Nonlinear system modeling and application based on restricted Boltzmann machine and improved BP neural network

Junfei Qiao¹ · Longyang Wang¹

© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2020 Published online: 4 March 2020

Abstract

Aiming at the complexity, nonlinearity and difficulty in modeling of nonlinear system. In this paper, an improved backpropagation(BP) neural network based on restricted boltzmann machine(RBM-IBPNN) is proposed for nonlinear systems modeling. First, the structure of BP neural network(BPNN) is optimized by using sensitivity analysis(SA) and mutual information(MI) of the hidden neurons. Namely when the SA value and the MI value of the hidden neurons satisfy the set standard, the corresponding neurons will be pruned, split or merged. second, the restricted boltzmann machine(RBM) is employed to perform parameters initialization of training on the IBPNN. Finally, the proposed RBM-IBPNN is evaluated on nonlinear system identification, lorenz chaotic time series prediction and the total phosphorus prediction problems. The experimental results demonstrate that the proposed RBM-IBPNN not only has faster convergence speed and higher prediction accuracy, but also realizes a more compact network structure.

Keywords BP neural network · Sensitivity analysis · Mutual information · Restricted boltzmann machine · Nonlinear system modeling

1 Introduction

In real industrial applications, the system model is the key to the effective analysis and control. An ideal model should be able to describe the behavior of the system very adequately. When the system has only some knowledge, or no knowledge to use, it can use the results of system modeling to obtain the trajectory information of process variables from the input-output data collected from the actual measurement. Since most industrial process systems are nonlinear in nature, nonlinear system modeling has drawn considerable attention in process analysis [\[1\]](#page-12-0), soft sensor [\[2,](#page-12-1) [3\]](#page-12-2), controller design [\[4,](#page-12-3) [5\]](#page-12-4) and other fields. The accuracy of the model has a critical influence on describing the dynamic behavior of nonlinear system. Thus,

- Junfei Qiao [junfeiq@bjut.edu.cn](mailto: junfeiq@bjut.edu.cn)

> Longyang Wang [1974517898@qq.com](mailto: 1974517898@qq.com)

it is extremely urgent to establish an accurate model for the complex nonlinear industrial process system. However, due to the existence of structural and parameter uncertainties in nonlinear systems, it is overwhelmingly difficult to establish the model [\[6\]](#page-12-5).

In recent decades, the traditional mathematical methods [\[7,](#page-12-6) [8\]](#page-12-7) have been widely applied to design nonlinear systems modeling. However, when the nonlinear systems show strong nonlinearity, multivariable coupling, complex biochemical reactions and other characteristics, the above methods cannot get the considerable performance. With the continuous development of artificial intelligence, neural network(NN) algorithm has been widely used in nonlinear system modeling. Theoretically, feedforward neural network can approximate any continuous function defined on a compact set to a given accuracy. This universal approximation property makes NN very suitable for nonlinear system modeling, especially in the nonlinear systems difficult to be described mathematically [\[9](#page-12-8)[–11\]](#page-12-9). Hence, the feedforward neural networks are used to establish the nonlinear systems model. For example, a nonlinear system based on the denoising autoencoders and neural network was proposed in [\[12\]](#page-12-10). The results demonstrated that this model can achieve good predictive performance. In [\[13\]](#page-12-11), an empirical mode decomposition

¹ The Faculty of Information Technology Beijing University of Technology, Beijing, 100124, China

was applied to optimize the BPNN to model the complex nonlinear system. Attributed to this modeling, the good prediction effectiveness was been achieved. Xiao et al. [\[14\]](#page-12-12) developed a nonlinear system modeling method based on the elastic BP neural network and grey Wolf optimizer. Then, based on this modeling, the control effectiveness can be ensured. However, the structure of the nonlinear system model need to be fixed in advance.

Since the structure of NN has a very important influence on the network performance of nonlinear system modeling, Therefore, many scholars focus on changing the topological structure of nonlinear system model. Some growing algorithms for the number of neurons have been proposed in [\[15,](#page-12-13) [17\]](#page-12-14). In [\[15\]](#page-12-13), A fully connected cascade feedforward neural network algorithm based on fast cascade(FCNN) algorithm was proposed. Then, the hidden nodes of neural networks were grown by cascade algorithm. A nonlinear system modeling method of growing resource allocation RBF network was devised in [\[16\]](#page-12-15). Based on the novelty of input information, the hidden layer was constructed. Moreover, paper [\[17\]](#page-12-14) developed a nonlinear system modeling method based on incremental modular deep neural network. Then, the weights of the training can be copied into other similar models to improve the recognition performance. However, the number of neurons increases without decreasing, which leads to redundancy of network structure. Hence, how to obtain a concise and efficient structure for nonlinear system modeling has also attracted widespread attention. In [\[18\]](#page-12-16), a pruning method was used to model nonlinear systems. In this method, the hidden neurons were deleted in the convolutional NN. The results demonstrated that this method can be uesed to decrease both the computational of NN and the frequent access of memory. A nonlinear system modeling method based on pruning algorithm was designed in [\[19\]](#page-12-17). By pruning the weights of weak connections in the deep neural network, an efficient and sparse connection architecture can be obtained. Meanwhile, A nonlinear system modeling method based on the group sparse regularization algorithm was proposed to optimize the weight of deep belief network [\[20\]](#page-12-18). However, the above algorithm still has the following problems. Because most of the training time is spent on networks that are larger than the actual requirements, it needs to spend more computing costs. Therefore, paper [\[21\]](#page-12-19) first applied the minimum resource neural network(MRNN) to the nonlinear system modeling. This method can not only add hidden layer neurons in the learning process, but also adjust the neural network topology by introducing the clustering strategy, Then, the MRNN can obtain an appropriate structure. However, the MRNN neglects the adjustment of parameters after structural adjustment, which leads to slow convergence speed of neural network learning algorithm. The literature [\[22\]](#page-12-20) used peak intensity and root mean square error to improve the deep belief network and finally obtained a better nonlinear system model. Although the method has achieved good modeling results, there are a lot of model parameters that need to be adjusted and consume a lot of time.

