S.I. : BUSINESS ANALYTICS AND OPERATIONS RESEARCH



On a queueing inventory problem with necessary and optional inventories

Dhanya Shajin¹ · Jaison Jacob² · A. Krishnamoorthy³

Accepted: 30 January 2021 / Published online: 22 February 2021 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Science+Business Media, LLC part of Springer Nature 2021

Abstract

Queueing inventory models are extensively analysed since 1992. Very few among these discuss multi-commodity system. In this paper, we present a multi-commodity queueing inventory problem involving one essential and a set of *m* optional item(s). Immediately after the service of an essential item, the customer either leaves the system with probability p or with probability 1-p he goes for optional item(s). However, in the absence of an essential item, service will not be provided. More than one optional item can be demanded by the customer. The *i*th optional item or *i*th and *j*th optional items or *i*th, *j*th and kth and so on or all the optional items together, could be demanded by a customer, with probabilities p_i , p_{iik} $\dots p_{12\dots m}$ respectively. If the demanded optional item(s) is(are) not available, the customer leaves the system after purchasing the essential item. With the arrival of customers forming Markovian Arrival Process (MAP), service time of essential item Phase type distributed and that for optional items exponentially distributed (depending on the type(s) of item(s)), all given by the same (single) server, we analyse the system. Then we obtain the system state probability distribution. In-order to get a picture of how the system performs, we derive several characteristics of the system. With control policies for essential and optional items determined respectively, by (s, S) and $(s_i, S_i), i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, m$, we investigate the optimal values of s, S, s_i and S_i s'. To this end, we set up a cost function, involving these control variables.

Keywords Essential item · Optional item(s) · Queueing-inventory system · Cost function

Research supported by UGC No.F.6-6/2017-18/EMERITUS-2017-18-GEN-10822(SA-II) and DST Project INT/RUS/RSF/P-5.

A. Krishnamoorthy achyuthacusat@gmail.com

> Dhanya Shajin dhanya.shajin@gmail.com

Jaison Jacob jaisjacobt@gmail.com

¹ Department of Mathematics, Sree Narayana College, Chempazhanthy, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala 695587, India

² Department of Mathematics, St. Aloysius College, Thrissur, Kerala 680611, India

³ Centre for Research in Mathematics, CMS College, Kottayam, Kottayam, Kerala 686001, India

1 Introduction

Inventory with positive service time or Queueing Inventory (QI) got attention of researchers since 1992 (Melikov and Molchanov 1992; Sigman and Simchi-Levi 1992). Subsequently Berman and Kim (1999), Berman et al. (1993), Berman and Sapna (2002) and later many others contributed to this area of research. A breakthrough occurred when product form solution was introduced in queuing inventory models. The pioneers in this direction are Schwarz M, Daduna H et al. (see their publications Schwarz et al. 2006; Schwarz and Daduna 2006; Schwarz et al. 2007). A few others (such as Saffari et al. 2013; Krishnamoorthy and Viswanath 2013; Baek and Moon 2014 etc.) also contributed to this direction of thoughts. An extensive survey on QI is given in Krishnamoorthy et al. (2019). Unfortunately they missed three papers of the Daduna group. These are given as references Otten et al. (2020), Daduna and Krenzler (2020) and Daduna (2020).

The above mentioned work are all based on a single type commodity. To the best of our knowledge no work is so far reported on multi-commodity QI. Unlike classical inventory on multi commodity, Queueing Inventory with multi-commodity set up looks more complex and hence challenging. In this paper we introduce such a model. Real life examples of the model considered are abundant:

- Consider a dealer of automobiles, for example car. Assume that all stocked items are base models. Thus only the very essential items are in it. Customers, buying the cars, could ask for optional (not essential) items at additional cost. They have the freedom to buy item(s) of their choice. They may prefer not to buy the demanded optional items, in case, one or more of them are out of stock. However, this assumption is not required, though we have introduced that in the modelling.
- 2. An agency sells a single type machines. This is the main item customers ask for. There are m optional items also that customers demand while purchasing the main item. Thus we have an inventory of m+1 items, of which item one is the main which is required by all customers. Some customers do not purchase optional items, others go for one or more of these, depending on the individual taste. We investigate this system in depth.
- 3. Tiller for ploughing fields. Tiller, with minimum required materials for its operation, is on sales. Customers could buy additional items for further safety. A few may opt not to buy any of these, a few others may buy exactly one such item, or two additional items and so on, a few may opt to buy all those additional items.

First we give a glimpse of what has been done in multi-commodity inventory models. We do not claim this list to be complete. Faiz and Hwang (2007) discuss Inventory constrained maritime routing and scheduling for liquid multi-commodity in bulk. They formulate a model for finding a minimum cost routing in a network for a heterogeneous fleet of ships engaged in pickup and delivery of several liquid bulk products. This especially happens while transporting oil and some byproducts from a main port to various other ports. The problem is formulated as a mixed integer non-linear programming problem.

Sadjady and Davoudpour (2012) examine a two-echelon, multi-commodity supply chain network design with mode selection, lead-times and inventory costs. The authors analyse a two-echelon supply chain network design problem in deterministic, single-period, multicommodity contexts. The problem involves both strategic and tactical levels of supply chain planning including locating and sizing manufacturing plants and distribution warehouses, assigning the retailers' demands to the warehouses, and the warehouses to the plants and, finally selecting transportation modes. The authors formulate the problem as a mixed integer programming model, which integrates the above mentioned decisions. The authors aim at minimizing total cost of the network including transportation, lead-times, and inventory holding costs for products, as well as opening and operating costs for facilities. Further they develop an efficient Lagrangian based heuristic solution algorithm for solving the real-sized problems in reasonable computational time.

Jin et al. (2009) discuss optimal model and algorithm for multi-commodity logistics network design considering stochastic demand and inventory control. A simultaneous approach that incorporates inventory control decision into facility location model is proposed. This is used to solve the multi-commodity logistics network design problem. Based on the assumption that the stochastic demands of the retailers are normally distributed, a non-linear mixed integer programming model, that simultaneously describe the inventory decision and the facility location decision, is presented. In this, the objective is to minimize the total cost that include location costs, inventory costs, and transportation costs under the certain service level. The Combined Simulated Annealing (CSA) algorithm is developed to solve the problem.

Askin et al. (2014) examine a multi-commodity warehouse location and distribution planning with inventory consideration. The problem of designing a distribution network for a logistics provider that acquires products from multiple facilities and then delivers those products to many retail outlets is discussed. Potential locations for consolidation facilities that combine shipments for cost reduction and service improvements are considered. The problem is formulated with direct shipment and consolidation opportunities. A novel mathematical model is derived to solve a complex facility location problem determining: (i) the location and capacity level of warehouses to open; (ii) the distribution route from each production facility to each retailer outlet; and (iii) the quantity of products stocked at each warehouse and retailer. A genetic algorithm and a specific problem heuristic are designed, tested and compared on several realistic scenarios.

Araya-Sassi et al. (2020) discuss a multi-commodity inventory-location problem with two different review inventory control policies and modular stochastic capacity constraints. They introduced two novel multi-commodity inventory-location models considering continuous and periodic review inventory control policies and modular stochastic capacity constraints. The models address a logistic problem in which a single plant supplies a set of commodities to warehouses where they serve a set of customers or retailers. The problem consists of determining which warehouses should be opened, which commodities are assigned, and which customers should be served by the located warehouses as well as their reorder points and order sizes in order to minimize costs of the system while satisfying service level requirements. This problem is formulated as a mixed-integer nonlinear programming model, which is non-convex in terms of modular stochastic capacity constraints and the objective function. A Lagrangian relaxation and the subgradient method solution approach is proposed. They consider the relaxation of three sets of constraints, including customer assignment, warehouse demand, and variance constraints. Thus a Lagrangian heuristic to determine a feasible integer solution at each iteration of the subgradient method is developed. An experimental study shows that the proposed algorithm provides good quality gaps and near-optimal solutions in a short time. It also evinces significant impacts of the selected inventory control policy into total costs and network design, including risk pooling effects, when it is compared with different review period values and continuous review.

Zadeh et al. (2013) examine a dynamic multi-commodity inventory and facility location problem in steel supply chain network design. This paper focuses on strategic and tactical design of Steel Supply Chain (SSC) networks. Ever-increasing demand for steel products enforces the steel producers to expand their production and storage capacities. The main

purpose of the paper includes preparing a countrywide production, inventory distribution, and capacity expansion plan to design an SSC.

Salient features of this paper are:-

- It considers multi-commodity inventory with positive service time.
- First paper to introduce optional items for service.
- Except for one item(essential), all others are optional.
- Customer demand process forms a Markovian arrival process (MAP).
- Service time of customers, being served with the essential inventory, follows phase type distribution and that w.r.t optional item(s) follows exponential distribution. The latter has parameter, depending on the specific item(s) demanded by the customer.

The rest of the paper is arranged as follows. Mathematical formulation is taken up in Sect. 2, which includes stability condition and steady state probability vector. Some important performance measures are derived in Sect. 3. A cost function for optimizing the control variables is given in Sects. 4 and 5 deals with numerical illustrations which includes the numerical analysis of cost function. Section 6 gives the conclusion and it is followed by References.

Some notations and abbreviations used in the sequel:

- (*s*, *S*) ordering policy: Maximum inventory level is *S* and when the inventory level comes down to *s*, order for replenishment is placed.
- e = Column vector of 1's of appropriate order.
- $\overline{0}$ = Zero matrix of appropriate order.
- I_n = Identity matrix of order n.
- $[A]_{ij} = (i, j)th$ element of the matrix A.
- CTMC : Continuous time Markov chain.
- LIQBD : Level Independent Quasi-Birth and Death process.
- *MAP* = Markovian arrival process.
- The Kronecker product of two given matrices $A_{m \times n}$ and $B_{p \times q}$ is $A \otimes B = ([A]_{ij}B)$ of order $mp \times nq$.
- The Kronecker sum of two square matrices C and D of orders m and n respectively is $C \oplus D = C \otimes I_n + I_m \otimes D$.
- Customer arrival process : $MAP(D_0, D_1)$ of order m_2 .
- Service time of customers w.r.t essential inventory: $PH(\gamma, T)$ of order m_1 .
- Service time of customers w.r.t optional inventories: $exp(\mu_i)$ for $1 \le i \le m$.

2 Mathematical formulation

Consider a single server multi-commodity queueing inventory system consisting of one essential and m optional inventories where the essential and optional inventories are under the control policies (s, S) and (s_i, S_i) for i = 1, 2, 3, ..., m, respectively. Customers arrive according to the Markovian arrival process (MAP)(see Chakravarthy 2001) with representation (D_0, D_1) of order m_2 . The arrival in MAP is a special class of semi-Marlov process with underlying CTMC ($\delta(t), t \ge 0$) on the state space $\{1, 2, 3, ..., m_2\}$ with generator $D = D_0 + D_1$ such that D_0 governs transitions corresponding to no arrivals and D_1 accounts for transitions corresponding to arrivals. These matrices, D_0 and D_1 , are of the form given

$$D_{0} = \begin{bmatrix} d_{11}^{(0)} & d_{12}^{(0)} & \cdots & d_{1m_{2}}^{(0)} \\ d_{21}^{(0)} & d_{22}^{(0)} & \cdots & d_{2m_{2}}^{(0)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ d_{m_{2}1}^{(0)} & d_{m_{2}2}^{(0)} & \cdots & d_{m_{2}m_{2}}^{(0)} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$D_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} d_{11}^{(1)} & d_{12}^{(1)} & \cdots & d_{1m_{2}}^{(1)} \\ d_{21}^{(1)} & d_{22}^{(1)} & \cdots & d_{2m_{2}}^{(1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ d_{m_{2}1}^{(1)} & d_{m_{2}2}^{(1)} & \cdots & d_{m_{2}m_{2}}^{(1)} \end{bmatrix}$$

where,

$$d_{ii}^{(0)} = -\left(\sum_{j=1, j\neq i}^{m_2} d_{ij}^{(0)} + \sum_{j=1}^{m_2} d_{ij}^{(1)}\right).$$

Thus $d_{ij}^{(1)}$, $1 \le i, j \le m_2$ represents the rate of transition from *i* to *j* through an arrival, while $d_{ij}^{(0)}$, $1 \le i, j \le m_2$, represents the rate of transition from *i* to *j* without an arrival. Note that transition from *i* to *i* is possible only through an arrival and not otherwise. Let η be the steady state probability vector of *D*. Then, η satisfy $\eta D=0$ and $\eta e=1$. The fundamental rate λ of this *MAP* is given by $\lambda = \eta D_1 e$ which gives the expected number of arrivals per unit of time.

