# $\Sigma$ -UNIFORM STRUCTURES AND $\Sigma$ -FUNCTIONS. II

A. N. Khisamiev<sup>\*</sup>

### UDC 512.540+510.5

<u>Keywords:</u> hereditarily finite admissible set,  $\Sigma$ -definability, universal  $\Sigma$ -function,  $\Sigma$ -uniform structure, Abelian group, ring.

We construct a family of  $\Sigma$ -uniform Abelian groups and a family of  $\Sigma$ -uniform rings. Conditions are specified that are necessary and sufficient for a universal  $\Sigma$ -function to exist in a hereditarily finite admissible set over structures in these families. It is proved that there is a set S of primes such that no universal  $\Sigma$ -function exists in hereditarily finite admissible sets  $\mathbb{HF}(G)$  and  $\mathbb{HF}(K)$ , where  $G = \bigoplus \{Z_p \mid p \in S\}$  is a group,  $Z_p$  is a cyclic group of order  $p, K = \bigoplus \{F_p \mid p \in S\}$  is a ring, and  $F_p$  is a prime field of characteristic p.

The present paper is a continuation of [1], in which we introduced the concept of a  $\Sigma$ -uniform structure and derived a condition that is necessary and sufficient for a universal  $\Sigma$ -function to exist in a hereditarily finite admissible set over a  $\Sigma$ -uniform structure. Here we show how these results apply to Abelian groups and rings. We construct a family of  $\Sigma$ -uniform Abelian groups and a family of  $\Sigma$ -uniform rings. Conditions are specified that are necessary and sufficient for a universal  $\Sigma$ -function to exist in a hereditarily finite admissible set over structures in these families. It is proved that there is a set S of primes such that no universal  $\Sigma$ -function exists in hereditarily finite admissible sets  $\mathbb{HF}(G)$  and  $\mathbb{HF}(K)$ , where  $G = \bigoplus \{Z_p \mid p \in S\}$  is a group,  $Z_p$  is a cyclic group of order  $p, K = \bigoplus \{F_p \mid p \in S\}$  is a ring, and  $F_p$  is a prime field of characteristic p.

We will adhere to the notation and terminology created for admissible sets in [2], for groups in [3], and for rings in [4] (see also [1]).

We start to cite the definition of a  $\Sigma$ -uniform structure from [1].

**Definition 1.** Suppose that a locally finite structure  $\mathfrak{M}$  in a signature  $\sigma_0$  satisfies the following conditions:

0002-5232/12/5101-0089 © 2012 Springer Science+Business Media, Inc.

<sup>\*</sup>Supported by RFBR (project No. 08-01-00336) and by the Grants Council (under RF President) for State Aid of Leading Scientific Schools (grant NSh-3606.2010.1).

Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, Siberian Branch, Russian Academy of Sciences, pr. Akad. Koptyuga 4, Novosibirsk, 630090 Russia; hisamiev@math.nsc.ru. Translated from *Algebra i Logika*, Vol. 51, No. 1, pp. 129-147, January-February, 2012. Original article submitted November 24, 2010; revised June 5, 2011.

(1) Let a  $\Sigma$ -subset  $\Xi_0$  of natural numbers be defined without parameters. We call every element  $\chi \in \Xi_0, 0 \in \Xi_0$ , an elementary characteristic. In addition, let a unary  $\Sigma$ -function  $\mathfrak{b}(\chi)$ ,  $\delta \mathfrak{b} = \Xi_0$ , be defined without parameters so that for any  $\chi \in \Xi_0$ , the value of  $\mathfrak{b}(\chi)$  is a nonempty finite set of sequences of elements of equal length in  $M \setminus \Omega$ , with  $\mathfrak{b}(0) = \{\emptyset\}$ . Every element  $\bar{y} \in \mathfrak{b}(\chi)$  is called an *elementary basis* of characteristic  $\chi$  and is written  $\chi(\bar{y}) = \chi$ . All bases  $\bar{y}_i, \bar{y}_j \in \mathfrak{b}(\chi)$  generate the same subsystem, i.e.,  $(\bar{y}_i) = (\bar{y}_j)$ . If  $\bar{y} = \langle y_0, \ldots, y_{p-1} \rangle$  is an elementary basis, then  $(y_i) \cap (y_0, \ldots, y_{i-1}, y_{i+1}, \ldots, y_{p-1}) = \Omega$  for any i < p. If elementary bases  $\bar{y}_0, \ldots, \bar{y}_{q-1}$  have pairwise distinct characteristics, then  $(\bar{y}_i) \cap (\langle \bar{y}_0, \ldots, \bar{y}_{i-1}, \bar{y}_{i+1}, \ldots, \bar{y}_{q-1} \rangle) = \Omega$  for any i < q.

For an arbitrary sequence  $\chi = \langle \chi_0, \dots, \chi_{q-1} \rangle$  and for all bases  $\bar{y}_i$  of characteristic  $\chi_i$ , a sequence of the form  $Y = \langle \bar{y}_0, \dots, \bar{y}_{q-1} \rangle$  is called a *basis of characteristic*  $\chi$ , where  $\chi_0 \ge 0$ , if q = 1, and  $0 < \chi_i < \chi_j$  if q > 1 and i < j < q.

(2) If  $\bar{y} = \langle y_0, \ldots, y_{p-1} \rangle$  is an elementary basis of characteristic  $\chi \neq 0$ , and  $x \in (\bar{y}) \setminus \Omega$ , then  $\bar{y}$  is called an *elementary basis of the element* x. The elementary basis for any element  $x \in \Omega$  is  $\emptyset$ . A sequence  $f_0(x, \bar{y}) = \langle x_0, \ldots, x_{p-1} \rangle$ ,  $x_i \in (y_i) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ , such that  $(x) \subseteq (x_0, \ldots, x_{p-1})$  is uniquely defined for any  $x \in (\bar{y}) \setminus \Omega$ . We call  $x_i$  and x, respectively, *atomwise* and *atomic* elements of characteristic  $\chi$ .

For any nonatomic element  $z \in M$ , a sequence  $f_1(z) = \langle z_0, \ldots, z_{q-1} \rangle$ , q > 1, of atomic elements  $z_i \notin \Omega$  of characteristic  $\chi_i$  is uniquely defined so that  $(z) = (z_0, \ldots, z_{q-1})$ ,  $\chi_i < \chi_j$ , and i < j < q. A basis  $Y = \langle \bar{y}_0, \ldots, \bar{y}_{q-1} \rangle$ ,  $\chi(\bar{y}_i) = \chi_i$ , is called a *basis of an element z* and is denoted by  $\mathfrak{B}_0(z, Y)$ . If z is an atomic element, then  $f_1(z) = z$ . Functions  $f_0$  and  $f_1$  are 1-1  $\Sigma$ -functions without parameters.

Let an elementary basis  $\bar{y} = \langle y_0, \ldots, y_{p-1} \rangle$  of characteristic  $\chi$  and i < p be given. A  $\Sigma$ -function Cor<sub>0</sub> without parameters, where  $\delta \text{Cor}_0 = \{\langle x, y_i \rangle \mid x \in (y_i)\}, \rho \text{Cor}_0 \subseteq \omega^+$ , and  $\omega^+ = \{n \in \omega \mid n > 0\}$ , is defined in  $\mathbb{HF}(\mathfrak{M})$  so that  $\text{Cor}_0(x^0, y_i) \neq \text{Cor}_0(x^1, y_i)$  if  $x^{\varepsilon} \in (y_i), \varepsilon < 2$ , and  $x^0 \neq x^1$ .

(3) Let bases  $Y^0$  and  $Y^1$  of the same characteristic  $\chi$  and a finite substructure  $\mathfrak{M}^0 \supseteq (Y^0)$  be given. Then there exists an isomorphic embedding  $\varphi : \mathfrak{M}^0 \to \mathfrak{M}$  for which  $\varphi Y^0 = Y^1$ .

In this case we call  $\mathfrak M$  a  $\Sigma\text{-uniform structure}.$ 

Below are a number of valid results.

**THEOREM 1** [1]. Let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be a  $\Sigma$ -uniform structure and  $M_0$  some basis. Then the family  $\mathfrak{F}^{M_0}$ of all unary functions definable in  $\mathbb{HF}(\mathfrak{M})$  by  $\Sigma$ -formulas with parameter  $M_0$  is computable if and only if the family  $\mathcal{N}^{M_0}$  of all numerical  $\Sigma$ -functions with parameter  $M_0$  is computable in  $\mathbb{HF}(\mathfrak{M})$ .

**THEOREM 2** [1]. Let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be a  $\Sigma$ -uniform structure. The family  $\mathfrak{F}$  of all unary  $\Sigma$ -functions in  $\mathbb{HF}(\mathfrak{M})$  is computable if and only if the family  $\mathbb{N}$  of all numerical  $\Sigma$ -functions in  $\mathbb{HF}(\mathfrak{M})$  is computable.