Therefore, inspired by the foregoing, in this paper, an RBM-IBPNN is proposed for nonlinear systems modeling. First, Based on sensitivity analysis(SA) [\[23,](#page-12-21) [24\]](#page-12-22) and mutual information(MI) theory, the topological structure of BPNN is adjusted. When the contribution rate of the hidden layer neurons is too small, the hidden layer neurons are deleted. When the contribution rate of the hidden layer neurons is too large, then the hidden layer neurons are split. At the same time, the MI is used to measure the correlation between neurons, when the MI of two hidden layer neurons meets the set standard, it indicates that these two neurons have similar functions and can be merged into one neuron. In the process of dynamic adjustment of neuron, the weights between layers are automatically adjusted to realize the dynamic adjustment of the structure of the neural network, Considering that the improved neural network in the process of structural adjustment, the training of the neural network adopts the gradient descent method, and its initial weights and threshold are given randomly, which easy to cause the convergence speed of the improved network to slow down and fall into the local minimum. The research shows that the appropriate initial weights and thresholds can effectively reduce the training time and avoid falling into local minimum [\[25,](#page-12-23) [26\]](#page-12-24). then based on the deep learning theory, the initial weights and thresholds of the IBPNN are trained by using the RBM $[27-29]$ $[27-29]$. and the weights and thresholds after training are used as initial weights and thresholds for the improved neural network. Thus, the prediction accuracy and convergence speed of the network are further improved. Finally, the proposed RBM-IBPNN is tested on nonlinear system identification, Lorenz chaotic time series prediction and the total phosphorus prediction problems.

The structure of this paper is as follows: Section II elaborates the method of neuron splitting and deleting based on SA and the method of neuron merging based on MI. Section III elaborates on the improved neural network weights and threshold initialization methods based on the RBM. Section IV is the experimental part, which elaborates the application of the proposed algorithm in the prediction of nonlinear system identification, Lorenz chaotic time series prediction and the total phosphorus prediction. Section V is the discussion part, which analyzes the advantages and disadvantages of the experimental design and algorithm. The final section is the conclusion and future work.

2 Design of improved BP neural network

In the training process of NN, the number of neurons of input layer and output layer can be determined in advance according to the task requirements. The setting of the neurons in the hidden layer is more complicated. If the structure is too large, it would be easy to cause overlearning. If the structure is too small, it would be unable to achieve considerable learning ability. Therefore, how to design an appropriate network structure is still an open problem. Therefore, to solve these issues, this section adopts the method of adding and pruning hidden layer neurons based on SA and MI [\[30](#page-13-1)[–32\]](#page-13-2), so as to achieve the purpose of automatic structural adjustment.

2.1 Neuron growing and pruning based on SA

2.1.1 Sensitivity analysis

SA is applied to measure the contribution of hidden layer output variables to network output, It is defined as the proportion of output components produced by specific input variables to total output. The sensitivity calculation formula is

$$
S_{\varepsilon}^{k} = \frac{Var_{w_{\varepsilon k} y_{\varepsilon}^{(1)}}[Y^{k}|w_{\varepsilon k} y_{\varepsilon} = \Theta_{\varepsilon}]}{Var(Y^{k})},
$$
\n(1)

where

$$
Y^{k} = f(w_{1k}y_1, w_{2k}y_2 \cdots w_{n_1k}y_{n_1}), \qquad (2)
$$

where S^k_{ε} denotes the contribution rate of the ε th input variable to the output of the kth model, Y^k represents the response of the *kth* model, *wεkyε* represents the *εth* input variable for the *kth* model. $\varepsilon = 1, 2, ..., n_1, k = 1, 2, ...$ *n*₂, $[Y^k|w_{\varepsilon k}y_{\varepsilon} = \Theta_{\varepsilon}]$ represents the part of the output value of the *kth* model generated by the *εth* hidden layer neuron, $Var(Y^k)$ represents the variance of the kth network output variable, $w_{1k}y_1$, $w_{2k}y_2 \cdots w_{n1k}y_{n_1}$ represents the input variable value, reflecting the relationship between each hidden layer neuron and the kth output neuron. n_1 is the number of neurons in the hidden layer, n_2 is the number of models, y_{n_1} denotes the output of the n_1 th hidden layer neuron. w_{n1k} denotes the weight between the n_1th hidden layer neuron and the *kth* output neuron. According to the formula (1) – (2) , the influence of input factors on output can be calculated. The higher the activity of input variables is, the greater the contribution rate will be.

2.1.2 Growing of hidden layer neurons

When the contribution rate of hidden layer neurons is large, it will cause violent fluctuations of network output. In order to avoid the existence of this situation, the neuron

needs to be split into two moderately contributing neurons. Assuming that the contribution rate of neurons in the *εth* hidden layer is S_{ε} . The criteria to be satisfied when it is split is

$$
S_{\varepsilon} > \xi_2,\tag{3}
$$

where *ξ*² is the threshold for by neuron split. When the *εth* neuron is split into new hidden layer neurons *∂* and *δ*, the weight adjustment rules of the newly added neurons are

$$
\begin{cases}\nw_{\Psi\Theta} = w_{\Psi\varepsilon} \\
w_{\Psi\vartheta} = w_{\Psi\varepsilon} \\
w_{\Theta k} = (1 - \mu)w_{\varepsilon k}, \\
w_{\vartheta k} = \mu w_{\varepsilon k}\n\end{cases} \tag{4}
$$

where $w_{\Psi\Theta}$ denotes the connection weight between the input layer neuron Ψ and the hidden layer neuron Θ , $w_{\Psi \varepsilon}$ denotes the connection weight between the input layer neuron Ψ and the *εth* neuron in the hidden layer, $w_{\Psi\vartheta}$ denotes the connection weight between the input layer neuron Ψ and the hidden layer neuron ϑ , $w_{\Theta k}$ denotes the connection weight between the hidden layer neuron Θ and the output neuron k . $w_{\vartheta k}$ denotes the connection weight between the hidden layer neuron ϑ and the output neuron k .