Service time of customers being served with the essential inventory is phase type distributed with representation (γ, T) of order m_1 . This service time can be interpreted as the time untill the underlying Markov chain $(\zeta(t), t \ge 0)$ with a finite state space $\{1, 2, 3, ..., m_1+1\}$ gets absorbed into the single absorbing state $m_1 + 1$, conditioned on the fact that the initial state of this process is selected as one of the states $\{1, 2, 3, ..., m_1\}$ according to the initial probability vector $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2, ..., \gamma_{m_1})$. The transition rates within the set $\{1, 2, 3, ..., m_1\}$ are defined by the generator T and the absorption rates from the individual transient states to the absorption state is given by $T^0 = -Te$. The mean service time of the customer is calculated by $\mu' = -\gamma T^{-1}e$ (see Neuts 1981).

Service time of customers, being served with the optional inventories, are exponentially distributed with parameter μ_i , where $i \in \{i_1, i_1i_2, i_1i_2i_3, \dots, i_1i_2i_3 \dots i_m\}$ in which no element has any order preference, for example $i_ji_k = i_ki_j$ with $j \neq k$ where each $i_k \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, m\}$ for $j, k \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, m\}$.

In this model, after the service of the essential inventory, we assume that, with probability p the customer leaves the system or goes for optional item with complementary probability 1 - p. Each customer demands exactly one unit of the essential inventory whereas, demand for more than one type of optional inventories is permitted with a restriction of maximum one unit from each optional inventories. The *i*th optional item, *i*th and *j*th optional items so on and all the optional items together could be demanded with probability p_i , p_{ij} and $p_{12...m}$ respectively. If the demanded optional item(s) is(are) not available, the customer leaves the system after purchasing the essential item as well as whatever optional items he/she demanded are available. It is no essential inventory. The lead time for both

by



Fig. 1 Pictorial representation of the model

essential and optional inventories are exponentially distributed with parameters β and β_i for $1 \le i \le m$ respectively.

The structure of the system under study is given in Fig. 1.

Let N(t), I(t), $I_k(t)$, $J_1(t)$ and $J_2(t)$ denote respectively, the number of customers in the system, the number of items in the essential inventory, the number of items in the *kth* optional inventory for $1 \le k \le m$, phase of essential service and phase of arrival of the customer arrival process at time *t*. Also for any time *t* define the random variable C(t) to denote the status of the server as,

$$C(t) = \begin{cases} 0^* & \text{Idle server} \\ 0 & \text{Essential service} \\ 1 & 1^{st} \text{ optional service} \\ \vdots \\ jk & jth \text{ and } kth \text{ optional service together} \\ \vdots \\ jkl & jth, kth \text{ and } lth \text{ optional service together} \\ \vdots \\ 123, ..., m \text{ All optional service together} \end{cases}$$

Let Λ be the collection of all the possible combinations of optional items restricted to one from each kind and C_u denotes the server status for u optional items, $1 \le u \le m$. Thus the process $\Gamma = \{(N(t), I(t), C(t), I_1(t), I_2(t), \dots, I_m(t), J_1(t), J_2(t))), t \ge 0\}$ is a Continuous time Markov chain (*CTMC*) which is a Level Independent Quasi-Birth and Death process(*LIQBD*) with state space

 $\{(0, i, 0^*, i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m, j_2), 0 \le i \le S, 0 \le i_r \le S_r \text{ for } 1 \le r \le m, 1 \le j_2 \le m_2\} \\ \bigcup \{(n, 0, 0^*, i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m, j_2), n \ge 1, 0 \le i_r \le S_r \text{ for } 1 \le r \le m, 1 \le j_2 \le m_2\} \\ \bigcup \{(n, i, 0, i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m, j_1, j_2), n \ge 1, 1 \le i \le S, 0 \le i_r \le S_r \text{ for } 1 \le r \le m, 1 \le j_1 \le m_1, 1 \le j_2 \le m_2\} \\ \bigcup \{(n, i, C_1, i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m, j_2), n \ge 1, 1 \le i \le S, C_1 \in \Lambda, 1 \le j_2 \le m_2, for \text{ if } C_1 = l \text{ where } 1 \le l \le m \text{ then } 0 \le i_k \le S_k \text{ for } k \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\} - \{l\} \text{ and } 1 \le i_l \le S_l\} \\ \bigcup \{(n, i, C_2, i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m, j_2), n \ge 1, 1 \le i \le S, C_2 \in \Lambda, 1 \le j_2 \le m_2, for \text{ if } C_2 = lj \text{ where } l \ne j \le m \text{ then } 0 \le i_k \le S_k \text{ for } k \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\} - \{l, j\} \text{ and } 1 \le i_k \le S_k \text{ for } k \in \{l, j\}\} \\ \bigcup \{(n, i, C_3, i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m, j_2), n \ge 1, 1 \le i \le S, C_3 \in \Lambda, 1 \le j_2 \le m_2, for \text{ if } C_3 = hjl \text{ where } h \ne j \ne l, 1 \le h, j, l \le m \text{ then } 0 \le i_k \le S_k \text{ for } k \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\} - \{h, j, l\}$

The transitions rates are:

- 1. Transitions due to arrival of customers.
 - (a) $(0, i, 0^*, i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m, j_2) \to (1, i, 0, i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m, j_1, j'_2)$ at the rate $\gamma_{j_1}[D_1]_{j_2 j'_2}$ for $1 \le j_1 \le m_1$ and $1 \le j_2, j'_2 \le m_2$ where $1 \le i \le S; 0 \le i_r \le S_r$ for $1 \le r \le m$.
 - (b) $(n, i, 0, i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m, j_1, j_2) \to (n+1, i, 0, i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m, j'_1, j'_2)$ at the rate $[D_1]_{j_2 j'_2}$ for $j_1 = j'_1$ and rate is 0 when $j_1 \neq j'_1$ for $1 \le j_1, j'_1 \le m_1$ and $1 \le j_2, j'_2 \le m_2$ where $n \ge 1; 1 \le i \le S;$ $0 \le i_r \le S_r$ for $1 \le r \le m$.
 - (c) $(n, i, C_u, i_1, i_2, ..., i_m, j_2) \to (n + 1, i, C_u, i_1, i_2, ..., i_m, j'_2)$ at the rate $[D_1]_{j_2j'_2}$ for $1 \le j_2, j'_2 \le m_2$ where $1 \le i \le S$ and, when u = 1 and $C_1 = l; 1 \le l \le m$, then $0 \le i_k \le S_k$ for $k \in \{1, 2, ..., m\} - \{l\}$ and $1 \le i_l \le S_l$; u = 2 and $C_2 = lj, l \ne j, 1 \le l, j \le m$; then $0 \le i_k \le S_k$ for $k \in \{1, 2, ..., m\} - \{l, j\}$ and $1 \le i_k \le S_k$ for $k \in \{l, j\}$; u = 3 and $C_3 = hjl, h \ne j \ne l, 1 \le h, j, l \le m$; then $0 \le i_k \le S_k$ for $k \in \{1, 2, ..., m\} - \{l, 2, ..., m\} - \{h, j, l\}$ and $1 \le i_k \le S_k$ for $k \in \{h, j, l\}$; ... etc. u = m and $C_m = 123 \dots m$; then $1 \le i_k \le S_k$ for $k \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$.
- 2. Transitions due to the service of essential and optional items.
 - (a) $(1, i, C_u, i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l, \dots, i_m, j_2) \to (0, i, 0^*, i_1^*, i_2^*, \dots, i_l^*, \dots, i_m^*, j_2')$ at the rate μ_{C_u} for $1 \le j_2, j_2' \le m_2$ where $1 \le i \le S$ and, when u = 1 and $C_1 = l; 1 \le l \le m$, then $i_k^* = i_k$ and $0 \le i_k \le S_k$ for $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\} - \{l\}$ and $i_l^* = i_l - 1, 1 \le i_l \le S_l;$ u = 2 and $C_2 = lj, l \ne j, 1 \le l, j \le m;$ then $i_k^* = i_k, 0 \le i_k \le S_k$ for $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\} - \{l, j\}$ and $i_k^* = i_k - 1, 1 \le i_k \le S_k$ for $k \in \{l, j\};$ u = 3 and $C_3 = hjl, h \ne j \ne l, 1 \le h, j, l \le m;$ then, $i_k^* = i_k, 0 \le i_k \le S_k$ for $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\} - \{h, j, l\}$ and $i_k^* = i_k - 1, 1 \le i_k \le S_k$ for $k \in \{h, j, l\}; \dots$ etc. u = m and $C_m = 123 \dots m$, then $i_k^* = i_k - 1, 1 \le i_k \le S_k$ for $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}.$
 - (b) $(1, i, 0, i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l, \dots, i_m, j_1, j_2) \rightarrow (0, i 1, 0^*, i_1^*, i_2^*, \dots, i_l^*, \dots, i_m^*, j_2')$ at the rate T_{j_1} for $1 \le j_1 \le m_1; 1 \le j_2, j_2' \le m_2$ where $1 \le i \le S$ and $i_k = i_k^* = 0$ for $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$.
 - (c) $(1, i, 0, i_1, i_2, \dots, 0, \dots, i_m, j_1, j_2) \rightarrow (0, i 1, 0^*, i_1^*, i_2^*, \dots, 0, \dots, i_m^*, j_2')$ at the rate $\eta_l T_{j_1}$ for $1 \le j_1 \le m_1$; $1 \le j_2 \le m_2$ where $1 \le i \le S$; $1 \le i_k = i_k^* \le S_k$ for $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ and $\eta_l = p + (1 p) \sum p_{\bar{l}}$, where $\sum p_{\bar{l}}$ is the sum of probabilities of all possible combinations of optional inventories including *lth* optional inventory.
 - (d) $(1, i, 0, i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l, \dots, i_m, j_1, j_2) \rightarrow (0, i 1, 0^*, i_1^*, i_2^*, \dots, i_l^*, \dots, i_m^*, j_2)$ at the rate pT_{j_1} for $1 \le j_1 \le m_1; 1 \le j_2 \le m_2$ where $1 \le i \le S; 1 \le i_k = i_k^* \le S_k$ for $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$.
 - (e) $(n, i, C_u, i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l, \dots, i_m, j_2) \to (n 1, i, C_u, i_1^*, i_2^*, \dots, i_l^*, \dots, i_m^*, j_2')$ at the rate μ_{C_u} for $1 \le i_2$, $i' \le m_2$ where $n \ge 2$: $1 \le i \le S$ and when

for $1 \le j_2, j'_2 \le m_2$ where $n \ge 2; 1 \le i \le S$ and, when

u = 1 and $C_1 = l$; $1 \le l \le m$, then $i_k^* = i_k$ and $0 \le i_k \le S_k$ for $k \in \{1, 2, ..., m\} - \{l\}$ and $i_l^* = i_l - 1, 1 \le i_l \le S_l$;

Springer

 $u = 2 \text{ and } C_2 = lj, l \neq j, 1 \leq l, j \leq m; \text{ then } i_k^* = i_k, 0 \leq i_k \leq S_k \text{ for } k \in \{1, 2, ..., m\} - \{l, j\} \text{ and } i_k^* = i_k - 1, 1 \leq i_k \leq S_k \text{ for } k \in \{l, j\};$ $u = 3 \text{ and } C_3 = hjl, h \neq j \neq l, 1 \leq h, j, l \leq m; \text{ then, } i_k^* = i_k, 0 \leq i_k \leq S_k \text{ for } k \in \{1, 2, ..., m\} - \{h, j, l\} \text{ and } i_k^* = i_k - 1, 1 \leq i_k \leq S_k \text{ for } k \in \{h, j, l\}; \dots \text{ etc.}$

- u = m and $C_m = 123...m$, then $i_k^* = i_k 1, 1 \le i_k \le S_k$ for $k \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$.
- (f) $(n, 1, 0, i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l, \dots, i_m, j_2) \to (n 1, 0, 0^*, i_1^*, i_2^*, \dots, i_l^*, \dots, i_m^*, j_2')$ at the rate T_{j_1} for $1 \le j_1 \le m_1; 1 \le j_2, j_2' \le m_2$ where $n \ge 2; 1 \le i \le S$ and $i_k = i_k^* = 0$ for $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$.
- (g) $(n, 1, 0, i_1, \ldots, i_l, \ldots, i_m, j_1, j_2) \rightarrow (n-1, 0, 0^*, i_1^*, \ldots, i_l^*, \ldots, i_m^*, j_2')$ at the rate $\eta_l T_{j_1}$ for $1 \le j_1 \le m_1$; $1 \le j_2 \le m_2$ where $n \ge 2$; $1 \le i \le S$; $1 \le i_k = i_k^* \le S_k$ for $k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, m\} \{l\}, i_l = i_l^* = 0$ and $\eta_l = p + (1-p) \sum p_{\bar{l}}$, where $\sum p_{\bar{l}}$ is the sum of probabilities of all possible combinations of optional inventories including *lth* optional inventory. Similarly for $1 \le l \le m$.
- (h) $(n, 1, 0, i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l, \dots, i_m, j_1, j_2) \to (n 1, 0, 0^*, i_1^*, i_2^*, \dots, i_l^*, \dots, i_m^*, j_2')$ at the rate pT_{j_1}

for
$$1 \le j_1 \le m_1$$
; $1 \le j_2 \le m_2$ where $n \ge 2$; $1 \le \iota_k = \iota_k^* \le S_k$ for $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$.
(i) $(n, i, 0, i_1, \dots, i_l, \dots, i_m, j_2) \to (n - 1, i - 1, 0, i_1^*, \dots, i_l^*, \dots, i_m^*, j_1, j_2)$

at the rate T_{j_1} for $1 \le j_1 \le m_1$; $1 \le j_2 \le m_2$ where $n \ge 2$; $1 \le i \le S$ and $i_k = i_k^* = 0$ for $k \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$.

