ORIGINAL PAPER

Sinomonas cellulolyticus **sp. nov., isolated from Loktak lake**

Lhingjakim L. Khongsai · Jagadeeshwari Uppada · Shabbir Ahamad · Sasikala Chintalapati · Venkata Ramana Chintalapati

Received: 14 August 2023 / Accepted: 26 September 2023 / Published online: 18 October 2023 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2023

Abstract A novel cellulolytic strain $J\text{C}656^T$ was isolated from the rhizosphere soil of *Alisma plantagoaquatica* of foating island (Phumdis) of Loktak lake, Manipur, India. The 16S rRNA gene sequence similarities between strain JC656T and other *Sinomonas* type strains ranged between 98.5 and 97.3%, wherein strain $J\text{C}656$ ^T exhibited the highest sequence similarity (98.5%) to *Sinomonas notoginsengisoli* KCTC 29237^T . Colonies were yellow-colored and grew aerobically. Cells were gram-positive, rod-shaped and non-motile. The optimal growth of the strain J_{C656}^T occured at 28 $^{\circ}$ C and pH 7. Strain JC656^T contained MK-9 as the predominant isoprenoid quinone and anteiso-C_{15:0}, iso-C_{16:0} and anteiso-C_{17:0} as the major fatty acids. Diphosphatidylglycerol, phosphatidylinositol, phosphatidylglycerol, phosphatidylmonomethylethanolamine and a glycolipid were the polar lipids. Strain JC656^T contained lysine, alanine, glutamine,

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10482-023-01893-2) [org/10.1007/s10482-023-01893-2.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10482-023-01893-2)

L. L. Khongsai · S. Ahamad · V. R. Chintalapati (\boxtimes) Department of Plant Sciences, School of Life Sciences, University of Hyderabad, P.O. Central University, Hyderabad 500046, India e-mail: cvr449@gmail.com; chvrsl@uohyd.ac.in

J. Uppada · S. Chintalapati

Bacterial Discovery Laboratory, Centre for Environment, Institute of Science and Technology, JNT University Hyderabad, Kukatpally, Hyderabad 500085, India

diaminopimelic acid (DAP) and two unidentifed amino acids as characteristic cell wall amino acids. The genome size of strain $J\text{C}656$ ^T was 3.9 Mb with a DNA G+C content of 69.9 mol %. For the affirmation of the strain's taxonomic status, a detailed phylogenomic study was done. Based on its phylogenetic position and morphological, physiological, and genomic features, strain $J\text{C}656$ ^T represents a new species of the genus *Sinomonas*, for which we propose the name *Sinomonas cellulolyticus* sp. nov. The type strain JC656^T = (KCTC 49339^T = NBRC 114142^T).

Keywords *Actinomycetota* · *Micrococaceae* · *Sinomonas* · Rhizosphere

Abbreviations

- NCBI National Centre for Biotechnology Information *g*ANI Genome average nucleotide identity *d*DDH Digital DNA–DNA hybridization HPLC High-pressure liquid chromatography KCTC Korean Collection for Type Cultures
- NBRC Biological Resource Centre, NITE

Introduction

The genus *Sinomonas*, is a member of the family *Micrococaceae* in the phylum *Actinomycetota* was first proposed by Zhou et al. (2009) (2009) (2009) . At the time of writing, this genus comprised eleven species with ten valid names under the International Code of Nomenclature of Prokaryotes (ICNP), including *Sinomonas flava* (Zhou et al. [2009\)](#page-10-0), *Sinomonas atrocyaneus* (Kuhn and Starr [1960;](#page-9-0) Zhou et al. [2009\)](#page-10-0), *Sinomonas echigonensis* (Ding et al. [2009](#page-9-1); Zhou et al. [2012\)](#page-10-1), *Sinomonas albida* (Ding et al. [2009;](#page-9-1) Zhou et al. [2012\)](#page-10-1), *Sinomonas soli* (Zhou et al. [2012\)](#page-10-1), *Sinomonas notoginsengisoli* (Zhang et al. [2014](#page-10-2)), *Sinomonas mesophile* (Prabhu et al. [2015](#page-10-3)), *Sinomonas susongensis* (Bao et al. [2015](#page-9-2)), *Sinomonas humi* (Lee et al. [2015](#page-10-4)), and *Sinomonas halotolerans* (Guo et al. [2015\)](#page-9-3) and "*Sinomonas gamaensis*" (Fu et al. [2019\)](#page-9-4) ([http://](http://www.bacterio.net/sinomonas.html) www.bacterio.net/sinomonas.html). The members of the genus *Sinomonas* were isolated from soil, volcanic rock and the surface of weathered biotite. All the members of the genus *Sinomonas* are aerobic, with rod-shaped cells; predominant respiratory quinone is MK-9; major fatty acids are iso-C_{16:0}, anteiso-C_{15:0} and anteis o -C_{17:0}. A3 α as the cell wall peptidoglycan type. Diphosphatidylglycerol, phosphatidylglycerol, phosphatidylinositol, phosphatidylmonomethylethanolamine and glycolipids are the major polar lipids.