2.1.3 Pruning of hidden layer neurons

When the contribution rate of a hidden layer neuron is small, this neuron will be considered to be invalid, it can be deleted. Assuming that the contribution rate of neurons in the ε th hidden layer is S_{ε} , the criteria to be met when it is deleted is

$$
S_{\varepsilon} < \xi_3,\tag{5}
$$

where *ξ*³ is the threshold value set for neuron deletion. After the *εth* neuron is pruned, the corresponding weight mutation is set to 0. In order to keep the output unchanged, it is necessary to compensate the neurons *l* with the most correlation of the pruned neurons.

$$
\begin{cases} w_{\Psi_K}^d(t) = w_{\Psi_K}(t) \\ w_{\kappa k}^d(t) = w_{\kappa k}(t) + \frac{y_{\varepsilon}}{y_{\kappa}} w_{\varepsilon k}(t), \end{cases} \tag{6}
$$

where $w_{\Psi K}^d$ denotes the connection weight of the Ψth neuron and the neuron κ of the input layer after the neuron is pruned. $w_{\Psi K}$ denotes the connection weight of the Ψth neuron and neuron κ in the input layer before neuron pruning, w_{kk}^d is the connection weight between the hidden layer neuron κ and the output neuron k after the neuron is pruned, w_{kk} is the connection weight between the hidden layer neuron κ and the output neuron k before neuron pruning. And *yε* represents the output of the *εth* hidden layer neuron being pruned, *yκ* represents the output of neuron *κ*.

2.2.1 Mutual information estimation

Assuming that any two hidden layer neurons output variables *X* and *Y* , the range of variable *X* is evenly divided into equal length K_X segments by histogram method. The formula for K_X is

$$
K_X = round\left\{\frac{\ell}{6} + \frac{2}{3\ell} + \frac{1}{3}\right\},\tag{7}
$$

$$
\ell = \sqrt[3]{8 + 324\Omega + 12\sqrt{36\Omega + 729\Omega^2}},
$$
\n(8)

where ℓ is a constant that can be determined based on the number of samples, round represents the integer closest to the real variable, Ω denotes the number of samples. The entropy expression of variable *X* is

$$
H(X) = -\sum_{k=1}^{K_X} p_k(x) \log p_k(x),
$$
\n(9)

where

$$
p_k(x) = \frac{n_k}{\Omega},\tag{10}
$$

It can be obtained from (9) and (10)

$$
H(X) = -\sum_{k=1}^{K_X} \frac{n_k}{\Omega} \log \frac{n_k}{\Omega} \tag{11}
$$

where $p_k(x)$ denotes the probability that the sample falls into the kth segment, n_k denotes the number of samples falling into the *kth* segment. Similarly, the joint entropy expression of variables *Y* , *X* and *Y* are

$$
H(Y) = -\sum_{k=1}^{K_Y} \frac{n_k}{\Omega} \log \frac{n_k}{\Omega},\tag{12}
$$

$$
H(X,Y) = -\sum_{k_{\Psi}=1}^{K_X} \sum_{k_{\varepsilon}=1}^{K_Y}, \frac{n_{k_{\Psi}k_{\varepsilon}}}{\Omega} \log \frac{n_{k_{\Psi}}n_{k_{\varepsilon}}}{\Omega} \tag{13}
$$

Then the expression of MI between variables *X* and *Y* is

$$
I(X;Y) = M - \sum_{k_{\Psi}=1}^{K_X} \frac{n_{k_{\Psi}}}{\Omega} \log \frac{n_{k_{\Psi}}}{\Omega} - \sum_{k_{\varepsilon}=1}^{K_Y} \log \frac{n_{k_{\varepsilon}}}{\Omega} \log, \frac{n_{k_{\varepsilon}}}{\Omega}
$$
(14)

$$
M = \sum_{k_{\Psi}=1}^{K_X} \sum_{k_{\varepsilon}=1}^{K_Y} \frac{n_{k_{\Psi}k_{\varepsilon}}}{\Omega} \log \frac{n_{k_{\Psi}k_{\varepsilon}}}{\Omega},
$$
(15)

where $n_{k_{\Psi}}$ and $n_{k_{\varepsilon}}$ denote the number of samples falling into the k_{Ψ} and k_{ε} segments, respectively, $n_{k_{\Psi}k_{\varepsilon}}$ denotes the number of samples falling into the unit $(k_{\Psi}, k_{\varepsilon})$.

2.2.2 Merging of hidden layer neurons

In order to make each neuron have its own unique function, a method based on mutual information is proposed to evaluate the similarity between hidden layer neurons, and the neurons with higher similarity degree are merged into one neuron. The criterion of neuronal merger is shown in [\(16\)](#page-3-2):

$$
I_{\overline{\omega},\phi} > \zeta_1,\tag{16}
$$

where $I_{\varpi,\phi}$ represents the mutual information between any two hidden layer neurons ϖ . ϕ , ζ ₁ is the threshold set for neuron merging.

$$
\begin{cases} w_{\Psi L} = \alpha w_{\Psi \varpi} + \beta w_{\Psi \phi} \\ w_{L k} = w_{\varpi k} + w_{\phi k}, \\ \tau_L = \alpha \tau_{\varpi} + \beta \tau_{\phi} \end{cases}
$$
(17)

where $w_{\Psi\varpi}$ represents the weight between the Ψ th input layer neuron and the hidden layer neuron ϖ , $w_{\Psi\phi}$ represents the weight between the Ψ *th* input layer neuron and the hidden layer neuron ϕ , $w_{\Psi}L$ represents the weight between the Ψ *th* input layer neuron and the newly generated neuron *L* of the hidden layer, w_{Lk} represents the weight between the newly generated neuron *L* of the hidden layer and the kth neuron of the output layer, $w_{\varpi k}$ represents the weight between the hidden layer neuron ϖ and the *kth* the output layer neuron, $w_{\phi k}$ represents the weight between the hidden layer neuron ϕ and the kth output layer neuron, *τ*_{*L*} represents the bias of the new hidden layer neuron *L*, τ_{ϖ} represents the bias of hidden layer neuron ϖ , τ_{ϕ} represents the bias of hidden layer neuron $φ$, $α$ and $β$ are constant and the sum of them is 1.