**COROLLARY 1** [1]. If  $\mathfrak{M}$  is a  $\Sigma$ -uniform structure, then an ideal  $\mathfrak{I}_e(\mathfrak{M})$  of *e*-degrees of  $\Sigma$ subsets of natural numbers in  $\mathbb{HF}(\mathfrak{M})$  is principal and is generated by the *e*-degree of a set  $\mathrm{Th}_{\exists}(\mathfrak{M})$ of Gödel numbers of  $\exists$ -sentences true in  $\mathfrak{M}$ .

**COROLLARY 2** [1]. Let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be a  $\Sigma$ -uniform structure. Then  $\mathbb{HF}(\mathfrak{M})$  contains a universal  $\Sigma$ -function if and only if a principal *e*-ideal  $\mathfrak{I}_e(\mathfrak{M})$  contains a universal function for the family of

all unary functions in  $\mathfrak{I}_e(\mathfrak{M})$ .

#### 1. GROUPS

In this section, we construct a family of  $\Sigma$ -uniform Abelian groups.

Let G be a periodic Abelian group, e the zero element of G, and  $g \in G$ . The order of an element g is denoted by |g|;  $Z_{p^m}^n$  stands for a direct sum of n copies of a cyclic group of order  $p^m$ , where p is a prime;  $p_k$  is the kth prime. A p-component of G is denoted by  $G_p$ ; i.e.,  $G = \bigoplus \{G_p \mid p \in P\}$ , where P is the set of all primes.

**LEMMA 1.1.** Suppose G is a periodic Abelian p-group, every p-component  $G_p$  of which is finite, and  $\Phi(\bar{a}, x)$  is a  $\Sigma$ -formula with parameter  $\bar{a} = \langle a_0, \ldots, a_{m-1} \rangle$ ,  $a_i \in G$ , in a signature  $\sigma = \langle U, \in, \emptyset, +, 0 \rangle$ . Then there exists a  $\Sigma$ -formula  $\Phi^*(x)$  without parameters such that  $\Phi(\bar{a}, x) \equiv \Phi^*(x)$  is a true formula in  $\mathbb{HF}(G)$  for any  $x \in \mathbb{HF}(\omega)$ .

**Proof.** First let  $(\bar{a}) \subseteq G_p$  for some p. There is no loss of generality in assuming that  $G_p = (a_0) \oplus \ldots \oplus (a_{m-1})$  and  $|a_i| = p^{n_i}$ , with  $n_i > 0$ . Put

$$\Phi^* = \exists y_0 \dots \exists y_{m-1} \left( (\bar{y}) = (y_0) \oplus \dots \oplus (y_{m-1}) \& \bigwedge_{i < m} |y_i| = p^{n_i} \& \Phi(\bar{y}, x) \right),$$

where  $\Phi(\bar{y}, x)$  is obtained from  $\Phi(\bar{a}, x)$  by replacing  $\bar{a}$  by  $\bar{y}$ .

Let  $\mathbb{HF}(G) \models \Phi(\bar{a}, x)$ , with  $x \in \mathbb{HF}(\omega)$ . If  $a_i$  are taken to be values for  $y_i$  in  $\Phi(\bar{y}, x)$ , then  $\mathbb{HF}(G) \models \Phi^*(x)$ . Suppose  $\mathbb{HF}(G) \models \Phi^*(x)$ . Then there exists an automorphism  $\varphi : \mathbb{HF}(G) \to$  $\mathbb{HF}(G)$  for which  $\varphi y_i = a_i$ . Hence  $\mathbb{HF}(G) \models \Phi(\bar{a}, x)$ .

The general case where  $(\bar{a}) \subseteq G_{p_0} \oplus \ldots \oplus G_{p_{s-1}}$  can be easily reduced to the case above.  $\Box$ 

Let partial unary functions  $\alpha$ ,  $\varphi$ , and  $\psi$  be defined so that for any  $k \in \delta \alpha$ , the following relations hold:  $\varphi(k) = [m_0^k, \ldots, m_{\alpha_k-1}^k]$  and  $\psi(k) = [n_0^k, \ldots, n_{\alpha_k-1}^k]$ , where  $\alpha_k = \alpha(k), m_i^k, n_i^k > 0$ , and  $m_i^k < m_j^k$  if  $0 \le i < j < \alpha_k$ . Given these functions, we define a group of the form

$$G \rightleftharpoons G(\alpha, \varphi, \psi) = \oplus \left\{ Z_{p_k^{m_0^k}}^{n_0^k} \oplus \ldots \oplus Z_{p_k^{m_{\alpha_k-1}^k}}^{n_{\alpha_k-1}^k} \middle| k \in \delta \alpha \right\}.$$

In what follows,  $m_i$  and  $n_i$  will be used in place of  $m_i^k$  and  $n_i^k$  unless ambiguity would result.

**THEOREM 1.1.** A group  $G = G(\alpha, \varphi, \psi)$  is  $\Sigma$ -uniform if and only if functions  $\alpha$ ,  $\varphi$ , and  $\psi$  are  $\Sigma$ -definable in  $\mathbb{HF}(G)$ .

**Proof.** Sufficiency. We verify whether conditions (1)-(3) in the definition of a  $\Sigma$ -uniform structure are valid for G. In view of Lemma 1.1, we may assume that  $\alpha$ ,  $\varphi$ , and  $\psi$  are  $\Sigma$ -definable in  $\mathbb{HF}(G)$  without parameters.

(1) Define a set  $\Xi_0$  of elementary characteristic by setting

$$\Xi_0 = \{ p \mid \exists k (k \in \delta \alpha \& p = p_k) \} \cup \{0\}.$$

$\mathbf{O}$	1
У	т
~	-

To show that a function  $\mathfrak{b}$  is  $\Sigma$ -definable in  $\mathbb{HF}(G)$ , we introduce the following formulas. For any  $k \in \delta \alpha$ , put  $n^k = n_0^k m_0^k + \ldots + n_{\alpha_k-1}^k m_{\alpha_k-1}^k$ . Then the graph  $\Gamma_n$  of a function  $n(k) = n^k$  is definable by a  $\Sigma$ -formula without parameters. Let  $G_k$  be the primary  $p_k$ -component of G. For a function  $h(k) = G_k$ , we have

$$h(k) = G_k \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{HF}(G) \models k \in \delta \alpha \& |G_k| = p_k^{n^k} \& \forall x \in G_k \exists s(p_k^s x = 0).$$

Consequently, h is a  $\Sigma$ -function without parameters in  $\mathbb{HF}(G)$ .

A predicate  $\mathfrak{C}(k, \bar{y}) \rightleftharpoons \dot{y}$  is an elementary basis of characteristic  $p_k$ ' is defined via the equivalence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{C}(k,\bar{y}) \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{HF}(G) &\models k \in \delta \alpha \& \exists \bar{y}^0 \in G_k \dots \exists \bar{y}^{\alpha_k - 1} \in G_k \\ \left[ \bigwedge_{i < \alpha_k} \left( \exists y_0^i \dots \exists y_{n_i - 1}^i \left( \bar{y}^i = \langle y_0^i, \dots, y_{n_i - 1}^i \rangle \& \bigwedge_{j < n_i} |y_j^i| = p_k^{m_i} \right) \right) \right] \\ \& (\bar{y}^0, \dots, \bar{y}^{\alpha_k - 1}) = \oplus \{ (y_j^i) \mid i < \alpha_k, \ j < n_i \} \\ \& \bar{y} = \langle \bar{y}^0, \dots, \bar{y}^{\alpha_k - 1} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

where  $m_i$  and  $n_i$  stand for  $m_i^k$  and  $n_i^k$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{C}$  is a  $\Sigma$ -predicate without parameters in  $\mathbb{HF}(G)$ .

Below we need the following:

**LEMMA 1.2.** There exists a computable function  $\beta(k, \alpha, m, n)$  such that if  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $m = [m_0, \ldots, m_{\alpha-1}], n = [n_0, \ldots, n_{\alpha-1}], m_i, n_i > 0, m_i < m_j, 0 \le i < j < \alpha$ , and  $G_k \cong \bigoplus \left\{ Z_{p_k^{m_i}}^{n_i} | i < \alpha \right\}$ , then the value of  $\beta(k, \alpha, m, n)$  is equal to the number of sequences  $\bar{y} = \langle y_0^0, \ldots, y_{n_0-1}^0, \ldots, y_{n_{\alpha-1}-1}^{\alpha-1} \rangle, |y_j^i| = p^{m_i}, j < n_i, i < \alpha$ , such that  $G_k = \bigoplus \{(y_j^i) | j < n_i, i < \alpha\}$ .

This lemma implies that a function  $\mathfrak b$  satisfies the equivalence

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{b}(p_k) &= B_{p_k} \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{HF}(G) \models k \in \delta \alpha \,\& \,\exists m \exists m_0 \dots \exists m_{\alpha_k - 1} \exists n \exists n_0 \dots \exists n_{\alpha_k - 1} \exists \gamma \\ &\exists \bar{y}^0 \dots \exists \bar{y}^{\gamma - 1} \left( \varphi(k) = m \,\& \, m = [m_0, \dots, m_{\alpha_k - 1}] \,\& \, \psi(k) = n \\ &\& \, n = [n_0, \dots, n_{\alpha_k - 1}] \,\& \, \gamma = \beta(k, \alpha, m, n) \,\& \, \bigwedge_{i < \gamma} \mathfrak{C}(k, \bar{y}^i) \\ &\& \, \bigwedge_{s < j < \gamma} \bar{y}^s \neq \bar{y}^j \,\& \,\forall i < \gamma \, (\bar{y}^i \in B_{p_k}) \\ &\& \,\forall \bar{y} \in B_{p_k} \exists i < \gamma(\bar{y} = \bar{y}^i) \right). \end{split}$$

Consequently, the graph  $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{b}}$  of the function  $\mathfrak{b}$  is a  $\Sigma$ -predicate without parameters in  $\mathbb{HF}(G)$ .