(j) $(n, i, 0, i_1, \dots, i_l, \dots, i_m, j_1, j_2) \rightarrow (n - 1, i - 1, 0, i_1^*, \dots, i_1^*, \dots, i_m^*, j_2')$ at the rate $\eta_l T_{j_1}$

for $1 \le j_1 \le m_1$; $1 \le j_2 \le m_2$ where $n \ge 2$; $2 \le i \le S$; $1 \le i_k = i_k^* \le S_k$ for $k \in \{1, 2, ..., m\} - \{l\}, i_l = i_l^* = 0$ and $\eta_l = p + (1 - p) \sum p_{\bar{l}}$, where $\sum p_{\bar{l}}$ is the sum of probabilities of all possible combinations of optional inventories including *lth* optional inventory. Similarly for $1 \le l \le m$.

- (k) $(n, i, 0, i_1, \dots, i_l, \dots, i_m, j_1, j_2) \rightarrow (n 1, i 1, 0, i_1^*, \dots, i_l^*, \dots, i_m^*, j_2')$ at the rate pT_{j_1} for $1 \le j_1 \le m_1$; $1 \le j_2 \le m_2$ where $n \ge 2$; $2 \le i \le S$; $1 \le i_k = i_k^* \le S_k$ for $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$.
- 3. Transitions due to replenishments of the essential and optional items.
 - (a) $(0, i, 0^*, i_1, \dots, i_l, \dots, i_m, j_2) \to (0, i, 0^*, i_1, \dots, S_l, \dots, i_m, j_2)$ at the rate β_l for $1 \le j_2 \le m_2$ where $0 \le i \le S$; $0 \le i_k \le S_k$ for $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\} - \{l\}$; $0 \le i_l \le s_l$. Similarly for $1 \le l \le m$.
 - (b) $(0, i, 0^*, i_1, \dots, i_l, \dots, i_m, j_2) \to (0, S, 0^*, i_1, \dots, i_l, \dots, i_m, j_2)$ at the rate β for $1 \le j_2 \le m_2$ where $0 \le i \le s; 0 \le i_k \le S_k$ for $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$.
 - (c) $(n, 0, 0^*, i_1, \dots, i_l, \dots, i_m, j_2) \to (n, 0, 0^*, i_1, \dots, S_l, \dots, i_m, j_2)$ at the rate β_l for $1 \le j_2 \le m_2$ where $n \ge 1$; $0 \le i_k \le S_k$ for $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\} - \{l\}$; $0 \le i_l \le s_l$. Similarly for $1 \le l \le m$.
 - (d) $(n, 0, 0^*, i_1, \dots, i_l, \dots, i_m, j_2) \to (n, S, 0, i_1, \dots, i_l, \dots, i_m, j_1, j_2)$ at the rate $\gamma \otimes \beta I_{m_2}$ for $1 \le j_1 \le m_1$; $1 \le j_2 \le m_2$ where $n \ge 1$; $0 \le i_k \le S_k$ for $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$.
 - (e) $(n, i, 0, i_1, \dots, i_l, \dots, i_m, j_1, j_2) \rightarrow (n, i, 0, i_1, \dots, S_l, \dots, i_m, j_1, j_2)$ at the rate β_l

for $1 \le j_1 \le m_1$; $1 \le j_2 \le m_2$ where $n \ge 1$; $1 \le i \le S$; $0 \le i_k \le S_k$ for $k \in \{1, 2, ..., m\} - \{l\}$; $0 \le i_l \le s_l$. Similarly for $1 \le l \le m$.

- (f) (n, i, C_u, i₁,..., i_l, ..., i_m, j₂) → (n, i, C_u, i₁, ..., S_l, ..., i_m, j₂) at the rate β_l for 1 ≤ j₂ ≤ m₂ where n ≥ 1; 1 ≤ i ≤ S;
 u = 1 and C₁ = l; 0 ≤ i_k ≤ S_k for k ∈ {1, 2, ..., m} {l} and 0 ≤ i_l ≤ s_l. Similarly for 1 ≤ l ≤ m.
 u = 2 and C₂ = lj; 0 ≤ i_k ≤ S_k for k ∈ {1, 2, ..., m} {l} and 1 ≤ i_l ≤ s_l; 1 ≤ i_j ≤ S_j. Similarly for l ≠ j, 1 ≤ l, j ≤ m.
 u = 3 and C₃ = hjl 0 ≤ i_k ≤ S_k for k ∈ {1, 2, ..., m} {l} and 1 ≤ i_l ≤ s_l; 1 ≤ i_k ≤ S_k for k ∈ {h, j}. Similarly for h ≠ j ≠ l, 1 ≤ h, j, l ≤ m... etc.
 u = m and C_m = 123...m, 1 ≤ i_k ≤ S_k for k ∈ {1, 2, ..., m} {l};
 (g) (n, i, 0, i₁, ..., i_l, ..., i_m, j₁, j₂) → (n, S, 0, i₁, ..., i_l, ..., i_m, j₁, j₂) at the rate β
- (g) $(n, i, 0, i_1, ..., i_l, ..., i_m, j_1, j_2) \to (n, S, 0, i_1, ..., i_l, ..., i_m, j_1, j_2)$ at the rate β for $1 \le j_1 \le m_1; 1 \le j_2 \le m_2$ where $n \ge 1; 1 \le i \le s; 0 \le i_k \le S_k$ for $k \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$ for $k \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$.
- (h) $(n, i, C_u, i_1, ..., i_l, ..., i_m, j_2) \to (n, S, C_u, i_1, ..., i_l, ..., i_m, j_2)$ at the rate β for $1 \le j_2 \le m_2$ where $n \ge 1; 1 \le i \le s;$ u = 1 and $C_1 = l; 0 \le i_k \le S_k$ for $k \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$ Similarly for $1 \le l \le m$. u = 2 and $C_2 = lj; 0 \le i_k \le S_k$ for $k \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$ Similarly for $l \ne j, 1 \le l, j \le m$. u = 3 and $C_3 = hjl \ 0 \le i_k \le S_k$ for $k \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$. Similarly for $h \ne j \ne l, 1 \le h, j, l \le m$etc. u = m and $C_m = 123 ...m, \ 1 \le i_k \le S_k$ for $k \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$.

The infinitesimal generator Q of the system Γ with entries as described above is obtained to be

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} A_{00} & A_{01} \\ A_{10} & A_{1} & A_{0} \\ & A_{2} & A_{1} & A_{0} \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{bmatrix}$$

 A_{00} is a square matrix of order *a* and it contains transitions within level 0. A_{01} represents transitions from level 0 to level 1 and it's a matrix of order $a \times b$. Matrix A_{10} represents transitions from level 1 to level 0 and is of order $c \times a$. A_0 and A_1 are square matrices of order *c* representing transitions from level *n* to level n + 1 and within level *n* respectively for $n \ge 1$ and finally A_2 is again a square matrix of order *c* representing transitions from level *n* to level n - 1 for $n \ge 2$ where $a = (S+1)\prod_{k=1}^m (S_k+1)m_2, b = (S+1)\prod_{k=1}^m (S_k+1)m_1m_2$ and $c = \prod_{k=1}^m (S_k+1)m_2 + S \sum_{u \in \Lambda} l_u$. When u = i, then $l_i = \pi_{k=1,k\neq i}^m (S_k+1)S_im_2$ for $1 \le i \le m$. When u = ij, then $l_{ij} = \pi_{k=1,k\neq i}^m (S_k+1)\prod_{k\in\{i,j\}} S_km_2$ for $1 \le i, j \le m$

When u = ij, then $l_{ij} = \pi_{k=1,k\neq i,j}^{m}(S_k + 1)\Pi_{k \in \{i,j\}}S_km_2$ for $1 \le i, j \le m$ When u = hij, then $l_{hij} = \pi_{k=1,k\neq h,i,j}^{m}(S_k + 1)\Pi_{k \in \{h,i,j\}}S_km_2$ for $1 \le h, i, j \le m$ and so on. When u = 12...m, then $l_{12...m} = \pi_{k=1}^mS_km_2$. The structure of A_{00} , A_{01} , A_{10} , A_0 , A_1 and A_2 are obtained as

$$A_{00} = \begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & 1 & \cdots & s & s+1 & \cdots & S \\ 1 & & & & & & \hat{Z} \\ 1 & & & & & & \hat{Z} \\ & & \hat{Z}_1 & & & & & \hat{Z} \\ & & & & & & & & \vdots \\ & & & & & & & \hat{Z}_1 & & & & & \hat{Z} \\ & & & & & & & & & \hat{Z}_2 \\ & & & & & & & & & & \hat{Z}_2 \end{array}$$

$$A_{01} = \begin{array}{cccc} 0\\ I\\ \vdots\\ S\\ \end{array} \begin{pmatrix} \begin{array}{cccc} 0 & 1 & \cdots & S\\ \hline 0 & L^{*} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & & L^{*} \\ \end{array} \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_{10} = \begin{array}{cccc} 0\\ 1\\ I\\ \vdots\\ S\\ \end{array} \begin{pmatrix} \begin{array}{cccc} 0 & 1 & 2 & \cdots & S\\ \hline 0 & \hat{0} & & \\ & & M_{0} & \hat{M} \\ & & & M_{0} & \hat{M} \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & & & M_{0} & \hat{M} \\ \end{array} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$A_{0} = \begin{array}{c} 0\\ I\\ \vdots\\ S\end{array} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \cdots & S\\ 0 & L & & \\ & L & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & L \end{array} \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_{2} = \begin{array}{c} 0\\ I\\ 2\\ \vdots\\ S\end{array} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & \cdots & S\\ \hline 0 & \overline{M} & & \\ & M & \overline{M} & \\ & & M & \overline{M} \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & & M & \overline{M} \end{array} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$A_{1} = \begin{array}{cccccc} 0 & 1 & \cdots & s & s+1 & \cdots & S \\ 1 & & & & & Z^{0} \\ & Z_{1} & & & & Z^{0} \\ & & Z_{1} & & & & Z^{0} \\ & & & \ddots & & & & \vdots \\ & & & & Z_{1} & & & Z^{2} \\ & & & & & & Z_{2} \\ & & & & & & & Z_{2} \end{array}$$

The entries in A_{01} and A_2 are as given in transition due to the arrival of customers. The entries in A_{10} and A_0 are as given in transition due to the service of essential and optional items. The entries in A_{00} and A_1 are as given in transition due to replenishment of the essential and optional items. In addition, the diagonal entries in A_{00} and A_1 are non-positive, having value equal to but with negative sign the sum of other elements of the same row found in A_{01} , A_{10} , A_0 and A_2 .

