

Toward Development of Enhanced Preventive Interventions for HIV Sexual Risk among Alcohol-Using Populations: Confronting the ‘Mere Pause from Thinking’

Robert C. Freeman¹

Published online: 12 September 2015
© Springer Science+Business Media New York (outside the USA) 2015

Abstract The papers in this issue detail state-of-the-science knowledge regarding the role of alcohol use in HIV/AIDS risk, as well as offer suggestions for ways forward for behavioral HIV prevention for at-risk alcohol-using populations. In light of recent evidence suggesting that the anticipated uptake of the newer biomedical HIV prevention approaches, prominently including pre-exposure prophylaxis, has been stalled owing to a host of barriers, it has become ever more clear that behavioral prevention avenues must continue to receive due consideration as a viable HIV/AIDS prevention approach. The papers collected here make a valuable contribution to “combination prevention” efforts to curb HIV spread.

Keywords Alcohol · HIV/AIDS · Prevention · Intervention · Behavioral research

What's drinking? A mere pause from thinking!
~Lord Byron, *The Deformed Transformed*

Introduction

According to World Health Organization estimates, approximately 35 million people in the world were living with HIV at the end of 2012 [1]. In the United States, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention has estimated

that approximately 1.2 million people are HIV-infected, with approximately 50,000 new infections occurring each year [2] and nearly 1 in 8 unaware of their infection [3]. U.S. groups at particularly elevated risk for HIV include men who have sex with men (MSM), particularly younger (including adolescent), black/African American MSM [4]; women, particularly black women [5], and injection drug users (IDUs) [6].

Like other sexually transmitted diseases, the spread of HIV/AIDS is facilitated by inconsistent use of barrier methods, such as condoms. Alcohol use can elevate sexual risk for acquiring and transmitting HIV/AIDS in a number of ways, such as by interfering with decision-making (including the decision to have sex and the choice of a partner), by hampering individuals’ negotiations concerning condoms use during sex, by hindering the dexterity required to apply a condom prior to sex as well as increasing the chances of their premature removal, and by coloring expectations about the effects of alcohol use on sexual experiences [7–12]. In particular, heavy episodic—or binge—drinking, commonly defined as consumption of 5+ drinks on a single drinking occasion (4+ drinks for a female) [13], clearly ranks as among the most harmful of drinking patterns in facilitating HIV-risky behaviors, as such behavior typically elevates the blood alcohol concentration levels well above the 0.08 g-percent level at which cognitive abilities most necessary for engagement in safe sex and/or adhering to highly active antiretroviral therapy regimen are likely to be compromised. Thus, it is not surprising that alcohol use has been associated with incident HIV infection [14] as well as with involvement in HIV-risky sexual behaviors among a variety of at-risk groups such as female sex workers (FSWs) [15, 16]; men who have sex with men [17–21], including older MSM [22]; people living with HIV/AIDS [23, 24]; HIV-

✉ Robert C. Freeman
rfreeman@mail.nih.gov

¹ Division of Epidemiology and Prevention Research, National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism, National Institutes of Health, 5635 Fishers Lane, Room 2073 MSC 9304, Bethesda, MD 20892-9304, USA

serodiscordant couples in the epicenter of the HIV pandemic, sub-Saharan Africa [25], and—as detailed in the paper by Carey et al. here [26]—women, including certain high-risk subgroups of women such as the young adult African American mothers with low parenting satisfaction that are the focus of the paper by Swartzendruber and colleagues [27] included here. Moreover, it should be emphasized that the implications of alcohol use for HIV sexual risk are in *addition to* its potentially adverse effects on likelihood of getting tested for HIV, of being linked to and remaining attached to HIV care, and of adhering to HIV antiretroviral regimen. In their paper included here, for instance, Walter and colleagues [28] find a significant negative relationship between reported level of alcohol use and being tested for HIV in a national sample of over 15,000 adult women.

Recognition of, and coming to grips with, alcohol's role in HIV spread is particularly crucial insofar as alcohol—unlike many types of illicit drugs—is relatively available in most parts of the world. Thus, worldwide alcohol consumption in 2010 was equal to 6.2 litres of pure alcohol per person aged 15 years or older, which translates into 13.5 g of pure alcohol per day, with about 16 % of drinkers in this age group engaging in heavy episodic drinking [29]. In the U.S., over 87 % of people 18 years or older in 2012 reported having ever consumed alcohol, 71 % reported drinking in the past year, and over 56 % drank alcohol in the past month, with nearly one-quarter of people ages 18+ years reporting binge drinking in the previous month and approximately 17 million adults ages 18+ years (7.2 % of this age group) meeting the criteria for an alcohol use disorder (AUD, i.e., alcohol abuse or dependence) [30]. Approximately 38 million U.S. adults report binge drinking an average of four times per month, consuming an average of about 8 drinks per binge episode [31].

Moreover, it does not appear that such drinking levels are likely to greatly decline anytime soon. Some recent evidence indicates that the share of the U.S. adult population reporting current regular alcohol use may have increased in recent years [32, 33]. Another recent report that used data from 2 large, nationally representative surveys of U.S. adults [34] suggests that the volume and frequency of drinking and prevalence of at least monthly heavy episodic drinking among drinkers increased between 2001 and 2013, while yet another recent analysis concluded that heavy drinking and binge drinking prevalence increased in most U.S. counties between 2005 and 2012 [35]. Furthermore, young people in the U.S. seemingly are able to purchase alcohol without age identification relatively easily [36–38]. Worldwide, a lack of restrictive alcohol marketing policies has been noted [39, 40], while Internet alcohol vendor practices have been criticized as largely insufficient to prevent sales to minors [41].

Exposure to such alcohol advertising has been found to impact the drinking patterns of underage persons [42–44].

Around the world, a number of countries with large or growing HIV/AIDS epidemics have been forced to come to grips with the myriad ways in which alcohol use fosters HIV spread. Russia accounts for nearly 70 % of the known HIV infections in Eastern Europe and Central Asia today [45], and while high rates of HIV among IDUs is the major driver of epidemic spread there [46], it is Russia's extremely high per capita alcohol consumption that fosters particular concern about future HIV spread via sexual transmission [46–48]. The W.H.O. [29] has noted that the recent worldwide increase in alcohol per capita consumption has mainly been driven by increased consumption in China—which had an estimated 780,000 people living with HIV/AIDS at the end of 2011 [49] and where sexual contact, often involving alcohol use [50, 51], is the primary mode of HIV transmission—and India, which had an estimated 2,100,000 people living with HIV in 2012 [52], and where heavy drinking plays a major role in HIV spread among men [53, 54], MSM [55], migrant workers [56], FSWs [57], and HIV-infected clients of FSWs [58]. In Sub-Saharan Africa—the region most severely affected by HIV/AIDS, where nearly 5 % of adults live with HIV [45]—the W.H.O. has observed that the high growth rate of the region's adolescent and adult population suggests a likely increase in the number of potential consumers of alcohol [29], and some research suggests that, at least in South Africa, binge drinking and hazardous or harmful drinking rates have increased in recent years [59]. In this region, alcohol use often has been linked to unprotected sex [60, 61] and new HIV infections [62–64], with a number of studies [16, 65–67] calling particular attention to the role of hazardous drinking in HIV/AIDS spread in South Africa.

Approaches to Prevention of Alcohol-Related HIV Risk

Given alcohol's prominent role in facilitating HIV/AIDS spread, the primary prevention of alcohol use and abuse logically constitutes a potential avenue for controlling the pandemic. Thus, a motivated political body might seek to reduce HIV/AIDS risk by limiting access to or availability of alcohol, as so-called structural (variously termed policy or environmental) approaches to alcohol prevention aim to do. Evidence that such an approach might bear some fruit in the fight against HIV spread is suggested by the substantial body of research that indicates that reducing alcohol availability—by, for example, increasing the price of alcoholic beverages, usually achieved through increasing taxes on alcohol—can reduce alcohol consumption [68–72] and alcohol-attributable mortality [73–75].

Conversely, *expanded* access to, or availability of, alcohol—in the form of, for example, lower minimum legal purchase age, reduced alcohol prices, drink specials, increased hours and days of sale, or proximity to dense concentration of alcohol outlets—has been associated with early initiation into drinking [76], higher likelihood of alcohol use and/or AUD [77–79], increases in alcohol-related mortality [80–82], acute alcohol intoxication hospitalizations [83], and other alcohol-related harms [84]. A number of studies have found that alcohol sales and hazardous consumption levels can be reduced by imposing such measures as minimum legal driving age laws [85]; providing alcohol-free social programs [86]; enacting more stringent alcohol control policies [87] or stronger policy enforcement [88–90], including dram shop liability laws [91]; placing limits on days and hours of alcohol sale [92], and creating state-specific alcohol retail monopolies [93].

Despite the demonstrated effectiveness of most of these primary prevention approaches for limiting alcohol use and abuse, they are not without some significant limitations as a means of stifling HIV spread. Consumption of alcohol is, after all, legal if not also encouraged to some degree for appropriately-aged persons in large parts of the world. Similarly, an alcohol treatment approach to stemming HIV spread also has limitations. For instance, it seems likely (although only rarely assessed in most HIV studies) that some fraction of all persons engaging in HIV-risky sex have an alcohol use disorder [see, e.g., 94]. While such individuals might well benefit from an alcohol treatment program that can reduce their alcohol-related HIV sexual risk, their entrance into and remaining in alcohol treatment can hardly be assumed. Barriers to substance abuse treatment-seeking long have included such factors as stigma, ambivalence about wanting to quit, financial and insurance barriers, and family-related concerns [95–99]. Thus, AUD often goes untreated in the U.S. [100, 101]. For instance, a nationally representative sample of U.S. adults 18+ years of age found that only one-quarter of individuals with prior-to-past-year onset of alcohol dependence had *ever* sought help for alcohol problems [102]. Moreover, post-treatment relapse to alcohol use, as well as relapse following “natural” remission, is relatively common [103, 104], and drinking patterns can fluctuate greatly over the life course [105–108].

For these and other reasons having to do with feasibility, cost, and political considerations, HIV preventive interventions with alcohol-using populations have tended to be of the psychosocial or psychoeducational variety, conducted at the individual level, and typically targeting HIV-risky sexual behaviors. Importantly, however, studies of the efficacy of such interventions usually have reported limited or mixed results [109–112]. This particularly has been the case for interventions with MSM. Over the years,

little evidence of reduced HIV incidence has been noted in prevention trials conducted with MSM who report substance use issues (see, e.g., 113, 114). A systematic review published two years ago [115] concluded that it might be difficult to demonstrate significant positive change in sexual behavior among MSM with substance use issues insofar as such individuals often experience concurrent psychosocial health problems, such as depression and partner violence, while an even more recent systematic review [116] concluded that well-designed, theoretically informed research aimed at establishing HIV intervention efficacy for MSM reporting hazardous drinking or AUDs was alarmingly scarce.

Toward Enhanced Preventive Interventions for Alcohol-Related HIV Sexual Risk

The desire to improve behavioral interventions for preventing alcohol-related HIV risk constituted the motivating factor in the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse’s (NIAAA) decision to bring together, during one particularly frigid week in February, 2014, some 20 HIV prevention interventionists and basic behavioral researchers (i.e., those who conduct non-applied research to develop an understanding of the determinants and processes affecting alcohol-related HIV risk and protective behaviors) for a 2-day workshop. The presentations made at this workshop—which was generously co-funded by the National Institutes of Health’s Office of Disease Prevention (ODP) [117]—culminated in the papers that have been collected for this Special Issue of *AIDS & Behavior*.

In particular, the conference organizers, recognizing that research in HIV preventive interventions and basic alcohol-related behavioral research has developed along relatively independent lines in recent decades, felt that HIV preventive interventions targeting those who drink alcohol at hazardous levels and/or during sex might be improved to the extent that they adopted some of the insights from NIAAA’s basic behavioral research program that long has focused on understanding how alcohol use and drinking context can affect in-the-event sexual decision-making. Workshop presenters were asked to assess what had been learned from this research that might be useful in building better HIV preventive interventions with alcohol users.

To most of the assembled researchers, it was clear that while years of experimental and observational research had shown that alcohol consumption generally is positively related to event-level sexual risk, likely mediated and moderated by other factors, most of the prominent conceptual models guiding behavioral HIV preventive interventions had ignored alcohol’s role among the complex of factors determining HIV-risky sexual behaviors [118].