Given any sequence  $\chi = \langle p_{k_0}, \ldots, p_{k_{m-1}} \rangle$ , for m = 1,  $p_{k_0}$  is equal to 0 or to a prime numbered  $k_0$ , and for m > 1, we have  $0 < p_{k_i} < p_{k_j}$ , with i < j < m. For all elementary bases  $\bar{y}^i \in B_{p_{k_i}}$  of characteristic  $p_{k_i}$ , a sequence of the form  $Y = \langle \bar{y}^0, \ldots, \bar{y}^{m-1} \rangle$  is called a *basis of characteristic*  $\chi$ .

We have thus proved the validity of condition (1).

(2) Let an arbitrary element  $z \in G \setminus \{e\}$  be given and its order |z| be equal to  $p_{k_0}^{l_0} \dots p_{k_{q-1}}^{l_{q-1}}, q > 1$ ,  $k_0 < \dots < k_{q-1}, 0 < l_i < m_{\alpha_{k_i}-1} \rightleftharpoons s_i, i < q$ . Put  $z_i = p_{k_0}^{l_0} \dots p_{k_{i-1}}^{l_{i-1}} p_{k_{i+1}}^{l_{i+1}} \dots p_{k_{q-1}}^{l_{q-1}} z$ . Then  $z_i \in G_{k_i}$ and  $z = z_0 + \dots + z_{q-1}$ , with  $z_i \neq e$  and  $(z) = (z_0) \oplus \dots \oplus (z_{q-1})$ . Define  $f_1(z) = \langle z_0, \dots, z_{q-1} \rangle$ . If  $z \in G_k$  then  $f_1(z) = z$ . It is easy to verify that the graph  $\Gamma_{f_1}$  is a  $\Sigma$ -predicate without parameters in  $\mathbb{HF}(G)$ .

Let  $x \in (\bar{y}), x \neq e$ , be given; here  $\bar{y} = \langle \bar{y}^0, \dots, \bar{y}^{\alpha_k - 1} \rangle$  is an elementary basis of characteristic  $p_k \rightleftharpoons p, \bar{y}^i = \langle y_0^i, \dots, y_{n_i-1}^i \rangle, (\bar{y}) = (\bar{y}^0) \oplus \dots \oplus (\bar{y}^{\alpha_k - 1}) = G_k, (\bar{y}^i) = (y_0^i) \oplus \dots \oplus (y_{n_i-1}^i), |y_j^i| = p_k^{m_i},$ and  $j < n_i$ . Then  $x = x^0 + \dots + x^{\alpha_k - 1}$  and  $x^i = x_0^i + \dots + x_{n_i-1}^i$ , where  $x^i \in (\bar{y}^i)$  and  $x_j^i \in (y_j^i)$ . For any  $i < \alpha_k$  and any  $j < n_i$ , put

$$(x_j^i)' = \begin{cases} x_j^i & \text{if } x_j^i \neq e; \\ \varnothing & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore, in a one-to-one correspondence with every element  $x \in (Y)$ ,  $x \neq e$ , is a sequence  $f_0(x,Y) = \langle (x_j^i)' \mid i < \alpha_k, j < n_i \rangle$ . For any element  $x \in (y_j^i)$ , there exists a unique number  $\alpha$  for which  $x = (\alpha - 1)y_j^i$ . Put  $\operatorname{Cor}_0(x, y_j^i) = \alpha$  and  $\operatorname{Cor}(e, \emptyset) = 1$ . This immediately implies that  $\operatorname{Cor}_0$  is a  $\Sigma$ -function without parameters, and if  $x^0 \neq x^1$  then  $\operatorname{Cor}_0(x^0, y_j^i) \neq \operatorname{Cor}_0(x^1, y_j^i)$ .

(3) Is obvious. The sufficiency is proved.

Necessity. First we argue for two lemmas.

**LEMMA 1.3.** If  $G = \bigoplus \{G_p \mid p \in P\}$ ,  $|G_p| < \omega$ , is a  $\Sigma$ -uniform group, then new elementary bases can be defined so as to be contained in primary components relative to which G is again  $\Sigma$ -uniform.

**Proof.** Every element  $e \neq x \in G$  is uniquely represented as  $x = x_{q_0} + \ldots + x_{q_{m-1}}$ , where  $e \neq x_{q_j} \in G_{q_j}$  and  $q_0 < \ldots < q_{m-1}$  are primes. Denote the set  $\{q_0, \ldots, q_{m-1}\}$  by  $Q_x$ . Let an (old) elementary basis  $\bar{y} = \langle y^0, \ldots, y^{n-1} \rangle$  of characteristic  $\chi$  be given and  $Q_{\bar{y}} \rightleftharpoons \cup \{Q_{y^i} \mid i < n\} = \{q_0, \ldots, q_{t-1}\}$ . For every  $q \in Q_{\bar{y}}$ , put  $I_q = \{i < n \mid q \in Q_{y^i}\}$ . Suppose  $I_q = \{i_0, \ldots, i_{k-1}\}$ . Then a sequence of the form  $\bar{y}_q = \langle y_q^{i_0}, \ldots, y_q^{i_k-1} \rangle$  is called a *(new) elementary basis of characteristic*  $\langle \chi, q \rangle$  and is denoted  $\bar{y}^*$ . An empty sequence likewise is called an elementary basis of characteristic 0. Since  $(\bar{y}) = (y^0) \oplus \ldots \oplus (y^{n-1})$  for the basis  $\bar{y}$ , we have

$$(\bar{y})_q \rightleftharpoons (\bar{y}_q) = (y_q^{i_0}) \oplus \ldots \oplus (y_q^{i_{k-1}}), \tag{1}$$

$$(\bar{y}) = \bigoplus \{ (\bar{y}_q) \mid q \in Q_{\bar{y}} \}.$$

$$\tag{2}$$

At the moment, we prove that conditions (1)-(3) in the definition of a  $\Sigma$ -uniform structure are satisfied for the new bases.

(1) Introduce the formula

$$\Phi(\chi, \bar{y}, q, \bar{y}^*) \rightleftharpoons \chi \in \Xi_0 \& \bar{y} \in B_\chi \& \exists n \exists y^0 \dots \exists y^{n-1} (\bar{y} = \langle y^0, \dots, y^{n-1} \rangle \& (\bar{y})_q \neq \{e\}$$

93

$$\& \exists m \exists i_0 \dots \exists i_{m-1} \forall k < m \forall j < m ((k < j \rightarrow i_k < i_j) \\ \& (y_q^{i_k}) \neq \{e\} \& (\bar{y})_q = (y_q^{i_0}) \oplus \dots \oplus (y_q^{i_{m-1}}) \\ \& \bar{y}^* = \langle y_q^{i_0}, \dots, y_q^{i_{m-1}} \rangle ).$$

Put

$$\begin{split} &\Xi_0^* = \{ \langle \chi, q \rangle \mid \mathbb{HF}(G) \models \exists \bar{y} \exists \bar{y}^* \Phi(\chi, \bar{y}, q, \bar{y}^*) \}, \\ &\mathfrak{b}^*(\chi, q) = B^*_{\langle \chi, q \rangle} \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{HF}(G) \models \forall \bar{y}^* \in B^*_{\langle \chi, q \rangle} \exists \bar{y} \in B_{\chi} \big( \Phi(\chi, \bar{y}, q, \bar{y}^*) \,\&\, \forall \bar{y} \in B_{\chi} \big( (\bar{y})_q \neq \{e\} \rightarrow \exists \bar{y}^* \Phi(\chi, \bar{y}, q, \bar{y}^*) \big) \,\&\, \bar{y}^* \in B^*_{\langle \chi, q \rangle} \big). \end{split}$$

On the set  $\Xi_0^*$ , define a lexicographic ordering.

Let  $\bar{y}_0^*$  and  $\bar{y}_1^*$  be elementary bases of characteristic  $\langle \chi, q \rangle$ , which are obtained from old bases  $\bar{y}_0$  and  $\bar{y}_1$  of characteristic  $\chi$ . Since  $(\bar{y}_0) = (\bar{y}_1)$ , we have  $(\bar{y}_0^*) = (\bar{y}_1^*)$  in view of (1).

