

# ON THE STRUCTURE OF A MUTUALLY PERMUTABLE PRODUCT OF FINITE GROUPS

J. COSSEY<sup>1</sup> and Y.-M. LI<sup>2,\*†</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Mathematics Department, School of Mathematical Science, The Australian National University, Canberra, 2601, Australia  
e-mail: John.Cossey@anu.edu.au

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Guangdong University of Education, Guangzhou, 510310, People's Republic of China  
e-mail: liyangming@gdei.edu.cn

(Received October 18, 2017; accepted December 19, 2017)

**Abstract.** Let a finite group  $G = AB$  be the product of the mutually permutable subgroups  $A$  and  $B$ . We investigate the structure of  $G$  given by conditions on conjugacy class sizes of elements in  $A \cup B$ . Some recent results are extended.

## 1. Introduction

All groups considered in this paper are finite. For an element  $x$  in  $G$ , by  $x^G$  we denote the conjugacy class containing  $x$  and by  $|x^G|$  the length of  $x^G$ , that is, the number of elements in  $x^G$ . By  $\pi(G)$  we denote the set of prime divisors of  $|G|$  and by  $G_p$  we denote a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ , where  $p \in \pi(G)$ . If  $G$  is  $p$ -soluble we denote by  $l_p(G)$  the  $p$ -length of  $G$ . We call an element  $x$  of  $G$   $p$ -regular element ( $p$ -singular element) if  $o(x)$  is prime to  $p$  ( $p$  divides  $o(x)$ ).

The product  $G = AB$  of the subgroups  $A$  and  $B$  of a group  $G$  is said to be a mutually permutable product of  $A$  and  $B$  if  $UB = BU$  for all subgroups  $U$  of  $A$  and  $AV = VA$  for all subgroups  $V$  of  $B$ . The relation between properties of  $A$  and  $B$  and the properties of  $G$  and the relation between conditions on conjugacy classes of a group and its structure have been extensively investigated. Surveys of these can be found at [1] and [3]. Recently conditions on

---

\* Corresponding author.

† The second author has been supported by the project of NSF of China (11271085), NSF of Guangdong Province (China) (2015A030313791) and The Innovative Team Project of Guangdong Province (China) (2014KTSCX196).

*Key words and phrases:* mutually permutable product, the length of conjugacy class, the degree of irreducible characters.

*Mathematics Subject Classification:* 20D45.

the conjugacy classes of  $A$  and  $B$  have been used to investigate the structure of a mutually permutable product  $G = AB$  ([2], [6]).

In this paper we prove an extension of [9, Theorem B].

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $p$  be a prime in  $\pi(G)$ . Suppose that  $G = AB$  is a mutually permutable product of two  $p$ -soluble subgroups  $A$  and  $B$  of  $G$ . Suppose that for every  $x$  of prime power order in  $A \cup B$ ,  $|x^G|$  is not divisible by  $p^{p-1}$ . Then  $G$  is  $p$ -soluble and the  $p$ -length of  $G$  is at most one.*

We also give examples to show that some of the results in [8] and [10] can not be extended in the same way to mutually permutable products.

## 2. Preliminaries

The proofs of our results rely heavily on results from the book [1]. For the convenience of the reader we state the results we use from this book.

**LEMMA 2.1** [1, Lemma 4.1.10]. *Assume that the group  $G$  is a mutually permutable product of the subgroups  $A$  and  $B$  and that  $N$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ . Then  $G/N$  is a mutually permutable product of  $AN/N$  and  $BN/N$ .*

**LEMMA 2.2** [1, Theorem 4.3.11]. *Assume that the non-trivial group  $G$  is a mutually permutable product of the subgroups  $A$  and  $B$ . Then  $A_G B_G$  is non-trivial.*

**LEMMA 2.3** [1, Lemma 4.1.21]. *Assume that the group  $G$  is a mutually permutable product of the subgroups  $A$  and  $B$ . If  $U$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ , then  $(U \cap A)(U \cap B)$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ .*

**LEMMA 2.4** [1, Theorem 4.1.15]. *Assume that the group  $G$  is a mutually permutable product of the subgroups  $A$  and  $B$ . If  $A$  and  $B$  are  $p$ -soluble, then  $G$  is  $p$ -soluble.*