Strain $J\text{C}656^T$ was isolated from the rhizosphere soil of *Alisma plantago-aquatica* from the foating island (Phumdis) of Loktak lake, Manipur. Phumdis are heterogeneous masses of vegetation, soil, and organic matter at various decomposition stages (Reddy et al. [2005](#page-10-5)). This lake was declared a Ramsar site (a wetland site designated to be of importance) in 1990 as it is an ecological hotspot with a remarkable diversity of fora and fauna. Cellulolytic microorganisms play a signifcant role in the biosphere by recycling cellulose (Beguin and Aubert [1994](#page-9-5)). According to reports, members of the genus *Sinomonas* are involved in cellulose degradation, nitrogen fxation, aromatic compound degradation, antimicrobial activity, phosphate solubilization and desulphurization (Susilowati et al. [2015;](#page-10-6) Rao et al. [2018](#page-10-7)). In this study, strain $J\text{C}656$ ^T isolated from the rhizosphere soil of *Alisma plantago-aquatica*, which can hydrolyse cellulose has been reported. Based on the polyphasic taxonomic approach together with genomic information, strain $JCG56^T$ belongs to a new species of the genus *Sinomonas*, for which we propose the name *Sinomonas cellulolyticus* sp. nov.

Materials and methods

Habitat and isolation

Rhizsphere soil of *Alisma plantago-aquatica* of Phumdis (foating island) was collected from Loktak lake which is located in the north-eastern part of India, Manipur (24° 30′ 21″ N 93° 47′ 43″ E). One gram of the soil sample was serially diluted and plated on the mineral media containing pyruvate (22 mM) as the carbon source and incubated at 30 ˚C for 3 days. The mineral medium contained $(g.L⁻¹)$: KH_2PO_4 (0.5), $MgSO_4.7H_2O$ (0.2), NaCl (0.4), NH₄Cl (0.6), CaCl₂·2H₂O (0.05), sodium pyruvate (3.0), yeast extract (0.3), ferric citrate (5 mL L^{-1} from a 0.1%, w/v, stock) and trace element solution SL 7 (1 mL L^{-1}). The SL7 solution contained (mg L^{-1}): HCl (25%, v/v; 1 mL), $ZnCl_2$ (70), $MnCl_2$ 4H₂O (100), H_3BO_3 (60), CoCl₂·6H₂O (200), CuCl₂·H₂O (20), NiCl₂·6H₂O (20), NaMoO₄·2H₂O (40) (Biebl and Pfennig [1981\)](#page-9-6). Yellow-coloured colonies that appeared after 3 days of incubation were purifed through repeated streaking on nutrient agar (NA; Himedia M002) and were assigned as strain $J\text{C}656^T$. The pure culture was preserved at -80 °C as glycerol stocks.

DNA isolation, PCR, 16S rRNA gene sequencing and BLAST analysis

Genomic DNA was extracted using the commercial DNA isolation kit (Nucleo-pore gDNA Fungal Bacterial Mini Kit, from M/s. Genetix Biotech Asia Pvt. Ltd, India. The 16S rRNA gene was amplifed using the primers F'-8 (5′–AGAGTTTGATCCTGG CTCAG–3′) and R'-1525 (5′–AAGGAGGTGATC CAGCC–3′) (Gandham et al. [2018\)](#page-9-7). The amplifed PCR products were sent to M/s. AgriGenomePvt. Ltd. (Kochi, India) for purifcation and 16S rRNA gene sequencing. Sequence was identifed using BLAST search analysis on the EzBioCloud database (Yoon et al. [2017](#page-10-8)).

Genomic and in-silico analysis

Whole-genome sequencing (WGS) of strain JC656^T was outsourced at BGI (GCM 10 K type strain sequencing project) in China (Wu and Ma [2019](#page-10-9)). WGS was carried out using the Illumina XTen platform. Paired end sequencing of $(150 \times 2$ bp) fragment library resulted in about 2.96% quality flter reads. Assembly of the reads was carried out using the SOAPdenovo v1.05 software (Luo et al. [2012](#page-10-10)). ContEst service (Yoon et al. [2017](#page-10-8)) was used for any possible contamination. NCBI Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline and RAST server [\(http://rast.](http://rast.theseed.org/FIG/rast.cgi) [theseed.org/FIG/rast.cgi](http://rast.theseed.org/FIG/rast.cgi)) (Aziz et al. [2008\)](#page-9-8) was used for annotating the genomes. ANI score was calculated from the genome sequences using the online service in the EzBioCloud ([https://www.ezbiocloud.](https://www.ezbiocloud.net/tools/ani) [net/tools/ani](https://www.ezbiocloud.net/tools/ani)) (Yoon et al. [2017](#page-10-8)). Digital DNA–DNA hybridization (*d*DDH) values were calculated using the Genome-to-Genome Distance Calculator (GGDC 2.1) online service ([https://ggdc.dsmz.de/distcalc2.](https://ggdc.dsmz.de/distcalc2.php) [php\)](https://ggdc.dsmz.de/distcalc2.php) (Auch et al. [2010](#page-9-9)). Carbohydrate active enzymes (CAZy) were determined using the *db*CAN meta server [\(http://bcb.unl.edu/dbCAN2/](http://bcb.unl.edu/dbCAN2/)) by choosing default parameters (Zhang et al. [2023\)](#page-10-11). Resistance Gene Identifer (RGI) is used to predict resistome genomic data based on homology and SNP models (Alcock et al. [2023\)](#page-9-10). The orthologous gene comparisons were performed using orthovenn v2.0 (Xu et al. [2019\)](#page-10-12). In silico metabolic characterisation and reconstruction of metabolic pathways were carried out on the basis of its genome information through the online tools Blastkoala (Kanehsia et al. [2016\)](#page-9-11) and KEGG mapper (Kanehisa et al. [2022](#page-9-12)). The identifcation of gene clusters responsible for the biosynthesis of secondary metabolites was carried out using the online, freely available tool antiSMASH v 7.1 (Blin et al. [2023\)](#page-9-13).