3 Training of improved BP neural network based on restricted Boltzmann machine

3.1 Restricted Boltzmann machine

RBM is a kind of random NN model without self-feedback and symmetrical connection. There is no connection within the layer, and all connections between the layers. RBM has a two-layer structure, shown in Fig. [1.](#page-4-0)

Where *v* denotes the visible layer, *h* denotes the hidden layer. Taking a layer RBM as an example, the training process is introduced. The visible layer of RBM contains *r* visible neurons, and the hidden layer contains *s* hidden neurons. The vectors *v* and *h* can denote the states of the visible and hidden neurons, respectively. Where v_q represents the state of the qth visible neuron, h_p represents the state of the *pth* hidden neuron. For any q , p , v_q , h_p ,

Fig. 1 RBM structure schematic diagram

all satisfy the binary distribution. The energy of RBM is defined as

$$
E(v, h|\theta) = \sum_{q=1}^{r} a_q v_q - \sum_{p=1}^{s} b_p h_p - \sum_{q=1}^{r} \sum_{p=1}^{s} v_q w_{qp} h_p,
$$
\n(18)

where $\theta = \{w_{qp}, a_q, b_p\}$ represents the real parameter of RBM, w_{ap} denotes the weight between the visible neuron q and the hidden neuron p , a_q represents the bias of visible neuron q , b_p represents the bias of hidden neuron p . The joint probability distribution of $(v, h | \theta)$ is

$$
P(v, h|\theta) = \frac{e^{-E(v, h|\theta)}}{Z(\theta)}, \ Z(\theta) = \sum_{v, h} e^{-E(v, h|\theta)}, \tag{19}
$$

where $Z(\theta)$ denotes partition function. According to the special structure of RBM, given the state of the visible neuron the state of the hidden neuron is conditionally independent of each other. Therefore, the probability of the *pth* hidden neuron is:

$$
p(h_p = 1|v, \theta) = \sigma \left(b_p + \sum_q v_q W_{qp} \right)
$$
 (20)

where $\sigma(x)$ denotes the sigmoid function. Given the state of the hidden neuron, the state of each visible unit is still conditionally independent of each other, that is, the activation probability of the *qth* visible neuron is

$$
P(v_q = 1 | h, \theta) = \sigma \left(a_q + \sum_p W_{qp} h_p \right), \tag{21}
$$

Assuming that the training set contains *T* samples, the parameter θ is obtained by maximizing the logarithmic likelihood function of RBM on the training set. The key step is to calculate the partial derivative of $\log P(v|\theta)$ with respect to θ , that is

$$
\frac{\partial \log P(v|\theta)}{\partial \theta} = \sum_{t=1}^{T} < \frac{\partial (-E(v^{(t)}, h|\theta))}{\partial \theta} >_{P(h|v^{(t)}, \theta)} - \sum_{t=1}^{T} < \frac{\partial (-E(v, h|\theta))}{\partial \theta} >_{P(v, h|\theta)}
$$
(22)

where $\langle \cdot \rangle$ *p* denotes the mathematical expectation of the distribution *P*, $\log P(h|v^{(t)}, \theta)$ denotes the probability distribution of the hidden layer when the visible neuron is the known training sample $v(t)$, $P(v, h | \theta)$ denotes the joint distribution of visible and hidden neurons.

Assuming that there is only one training sample, probability distribution $\log P(h|v^{(t)}, \theta)$ and joint distribution $P(v, h | \theta)$ are represented by "data" and "model", respectively. Then the log-likelihood function connects the weight *Wqp*, and the partial derivatives of the visible layer neuron bias a_q and the hidden layer neuron bias b_p are

$$
\frac{\partial \log P(v|\theta)}{\partial W_{qp}} = \langle v_q h_p \rangle_{data} - \langle v_q h_p \rangle_{model}, \tag{23}
$$

$$
\frac{\partial \log P(v|h)}{\partial b_p} = \langle h_p \rangle_{data} - \langle h_p \rangle_{model},\tag{24}
$$

where $\langle \cdot \rangle_{data}$ is the expectation of observation data in the training set, $\langle \cdot \rangle_{model}$ is the expectation on the distribution determined by the model.

RBM can optimize the whole model by contrasive divergence (CD) algorithm to achieve the local optimal state. This method is a layer by layer greedy training algorithm. It not only solves the problem of slow training speed, but also can find better initial values of model parameters.

3.2 Improved BP neural network weight and threshold initialization based on restricted Boltzmann machine

The basic implementation steps of the proposed algorithm are as follows

- 1) The SA value of each neuron in the hidden layer are calculated. Considering that the neurons in the hidden layer whose SA value is less than the threshold *ξ*3, Then this neuron will be regarded as invalid and redundant neurons, and it will be deleted.
- 2) Then the MI value between any two hidden layer neurons is calculated, The hidden layer neurons with too large MI value are determined. Two neurons whose MI value are greater than $ζ₁$ are regarded as neurons

with too much correlation, and they are merged into one neuron.

- 3) Then the neurons with excessive sensitivity are identified, and when the neuron SA value is greater than the set threshold *ξ*2, the neurons would be split.
- 4) Finally, the weight and threshold parameters of IBPNN are initialized by RBM.

3.3 Structure design of IBPNN based on restricted Boltzmann machine

The structure design of IBPNN based on RBM is shown in Fig. [2](#page-5-0)

Fig. 2 Structural block diagram of EBPNN based on restricted boltzmann machine

4 Experimental analysis

4.1 Nonlinear system identification

In this experiment, a benchmark problem is applied to test the prediction performance of the neural network, and its expression is described as follows

$$
y(t + 1) = g(y(t), y(t - 1)) + u(t)
$$

=
$$
\frac{y(t)y(t - 1)[y(t) + 2.5]}{1 + y^2(t) + y^2(t - 1)} + u(t),
$$
 (25)

where $1 \le t \le 1000$, $y(0) = 0$, $y(1) = 0$, $u(t) =$ $\sin(2\pi t/25)$.

According to formula (25) , 1000 time-discrete data samples are generated, of which the first 800 are applied to train the neural network proposed in this paper, the last 200 are employed to test the prediction effect of the network. The initial weight and threshold of each hidden layer node are generated by the restricted boltzmann machine training, The model can be described by

$$
\hat{y}(t+1) = \hat{f}(y(t), y(t-1), u(t)),
$$
\n(26)

In the proposed RBM-IBPNN, there are a total of three inputs and one output, where $y(t)$, $y(t-1)$, $u(t)$ represents the input of the neural network, $\hat{y}(t + 1)$ represents the output of the neural network, the data is normalized before network training, and the expected error is 0.001. The maximum number of iterations is 10000. The threshold values of mutual information merging neurons, sensitivity

Fig. 3 The training effect diagram of RBM-IBPNN

Fig. 4 The test effect diagram of RBM-IBPNN

division and pruning neurons are set to 3.25, 0.15 and 0.03 respectively. The number of iterations of RBM is 100, the learning rate is 1, The number of neurons in the initial hidden layer is 20 and 2.