Thus, while arguably the most prominent of these standard HIV prevention models, the Information- Motivation-Behavioral (IMB) model [119], posits that HIV risk behavior involvement is influenced by informational, motivational, and behavioral factors, it seemed apparent to the gathered researchers that the IMB framework needed to be extended to include alcohol-related constructs in order to better understand relationships between alcohol use and HIV risk behavior. For instance, in an examination that looked at IMB theoretical constructs and alcohol-related contextual factors as potential mediators in separate models in a sample of STI clinic patients in South Africa, Pitpitan et al. [120] found that, 1 year after an HIV risk reduction counseling session, the intervention had indirectly affected sexual risk behavior through alcohol-related—but not IMB—constructs, concluding that alcohol use and related factors play critical roles in explaining HIV and STI risk reduction intervention effects. Indeed, an integration of research exploring alcohol's acute effects on HIV sexual risk decision making with the existing HIV prevention models appeared to be consistent with at least one of the “Research Priorities for Behavioral and Social Science Research” specified in the *FY 2014 Trans-NIH Plan for HIV-Related Research* [121], namely, to “[c]onduct translational research... to foster and optimize the use of existing efficacious biomedical, behavioral, and social interventions to prevent, diagnose, and treat HIV infections and to promote access, acceptability, adherence, and continuation along the cascade from prevention to treatment, particularly among those currently underrepresented in such research (e.g., noninjection substance users, men who have sex with men [MSM], and incarcerated individuals)”. Such an approach, then, appeared to have the potential to produce advances in the development of an effective HIV preventive intervention with alcohol users.

Several other considerations added to the sense of urgency among those gathered at the 2014 workshop. An overriding concern was the 50,000 new HIV cases reported each year in the U.S., coupled with the continued absence of a viable HIV vaccine. Moreover, it had become clear that despite the undeniable promise of the newer, biomedical HIV prevention approaches—such as microbicides, circumcision, and early initiation of antiretroviral therapy (treatment-as-prevention) [122]—*behavioral* prevention needed to continue to play a prominent role in the worldwide response to HIV/AIDS. Indeed, recognition that behavioral interventions are necessary, if not sufficient, for producing reductions in HIV transmission has led to calls for so-called “combination prevention” approaches that incorporate biomedical, social, and structural, as well as behavioral, interventions [114, 123–126].

Finally, recent technological developments—prominently including smartphone technology [127–129]—have

made possible the collection of real-time reporting of drinking episodes and critical details on alcohol use patterns (for instance, type of alcohol, size of serving, number of servings, time of day, duration of use, and location consumed) as well as triggering social contexts. Such methods advance the practice of ecological momentary assessment, which refers to monitoring or sampling strategies that assess phenomena at the moment they occur in natural settings, thus avoiding the need for retrospective recall [130]. A growing number of studies have found that handheld computers [131–133], text messaging via cell phone [134], and Interactive Voice Response via mobile telephones [135] are useful tools for assessing daily alcohol use among both college students and adults. Other pioneering technologies have been developed, such as transdermal alcohol sensors that can continuously collect reliable and valid data on alcohol consumption in vivo [136] and wearable sensors that can directly measure a person's exposures or lifestyle factors—including exposure to psychosocial stress and addictive substances—and which permit examination of how the body responds to these factors (e.g., changes in blood pressure, heart rate, and body temperature) [137].

Not only do such tools have the potential to yield new insights into factors that lead to disease or risk for disease, they also can be used in “real time” to prompt changes in behaviors that can reduce health risks or optimize health outcomes. The development of so-called mobile health (*mHealth*) interventions—such as the use of portable handheld technology to provide daily individualized feedback on dietary behavior that can increase dietary intake of healthy food groups [138] and increase physical activity levels among underactive adults [139], and educational interventions that use text messaging to improve glycemic control of patients with diabetes [140, 141], improve smoking cessation rates [142–144], and foster behavior modification for weight control [145, 146]—opens up new doors of possibility for HIV prevention intervention delivery [see, e.g., 147, 148]. To the NIAAA/ODP workshop attendees, the implications of such developments were intriguing. While considerable gaps clearly remain in our understanding of the processes involved in “heat of the moment” decision making, these new technologies appeared to illuminate some possible directions for the development of a preventive intervention for alcohol-related HIV risk that, ultimately, might be deliverable, in real time, in—or, at least, close to—“the moment”.

For such reasons, then, it seemed apparent to the workshop participants that the generally mixed record of success of earlier HIV prevention efforts with drinking populations must not become a deterrent to the continued search for effective behavioral prevention approaches for alcohol-related HIV risk. Nevertheless, it was hard to deny

that the sheer complexity of the association between alcohol use and HIV-risky sex loomed as a major obstacle to the further development of such interventions. Indeed, several decades of research have been unable to establish a direct causal connection between alcohol use and HIV-risky sex [149–153]. Overall, it might be said that the bulk of the empirical evidence tends to suggest that the relationship is not at all a simple one but, rather, is the result of a complex interaction comprising alcohol use (at some level/dose) in tandem with a host of both distal and proximal factors. These distal factors, many of which are discussed in the papers presented here, include the psychobehavioral consequences of sexual violence (both perpetration and victimization) history [154, 155]; the social contexts of alcohol consumption, including alcohol serving venues [156, 157] and associated alcohol expectancies [158, 159]; the nature of the sexual partnerships and how they relate to drinking with sex [160–162]; neurobehavioral self-regulation and neuropsychological functioning [163, 164]; personality factors [165–167], and motivations for sex. Proximal alcohol-related factors extend to alcohol dose [168–170], blood alcohol concentration limb [171, 172], and alcohol's psychopharmacological effects [173, 174], including effects on sexual arousal [175, 176]. Adding to this complexity is the fact that while this multitude of factors might be said to influence—in the heat of the moment—the drinking individuals' likelihood of participation in HIV-risky sex, the relative importance of each in shaping in-the-moment HIV risk is likely to vary among the different at-risk groups for HIV, such as men who have sex with men [177–179], sex workers [180], male clients of female sex workers [181], HIV-positive individuals [23, 182], transgender women [183], and heterosexual women with high-risk partners, including ex-prisoners returning to the community [184, 185], bisexual male partners, and injection drug users [47], and extending to both domestic and international (including low-resourced) settings.

In unraveling the complexity of the alcohol-risky sex relationship, at the heart of the matter is the question of exactly *how* the two are related. For some time now, two models have dominated explorations of this issue. Alcohol Myopia Theory [173], discussed in a number of the papers included in this volume, posits that intoxicated individuals are unable to fully process information in their environments, and so are disproportionately influenced by the more salient cues. More specifically, for HIV transmission, the theory contends that the cognitive impairment effects of alcohol shifts individuals' attention away from more distant inhibiting cues (such as concern about HIV transmission) towards more salient impelling cues (such as sexual arousal), thus moving the decisional balance, for the drinking individual, toward greater risk taking. From a

somewhat more psychological perspective, a number of studies in recent decades have suggested that *expectancies* predict alcohol-related HIV risk—that is, individuals who strongly believe that alcohol enhances sexual arousal and performance are more likely to engage in risky sex after drinking [186–189]. Several subsequent attempts to merge Myopia Theory and Expectancy Theory have been put forward [190, 191], while more recent formulations [e.g., 192] have suggested that sexual risk behavior may be best understood as a function of complex person-by-situation interactions. In recent years, support has grown for a dual-process model of self-control that posits two distinct cognitive systems underlying self-control: a fast acting, reflexive, “automatic”, intuitive dimension that emphasizes affective processes and a reflective, slower acting, deliberative, resource demanding dimension consisting of executive function processes [193–195]. By extension, when exertion of self-control is compromised—such as occurs following use of alcohol—it might be expected that the role of the deliberative processes in self-control decreases as behavior becomes more closely associated with automatic processes.

In general, attempts to unravel the alcohol-risky sex relationship have fallen short owing to a failure to transcend a familiar set of methodological challenges. Many of the studies suggesting an association between alcohol use and risky sex have been so-called “global association” studies—which examine typical patterns of alcohol use and sexual behavior—or “situational association” investigations, which look at behavior patterns that take place in particular settings or time periods. However, the cross-sectional nature of the research designs of these studies and their typical use of retrospective data militate against conclusions about causality in the alcohol-risky sex relationship. “Event-level” investigations, which look at alcohol use during specific sexual events, also have primarily relied on self-reported, retrospective responses.

Experimental studies—a number of which appear in this volume—have some marked advantages over these other approaches in attempting to establish causality in the alcohol-risky sex association. Such studies may involve manipulation of alcohol dose (i.e., so-called alcohol administration studies), often using a placebo or a balanced placebo design to randomly assign participants to an alcohol, placebo, or no-alcohol control condition. In these studies, some participants are told that they will receive alcohol but are given either alcohol (that is, both expect and receive alcohol) or no alcohol (i.e., expect alcohol, receive placebo). Another group of participants typically are told that they will receive a non-alcoholic beverage, which they are then given (control condition). Such an experimental design permits a test of the pharmacological and psychological effects of alcohol consumption, although

such studies are limited in their ability to detect the impact of extremely high alcohol doses (i.e., those resulting in blood alcohol concentrations above .10 g-percent) on human behaviors. In addition, as it is clearly unethical to directly observe sexual behavior in the laboratory, experimental studies may manipulate exposure to a sexual stimulus—using a written or role-play vignette or video—to study sexual arousal, often carefully measuring perceived likelihood or intentions to engage in unprotected sex with a hypothetical partner, as portrayed in the vignette [196]. While concerns are sometimes raised about whether findings from laboratory studies accurately reflect “real life” sexual behavior under the influence of alcohol, the limitations in external validity inherent in such an approach must be weighed against the often valuable insights that may be gained from such studies.

Several papers in this volume make important contributions to the investigation of the nature of the alcohol-risky sex relationship. In a major addition to the expectancy literature, Cooper et al. [197] use data from 7442 discrete sexual events collected over a 10+ year period from a community sample of nearly 2000 Black and White young adults and find that, on average, people tend to believe that drinking alcohol both enhances and disinhibits sexual experience, with those who strongly endorse enhancement expectancies reporting that they drink significantly more on sexual occasions than those who do not hold such beliefs. Nevertheless, the researchers find that respondents’ reported sexual experiences are actually *less* positive on drinking than on sober occasions, even after controlling for a number of individual difference and event-level characteristics, raising the question as to why people—despite these relatively unhappy experiences—so readily adhere to their positive beliefs. The authors offer that psychoeducational interventions that deliver to participants accurate information on the observed effects of alcohol on the quality of sexual experience might be a fruitful prevention approach.

The meta-analysis by Scott-Sheldon and colleagues presented here [198] also is likely to be counted as a major contribution to the basic behavioral literature on alcohol use and HIV-risky sex. Reviewing all experimental studies that have manipulated alcohol consumption by randomly assigning participants to an alcohol condition (i.e., alcohol, placebo, no alcohol control), administered alcohol prior to a sexual stimulus, and assessed sexual outcomes (e.g., intentions to engage in unprotected sex, sexual communication and negotiation skills) following exposure to the stimulus, the authors find that, consistent with the alcohol myopia model, alcohol consumption is associated with greater *intentions* to engage in unprotected sex. They suggest that addressing alcohol use as a determinant of

intentions to engage in unprotected sex may lead to more effective HIV interventions.

The paper by Kiene and colleagues [199] explores the assumptions of expectancy theory and alcohol myopia theory as possible moderators that help elucidate the circumstances under which alcohol may affect individuals’ ability to use a condom. Investigating 82 HIV+ individuals who are asked to complete 42 daily phone interviews assessing sexual behavior and alcohol consumption, the authors find support for both theories; in some cases the moderation effects were found to be stronger when both partners had consumed alcohol.

Alcohol Use and HIV-Risky Sex: Appraisal of Distal Factors

The papers collected for this special issue explore the wide variety of distal and proximal factors found to be involved in HIV sexual risk-taking among alcohol users. In each paper the investigators suggest HIV prevention approaches that might usefully be employed with the population under study.

The paper by Feldstein Ewing and colleagues [200] reviews and evaluates the literature on the developmental neuroscience of sexual risk and alcohol use in human adolescents with an eye toward prevention and intervention implications. They note that the extant research suggests that three regions of the brain—prefrontal cortical, reward, and emotion/memory—seem to be critical for an understanding of the nature of adolescent decision-making regarding sexual behavior. Going forward, efforts to reduce unplanned pregnancy and STI/HIV in this age group are likely to require an understanding of the dynamic nature of these regions of the brain as well as the roles of co-occurring alcohol use and hormonal changes, moderated by gender.

