ORIGINAL PAPER



# Factors Associated with Prescription of Opioids and Co-prescription of Sedating Medications in Individuals with HIV

Jessica S. Merlin<sup>1,2</sup> · Ashutosh Tamhane<sup>1</sup> · Joanna L. Starrels<sup>3</sup> · Stefan Kertesz<sup>4,5</sup> · Michael Saag<sup>1</sup> · Karen Cropsey<sup>6</sup>

Published online: 20 October 2015 © Springer Science+Business Media New York 2015

**Abstract** Opioids are often prescribed for chronic pain, and opioid risks such as overdose and death are heightened when opioids are co-prescribed with other sedating medications. We investigated factors associated with chronic opioid prescription, alone and in combination with benzodiazepines and muscle relaxants, in a clinical cohort of individuals with HIV. We used multivariable logistic regression models to determine participant clinical and demographic characteristics that are associated with chronic prescription of opioids or chronic co-prescription of opioids with sedating medications. Among 1474 participants, chronic prescription of opioids occurred in 253 individuals (17.2 %), and chronic co-prescription occurred in 90 individuals (6.1 %). Age >50, public insurance as compared to private insurance, and symptoms of

**Electronic supplementary material** The online version of this article (doi:10.1007/s10461-015-1178-8) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

Jessica S. Merlin jmerlin@uab.edu

- <sup>1</sup> Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Medicine, University of Alabama at Birmingham, BBRB 222, 1530 3rd Ave S, Birmingham, AL 35294-2170, USA
- <sup>2</sup> Division of Gerontology, Geriatrics, and Palliative Care, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL, USA
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Medicine, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Bronx, NY, USA
- <sup>4</sup> Birmingham VA Medical Center, Birmingham, AL, USA
- <sup>5</sup> Division of Preventive Medicine, Department of Medicine, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL, USA
- <sup>6</sup> Department of Psychiatry, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL, USA

depression and anxiety were significantly associated with chronic opioid prescription and chronic co-prescription. Our findings raise concern that opioid prescription and co-prescription of sedating medications occurs disproportionately in patients for whom use is riskier.

**Keywords** HIV · Chronic pain · Opioid · Benzodiazepine · Muscle relaxant

# Introduction

Owing to highly effective and tolerable antiretroviral therapies, HIV has been transformed into a chronic disease with a near-normal life expectancy [1]. In the past 10 years, investigators have highlighted numerous comorbidities that are more common among individuals with HIV than among uninfected individuals, including cardiovascular disease and certain non-AIDS-defining malignancies [2]. This has also led to concerns about polypharmacy, which is common in this medically complex population [3]. Polypharmacy has been associated with adverse outcomes in the general population, with emerging data among individuals with HIV [3].

One important aspect of polypharmacy has been understudied among individuals with HIV: prescribing of opioids, alone and in combination with other sedating medications. This is of particular concern because of the dramatic rise in long-term opioid prescribing for chronic non-malignant pain in the population at large. Data from the National Ambulatory Medical Care Survey suggests that among individuals seeking care primarily for pain, the number of opioids prescribed almost doubled from 2000 to 2009 [4]. Additionally, chronic pain among individuals with HIV is reported more commonly than in the general population, with prevalence estimates ranging from 39 to 85 % [5–10]. Long-term opioids are prescribed at least as often [11] if not more often [12] in individuals with HIV than in individuals without HIV. This is problematic as there is little evidence of opioids' long-term efficacy [13], yet evidence as to the risk of overdose and death has been well-established [14–18].

Additionally, knowledge of the risks of chronic opioid therapy in combination with other commonly prescribed sedating medications is mounting. Dunn and colleagues found that among individuals on chronic opioid therapy, individuals who were co-prescribed sedative-hypnotic medications had nearly four times the risk of overdose [15]. These findings have been corroborated by several other groups [19–23]. Concurrent use of opioids and benzodi-azepines is particularly dangerous because both cause glutamate and gamma amino butyric acid (GABA)-mediated respiratory depression [24].