Illustration: (1): when m=2, the state space and transition rates are explicitly,

 $\{ (0, i, 0^*, i_1, i_2, j_2), 0 \le i \le S, 0 \le i_1 \le S_1, 0 \le i_2 \le S_2, 1 \le j_2 \le m_2 \} \\ \bigcup \{ (n, 0, 0^*, i_1, i_2, j_2), n \ge 1, 0 \le i_1 \le S_1, 0 \le i_2 \le S_2, 1 \le j_2 \le m_2 \} \\ \bigcup \{ (n, i, 0, i_1, i_2, j_1, j_2), n \ge 1, 1 \le i \le S, 0 \le i_1 \le S_1, 0 \le i_2 \le S_2, 1 \le j_1 \le m_1, 1 \le j_2 \le m_2 \}$

- $\bigcup \{(n, i, 1, i_1, i_2, j_2), n \ge 1, 1 \le i \le S, 1 \le i_1 \le S_1, 0 \le i_2 \le S_2, 1 \le j_2 \le m_2\} \\ \bigcup \{(n, i, 2, i_1, i_2, j_2), n \ge 1, 1 \le i \le S, 0 \le i_1 \le S_1, 1 \le i_2 \le S_2, 1 \le j_2 \le m_2\} \\ \bigcup \{(n, i, 12, i_1, i_2, j_2), n \ge 1, 1 \le i \le S, 1 \le i_1 \le S_1, 1 \le i_2 \le S_2, 1 \le j_2 \le m_2\} \\ \text{and the transitions rates are:}$
- 1. Transitions due to arrival of customers.
 - (a) $(0, i, 0^*, i_1, i_2, j_2) \rightarrow (1, i, 0, i_1, i_2, j_1, j'_2)$ at the rate $\gamma_{j_1}[D_1]_{j_2j'_2}$ for $1 \le j_1 \le m_1$ and $1 \le j_2, j'_2 \le m_2$ where $1 \le i \le S; 0 \le i_1 \le S_1; 0 \le i_2 \le S_2$
 - (b) $(n, i, 0, i_1, i_2, j_1, j_2) \rightarrow (n + 1, i, 0, i_1, i_2, j'_1, j'_2)$ at the rate $[D_1]_{j_2j'_2}$ for $j_1 = j'_1$ and rate is 0 when $j_1 \neq j'_1$ for $1 \le j_1, j'_1 \le m_1$ and $1 \le j_2, j'_2 \le m_2$ where $n \ge 1; 1 \le i \le S; 0 \le i_1 \le S_1; 0 \le i_2 \le S_2$
 - (c) $(n, i, C(t), i_1, i_2, j_2) \to (n + 1, i, C(t), i_1, i_2, j'_2)$ at the rate $[D_1]_{j_2j'_2}$ for $1 \le j_2, j'_2 \le m_2$ where $1 \le i \le S$ and, when $C(t) = 1 \longrightarrow 1 \le i_1 \le S_1; 0 \le i_2 \le S_2;$ $C(t) = 2 \longrightarrow 0 \le i_1 \le S_1; 1 \le i_2 \le S_2;$ $C(t) = 12 \longrightarrow 1 \le i_1 \le S_1; 1 \le i_2 \le S_2$
- 2. Transitions due to the service of essential and optional items.
 - (a) $(1, i, 1, i_1, i_2, j_2) \rightarrow (0, i, 0^*, i_1 1, i_2, j_2)$ at the rate μ_1 for $1 \le j_2 \le m_2$, where $1 \le i \le S$; $1 \le i_1 \le S_1$; $0 \le i_2 \le S_2$
 - (b) $(1, i, 2, i_1, i_2, j_2) \rightarrow (0, i, 0^*, i_1, i_2 1, j_2)$ at the rate μ_2 for $1 \le j_2 \le m_2$ where $1 \le i \le S$; $0 \le i_1 \le S_1$; $1 \le i_2 \le S_2$
 - (c) $(1, i, 12, i_1, i_2, j_2) \rightarrow (0, i, 0^*, i_1 1, i_2 1, j_2)$ at the rate μ_{12} for $1 \le j_2 \le m_2$ where $1 \le i \le S$; $1 \le i_1 \le S_1$; $1 \le i_2 \le S_2$
 - (d) $(1, i, 0, 0, 0, j_1, j_2) \rightarrow (0, i 1, 0^*, 0, 0, j_2)$ at the rate T_{j_1} for $1 \le j_1 \le m_1$; $1 \le j_2 \le m_2$ where $1 \le i \le S$
 - (e) $(1, i, 0, 0, i_2, j_1, j_2) \rightarrow (0, i 1, 0^*, 0, i_2, j_2)$ at the rate $\eta_1 T_{j_1}$ for $1 \le j_1 \le m_1$; $1 \le j_2 \le m_2$ where $1 \le i \le S$; $1 \le i_2 \le S_2$ and $\eta_1 = p + (1 - p)(p_1 + p_{12})$
 - (f) $(1, i, 0, i_1, 0, j_1, j_2) \rightarrow (0, i 1, 0^*, i_1, 0, j_2)$ at the rate $\eta_2 T_{j_1}$ for $1 \le j_1 \le m_1; 1 \le j_2 \le m_2$ where $1 \le i \le S; 1 \le i_1 \le S_1$ and $\eta_2 = p + (1 - p)(p_2 + p_{12})$
 - (g) $(1, i, 0, i_1, i_2, j_1, j_2) \rightarrow (0, i 1, 0^*, i_1, i_2, j_2)$ at the rate pT_{j_1} for $1 \le j_1 \le m_1$; $1 \le j_2 \le m_2$ where $1 \le i \le S$; $1 \le i_1 \le S_1$ and $1 \le i_2 \le S_2$
 - (h) $(n, i, 1, i_1, i_2, j_2) \rightarrow (n 1, i, 1, i_1 1, i_2, j_2)$ at the rate μ_1 for $1 \le j_2 \le m_2$, where $n \ge 2$; $1 \le i \le S$; $1 \le i_1 \le S_1$; $0 \le i_2 \le S_2$
 - (i) $(n, i, 2, i_1, i_2, j_2) \rightarrow (n 1, i, 2, i_1, i_2 1, j_2)$ at the rate μ_2 for $1 \le j_2 \le m_2$ where $n \ge 2$; $1 \le i \le S$; $0 \le i_1 \le S_1$; $1 \le i_2 \le S_2$
 - (j) $(n, i, 12, i_1, i_2, j_2) \rightarrow (n 1, i, 12, i_1 1, i_2 1, j_2)$ at the rate μ_{12} for $1 \le j_2 \le m_2$ where $n \ge 2$; $1 \le i \le S$; $1 \le i_1 \le S_1$; $1 \le i_2 \le S_2$
 - (k) $(n, 1, 0, 0, 0, j_1, j_2) \rightarrow (n 1, 0, 0^*, 0, 0, j_2)$ at the rate T_{j_1} for $1 \le j_1 \le m_1$; $1 \le j_2 \le m_2$ where $n \ge 2$
 - (1) $(n, 1, 0, 0, i_2, j_1, j_2) \rightarrow (n 1, 0, 0^*, 0, i_2, j_2)$ at the rate $\eta_1 T_{j_1}$ for $1 \le j_1 \le m_1$; $1 \le j_2 \le m_2$ where $n \ge 2$; $1 \le i_2 \le S_2$ and $\eta_1 = p + (1 - p)(p_1 + p_{12})$
 - (m) $(n, 1, 0, i_1, 0, j_1, j_2) \rightarrow (n 1, 0, 0^*, i_1, 0, j_2)$ at the rate $\eta_2 T_{j_1}$ for $1 \le j_1 \le m_1$; $1 \le j_2 \le m_2$ where $n \ge 2$; $1 \le i_1 \le S_1$ and $\eta_2 = p + (1 - p)(p_2 + p_{12})$

- (n) $(n, 1, 0, i_1, i_2, j_1, j_2) \rightarrow (n 1, 0, 0^*, i_1, i_2, j_2)$ at the rate pT_{j_1} for $1 \le j_1 \le m_1$; $1 \le j_2 \le m_2$ where $n \ge 2$; $1 \le i_1 \le S_1$; $1 \le i_2 \le S_2$
- (o) $(n, i, 0, 0, 0, j_1, j_2) \rightarrow (n 1, i 1, 0, 0, 0, j_1, j_2)$ at the rate T_{j_1} for $1 \le j_1 \le m_1$; $1 \le j_2 \le m_2$ where $n \ge 2$; $2 \le i \le S$
- (p) $(n, i, 0, 0, i_2, j_1, j_2) \rightarrow (n 1, i 1, 0, 0, i_2, j_1, j_2)$ at the rate $\eta_1 T_{j_1}$ for $1 \le j_1 \le m_1$; $1 \le j_2 \le m_2$ where $n \ge 2$; $2 \le i \le S$; $1 \le i_2 \le S_2$ and $\eta_1 = p + (1 - p)(p_1 + p_{12})$
- (q) $(n, i, 0, i_1, 0, j_1, j_2) \rightarrow (n 1, i 1, 0, i_1, 0, j_1, j_2)$ at the rate $\eta_2 T_{j_1}$ for $1 \le j_1 \le m_1$; $1 \le j_2 \le m_2$ where $n \ge 2$; $2 \le i \le S$; $1 \le i_1 \le S_1$ and $\eta_2 = p + (1 - p)(p_2 + p_{12})$
- (r) $(n, i, 0, i_1, i_2, j_1, j_2) \rightarrow (n 1, i 1, 0, i_1, i_2, j_1, j_2)$ at the rate pT_{j_1} for $1 \le j_1 \le m_1$; $1 \le j_2 \le m_2$ where $n \ge 2$; $2 \le i \le S$; $1 \le i_1 \le S_1$; and $1 \le i_2 \le S_2$
- 3. Transitions due to replenishments of the essential and optional items.
 - (a) $(0, i, 0^*, i_1, i_2, j_2) \rightarrow (0, i, 0^*, i_1, S_2, j_2)$ at the rate β_2 for $1 \le j_2 \le m_2$ where $0 \le i \le S$; $0 \le i_1 \le S_1$; $0 \le i_2 \le s_2$
 - (b) $(0, i, 0^*, i_1, i_2, j_2) \rightarrow (0, i, 0^*, S_1, i_2, j_2)$ at the rate β_1 for $1 \le j_2 \le m_2$ where $0 \le i \le S$; $0 \le i_1 \le s_1$; $0 \le i_2 \le S_2$
 - (c) $(0, i, 0^*, i_1, i_2, j_2) \rightarrow (0, S, 0^*, i_1, i_2, j_2)$ at the rate β for $1 \le j_2 \le m_2$ where $0 \le i \le s; 0 \le i_1 \le S_1; 0 \le i_2 \le S_2$
 - (d) $(n, 0, 0^*, i_1, i_2, j_2) \rightarrow (n, 0, 0^*, i_1, S_2, j_2)$ at the rate β_2 for $1 \le j_2 \le m_2$ where $n \ge 1; 0 \le i_1 \le S_1; 0 \le i_2 \le s_2$
 - (e) $(n, 0, 0^*, i_1, i_2, j_2) \rightarrow (n, 0, 0^*, S_1, i_2, j_2)$ at the rate β_1 for $1 \le j_2 \le m_2$ where $n \ge 1; 0 \le i_1 \le s_1; 0 \le i_2 \le S_2$
 - (f) $(n, 0, 0^*, i_1, i_2, j_2) \rightarrow (n, S, 0, i_1, i_2, j_1, j_2)$ at the rate $\gamma \otimes \beta I_{m_2}$ for $1 \le j_1 \le m_1$; $1 \le j_2 \le m_2$ where $n \ge 1$; $0 \le i_1 \le S_1$; $0 \le i_2 \le S_2$
 - (g) $(n, i, 0, i_1, i_2, j_1, j_2) \rightarrow (n, i, 0, i_1, S_2, j_1, j_2)$ at the rate β_2 for $1 \le j_1 \le m_1$; $1 \le j_2 \le m_2$ where $n \ge 1$; $1 \le i \le S$; $0 \le i_1 \le S_1$; $0 \le i_2 \le s_2$
 - (h) $(n, i, 0, i_1, i_2, j_1, j_2) \rightarrow (n, i, 0, S_1, i_2, j_1, j_2)$ at the rate β_1 for $1 \le j_1 \le m_1$; $1 \le j_2 \le m_2$ where $n \ge 1$; $1 \le i \le S$; $0 \le i_1 \le s_1$; $0 \le i_2 \le S_2$