Phylogenetic analysis

The 16S rRNA gene sequence of strain $J\text{C}656^T$ was extracted from its genome using ContEst16S [\(https://](https://www.ezbiocloud.net/tools/contest16s) [www.ezbiocloud.net/tools/contest16s\)](https://www.ezbiocloud.net/tools/contest16s) and analysis of identity was performed using NCBI BLAST (Johnson et al. [2008\)](#page-9-14). 16S rRNA gene sequences of the closely related members were taken from the EZbioCloud database and aligned with the MUSCLE algorithm (Edgar [2004](#page-9-15)) of MEGA7 software (Kumar et al. [2016](#page-10-13)) for 16S rRNA gene phylogenetic analysis. The distance was calculated using the Kimura two-parameter model with uniform rates and pairwise deletion (Kimura [1980](#page-9-16)). Neighbour-joining (NJ), minimum evolution (ME), and maximum likelihood (ML) methods in the MEGA7 software were used to construct phylogenetic trees with bootstraps of 1000 replications (Felsenstein [1985](#page-9-17)). The phylogenomic tree was constructed using 92 core genes (retrieved using the UBCG tool as described by Na et al. [2018](#page-10-14)) from all the publicly available genomes of the genus *Sinomonas*, along with the outgroup. A concatenated sequence of 92 genes was used to construct the RAxML-based phylogenomic tree. The type strain *S. notoginsengisoli* KCTC 29237T was used as a reference strain for further studies.

Cell morphology and physiological analysis

Colony morphology was studied in cultures grown on nutrient agar (aerobically at 28 °C for 3 days), whereas cell size, shape, and motility were examined in cultures grown on nutrient broth. Organic substrate utilization for growth was checked in mineral salt medium as described previously by Divyasree et al. [\(2017](#page-9-18)), supplemented with 2% NaCl, removing the carbon source pyruvate and adding diferent carbon sources $(0.1\%$ [w/v]). For nitrogen source utilization, $NH₄Cl$ was removed and different nitrogen sources (0.1% [w/v]) were added in the same medium. NaCl tolerance $(0-10\%$ w/v with an interval of 1% w/v) was tested at 28° C and pH 7. The pH range $(4.0, 5.0, 6.0, 1)$ 7.0, 8.0, 9.0 and 10.0) for growth was tested at 28 °C in bufered media at an interval of 1 pH unit; Citrate buffer $[C_6H_5Na_3O_7.2H_2O$ and $C_6H_8O_7]$ 0.1 M for pH 4.0–6.5; phosphate buffer [KH₂PO₄. and K₂HPO₄], 0.1 M for pH 6.5–9; carbonate buffer [NaHCO₃ and $Na₂CO₃$] 0.1 M for pH 9.5–11.0). The optimal temperature range required for growth (6, 12, 18, 24, 30, 35, 40, 45) was tested at pH 7. Hydrolysis of starch, casein, CMC, tween 20, 40, 80, gelatin, urea, oxidase, catalase, nitrate reduction, indole production, Voges-Proskauer, citrate utilization and other biochemical and carbohydrate tests were carried out as described previously (Divyasree et al [2017](#page-9-18); Smibert and Krieg [1981\)](#page-10-15).

The antibiotic susceptibility test was performed using the Icosa G-I Plus fat circular ring (Himedia) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Antimicrobials used and the amounts per disc (Oxoid) were as follows (µg/disk): ampicillin (10), gentamicin (10), erythromycin (15), tetracycline (30), cephalothin (30), chloramphenicol (30), clindamycin (2), co-trimoxazole (25), ofloxacin (5), vancomycin (30), oxacillin (1), linezolid (30), azithromycin (15), amikacin

(30), clarithromycin (15), teicoplanin (10), methicillin (5), amoxyclav (30), novobiocin (5), and penicillin (10). Susceptibility or resistance was checked by the presence or absence of an inhibitory zone after 48 h of incubation at 30 $^{\circ}$ C. Enzyme activities were assayed using the API ZYM kit (Biomerieux, France) according to the manufacturer's protocol.

Chemotaxonomic characterization

Cultures grown in nutrient broth were harvested (10,000 g at 4 \degree C for 10 min) when the growth reached around 70% of the maximal optical density (late exponential growth phase). The pellet was used for the analysis of cellular fatty acid, polar lipid and quinone. Cellular fatty acids were methylated, separated, and identifed according to instructions for the Microbial Identifcation System [Microbial ID; MIDI 6.0 version; method, RTSBA6 (Sasser [1990\)](#page-10-16) and outsourced to Royal Life Sciences Pvt. Ltd, Secunderabad, India. For both strains, polar lipids were extracted, separated, and characterized as described previously (Kates [1972](#page-9-19); Oren et al. [1996\)](#page-10-17). Quinones were extracted with a chloroform/methanol (2:1, v/v) mixture, purifed by TLC and analysed by HPLC

(Imhoff 1984). Cell wall amino acids for both strains were extracted and determined as studied previously (McKerrow et al. [2000](#page-10-18); Schleifer [1985;](#page-10-19) Schleifer and Kandler [1972\)](#page-10-20).