Given the limited utility and high risk of chronic opioid therapy, especially in combination with sedating medications, it is important to understand the factors that contribute to these patterns of prescribing. Few studies have examined this phenomenon in the general population, and it has not been systematically investigated among individuals with HIV in the modern HIV treatment and opioid prescribing era. We believe that it is critical to investigate this specifically among a contemporary cohort of individuals with HIV, as factors affecting opioid prescribing and sedative co-prescription may be different in this population. First, as chronic pain is more common in this population, opioid prescribing is likely to be more common. Given the burden of medical comorbidity in this population, however, it could be more risky. Additionally, HIV providers in the 1980s and 1990s who operated in a palliative care model where comfort is the primary goal may continue to be liberal prescribers of opioids [25]. Additionally, there is some evidence that HIV prescribers may be hesitant to taper patients on chronic opioid therapy for fear that the patients may stop coming to them for HIV care [26].

Therefore, our objective was to investigate patient demographic and clinical characteristics associated with chronic prescriptions for opioids, alone and in combination with other sedating medications, among individuals with HIV. We believe this study will be an important first step towards developing strategies to improve the safety of opioid prescribing in HIV care.

## Methods

This cross-sectional study was conducted using the University of Alabama at Birmingham (UAB) 1917 Clinic Cohort. This is a cohort of HIV-infected individuals receiving care at UAB's HIV Clinic. This clinic includes approximately 3000 patients, and draws from 63 of the 67 counties in Alabama, as well as the four surrounding states (Mississippi, Tennessee, Georgia, and Florida). Primary care providers are mostly infectious diseases-trained physicians and nurse practitioners. The majority (75 % according to a recent study from our clinic) receive primary care at 1917 [27]. Additionally, this clinic is a patient-centered medical home, and includes subspecialty care (e.g., nephrology, dermatology), social services, addiction counseling, a pharmacy, and a laboratory on-site.

Cohort participants who were at least 19 years of age, and who had Patient Reported Outcome (PRO) data within the year prior to July 29, 2012, were included in the analysis. This study was approved by UAB's Institutional Review Board.

### **Data Collection**

The 1917 Clinic Cohort routinely collects data on a variety of participant characteristics electronically at the point of care every 4–6 months. Demographic characteristics include age, gender, race, and insurance status. HIV clinical markers include CD4+ T cell count, HIV viral load, HIV transmission risk factor, and mortality. Other participant characteristics are assessed using PRO measures, which include validated assessments of depression and anxiety symptoms, and alcohol and other substance use. Prescribed medications are recorded in the electronic medical record. PRO data and prescription data are described in more detail below.

# Outcome Variables: Chronic Prescription of Opioids or Other Sedating Medications

Prescription data was obtained from the 1917 Clinic's electronic medical record. While medications written outside the clinic are not systematically included, providers are trained and encouraged to manually enter outside prescriptions into the electronic medical record. A comprehensive list of medications in each class was generated using the Drug Facts and Comparisons database (see Tables, Supplemental Electronic Content 1–3). We defined an opioid as any short or long-acting opioid, including combination pills (e.g., hydrocodone-acetaminophen) and tramadol. Methadone or buprenorphine for treatment of opioid dependence were not prescribed within the clinic during the study timeframe, and would only be included if the primary care provider specifically added them to the participant's medication list. Methadone prescribed for pain is not distinguished from methadone prescribed for opioid dependence, but the clinic does not have a methadone maintenance program for opioid dependence. We defined other sedating medications as any benzodiazepine or muscle relaxant. Chronic prescription of any of these substances was defined as prescription covering 90 or more consecutive days immediately prior to July 29, 2012. We defined chronic co-prescription as chronic prescription of an opioid plus chronic prescription of another sedating medication (benzodiazepine and/or a muscle relaxant) during the same period.