Now let bases  $\bar{y}_1^*, \ldots, \bar{y}_{\alpha}^*$  of pairwise distinct characteristics  $\langle \chi_1, q_1 \rangle, \ldots, \langle \chi_{\alpha}, q_{\alpha} \rangle$  be given. We claim that  $(\bar{y}_1^*) \cap (\bar{y}_2^*, \ldots, \bar{y}_{\alpha}^*) = \{e\}$ . Indeed, suppose  $x \in (\bar{y}_1^*) \cap (\bar{y}_2^*, \ldots, \bar{y}_{\alpha}^*)$ . Then  $x \in G_{q_1}$ . Assume  $q_2, \ldots, q_m \neq q_1$  but  $q_{m+1}, \ldots, q_{\alpha} = q_1$ . Then  $x \in (\bar{y}_{m+1}^*, \ldots, \bar{y}_{\alpha}^*)$ . Since  $\langle \chi_1, q_1 \rangle \neq \langle \chi_k, q_k \rangle$ ,  $m < k \le \alpha$ , we have  $\chi_1 \neq \chi_k$ . Let  $\bar{y}_1^*, \ldots, \bar{y}_{\alpha}^*$  be obtained from old bases  $\bar{y}_1, \ldots, \bar{y}_{\alpha}$  of characteristics  $\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_{\alpha}$ . This implies  $(\bar{y}_1) \cap (\bar{y}_{m+1}, \ldots, \bar{y}_{\alpha}) = \{e\}$ . Since  $\bar{y}_i^* \subseteq (\bar{y}_i), 1 \le i \le \alpha$ , we have  $(\bar{y}_1^*) \cap (\bar{y}_{m+1}^*, \ldots, \bar{y}_{\alpha}) = \{e\}$ ; i.e., x = e.

(2) Let  $\bar{y}^*$  be an elementary basis of characteristic  $\langle \chi, q \rangle$ , which is obtained from a basis  $\bar{y} = \langle y^0, \ldots, y^{n-1} \rangle$ , and  $x \in (\bar{y})^* \setminus \{e\}$ . Then  $(\bar{y}^*) = (\bar{y})_q = (y_q^{i_0}) \oplus \ldots \oplus (y_q^{i_{m-1}})$  for some  $i_j < n$ . With this in mind, we can uniquely define a sequence  $\langle x_0, \ldots, x_{m-1} \rangle$  so that  $x_j \in (y_q^{i_j}), x = x_0 + \ldots + x_{m-1}$ , and  $x \in (x_0, \ldots, x_{m-1})$ . For every j < m, put

$$x'_{j} = \begin{cases} x_{j} & \text{if } x_{j} \neq e; \\ \varnothing & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Set  $f_0^*(x, \bar{y}^*) = \langle x'_0, \dots, x'_{m-1} \rangle$ .

Define a function  $f_1^*$ . Let an element  $z \in G \setminus \{e\}$  be given and  $f_1(z) = \langle z^0, \ldots, z^{e-1} \rangle$  be a function for which  $z^k \in (\bar{y}_k) \setminus \{e\}$ ,  $(z) = (z^0, \ldots, z^{l-1})$ ,  $\bar{y}_k = \langle y_{k,0}, \ldots, y_{k,n_k-1} \rangle$ , k < l. In view of (2), we have  $(\bar{y}_k) = \oplus\{(\bar{y}_k)_q \mid q \in Q_{\bar{y}_k}\}$ . This implies that for any k < l, there exist a subset  $Q_k = \{q_0^k, \ldots, q_{m_k-1}^k\} \subseteq Q_{\bar{y}_k}$  and elements  $e \neq z_j^k \in (\bar{y}_k)_{q_k^k}$ ,  $j < m_k$ , such that

$$z^{k} = z_{0}^{k} + \ldots + z_{m_{k}-1}^{k}, \ (z^{k}) = (z_{0}^{k}, \ldots, z_{m_{k}-1}^{k}).$$
(3)

In this event we put  $f_1^*(z) = \langle z_0^0, \dots, z_{m_0-1}^0, \dots, z_0^{e-1}, \dots, z_{m_{e-1}-1}^{e-1} \rangle$ . It follows from (3) that  $(z) = (z_0^0, \dots, z_{m_{e-1}-1}^{e-1})$ . If  $\bar{y}^*$  is an elementary basis and  $z \in (\bar{y}^*)$ , then  $f_1^*(z) = z$ .

The functions  $f_0^*$  and  $f_1^*$  are defined so that  $\Gamma_{f_0^*}$  and  $\Gamma_{f_1^*}$  are  $\Sigma$ -predicates without parameters in  $\mathbb{HF}(G)$ .

We define a function Cor<sub>0</sub>. Let an elementary basis  $\bar{y}^* = \langle y_0, \ldots, y_{n-1} \rangle$  be given. For any element  $x \in (y_i), i < n$ , there exists a unique number  $\alpha$  such that  $x = (\alpha - 1)y_i$ . In this instance we set

 $\operatorname{Cor}_0(x, y_i) = \alpha$  and  $\operatorname{Cor}(e, \emptyset) = 1$ . This implies that  $\operatorname{Cor}_0$  is a  $\Sigma$ -function without parameters, and if  $x^0 \neq x^1$  then  $\operatorname{Cor}_0(x^0, y_i) \neq \operatorname{Cor}_0(x^1, y_i)$ .

(3) Let  $\bar{y}_0^*$  and  $\bar{y}_1^*$  be two elementary bases of the same characteristic  $\langle \chi, q \rangle$ , which are obtained from old bases  $\bar{y}_0$  and  $\bar{y}_1$  of characteristic  $\chi$ . In addition, suppose  $G_0 \supseteq (\bar{y}_0^*)$  is a finite subgroup. We may also assume that  $G_0 \supseteq (\bar{y}_0)$ . Since G is  $\Sigma$ -uniform relative to the old bases, and  $\chi(\bar{y}_0) = \chi(\bar{y}_1)$ , there exists an isomorphism  $\varphi : G^0 \to G$  such that  $\varphi \bar{y}_0 = \bar{y}_1$ . In view of the equalities  $(\bar{y}_0^*) = (\bar{y}_0)_q$ and  $(\bar{y}_1^*) = (\bar{y}_1)_q$ , we have  $\varphi \bar{y}_0^* = \bar{y}_1^*$ . Now let bases  $\bar{Y}^{\varepsilon} = \langle \bar{y}_0^{\varepsilon}, \ldots, \bar{y}_{n-1}^{\varepsilon} \rangle$  of characteristic  $\chi =$  $\langle \langle \chi_0, q_0 \rangle, \ldots, \langle \chi_{n-1}, q_{n-1} \rangle \rangle$  be given. Since  $\langle \chi_i, q_i \rangle \neq \langle \chi_j, q_j \rangle$  for any i < j < n, it follows that  $(\bar{Y}^{\varepsilon}) = (\bar{y}_0^{\varepsilon}) \oplus \ldots (\bar{y}_{n-1}^{\varepsilon})$ . From this point on, the proof that the required isomorphic embedding  $\varphi$ exists proceeds similarly to the previous.  $\Box$ 

Below by a basis is meant a new basis and asterisks in symbols  $\bar{y}^*$ ,  $\Xi_0^*$ ,  $\chi^*$ ,  $B_{\chi}^*$ , and  $\mathfrak{b}^*(\chi)$  are omitted. With this in mind, we have

**LEMMA 1.4.** Let G be a  $\Sigma$ -uniform group. If  $\bar{y} = \langle y_0, \ldots, y_{n-1} \rangle$  is an elementary basis, a subgroup  $(y_i)$  is pure in G for some i < n, and  $|y_i| = p^m$ , then every element  $g \in G_p$  of order  $p^k$ ,  $k \leq m$ , belongs to  $(\bar{y})$ .

**Proof.** To be specific, let i = 0. First we show that any element of order  $p^m$  belongs to  $(\bar{y})$ . Assume to the contrary that there exists some element  $b_0 \in G_p \setminus (\bar{y})$  with  $|b_0| = p^m$ . There are two cases to consider.

(a) Let  $(b_0)$  be pure in  $G_p$ . Then G has the following decompositions:  $G_p = (y_0) \oplus (a_1) \dots \oplus (a_{l-1})$  and  $G_p = (b_0) \oplus (b_1) \oplus \dots \oplus (b_{l-1})$ , where  $|a_i| = |b_i|$ , with  $1 \leq i \leq l$ . Given the basis  $\bar{y}$ , we define a sequence  $\bar{v}$  as follows. Let  $y_i = \alpha_{i,0}y_0 + \alpha_{i,1}a_1 + \dots + \alpha_{i,l-1}a_{l-1}, 0 < i < l$ . Put  $v_i = \alpha_{i,0}b_0 + \alpha_{i,1}b_1 + \dots + \alpha_{i,l-1}b_{l-1}$ . Take an isomorphism  $\varphi : G_p \to G_p$ , where  $\varphi y_0 = b_0$  and  $\varphi a_i = b_i$ , such that  $\varphi y_i = v_i$ . Every  $\Sigma$ -formula  $\Phi(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1})$  without parameters true in  $\mathbb{HF}(G)$  for  $\langle y_0, \dots, y_{n-1} \rangle$  will also be true for  $\langle v_0, \dots, v_{n-1} \rangle$ . Let  $\bar{y} \in B_{\chi}$ . Then  $\bar{v} \in B_{\chi}$ . At the same time,  $b_0 \in (\bar{v}) \setminus (\bar{y})$ , a contradiction. Hence  $b_0 \in (\bar{y})$ .