Results and discussions

Phylogenetic inference and genomic characteristics

EzBioCloud BLAST analysis for taxonomic identifcation using the partial 16S rRNA gene (1344 bp) sequenced using PCR amplifed product showed that strain $J\text{C}656^T$ has the highest identity with the members of the genus *Sinomonas*; *S. notoginsengisoli* (98.58%) being the closest. The full-length 16S rRNA gene (retrieved from the genome) based phylogenetic tree with combined bootstrap values obtained from individual NJ, ME, and ML trees is shown in Fig. S1 and the ninety-two core gene-based phylogenomic tree (Fig. [1](#page-3-0)) confrmed the clustering of strain J_C656^T with its closest related species of the genus *Sinomonas*.

The genome size of strain $J\text{C}656$ ^T was 3.9 Mb with N_{50} value 269,315 bp, while the genome of *S*. *notoginsengisoli* was 3.5 Mb with an N_{50} value of

261,769 bp. The genomic $G+C$ content (mol%) of strain JC656T and *S. notoginsengisoli* was 69.9% and 68.8%, respectively. The gANI and *d*DDH val-ues (Fig. [2\)](#page-4-0) of strain $J\text{C}656$ ^T with members of the genus *Sinomanas* fall below the recommended cutoff values i.e., 95-96% and 70% for prokaryotic species delineation (Rodriguez and Konstantinidis [2016;](#page-10-21) Meier-Kolthoff et al. [2014](#page-10-22); Chun et al. [2018](#page-9-21)). The genome data analysis through RAST of strain JC656T and other members of the genus *Sinomonas* is given in Table S1. The genome sequences of strain JC656T and members of the genus *Sinomonas* were aligned using PATRIC software to identify the multiple maximal matches and local collinear blocks (LCBs; [www.patricbrc.org\)](http://www.patricbrc.org). The genome sequence of strain $J\text{C}656^T$ was used as a reference. The alignment of LCBs in the genus *Sinomonas* varied greatly from one another (Fig. S2). The genomic arrangement of strain $J\text{C}656$ ^T appeared to be rearranged and inverted when compared to the other genomes as a result of DNA rearrangements, recombination, and horizontal transfer (Fig. S2) (Davis et al. [2020\)](#page-9-22). The comparison of the genome map based on protein sequence identity also revealed that the majority of the proteins of strain JC656^T shared 20–80% similarity with those of the clade members of *Sinomonas* (Fig. S3). This indicated a clear-cut dissimilarity between strain $J\text{C}656^T$ and other *Sinomonas* strains. The whole-genome orthologous gene and protein comparisons among the species of the genus *Sinomonas* performed based on orthovenn2 show that they form 5043 clusters, among which 3693 orthologous clusters were present in at least two species and 1350 single-copy gene clusters. The genome of strain $J\text{C}656$ ^T showed 3457 orthologous and 33 single-ton copy gene clusters and showed diferences in the distribution of orthologous gene clusters. Three clusters as shown in Fig. S4 do not have proteins from strain $J\text{C}656^T$.

Analysis of core and pan-genome

The distribution of genes based on USEARCH clustering for the genus *Sinomonas* as given by Bacterial Pangenome analysis pipeline (BPGA) tool (Chaudhari et al. [2016](#page-9-23)) is depicted in Table S1. Members of the genus *Sinomonas* share 1647 core genes (9.31%), 10,785 accessory genes (60.9%), and 5258 strainspecifc genes (29.7%) (Fig. S5). The core-pan plot revealed that the genus *Sinomonas* has an open pangenome since it did not plateau and expanded with the increase in genomes (Fig. S6). Strain $J\text{C}656$ ^T showed diferences in the number of unique genes (550), and the majority of the unique genes were associated with cellulose degradation and other metabolic process.

In-silico metabolic characterization

The CAZy annotation of the genome of strain $J\text{C}656$ ^T reveals a number of genes encoding carbohydrateactive enzymes (CAZymes). Strain $JC656^T$ contains genes encoding glycoside hydrolases (GH), followed by glycosyl transferases (GT), and carbohydrate esterases (CE) (Fig. S7). The members of the genus *Sinomonas* were reported to be involved in cellulose degradation, nitrogen fxation, phosphate solubilization, aromatic compound degradation, antimicrobial activity and desulphurization (Rao et al. [2018](#page-10-7)). The *in-silico* metabolic annotation of strain JC656^T along with other members of the genus *Sinomonas* helps in exploring the metabolic potential of the strain and also helps in understanding its ecological signifcance. Genes encoding for enzymes like Endo β-1,4 gluconisidase (E.C.3.2.1.4) and β-glucosidase (E.C.3.2.1.21) involved in the degradation of cellulose, Pho regulon genes like phoB, phoU, and phoR, phosphate transporter genes, alkaline phosphatase (EC 3.1.3.1) and polyphosphate kinase (EC 2.7.4.1) involved in phosphate solubilization were predicted in the genome of strain $JCG56^T$. The genes involved in the synthesis of phytochemicals like mugineic acid and tad genes located on wide spread colonization islands (WCI) which help in encoding the machinery required for the assembly of adhesive Flp (fmbrial low-molecular-weight protein) pili, were also predicted in the genome of strain $J\text{C}656^T$. These tad genes are essential for bioflm formation, colonization, and pathogenesis, and may also play an important role in enhancing plant growth (Tomich et al. [2007](#page-10-23)). The genes coding for enzymes like tryptophan synthase alpha chain (EC 4.2.1.20), aromatic-L-amino-acid decarboxylase (EC 4.1.1.28), anthranilate phosphoribosyltransferase (EC 2.4.2.18), tryptophan synthase beta chain (EC 4.2.1.20), monoamine oxidase (1.4.3.4), phosphoribosylanthranilate isomerase (EC 5.3.1.24) and excinuclease ABC subunit C responsible for auxin biosynthesis were, also predicted in the genome of strain $J\text{C}656$ ^T indicating the importance of the strain in promoting the proliferation of phumids. Genes responsible for allantoin