Representing the other extreme—in terms of level of scientific inquiry—are two papers here that explore HIV risk as imbedded in the alcohol drinkers’ social environment. A growing number of papers in recent years have investigated the ways in which venues, or social gathering places, influence HIV risk behaviors [see, e.g., 201–203]. For instance, micro-level social norms that operate in certain venues may dictate heavy drinking [204], while certain sex partner meeting venues have been linked to higher likelihood of use of alcohol before sex [205, 206]. It may be that some individuals are more likely to choose risky sex partners, or simply choose to have unprotected sex, in certain alcohol-heavy settings, irrespective of the actual amount of alcohol they consume there [see, e.g., 207].

In their paper here, Mair and associates [208] investigate whether more frequent and heavier drinking in specific drinking contexts is associated with unplanned sex, unprotected sex, and number of sexual contacts. These investigators utilize a large sample of California college students who, while not a high-risk group for HIV, are at elevated risk for other STIs, and find that greater frequencies of drinking in almost all contexts (e.g., Greek parties, off-campus parties, campus events, dorms, and bars) are associated with greater numbers of sexual partners and unplanned and unprotected sex in the past month, with heavier drinking at bars increasing the risks related to all outcomes. Risks related to frequencies of use of contexts are similar for men and women, but heavier drinking at bars is associated with more unprotected sex among men only. While it is unclear whether similar results might be found among populations that are at high HIV risk, such as MSM, this study suggests that a better understanding of the contribution of specific drinking contexts to the extent and content of alcohol use and likelihood of risky sexual behaviors can be helpful in targeting effective prevention programs to specific locations and types of drinkers.

The paper by Pitpitan and Kalichman [209] expands on the theme of HIV prevention possibilities at the level of the drinking environment. Their review of the literature on HIV prevention research based in alcohol venues (defined as places that sell or serve alcohol for onsite consumption, including bars, bottle stores, nightclubs, wine shops, and informal *shebeens*) finds that few prevention strategies have been implemented in such places. While HIV prevention interventions conducted in such venues may be targeted at the individual, social, or structural level, the authors argue that interventions that target more than one level are likely to lead to the most sustainable behavior change.

History of Violence: Effects on in-the-Moment HIV Sexual Risk

Two papers included here explore the issue of interpersonal violence history and its consequences for HIV sexual risk. This issue has become widely recognized in recent years [210], including by such highly visible bodies as The United States President's Emergency Plan for AIDS Relief (PEPFAR) [211], the W.H.O. [212, 213], and the U.S. Federal Working Group on the Intersection of HIV/AIDS, Violence against Women and Girls, and Gender-Related Health Disparities [214]. This interest no doubt has been sparked by several systematic reviews that have found a moderate-to-strong statistically significant association between intimate partner violence (IPV) and HIV infection

among women [215, 216], while a recent assessment of nationally representative cross-sectional data from 10 countries in sub-Saharan Africa concluded that male controlling behavior—in its own right, or as an indicator of ongoing or severe violence—puts women at risk for HIV infection [217]. Importantly, violence victimization history also plays a significant role in the vulnerability of MSM to HIV infection [218].

IPV and sexual violence are the forms of interpersonal violence that have received the most attention as contributors to HIV risk. While the specific behaviors that comprise intimate partner violence can vary somewhat from study to study, the CDC [219] views IPV as physical or sexual violence, stalking, or psychological aggression by a current or former intimate partner. A recent World Health Organization report [220] found that 30 % of women worldwide who have ever been in a relationship have experienced physical and/or sexual violence by an intimate partner. In findings from CDC's National Intimate Partner and Sexual Violence Survey (NISVS), 1 in 4 U.S. women and 1 in 7 men have been a victim of severe physical violence by an intimate partner [221]. The NISVS also found that 44 % of U.S. lesbian women and 61 % of bisexual—compared to 35 % of heterosexual—women reported lifetime experience of rape, physical violence, and/or stalking by an intimate partner, while 26 % of gay and 37 % of bisexual men, compared to 29 % of heterosexual men, reported such lifetime victimization by an intimate partner [222]. *Sexual violence*, as viewed broadly by the CDC, includes completed or attempted rape, non-penetrative abusive sexual contact, or non-contact sexual abuse (such as voyeurism), occurring when the victim does not consent to the sexual activity or is unable to consent or refuse, and may be perpetrated by persons well known, not as well known, or unknown to the victim [223]. Approximately 1.3 million U.S. women were raped during the year preceding the NISVS survey and 18 % reported lifetime rape victimization [221].

There are at least 4 ways in which HIV and violence overlap in women's lives [224]. Thus, forced or coercive unprotected sexual intercourse with an infected partner may directly increase a woman's risk for HIV infection, especially when it results in a genitoanal injury that facilitates viral transmission [225, 226]; violence victimization history may increase a woman's risk for HIV infection by reinforcing gender submissive attitudes that limit confidence in her ability to negotiate HIV preventive behaviors with her partner(s) [227, 228]; among HIV-positive women, the disclosure of her HIV serostatus to partners may put them at elevated risk for violence [229–231]; and childhood physical and sexual abuse victimization may set a course for subsequent sexual risk-taking behavior in adolescence and adulthood [232–235].

Moreover, the downstream effects of violence victimization can extend to posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD), depression, and dissociation, which may last for years and can affect HIV risk behavior decision-making profoundly [236, 237]. Victimization history and its psychological sequelae also may adversely affect the victim's likelihood of seeking HIV testing, of remaining in HIV care, and of adhering to HIV antiretroviral treatment regimen [238, 239], while also increasing the victim's vulnerability to subsequent revictimization. Victimization history also is often associated with heavy drinking and/or binge drinking [240, 241]. A self-medication explanation has received substantial support in explaining the often-noted comorbidity between PTSD and harmful drinking patterns [242, 243]. This use of alcohol and/or drugs by traumatized or victimized women may further limit their ability to negotiate HIV preventive behaviors with partner(s), and often has been associated with elevated risk for unprotected sex [67, 244], while also potentially adversely affecting likelihood of remaining attached to HIV care.

As mentioned, two papers presented here examine the consequences, for in-the-moment HIV risk, of a history of interpersonal violence in combination with alcohol consumption. In the alcohol administration study by George and colleagues at the University of Washington [245], over 400 community-recruited women were asked to project themselves into a scenario depicting a male partner exerting high or low pressure for unprotected sex. As expected, alcohol intoxication was associated with increased likelihood of abdication in the condom decision, but a novel finding of the study was that women's decision to abdicate, which would appear to be a direct pathway to unprotected intercourse, was affected by partner pressure via anticipated negative partner reaction. From these and other findings, this study suggests that women with varying degrees of sexual victimization history severity make different decisions when using—and not using—alcohol. Results suggest that future HIV intervention strategies might benefit from a thorough probe of victimization history, target risky drinking levels, and aim to build sexual assertiveness skills.

Although women comprise at least three-fourths of rape and sexual assault victims in the U.S., it is important to not lose sight of the fact that the overwhelming majority of sexual violence perpetrators—against both females and males—are men [246], many of whom are, or had been, drinking at the time of the event. Estimates of the prevalence of alcohol consumption by perpetrators in sexually aggressive incidents range from 30 to 75 % [see 247, 248], while many studies have found that male perpetrators of sexual aggression and IPV have increased odds of *high-volume* drinking [249, 250]. One team of researchers using a community-recruited sample of young, heterosexual male

social drinkers found that more than half of subjects reported a history of sexual assault perpetration, with 60 % of these reporting *repeat* perpetration [251]. In this study, almost half of perpetrators had used alcohol prior to *every* sexual assault incident. Importantly, for HIV prevention, over 41 percent of these perpetrators had *never* used a condom during their penetrative sexually aggressive acts, and alcohol use and condom nonuse were positively correlated with acts of forcible rape. In another study by this team of men aged 21–35 years who engaged in heavy episodic drinking [252], condoms reportedly had not been used in 70 percent of penetrative sexual assaults since age 15, with perpetrators significantly less likely to use condoms when they had consumed alcohol. Also worth noting, for HIV prevention, is that some studies [e.g., 253, 254] have found that sexually violent men often report elevated likelihood of STI infections.

Although no single factor describes the motives of all sexual assault perpetrators on all occasions, “alcohol myopia” theory predicts that intoxicated men's reduced cognitive capacity focuses their attention on instigatory sexual cues (e.g., arousal) while impairing their perception and interpretation of inhibitory cues [248]. Experimental alcohol administration studies have provided some support for these predictions [e.g., 255]. Abbey [247, 256] has suggested that intoxication increases the likelihood of sexual aggression at both an early stage in a potential sexual interaction—where cognitive impairments induced by alcohol encourage a man who is sexually attracted to a woman to focus on cues consistent with his sexual interest, while minimizing disconfirming ones [257, 258]—and later in the interaction where, should his advances be rejected, his state of intoxication encourages an aggressive response, particularly in cases where he feels provoked by his (mis)perception of earlier encouragement [259].

In the alcohol administration study by Davis and colleagues presented here [260], the researchers use a sexual risk analogue to examine—in a community sample of male heterosexual non-problem drinkers who report elevated HIV sexual risk—the direct and indirect effects of intoxication and sexual aggression history on intentions to engage in condom use resistance (CUR; i.e., attempts to engage in unprotected sexual intercourse with a partner who wants to use a condom). Their results demonstrate that alcohol intoxication directly increases CUR intentions and that sexual aggression history directly and indirectly increases CUR intentions, which might contribute to elevated sexual risk. It should be cautioned that these findings may not extend to men who typically consume alcohol in different patterns (e.g., lighter drinkers, or problem drinkers), or to men who use condoms consistently, or to MSM, while, in the real world, many individuals typically drink to higher intoxication levels than can be ethically achieved in

an alcohol administration study. Nevertheless, these results suggest the importance of addressing both alcohol use and sexual aggression history in HIV-risky sex prevention programs, and underline the value of research into the intersection of men's alcohol use, sexual aggression, and sexual risk behaviors, especially condom use resistance. As the authors are careful to point out, because more severe perpetrators in this study had greater CUR intentions, prevention efforts might increase their impact by targeting men who report a history of sexual aggression perpetration. Moreover, as these men with more severe sexual aggression histories reported greater in-the-moment feelings of impulsivity, which directly predicted greater CUR intentions, teaching men to cope with these feelings through emotion regulation strategies might be a useful strategy in reducing their resistance to condom use. Furthermore, as more favorable CUR attitudes also predicted greater CUR intentions, interventions focused on changing CUR-related attitudes—by, for example, emphasizing some of the negative effects of CUR—might be effective. Finally, insofar as CUR self-efficacy was related to greater CUR intentions, interventions that seek to reduce men's self-efficacy for CUR might *also* attempt to buttress their self-efficacy for involvement in mutually pleasurable *protected sex*.

While these and similar studies clearly suggest that substance use may facilitate the expression of sexual assault and interpersonal violence, gender-based relationship power inequities and gender norms comprise the “upstream” bedrock out of which male-initiated violence towards women is expressed [261–263]. Programs to address such inequities that have been put forward in recent years include gender-transformative interventions that attempt to shift norms of masculinity in the direction of greater gender equitability [264]; micro-enterprise programs that can provide women with a degree of independence from controlling or abusive males [265]; community mobilization interventions aimed at preventing violence against women and reducing HIV risk behaviors [266]; HIV prevention programs that utilize critical reflection and participatory learning approaches to confront gender based violence in the lives of participants [267]; implementation of laws and policies promoting gender equality [212], and interventions that combine several of these approaches [e.g., 268, 269].

Other Proximal Factors in the Alcohol-Risky Sex Relationship

The papers in this issue by George et al. [245] and Davis et al. [260], reviewed above, detail some of the ways in which an individual's history of violence victimization and perpetration may impact their “in the moment” sexual risk-

taking behaviors. In addition, several other papers in this issue that were introduced earlier in this chapter highlight the seemingly important role of sexual arousal in the alcohol-risky sex relationship. Thus, for instance, the results of the meta-analysis by Scott-Sheldon and colleagues [198] indicated that the effect of alcohol consumption on unprotected sex intentions was greater when sexual arousal was heightened; Cooper and colleagues [197] found that those individuals with strong expectancies for sexual enhancement reported greater arousal at high alcohol consumption levels than did those with weak enhancement expectancies, and suggested that individuals may prize arousal effects more highly than other effects that might be experienced.