(b) Let  $(b_0)$  not be pure in  $G_p$ . Then an element  $z_0 = y_0 + b_0$  has order  $p^m$ . We prove that  $(z_0)$  is pure in  $G_p$ . Suppose on the contrary that there exists an element  $u_0$  for which  $p^{m-1}z_0 = p^m u_0$ . This yields  $p^{m-1}y_0 + p^{m-1}b_0 = p^m u_0$ . Since  $(b_0)$  is not pure, there exists an element  $u_1$  such that  $p^{m-1}b_0 = p^m u_1$ . This implies  $p^{m-1}y_0 = p^m (u_0 - u_1)$ , which is a contradiction with  $(y_0)$  being pure. Therefore,  $(z_0)$  is pure. In view of (a),  $z_0 \in (\bar{y})$ . Hence  $b_0 \in (\bar{y})$ .

Now let an element  $x \in G_p$  have order  $p^k$ ,  $k \leq m$ . Then  $y_0 + x$  has order  $p^m$ ; so  $y_0 + x$  and, hence,  $x \in (\bar{y})$ .  $\Box$ 

Lemma 1.4 entails the following:

**COROLLARY 1.1.** Every elementary basis  $\bar{y} \neq \emptyset$  contained in  $G_p$  generates  $G_p$ . In other words,  $(\bar{y}) = G_p$ .

**Proof.** Let  $p^m$  be the greatest order of elements in the group  $G_p$ . We claim that there exists an elementary basis  $\bar{y}$  containing some element x of order  $p^m$ . Indeed, suppose that the order of an element  $g \in G$  is equal to  $p^m$ . Then  $f_1(g) = \langle g_0, \ldots, g_{e-1} \rangle$ , where  $g_i \in (\bar{y}_i), \bar{y}_i$  is an elementary basis, and  $(g) = (g_0, \ldots, g_{e-1})$ . Since  $|g| = p^m$ , there exists an element  $g_i$  of order  $p^m$ . Hence the subgroup  $(g_i)$  is pure in  $G_p$ . By Lemma 1.4, therefore, every element of order less than  $p^m$ is contained in  $(\bar{y}_i)$ ; i.e.,  $(\bar{y}_i) = G_p$ . Assume  $G_p$  has another elementary basis  $\emptyset \neq \bar{v} \subseteq G_p$  such that  $(\bar{y}_i) \neq (\bar{v})$ . Then  $\chi(\bar{y}_i) \neq \chi(\bar{v})$ . This yields  $(\bar{y}_i) \cap (\bar{v}) = \{e\}$ . On the other hand,  $(\bar{v}) \subseteq (\bar{y}_i)$ , a contradiction. Thus  $(\bar{v}) = G_p$ .  $\Box$ 

We finish to argue for the necessity. Corollary 1.1 entails the equivalence

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(p) &= \alpha \,\&\, \varphi(p) = \langle m_0, \dots, m_{\alpha-1} \rangle \,\&\, \psi(p) = \langle n_0, \dots, n_{\alpha-1} \rangle \\ \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{HF}(G) &\models \exists \chi \exists B_{\chi} \exists \bar{y} \exists y_0^0 \dots \exists y_{n_0-1}^0 \dots \exists y_0^{\alpha-1} \dots \exists y_{n_{\alpha-1}-1}^{\alpha-1} \left( \chi \in \Xi_0 \setminus \{0\} \\ \&\, \mathfrak{b}(\chi) &= B_{\chi} \,\&\, \bar{y} \in B_{\chi} \,\&\, (\bar{y}) \subseteq G_p \\ \&\, \bar{y} &= \langle y_0^0, \dots, y_{n_0-1}^0 \dots, y_0^{\alpha-1} \dots, y_{n_{\alpha-1}-1}^{\alpha-1} \rangle \,\&\, \left( \bigwedge_{i < \alpha} \left( \bigwedge_{j < n_j} |y_j^i| = p^{m_i} \right) \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Hence graphs  $\Gamma_{\alpha}$ ,  $\Gamma_{\varphi}$ , and  $\Gamma_{\psi}$  are  $\Sigma$ -predicates without parameters in  $\mathbb{HF}(G)$ . The necessity is proved, completing the proof of Theorem 1.1.  $\Box$ 

Theorems 1 and 1.1 can be combined to yield

**COROLLARY 1.2.** Let  $G \rightleftharpoons G(\alpha, \varphi, \psi)$  be a group and functions  $\alpha, \varphi$ , and  $\psi$  be  $\Sigma$ -definable in  $\mathbb{HF}(G)$ . Then a universal  $\Sigma$ -function exists in  $\mathbb{HF}(G)$  if and only if the family  $\mathbb{N}^G$  of all numerical  $\Sigma$ -functions in  $\mathbb{HF}(G)$  is computable.

**COROLLARY 1.3.** Let functions  $\alpha$ ,  $\varphi$ , and  $\psi$  have partial computable extensions. Then a universal  $\Sigma$ -function exists in  $\mathbb{HF}(G)$ , where  $G \rightleftharpoons G(\alpha, \varphi, \psi)$ , if and only if the family  $\mathbb{N}^G$  of all numerical  $\Sigma$ -functions in  $\mathbb{HF}(G)$  is computable.

**Proof.** Indeed, suppose  $\alpha'$ ,  $\varphi'$ , and  $\psi'$  are extensions of  $\alpha$ ,  $\varphi$ , and  $\psi$ , respectively, and  $P_0 = \{p \in P \mid \mathbb{HF}(G) \models \exists x(|x| = p)\}$ . Since the functions  $\alpha'$ ,  $\varphi'$ , and  $\psi'$  are  $\Sigma$ -definable in  $\mathbb{HF}(G)$ , functions  $\alpha = \alpha' \upharpoonright P_0$ ,  $\varphi = \varphi' \upharpoonright P_0$ , and  $\psi = \psi' \upharpoonright P_0$  likewise are  $\Sigma$ -definable, and the result now follows from Corollary 1.2.  $\Box$ 

**LEMMA 1.5.** Let functions  $\alpha$ ,  $\varphi$ , and  $\psi$  be  $\Sigma$ -definable in  $\mathbb{HF}(G)$ , where  $G \rightleftharpoons G(\alpha, \varphi, \psi)$ . An arbitrary subset A of natural numbers is  $\Sigma$ -definable in  $\mathbb{HF}(G)$  if and only if it is *e*-reducible to a set of the form

$$S = \{ [k, m_0^k, n_0^k], \dots, [k, m_{\alpha_k-1}^k, n_{\alpha_k-1}^k] \mid k \in \delta \alpha \},\$$

where  $\alpha(k) = \alpha_k, \ \varphi(k) = [m_0^k, \dots, m_{\alpha_k-1}^k], \ \psi(k) = [n_0^k, \dots, n_{\alpha_k-1}^k], \ \text{and} \ m_i^k < m_j^k \ \text{if} \ i < j < \alpha_k.$ 

**Proof.** The sufficiency follows from [5, Thm. 1.1] and the property of being  $\Sigma$ -definable for S. Necessity. Let a set  $A \subseteq \omega$  be  $\Sigma$ -definable in  $\mathbb{HF}(G)$ . By virtue of Theorem 1.1, the group G is  $\Sigma$ -uniform. In view of Corollary 1, the set A is e-reducible to a set  $\mathrm{Th}_{\exists}(G)$ . We argue to show that  $\mathrm{Th}_{\exists}(G)$  is e-reducible to S.

Let a set  $\mathfrak{B} = \{[k, m_i^k, n_i^k] \mid k, i, m_i^k, n_i^k \in \omega, m_i^k, n_i^k > 0\}$  be given and  $B \subseteq \mathfrak{B}$  be a finite subset such that  $B = B_{k_0} \cup \ldots \cup B_{k_t-1}, k_i \neq k_j$ , and i < j < t, where  $B_{k_i} =$   $\{ [k_i, m_0^{k_i}, n_0^{k_i}], \dots, [k_i, m_{l_i-1}^{k_i}, n_{l_i-1}^{k_i}] \}, \ m_s^{k_i} \neq m_r^{k_i}, \text{ and } s < r < l_i. \text{ Given these, we define a group } G(B) = G(B_0) \oplus \ldots \oplus G(B_{k_t-1}), \text{ where } G(B_k) = Z_{p_k}^{n_0^k} \oplus \ldots \oplus Z_{p_k}^{n_{l-1}^k}, \ k = k_0, \dots, k_{t-1}, \text{ and if } k = k_i \text{ then } l = l_i. \text{ Let } \mathfrak{B}^* = \{B \mid B \subseteq \mathfrak{B}, B = B_{k_0} \cup \ldots \cup B_{k_t-1}\}, \text{ write } H \hookrightarrow G(B) \text{ for the fact that a finite group } H \text{ is embeddable in } G(B), \text{ and assume that } \Phi \text{ is a set of all } \exists \text{-sentences in a signature } \sigma = \langle +, 0 \rangle. \text{ Given these, we define a set } W = \{\langle H, B, \varphi \rangle \mid H \hookrightarrow G(B), B \in \mathfrak{B}^*, \varphi \in \Phi, H \models \varphi\}.$  It is easy to verify that the set W is c.e. We prove the equality

$$\operatorname{Th}_{\exists}(G) = \{ \varphi \mid \exists H \exists B(\langle H, B, \varphi \rangle \in W \& B \subseteq S) \}.$$

$$\tag{4}$$

Indeed, let  $\varphi \in \operatorname{Th}_{\exists}(G)$ . Then there exists  $B \subseteq S$ ,  $B \in \mathfrak{B}^*$ , such that  $G(B) \models \varphi$ . If we put H = G(B) we conclude that the formula in the right part of (4) is valid for  $\varphi$ . Assume now that  $\varphi$  belongs to the right part of (4). Then H is embeddable in G(B) and  $H \models \varphi$ , whence  $G(B) \models \varphi$ . Since  $B \subseteq S$ , G(B) is embeddable in G. Hence  $\varphi \in \operatorname{Th}_{\exists}(G)$ , proving (4). Thus  $\operatorname{Th}_{\exists}(G) \leq_e S$ .  $\Box$ 