utilization, nitrate and nitrite ammonifcation, ammonia assimilation and denitrifcation were predicted in genome of strain $JCG56^T$ which helps in nutrient cycling of Loktak lake (Singh and Kalamdhad [2014](#page-10-24)). The complete gene cluster responsible for the aromatization of cyclohexane carboxylate degradation pathway and benzoate degradation was predicted in the genome of strain $J\text{C}656^T$ (Yamamoto et al. [2021](#page-10-25)). Incomplete pathways of benzoate, aminobenzoate, fuorobenzoate degradation, chloroalkane, chloroalkene, toluene, xylene, ethylbenzene degradation, naphthalene and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon degradation were also predicted in the genome of strain $JCG56^T$ indicating the importance of phumids in recycling not only plant-derived organic compounds but also other xenobiotics (Puranik et al. [2016\)](#page-10-26). Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) genes like vanY of the vanA cluster, vanW of vanG and cluster APH (3′)-Ia aiding in the inactivation of the aminoglycoside class of antibiotics were also predicted in the genome of strain JC656^T.

A total of 10 putative secondary metabolite gene clusters were predicted among the members of the genus *Sinomonas*. The gene clusters responsible for the biosynthesis of betalactone, non-alpha polyamino acids like e-Polylysin (NAPAA), terpene and type III Polyketide synthase (T3PKS) were predicted in all the members (Fig. S9).

Morphological, physiological analysis and biochemical analysis

Strain $J\text{C}656^T$ was found to be gram-positive, aerobic, non-motile and rod-shaped $(2-4$ L \times 0.2–0.5 W µm; Fig. S10). Colonies were observed to be round, yellow, and convex on the nutrient agar medium. Strain JC656T and *S. notoginsengisoli* SYP-B575T could grow in nutrient broth at 28 °C for 3 days. Strain $J\text{C}656^T$ grew well in the temperature range of 14–37 °C (optimum 28 °C), whereas *S. notoginsengisoli* SYP-B575T could grow well in temperature range of 17–41 °C (optimum 30 °C). Both strains could grow well in the pH range of 6.0–8.0 (optimum pH 7). Strain $JCG56^T$ did not require NaCl for its growth; however it could tolerate up to 6.0% (w/v) whereas *S. notoginsengisoli* could tolerate up to 5.0% (w/v). Strain $JCG56^T$ hydrolysed tween 40 and cellulose, while tween 80, starch, gelatin, and casein were not

 $\overline{\underline{\bigcirc}}$ Springer

Table 1 (continued)

*Data presented were performed in the author's laboratory W: weakly positive and ND- Not Determined

hydrolysed. Strain $J\text{C}656$ ^T was positive for catalase, and Vogues-Proskauer, whereas urease, and nitrate reduction were negative. Both strains utilized the following carbon sources for their growth: glucose, b-mannitol, p-lactose, p-raffinose, p-maltose, p-galactose and citric acid. Mannose, p-sorbitol, p-fructose and **D-cellubiose** were solely utilized by *S. notoginsengisoli* SYP-B575T (Table [1;](#page-6-0) Fig. S8).

Strain $J\text{C}656$ ^T showed positive results for easterase (C4), easterase lipase (C8) and α -monosidase, while *S. notoginsengisoli* showed positive for easterase (C4) and easterase lipase (C8). Both strains were negative for lipase, valine arylamidase, cystine arylamidase, α-galactosidase and *N*-acetyl-β-glucosaminidase (Table [1\)](#page-6-0). Antibiotic susceptibility also revealed that strain $J\text{C}656^T$ was resistant to ampicillin, vancomycin and amoxiclav, whereas *S. notoginsengisoli* SYP- $B575^T$ was resistant to ampicillin and methicillin. The diference in growth and physiological characteristics between strain $JCG56^T$ and its closest relatives on different organic substrates are given in Table [1.](#page-6-0)

Chemotaxonomic characterization

The major fatty acids identified in strain $J\text{C}656^T$ were anteiso- $C_{15:0}$, iso- $C_{16:0}$, anteiso- $C_{17:0}$. The differences in fatty acid profile of strain $J\text{C}656$ ^T along with the type strains of the genus *Sinomonas* are given in Table S2. The polar lipids of strain J_{C656}^T contained diphosphatidylglycerol, phosphatidylinositol, phosphatidylglycerol, phosphatidylmonomethylethanolamine, glycolipids (GL1-GL5), and an unidentifed lipid (Fig. S11). Strain $JCG56^T$ contained menaquinone-9 (H2) as the predominant quinone. Lysine, alanine, glutamine, DAP, and two unidentifed amino acids were detected as the diagnostic cell wall amino acids of strain $JCG56^T$ (Fig. S12; Table S3).

Proposal of strain $JCG56^T$ as the type strain of a new species in the genus *Sinomonas*

The genome-based and phylogenetic delineation of strain $JCG56^T$ from its closest phylogenetic neighbour, *S. notoginsengisoli* KCTC 29237T is well demonstrated by the diferences in phylogenetic, chemotaxonomic, phenotypic, and genotypic properties presented in this study (Figs. [1](#page-3-0), [2](#page-4-0); S1; Tables [1](#page-6-0), S1, S3). Therefore, we suggest the placement of strain JC656T as a novel species in the genus *Sinomonas*,

for which we propose the name *Sinomonas cellulolyticus* sp. nov.