Figure [3](#page-5-2) shows the training effect of 800 samples trained by RBM-IBPNN in the training process. Based on the analysis of Fig. [3,](#page-5-2) we can conclude that the actual training output curve and the target curve have the same trend of change, thus realizing the good tracking of the target curve

Fig. 5 Dynamic adjustment diagram of structure with initial hidden layer neuron of 20

Fig. 6 Dynamic adjustment diagram of structure with initial hidden layer neuron of 2

by the actual training output, which has a very good fitting effect. Figure [4](#page-6-0) is the test effect diagram of RBM-IBPNN for testing 200 samples in the test process. It can be seen that the actual output curve fluctuates up and down with the predicted target curve, which achieves a good tracking of the predicted target curve and has a good fitting effect. Figure [5](#page-6-1) shows the dynamic adjustment diagram of the hidden layer neurons with the initial hidden layer neuron of 20 in the training process of the RBM-IBPNN. When the number of hidden layer neurons reaches 8, the network structure will

Table 1 Performance comparison of different algorithms

Algorithm	NIHN	NFHN	RMSE	Running time
SOA-SOFNN [33]	\ast	6	0.0101	11.61
DFNN [34]	2	6	0.0283	17.23
SOFNNGA [35]	2	4	0.0146	63.31
SOFNNGA [35]	12	5	0.0138	91.01
RBF-AFS	2	35	0.1384	*
OLS	2	7	0.0095	\ast
BPNN	2	2	0.0142	202.78
BPNN	40	40	0.0036	259.39
IBPNN	20	6	0.0027	97.47
IBPNN	2	8	0.0032	114.26
RBM-IBPNN	2	10	0.0019	60.20
RBM-IBPNN	20	8	0.0022	61.13

Where ∗ reprents the unknown data, NIHN reprents the initial number of hidden layer neurons. NFHN reprents the final number of hidden layer neurons

Fig. 7 IBPNN hidden layer neuron variation diagram when sensitivity splitting threshold is 0.45

never change. Figure [6](#page-6-2) is the dynamic adjustment chart of RBM-IBPNN in the training process. The initial number of hidden layer neurons is 2, During the training process, the number of neurons is constantly changing. When the number of neurons is 10, the structure no longer changes.

To test the performance of the proposed RBM-IBPNN, the predicting values are compared with those of SOA-SOFNN [\[33\]](#page-13-3), DFNN [\[24\]](#page-12-22), SOFNNGA [\[35\]](#page-13-5), RBF-AFS,

Fig. 8 IBPNN hidden layer neuron change diagram when the threshold of mutual information merge is 2

Fig. 9 IBPNN hidden layer neuron variation diagram when the sensitivity deletion threshold is 0.1

OLS. The performance comparison between RBM-IBPNN and other algorithms is shown in Table [1.](#page-6-3) Accroding to the Table [1,](#page-6-3) The results can indicate that although the running time of the proposed algorithm is slightly behind that of DFNN and SOA-SOFNN, the prediction accuracy of the proposed RBM-IBPNN is much higher than that of the two algorithms, Therefore, The proposed RBM-IBPNN has excellent prediction performance. Meanwhile, compared with the original BPNN, the proposed algorithm improves the prediction accuracy and convergence speed significantly, which proves that the proposed algorithm is scientific and effective.

In order to illustrate the impact of threshold changes on the model performance, random changes are performed for the thresholds of mutual information, sensitivity splitting and sensitivity deleting. The impact on the change of hidden layer neurons is shown in Figs. [7,](#page-7-0) [8](#page-7-1) and [9,](#page-7-2) The impact on the prediction performance is shown in the Table [2.](#page-7-3)

Table 2 Comparison of prediction performance at different thresholds

Serial number	ζ_1	ξ_2	ξ3	RMSE
1	2	0.15	0.03	0.0193
\overline{c}	8	0.15	0.03	0.0042
3	3.25	0.45	0.03	0.0052
4	3.25	0.8	0.03	0.0258
5	3.25	0.15	0.1	0.032
6	3.25	0.15	0.15	0.0066

4.2 Lorenz chaotic time series prediction

Chaotic time series prediction is one of the benchmark problems for testing the effectiveness of neural network structures and methods, Lorenz effect is also called butterfly effect because its phase diagram resembles a butterfly. It means that weather is sensitive and dependent on initial conditions, so its long-term prediction is almost impossible. It's equation is shown as

$$
\begin{cases}\n\frac{dx}{dt} = \sigma(y_t - x_t) \\
\frac{dy}{dt} = -x_t z_t + \gamma(x_t - y_t), \\
\frac{dz}{dt} = x_t y_t - \beta z_t\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(27)

where x denotes the convection intensity, y denotes the horizontal temperature difference between the rising and sinking airflows, *z* is the vertical temperature difference. *t* denotes time. $\sigma = 10$, $\gamma = 28$, $\beta = 8/3$. In the experiment, a time series in the x dimension is generated, the training sample is 1500 sets of data, and the test sample is 1000 sets of data. In the network, the number of the initial hidden layer neurons is 30 and 6, and the expected error is 0.0001. the mutual information and sensitivity threshold settings are 3, 0.45 and 3, respectively, and the number of iterations of RBM is 100, the learning rate is set to 1.

Figure [10](#page-8-0) shows the effect of RBM-IBPNN on 1500 samples during training. Accroding to the Fig. [10,](#page-8-0) we can see that the actual training output curve tracks the target curve very well and has a very good fitting effect. Figure [11](#page-8-1) shows the RBM-IBPNN effect on the test set. It can be

Fig. 10 The training effect diagram of RBM-IBPNN

0 200 400 600 800 1000 Test sample 0^L 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1 output Forecast target Actual output

Fig. 11 The test effect diagram of RBM-IBPNN

seen from Fig. [11](#page-8-1) that the actual output curve achieves good tracking of the predicted target curve and has a good fitting effect. Figure [12](#page-8-2) is a dynamic adjustment diagram of RBM-IBPNN with 6 initial hidden layer neurons in the training process, Fig. [13](#page-9-0) is a dynamic adjustment diagram of RBM-IBPNN with 30 initial hidden layer neurons in the training process. As can be seen from Figs. [12](#page-8-2) and [13,](#page-9-0) under the conditions of different initial structures, stability are finally achieved after a series of adjustments.