Lemma 1.5 and Corollary 2 give rise to the following:

**COROLLARY 1.4.** Let functions  $\alpha$ ,  $\varphi$ , and  $\psi$  be  $\Sigma$ -definable in  $\mathbb{HF}(G)$ , with  $G \rightleftharpoons G(\alpha, \varphi, \psi)$ . Then  $\mathbb{HF}(G)$  contains a universal  $\Sigma$ -function if and only if a principal *e*-ideal  $\mathfrak{I}_e(S)$  generated by a set S contains a function that is universal for the family of all unary functions in  $\mathfrak{I}_e(S)$ .

**COROLLARY 1.5.** Let  $\alpha$ ,  $\varphi$ , and  $\psi$  be partial computable functions. Then a universal  $\Sigma$ -function exists in  $\mathbb{HF}(G)$ , with  $G \rightleftharpoons G(\alpha, \varphi, \psi)$ .

**Proof.** In fact, the existence of a universal function is underpinned by the fact that the set S is c.e. in the *e*-ideal  $\mathfrak{I}_e(S)$  generated by S.  $\Box$ 

**COROLLARY 1.6.** There exists a set S of primes such that an admissible set  $\mathbb{HF}(G_S)$ ,  $G_S = \bigoplus \{Z_p \mid p \in S\}$ , contains no universal  $\Sigma$ -function.

**Proof.** In fact, a set S of natural numbers such that an e-ideal  $\mathcal{I}_e(S)$  generated by S does not contain a universal function was constructed in [6]. This, combined with Corollary 1.4, yields the result.  $\Box$ 

#### 2. RINGS

In this section, we construct a family of  $\Sigma$ -uniform rings.

Let  $F_{p^n}^m$  be a direct sum of m copies of a field of degree n over a prime field of characteristic p, treated in a ring signature  $\sigma = \langle +, \cdot, 0 \rangle$ . Assume partial unary functions  $\alpha$ ,  $\varphi$ , and  $\psi$  are defined so that for any  $k \in \delta \alpha$ , the following hold:  $\varphi(k) = [m_0^k, \ldots, m_{\alpha_k-1}^k]$  and  $\psi(k) = [n_0^k, \ldots, n_{\alpha_k-1}^k]$ , where  $\alpha_k = \alpha(k)$ ,  $m_i^k, n_i^k > 0$ , and  $m_i^k < m_j^k$  if  $0 \le i < j < \alpha_k$ . Below, unless ambiguity would result,  $m_i$  and  $n_i$  are written in place of  $m_i^k$  and  $n_i^k$ . We introduce a ring of the form

$$K \rightleftharpoons K(\alpha, \varphi, \psi) = \oplus \left\{ F_{\substack{m_0^{m_0^k} \\ p_k^{m_0^k}}}^{n_0^k} \oplus \ldots \oplus F_{\substack{m_{\alpha_k-1}^k \\ p_k^{m_{\alpha_k-1}^k}}}^{n_{\alpha_k-1}^k} \, \middle| \, k \in \delta \alpha \right\},$$

97

where  $p_k$  is the kth prime.

**LEMMA 2.1.** For every  $\Sigma$ -formula  $\Phi(\bar{a}, x)$  with parameter  $\bar{a} = \langle a_0, \ldots, a_{n-1} \rangle, a_i \in K, K \rightleftharpoons$  $K(\alpha, \varphi, \psi)$ , in a signature  $\sigma = \langle U, \in, \emptyset, +, \cdot, 0 \rangle$ , there exists a  $\Sigma$ -formula  $\Phi^*(x)$  without parameters such that  $\Phi(\bar{a}, x) \equiv \Phi^*(x)$  is a true formula in  $\mathbb{HF}(K)$  for any  $x \in \mathbb{HF}(\omega)$ .

**Proof.** Assume that for some k, it is true that  $(\bar{a}) \subseteq H_k = \{x \in K \mid p_k x = 0\}$ , where  $(\bar{a})$ is a subring generated by a set  $\{a_0, \ldots, a_{n-1}\}$ . There is no loss of generality in assuming that  $H_k = (a_0) \times \ldots \times (a_{n-1}), (a_i)$  is a field of degree  $l_i$  over a prime field  $P_i \subseteq (a_i)$ , and  $a_i$  is a root of an irreducible polynomial  $f_i$  of degree  $l_i$  over  $P_i$ .

We define  $\Sigma$ -predicates without parameters as follows.

Let Field $(F, p^n) \Leftrightarrow F$  is a field of cardinality  $p^n$  in  $K' \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{HF}(K) \models |F| = p^n \& \forall x \in \mathbb{HF}(K)$ F(U(x) & (field axioms whose quantifiers are bounded by a set F)).

Denote by  $e_F$  the unit of a subfield  $F \subseteq K$ . If the predicate Field (P, p) is true in  $\mathbb{HF}(K)$ , then P is a prime field of characteristic p and  $P = \{0, e_P, 2e_P, \dots, (p-1)e_P\}$ .

Suppose  $Pol(f, n, P, p) \Leftrightarrow f$  is a polynomial of degree n over a prime field P of characteristic  $p' \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{HF}(K) \models \mathrm{Field}(P,p) \& \exists s_1$ 

Thus  $f(x) = x^n + (s_1 e_P) x^{n-1} + \ldots + s_n e_P$ .

Assume  $\operatorname{Ind}(f, n, P, p) \Leftrightarrow$  'a polynomial f of degree n over a prime field P of characteristic p is irreducible'  $\Leftrightarrow \mathbb{HF}(K) \models \mathrm{Pol}(f, n, P, p) \& \exists a_1 \in P \dots \exists a_n \in P(f = \langle e_P, a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle \& \forall s \forall t(s + t = s) \land b \in \mathbb{R}$  $n \& 1 \le s \& 1 \le t \to \forall b_1 \in P \dots \forall b_s \in P \forall c_1 \in P \dots \forall c_t \in P(a_1 \ne b_1 + c_1 \lor \dots \lor a_n \ne b_s c_t))).$ 

Put

$$\Phi^* = \exists y_0 \dots \exists y_{n-1} \exists P_0 \dots \exists P_{n-1} \left( (\bar{y}) = (y_0) \times \dots \times (y_{n-1}) \\ \& \bigwedge_{i < n} \left( \operatorname{Field}((y_i), p^{l_i}) \& \operatorname{Field}(P_i, p) \& P_i \subseteq (y_i) \& \operatorname{Ind}(f_i, l_i, P_i, p) \\ \& f_i(y_i) = 0 \right) \& \Phi(\bar{y}, x) \right),$$

where  $\Phi(\bar{y}, x)$  is obtained from  $\Phi(\bar{a}, x)$  by replacing  $\bar{a}$  by  $\bar{y}$ .

Let  $\mathbb{HF}(K) \models \Phi(\bar{a}, x)$ , with  $x \in \mathbb{HF}(\omega)$ . If we take  $a_i$  to be values for  $y_i$  in  $\Phi(\bar{y}, x)$ , then it is obvious that  $\mathbb{HF}(K) \models \Phi^*(x)$ . Suppose  $\mathbb{HF}(G) \models \Phi^*(x)$ . Then there exists an automorphism  $\varphi : \mathbb{HF}(K) \to \mathbb{HF}(K)$  for which  $\varphi y_i = a_i$ . Hence  $\mathbb{HF}(K) \models \Phi(\bar{a}, x)$ .

The general case where  $(\bar{a}) \subseteq H_{k_0} \times \ldots \times K_{k_{s-1}}$  can be readily reduced to the case above.  $\Box$ **THEOREM 2.1.** If a ring  $K \rightleftharpoons K(\alpha, \varphi, \psi)$  and functions  $\alpha, \varphi$ , and  $\psi$  are  $\Sigma$ -definable in  $\mathbb{HF}(K)$ , then K is  $\Sigma$ -uniform.

The **proof** proceeds by verifying the validity of conditions (1)-(3) in the definition of a  $\Sigma$ uniform structure. In view of Lemma 2.1, we may assume that  $\alpha$ ,  $\varphi$ , and  $\psi$  are  $\Sigma$ -definable in  $\mathbb{HF}(K)$  without parameters.