Description of *Sinomonas cellulolyticus* sp. nov.

Sinomonas cellulolyticus (cel.lu.lo.ly'ti.cus. M. L. n. *cellulosum*, cellulose; Gr. adj. *lyticus*, dissolving; M. L. adj. *cellulolyticus*, decomposing cellulose).

Cells are gram-stain-positive, aerobic, non-motile and rod shaped. Colonies are yellow coloured, circular, convex and 2.0–3.0 mm in diameter after 3 days of cultivation at 28 °C on nutrient agar. Optimum temperature and pH for growth are $28 \degree C$ (range 14–37 °C) and 7.0 (6.0–8.0), respectively. NaCl is not essential for growth (tolerate up to 6% v/w). Hydrolysed tween 40 and cellulose, while tween 80, starch, gelatin, and casein are not hydrolysed. Catalase, and Vogues-Proskauer are positive; urease and nitrate reduction are negative. Glucose, inositol, citric acid, D-galactose, D-mannitol, D-lactose, D-lactose, D-raffinose and D -maltose are used as sole carbon sources. ^l-glutamic acid, l-alanine, and l-aspartic acid are utilized as nitrogen sources. Major fatty acids are anteiso-C_{15:0}, iso-C_{16:0}, anteiso-C_{17:0}. The major cell wall amino acids are alanine, glutamine, lysine, DAP and two unidentifed amino acids. Menaquinone-9 (H2) is the predominant respiratory quinone and the polar lipid consists of diphosphatidylglycerol, phosphatidylinositol, phosphatidylglycerol, phosphatidylmonomethylethanolamine, glycolipid (GL1-GL5) and an unidentifed lipid. The genome size is 3.9 Mb with a genomic DNA G+C content of 69.9%.

The type strain $JCG56^T$ (=KCTC 49339=NBRC 114142) was isolated from the rhizosphere soil of *Alisma plantago-aquatica* foating island (Phumdis) collected from Loktak lake, India. The GenBank accession number for the 16S rRNA gene sequence of strain $J\text{C}656^T$ is ON908988 and the genome sequence has been deposited in GenBank under the accession number JAERRC010000000.

Acknowledgements Ch Ramana would like to thank the University of Hyderabad, the Institute of Eminence (IoE) and DBT-BILDER for providing fnancial support.

Author contributions KL performed sample collection, isolated the strain, performed the initial cultivation, strain deposition and strain characterization; KL, JU, SC and CVR performed the genomic and phylogenetic analysis; and KL and SA performed the cell wall analysis. All authors participated in the data fnalization, wrote up and approved the fnal version of the manuscript.

Funding This work received a specifc grant from the UoH IoE.

Data availability The GenBank/EMBL/DDBJ accession number for the 16S rRNA gene sequence of the strain J_C656^T is ON908988. The GenBank/EMBL/DDBJ accession number for the whole genome shotgun sequence for strain $J\text{C}656^T$ is NZ_JAERRC01000000.

Declarations

Confict of interest The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Ethical statement Not applicable.

References

- Alcock BP, Huynh W, Chalil R, Smith KW, Raphenya AR, Wlodarski MA, Wlodarski MA, Edalatmand A, Petkau A, Syed SA, Tsang KK, Baker SJ (2023) CARD 2023: expanded curation, support for machine learning, and resistome prediction at the comprehensive antibiotic resistance database. Nucleic Acids Res 51:D690–D699. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkac920>
- Auch AF, Klenk HP, Göker M (2010) Standard operating procedure for calculating genome-to-genome distances based on high-scoring segment pairs. Stand Genomic Sci 2:142– 148. <https://doi.org/10.4056/sigs.541628>
- Aziz RK, Bartels D, Best AA, DeJongh M, Disz T, Edwards RA, Formsma K, Gerdes S, Glass EM, Kubal M, Meyer F (2008) The RAST server:rapid annotations using subsystems technology. BMC Genomics 9:75. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-9-75) [1186/1471-2164-9-75](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-9-75)
- Bao YY, Huang Z, Mao DM, Sheng XF, He LY (2015) *Sinomonas susongensis* sp. nov., isolated from the surface of weathered biotite. Int J Sys Evol Microbiol 65:1133–1137. <https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.000064>
- Béguin P, Aubert JP (1994) The biological degradation of cellulose. FEMS Microbiol Rev 13:25–58. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6976.1994.tb00033.x) [1111/j.1574-6976.1994.tb00033.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6976.1994.tb00033.x)
- Biebl H, Pfennig N (1981) Isolation of member of the family *Rhodosprillacaea*. The Prokaryotes. Springer-Verlag, New York, pp 267–273
- Blin K, Shaw S, Augustijn HE, Reitz ZL, Biermann F, Alanjary M, Fetter A, Terlouw BR, Metcalf WW, Helfrich EJ, van Wezel GP (2023) antiSMASH 7.0: new and improved predictions for detection, regulation, chemical structures and visualisation. Nucleic Acids Res 41:W46–W50. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkad344) doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkad344
- Chaudhari NM, Gupta VK, Dutta C (2016) BPGA-an ultra-fast pan-genome analysis pipeline. Sci Rep 6(1):24373
- Chun J, Oren A, Ventosa A, Christensen H, Arahal DR, da Costa MS, Rooney AP, Yi H, Xu XW, Meyer SD,

Trujillo ME (2018) Proposed minimal standards for the use of genome data for the taxonomy of prokaryotes. Int J Sys Evol Microbiol 68:461–466. [https://doi.org/10.1099/](https://doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.002516) [ijsem.0.002516](https://doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.002516)