Two more papers included here examine the intersection of arousal, alcohol consumption, and HIV sexual risk in MSM, and suggest some implications of the findings for HIV preventive interventions with this population. Maisto and associates [270] review the research evidence on the effects of acute alcohol intoxication and sexual arousal on sexual risk behaviors in heterosexual men (the focus of the large majority of studies) as well as MSM. Remarkably, they find that only one previous experimental study of alcohol and sexual risk in MSM has been published [175]. The authors integrate the body of empirical evidence and related theoretical advances to derive implications for development of effective HIV prevention interventions targeting MSM. The paper by Shuper and colleagues [271] presents a detailed study protocol of a controlled experiment with HIV-positive MSM who undergo an alcohol consumption manipulation (i.e., alcohol/placebo/control) and sexual arousal induction, and are then asked to indicate their intentions to engage in protected and condomless sexual acts with hypothetical partners with differing HIV serostatus, condom use preference, and physical attractiveness. While the data from this ongoing experiment have yet to be analyzed, forthcoming analyses will assess alcohol's impact on HIV-positive MSMs' condomless sex intentions in the context of experimentally-manipulated factors as well as risk-relevant personality traits and alcohol-related expectancies.

Tara MacDonald and colleagues [272] add to our understanding of the relationships among attachment orientations, perceived partner rejection, and condom use. In Study 1 (of 2 studies), they find that a survey measure of perceived partner rejection mediates the relationship between attachment anxiety and reported condom use. In Study 2, in which women subjects are asked to respond to condom use scenarios in which partner rejection is manipulated, the researchers note a 3-way interaction among attachment anxiety, attachment avoidance, and condom use intentions: specifically, perceived rejection from a potential sexual partner is associated with greater

intentions to engage in unprotected sexual intercourse among women high in attachment anxiety and low in attachment avoidance, and among those high in attachment avoidance and low in attachment anxiety. These results support the idea that—similar to a finding from the George et al. study [245] in this issue described earlier—rejection fears may be relatively influential factors in some women’s sexual decision-making. The researchers suggest that training such women in the importance of using condoms despite their fears of partner rejection, educating them to recognize the signs of rejection during sexual encounters, and equipping them with the tools to refuse unprotected sex despite the potential for partner rejection are likely to be useful additions to condom promotion interventions. Moreover, these results would seem to have particularly important implications for alcohol-using women. Insofar as “alcohol myopia” theory suggests that intoxicated individuals are likely to focus their attention on the most salient cues in their environment, it may be that a sexual partner’s signaling of potentially rejecting behavior is likely to constitute, for intoxicated women, a particularly prominent cue that they may act upon, perhaps especially among those high in attachment anxiety.

Towards a New Model for Building HIV Prevention Interventions

While the goal of building more effective HIV preventive interventions for alcohol-using populations constituted the primary motivation for the 2014 NIAAA/ODP workshop, elaboration of a blueprint to guide the process for accomplishing this was seen as somewhat beyond the scope of the meeting. The paper here by Collins and associates [273] suggests a novel approach to building health interventions that, while diverging sharply from the standard model (at least, the one long employed on the kinds of intervention projects that have been supported by major research funders such as the National Institutes of Health), offers great long-term promise for the HIV/AIDS prevention community. These authors introduce a new methodological framework—the multiphase optimization strategy (MOST)—that has been inspired by engineering principles. Although many behavioral interventions comprise multiple components, under the MOST framework this randomized experimentation is conducted in order to gather information about the individual performance of each intervention component, and to gauge whether its presence or absence impacts other components. This information is used in building an intervention that meets a specific optimization criterion (defined a priori in terms of effectiveness, cost, cost-effectiveness, and/or scalability). Using, as an illustration, a hypothetical example involving the building of a

new intervention, Collins and colleagues discuss how the MOST framework can be used to develop, optimize, and evaluate behavioral interventions that might someday pay off in improved interventions for prevention and treatment of HIV/AIDS.

Summing Up

The papers in this issue detail state-of-the science knowledge regarding the role of alcohol use in HIV/AIDS risk, as well as offer suggestions for ways forward for behavioral HIV prevention for at-risk alcohol-using populations. In light of recent evidence suggesting that the anticipated uptake of the newer biomedical HIV prevention approaches, prominently including pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP), has been stalled owing to a host of barriers—including low levels of awareness, cost, mistrust, lack of healthcare provider training in PrEP, possible stigma, and adherence and safety concerns [274–277]—it has become ever more clear that *behavioral* prevention avenues must continue to receive due consideration (and appropriate resources!) as a viable HIV/AIDS prevention approach. The papers collected here make a valuable contribution to “combination prevention” efforts to curb HIV spread. It is hoped that the coming years will see the adoption of many of the insights, perspectives and models described here in enhancing HIV/AIDS prevention efforts.

References

1. World Health Organization. Global report: UNAIDS report on the global AIDS epidemic 2013. Geneva: UNAIDS; 2013.
2. Bradley H, Hall HI, Wolitski RJ, et al. Vital signs: HIV diagnosis, care, and treatment among persons living with HIV—United States, 2011. *MMWR*. 2014;63(47):1113–7.
3. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. HIV in the United States: at a glance. <http://www.cdc.gov/hiv/statistics/basics/ata glance.html>. Accessed 1 July 2015.
4. Prejean J, Song R, Hernandez A, et al. Estimated HIV incidence in the United States, 2006–2009. *PLoS One*. 2011;6(8):e17502.
5. Hodder SL, Justman J, Haley DF, et al. Challenges of a hidden epidemic: HIV prevention among women in the United States. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2010;55(Suppl 2):S69–73.
6. Centers for Disease Control. National Center for HIV/AIDS, Viral Hepatitis, STD, and TB Prevention. Division of HIV/AIDS Prevention. HIV in the United States: Fact Sheet. 2011.
7. Woolf-King SE, Maisto SA. The effects of alcohol, relationship power, and partner type on perceived difficulty implementing condom use among African American adults: an experimental study. *Arch Sex Behav*. 2015;44(3):571–81.
8. Fisher JC, Cook PA, Kapiga SH. Alcohol use before sex and HIV risk: situational characteristics of protected and unprotected encounters among high-risk African women. *Sex Transm Dis*. 2010;37(9):571–8.

9. Rehm J, Shield KD, Joharchi N, Shuper PA. Alcohol consumption and the intention to engage in unprotected sex: systematic review and meta-analysis of experimental studies. *Addiction*. 2012;107(1):51–9.
10. Parks KA, Collins RL, Derrick JL. The influence of marijuana and alcohol use on condom use behavior: findings from a sample of young adult female bar drinkers. *Psychol Addict Behav*. 2012;26(4):888–94.
11. Parks KA, Hsieh YP, Collins RL, Levonyan-Radloff K. Daily assessment of alcohol consumption and condom use with known and casual partners among young female bar drinkers. *AIDS Behav*. 2011;15(7):1332–41.
12. Abbey A, Saenz C, Buck PO, Parkhill MR, Hayman LW Jr. The effects of acute alcohol consumption, cognitive reserve, partner risk, and gender on sexual decision making. *J Stud Alcohol*. 2006;67(1):113–21.
13. National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism (NIAAA). NIAAA Council Approves Definition of Binge Drinking. NIAAA Newsletter, 3, Winter 2004. http://pubs.niaaa.nih.gov/publications/Newsletter/winter2004/Newsletter_Number3.htm.
14. Baliunas D, Rehm J, Irving H, Shuper P. Alcohol consumption and risk of incident human immunodeficiency virus infection: a meta-analysis. *Int J Public Health*. 2010;55(3):159–66.
15. Alexander M, Mainkar M, Deshpande S, Chidrawar S, Sane S, Mehendale S. Heterosexual anal sex among female sex workers in high HIV prevalence states of India: need for comprehensive intervention. *PLoS One*. 2014;9(2):e88858.
16. Chersich MF, Bosire W, King'ola N, Temmerman M, Luchters S. Effects of hazardous and harmful alcohol use on HIV incidence and sexual behaviour: a cohort study of Kenyan female sex workers. *Global Health*. 2014;10:22.
17. Hess KL, Chavez PR, Kanny D, et al. Binge drinking and risky sexual behavior among HIV-negative and unknown HIV status men who have sex with men, 20 US cities. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 2015;147:46–52.
18. Heidinger B, Gorgens K, Morgenstern J. The effects of sexual sensation seeking and alcohol use on risky sexual behavior among men who have sex with men. *AIDS Behav*. 2015;19(3):431–9.
19. Kelly JA, DiFranceisco WJ, St Lawrence JS, Amirkhanian YA, Anderson-Lamb M. Situational, partner, and contextual factors associated with level of risk at most recent intercourse among Black men who have sex with men. *AIDS Behav*. 2014;18(1):26–35.
20. Koblin BA, Husnik MJ, Colfax G, et al. Risk factors for HIV infection among men who have sex with men. *AIDS*. 2006;20(5):731–9.
21. Koblin BA, Chesney MA, Husnik MJ, et al. High-risk behaviors among men who have sex with men in 6 US cities: baseline data from the EXPLORE Study. *Am J Public Health*. 2003;93(6):926–32.
22. Heath J, Lanoye A, Maisto SA. The role of alcohol and substance use in risky sexual behavior among older men who have sex with men: a review and critique of the current literature. *AIDS Behav*. 2012;16(3):578–89.
23. Scott-Sheldon LA, Walstrom P, Carey KB, Johnson BT, Carey MP; MASH Research Team. Alcohol use and sexual risk behaviors among individuals infected with HIV: a systematic review and meta-analysis 2012 to early 2013. *Curr HIV/AIDS Rep*. 2013;10(4):314–23.
24. Shuper PA, Joharchi N, Irving H, Rehm J. Alcohol as a correlate of unprotected sexual behavior among people living with HIV/AIDS: review and meta-analysis. *AIDS Behav*. 2009;13(6):1021–36.
25. Ruzagira E, Wandiembe S, Abaasa A, et al. HIV incidence and risk factors for acquisition in HIV discordant couples in Masaka, Uganda: an HIV vaccine preparedness study. *PLoS One*. 2011;6(8):e24037.
26. Carey KB, Senn TE, Walsh JL, Scott-Sheldon LA, Carey MP. Alcohol use predicts number of sexual partners for female but not male STI clinic patients. In this issue.
27. Swartzendruber A, Sales JM, Rose ES, DiClemente RJ. Alcohol use problems and sexual risk among young adult African American mothers. In this issue.
28. Walter AW, Lundgren L, Umez-Eronini A, Ritter GR. Alcohol use and HIV testing in a national sample of women. In this issue.
29. World Health Organization. Global status report on alcohol and health, 2014 edition. World Health Organization, 2014.
30. Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA). 2012 National Survey on Drug Use and Health (NSDUH). <http://www.samhsa.gov/data/sites/default/files/NSDUH-DefTabs2012/NSDUH-DefTabs2012/HTML/NSDUH-DefTabsSect2peTabs43to84-2012.htm#Tab2.71B>.
31. Kanny D, Liu Y, Brewer RD, Lu H, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Binge drinking—United States, 2011. *MMWR Surveill Summ*. 2013;62(Suppl 3):77–80.
32. Pleis JR, Lethbridge-Çejku M. Summary health statistics for U.S. adults: National Health Interview Survey, 2006. National Center for Health Statistics, Vital Health Stat. 2007;10(235):1–153.
33. Pleis JR, Ward BW, Lucas JW. Summary health statistics for U.S. adults: National Health Interview Survey, 2009. National Center for Health Statistics, Vital Health Stat. 2010;10(249):1–207.
34. Dawson DA, Goldstein RB, Saha TD, Grant BF. Changes in alcohol consumption: United States, 2001–2002 to 2012–2013. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 2015;148:56–61.
35. Dwyer-Lindgren L, Flaxman AD, Ng M, Hansen GM, Murray CJ, Mokdad AH. Drinking patterns in US counties from 2002 to 2012. *Am J Public Health*. 2015;105(6):1120–7.
36. Forster JL, Murray DM, Wolfson M, Wagenaar AC. Commercial availability of alcohol to young people: results of alcohol purchase attempts. *Prev Med*. 1995;24(4):342–7.
37. Wagenaar AC, Wolfson M. Enforcement of the legal minimum drinking age in the United States. *J Public Health Policy*. 1994;15(1):37–53.
38. Preusser DF, Williams AF. Sales of alcohol to underage purchasers in three New York counties and Washington, D.C. *J Public Health Policy*. 1992;13(3):306–17.
39. Barry AE, Johnson E, Rabre A, Darville G, Donovan KM, Efunbumi O. Underage access to online alcohol marketing content: a YouTube case study. *Alcohol Alcohol*. 2015;50(1):89–94.
40. Esser MB, Jernigan DH. Assessing restrictiveness of national alcohol marketing policies. *Alcohol Alcohol*. 2014;49(5):557–62.
41. Williams RS, Schmidt A. The sales and marketing practices of English-language internet alcohol vendors. *Addiction*. 2014;109(3):432–9.
42. Tanski SE, McClure AC, Li Z, et al. Cued recall of alcohol advertising on television and underage drinking behavior. *JAMA Pediatr*. 2015;169(3):264–71.
43. Ross CS, Maple E, Siegel M, et al. The relationship between population-level exposure to alcohol advertising on television and brand-specific consumption among underage youth in the US. *Alcohol Alcohol*. 2015;50(3):358–64.
44. Ross CS, Ostroff J, Siegel MB, DeJong W, Naimi TS, Jernigan DH. Youth alcohol brand consumption and exposure to brand advertising in magazines. *J Stud Alcohol Drugs*. 2014;75(4):615–22.
45. United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS (UNAIDS). Global report: UNAIDS report on the global AIDS epidemic 2012. UNAIDS.