#### **Clinical and Demographic Characteristics**

The nearest PRO prior to July 29, 2012 was used in the analyses. All PRO measures were dichotomized as follows. Depressive symptoms were defined by a score of  $\geq 10$  on the PHQ-9, and anxiety symptoms were defined by anxiety/panic on the PHO anxiety module [28]. Given the high degree of clinical overlap between depression and anxiety, we also constructed a four-level variable consisting of both depression and anxiety; depression alone; anxiety alone; and neither. Pain was defined by moderate or severe pain "today" as reported on the EuroQOL [29]. Current substance use was defined by current use of any illicit drug other than marijuana on the Alcohol, Smoking, and Substance Involvement Screening Test (ASSIST) [30]. At-risk alcohol use was defined by a score of  $\geq 5$  for men or  $\geq 4$  for women on the Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT-C) [31].

#### Analysis

To describe use of opioids and sedating medications, we first conducted descriptive analysis with continuous variables presented as means and standard deviations (SDs), and categorical variables presented as frequencies and percentages. To determine whether participant characteristics were associated with the outcomes (chronic prescription of opioids or co-prescription of sedating medications), we conducted univariate and multivariable logistic regression analyses. Associations were expressed in terms of odds ratios (ORs) and corresponding 95 % confidence intervals (CIs). In multivariable analyses, the final model included the following clinically significant variables: demographics, HIV transmission risk factor, depression-anxiety, pain, alcohol (AUDIT-C) use, and current substance use (Alcohol, Smoking, and Substance Involvement Screening Test [30]). Model performance was examined using c-statistics, max-rescaled r-square and Hosmer-Lemeshow test for model fit. Multicollinearity of the independent factors was examined with variance inflation factor (VIF) by adjusting the linear combinations by the weight matrix used in the maximum likelihood algorithm; the VIF for all the factors was <3.4, indicating no multicollinearity [32]. Analyses were conducted using SAS statistical software (Cary, NC), version 9.3.

#### Results

Among 1474 participants, approximately one-third were over the age of 50 with a mean of 45.2 years (SD = 10.8). Most were male, nearly half were white, more than half were publicly insured or uninsured, and the vast majority had CD4+ T-cell counts  $\geq$ 200 cells/µL and an undetectable viral load (Table 1). Most (66.5 %) did not exceed the thresholds for significant symptoms of depression and/ or anxiety. Common comorbid medical conditions included diabetes (149, 10.1 %), cancer (148, 10.0 %), and cardiovascular disease other than myocardial infarction or stroke (74, 5.0 %), and a history of myocardial infarction (23, 1.6 %).

Chronic prescription of opioids occurred in 253 participants (17.2 % of the total cohort). One hundred sixty-three (64.4 % of opioid recipients, 11.1 % of the total cohort) were on chronic opioids only, and 90 (35.6 % of opioid recipients, 6.1 % of the total cohort) were co-prescribed an opioid plus a benzodiazepine and/or a muscle relaxant. Hydrocodone-acetaminophen and oxycodone were the most commonly prescribed opioids (125 [49 %] and 30 [12 %] of all opioid prescriptions, respectively); clonazepam and alprazolam were the most commonly prescribed benzodiazepines (87 [54 %] and 33 [20 %] of all benzodiazepine prescriptions, respectively); and cyclobenzaprine and tizanidine were the most commonly prescribed muscle relaxants (43 [49 %] and 15 [17 %] of all muscle relaxant prescriptions, respectively) (Table 2). For a complete list of medications investigated and frequencies of prescription, see Tables in Supplemental Electronic Content 1, 2, and 3. In the year prior to July 29, 2012, the following other medications were prescribed: acetaminophen (80, 5 %), non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (254, 17 %), and selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (406, 27.5 %).

Participant factors associated with chronic opioid prescription are shown in Table 3. In multivariable analyses, age >50 (OR 1.5, 95 % CI 1.1–2.1), public insurance as compared to private insurance (AOR 2.1, 95 % CI 1.5–3.1), symptoms of depression and anxiety (AOR 1.8, 95 % CI 1.1–2.8), and moderate or severe pain (AOR 7.6, 95 % CI 5.1–11.2) were significantly associated with chronic opioid prescription; at-risk alcohol use (AOR 0.6, 95 % CI 0.3–1.0) was protective. Current substance use was not associated with chronic opioid prescription.