- (i) $(n, i, C(t), i_1, i_2, j_2) \to (n, i, C(t), i_1, S_2, j_2)$ at the rate β_2 for $1 \le j_2 \le m_2$ where $n \ge 1; 1 \le i \le S;$ $C(t) = 1 \longrightarrow 1 \le i_1 \le S_1; 0 \le i_2 \le s_2; C(t) = 2 \longrightarrow 0 \le i_1 \le S_1; 1 \le i_2 \le s_2;$ $C(t) = 12 \longrightarrow 1 \le i_1 \le S_1; 1 \le i_2 \le s_2$
- (j) $(n, i, C(t), i_1, i_2, j_2) \to (n, i, C(t), S_1, i_2, j_2)$ at the rate β_1 for $1 \le j_2 \le m_2$ where $n \ge 1$; $1 \le i \le S$; $C(t) = 1 \longrightarrow 1 \le i_1 \le s_1$; $0 \le i_2 \le S_2$; $C(t) = 2 \longrightarrow 0 \le i_1 \le s_1$; $1 \le i_2 \le S_2$; $C(t) = 12 \longrightarrow 1 \le i_1 \le s_1$; $1 \le i_2 \le S_2$
- (k) $(n, i, 0, i_1, i_2, j_1, j_2) \rightarrow (n, S, 0, i_1, i_2, j_1, j_2)$ at the rate β
- for $1 \le j_1 \le m_1$; $1 \le j_2 \le m_2$ where $n \ge 1$; $1 \le i \le s$; $0 \le i_1 \le S_1$; $0 \le i_2 \le S_2$ (1) $(n, i, C(t), i_1, i_2, j_2) \to (n, S, C(t), i_1, i_2, j_2)$ at the rate β
 - for $1 \le j_2 \le m_2$ where $n \ge 1$; $1 \le i \le s$; $C(t) = 1 \longrightarrow 1 \le i_1 \le S_1$; $0 \le i_2 \le S_2$; $C(t) = 2 \longrightarrow 0 \le i_1 \le S_1$; $1 \le i_2 \le S_2$; $C(t) = 12 \longrightarrow 1 \le i_1 \le S_1$; $1 \le i_2 \le S_2$

The infinitesimal generator Q of the system Γ with entries as described above is obtined to be

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} A_{00} & A_{01} & & \\ A_{10} & A_{1} & A_{0} & \\ & A_{2} & A_{1} & A_{0} & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{bmatrix}$$

 A_{00} is a square matrix of order *a* and it contains transitions within level 0. A_{01} represents transitions from level 0 to level 1 and it's a matrix of order $a \times b$. Matrix A_{10} represents transitions from level 1 to level 0 and is of order $c \times a$. A_0 and A_1 are square matrices of order *c* representing transitions from level *n* to level n + 1 and within level *n* respectively for $n \ge 1$ and finally A_2 is again a square matrix of order *c* representing transitions from level $n = (S + 1)(S_1 + 1)(S_2 + 1)m_2$, $b = (S + 1)l_1$ and $c = (S_1 + 1)(S_2 + 1)m_2 + S(l_1 + l_2 + l_3 + l_4)$ where $l_1 = (S_1 + 1)(S_2 + 1)m_1m_2$, $l_2 = S_1(S_2 + 1)m_2$, $l_3 = (S_1 + 1)S_2m_2$ and $l_4 = S_1S_2m_2$.

Springer

The structure of A_{00} , A_{01} , A_{10} , A_0 , A_1 and A_2 are obtained as

$$A_{01} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ I \\ \vdots \\ S \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \cdots & S \\ 0 & L^* \\ & \ddots \\ & & L^* \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_{10} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & \cdots & S \\ 0 & \hat{0} \\ M_0 & \hat{M} \\ & M_0 & \hat{M} \\ & & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & & M_0 & \hat{M} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A_{0} = \begin{array}{cccc} 0\\ I\\ \vdots\\ S\end{array} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \cdots & S\\ 0 & L & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & & L \end{array} \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_{2} = \begin{array}{cccc} 0\\ 0\\ I\\ I\\ \vdots\\ S\end{array} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & \cdots & S\\ 0\\ I\\ M\\ M\\ M\\ M\\ I\\ I\\ I\\ M\\ M\\ M \end{array} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$A_{1} = \frac{0}{1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \cdots & s & s+1 & \cdots & S \\ Z_{0} & & & & & Z^{0} \\ Z_{1} & & & & Z \\ & \ddots & & & & \vdots \\ & & \ddots & & & & \vdots \\ & & & & Z_{1} & & & Z_{2} \\ & & & & & & Z_{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

with
$$Z_0 = \begin{pmatrix} I_{s_1+1} \otimes C_1 & e_{s_1+1} \otimes \beta_1 I_{(s_2+1)m_1m_2} \\ \bar{0} & I_{S_1-s_1} \otimes C_2 \end{pmatrix}$$
, where
 $C_1 = \begin{pmatrix} I_{s_2+1} \otimes B_1 & e_{s_2+1} \otimes \beta_2 I_{m_2} \\ \bar{0} & I_{S_2-s_2} \otimes B_2 \end{pmatrix}$,
 $C_2 = \begin{pmatrix} I_{s_2+1} \otimes B_3 & e_{s_2+1} \otimes \beta_2 I_{m_2} \\ \bar{0} & I_{S_2-s_2} \otimes B_4 \end{pmatrix}$,
 $B_1 = D - (\beta + \beta_1 + \beta_2) I_{m_2}$, $B_2 = D - (\beta + \beta_1) I_{m_2}$,
 $B_3 = D - (\beta + \beta_2) I_{m_2}$, $B_4 = D - \beta I_{m_2}$.
 $\hat{Z}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} I_{s_1+1} \otimes \hat{C}_1 & e_{s_1+1} \otimes \beta_1 I_{(s_2+1)m_1m_2} \\ \bar{0} & I_{S_1-s_1} \otimes \hat{C}_2 \end{pmatrix}$, where

D Springer

$$\begin{split} \hat{C}_{1} &= \begin{pmatrix} I_{s_{2}+1} \otimes \hat{B}_{1} \ e_{s_{2}+1} \otimes \beta_{2} I_{m_{2}} \\ \bar{0} \ I_{S_{2}-s_{2}} \otimes \hat{B}_{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{C}_{2} &= \begin{pmatrix} I_{s_{2}+1} \otimes \hat{B}_{3} \ e_{s_{2}+1} \otimes \beta_{2} I_{m_{2}} \\ \bar{0} \ I_{S_{2}-s_{2}} \otimes \hat{B}_{4} \end{pmatrix}, \\ \hat{B}_{1} &= D_{0} - (\beta + \beta_{1} + \beta_{2}) I_{m_{2}}, \quad \hat{B}_{2} &= D_{0} - (\beta + \beta_{1}) I_{m_{2}}, \\ \hat{B}_{3} &= D_{0} - (\beta + \beta_{2}) I_{m_{2}}, \quad \hat{B}_{4} &= D_{0} - \beta I_{m_{2}}. \\ \hat{Z}_{2} &= \begin{pmatrix} I_{s_{1}+1} \otimes \bar{C}_{1} \ e_{s_{1}+1} \otimes \beta_{1} I_{(s_{2}+1)m_{1}m_{2}} \\ \bar{0} \ I_{S_{1}-s_{1}} \otimes \bar{C}_{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{where} \\ \bar{C}_{1} &= \begin{pmatrix} I_{s_{2}+1} \otimes \bar{B}_{1} \ e_{s_{2}+1} \otimes \beta_{2} I_{m_{2}} \\ \bar{0} \ I_{S_{2}-s_{2}} \otimes \bar{B}_{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{C}_{2} &= \begin{pmatrix} I_{s_{2}+1} \otimes \bar{B}_{3} \ e_{s_{2}+1} \otimes \beta_{2} I_{m_{2}} \\ \bar{0} \ I_{S_{2}-s_{2}} \otimes \bar{B}_{4} \end{pmatrix}, \\ \bar{B}_{1} &= D_{0} - (\beta_{1} + \beta_{2}) I_{m_{2}}, \quad \bar{B}_{2} &= D_{0} - (\beta_{1}) I_{m_{2}}, \\ \bar{B}_{3} &= D_{0} - (\beta_{2}) I_{m_{2}}, \quad \bar{B}_{4} &= D_{0}. \\ \hat{Z} &= \beta I_{(S_{1}+1)(S_{2}+1)m_{2}, \\ L^{*} &= I_{(S_{1}+1)(S_{2}+1)m_{2}}, \quad \bar{Y} \otimes D_{1} \end{split}$$

$$M_{0} = \begin{array}{c} 0\\ 1\\ 2\\ \vdots\\ S_{1} \end{array} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & \cdots & S_{1}\\ m_{0} & & & & \\ m_{1} & & & & \\ & & m_{1} & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & m_{1} \end{array} \right), \text{ where }$$

$$m_{0} = \begin{pmatrix} T^{0} \otimes I_{m_{2}} & \bar{0} \\ \bar{0} & I_{S_{2}} \otimes \eta_{1} T^{0} \otimes I_{m_{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where } \eta_{1} = p + (1 - p)(p_{1} + p_{12}).$$

$$m_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} \eta_{2} T^{0} \otimes I_{m_{2}} & \bar{0} \\ \bar{0} & I_{S_{2}} \otimes p T^{0} \otimes I_{m_{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where } \eta_{2} = p + (1 - p)(p_{2} + p_{12}).$$

$$\hat{M} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & 12 \\ \mu_1 I_{S_1(S_2+1)m_2} & \bar{0} & \\ \mu_2 I_{(S_1+1)S_2m_2} & \bar{0} & \\ \mu_{12} I_{S_1S_2m_2} & \bar{0} \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & 12 \\ H_0 & H_1 & \\ & H_2 & H_{12} \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where } H_0 = I_{(S_1+1)(S_2+1)m_1} \otimes D_1,$$

$$H_1 = I_{S_1(S_2+1)} \otimes D_1, \ H_2 = I_{(S_1+1)S_2} \otimes D_1, \ \text{and} \ H_{12} = I_{S_1S_2} \otimes D_1.$$

D Springer

$$M = \begin{cases} 0 & 1 & 2 & \cdots & S_1 \\ \hat{m_0} & & & & \\ & \hat{m_1} & & & \\ & & \hat{m_1} & & \\ & & & \hat{m_1} & & \\ & & & & \hat{m_1} \\ & & & & & \hat{m_1} \\ & & & & & & \hat{m_1} \\ \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where }$$

$$\begin{split} \hat{m_0} &= \begin{pmatrix} diag(T^0) \otimes I_{m_2} & \bar{0} \\ \bar{0} & I_{S_2} \otimes \eta_1 diag(T^0) \otimes I_{m_2} \end{pmatrix}, \\ \text{where, } \eta_1 &= p + (1-p)(p_1 + p_{12}). \\ \hat{m_1} &= \begin{pmatrix} \eta_2 diag(T^0) \otimes I_{m_2} & \bar{0} \\ \bar{0} & I_{S_2} \otimes p diag(T^0) \otimes I_{m_2} \end{pmatrix}, \\ \text{where } \eta_2 &= p + (1-p)(p_2 + p_{12}). \end{split}$$

$$\bar{M} = \begin{array}{ccc} 0\\ I\\ 2\\ 12\\ \end{array} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & 12\\ \mu_1 I_{S_1(S_2+1)m_2} & & \\ & \mu_2 I_{(S_1+1)S_2m_2} & \\ & & \mu_{12} I_{S_1S_2m_2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$Z^{0} = I_{(S_{1}+1)(S_{2}+1)} \otimes (\gamma \otimes I_{m2}), \ Z = \beta I_{l_{1}+l_{2}+l_{3}+l_{4}}$$

$$Z_{1} = \begin{array}{c} 0\\ 1\\ 2\\ 12\\ 12\\ \end{array} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & 12\\ G_{1} & G^{12} & G^{13} & G^{14}\\ & G_{2} & & & \\ & & & G_{3}\\ & & & & & G_{4} \\ \end{pmatrix},$$

where,

$$\begin{split} G_{1} &= \begin{pmatrix} I_{s_{1}+1} \otimes C_{3} \ e_{s_{1}+1} \otimes \beta_{1} I_{(S_{2}+1)m_{1}m_{2}} \\ \bar{0} & I_{S_{1}-s_{1}} \otimes C_{4} \end{pmatrix}, \\ C_{3} &= \begin{pmatrix} I_{s_{2}+1} \otimes B_{5} \ e_{s_{2}+1} \otimes \beta_{2} I_{m_{1}m_{2}} \\ \bar{0} & I_{S_{2}-s_{2}} \otimes B_{6} \end{pmatrix}, \\ C_{4} &= \begin{pmatrix} I_{s_{2}+1} \otimes B_{7} \ e_{s_{2}+1} \otimes \beta_{2} I_{m_{1}m_{2}} \\ \bar{0} & I_{S_{2}-s_{2}} \otimes B_{8} \end{pmatrix}, \\ B_{5} &= T \oplus D_{0} - (\beta + \beta_{1} + \beta_{2}) I_{m_{1}m_{2}}, \\ B_{7} &= T \oplus D_{0} - (\beta + \beta_{2}) I_{m_{1}m_{2}}, \\ B_{7} &= T \oplus D_{0} - (\beta + \beta_{2}) I_{m_{1}m_{2}}, \\ B_{7} &= T \oplus D_{0} - (\beta + \beta_{2}) I_{m_{1}m_{2}}, \\ B_{7} &= I \oplus D_{0} - (\beta + \beta_{2}) I_{m_{1}m_{2}}, \\ B_{7} &= I \oplus D_{0} - (\beta + \beta_{2}) I_{m_{1}m_{2}}, \\ B_{7} &= I \oplus D_{0} - \beta I_{m_{1}m_{2}}. \\ G^{12} &= \begin{pmatrix} \bar{0} \\ H_{1} \end{pmatrix}, \\ H_{1} &= I_{S_{1}(S_{2}+1)} \otimes (1 - p) p_{1}(T^{0} \otimes I_{m_{2}}) \\ G^{13} &= I_{S_{1}+1} \otimes Z^{*}, \\ Z^{*} &= \begin{pmatrix} \bar{0} \\ H_{2} \end{pmatrix}, \\ H_{2} &= I_{S_{2}} \otimes (1 - p) p_{2}(T^{0} \otimes I_{m_{2}}). \\ G^{14} &= \begin{pmatrix} \bar{0} \\ I_{S_{1}} \otimes \bar{Z} \end{pmatrix}, \\ \bar{Z} &= \begin{pmatrix} \bar{0} \\ H_{3} \end{pmatrix}, \\ H_{3} &= I_{S_{2}} \otimes (1 - p) p_{12}(T^{0} \otimes I_{m_{2}}), \end{split}$$