46. Pokrovskiy V. HIV epidemic in Russia and neighbouring countries. *J Int AIDS Soc.* 2014;17(4 Suppl 3):19502.
47. Abdala N, Hansen NB, Toussova OV, et al. Correlates of unprotected sexual intercourse among women who inject drugs or who have sexual partners who inject drugs in St Petersburg, Russia. *J Fam Plann Reprod Health Care.* 2013;39(3):179–85.
48. Mills HL, White E, Colijn C, Vickerman P, Heimer R. HIV transmission from drug injectors to partners who do not inject, and beyond: modelling the potential for a generalized heterosexual epidemic in St. Petersburg, Russia. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 2013;133(1):242–7.
49. Ministry of Health, People's Republic of China, Joint United Nations Program on HIV/AIDS, World Health Organization. 2011 estimates for the HIV/AIDS epidemic in China. <http://www.unaids.org.cn/pics/20130521161757.pdf>. Accessed 7 Jan 2015.
50. Chen Y, Li X, Zhang C, Hong Y, Zhou Y, Liu W. Alcohol use and sexual risks: use of the Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT) among female sex workers in China. *Health Care Women Int.* 2013;34(2):122–38.
51. Li Q, Li X, Stanton B. Alcohol use and sexual risk behaviors and outcomes in China: a literature review. *AIDS Behav.* 2010;14(6):1227–36.
52. UN AIDS. India: HIV and AIDS estimates 2013. <http://www.unaids.org/en/regionscountries/countries/india/>.
53. Nayak MB, Korch RA, Benegal V. Alcohol use, mental health, and HIV-related risk behaviors among adult men in Karnataka. *AIDS Behav.* 2010;14(Suppl 1):S61–73.
54. Singh SK, Schensul JJ, Gupta K, Maharana B, Kremelberg D, Berg M. Determinants of alcohol use, risky sexual behavior and sexual health problems among men in low income communities of Mumbai, India. *AIDS Behav.* 2010;14(Suppl 1):S48–60.
55. Yadav D, Chakrapani V, Goswami P, et al. Association between alcohol use and HIV-related sexual risk behaviors among men who have sex with men (MSM): findings from a multi-site bio-behavioral survey in India. *AIDS Behav.* 2014;18(7):1330–8.
56. Rizwan SA, Kant S, Goswami K, Rai SK, Misra P. Correlates of intention to use condom among male migrant factory workers in northern India. *J Clin Diagn Res.* 2014;8(8):JC05–8.
57. Heravian A, Solomon R, Krishnan G, et al. Alcohol consumption patterns and sexual risk behavior among female sex workers in two South Indian communities. *Int J Drug Policy.* 2012;23(6):498–504.
58. Samet JH, Pace CA, Cheng DM, et al. Alcohol use and sex risk behaviors among HIV-infected female sex workers (FSWs) and HIV-infected male clients of FSWs in India. *AIDS Behav.* 2010;14(Suppl 1):S74–83.
59. Peltzer K, Davids A, Njuho P. Alcohol use and problem drinking in South Africa: findings from a national population-based survey. *Afr J Psychiatry (Johannesbg).* 2011;14(1):30–7.
60. Scott-Sheldon LA, Carey KB, Carey MP, Cain D, Simbayi LC, Kalichman SC. Alcohol use disorder, contexts of alcohol use, and the risk of HIV transmission among South African male patrons of shebeens. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 2014;140:198–204.
61. Nkosi S, Rich EP, Morojele NK. Alcohol use, sexual relationship power, and unprotected sex among patrons in bars and taverns in rural areas of North West province, South Africa. *AIDS Behav.* 2014;18(11):2230–9.
62. Braithwaite RS, Nucifora KA, Kessler J, et al. Impact of interventions targeting unhealthy alcohol use in Kenya on HIV transmission and AIDS-related deaths. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res.* 2014;38(4):1059–67.
63. Vandepitte J, Weiss HA, Bukonya J, et al. Alcohol use, mycoplasma genitalium, and other STIs associated with HIV incidence among women at high risk in Kampala, Uganda. *Acquir Immune Defic Syndr.* 2013;62(1):119–26.
64. Fisher JC, Bang H, Kapiga SH. The association between HIV infection and alcohol use: a systematic review and meta-analysis of African studies. *Sex Transm Dis.* 2007;34(11):856–63.
65. Coldiron ME, Stephenson R, Chomba E, et al. The relationship between alcohol consumption and unprotected sex among known HIV-discordant couples in Rwanda and Zambia. *AIDS Behav.* 2008;12(4):594–603.
66. Kalichman SC, Simbayi LC, Cain D, Jooste S. Alcohol expectancies and risky drinking among men and women at high-risk for HIV infection in Cape Town South Africa. *Addict Behav.* 2007;32:2304–10.
67. Zablotska IB, Gray RH, Koenig MA, et al. Alcohol use, intimate partner violence, sexual coercion and HIV among women aged 15–24 in Rakai, Uganda. *AIDS Behav.* 2009;13(2):225–33.
68. Elder RW, Lawrence B, Ferguson A, et al. The effectiveness of tax policy interventions for reducing excessive alcohol consumption and related harms. *Am J Prev Med.* 2010;38(2):217–29.
69. Wagenaar AC, Salois MJ, Komro KA. Effects of beverage alcohol price and tax levels on drinking: a meta-analysis of 1003 estimates from 112 studies. *Addiction.* 2009;104(2):179–90.
70. Kuo M, Wechsler H, Greenberg P, Lee H. The marketing of alcohol to college students: the role of low prices and special promotions. *Am J Prev Med.* 2003;25:204–11.
71. Skog O-J. An experimental study of a change from over-the-counter to self service sales of alcoholic beverages in monopoly outlets. *J Stud Alcohol.* 2000;61:95–100.
72. Chaloupka FJ, Grossman M, Saffer H. The effects of price on the consequences of alcohol use and abuse. In: Galanter M, editor. *Recent developments in alcoholism: the consequences of alcoholism*, vol. 14. New York: Plenum Press; 1998. p. 331–46.
73. Maldonado-Molina MM, Wagenaar AC. Effects of alcohol taxes on alcohol-related mortality in Florida: time-series analyses from 1969 to 2004. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res.* 2010;34(11):1915–21.
74. Wagenaar AC, Tobler AL, Komro KA. Effects of alcohol tax and price policies on morbidity and mortality: a systematic review. *Am J Public Health.* 2010;100(11):2270–8.
75. Hollingworth W, Ebel BE, McCarty CA, Garrison MM, Christakis DA, Rivara FP. Prevention of deaths from harmful drinking in the United States: the potential effects of tax increases and advertising bans on young drinkers. *J Stud Alcohol.* 2006;67(2):300–8.
76. Chen MJ, Grube JW, Gruenewald PJ. Community alcohol outlet density and underage drinking. *Addiction.* 2010;105(2):270–8.
77. Popova S, Giesbrecht N, Bekmuradov D, Patra J. Hours and days of sale and density of alcohol outlets: impacts on alcohol consumption and damage: a systematic review. *Alcohol Alcohol.* 2009;44(5):500–16.
78. Mäkelä P, Osterberg E. Weakening of one more alcohol control pillar: a review of the effects of the alcohol tax cuts in Finland in 2004. *Addiction.* 2009;104(4):554–63.
79. Scribner R, Mason K, Theall K, et al. The contextual role of alcohol outlet density in college drinking. *J Stud Alcohol Drugs.* 2008;69(1):112–20.
80. Stockwell T, Zhao J, Macdonald S, et al. Impact on alcohol-related mortality of a rapid rise in the density of private liquor outlets in British Columbia: a local area multi-level analysis. *Addiction.* 2011;106(4):768–76.
81. Herttua K, Mäkelä P, Martikainen P. Changes in alcohol-related mortality and its socioeconomic differences after a large reduction in alcohol prices: a natural experiment based on register data. *Am J Epidemiol.* 2008;168(10):1110–8 **discussion 1126–31**.
82. Herttua K, Mäkelä P, Martikainen P. An evaluation of the impact of a large reduction in alcohol prices on alcohol-related