Participant factors associated with chronic co-prescription of opioids with other sedating medications (benzodiazepines and/or muscle relaxants) are shown in Table 4. In multivariable analyses, female gender (AOR 1.8, 95 % CI 1.1–3.2), white race (AOR 4.1, 95 % CI 2.4–7.1), public insurance as compared to private insurance (AOR 1.9,

 Table 1
 Socio-demographic
 and
 clinical
 characteristics
 of
 study
 participants

 
 Table 2
 Chronic prescriptions of opioids, benzodiazepines, and muscle relaxants in the study-eligible HIV-infected patients attending the UAB 1917 clinic

Characteristic	N = 1474 n (%)
Age $\geq$ 50 years	512 (34.7)
Female	312 (21.2)
Race	
White	694 (47.1)
Black	757 (51.4)
Other/unknown	23 (1.5)
Health insurance	
Public	371 (25.2)
Uninsured	517 (35.1)
Private	586 (39.7)
CD4 $\geq$ 200 cells/µL	1305 (89.9)
Viral load ≥200 copies/mL	196 (13.4)
HIV transmission risk factor	
Injection drug use	123 (34.5)
Heterosexual	594 (34.5)
Men who have sex with men	832 (57.0)
Depression <sup>a</sup> and/or anxiety/panic <sup>b</sup>	
Both	132 (9.0)
Depressive symptoms only	94 (6.4)
Anxiety/Panic only	171 (11.6)
Unknown	97 (6.5)
Neither	980 (66.5)
Substance use (excluding Marijuana) <sup>c</sup>	
Current	105 (7.1)
Prior	413 (28.0)
Never	869 (59.0)
Unknown	87 (5.9)
Alcohol use <sup>d</sup>	
At risk	175 (11.9)
Unknown	92 (6.2)
No/low	1207 (81.9)
Pain <sup>e</sup>	
Yes (moderate/severe)	618 (41.9)
Unknown	67 (4.6)
No	789 (53.5)

Missing data: Race (n = 11), CD4 (n = 22), Viral load (n = 12), HIV transmission (n = 15)

<sup>a</sup> Patient Health Questionnaire-9 instrument (PHQ-9): score  $\geq 10$ 

<sup>b</sup> Patient Health Questionnaire-5 for Anxiety (PHQ-A) instrument

<sup>c</sup> Alcohol, Smoking, Substance Involvement Screening Test (ASSIST)

<sup>d</sup> Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT-C)

<sup>e</sup> EUROQOL health related quality of life instrument

Medication	N = 1474 n (%)
Opioid (O) only	163 (11.1)
Benzodiazepine (B) only	90 (6.1)
Muscle relaxant (M) only	31 (2.1)
O + B	45 (3.0)
O + M	29 (2.0)
O + B + M	16 (1.1)
B + M	11 (0.7)
None	1089 (73.9)

Chronic opioid prescription (any) = 163 + 45 + 29 + 16 = 253(17.2 %)

Chronic co-prescription of opioids with benzodiazepines and/or muscle relaxants

= 45 + 29 + 16 = 90 (6.1 %)

Prescription covering  $\geq$ 90 consecutive days immediately prior to July 2012

95 % CI 1.1–3.3), depression and anxiety (AOR 2.7, 95 % CI 1.4–5.0), and moderate to severe pain (AOR 9.1, 95 % CI 4.2–19.7) were significantly associated with chronic coprescription.

During the year following July 29, 2012, 11 cohort participants died. Seven were taking chronic opioids (2.8 % of the chronic opioid group), and 4 were not (0.3 % of that group) (p < 0.0001, Chi square test). Similarly, 3 were chronically co-prescribed opioids and another sedating medication (3.3 % of the co-prescribed group), and 8 were not (0.6 % of that group) (p = 0.03, Fisher's exact test).