 $\stackrel{{}_{\scriptstyle{\frown}}}{\underline{\bigcirc}}$ Springer

$$\begin{split} G_2 &= \begin{pmatrix} I_{s_1} \otimes C_5 \ e_{s_1} \otimes \beta_1 I(s_{2+1})m_2 \\ \bar{0} & I_{S_1-s_1} \otimes C_6 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where} \\ C_5 &= \begin{pmatrix} I_{s_2+1} \otimes B_9 \ e_{s_2+1} \otimes \beta_2 I_{m_2} \\ \bar{0} & I_{S_2-s_2} \otimes B_{10} \end{pmatrix}, C_6 &= \begin{pmatrix} I_{s_2+1} \otimes B_{11} \ e_{s_2+1} \otimes \beta_2 I_{m_2} \\ \bar{0} & I_{S_2-s_2} \otimes B_{12} \end{pmatrix} \\ B_9 &= D_0 - (\beta + \beta_1 + \beta_2 + \mu_1) I_{m_2}, B_{10} = D_0 - (\beta + \beta_1 + \mu_1) I_{m_2}, \\ B_{11} &= D_0 - (\beta + \beta_2 + \mu_1) I_{m_2}, B_{12} = D_0 - (\beta + \mu_1) I_{m_2}. \\ G_3 &= \begin{pmatrix} I_{s_1+1} \otimes C_7 \ e_{s_1+1} \otimes \beta_1 I(s_{2+1})m_2 \\ \bar{0} & I_{S_1-s_1} \otimes C_8 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where} \\ C_7 &= \begin{pmatrix} I_{s_2} \otimes B_{13} \ e_{s_2} \otimes \beta_2 I_{m_2} \\ \bar{0} & I_{S_2-s_2} \otimes B_{14} \end{pmatrix}, C_8 &= \begin{pmatrix} I_{s_2} \otimes B_{15} \ e_{s_2} \otimes \beta_2 I_{m_2} \\ \bar{0} & I_{S_2-s_2} \otimes B_{16} \end{pmatrix} \\ B_{13} &= D_0 - (\beta + \beta_1 + \beta_2 + \mu_2) I_{m_2}, B_{14} = D_0 - (\beta + \beta_1 + \mu_2) I_{m_2}, \\ B_{15} &= D_0 - (\beta + \beta_2 + \mu_2) I_{m_2}, B_{16} = D_0 - (\beta + \mu_2) I_{m_2}. \\ G_4 &= \begin{pmatrix} I_{s_1} \otimes C_9 \ e_{s_1} \otimes \beta_1 I(s_{2+1})m_2 \\ \bar{0} & I_{S_1-s_1} \otimes C_{10} \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where} \\ C_9 &= \begin{pmatrix} I_{s_2} \otimes B_{17} \ e_{s_2} \otimes \beta_2 I_{m_2} \\ \bar{0} & I_{S_2-s_2} \otimes B_{18} \end{pmatrix}, C_{10} &= \begin{pmatrix} I_{s_2} \otimes B_{19} \ e_{s_2} \otimes \beta_2 I_{m_2} \\ \bar{0} & I_{S_2-s_2} \otimes B_{20} \end{pmatrix} \\ B_{17} &= D_0 - (\beta + \beta_1 + \beta_2 + \mu_{12}) I_{m_2}, B_{18} &= D_0 - (\beta + \beta_1 + \mu_{12}) I_{m_2}, \\ B_{19} &= D_0 - (\beta + \beta_2 + \mu_{12}) I_{m_2}, B_{20} &= D_0 - (\beta + \mu_{12}) I_{m_2}. \\ \end{cases}$$

$$Z_{2} = \begin{array}{c} 0\\ 1\\ 2\\ 12\\ \end{array} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & 12\\ \hat{G}_{1} & G^{12} & G^{13} & G^{14}\\ & \hat{G}_{2} & & \\ & & \hat{G}_{3} & \\ & & & & \hat{G}_{4} \\ \end{pmatrix},$$

where,

$$\begin{split} \hat{G}_{1} &= \begin{pmatrix} I_{s_{1}+1} \otimes \hat{C}_{3} \ e_{s_{1}+1} \otimes \beta_{1} I_{(S_{2}+1)m_{1}m_{2}} \\ \bar{0} & I_{S_{1}-s_{1}} \otimes \hat{C}_{4} \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where} \\ \hat{C}_{3} &= \begin{pmatrix} I_{s_{2}+1} \otimes \hat{B}_{5} \ e_{s_{2}+1} \otimes \beta_{2} I_{m_{1}m_{2}} \\ \bar{0} & I_{S_{2}-s_{2}} \otimes \hat{B}_{6} \end{pmatrix}, \hat{C}_{4} &= \begin{pmatrix} I_{s_{2}+1} \otimes \hat{B}_{7} \ e_{s_{2}+1} \otimes \beta_{2} I_{m_{1}m_{2}} \\ \bar{0} & I_{S_{2}-s_{2}} \otimes \hat{B}_{8} \end{pmatrix}, \\ \hat{B}_{5} &= T \oplus D_{0} - (\beta_{1} + \beta_{2}) I_{m_{1}m_{2}}, \hat{B}_{6} = T \oplus D_{0} - (\beta_{1}) I_{m_{1}m_{2}}, \\ \hat{B}_{7} &= T \oplus D_{0} - (\beta_{2}) I_{m_{1}m_{2}}, \hat{B}_{8} = T \oplus D_{0}. \\ \hat{G}_{2} &= \begin{pmatrix} I_{s_{1}} \otimes \hat{C}_{5} \ e_{s_{1}} \otimes \beta_{1} I_{(S_{2}+1)m_{2}} \\ \bar{0} & I_{S_{1}-s_{1}} \otimes \hat{C}_{6} \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where} \\ \hat{C}_{5} &= \begin{pmatrix} I_{s_{2}+1} \otimes \hat{B}_{9} \ e_{s_{2}+1} \otimes \beta_{2} I_{m_{2}} \\ \bar{0} & I_{S_{2}-s_{2}} \otimes \hat{B}_{10} \end{pmatrix}, \hat{C}_{6} &= \begin{pmatrix} I_{s_{2}+1} \otimes \hat{B}_{11} \ e_{s_{2}+1} \otimes \beta_{2} I_{m_{2}} \\ \bar{0} & I_{S_{2}-s_{2}} \otimes \hat{B}_{12} \end{pmatrix} \\ \hat{B}_{9} &= D_{0} - (\beta_{1} + \beta_{2} + \mu_{1}) I_{m_{2}}, \hat{B}_{10} &= D_{0} - (\beta_{1} + \mu_{1}) I_{m_{2}}, \\ \hat{B}_{11} &= D_{0} - (\beta_{2} + \mu_{1}) I_{m_{2}}, \hat{B}_{12} &= D_{0} - (\mu_{1}) I_{m_{2}}. \end{split}$$

 $\underline{\textcircled{O}}$ Springer

$$\begin{split} \hat{G}_{3} &= \begin{pmatrix} I_{s_{1}+1} \otimes \hat{C}_{7} \ e_{s_{1}+1} \otimes \beta_{1} I_{(S_{2}+1)m_{2}} \\ \bar{0} \ I_{S_{1}-s_{1}} \otimes \hat{C}_{8} \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where } \\ \hat{C}_{7} &= \begin{pmatrix} I_{s_{2}} \otimes \hat{B}_{13} \ e_{s_{2}} \otimes \beta_{2} I_{m_{2}} \\ \bar{0} \ I_{S_{2}-s_{2}} \otimes \hat{B}_{14} \end{pmatrix}, \hat{C}_{8} &= \begin{pmatrix} I_{s_{2}} \otimes \hat{B}_{15} \ e_{s_{2}} \otimes \beta_{2} I_{m_{2}} \\ \bar{0} \ I_{S_{2}-s_{2}} \otimes \hat{B}_{16} \end{pmatrix} \\ \hat{B}_{13} &= D_{0} - (\beta_{1} + \beta_{2} + \mu_{2}) I_{m_{2}}, \hat{B}_{14} = D_{0} - (\beta_{1} + \mu_{2}) I_{m_{2}}, \\ \hat{B}_{15} &= D_{0} - (\beta_{2} + \mu_{2}) I_{m_{2}}, \hat{B}_{16} = D_{0} - (\mu_{2}) I_{m_{2}}. \\ \hat{G}_{4} &= \begin{pmatrix} I_{s_{1}} \otimes \hat{C}_{9} \ e_{s_{1}} \otimes \beta_{1} I_{(S_{2}+1)m_{2}} \\ \bar{0} \ I_{S_{1}-s_{1}} \otimes \hat{C}_{10} \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where } \\ \hat{C}_{9} &= \begin{pmatrix} I_{s_{2}} \otimes \hat{B}_{17} \ e_{s_{2}} \otimes \beta_{2} I_{m_{2}} \\ \bar{0} \ I_{S_{2}-s_{2}} \otimes \hat{B}_{18} \end{pmatrix}, \hat{C}_{10} &= \begin{pmatrix} I_{s_{2}} \otimes \hat{B}_{19} \ e_{s_{2}} \otimes \beta_{2} I_{m_{2}} \\ \bar{0} \ I_{S_{2}-s_{2}} \otimes \hat{B}_{20} \end{pmatrix} \\ \hat{B}_{17} &= D_{0} - (\beta_{1} + \beta_{2} + \mu_{12}) I_{m_{2}}, \quad \hat{B}_{18} &= D_{0} - (\beta_{1} + \mu_{12}) I_{m_{2}}, \\ \hat{B}_{19} &= D_{0} - (\beta_{2} + \mu_{12}) I_{m_{2}}, \quad \hat{B}_{20} &= D_{0} - (\mu_{12}) I_{m_{2}}. \end{split}$$

2.1 Stability condition

Let $\pi = (\pi_0, \pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_S)$ be the steady state probability vector of $A = A_0 + A_1 + A_2$. Then

$$\pi A = 0, \pi e = 1 \tag{1}$$

From (1),

$$\pi_0 N_0 + \pi_1 M_0 = 0$$

$$\pi_i N_1 + \pi_{i+1} M = 0, 1 \le i \le s$$

$$\pi_i N_2 + \pi_{i+1} M = 0, s+1 \le i \le S-1$$

$$\pi_0 Z^0 + \sum_{i=1}^{s} \pi_i Z + \pi_S N_2 = 0$$

Where,

$$N_0 = Z_0$$

$$N_i = L + Z_i + \bar{M}, \ 1 \le i \le 2$$

Solving the above system of equations, we get

$$\pi_i = \begin{cases} \pi_S \mathcal{U}_0 \ i = 0\\ \pi_S \mathcal{U}_i \ 1 \le i \le s\\ \pi_S \hat{\mathcal{U}}_i \ s + 1 \le i \le S \end{cases}$$