- and all-cause mortality: time series analysis of a population-based natural experiment. *Int J Epidemiol.* 2011;40(2):441–54.
83. Bloomfield K, Rossow I, Norstrom T. Changes in alcohol-related harm after alcohol policy changes in Denmark. *Eur Addict Res.* 2009;15(4):224–31.
 84. Hahn RA, Kuzara JL, Elder R, et al. Effectiveness of policies restricting hours of alcohol sales in preventing excessive alcohol consumption and related harms. *Am J Prev Med.* 2010;39(6):590–604.
 85. Wagenaar AC, Toomey TL. Effects of minimum drinking age laws: review and analyses of the literature from 1960 to 2000. *J Stud Alcohol Suppl.* 2002;14:206–25.
 86. Patrick ME, Maggs JL, Osgood DW. LateNight Penn State alcohol-free programming: students drink less on days they participate. *Prev Sci.* 2010;11(2):155–62.
 87. Paschall MJ, Grube JW, Kypri K. Alcohol control policies and alcohol consumption by youth: a multi-national study. *Addiction.* 2009;104(11):1849–55.
 88. Xuan Z, Blanchette JG, Nelson TF, et al. Youth drinking in the United States: relationships with alcohol policies and adult drinking. *Pediatrics.* 2015;136(1):18–27.
 89. Harris SK, Sherritt L, Van Hook S, Wechsler H, Knight JR. Alcohol policy enforcement and changes in student drinking rates in a statewide public college system: a follow-up study. *Subst Abuse Treat Prev Policy.* 2010;4(5):18.
 90. Wagenaar AC, Harwood EM, Silianoff C, Toomey TL. Measuring public policy: the case of beer keg registration laws. *Eval Program Plann.* 2005;28:359–67.
 91. Rammohan V, Hahn RA, Elder R, et al. Effects of dram shop liability and enhanced overservice law enforcement initiatives on excessive alcohol consumption and related harms: Two community guide systematic reviews. *Am J Prev Med.* 2011;41(3):334–43.
 92. Task Force on Community Preventive Services. Recommendations on maintaining limits on days and hours of sale of alcoholic beverages to prevent excessive alcohol consumption and related harms. *Am J Prev Med.* 2010;39(6):605–6.
 93. Miller T, Snowden C, Birckmayer J, Hendrie D. Retail alcohol monopolies, underage drinking, and youth impaired driving deaths. *Accid Anal Prev.* 2006;38(6):1162–7.
 94. Eaton NR, Thompson RG Jr, Hu MC, Goldstein RB, Saha TD, Hasin DS. Regularly drinking alcohol before sexual activity in a nationally representative sample: prevalence, sociodemographics, and associations with psychiatric and substance use disorders. *Am J Public Health.* 2015;105(7):1387–93.
 95. Choi NG, DiNitto DM, Marti CN. Treatment use, perceived need, and barriers to seeking treatment for substance abuse and mental health problems among older adults compared to younger adults. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 2014;1(145):113–20.
 96. Oser ML, McKellar J, Moos BS, Moos RH. Changes in ambivalence mediate the relation between entering treatment and change in alcohol use and problems. *Addict Behav.* 2010;35(4):367–9.
 97. Keyes KM, Hatzenbuehler ML, McLaughlin KA, et al. Stigma and treatment for alcohol disorders in the United States. *Am J Epidemiol.* 2010;172(12):1364–72.
 98. Cunningham JA, Sobell LC, Sobell MB, Agrawal S, Toneatto T. Barriers to treatment: why alcohol and drug abusers delay or never seek treatment. *Addict Behav.* 1993;18(3):347–53.
 99. Smith L. Help seeking in alcohol-dependent females. *Alcohol Alcohol.* 1992;27(1):3–9.
 100. Grant BF, Goldstein RB, Saha TD, et al. Epidemiology of DSM-5 alcohol use disorder: results from the National Epidemiologic Survey on alcohol and related conditions III. *JAMA Psychiatry.* 2015;72(8):757–66.
 101. Cohen E, Feinn R, Arias A, Kranzler HR. Alcohol treatment utilization: findings from the National Epidemiologic Survey on alcohol and related conditions. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 2007;86(2–3):214–21.
 102. Dawson DA, Grant BF, Stinson FS, Chou PS. Estimating the effect of help-seeking on achieving recovery from alcohol dependence. *Addiction.* 2006;101(6):824–34.
 103. Dawson DA, Goldstein RB, Grant BF. Rates and correlates of relapse among individuals in remission from DSM-IV alcohol dependence: a 3-year follow-up. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res.* 2007;31(12):2036–45.
 104. Moos RH, Moos BS. Rates and predictors of relapse after natural and treated remission from alcohol use disorders. *Addiction.* 2006;101(2):212–22.
 105. Britton A, Ben-Shlomo Y, Benzeval M, Kuh D, Bell S. Life course trajectories of alcohol consumption in the United Kingdom using longitudinal data from nine cohort studies. *BMC Med.* 2015;6(13):47.
 106. Bertholet N, Cheng DM, Samet JH, Quinn E, Saitz R. Alcohol consumption patterns in HIV-infected adults with alcohol problems. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 2010;112(1–2):160–3.
 107. Moos RH, Brennan PL, Schutte KK, Moos BS. Older adults' health and late-life drinking patterns: a 20-year perspective. *Aging Ment Health.* 2010;14(1):33–43.
 108. Dawson DA, Stinson FS, Chou SP, Grant BF. Three-year changes in adult risk drinking behavior in relation to the course of alcohol-use disorders. *J Stud Alcohol Drugs.* 2008;69(6):866–77.
 109. Samet JH, Raj A, Cheng DM, et al. HERMITAGE—a randomized controlled trial to reduce sexually transmitted infections and HIV risk behaviors among HIV-infected Russian drinkers. *Addiction.* 2015;110(1):80–90.
 110. Townsend L, Mathews C, Zembe Y. A systematic review of behavioral interventions to prevent HIV infection and transmission among heterosexual, adult men in low-and middle-income countries. *Prev Sci.* 2013;14(1):88–105.
 111. Samet JH, Walley AY. Interventions targeting HIV-infected risky drinkers: drops in the bottle. *Alcohol Res Health.* 2010;33(3):267–79.
 112. Kalichman SC, Simbayi LC, Vermaak R, et al. Randomized trial of a community-based alcohol-related HIV risk-reduction intervention for men and women in Cape Town South Africa. *Ann Behav Med.* 2008;36(3):270–9.
 113. Coffin PO, Santos GM, Colfax G, et al. Adapted personalized cognitive counseling for episodic substance-using men who have sex with men: a randomized controlled trial. *AIDS Behav.* 2014;18(7):1390–400.
 114. Coates TJ, Richter L, Caceres C. Behavioural strategies to reduce HIV transmission: how to make them work better. *Lancet.* 2008;372(9639):669–84.
 115. Higa DH, Crepaz N, Marshall KJ, et al. A systematic review to identify challenges of demonstrating efficacy of HIV behavioral interventions for gay, bisexual, and other men who have sex with men (MSM). *AIDS Behav.* 2013;17(4):1231–44.
 116. Wray TB, Grin B, Dorfman L, et al. Systematic review of interventions to reduce problematic alcohol use in men who have sex with men. *Drug Alcohol Rev.* 2015. doi:10.1111/dar.12271.
 117. U.S. National Institutes of Health, Office of Disease Prevention (<https://prevention.nih.gov/>).
 118. Noar SM. An interventionist's guide to AIDS behavioral theories. *AIDS Care.* 2007;19(3):392–402.
 119. Fisher JD, Fisher WA. Changing AIDS-risk behavior. *Psychol Bull.* 1992;111(3):455–74.
 120. Pitpitan EV, Kalichman SC, Garcia RL, Cain D, Eaton LA, Simbayi LC. Mediators of behavior change resulting from a

- sexual risk reduction intervention for STI patients, Cape Town, South Africa. *J Behav Med.* 2015;38(2):194–203.
121. U.S. National Institutes of Health, Office of AIDS Research. FY 2014 Trans-NIH Plan for HIV-Related Research. (http://www.oar.nih.gov/strategicplan/fy2014/pdf/FY2014_reducing_infections.pdf).
 122. Cohen MS, Chen YQ, McCauley M, et al. Prevention of HIV-1 infection with early antiretroviral therapy. *N Engl J Med.* 2011;365(6):493–505.
 123. Coates TJ. An expanded behavioral paradigm for prevention and treatment of HIV-1 infection. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr.* 2013;63(Suppl 2):S179–82.
 124. Vermund SH, Hayes RJ. Combination prevention: new hope for stopping the epidemic. *Curr HIV/AIDS Rep.* 2013;10(2):169–86.
 125. Padian NS, McCoy SI, Karim SS, et al. HIV prevention transformed: the new prevention research agenda. *Lancet.* 2011;378(9787):269–78.
 126. McDaid LM, Hart GJ. Sexual risk behaviour for transmission of HIV in men who have sex with men: recent findings and potential interventions. *Curr Opin HIV AIDS.* 2010;5(4):311–5.
 127. Yang C, Linas B, Kirk G, et al. Feasibility and acceptability of smartphone-based ecological momentary assessment of alcohol use among African American men who have sex with men in Baltimore. *JMIR Mhealth Uhealth.* 2015;3(2):e67.
 128. McClernon FJ, Roy Choudhury R. I am your smartphone, and I know you are about to smoke: the application of mobile sensing and computing approaches to smoking research and treatment. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2013;15(10):1651–4.
 129. Gustafson DH, Boyle MG, Shaw BR, et al. An e-health solution for people with alcohol problems. *Alcohol Res Health.* 2011;33(4):327–37.
 130. Stone AA, Shiffman S. Ecological momentary assessment: measuring real world processes in behavioral medicine. *Ann Behav Med.* 1994;16:199–202.
 131. Bernhardt JM, Usdan S, Mays D, Martin R, Cremeens J, Arriola KJ. Alcohol assessment among college students using wireless mobile technology. *J Stud Alcohol Drugs.* 2009;70(5):771–5.
 132. Bernhardt JM, Usdan S, Mays D, et al. Alcohol assessment using wireless handheld computers: a pilot study. *Addict Behav.* 2007;32(12):3065–70.
 133. Collins RL, Morsheimer ET, Shiffman S, Paty JA, Gnys M, Papandonatos GD. Ecological momentary assessment in a behavioral drinking moderation training program. *Exp Clin Psychopharmacol.* 1998;6(3):306–15.
 134. Suffoletto B, Calabria J, Ross A, Callaway C, Yealy DM. A mobile phone text message program to measure oral antibiotic use and provide feedback on adherence to patients discharged from the emergency department. *Acad Emerg Med.* 2012;19(8):949–58.
 135. Andersson C, Söderpalm Gordh AH, Berglund M. Use of real-time interactive voice response in a study of stress and alcohol consumption. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res.* 2007;31(11):1908–12.
 136. Leffingwell TR, Cooney NJ, Murphy JG, et al. Continuous objective monitoring of alcohol use: twenty-first century measurement using transdermal sensors. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res.* 2013;37(1):16–22.
 137. Kennedy AP, Epstein DH, Jobs ML, et al. Continuous in-the-field measurement of heart rate: correlates of drug use, craving, stress, and mood in polydrug users. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 2015;1(151):159–66.
 138. Atienza AA, King AC, Oliveira BM, Ahn DK, Gardner CD. Using hand-held computer technologies to improve dietary intake. *Am J Prev Med.* 2008;34(6):514–8.
 139. King AC, Ahn DK, Oliveira BM, Atienza AA, Castro CM, Gardner CD. Promoting physical activity through hand-held computer technology. *Am J Prev Med.* 2008;34(2):138–42.
 140. Yoon K-H, Kim H-S. A short message service by cellular phone in type 2 diabetic patients for 12 months. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract.* 2008;79:256–61.
 141. Kwon HS, Cho JH, Kim HS, et al. Development of web-based diabetic patient management system using short message service (SMS). *Diabetes Res Clin Pract.* 2004;66(Suppl 1):S133–7.
 142. Free C, Knight R, Robertson S, et al. Smoking cessation support delivered via mobile phone text messaging (txt2stop): a single-blind, randomised trial. *Lancet.* 2011;378(9785):49–55.
 143. Riley W, Obermayer J, Jean-Mary J. Internet and mobile phone text messaging intervention for college smokers. *J Am Coll Health.* 2008;57(2):245–8.
 144. Rodgers A, Corbett T, Bramley D, et al. Do u smoke after txt? Results of a randomised trial of smoking cessation using mobile phone text messaging. *Tob Control.* 2005;14(4):255–61.
 145. Patrick K, Raab F, Adams MA, et al. A text message-based intervention for weight loss: randomized controlled trial. *J Med Internet Res.* 2009;11(1):e1.
 146. Joo NS, Kim BT. Mobile phone short message service messaging for behaviour modification in a community-based weight control programme in Korea. *J Telemed Telecare.* 2007;13(8):416–20.
 147. Muessig KE, Nekkanti M, Bauermeister J, Bull S, Hightow-Weidman LB. A systematic review of recent smartphone, internet and web 2.0 interventions to address the HIV continuum of care. *Curr HIV/AIDS Rep.* 2015;12(1):173–90.
 148. Muessig KE, Baltierra NB, Pike EC, LeGrand S, Hightow-Weidman LB. Achieving HIV risk reduction through HealthMpowerment.org, a user-driven eHealth intervention for young black men who have sex with men and transgender women who have sex with men. *Digit Cult Educ.* 2014;6(3):164–82.
 149. Zetola NM, Modongo C, Olabiyi B, Ramogola-Masire D, Collman RG, Chao LW. Examining the relationship between alcohol use and high-risk sex practices in a population of women with high HIV incidence despite high levels of HIV-related knowledge. *Sex Transm Infect.* 2014;90(3):216–22.
 150. Hensel DJ, Stupiansky NW, Orr DP, Fortenberry JD. Event-level marijuana use, alcohol use, and condom use among adolescent women. *Sex Transm Dis.* 2011;38(3):239–43.
 151. Shuper PA, Neuman M, Kanteres F, Baliunas D, Joharchi N, Rehm J. Causal considerations on alcohol and HIV/AIDS—a systematic review. *Alcohol Alcohol.* 2010;45(2):159–66.
 152. Weinhardt LS, Carey MP. Does alcohol lead to sexual risk behavior? findings from event-level research. *Annu Rev Sex Res.* 2000;11:125–57.
 153. Fortenberry JD, Orr DP, Brizendine EJ, Blythe MJ. Sex under the influence: a diary self-report study of substance use and sexual behavior among adolescent women. *Sex Transm Dis.* 1997;24(6):313–9.
 154. Gilmore AK, Schacht RL, George WH, et al. Assessing women's sexual arousal in the context of sexual assault history and acute alcohol intoxication. *J Sex Med.* 2010;7(6):2112–9.
 155. Schacht RL, George WH, Heiman JR, et al. Effects of alcohol intoxication and instructional set on women's sexual arousal vary based on sexual abuse history. *Arch Sex Behav.* 2007;36(5):655–65.
 156. Balán IC, Barreda V, Marone R, Avila MM, Carballo-Diéguez A. Venues, patrons, and alcohol use dynamics: the creation of a high risk sexual environment. *AIDS Behav.* 2014;18(11):2097–109.