**LEMMA 2.5** [1, Theorem 4.3.3]. *Assume that the group  $G$  is a mutually permutable product of the subgroups  $A$  and  $B$ .*

(1) *If  $N$  is a minimal normal subgroup of  $G$ , then  $\{A \cap N, B \cap N\} \subseteq \{N, 1\}$ .*

(2) *If  $N$  is a minimal normal subgroup of  $G$  contained in  $A$  and  $B \cap N = 1$ , then  $N \leq C_G(A)$  or  $N \leq C_G(B)$ . If furthermore  $N$  is not cyclic, then  $N \leq C_G(B)$ .*

**LEMMA 2.6** [9, Theorem B]. *Let  $G$  be a  $p$ -soluble group, where  $p$  is a fixed prime integer. Suppose that for every element  $x$  of prime power order in  $G$ ,  $|x^G|$  is not divisible by  $p^{p-1}$ . Then the  $p$ -length of  $G$  is at most one.*

The following facts are well known and we will use them without further reference.

- (1) If  $N$  is a subnormal subgroup of  $G$  then  $|x^N| \mid |x^G|$  for any  $x \in G$ ;
- (2)  $|(xN)^{G/N}| \mid |x^G|$  if  $x \in G$  and  $N$  is normal in  $G$ .
- (3) Let  $N$  be a normal subgroup of  $G$  and  $p$  a prime. If  $xN$  is a  $p$ -element of  $G/N$ , then there is a  $p$ -element  $x_1$  of  $G$  such that  $xN = x_1N$ .

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1

We know that  $G$  is  $p$ -soluble by Lemma 2.4.

Assume the the theorem is false and  $G$  is a counterexample with minimal order. Then  $O_{p'}(G) = 1$ . If  $N$  is a minimal normal subgroup of  $G$  then  $N$  is an elementary abelian  $p$ -group. By Lemma 2.1, we know that  $G/N = AN/N \cdot BN/N$  satisfies the condition of the theorem and  $l_p(G/N) \leq 1$ . The minimality of  $G$  gives  $N = \text{Soc}(G) = O_p(G) = F(G) = C_G(N)$  and  $\Phi(G) = 1$  by standard arguments. We can write  $G = NH$  and  $H \cap N = 1$  and  $l_p(H) \leq 1$ . By Lemma 2.2, we know that  $A_G B_G \neq 1$ . Hence we can assume that  $A_G \neq 1$  and  $N \leq A$ . If  $N \not\leq B$ , then  $N \cap B = 1$  by Lemma 2.5(1). If  $N$  is cyclic, then  $H$  is cyclic of order dividing  $p - 1$ , giving  $l_p(G) = 1$ , a contradiction. Hence  $N$  is not cyclic and so  $N \leq C_G(B)$  by Lemma 2.5(2). Then  $B \leq C_G(N) = N \leq A$ . So  $G = AB = A$ . By Lemma 2.6,  $l_p(G) \leq 1$ , a contradiction. Hence  $N \leq A \cap B$ . Note also that since  $O_{p'}(A) \leq C_A(N) \leq C_G(N) = N$ , we have  $O_{p'}(A) = 1$ .

Next we set  $L = O^{p'}(G)$  and  $K = (A \cap L)(B \cap L)$ . Then, by Lemma 2.3,  $K$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ . Since  $K \leq L$ ,  $A \cap K \leq A \cap L$ . On the other hand,  $A \cap L \leq K$ , so  $A \cap L \leq A \cap K$ . Hence  $A \cap K = A \cap L$ . Now we have

$$A/(A \cap K) = A/(A \cap L) \cong AL/L,$$

which is a  $p'$ -group. This implies that  $AK/K$  is a  $p'$ -group. Similarly  $BK/K$  is a  $p'$ -group. Hence  $G/K$  is a  $p'$ -group. Hence  $L \leq K$  and so  $K = L$ . So  $L$  satisfies the hypotheses of the theorem. If  $L < G$ , then  $l_p(L) \leq 1$  by the minimal choice of  $G$ . Then  $l_p(G) \leq 1$ , a contradiction. Hence  $L = G$ .