- Davis JJ, Wattam AR, Aziz RK, Brettin T, Butler R, Butler RM, Stevens R (2020) The PATRIC bioinformatics resource center: expanding data and analysis capabilities. Nucleic Acids Res 48(D1):D606–D612
- Ding L, Hirose T, Yokota A (2009) Four novel *Arthrobacter* species isolated from filtration substrate. Int J Sys Evol
Microbiol 59:856–862. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0. [https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.](https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.65301-0) [65301-0](https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.65301-0)
- Divyasree B, Suresh G, Sasikala C, Ramana C (2017) *Chryseobacterium salipaludis* sp. nov., isolated at a wild ass sanctuary. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 68:542–546. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.002536) [org/10.1099/ijsem.0.002536](https://doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.002536)
- Edgar RC (2004) MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. Nucleic Acids Res 32:1792–1797.<https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkh340>
- Felsenstein J (1985) Confdence limits on phylogenies: an approach using the bootstrap. Evolution 39:783–791. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.1985.tb00420.x>
- Fu Y, Yan R, Liu D, Zhao J, Song J, Wang X, Cui L, Zhang J, Xiang W (2019) Characterization of Sinomonas gamaensis sp. Nov., a novel soil bacterium with antifungal activity against *Exserohilum turcicum*. Microorganisms 7:170. <https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms7060170>
- Gandham S, Lodha T, Chintalapati S, Chintalapati VR (2018) *Rhodobacter alkalitolerans* sp. nov., isolated from an alkaline brown pond. Arch Microbiol 200:1487–1492. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00203-018-1561-8>
- Guo QQ, Ming H, Meng XL, Huang JR, Duan YY, Li SH, Li S, Zhang JX, Li WJ, Nie GX (2015) *Sinomonas halotolerans* sp. nov., an actinobacterium isolated from a soil sample. Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek 108:887–895. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10482-015-0543-y) [org/10.1007/s10482-015-0543-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10482-015-0543-y)
- Imhoff JF (1984) Quinones of phototrophic purple bacteria. FEMS Microbiol Lett 25:85–89. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.1984.tb01381.x) [1574-6968.1984.tb01381.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.1984.tb01381.x)
- Johnson M, Zaretskaya I, Raytselis Y, Merezhuk Y, McGinnis S, Madden TL (2008) NCBI BLAST: a better web interface. Nucleic Acids Res 36:W5–W9. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkn201) [1093/nar/gkn201](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkn201)
- Kanehisa M, Sato Y, Morishima K (2016) BlastKOALA and GhostKOALA: KEGG tools for functional characterization of genome and metagenome sequences. J Mol Biol 428:726–731.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2015.11.006>
- Kanehisa M, Sato Y, Kawashima M (2022) KEGG mapping tools for uncovering hidden features in biological data. Protein Sci 31:47–53. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pro.4172>
- Kates M (1972) Techniques in lipidology. In: Laboratory techniques in biochemistry and molecular biology. American Elsevier Publishing Company, Vol. 3, pp 355–356
- Kimura M (1980) A simple method for estimating evolutionary rates of base substitutions through comparative studies of nucleotide sequences. J Mol Evol 16:111–120. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01731581) [org/10.1007/BF01731581](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01731581)
- Kuhn DA, Starr MP (1960) *Arthrobacter atrocyaneus*, n. sp., and its blue pigment. Arch Mikrobiol 36:175–181. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00412285) doi.org/10.1007/BF00412285
- Kumar S, Stecher G, Tamura K (2016) MEGA7: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 7.0 for bigger datasets. Mol Bio Evol 33:1870–1874. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msw054) [1093/molbev/msw054](https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msw054)
- Lee LH, Azman AS, Zainal N, Yin WF, Mutalib NSA, Chan KG (2015) *Sinomonas humi* sp. nov., an amylolytic actinobacterium isolated from mangrove forest soil. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 65:996–1002. [https://doi.org/10.1099/](https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.000053) [ijs.0.000053](https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.000053)
- Luo R, Liu B, Xie Y, Li Z, Huang W, Yuan J, He G, Chen Y, Pan Q, Liu Y, Tang J (2012) SOAPdenovo2: an empirically improved memory-efficient short-read de novo assembler. Gigascience 1:2047–2217. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1186/2047-217X-1-18) [1186/2047-217X-1-18](https://doi.org/10.1186/2047-217X-1-18)
- McKerrow J, Vagg S, McKinney T, Seviour EM, Maszenan AM, Brooks P, Seviour RJ (2000) A simple HPLC method for analysing diaminopimelic acid diastereomers in cell walls of Gram-positive bacteria. Lett Appl Microbiol 30:178–182. [https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1472-765x.](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1472-765x.2000.00675.x) [2000.00675.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1472-765x.2000.00675.x)
- Meier-Kolthof JP, Klenk HP, Göker M (2014) Taxonomic use of DNA G+ C content and DNA–DNA hybridization in the genomic age. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 64:352–356. <https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.056994-0>
- Na SI, Kim YO, Yoon SH, Ha SM, Baek I, Chun J (2018) UBCG: Up-to-date bacterial core gene set and pipeline for phylogenomic tree reconstruction. J Microbiol 56:280– 285. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12275-018-8014-6>
- Oren A, Duker S, Ritter S (1996) The polar lipid composition of Walsby's square bacterium. FEMS MicrobiolLett 138:135–140. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.1996.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.1996.tb08146) [tb08146](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.1996.tb08146)