157. Bersamin MM, Paschall MJ, Saltz RF, Zamboanga BL. Young adults and casual sex: the relevance of college drinking settings. *J Sex Res.* 2012;49(2–3):274–81.
158. Pedersen ER, Lee CM, Larimer ME, Neighbors C. Gender and dating relationship status moderate the association between alcohol use and sex-related alcohol expectancies. *Addict Behav.* 2009;34(9):786–9.
159. Hendershot CS, Stoner SA, George WH, Norris J. Alcohol use, expectancies, and sexual sensation seeking as correlates of HIV risk behavior in heterosexual young adults. *Psychol Addict Behav.* 2007;21(3):365–72.
160. Noel NE, Daniels KA, Ogle RL, et al. Women's drinking decisions in sexually risky situations: effects of a low level of intoxication. *Addict Behav.* 2015;47:61–5.
161. Staras SA, Maldonado-Molina MM, Livingston MD, Komro KA. Association between sex partner meeting venues and sexual risk taking among urban adolescents. *J Adolesc Health.* 2012;51(6):566–71.
162. Kiene SM, Barta WD, Tennen H, Armeli S. Alcohol, helping young adults to have unprotected sex with casual partners: findings from a daily diary study of alcohol use and sexual behavior. *J Adolesc Health.* 2009;44(1):73–80.
163. Golub SA, Starks TJ, Kowalczyk WJ, Thompson LI, Parsons JT. Profiles of executive functioning: associations with substance dependence and risky sexual behavior. *Psychol Addict Behav.* 2012;26(4):895–905.
164. Malow RM, Dévieux JG, Stein JA, et al. Neurological function, information-motivation-behavioral skills factors, and risk behaviors among HIV-positive alcohol users. *AIDS Behav.* 2012;16(8):2297–308.
165. Hayaki J, Anderson BJ, Stein MD. Sexual risk-taking mediates the association between impulsivity and acquisition of sexually transmitted infections among hazardously drinking incarcerated women. *Am J Addict.* 2012;21(Suppl 1):S63–71.
166. Hayaki J, Anderson B, Stein M. Sexual risk behaviors among substance users: relationship to impulsivity. *Psychol Addict Behav.* 2006;20(3):328–32.
167. Newcomb ME, Clerkin EM, Mustanski B. Sensation seeking moderates the effects of alcohol and drug use prior to sex on sexual risk in young men who have sex with men. *AIDS Behav.* 2011;15(3):565–75.
168. Kahler CW, Metrik J, Spillane NS, et al. Acute effects of low and high dose alcohol on smoking lapse behavior in a laboratory analogue task. *Psychopharmacology.* 2014;231(24):4649–57.
169. George WH, Davis KC, Heiman JR, et al. Women's sexual arousal: effects of high alcohol dosages and self-control instructions. *Horm Behav.* 2011;59(5):730–8.
170. Barta WD, Portnoy DB, Kiene SM, Tennen H, Abu-Hasaballah KS, Ferrer R. A daily process investigation of alcohol-involved sexual risk behavior among economically disadvantaged problem drinkers living with HIV/AIDS. *AIDS Behav.* 2008;12(5):729–40.
171. Davis KC, George WH, Norris J, et al. Effects of alcohol and blood alcohol concentration limb on sexual risk-taking intentions. *J Stud Alcohol Drugs.* 2009;70(4):499–507.
172. Kruse MI, Fromme K. Influence of physical attractiveness and alcohol on men's perceptions of potential sexual partners and sexual behavior intentions. *Exp Clin Psychopharmacol.* 2005;13(2):146–56.
173. Steele CM, Josephs RA. Alcohol myopia: its prized and dangerous effects. *Am Psychol.* 1990;45(8):921–33.
174. MacDonald TK, MacDonald G, Zanna MP, Fong GT. Alcohol, sexual arousal, and intentions to use condoms in young men: applying alcohol myopia theory to risky sexual behavior. *Health Psychol.* 2000;19(3):290–8.
175. Maisto SA, Palfai T, Vanable PA, Heath J, Woolf-King SE. The effects of alcohol and sexual arousal on determinants of sexual risk in men who have sex with men. *Arch Sex Behav.* 2012;41(4):971–86.
176. George WH, Davis KC, Norris J, et al. Indirect effects of acute alcohol intoxication on sexual risk-taking: the roles of subjective and physiological sexual arousal. *Arch Sex Behav.* 2009;38(4):498–513.
177. Santos GM, Jin H, Raymond HF. Pervasive heavy alcohol use and correlates of increasing levels of binge drinking among men who have sex with men, San Francisco, 2011. *J Urban Health.* 2015;92(4):687–700.
178. Sander PM, Cole SR, Stall RD, et al. Joint effects of alcohol consumption and high-risk sexual behavior on HIV seroconversion among men who have sex with men. *AIDS.* 2013;27(5):815–23.
179. Reisner SL, Mimiaga MJ, Bland S, et al. Problematic alcohol use and HIV risk among Black men who have sex with men in Massachusetts. *AIDS Care.* 2010;22(5):577–87.
180. Li Q, Li X, Stanton B. Alcohol use among female sex workers and male clients: an integrative review of global literature. *Alcohol Alcohol.* 2010;45(2):188–99.
181. Goodman-Meza D, Pitpitan EV, Semple SJ, et al. Hazardous drinking and HIV-risk-related behavior among male clients of female sex workers in Tijuana, Mexico. *Am J Addict.* 2014;23(5):502–9.
182. Hutton HE, McCaul ME, Chander G, et al. Alcohol use, anal sex, and other risky sexual behaviors among HIV-infected women and men. *AIDS Behav.* 2013;17(5):1694–704.
183. Baral SD, Poteat T, Strömdahl S, Wirtz AL, Guadamuz TE, Beyrer C. Worldwide burden of HIV in transgender women: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2013;13(3):214–22.
184. Binswanger IA, Mueller SR, Beaty BL, Min SJ, Corsi KF. Gender and risk behaviors for HIV and sexually transmitted infections among recently released inmates: a prospective cohort study. *AIDS Care.* 2014;26(7):872–81.
185. Swartzendruber A, Brown JL, Sales JM, Murray CC, DiClemente RJ. Sexually transmitted infections, sexual risk behavior, and intimate partner violence among African American adolescent females with a male sex partner recently released from incarceration. *J Adolesc Health.* 2012;51(2):156–63.
186. Cooper ML. Alcohol use and risky sexual behavior among college students and youth: evaluating the evidence. *J Stud Alcohol Suppl.* 2002;14:101–17.
187. Maisto SA, Carey MP, Carey KB, Gordon CM. The effects of alcohol and expectancies on risk perception and behavioral skills relevant to safer sex among heterosexual young adult women. *J Stud Alcohol.* 2002;63:476–85.
188. Fromme K, D'Amico EJ, Katz EC. Intoxicated sexual risk taking: an expectancy or cognitive impairment explanation? *J Stud Alcohol.* 1999;60(1):54–63.
189. Dermen KH, Cooper ML, Agocha VB. Sex-related alcohol expectancies as moderators of the relationship between alcohol use and risky sex in adolescents. *J Stud Alcohol.* 1998;59(1):71–7.
190. Davis KC, Hendershot CS, George WH, Norris J, Heiman JR. Alcohol's effects on sexual decision making: an integration of alcohol myopia and individual differences. *J Stud Alcohol Drugs.* 2007;68(6):843–51.
191. Morris AB, Albery IP. Alcohol consumption and HIV risk behaviours: integrating the theories of alcohol myopia and outcome expectancies. *Addict Res Theory.* 2001;9:73–86.
192. Cooper ML. Toward a person x situation model of sexual risk-taking behaviors: illuminating the conditional effects of traits

- across sexual situations and relationship contexts. *Pers, Soc Psychol.* 2010;98(2):319–41.
193. Lieberman MD. Social cognitive neuroscience: a review of core processes. *Annu Rev Psychol.* 2007;58:259–89.
 194. Wiers RW, Bartholow BD, van den Wildenberg E, et al. Automatic and controlled processes and the development of addictive behaviors in adolescents: a review and a model. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav.* 2007;86(2):263–83.
 195. Evans JS. In two minds: dual-process accounts of reasoning. *Trends Cogn Sci.* 2003;7(10):454–9.
 196. Abbey A, Wegner R. Using experimental paradigms to examine alcohol's role in men's sexual aggression: opportunities and challenges in proxy development. *Violence Against Women.* 2015;21(8):975–96.
 197. Cooper ML, O'Hara RE, Martins J. Does drinking improve the quality of sexual experience? sex-specific alcohol expectancies and subjective experience on drinking versus sober sexual occasions. In this issue.
 198. Scott-Sheldon LA, Carey KB, Cunningham K, Johnson BT, Carey MP; MASH Research Team. Alcohol use predicts sexual decision-making: a systematic review and meta-analysis of the experimental literature. In this issue.
 199. Kiene S, Leickness S, Abrams A, Cloete A. Alcohol expectancies and inhibition conflict as moderators of the alcohol-unprotected sex relationship: event-level findings from a daily diary study among individuals living with HIV in Cape Town, South Africa. In this issue.
 200. Feldstein Ewing S, Ryman SG, Gillman A, Weiland B, Thayer RE, Bryan AD. Developmental cognitive neuroscience of adolescent sexual risk and alcohol use. In this issue.
 201. Rosenberg M, Pettifor A, Van Rie A, et al. The relationship between alcohol outlets, HIV risk behavior, and HSV-2 infection among South African young women: a cross-sectional study. *PLoS One.* 2015;10(5):e0125510.
 202. Zhang C, Li X, Hong Y, et al. Pro-alcohol-use social environment and alcohol use among female sex workers in China: beyond the effects of serving alcohol. *World Health Popul.* 2012;13(4):15–27.
 203. Yamanis TJ, Maman S, Mbwambo JK, Earp JA, Kajula LJ. Social venues that protect against and promote HIV risk for young men in Dar es Salaam, Tanzania. *Soc Sci Med.* 2010;71(9):1601–9.
 204. Goldenberg SM, Strathdee SA, Gallardo M, et al. How important are venue-based HIV risks among male clients of female sex workers? a mixed methods analysis of the risk environment in nightlife venues in Tijuana, Mexico. *Health Place.* 2011;17(3):748–56.
 205. Grov C, Hirshfield S, Remien RH, Humberstone M, Chiasson MA. Exploring the venue's role in risky sexual behavior among gay and bisexual men: an event-level analysis from a national online survey in the U.S. *Arch Sex Behav.* 2013;42(2):291–302.
 206. Staras SA, Livingston MD, Maldonado-Molina MM, Komro KA. The influence of sexual partner on condom use among urban adolescents. *J Adolesc Health.* 2013;53(6):742–8.
 207. Pitpitan EV, Wagner KD, Goodman-Meza D, et al. Context matters: the moderating role of bar context in the association between substance use during sex and condom use among male clients of female sex workers in Tijuana, Mexico. *AIDS Behav.* 2013;17(8):2577–87.
 208. Mair C, Ponicki WR, Gruenewald PJ. Reducing risky sex among college students: prospects for context-specific interventions. In this issue.
 209. Pitpitan EV, Kalichman SC. Reducing HIV risks in the places where people drink: prevention interventions in alcohol venues. In this issue.
 210. Andersson N, Cockcroft A, Shea B. Gender-based violence and HIV: relevance for HIV prevention in hyperendemic countries of southern Africa. *AIDS.* 2008;22(Suppl 4):S73–86.
 211. The United States President's Emergency Plan for AIDS Relief (PEPFAR). (<http://www.pepfar.gov/>).
 212. World Health Organization, UN AIDS. 16 ideas for addressing violence against women in the context of the HIV epidemic: a programming tool. World Health Organization, 2013.
 213. World Health Organization, UN AIDS. Addressing violence against women and HIV/AIDS: What works? World Health Organization, 2010.
 214. U.S. Federal Working Group on the Intersection of HIV/AIDS, Violence against Women and Girls, and Gender-Related Health Disparities. Addressing the intersection of HIV/AIDS, violence against women and girls, & gender-related health disparities: interagency federal working group report. September 2013. (https://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/docs/vaw-hiv_working_group_report_final_-_9-6-2013.pdf).
 215. Li Y, Marshall CM, Rees HC, Nunez A, Ezeanolue EE, Ehiri JE. Intimate partner violence and HIV infection among women: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Int AIDS Soc.* 2014;13(17):18845.
 216. Stockman JK, Lucea MB, Campbell JC. Forced sexual initiation, sexual intimate partner violence and HIV risk in women: a global review of the literature. *AIDS Behav.* 2013;17(3):832–47.
 217. Durevall D, Lindskog A. Intimate partner violence and HIV in ten sub-Saharan African countries: what do the Demographic and Health Surveys tell us? *Lancet Glob Health.* 2015;3(1):e34–43.
 218. Buller AM, Devries KM, Howard LM, Bacchus LJ. Associations between intimate partner violence and health among men who have sex with men: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS Med.* 2014;11(3):e1001609.
 219. Breiding MJ, Basile KC, Smith SG, Black MC, Mahendra RR. Intimate partner violence surveillance: uniform definitions and recommended data elements, version 2.0. Atlanta: National Center for Injury Prevention and Control, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2015.
 220. World Health Organization, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, South African Medical Research Council. Global and regional estimates of violence against women: prevalence and health effects of intimate partner violence and non-partner sexual violence. Geneva: WHO; 2013.
 221. Black MC, Basile KC, Breiding MJ, et al. The National Intimate Partner and Sexual Violence Survey (NISVS): 2010 summary report. Atlanta: US Department of Health and Human Services, CDC, National Center for Injury Prevention and Control; 2011.
 222. Walters ML, Chen J, Breiding MJ. The National Intimate Partner and Sexual Violence Survey (NISVS): 2010 Findings on Victimization by Sexual Orientation. Atlanta: National Center for Injury Prevention and Control, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2013.
 223. Basile KC, Saltzman LE. Sexual violence surveillance: uniform definitions and recommended data elements. Version 1.0. Atlanta: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Injury Prevention and Control; 2002.
 224. Maman S, Campbell J, Sweat MD, Gielen AC. The intersections of HIV and violence: directions for future research and interventions. *Soc Sci Med.* 2000;50(4):459–78.
 225. Klot JF, Auerbah JD, Veronese F, et al. Greentree white paper: sexual violence, genitoanal injury, and HIV: priorities for research, policy, and practice. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses.* 2012;28(11):1379–88.
 226. Campbell JC, Lucea MB, Stockman JK, Draughon JE. Forced sex and HIV risk in violent relationships. *Am J Reprod Immunol.* 2013;69(Suppl 1):41–4.