Fig. 12 Dynamic adjustment diagram of structure with initial hidden layer neuron of 6

Fig. 13 Dynamic adjustment diagram of structure with initial hidden layer neuron of 30

To test the performance of the proposed algorithm, the predicting values of the proposed RBM-IBPNN are compared with those of ESN [\[36\]](#page-13-6), R-RTRL [\[36\]](#page-13-6), RBFNN [\[37\]](#page-13-7), DFNN [\[34\]](#page-13-4), PSO-DBN [\[33\]](#page-13-3), S-DBN [\[38\]](#page-13-8), DRDNN [\[39\]](#page-13-9). The performance comparisons between the proposed algorithm and other algorithms are shown in Table [3.](#page-9-1) It can be seen that the proposed algorithm owns a higher prediction accuracy and convergence speed than the other algorithms. The results demonstrate that RBM-IBPNN is scientific and valid.

Table 3 Performance comparison of different algorithms

Algorithm	NIHN	NFHN	RMSE	Running time
ESN [36]	6	6	0.0476	\ast
R-RTRL [36]	6	6	0.0625	\ast
RBFNN [37]	10	\ast	0.0985	26.33
DFNN [34]	11	11	0.151	286.41
PSO-DBN [33]	80	80	0.0102	157.24
S -DBN $[38]$	*	\ast	0.0178	50.17
DRDNN [39]	*	*	0.0232	157.49
BPNN	6	6	0.0324	210.98
BPNN	30	30	0.0325	871.52
IBPNN	6	2	0.0057	167.48
IBPNN	30	\overline{c}	0.0046	174.54
RBM-IBPNN	6	3	0.0027	146.63
RBM-IBPNN	30	3	0.0020	146.44

4.3 The total phosphorus prediction

Many studies have shown that total phosphorus is the main cause of eutrophication in water bodies. Therefore, it is very important to strengthen the detection of total phosphorus concentration in wastewater effluent. However, the prediction of total phosphorus in wastewater treatment process has the characteristics of strong non-linearity and large time-varying, It is difficult to measure the total phosphorus concentration of the key variables. This study uses RBM-IBPNN to predict total phosphorus with the help of other key variables that can be easily measured. A total of 350 sets of wastewater water quality data from June to August 2018 are obtained from a small wastewater treatment plant in Beijing, 300 sets of data are randomly selected as training set, and the remaining 50 sets are selected as test set. The key measurable variables *NH*4- *N*, *DO*1, *COD*, *SS*, *T N* and *ORP* are used as inputs to the prediction model. The key measurable variables and their meanings are shown in Table [3.](#page-9-1) In the experiment, the number of the initial hidden layer neurons is 20 and 5, and the expected error is 0.0001. the mutual information and sensitivity threshold settings are 3, 0.45 and 3.25, respectively, and the number of iterations of RBM is 100, the learning rate is 2 (Table [4\)](#page-9-2).

Figure [14](#page-10-0) shows the effect of RBM-IBPNN on 350 samples during training, accroding to the Fig. [14,](#page-10-0) we can conclude that the actual training output curve tracks the target curve very well and has a very good fitting effect. Figure [15](#page-10-1) shows the RBM-IBPNN effect on the test set. It can be seen from Fig. [15](#page-10-1) that the actual output curve can achieve good tracking of the predicted target curve and has a good fitting effect. Figure [16](#page-10-2) is a dynamic adjustment diagram of RBM-IBPNN with 20 initial hidden layer neurons in the training process. Figure [17](#page-10-3) is a dynamic adjustment diagram of RBM-IBPNN with 5 initial hidden layer neurons in the training process. As can be seen from Figs. [16](#page-10-2) and [17,](#page-10-3) the number of neurons in the hidden layer

Table 4 Measurable variables and meaning

Parameter	Meaning	Unit
NH4-N	Ammonium nitrogen concentration	mg/L
DO ₁	Dissolved oxygen concentration	mg/L
COD	Chemical oxygen demand	mg/L
TN	Total nitrogen concentration	mg/L
SS.	Solid suspension concentration	mg/L
ORP	Redox Potential of Anaerobic Pool	mV

Fig. 14 The training effect diagram of RBM-IBPNN

is adjusted rapidly at the beginning and stabilized at the end, which shows the effectiveness of the structural adjustment algorithm.

To test the performance of the proposed algorithm, the predicting values of the proposed RBM-IBPNN are compared with those of DBN [\[40\]](#page-13-10), ALRDBN [\[40\]](#page-13-10), CDBN [\[41\]](#page-13-11), SSDBN [\[17\]](#page-12-14), S-DBN [\[38\]](#page-13-8), RFNN [\[42\]](#page-13-12), SCNN [\[43\]](#page-13-13), EBP-SVDFNN [\[44\]](#page-13-14), EBP-FNN [\[44\]](#page-13-14). The performance

Fig. 16 Dynamic adjustment diagram of structure with initial hidden layer neuron of 20

comparisons between the proposed algorithm and the existing algorithms are shown in Table [5.](#page-11-0) Accroding to the table, it can be seen that the proposed algorithm owns a higher accuracy and convergence speed than the other algorithms. The results demonstrate that RBM-IBPNN is scientific and effective.

Fig. 15 The test effect diagram of RBM-IBPNN

Fig. 17 Dynamic adjustment diagram of structure with initial hidden layer neuron of 5

Table 5 Performance comparison of different algorithms

Algorithm	NIHN	NFHN	RMSE	Running time
DBN [40]	20	20	0.0159	60.52
ALRDBN [40]	20	20	0.0131	33.64
CDBN [41]	20	20	0.0148	58.26
SSDBN [22]	16	\ast	0.0138	63.71
$S-DBN [38]$	19	\ast	0.0510	\ast
RFNN [42]	8	\ast	0.3142	\ast
SCNN [43]	20	20	0.0121	33.19
EBP-SVDFNN [44]	*	14	0.1766	85.76
EBP-FNN [44]	\ast	10	0.1944	114.37
BPNN	5	5	0.0230	41.89
BPNN	20	20	0.0229	133.74
IBPNN	5	\overline{c}	0.0009	48.38
IBPNN	20	\overline{c}	0.0229	50.75
RBM-IBPNN	5	$\overline{4}$	0.0005	49.18
RBM-IBPNN	20	2	0.0010	48.72

5 Discussion

The purpose of this study is to propose a fast and accurate improved neural network model for nonlinear systems. According to the evaluation results in three experiments, the following conclusions can be drawn.