Where,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}_0 &= (-1)^S (MN_2^{-1})^{S-s-1} (MN_1^{-1})^s (M_0N_0^{-1}) \\ \mathcal{U}_i &= (-1)^{S-i} (MN_2^{-1})^{S-s-1} (MN_1^{-1})^{s+1-i} \\ \hat{\mathcal{U}}_i &= (-1)^{S-i} (MN_2^{-1})^{S-i} \end{aligned}$$

D Springer

The unknown probability π_S can be calculated from the normalising condition

$$\pi_{S}\left(\mathcal{U}_{0}+\sum_{i=1}^{s}\mathcal{U}_{i}+\sum_{i=s+1}^{S}\hat{\mathcal{U}}_{i}\right)\boldsymbol{e}=1,$$

Theorem 2.1 *The queuing inventory system under study is stable if and only if*

$$\pi_{S}\mathcal{H}_{0}\mathcal{V}_{0} < \pi_{S}\left(\mathcal{H}_{1}\mathcal{V}_{1} + \mathcal{H}_{2}\mathcal{V}_{2}\right)$$

Proof The queueing system with the generator Q under study is stable if and only if

$$\pi A_0 e < \pi A_2 e \tag{2}$$

From A_0 and A_2 mentioned before, we obtain

$$\pi A_0 e = \pi_S \left(\sum_{i=1}^s \mathcal{U}_i + \sum_{i=s+1}^S \hat{\mathcal{U}}_i \right) L.e$$

and

$$\pi A_2 e = \pi_S \left[\mathcal{U}_1(M_0 + \bar{M}) + \left(\sum_{i=2}^s \mathcal{U}_i + \sum_{i=s+1}^S \hat{\mathcal{U}}_i \right) (\bar{M} + M) \right] . e^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} i - \frac{1}{2} i - \frac{1}{2$$

Let

$$\mathcal{H}_{0} = \sum_{i=1}^{s} \mathcal{U}_{i} + \sum_{i=s+1}^{s} \hat{\mathcal{U}}_{i}, \quad \mathcal{V}_{0} = L.e, \quad \mathcal{H}_{1} = \mathcal{U}_{1}, \quad \mathcal{V}_{1} = (M_{0} + \bar{M}).e,$$
$$\mathcal{H}_{2} = \sum_{i=2}^{s} \mathcal{U}_{i} + \sum_{i=s+1}^{s} \hat{\mathcal{U}}_{i} \quad \& \quad \mathcal{V}_{2} = (\bar{M} + M).e$$

Then by (2) we get the stated result.

2.2 Steady state probability vector

Assuming that the stability condition is satisfied, the steady state probability vector of the system Ω is calculated as follows: Let x denote the steady state probability vector of the generator Q. Then we have

$$\mathbf{x}\mathcal{Q} = 0, \quad \mathbf{x}\mathbf{e} = 1. \tag{3}$$

Partitioning **x** as $\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, ...)$, by the above conditions we get

$$\mathbf{x}_{0}A_{00} + \mathbf{x}_{1}A_{10} = 0$$

$$\mathbf{x}_{0}A_{01} + \mathbf{x}_{1}A_{1} + \mathbf{x}_{2}A_{2} = 0$$

$$\mathbf{x}_{n-1}A_{0} + \mathbf{x}_{n}A_{1} + \mathbf{x}_{n+1}A_{2} = 0; n \ge 2$$
(4)

By assuming the stability condition, we see that \mathbf{x} is obtained as (see Neuts)

$$\mathbf{x}_n = \mathbf{x}_1 R^{n-1}; n \ge 2,\tag{5}$$

Deringer

where R is the minimal non-negative solution of the matrix quadratic equation

$$R^2 A_2 + R A_1 + A_0 = 0 (6)$$

The boundary conditions are given by

$$\mathbf{x}_0 A_{00} + \mathbf{x}_1 A_{10} = 0$$

$$\mathbf{x}_0 A_{01} + \mathbf{x}_1 [A_1 + R A_2] = 0$$
 (7)

From Eq. (7) we get,

$$\mathbf{x}_1 = \mathbf{x}_0 \mathcal{K} \tag{8}$$

and hence by the normalising condition in equation number (3), we get

$$[\mathbf{x}_0 + \mathbf{x}_0 \mathcal{K} (I - R)^{-1}] \mathbf{e} = 1$$
(9)

where

$$\mathcal{K} = (-A_{01})(A_1 + RA_2)^{-1} \tag{10}$$

3 Some system performance measures

1. Expected re-ordering rate of essential item

$$E_{RE} = \mu' \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \sum_{i_k=1}^{S_k} \sum_{j_1=1}^{m_1} \sum_{j_2=1}^{m_2} \mathbf{x}_n(s+1, 0, i_1, \dots, i_m, j_1, j_2)$$

2. Expected re-ordering rate of *lth* optional item, $1 \le l \le m$

$$E_{ROI}(l) = \mu_l \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{S} \left(\sum_{\substack{u \in \Lambda \\ l \in u}} \sum_{\substack{i_k = 0, \\ k \notin u, \\ 1 \le k \le m}}^{S_k} \sum_{\substack{j_2 = 1 \\ j_2 = 1}}^{m_2} \mathbf{x}_n(i, u, i_1, \dots, i_{h-1}, s_l + 1, i_{h+1}, \dots, j_2) \right)$$

- 3. Expected number of customers in the system $E_C = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i \cdot \mathbf{x}_i \cdot e^{i \cdot \mathbf{x}_i}$
- 4. Expected number of essential inventories in the system

$$E_{EI} = \sum_{i=1}^{S} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \sum_{i_{k}=1}^{S_{k}} \sum_{j_{2}=1}^{m_{2}} i \cdot \mathbf{x}_{0}(i, 0^{*}, i_{1}, \dots, i_{m}, j_{2}) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{S} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \sum_{i_{k}=1}^{S_{k}} \sum_{j_{1}=1}^{m_{1}} \sum_{j_{2}=1}^{m_{2}} i \cdot \mathbf{x}_{n}(i, 0, i_{1}, \dots, i_{m}, j_{1}, j_{2}) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{S} \left(\sum_{\substack{u \in \Lambda \\ i_{k} \neq u, \\ 1 \le k \le m}} \sum_{\substack{i_{k}=1, \\ k \notin u, \\ 1 \le k \le m}}^{S_{k}} \sum_{j_{2}=1}^{S_{k}} i \cdot \mathbf{x}_{n}(i, u, i_{1}, \dots, i_{m}, j_{2}) \right)$$

🖄 Springer

5. Expected number of *lth* optional inventories in the system for $1 \le l \le m$.

$$E_{OI_{l}} = \sum_{i=1}^{S} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \sum_{i_{k}=1}^{S_{k}} \sum_{j_{2}=1}^{m_{2}} i_{l} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{0}(i, 0^{*}, i_{1}, \dots, i_{m}, j_{2}) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{S} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \sum_{i_{k}=1}^{S_{k}} \sum_{j_{1}=1}^{m_{1}} \sum_{j_{2}=1}^{m_{2}} i_{l} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{n}(i, 0, i_{1}, \dots, i_{m}, j_{1}, j_{2}) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{S} \left(\sum_{\substack{u \in \Lambda \\ i \neq l, k \neq u, k=l, k \in u, \\ 1 \leq k \leq m}} \sum_{\substack{i_{k}=1, \\ i_{k} \leq u, k=l, k \leq u, \\ 1 \leq k \leq m}} \right) \sum_{j_{2}=1}^{m_{2}} i_{l} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{n}(i, u, i_{1}, \dots, i_{m}, j_{2})$$

6. Expected loss rate of customers in the absence of essential item

$$E_L = \lambda \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \sum_{i_k=1}^{S_k} \sum_{j_2=1}^{m_2} \mathbf{x}_n(0, 0^*, i_1, \dots, i_m, j_2)$$

4 Cost function

In this section, we provide the optimal values of inventory levels *s*, *S*, *s_i* and *S_i* for $1 \le i \le m$. We introduce the cost function,

$$K(s, s_1, \dots, s_m, S, S_1, \dots, S_m)$$

= $C^0 E_{R_E} + \sum_{i=1}^m C^i E_{R_{OI}}(i) + C_{EI} E_{EI} + \sum_{i=1}^m C_{OI}(i) E_{OI}(i)$
+ $C_1 E_C + C_2 E_L$

where

- 1. C^0 = Fixed ordering cost due to essential item /unit item
- 2. C^i = Fixed ordering cost due to the *ith* optional item
- 3. C_{EI} = Holding cost due to the essential item/ unit
- 4. $C_{OI}(i)$ = Holding cost due to the *ith* optional item/ unit
- 5. C_1 = Holding cost of customers/ unit time
- 6. C_2 = Cost due to loss of customers / unit time, in the absence of the essential item

5 Numerical illustration

For numerical illustration, we have considered the model with one eessential and two optional inventories (the case when m=2). The number of phases of the arrival process is taken as 4 where as the number of phases in the service process is taken as 3. Here we take D_o , D_1 , T

β	6	8	10	12	
E _{RE}	1.44×10^{-6}	7.23×10^{-7}	4.17×10^{-7}	2.641×10^{-7}	
$E_{ROI(1)}$	3.23×10^{-6}	1.34×10^{-6}	6.58×10^{-7}	3.638×10^{-7}	
$E_{ROI(2)}$	$3.51 imes 10^{-6}$	1.37×10^{-6}	6.40×10^{-7}	$3.40 imes 10^{-7}$	
E_C	2.01×10^{-3}	9.86×10^{-4}	8.19×10^{-4}	7.202×10^{-4}	
E_{EI}	3.48	3.50	3.513	3.521	
$E_{OI(1)}$	0.082	0.058	0.044	0.035	
$E_{OI(2)}$	0.056	0.04	0.03	0.024	
E_L	1.78×10^{-9}	4.32×10^{-10}	1.39×10^{-10}	5.47×10^{-11}	

Table 1 Effect of β : Fix S = 5, $S_1 = 4$, $S_2 = 3$, s = 3, $s_1 = 2$, $s_2 = 1$, m1 = 3, m2 = 4, $\mu_1 = 6$, $\mu_2 = 7$, $\mu_{12} = 8$, $\beta_1 = 5$, $\beta_2 = 5$

and T^0 as follows.

$$D_{0} = \begin{pmatrix} -6.18 & 1.2 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & -8.213 & 3 & 3 \\ 2 & 3 & -10.08 & 4 \\ 3 & 4 & 3 & -11.02 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$D_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.20 & 0.23 & 0.30 & 0.25 \\ 0.31 & 0.32 & 0.34 & 0.243 \\ 0.25 & 0.26 & 0.27 & 0.30 \\ 0.27 & 0.22 & 0.32 & 0.21 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$T = \begin{pmatrix} -18 & 4 & 6 \\ 1 & -11 & 5 \\ 3 & 6 & -16 \end{pmatrix}, \ T^{0} = \begin{pmatrix} 8 \\ 5 \\ 7 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$\gamma = (0.3 & 0.2 & 0.5)$$

The variations in the system performance measures with various parameters are numerically shown as follows.

Table 1 shows the effect of the replenishment rate β of the essential item on various performance measures. As seen in the table, the values of E_{RE} , $E_{ROI(1)}$, $E_{ROI(2)}$, E_C , $E_{OI(1)}$, $E_{OI(2)}$ and E_L seems to be decreasing with increase in the value of β , where as an increasing tendency is observed with an increased values of β in the case of E_{EI} .

Tables 2, 3 and 4 shows the effect of μ_1 , μ_2 and μ_{12} , the exponential service rates of the first, second and the combined optional services on the performance measures. As seen in the Tables 2, 3 and 4, the values of E_{RE} , $E_{ROI(1)}$, $E_{ROI(2)}$, E_{EI} , $E_{OI(1)}$, $E_{OI(2)}$ and E_L shows an increasing tendency respectively with increased values of μ_1 , μ_2 and μ_{12} where as E_C shows a decreasing tendency respectively with the increased values of μ_1 , μ_2 and μ_{12} .

5.1 Numerical analysis of cost function

Table 5 shows the effect of the pair (s, S) of the essential inventory on the cost incurred by the system. With the increased values of S the cost showed an increasing tendency as expected as the cost of a single unit of the essential item is high also it's holding cost adds to this hike.