- Prabhu DM, Quadri SR, Cheng J, Liu L, Chen W, Yang Y, Hozzein WN, Lingappa K, Li WJ (2015) *Sinomonas mesophila* sp. nov., isolated from ancient fort soil. J Antibiot 68:318–321. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ja.2014.161>
- Puranik S, Pal RR, More RP, Purohit HJ (2016) Metagenomic approach to characterize soil microbial diversity of Phumdi at Loktak Lake. Water Sci Technol 74:2075– 2086.<https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2016.370>
- Rao MPN, Xiao M, Li WJ (2018) Characterization of the Genus *Sinomonas*: from taxonomy to applications. In: New and future developments in microbial biotechnology and bioengineering, Elsevier, pp 179–190. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-63994-3.00012-6) [10.1016/B978-0-444-63994-3.00012-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-63994-3.00012-6)
- Reddy HR, Katti RJ, Raveesha KP, Vikas SJ, Babu RN, Kumar KS (2005) Habitat heterogeneity of the Loktak lake. Manipur Curr Sci 88:1027
- Richter M, Rosselló-Móra R (2009) Shifting the genomic gold standard for the prokaryotic species defnition. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 106:19126–19131. [https://doi.org/10.1073/](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0906412106) [pnas.0906412106](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0906412106)
- Rodriguez-R LM, Konstantinidis KT (2016) The enveomics collection: a toolbox for 4 specialized analyses of microbial genomes and metagenomes. Peer J Preprints 4:e1900v1. <https://doi.org/10.7287/peerj.preprints.1900v1>
- Sasser M (1990) Identifcation of bacteria by gas chromatography of cellular fatty acids. MIDI Technical note 101. MIDI Inc, Newark, DE
- Schleifer KH (1985) Analysis of the chemical composition and primary structure of murein. Meth Microbiol 18:123–156. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0580-9517\(08\)70474-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0580-9517(08)70474-4)
- Schleifer KH, Kandler O (1972) Peptidoglycan types of bacterial cell walls and their taxonomic implications. Bacteriol Rev 36:407–477
- Singh WR, Kalamdhad AS (2014) Potential for composting of green phumdi biomass of Loktak lake. Ecol Eng 67:119– 126. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2014.03.086>
- Smibert RM, Krieg NR (1981) General characterization. In: Gerhardt P, Murray RGE, Costilow RN, Nester EW, Wood WA et al. (eds.), Manual of methods for general microbiology. Washington, DC: Am Society Microbiol 24:409–443
- Susilowati DN, Sudiana I, Mubarik NR, Agatis J, Campus D (2015) Species and functional diversity of rhizobacteria of rice plant in the coastal soils of Indonesia. Indones J Agri Sci 16:39–50
- Tomich M, Planet PJ, Figurski DH (2007) The tad locus: postcards from the widespread colonization island. Nat Rev Microbiol 5:363–375. [https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmic](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro1636) [ro1636](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro1636)
- Wu L, Ma J (2019) The Global Catalogue of Microorganisms (GCM) 10K type strain sequencing project: providing services to taxonomists for standard genome sequencing and annotation. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 69:895–898. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.003276) doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.003276
- Xu L, Dong Z, Fang L, Luo Y, Wei Z, Guo H, Zhang G, Gu YQ, Coleman-Derr D, Xia Q, Wang Y (2019) OrthoVenn2: a web server for whole-genome comparison and annotation of orthologous clusters across multiple species. Nucleic Acids Res 47:W52–W58. [https://doi.org/10.1093/](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkz333) [nar/gkz333](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkz333)
- Yamamoto T, Hasegawa Y, Lau PC, Iwaki H (2021) Identifcation and characterization of a chc gene cluster responsible for the aromatization pathway of cyclohexanecarboxylate degradation in *Sinomonas cyclohexanicum* ATCC 51369. J Biosci Bioeng 132:621–629. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiosc.2021.08.013) [jbiosc.2021.08.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiosc.2021.08.013)
- Yoon SH, Ha SM, Kwon S, Lim J, Kim Y, Seo H, Chun J (2017) Introducing EzBioCloud: a taxonomically united database of 16S rRNA and whole genome assemblies. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 67:1613–1617. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.001755) [1099/ijsem.0.001755](https://doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.001755)
- Zhang MY, Xie J, Zhang TY, Xu H, Cheng J, Li SH, Li WJ, Zhang YX (2014) *Sinomonas notoginsengisoli* sp. nov., isolated from the rhizosphere of Panax notoginseng. Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek 106:827–835. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10482-014-0252-y) [10.1007/s10482-014-0252-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10482-014-0252-y)
- Zheng J, Ge Q, Yan Y, Zhang X, Huang L, Yin Y (2023) dbCAN3: automated carbohydrate-active enzyme and substrate annotation. Nucleic Acids Res 51:W115–W121. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkad328>
- Zhou Y, Wei W, Wang X, Lai R (2009) Proposal of *Sinomonas fava* gen. nov., sp. nov., and description of *Sinomonas atrocyanea* comb. nov. to accommodate *Arthrobacter atrocyaneus*. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 59:259–263. <https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.000695-0>
- Zhou Y, Chen X, Zhang Y, Wang W, Xu J (2012) Description of *Sinomonas soli* sp. nov., reclassifcation of *Arthrobacter echigonensis* and *Arthrobacter albidus* (Ding et al. 2009)

as *Sinomonas echigonensis* comb. nov. and *Sinomonas albida* comb. nov., respectively, and emended description of the genus *Sinomonas*. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 62:764–769. <https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.030361-0>

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.