We now have  $O^{p'}(G/N) = G/N$  and so  $O^{p'}(H) = H$ . Since the  $p$ -length of  $H$  is at most 1, if  $P$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $H$  then  $PO_{p'}(H)$  is normal in  $H$  and  $H/(PO_{p'}(H))$  is a  $p'$ -group. Since  $O^{p'}(G) = G$  we have  $H = PO_{p'}(H)$  is  $p$ -nilpotent.

We now prove that for any  $x \in H$ ,  $C_G(x) = C_N(x)C_H(x)$ . To do this we only need to prove that  $C_G(x) \leq C_N(x)C_H(x)$ . Pick  $g \in C_G(x)$ . Then  $g = nh$ , where  $n \in N$  and  $h \in H$ . If  $x^g = x^{nh} = x$  then  $x^n = x^{h^{-1}}$  and so

$$x^{-1}x^n = x^{-1}x^{h^{-1}}.$$

Since  $x^{-1}x^n \in N$  and  $x^{-1}x^{h^{-1}} \in H$  we have  $x^{-1}x^n = x^{-1}x^{h^{-1}} \in N \cap H = 1$ . Hence  $n \in C_N(x)$  and  $h \in C_H(x)$ . So  $C_G(x) \leq C_N(x)C_H(x)$ .

Since  $G_p = (A \cap H)_p(B \cap H)_p \neq 1$ , we can assume that  $(A \cap H)_p \neq 1$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} & (B \cap H)_{p'}(A \cap H)_p \\ &= (A \cap H)_{p'}(A \cap H)_p(B \cap H)_{p'} \cap (A \cap H)_{p'}(B \cap H)_p(B \cap H)_{p'}, \end{aligned}$$

$(B \cap H)_{p'}(A \cap H)_p$  is a group. Since  $H$  is  $p$ -nilpotent, so are  $(A \cap H)_{p'}(A \cap H)_p$  and  $(B \cap H)_{p'}(A \cap H)_p$ . Similarly,  $(B \cap H)_{p'}(A \cap H)_p$  and  $(B \cap H)_{p'}(B \cap H)_p$  are also  $p$ -nilpotent groups.

We now consider the actions of  $(A \cap H)_p$  on  $(A \cap H)_{p'}$  and  $(B \cap H)_{p'}$ . Assume that  $(A \cap H)_p$  does not act trivially on both  $(A \cap H)_{p'}$  and  $(B \cap H)_{p'}$ . Hence we can assume that  $(A \cap H)_p$  acts non-trivially on  $(A \cap H)_{p'}$ . Hence there exists a  $p$ -element  $x$  in  $(A \cap H)_p$  such that  $x$  acts non-trivially on  $(A \cap H)_{p'}$ . Let  $Q$  be an  $\langle x \rangle$ -invariant subgroup of  $(A \cap H)_{p'}$  such that  $\langle x \rangle$  acts non-trivially on  $Q$  and  $\langle x \rangle$  acts trivially on any proper  $\langle x \rangle$ -invariant subgroup of  $Q$ . Since  $C_{A \cap H}(N) = 1$ ,  $Q \langle x \rangle$  acts faithfully on  $N$ . Now applying [9, Lemma 3.2], there exists an element  $y \in Q \langle x \rangle$  of prime power order such that  $|N : C_N(y)| \geq p^{p-1}$ . We know that  $C_G(x) = C_N(x)C_H(x)$  for any  $x \in H$ , hence

$$|y^G| = [G : C_G(y)] = \frac{|G|}{|C_G(y)|} = \frac{|H|}{|C_H(y)|} \cdot \frac{|N|}{|C_N(y)|}.$$

So  $|y^G|$  is divisible by  $p^{p-1}$ . Since  $y \in A$ , this is a contradiction.