5.1 New structure adjusting citerion

The structure adjustment of the IBPNN depends on the SA and MI of hidden neurons in the NN. Then, the RBM is employed to perform parameters initialization of training on the IBPNN. When the contribution rate of the hidden layer neurons is too small, the hidden layer neurons are deleted. When the contribution rate of the hidden layer neurons is too large, then the hidden layer neurons are split. At the same time, the MI is used to measure the correlation between neurons, when the MI of two hidden layer neurons meets the set standard, it indicates that these two neurons have similar functions. Therefore, the hidden neurons can be merged into one neuron. The dynamic adjustment of network structure can be achieved.

5.2 Higher prediction accuracy and faster convergence speed

The key problems faced by training algorithms are prediction accuracy and convergence speed. There are many reasons that affect prediction accuracy and convergence speed, such as algorithm architecture, structure learning method and parameter adjustment. Here we will discuss the effect of the structure learning method. It can be seen from Tables [1,](#page-6-3) [3](#page-9-1) and [5.](#page-11-0) The proposed RBM-IBPNN has higher prediction accuracy and convergence speed. In the past, the network structure was preset, and the structure did not change from beginning to end. The structure was inevitably redundant. At the same time, the initial weights and thresholds of the network were given randomly and the training of the neural network was carried out by using the stochastic descent algorithm. The above reasons easily led to the redundancy of the neural network structure, slow convergence speed of training, low prediction accuracy and easy to fall into local optimum problems. Therefore, the NN structure adjustment method based on the SA value and the MI value automatically increases and deletes the neurons, reduces the redundancy of the structure. Then, the RBM is employed to perform parameters initialization of training on the IBPNN. As can be seen from Tables [1,](#page-6-3) [3](#page-9-1) and [5,](#page-11-0) it's easy to figure out that the convergence speed of the RBM-IBPNN is slower than those of SOFNNGA, DFNN and RBFNN. The reason is that the algorithm of parameter adjustment is based on supervised gradient descent algorithm. To ensure the convergence of the algorithm, the gradient method reduces the learning rate and the learning step, which results in the slow convergence speed.

5.3 Compact structure

Another important issue is the size of the final neural network. A smaller neural network can make the network structure more compact. The final structure depends on the adjustment of hidden layer neurons based on SA value and MI value, and the training of initial weight and threshold by the RBM. The proposed RBM-IBPNN algorithm obtains a more compact structure according to the new dynamic adjustment criterion. In addition, it can be known from the changes of initial hidden layer neurons to final neurons in Tables [1,](#page-6-3) [3](#page-9-1) and [5](#page-11-0) that the proposed algorithm achieves a relatively satisfactory compact neural network structure.

6 Conclusion and future work

This study proposes an RBM-IBPNN, which can automatically adjust its structure. Then, the proposed RBM-IBPNN is evaluated on nonlinear system identification, Lorenz chaotic time series prediction and the total phosphorus prediction problems. The expermental results demonstrate that the proposed RBM-IBPNN is better than other compared algorithms. The advantages of the algorithm are as follows.

1) The algorithm relies on the SA and MI of the neurons of the hidden neurons, as well as the RBM to meet the key design objectives. Its basic design idea is to adjust the structure based on SA and MI values between the hidden neurons, and RBM is used to train the initial

weights and thresholds of the IBPNN. This design strategy can well adapt to the different situations that may occur at different times in the design process of BPNN.

2) The algorithm automatically adjusts the structure of the NN by SA value and MI value between the hidden neurons. When the contribution rate of the hidden layer neurons is too small, the hidden layer neurons are deleted. When the contribution rate of the hidden layer neurons is too large, then the hidden layer neurons are split. At the same time, the MI is used to measure the correlation between neurons, when the MI of two hidden layer neurons meets the set standard, it indicates that these two neurons have similar functions. Therefore, the hidden neurons can be merged into one neuron. According to the above design criteria, a compact neural network can be obtained. This design solves the problem of over-fitting and improves the prediction performance of the network. and then the initial weights and thresholds of the network are trained by using the RBM, thus solving the problem of slow convergence speed and easy to fall into local optimum.

Regardless of the structure of the original NN, the proposed algorithm can maintain good performance, and it can be applied to many practical applications, three of which have been described in this paper. In this paper, a large number of experiments are carried out to compare RBM-IBPNN with other algorithms. In the future, our main focus is to improve the RBM-IBPNN algorithm and greatly improve the convergence speed and to some extent improve the prediction accuracy of the network.

Acknowledgments This work was supported by the National Science Foundation of China under Grant (61533002, 61890935, 61890930, 61890930-5) and National Key Research and Development Project under Grants (2018YFC1900800-5)