$\overline{\mu_1}$	5	6	7	8
E_{RE}	6.69×10^{-7}	6.78×10^{-7}	6.84×10^{-7}	6.89×10^{-7}
$E_{ROI(1)}$	9.36×10^{-7}	1.15×10^{-6}	1.37×10^{-6}	$1.58 imes 10^{-6}$
$E_{ROI(2)}$	7.18×10^{-7}	7.32×10^{-7}	7.43×10^{-7}	7.52×10^{-7}
E _C	9.33×10^{-4}	9.03×10^{-4}	8.79×10^{-4}	8.58×10^{-4}
E_{EI}	3.256	3.305	3.338	3.360
$E_{OI(1)}$	0.046	0.049	0.052	0.055
$E_{OI(2)}$	0.031	0.033	0.035	0.037
E_L	2.88×10^{-10}	2.98×10^{-10}	3.06×10^{-10}	3.12×10^{-10}

Table 2 Effect of μ_1 : Fix S = 5, $S_1 = 4$, $S_2 = 3$, s = 3, $s_1 = 2$, $s_2 = 1$, m1 = 3, m2 = 4, $\mu_2 = 4$, $\mu_{12} = 5$, $\beta = 8$, $\beta_1 = 6$, $\beta_2 = 5$

Table 3 Effect of μ_2 : Fix S = 5, $S_1 = 4$, $S_2 = 3$, s = 3, $s_1 = 2$, $s_2 = 1$, m1 = 3, m2 = 4, $\mu_1 = 4$, $\mu_{12} = 5$, $\beta = 8$, $\beta_1 = 6$, $\beta_2 = 5$

μ ₂	5	6	7	8
E _{RE}	6.61×10^{-7}	6.65×10^{-7}	6.67×10^{-7}	6.69×10^{-7}
$E_{ROI(1)}$	7.42×10^{-7}	7.54×10^{-7}	7.63×10^{-7}	$7.71 imes 10^{-6}$
$E_{ROI(2)}$	8.81×10^{-7}	1.07×10^{-6}	1.25×10^{-6}	1.43×10^{-6}
E_C	9.67×10^{-4}	9.66×10^{-4}	9.65×10^{-4}	9.62×10^{-4}
E_{EI}	3.231	3.263	3.285	3.301
$E_{OI(1)}$	0.043	0.044	0.044	0.044
$E_{OI(2)}$	0.030	0.030	0.030	0.031
E_L	2.89×10^{-10}	3.02×10^{-10}	3.11×10^{-10}	3.20×10^{-10}

Table 4 Effect of μ_{12} : Fix S = 5, $S_1 = 4$, $S_2 = 3$, s = 3, $s_1 = 2$, $s_2 = 1$, m1 = 3, m2 = 4, $\mu_1 = 4$, $\mu_2 = 5$, $\beta = 8$, $\beta_1 = 6$, $\beta_2 = 5$

μ_{12}	5	6	7	8
E_{RE}	6.77×10^{-7}	6.68×10^{-7}	6.73×10^{-7}	6.69×10^{-7}
$E_{ROI(1)}$	7.42×10^{-7}	7.52×10^{-7}	7.61×10^{-7}	7.68×10^{-7}
$E_{ROI(2)}$	8.81×10^{-7}	8.92×10^{-7}	8.99×10^{-7}	$9.05 imes 10^{-6}$
E _C	9.68×10^{-4}	9.68×10^{-4}	9.67×10^{-4}	9.66×10^{-4}
E_{EI}	3.231	3.262	3.283	3.298
$E_{OI(1)}$	0.043	0.043	0.044	0.044
$E_{OI(2)}$	0.030	0.030	0.030	0.031
E_L	2.89×10^{-10}	2.93×10^{-10}	2.95×10^{-10}	2.96×10^{-10}

Table 5 Effect of (s, S) on theCost function: Fin	S	S			
Cost function: Fix $S_1 = 4, S_2 = 3, s_1 = 2, s_2 = 1.$		2	3	4	5
$m1 = 3, m2 = 4, \mu_1 = 4, \mu_2 = 5, \mu_{12} = 6, \beta = 7, \beta_1 = 6, \beta_2 = 6$	7	1366.70	1039.83	544.09	577.43
$5, C^0 = 120$, $C^1 = 35$,	8	1369.30	1053.90	658.42	676.30
$C^2 = 40$ \$, $C_{EI} = 110$ \$,	9	1452.80	1231.90	906.11	703.34
$C_{OI}(1) = 500\$,$ $C_{OI}(2) = 450\$, C_1 = 70\$,$	10	1506.90	1302.30	999.95	813.26
$C_2 = 50$ \$	Bold va	alues are the optin	nal values of the C	Cost function	

Table 6 Effect of (s_1, S_1) on the
Cost function: Fix
$S = 4, S_2 = 3, s = 2, s_2 = 1,$
$m1 = 3, m2 = 4, \mu_1 = 4, \mu_2 =$
5, $\mu_{12} = 6$, $\beta = 7$, $\beta_1 = 6$,
$\beta_2 = 5, C^0 = 120$, $C^1 = 35$,
$C^2 = 40$ \$, $C_{EI} = 110$ \$,
$C_{OI}(1) = 500\$,$
$C_{OI}(2) = 450\$, C_1 = 70\$,$
$C_2 = 50$ \$

 $C_2 = 50$ \$

$\frac{1}{S_1}$	51				
51	$\frac{s_1}{1}$	2	3	4	
6	387.28	383.04	382.91	370.80	
7	698.92	402.00	376.32	378.51	
8	836.19	752.77	458.44	426.54	
9	795.87	671.38	466.07	435.77	

Bold values are the optimal values of the Cost function

Table 7 Effect of (s_2, S_2) on the Cost function: Fix	$\overline{S_2}$	<i>s</i> ₂			
$S = 4, S_1 = 3, s = 2, s_1 = 1,$		1	2	3	4
$m1 = 3, m2 = 4, \mu_1 = 4, \mu_2 = 5, \mu_{12} = 6, \beta = 7, \beta_1 = 6,$	6	412.53	413.93	415.16	398.52
$\beta_2 = 5, C^0 = 120$, $C^1 = 35$,	7	442.12	445.57	439.40	435.70
$C^2 = 40\$, C_{EI} = 110\$,$	8	531.99	469.48	458.39	451.50
$C_{OI}(1) = 500\$,$ $C_{OI}(2) = 450\$, C_1 = 70\$,$	9	651.56	598.36	507.53	446.03

Bold values are the optimal values of the Cost function

Even though the cost decreased initially with the increased values of s, it later showed an increasing behaviour(at s=4).

Table 6 gives the effect of of the pair (s_1, S_1) with respect to the first optional item in the cost incurred on the system. The cost showed an increasing tendency with increased values of S_1 as expected except in the case where $s_1 = 3$ where the cost decreased initially and then showed an increasing behaviour. As the value of s_1 is increased, the cost seems to be decreasing.

Table 7 gives the effect of the pair (s_2, S_2) with respect to the second optional item in the cost incurred on the system. The cost showed an increasing tendency with increased values of S_2 as expected. As the value of s_2 is increased, the cost seems to be decreasing. The optimum values of the control variables are when the values of S and S_i for $1 \le i \le m$ are kept minimum together with the values of s and s_i fixed close to those of S and S_i respectively for $1 \leq i \leq m$.

6 Conclusion

We analyzed a multi-commodity queueing inventory system with one essential and m optional items. Immediately after the service of an essential item, the customer either leaves the sys-

2113

tem with probability p or with probability 1-p he/she goes for optional item(s). However, in the absence of an essential item, service will not be provided. With the arrival of customers forming Markovian arrival process (MAP), service time of essential item Phase type distributed and that for optional items exponentially distributed(depending on the type(s) of item(s)), all given by a single server, the system was analysed. Then we obtained the system state probability distribution. Under stability condition, we computed the long run system state distribution. A cost function involving these control variables was established. An optimization of the control variables w.r.t the cost function is also done numerically and it is the same as what we see around us. For example, in car showroom, huge machinery showroom etc., only a few items (main item) will be displayed and orders will be taken as per the requirement of the customers.

Extending the model discussed by introducing random/ Markovian environments is proposed as a future work. This will be quite challenging.

References

- Araya-Sassi, C., Paredes-Belmar, G., & Gutiérrez-Jarpa, G. (2020). Multi-commodity inventory-location problem with two different review inventory control policies and modular stochastic capacity constraints. *Computers and Industrial Engineering*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2020.106410.
- Askin, R. G., Baffo, I., & Xia, M. (2014). Multi-commodity warehouse location and distribution planning with inventory consideration. *International Journal of Production Research*, 52(7), 1897–1910.
- Baek, J. W., & Moon, S. K. (2014). The M/M/1 queue with a production-inventory system and lost sales. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 233, 534–544.
- Berman, O., Kaplan, E. H., & Shimshak, D. G. (1993). Deterministic approximations for inventory management at service facilities. *IIE Transactions*, 25(5), 98–104.
- Berman, O., & Kim, E. (1999). Stochastic models for inventory managements at service facilities. Communications in Statistics Stochastic Models, 15(4), 695–718.
- Berman, O., & Sapna, K. P. (2002). Optimal service rates of a service facility with perishable inventory items. Naval Research Logistic, 49, 464–482.
- Chakravarthy, S. R. (2001). The batch Markovian arrival process: A review and future work. In A. Krishnamoorthy, et al. (Eds.), Advances in probability theory and stochastic process (pp. 21–49). New Jersey, NJ: Notable Publications.
- Daduna, H. (2020). Graph-based mobility models: Asymptotic and stationary node distribution. In H. Hermanns (Ed.), *Measurement, modelling and evaluation of computing systems. MMB 2020. Lecture notes* in computer science (Vol. 12040). Cham: Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-43024-5-10.
- Daduna, H., & Krenzler, R. (2020). Performance analysis for loss systems with many subscribers and concurrent services. In H. Hermanns (Ed.), *Measurement, modelling and evaluation of computing systems*. *MMB 2020. Lecture notes in computer science* (Vol. 12040). Cham: Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/ 978-3-030-43024-5-8.
- Faiz, A.-K., & Hwang, S.-J. (2007). Inventory constrained maritime routing and scheduling for multi- commodity liquid bulk, Part I: Applications and model. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 176(1), 106–130.
- Jin, Q. I. N., Feng, S. H. I., Miao, L. X., & Tan, G. J. (2009). Optimal model and algorithm for multi-commodity logistics network design considering stochastic demand and inventory control. Systems Engineering Theory & Practice, 29(4), 176–183.
- Krishnamoorthy, A., Shajin, D., & Viswanath, C. N. (2019). Inventory with positive service time: A survey. In V. Anisimov & N. Limnios (Eds.), Advanced trends in queueing theory: Series of books "Mathematics and Statistics". London: Sciences, ISTE & J. Wiley.
- Krishnamoorthy, A., & Viswanath, N. C. (2013). Stochastic decomposition in production inventory with service time. *EJOR*,. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2013.01.041.
- Melikov, A. Z., & Molchanov, A. A. (1992). Stock optimization in transportation/storage systems. Cybernetics and Systems Analysis, 28(3), 484–487.
- Neuts, M. F. (1981). Matrix-geometric solutions in stochastic models: An algorithmic approach. Baltimore: The Johns Hopkins University Press. [1994 version is Dover Edition].

- Otten, S., Krenzler, R., & Daduna, H. (2020). Separable models for interconnected production-inventory systems. *Stochastic Models*, 36(1), 48–93. https://doi.org/10.1080/15326349.2019.1692667.
- Sadjady, H., & Davoudpour, H. (2012). Two-echelon, multi-commodity supply chain network design with mode selection, lead-times and inventory costs. *Computers and Operations Research*, 39(7), 1345–1354.
- Saffari, M., Asmussen, S., & Haji, R. (2013). The M/M/1 queue with inventory, lost sale and general lead times. *Queueing Systems*, 2013, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11134-012-9337-3.
- Schwarz, M., & Daduna, H. (2006). Queueing systems with inventory management with random lead times and with backordering. *Mathematical Methods of Operations Research*, 64, 383–414.
- Schwarz, M., Sauer, C., Daduna, H., Kulik, R., & Szekli, R. (2006). M/M/1 queueing systems with inventory. Queueing System, 54, 55–78.
- Schwarz, M., Wichelhaus, C., & Daduna, H. (2007). Product form models for queueing networks with an inventory. *Stochastic Models*, 23(4), 627–663.
- Sigman, K., & Simchi-Levi, D. (1992). Light traffic heuristic for an M/G/1 queue with limited inventory. Annals of Operation Research, 1992(40), 371–380.
- Zadeh, A. S., Sahraeian, R., & Homayouni, M. (2013). A dynamic multi-commodity inventory and facility location problem in steel supply chain network design. *International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 70(5–8), 1267–1282. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-013-5358-2.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.