neitz AWH (2004) A reassessment of argasid tick salivary gland ultrastructure from an immuno-cytochemical perspective. *Exp Appl Acarol* 33:119–129. doi:[10.1023/B:APPA.0000030012.47964.b3](https://doi.org/10.1023/B:APPA.0000030012.47964.b3)
- Medzhitov R, Janeway Jnr CA (2002) Decoding the patterns of self and nonself by the innate immune system. *Science* 296:298–300. doi:[10.1126/science.1068883](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1068883)
- Oleaga A, Escudero-Poblacion A, Camafeita E, Perez-Sanchez R (2007) A proteomic approach to the identification of salivary proteins from the argasid ticks *Ornithodoros moubata* and *Ornithodoros erraticus*. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* 37:1149–1159. doi:[10.1016/j.ibmb.2007.07.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2007.07.003)
- Paton WS, Evans AM (1929) Insects, ticks, mites and venomous animals of medical and veterinary importance, Part 1. HR Grubb LTD. Croydon Great Britain, pp 628–630. doi:[10.1016/j.ibmb.2007.07.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2007.07.003)
- Rachinsky A, Guerro FD, Scoles GA (2007) Differential protein expression in ovaries of uninfected and *Babesia*-infected southern cattle ticks, *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus*. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* 37:1291–1308. doi:[10.1016/j.ibmb.2007.08.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2007.08.007)
- Rachinsky A, Guerro FD, Scoles GA (2008) Proteomic profiling of *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus* midgut response to infection with *Babesia bovis*. *Vet Parasitol* 152:294–313. doi:[10.1016/j.vetpar.2007.12.027](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2007.12.027)
- Samyn B, Sergeant K, Memmi S, Debysen G, Devreese B, Van Beeumen J (2006) MALDI-TOF/TOF *de novo* sequence analysis of 2-D PAGE-separated proteins from *Halirhodospira halophila*, a bacterium with unsequenced genome. *Electrophoresis* 27:2702–2711. doi:[10.1002/elps.200500959](https://doi.org/10.1002/elps.200500959)
- Shevchenko A, Sunyaev S, Loboda A, Shevchenko A, Bork P, Ens W, Standing KG (2001) Charting the proteomes of organisms with unsequenced genomes by MALDI-Quadropole Time-of-Flight Mass Spectrometry and BLAST homology searching. *Anal Chem* 73:1917–1926
- Sonenshine DE, Hynes WL (2008) Molecular characterization and related aspects of the innate immune response in ticks. *Front Biosci* 13:7046–7063 PMID:18508715
- Taylor D (2006) Innate immunity in ticks: a review. *J Acarol Soc Jpn* 15(2):109–127. ISSN:0918-1067
- Untalan PM, Guerrero FD, Haines LR, Pearson TW (2005) Proteome analysis of abundantly expressed proteins from unfed larvae of the cattle tick, *Boophilus microplus*. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* 35:141–151. doi:[10.1016/j.ibmb.2004.10.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2004.10.009)
- Vennestrøm J, Jensen PM (2007) *Ixodes ricinus*: the potential of two-dimensional gel electrophoresis as a tool for studying host-vector-pathogen interactions. *Exp Parasitol* 115:53–58. doi:[10.1016/j.exppara.2006.05.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exppara.2006.05.008)
- Vierstraete E, Cerstiaens A, Baggerman G, Van den Bergh G, De Loof A, Schoofs L (2003) Proteomics in *Drosophila melanogaster*: first 2D database of larval hemolymph proteins. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 304(4):831–838. doi:[10.1016/S0006-291X\(03\)00683-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-291X(03)00683-1)
- Vierstraete E, Verleyen P, Sas F, van den Bergh G, De Loof A, Arcckens L, Schoofs L (2004) The instantly released *Drosophila* immune proteome is infection-specific. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 317:1052–1060. doi:[10.1016/j.bbrc.2004.03.150](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2004.03.150)
- Wickramasekara S, Bunikis J, Wysocki V, Barbour AG (2008) Identification of residual blood proteins in ticks by mass spectrometry proteomics. *Emerg Infect Dis* 14:1273–1275. doi:[10.3201/eid1408.080227](https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1408.080227)
- Yan JX, Wait R, Berkelman T, Harry RA, Westbrook JA, Wheeler CH, Dunn MJ (2000) A modified silver staining protocol for visualization of proteins compatible with matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization and electrospray ionization-mass spectrometry. *Electrophoresis* 21:3666–3672. doi:[10.1002/1522-2683\(200011\)21:17<3666>3.0.CO;2-6](https://doi.org/10.1002/1522-2683(200011)21:17<3666>3.0.CO;2-6)
- Yarmush M, Jayaraman A (2002) Advances in proteomic technologies. *Annu Rev Biomed Eng* 4:349–373. doi:[10.1146/annurev.bioeng.4.020702.153443](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.bioeng.4.020702.153443)
- Zhu Y, Thangamani S, Ho B, Ding JL (2005) The ancient origin of the complement system. *EMBO J* 24:382–394