References

- 1. Zhang Y, Chai T, Chai T, Li Z (2012) Modeling and monitoring of dynamic processes. IEEE Trans Neural Netw Learn Syst 23(2):277–284
- 2. Han HG, Qiao JF (2013) Hierarchical neural network modeling approach to predict sludge volume index of wastewater treatment process. IEEE Trans Control Syst Technol 21(6):2423–2431
- 3. Han HG, Chen Q, Qiao JF (2011) An efficient self-organizing RBF neural network for water quality prediction. Neur Netw 24(7):717–725
- 4. Qiao JF, Han HG (2012) Identification and modeling of nonlinear dynamical systems using a novel self-organizing RBF-based approach. Automatica 48(8):1729–1734
- 5. Ohtake H, Tanaka K, Wang HO (2006) Switching fuzzy controller design based on switching Lyapunov function for a class of nonlinear systems. IEEE Trans Syst 36(1):13–23
- 6. Gandomi AH, Alavi AH (2011) Multi-stage genetic programming: a new strategy to nonlinear system modeling. Inform Sci 181(23):5227–5239
- 7. Beltrami E (2014) Mathematics for dynamic modeling. Academic Press, pp 2–28
- 8. Ding F (2013) Hierarchical multi-innovation stochastic gradient algorithm for Hammerstein nonlinear system modeling. Appl Math Modell 37(4):1694–1704
- 9. Li F, Qiao J, Han H (2016) A self-organizing cascade neural network with random weights for nonlinear system modeling. Appl Soft Comput 42:184–193
- 10. Qiao J, Wang L, Yang C (2018) Adaptive lasso echo state network based on modified Bayesian information criterion for nonlinear system modeling. Neur Comput Appl, 1–15
- 11. Liu J, Huang YL (2007) Nonlinear network traffic prediction based on BP neural network. J Comput Appl 27(7):1770–1772
- 12. Yan W, Tang D, Lin Y (2016) A data-driven soft sensor modeling method based on deep learning and its application. IEEE Trans Industr Electron 64(5):4237–4245
- 13. Wu Z, Jiang C, Conde M (2019) Hybrid improved empirical mode decomposition and BP neural network model for the prediction of sea surface temperature. Ocean Sci 15(2):349–360
- 14. Xiao L, Xu M, Chen Y (2019) Hybrid grey wolf optimization nonlinear model predictive control for aircraft engines based on an elastic BP neural network. Appl Sci 9(6):1254
- 15. Qiao J, Li F, Han H (2016) Constructive algorithm for fully connected cascade feedforward neural networks. Neurocomputing 182:154–164
- 16. Platt J (1991) A resource-allocating network for function interpolation. Neur Comput 3(2):213–225
- 17. Ansari Z, Seyyedsalehi SA (2017) Toward growing modular deep neural networks for continuous speech recognition. Neural Comput Appl 28(1):1177–1196
- 18. Anwar S, Hwang K, Sung W (2017) Structured pruning of deep convolutional neural networks. ACM J Emerg Technol Comput Syst (JETC) 13(3):32
- 19. Guo H, Li S, Li B (2017) A new learning automata-based pruning method to train deep neural networks. IEEE Internet Things J 5(5):3263–3269
- 20. Scardapane S, Comminiello D, Hussain A (2017) Group sparse regularization for deep neural networks. Neurocomputing 241: 81–89
- 21. Yingwei L, Sundararajan N, Saratchandran P (1997) A sequential learning scheme for function approximation using minimal radial basis function neural networks. Neur Comput 9(2):461–478
- 22. Qiao JF, Wang GM, Li XL (2018) A self-organizing deep belief network for nonlinear system modeling. Appl Soft Comput 65:170–183
- 23. Hu Z, Mahadevan S (2019) Probability models for data-Driven global sensitivity analysis. Reliab Eng Syst Safety 187:40–57
- 24. Sheikholeslami R, Razavi S, Gupta HV (2019) Global sensitivity analysis for high-dimensional problems: how to objectively group factors and measure robustness and convergence while reducing computational cost. Environ Modell Softw 111:282– 299
- 25. Drago GP, Ridella S (1992) Statistically controlled activation weight initialization. IEEE Trans Neural Netw 3(4):627–631
- 26. de Sousa CAR (2016) An overview on weight initialization methods for feedforward neural networks. In: Proc. of international joint conference on neural networks, Vancouver, pp 52–C59
- 27. Tan K, Wu F, Du Q (2019) A parallel Gaussian CBernoulli restricted Boltzmann machine for mining area classification with hyperspectral imagery. IEEE J Selected Topics Appl Earth Observ Remote Sens 12(2):627–636

28. Li J, Yu ZL, Gu Z (2019) Spatial Ctemporal discriminative restricted Boltzmann machine for event-related potential detection and analysis. IEEE Trans Neural Syst Rehab Eng 27(2):139–151

29. Nasrin S, Drobitch JL, Bandyopadhyay S (2009) Low power restricted Boltzmann machine using mixed-mode magnetotunneling junctions. IEEE Electron Dev Lett 40(2):345–348

- 30. Barraza N, Moro S, Ferreyra M (2019) Mutual information and sensitivity analysis for feature selection in customer targeting: a comparative study. J Inform Sci 45(1):53–67
- 31. Zhou HF, Zhang YJ, Zhang YJ (2019) Feature selection based on conditional mutual information: minimum conditional relevance and minimum conditional redundancy. Appl Intell 49(3):883–896
- 32. Liu X, Wang M, Song Z (2019) Multi-modal image registration based on multi-feature mutual information. J Med Imag Health Inform 9(1):153–158
- 33. Kuremoto T, Kimura S, Wu K (2014) An efficient second-order algorithm for self-organizing fuzzy neural networks. IEEE Trans Cybern 137:47–56
- 34. Han H, Zhang L, Kobayashi X (2017) Time series forecasting using a deep belief network with restricted Boltzmann machines. Neurocomputing 49(1):14–26
- 35. Leng G, McGinnity TM, Prasad G (2006) Design for selforganizing fuzzy neural networks based on genetic algorithms. IEEE Trans Fuzzy Syst 14(6):755–766
- 36. Chang LC, Chen PA, Chang FJ (2012) Reinforced two-step-ahead weight adjustment technique for online training of recurrent neural networks. IEEE Trans Neural Netw Learn Netw 23(8):1269–1278
- 37. Han HG, Guo YN, Qiao JF (2017) Self-organization of a recurrent RBF neural network using an information-oriented algorithm. Neurocomputing 225:80–91
- 38. Wang YX, Han HG, Guo M (2019) A self-organizing deep belief network based on information relevance strategy. Neurocomputing
- 39. Lee HW, Kim N, Lee JH (2017) Deep neural network self-training based on unsupervised learning and dropout. Int J Fuzzy Logic Intell Syst 17(1):1–9
- 40. Wang GM, Li WJ, Qiao JF (2017) Prediction of effluent total phosphorus using PLSR-based adaptive deep belief network. CIESC J 68(5):1989–1993
- 41. Qiao JF, Pan GY, Han HG (2015) Design and application of continuous deep belief network. Acta Automatica Sinica 41(12):2138–2146
- 42. Han H, Zhang S, Qiao J (2018) An intelligent detecting system for permeability prediction of MBR. Water Sci Technol 77(2):467– 478
- 43. Li FJ, Qiao JF, Han HG (2016) A self-organizing cascade neural network with random weights for nonlinear system modeling. Appl Soft Comput 42(2016):184–193
- 44. Qiao JF, Zhou HB (2017) Pridiction of effluent total phosphorus based on self-organizing fuzzy neural network. CIESC J 34(2):225–231

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Junfei Qiao Professor at the Faculty of information technology, Beijing University of Technology. His research interest covers neural networks, intelligent systems, selfadaptive/learning systems, and process control systems.

Longyang Wang is a currently a Ph.D. in control science and engineering of Beijing University of Technology. His research interests include the application of deep learning in water environment.