227. Pitpitan EV, Kalichman SC, Cain D, et al. Condom negotiation, HIV testing, and HIV risks among women from alcohol serving venues in Cape Town, South Africa. *PLoS One*. 2012; 7(10):e45631.
228. Swan H, O'Connell DJ. The impact of intimate partner violence on women's condom negotiation efficacy. *J Interpers Violence*. 2012;27(4):775–92.
229. Shamu S, Zarowsky C, Shefer T, Temmerman M, Abrahams N. Intimate partner violence after disclosure of HIV test results among pregnant women in Harare, Zimbabwe. *PLoS One*. 2014;9(10):e109447.
230. Stadler J, Delany-Moretlwe S, Palanee T, Rees H. Hidden harms: women's narratives of intimate partner violence in a microbicide trial, South Africa. *Soc Sci Med*. 2014;110:49–55.
231. Were E, Curran K, Delany-Moretlwe S, et al. A prospective study of frequency and correlates of intimate partner violence among African heterosexual HIV serodiscordant couples. *AIDS*. 2011;25(16):2009–18.
232. Lang DL, Sales JM, Salazar LF, et al. Rape victimization and high risk sexual behaviors: longitudinal study of African-American adolescent females. *West J Emerg Med*. 2011;12(3): 333–42.
233. Noll JG, Haralson KJ, Butler EM, Shenk CE. Childhood maltreatment, psychological dysregulation, and risky sexual behaviors in female adolescents. *J Pediatr Psychol*. 2011;36(7): 743–52.
234. Schacht RL, George WH, Davis KC, et al. Sexual abuse history, alcohol intoxication, and women's sexual risk behavior. *Arch Sex Behav*. 2010;39(4):898–906.
235. Senn TE, Carey MP, Venable PA. Childhood and adolescent sexual abuse and subsequent sexual risk behavior: evidence from controlled studies, methodological critique, and suggestions for research. *Clin Psychol Rev*. 2008;28(5):711–35.
236. Tsai AC, Wolfe WR, Kumbakumba E, et al. Prospective study of the mental health consequences of sexual violence among women living with HIV in rural Uganda. *J Interpers Violence*. 2015.
237. Burgos-Soto J, Orne-Gliemann J, Encrenaz G, et al. Intimate partner sexual and physical violence among women in Togo, West Africa: prevalence, associated factors, and the specific role of HIV infection. *Glob Health Action*. 2014;26(7):23456.
238. Siemieniuk RA, Krentz HB, Miller P, Woodman K, Ko K, Gill MJ. The clinical implications of high rates of intimate partner violence against HIV-positive women. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2013;64(1):32–8.
239. Trimble DD, Nava A, McFarlane J. Intimate partner violence and antiretroviral adherence among women receiving care in an urban Southeastern Texas HIV clinic. *J Assoc Nurses AIDS Care*. 2013;24(4):331–40.
240. Walsh K, Keyes KM, Koenen KC, Hasin D. Lifetime prevalence of gender-based violence in US women: associations with mood/anxiety and substance use disorders. *J Psychiatr Res*. 2015; 62:7–13.
241. Santaularia J, Johnson M, Hart L, Haskett L, Welsh E, Faseru B. Relationships between sexual violence and chronic disease: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Public Health*. 2014;16(14):1286. doi:10.1186/1471-2458-14-1286.
242. Haller M, Chassin L. Risk pathways among traumatic stress, posttraumatic stress disorder symptoms, and alcohol and drug problems: a test of four hypotheses. *Psychol Addict Behav*. 2014;28(3):841–51.
243. Kaysen D, Atkins DC, Simpson TL, et al. Proximal relationships between PTSD symptoms and drinking among female college students: results from a daily monitoring study. *Psychol Addict Behav*. 2014;28(1):62–73.
244. Abler L, Sikkema KJ, Watt MH, et al. Traumatic stress and the mediating role of alcohol use on HIV-related sexual risk behavior: results from a longitudinal cohort of South African women who attend alcohol-serving venues. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2015;68(3):322–8.
245. George WH, Davis KC, Masters NT, et al. Partner pressure, victimization history, and alcohol: women's condom-decision abdication mediated by mood and anticipated negative partner reaction. In this issue.
246. Tjaden P, Thoennes N. Full report of the prevalence, incidence, and consequences of violence against women: findings from the National Violence Against Women Survey. Washington, DC: National Institute of Justice and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2000.
247. Abbey A. Alcohol's role in sexual violence perpetration: theoretical explanations, existing evidence and future directions. *Drug Alcohol Rev*. 2011;30:481–9.
248. Testa M. The impact of men's alcohol consumption on perpetration of sexual aggression. *Clin Psychol Rev*. 2002;22: 1239–63.
249. Krahé B, Berger A, Vanwesenbeeck I, et al. Prevalence and correlates of young people's sexual aggression perpetration and victimisation in 10 European countries: a multi-level analysis. *Cult Health Sex*. 2015;17(6):682–99.
250. Okuda M, Olfson M, Wang S, Rubio JM, Xu Y, Blanco C. Correlates of intimate partner violence perpetration: results from a National Epidemiologic Survey. *J Trauma Stress*. 2015; 28(1):49–56.
251. Davis KC, Schraufnagel TJ, George WH, Norris J. The use of alcohol and condoms during sexual assault. *Am J Mens Health*. 2008;2(3):281–90.
252. Davis KC, Kiekel PA, Schraufnagel TJ, Norris J, George WH, Kajumulo KF. Men's alcohol intoxication and condom use during sexual assault perpetration. *J Interpers Violence*. 2012; 27(14):2790–806.
253. Raj A, Kidd JD, Cheng DM, et al. Associations between partner violence perpetration and history of STI among HIV-infected substance using men in Russia. *AIDS Care*. 2013;25(5):646–51.
254. Decker MR, Seage GR 3rd, Hemenway D, et al. Intimate partner violence functions as both a risk marker and risk factor for women's HIV infection: findings from Indian husband-wife dyads. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2009;51(5):593–600.
255. Marx B, Gross A, Adams H. The effect of alcohol on the responses of sexually coercive and noncoercive men to an experimental rape analogue. *Sex Abuse*. 1999;11:131–45.
256. Abbey A. Alcohol-related sexual assault: a common problem among college students. *J Stud Alcohol Suppl*. 2002;(14): 118–128.
257. Abbey A, Zawacki T, McAuslan P. Alcohol's effects on sexual perception. *J Stud Alcohol*. 2000;61(5):688–97.
258. Abroms BD, Fillmore MT, Marczyński CA. Alcohol-induced impairment of behavioral control: effects on the alteration and suppression of prepotent responses. *J Stud Alcohol*. 2003; 64(5):687–95.
259. Abbey A, McAuslan P, Ross L. Sexual assault perpetration by college men: the role of alcohol, misperception of sexual intent, and sexual beliefs and experiences. *J Social Clin Psychol*. 1998;17:167–95.
260. Davis KC, Danube CL, Neilson EC, et al. Distal and proximal influences on men's intentions to resist condoms: alcohol, sexual aggression history, impulsivity, and social-cognitive Factors. In this issue.
261. Tsai AC, Subramanian SV. Proximate context of gender-unequal norms and women's HIV risk in sub-Saharan Africa. *AIDS*. 2012;26(3):381–6.

262. Jewkes RK, Dunkle K, Nduna M, Shai N. Intimate partner violence, relationship power inequity, and incidence of HIV infection in young women in South Africa: a cohort study. *Lancet*. 2010;376(9734):41–8.
263. Jewkes R, Morrell R. Gender and sexuality: emerging perspectives from the heterosexual epidemic in South Africa and implications for HIV risk and prevention. *J Int AIDS Soc*. 2010;9(13):6.
264. Hatcher AM, Colvin CJ, Ndlovu N, Dworkin SL. Intimate partner violence among rural South African men: alcohol use, sexual decision-making, and partner communication. *Cult Health Sex*. 2014;16(9):1023–39.
265. Kim J, Ferrari G, Abramsky T, et al. Assessing the incremental effects of combining economic and health interventions: the IMAGE study in South Africa. *Bull World Health Organ*. 2009;87(11):824–32.
266. Abramsky T, Devries K, Kiss L, et al. Findings from the SASA! Study: a cluster randomized controlled trial to assess the impact of a community mobilization intervention to prevent violence against women and reduce HIV risk in Kampala, Uganda. *BMC Med*. 2014;31(12):122.
267. Jewkes R, Nduna M, Levin J, et al. Impact of Stepping Stones on incidence of HIV and HSV-2 and sexual behaviour in rural South Africa: cluster randomised controlled trial. *BMJ*. 2008;7:337.
268. Wagman JA, Gray RH, Campbell JC, et al. Effectiveness of an integrated intimate partner violence and HIV prevention intervention in Rakai, Uganda: analysis of an intervention in an existing cluster randomised cohort. *Lancet Glob Health*. 2015;3(1):e23–33.
269. Jewkes R, Gibbs A, Jama-Shai N, et al. Stepping Stones and Creating Futures intervention: shortened interrupted time series evaluation of a behavioural and structural health promotion and violence prevention intervention for young people in informal settlements in Durban, South Africa. *BMC Public Health*. 2014;29(14):1325.
270. Maisto SA, Simons S. Research on the effects of alcohol and sexual arousal in men who have sex with men: implications for HIV prevention interventions. In this issue.
271. Shuper PA, Joharchi N, Rehm J. Protocol for a controlled experiment to identify the causal role of acute alcohol consumption in condomless sex among HIV-positive MSM: study procedures, ethical considerations, and implications for HIV prevention. In this issue.
272. MacDonald TK, McKenna C, Turner LC. The person and the partner: individual differences moderate the relationship between partner feedback and condom use. In this issue.
273. Collins LM, Kugler KC, Gwadz MV. Optimization of multi-component behavioral and biobehavioral interventions for prevention and treatment of HIV/AIDS. In this issue.
274. Krakower DS, Mayer KH. Pre-exposure prophylaxis to prevent HIV infection: current status, future opportunities and challenges. *Drugs*. 2015;75(3):243–51.
275. Cáceres CF, Koechlin F, Goicochea P, et al. The promises and challenges of pre-exposure prophylaxis as part of the emerging paradigm of combination HIV prevention. *J Int AIDS Soc*. 2015;18(4 Suppl 3):19949.
276. Mutchler MG, McDavitt B, Ghani MA, Nogg K, Winder TJ, Soto JK. Getting PrEPared for HIV prevention navigation: young black gay men talk about HIV prevention in the biomedical era. *AIDS Patient Care STDS*. 2015.
277. Spector AY, Remien RH, Tross S. PrEP in substance abuse treatment: a qualitative study of treatment provider perspectives. *Subst Abuse Treat Prev Policy*. 2015;8(10):1. doi:10.1186/1747-597X-10-1.