(1) Let  $\Xi_0 = \{p \mid \exists k (k \in \delta \alpha \& p = p_k\} \cup \{0\}$  be a set of elementary characteristics. We argue to show that a function  $\mathfrak{b}(p) = B_p$ , where  $B_p$  is the set of all elementary bases of characteristic p, is definable in  $\mathbb{HF}(K)$  by a  $\Sigma$ -formula without parameters.

For any  $k \in \delta \alpha$ , put  $n^k = n_0^k m_0^k + \ldots + n_{\alpha_k-1}^k m_{\alpha_k-1}^k$ . Then the graph  $\Gamma_n$  of a function  $n(k) = n^k$  is definable by a  $\Sigma$ -formula without parameters. For  $h(k) = H_k$ , the following equivalence holds:

$$h(k) = H_k \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{HF}(K) \models \forall x \in H_k(U(x) \& k \in \delta \alpha \& |H_k| = p_k^{n^k} \& p_k x = 0).$$

Hence the graph  $\Gamma_h$  is a  $\Sigma$ -predicate without parameters in  $\mathbb{HF}(K)$ .

On a set of all polynomials of degree n over a given prime field P, a lexicographic ordering is defined via a predicate of the form

$$\operatorname{Ord}(f_0, f_1, n, P, p) \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{HF}(K) \models \bigwedge_{\varepsilon < 2} \left( \operatorname{Pol}(f_\varepsilon, n, P, p) \\ \& \exists s_1^\varepsilon < p \dots \exists s_n^\varepsilon < p \left( f_\varepsilon = \langle e_P, s_1^\varepsilon e_P, \dots, s_n^\varepsilon e_P \rangle \\ \& \exists k < n \left( \bigwedge_{i < k} s_i^0 = s_i^1 \& s_k^0 < s_k^1 \right) \lor f_0 = f_1 \right) \right).$$

To define an elementary basis,  $\Sigma$ -predicates without parameters are introduced as follows.

Let  $\operatorname{Ind}^*(f, n, P, p) \Leftrightarrow f$  is the least irreducible polynomial of degree n over a prime field P of characteristic  $p' \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{HF}(K) \models \operatorname{Ind}(f, n, P, p) \& \forall g \in \operatorname{Ind}(g, n, P, p) (f \leq g).$ 

Suppose  $\operatorname{Val}(f, F, y, z, p) \Leftrightarrow 'z$  is equal to the value of a polynomial f over the prime subfield of a field F for an element  $y \in F' \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{HF}(K) \models \exists m \exists n \exists s_1$ 

Define an elementary basis via the predicate

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{C}(k,Y) \Leftrightarrow & \langle \bar{y} \text{ is an elementary basis of characteristic } p_k' \\ \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{HF}(K) \models k \in \delta \alpha \& \exists \bar{y}^0 \in H_k \dots \exists \bar{y}^{\alpha_k - 1} \in H_k \bigg[ \bigwedge_{i < \alpha_k} \exists y_0^i \dots \exists y_{n_i - 1}^i \\ & \left( \bar{y}^i = \langle y_0^i, \dots, y_{n_i - 1}^i \rangle \& \bigwedge_{j < n_i} \exists F_j^i \exists P_j^i \exists f_j^i (\text{Field}(F_j^i, p_k^{m_i}) \\ \& P_j^i \subseteq F_j^i \& \text{Field}(P_j^i, p_k) \& \text{Ind}^*(f_j^i, m_i, P_j^i, p_k) \\ \& \text{Val}(f_j^i, F_j^i, y_j^i, 0, p_k)) \bigg) \& (\bar{y}^0, \dots, \bar{y}^{\alpha_k - 1}) \\ &= \oplus \{ (y_j^i) \mid i < \alpha_k, \ j < n_i \} \& \bar{y} = \langle \bar{y}^0, \dots, \bar{y}^{\alpha_k - 1} \rangle \bigg], \end{split}$$

where  $\alpha_k$ ,  $m_i$ , and  $n_i$  are defined in the same way as at the beginning of Sec. 2 and  $(\bar{y}^0, \ldots, \bar{y}^{\alpha_k-1})$  is a subring generated by a set  $\{y_j^i \mid i < \alpha_k, j < n_i\}$  in K.

For our further reasoning, we need

**LEMMA 2.2.** There exists a computable numerical function  $\beta(k, \alpha, m, n)$  satisfying the following: if  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $m = [m_0, \ldots, m_{\alpha-1}]$ ,  $n = [n_0, \ldots, n_{\alpha-1}]$ ,  $m_i, n_i > 0$ ,  $m_i < m_j$ ,  $0 \le i < j < \alpha$ ,  $H_k \cong \bigoplus \{F_0^i \oplus \ldots \oplus F_{n_i-1}^i \mid i < \alpha\}$ , and  $F_j^i$  is a field of degree  $m_i$  over a prime field of characteristic  $p_k$ , then the value of  $\beta(k, \alpha, m, n)$  is equal to the number of sequences  $\bar{y} = \langle y_0^0, \ldots, y_{n_0-1}^0, \ldots, y_0^{\alpha-1}, \ldots, y_{n_{\alpha-1}-1}^{\alpha-1} \rangle \rightleftharpoons \langle y_j^i \mid i < \alpha, j < n_i \rangle$  such that the element  $y_j^i$  is a root of the least irreducible polynomial  $f_j^i$  of degree  $m_i$  over a prime field  $P_j^i \subseteq (y_j^i)$  and  $H_k = \oplus \{(y_j^i) \mid i < \alpha, j < n_i\}$ , where  $(y_j^i)$  is a subfield of characteristic  $p_k$  generated by  $y_j^i$ .

**Proof.** It suffices to appeal to the fact that there exists an algorithm which, given numbers k,  $\alpha$ , m, and n, enumerates all sequences  $\bar{y}$  in the ring  $H_k$  having the properties mentioned in the lemma.  $\Box$ 

Define a function  $\mathfrak{b}$  via the equivalence  $\mathfrak{b}(p_k) = B_{p_k} \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{HF}(K) \models k \in \delta \alpha \& \exists m \exists m_0 \dots$  $\exists m_{\alpha_k-1} \exists n \exists n_0 \dots \exists n_{\alpha_k-1} \exists \gamma \exists \bar{y}^0 \dots \exists \bar{y}^{\gamma-1} \Big( \varphi(k) = m \& m = [m_0, \dots, m_{\alpha_k-1}] \& \psi(k) = n \& n = [n_0, \dots, n_{\alpha_k-1}] \& \gamma = \beta(k, \alpha, m, n) \& \bigwedge_{i < \gamma} \mathfrak{C}(k, \bar{y}^i) \& \bigwedge_{i < j < \gamma} \bar{y}^i \neq \bar{y}^j \& \forall i < \gamma \ (\bar{y}^i \in B_{p_k}) \& \forall \bar{y} \in B_{p_k} \exists i < \gamma(\bar{y} = \bar{y}^i) \Big).$  By Lemma 2.2, the graph  $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{b}}$  of the function  $\mathfrak{b}$  is a  $\Sigma$ -predicate without

parameters in  $\mathbb{HF}(K)$ .

The concept of a basis is defined as follows. For any sequence  $\chi = \langle p_{k_0}, \ldots, p_{k_{m-1}} \rangle$  (here  $p_{k_0}$  either is 0 or is a prime numbered  $k_0$  for the case m = 1, and  $0 < p_{k_i} < p_{k_j}$ , i < j < m, for the case m > 1) and for all elementary bases  $\bar{y}^i \in B_{p_{k_i}}$  of characteristic  $p_{k_i}$ , a sequence of the form  $Y = \langle \bar{y}^0, \ldots, \bar{y}^{m-1} \rangle$  is called a *basis of characteristic*  $\chi$ .

(2) Let an arbitrary element  $z \in K \setminus \{0\}$  be given and its order  $|z|^+$  in the additive group  $K^+$ of a ring K be equal to  $p_{k_0} \dots p_{k_{q-1}}$ , k > 1. Put  $z_i = p_{k_0} \dots p_{k_{i-1}} p_{k_{i+1}} \dots p_{k_{q-1}} z$ . Then  $p_{k_i} z_i = 0$ ,  $z_i \neq 0, z_i \in H_{k_i}, z = z_0 + \dots + z_{q-1}$ , and  $(z) = (z_0, \dots, z_{q-1})$ . Set  $f_1(z) = (z_0, \dots, z_{q-1})$ . If  $z \in H_k$ then  $f_1(z) = z$ . It is easy to verify that the graph  $\Gamma_{f_1}$  is a  $\Sigma$ -predicate without parameters in  $\mathbb{HF}(K)$ .