Now suppose that  $(A \cap H)_p$  acts trivially on both  $(A \cap H)_{p'}$  and  $(B \cap H)_{p'}$ . If  $(B \cap H)_p = 1$ , then  $(A \cap H)_p$  is a normal  $p$ -group of  $H \cong G/C_G(N) = G/N$ . By [5, Theorem B 3.12], this is impossible. Hence assume that  $(B \cap H)_p \neq 1$ . Now consider the actions of  $(B \cap H)_p$  on  $(A \cap H)_{p'}$  and  $(B \cap H)_{p'}$ . If  $(B \cap H)_p$  acts trivially on both  $(A \cap H)_{p'}$  and  $(B \cap H)_{p'}$ , then  $H_p = (A \cap H)_p(B \cap H)_p$  is normal in  $H$ . By [5, Theorem B. 3.12], this is impossible. Hence  $(B \cap H)_p$  does not act trivially on  $(A \cap H)_{p'}(B \cap H)_{p'} = H_{p'}$ . Repeating the arguments in the above, we get a contradiction.  $\square$

### 4. Remarks

It is not always possible to extend results in the manner above. As an example, [8, Theorem B] tells us: if  $p$  is a prime and  $P$  a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of a group  $G$  then  $|x^G|$  is not divisible by  $p$  for every  $p$ -singular element  $x$  of  $G$  if and only if  $P$  is an abelian TI-subgroup and  $N_G(P)/C_G(P)$  acts Frobeniusly on  $P$  if  $N_G(P) > C_G(P)$ .

If we replace the condition on  $p$ -singular elements of  $G$  by the same condition on  $p$ -singular elements of  $A \cup B$ , the same conclusion would imply that

the  $p$ -singular elements  $x$  of  $G$  would satisfy  $|x^G|$  not divisible by  $p$ . It is easy to find counterexamples even for totally permutable products. If  $G = A \times B$  with  $A \cong B \cong S_3$ , then  $|x^G| = 2$  for all 3-singular elements of  $A \cup B$ , but the 3-singular element  $xu$  with  $x \in A_3$  and  $y \in B_2$  has  $|(xy)^G| = 6$ .

Another example is given by the following well known result [10, Theorem 5.4]. Suppose that  $G$  is a finite group and  $p$  is a prime. Then  $p$  does not divide  $\chi(1)$  for every  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$  if and only if  $G$  has a normal abelian Sylow  $p$ -subgroup ([10, Theorem 5.4]). If we replace the condition on characters of  $G$  by the same condition on  $\text{Irr}(A) \cup \text{Irr}(B)$  then any non-abelian product (including mutually permutable and totally permutable) of abelian subgroups  $A$  and  $B$  will give a counterexample.

**Acknowledgement.** This research was carried out during a visit of the second author to Mathematics Department, School of Mathematical Science, The Australian National University. He is grateful to the Department for its warm hospitality.

## References

- [1] A. Ballester-Bolinches, R. Esteban-Romero and M. Asaad, *Products of Finite Groups*, Walter de Gruyter (Berlin, New-York, 2010).
- [2] A. Ballester-Bolinches, J. Cossey and Y.-M. Li, Mutually permutable products and conjugacy classes, *Monatsh. Math.*, **170** (2013), 305–310.
- [3] A. R. Camina and R. D. Camina, The influence of conjugacy class sizes on the structure of finite groups: a survey, *Asian-Eur. J. Math.*, **4** (2011), 559–588.
- [4] J. Cossey and Y. Wang, Remarks on the length of conjugacy classes of finite groups, *Comm. Algebra*, **27** (1999), 4347–4353.
- [5] K. Doerk and T. O. Hawkes, *Finite Soluble Groups*, De Gruyter (Berlin, 1992).
- [6] M. J. Felipe, A. Martínez-Pastor and V. M. Ortíz-Sotomayor, Square-free class sizes in mutually permutable products, *J. Algebra*, **491** (2017), 190–206.
- [7] I. M. Isaacs, *Character Theory of Finite Groups*, Academic Press (New York, 1976).
- [8] G. Qian and Y. Wang, On class size of  $p$ -singular elements in finite groups, *Comm. Algebra*, **37** (2009), 1172–1181.
- [9] G. Qian and Y. Wang, On conjugacy class sizes and character degrees of finite groups, *J. Algebra Appl.*, **13** (2014), 1–8.
- [10] G. O. Michler, A finite simple group of Lie type has  $p$ -blocks with different defects,  $p \neq 2$ , *J. Algebra*, **104** (1986), 220–230.