Assume  $x \in (\bar{y}) \setminus \{0\}$ , where  $\bar{y} = \langle \bar{y}^0, \ldots, \bar{y}^{\alpha_k - 1} \rangle$  is an elementary basis of characteristic  $p_k \rightleftharpoons p, \ \bar{y}^i = \langle y_0^i, \ldots, y_{n_i-1}^i \rangle, \ (\bar{y}) = (\bar{y}^0) \oplus \ldots \oplus (\bar{y}^{\alpha_k - 1}) = H_k, \ (\bar{y}^i) = (y_0^i) \oplus \ldots \oplus (y_{n_i-1}^i) \cong F_{p^{m_i}}^{n_i},$ and  $(y_j^i) = F_j^i \cong F_{p^{m_i}}$ . Let  $x = x^0 + \ldots + x^{\alpha_k - 1}$  and  $x^i = x_0^i + \ldots + x_{n_i-1}^i$ , where  $x^i \in (\bar{y}^i)$  and  $x_j^i \in (y_j^i)$ . For any  $i < \alpha_k$  and any  $j < n_i$ , put

$$(x_j^i)' = \begin{cases} x_j^i & \text{if } x_j^i \neq 0; \\ \varnothing & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus in a one-to-one correspondence with every element  $x \in (Y)$  is a sequence  $f_0(x, Y) = \langle (x_i^i)' | i < \alpha_k, j < n_i \rangle$ .

By the definition of an elementary basis, for any *i* and any *j*, we can uniquely define a least irreducible polynomial  $f_j^i = \langle e_j^i, s_1^i e_j^i, \ldots, s_{m_i}^i e_j^i \rangle$  of degree  $m_i$  over a prime field  $P_j^i \subseteq F_j^i$ , where  $e_j^i$  is the unit of the field  $P_j^i$ , whose root is  $y_j^i$ . For every element  $x \in (y_j^i)$ , therefore, there exists a uniquely defined polynomial  $g_j^i(z) = s_{j0}^i e_j^i z^{k_j^i} + \ldots + s_{jk_j^i}^i e_j^i$ ,  $s_{j0}^i \neq 0$ ,  $k_j^i < m_i$ , and  $g_j^i(y_j^i) = x$ . Put  $\operatorname{Cor}_0(x, y_j^i) = [s_{j0}^i, \ldots, s_{jk_j^i}^i] + 1$  and  $\operatorname{Cor}(0, \emptyset) = 1$ . This immediately implies that  $\operatorname{Cor}_0$  is a  $\Sigma$ -function without parameters, and if  $x^0 \neq x^1$  then  $\operatorname{Cor}_0(x^0, y_j^i) \neq \operatorname{Cor}_0(x^1, y_j^i)$ .

(3) First let elementary bases  $\bar{y}_{\varepsilon} = \langle \bar{y}_{\varepsilon}^{0}, \dots, \bar{y}_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha_{k}-1} \rangle$  and  $\bar{y}_{\varepsilon}^{i} = \langle y_{\varepsilon}^{i,0}, \dots, y_{\varepsilon}^{i,n_{i}-1} \rangle$ ,  $\varepsilon < 2$ , of the same characteristic  $p_{k} \rightleftharpoons p$  be given. By the definition of an elementary basis,  $y_{\varepsilon}^{i,j}$  is a root of the least irreducible polynomial  $f_{\varepsilon}^{i,j}(x)$  over a prime field  $P_{\varepsilon}^{i,j} \subseteq (y_{\varepsilon}^{i,j})$  of degree  $m_{i}$ . Hence the coefficients of  $f_{\varepsilon}^{i,j}$  depend only on i and on the unit  $e_{\varepsilon}^{i,j}$  of the field  $P_{\varepsilon}^{i,j}$ ; i.e.,  $f_{\varepsilon}^{i,j} = x^{m_{i}} + s_{\varepsilon}^{i,1} e_{\varepsilon}^{i,j} x^{m_{i}-1} + \dots + s_{\varepsilon}^{i,m_{i}} e_{\varepsilon}^{i,j}$ , with  $s_{\varepsilon}^{i,l} < p$  and  $l \leq m_{i}$ . Therefore, the mapping  $\varphi_{j}^{i} : y_{0}^{i,j} \to y_{1}^{i,j}$  extends to an isomorphism  $\Psi_{j}^{i} : (y_{0}^{i,j}) \to (y_{1}^{i,j})$ . Since  $H_{k} = (\bar{y}_{\varepsilon}) = \oplus\{(y_{\varepsilon}^{i,j}) \mid i < \alpha_{k}, j < n_{i}\}$ , the isomorphisms  $\psi_{j}^{i}$  extend to an isomorphism  $\psi_{p} : (\bar{y}_{0}) \to (\bar{y}_{1})$ .

Next let bases  $Y^{\varepsilon} = \langle \bar{y}_{\varepsilon}^{p_0}, \dots, \bar{y}_{\varepsilon}^{p_{q-1}} \rangle$  of the same characteristic  $\langle p_0, \dots, p_{q-1} \rangle$  be given. By virtue of the fact that  $(Y^{\varepsilon}) = \bigoplus \{ (\bar{y}_{\varepsilon}^{p_i}) \mid i < q \}$ , the isomorphisms  $\Psi_{p_i}$  extend to an isomorphism  $\Psi : (Y^0) \to (Y^1)$ . The theorem is proved.  $\Box$ 

Theorems 2 and 2.1 can be combined to yield

**COROLLARY 2.1.** Let a ring  $K \rightleftharpoons K(\alpha, \varphi, \psi)$  and functions  $\alpha, \varphi$ , and  $\psi$  be  $\Sigma$ -definable in  $\mathbb{HF}(K)$ . Then a universal  $\Sigma$ -function exists in  $\mathbb{HF}(K)$  if and only if the family  $\mathbb{N}^K$  of all numerical  $\Sigma$ -functions in  $\mathbb{HF}(K)$  is computable.

**COROLLARY 2.2.** Let functions  $\alpha$ ,  $\varphi$ , and  $\psi$  have partial computable extensions. Then a universal  $\Sigma$ -function exists in  $\mathbb{HF}(K)$ ,  $K \rightleftharpoons K(\alpha, \varphi, \psi)$ , if and only if the family  $\mathcal{N}^K$  of all numerical  $\Sigma$ -functions in  $\mathbb{HF}(K)$  is computable.

**LEMMA 2.3.** Let functions  $\alpha$ ,  $\varphi$ , and  $\psi$  be  $\Sigma$ -definable in  $\mathbb{HF}(K)$ ,  $K \rightleftharpoons K(\alpha, \varphi, \psi)$ . Then an arbitrary subset A of natural numbers is  $\Sigma$ -definable in  $\mathbb{HF}(K)$  if and only if A is *e*-reducible to a set of the form

$$S = \{ [k, m_0^k, n_0^k], \dots, [k, m_{\alpha_k-1}^k, n_{\alpha_k-1}^k] \mid k \in \delta \alpha \},\$$

where  $\alpha(k) = \alpha_k, \ \varphi(k) = [m_0^k, \dots, m_{\alpha_k-1}^k], \ \psi(k) = [n_0^k, \dots, n_{\alpha_k-1}^k], \ \text{and} \ m_i^k < m_j^k \ \text{if} \ i < j < \alpha_k.$ 

The **proof** is similar to the proof of Lemma 1.5.  $\Box$ 

This, together with Corollary 2, entails

**COROLLARY 2.3.** Let functions  $\alpha$ ,  $\varphi$ , and  $\psi$  be  $\Sigma$ -definable in  $\mathbb{HF}(G)$ ,  $G \rightleftharpoons G(\alpha, \varphi, \psi)$ . Then  $\mathbb{HF}(G)$  contains a universal  $\Sigma$ -function if and only if a principal *e*-ideal  $\mathfrak{I}_e(S)$  generated by a set S contains a function that is universal for the family of all unary functions in  $\mathfrak{I}_e(S)$ .

As in the case of groups, we have

**COROLLARY 2.4.** Let  $\alpha$ ,  $\varphi$ , and  $\psi$  be partial computable functions. Then  $\mathbb{HF}(K)$ ,  $G \rightleftharpoons K(\alpha, \varphi, \psi)$ , contains a universal  $\Sigma$ -function.

**COROLLARY 2.5.** There exists a set S of primes such that  $(K_S)$ ,  $K_S = \bigoplus \{F_p \mid p \in S\}$ , contains no universal  $\Sigma$ -function.

Acknowledgments. I am grateful to Yu. L. Ershov and S. S. Goncharov whose publications, comments, and encouragement exerted a big impact on my work.

## REFERENCES

- A. N. Khisamiev, "Σ-uniform structures and Σ-functions. I," Algebra Logika, 50, No. 5, 659-684 (2011).
- Yu. L. Ershov, *Definability and Computability, Sib. School Alg. Log.* [in Russian], 2nd ed., Ekonomika, Moscow (2000).
- 3. M. I. Kargapolov and Yu. I. Merzlyakov, *Fundamentals of Group Theory* [in Russian], 3d edn., Nauka, Moscow (1982).
- 4. B. L. Van der Waerden, Algebra. I, Springer, Berlin (1971).
- A. S. Morozov and V. G. Puzarenko, "Σ-subsets of natural numbers," Algebra Logika, 43, No. 3, 291-320 (2004).
- I. Sh. Kalimullin and V. G. Puzarenko, "Computability principles on admissible sets," *Mat. Trudy*, 7, No. 2, 35-71 (2004).