# Discussion

This study is the first investigation of factors associated with chronic opioid prescription and co-prescription of opioids and other sedating medications among individuals with HIV in the modern HIV treatment era. We found that chronic opioid prescription and co-prescription with sedating medications were common; 17 % of participants were prescribed opioids, and of those, 36 % were co-prescribed benzodiazepines and/or muscle relaxants. Additionally, our results suggest that the patients at the most risk of adverse consequences of opioids, including those

Curronic option preception         Unadjusted of the analysis           Test         No         116         1231         No         Portante analysis           ens $116$ (45) $N = 1221$ 95 % C1) $95$ % C1) $P^{value}$ ens $116$ (45.8) $396$ (23.4) $13$ (1.2-2.3) $-0.001^{us}$ ens $137$ (54.2) $825$ (75.6) $1.0$ $0.02^{us}$ ens $137$ (54.2) $825$ (75.6) $1.0$ $0.02^{us}$ ens $137$ (54.2) $825$ (75.6) $1.0$ $0.02^{us}$ while* $137$ (54.2) $825$ (75.6) $1.0$ $0.02^{us}$ while* $119$ (72.2) $561$ (46.3) $1.10^{-1.77}$ $0.00^{us}$ while* $119$ (72.2) $561$ (46.3) $1.0^{-1.17}$ $0.00^{us}$ ensure $123$ (23.8) $561$ (46.3) $1.0^{-1.17}$ $0.00^{us}$ instrance $113$ (47.1) $232$ (24.4) $1.0^{-1.17}$ $0.00^{us}$ end $73$ (28.8) $120$ (10.0) $10^{-1.17}$ $0.01^{us}$ </th <th>Table 3 Univariate and multivariable analyses examining factors associated with chronic opioid prescription</th> <th>ariable analyses examini</th> <th>IIB Ideluis assuciated with u</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>	Table 3 Univariate and multivariable analyses examining factors associated with chronic opioid prescription	ariable analyses examini	IIB Ideluis assuciated with u				
Ves         No         Undipated CR         Porduction $n(\pi)$ $233$ No $(35\%, CI)$ $(35\%, CI)$ $(35\%, CI)$ $n(\pi)$ $10\%$ $(35\%, CI)$ $(35\%, CI)$ $(35\%, CI)$ $(35\%, CI)$ $n(\pi)$ $137(542)$ $325(75.6)$ $1.6$ $(1.2, 2.2)$ $(10, 1.2, 2.2)$ $n(\pi)$ $137(542)$ $235(75.6)$ $1.0$ $1.0$ $(10, 1.2, 2.2)$ $n(\pi)$ $137(542)$ $235(75.6)$ $1.0$ $1.0$ $(10, 2.2.9)$ $n(\pi)$ $137(542)$ $235(75.6)$ $1.0$ $1.0$ $0.02^{out}$ $n(\pi)$ $137(542)$ $235(75.6)$ $1.0$ $1.0$ $0.02^{out}$ $n(\pi)$ $137(542)$ $236(75.6)$ $1.0$ $1.0$ $0.02^{out}$ $n(\pi)$ $113(477)$ $236(35.7)$ $1.0$ $0.02^{out}$ $n(\pi)$ $113(477)$ $236(35.7)$ $1.0$ $0.06$ $n(\pi)$ $113(477)$ $236(35.7)$ $1.0$ $0.06$ $n(\pi)$ $113(4$	Factor	Chronic opioid pres	scription	Univariate analysis		Multivariable analysis <sup>a</sup>	St
etal         116 (45.8)         396 (32.4)         1.8 (1.3-2.3)         <1000000000000000000000000000000000000		Yes N = 253 n (%)	No N = 1221 n (%)	Unadjusted OR (95 % CI)	p-value	Adjusted OR (95 % CI)	p-value
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Age, years						
*         [17] (542)         825 (67.6)         1.0           at $67 (26.5)$ $245 (20.1)$ $14 (1.1-2.0)$ $0.02^{*+}$ $e^{*}$ $67 (26.5)$ $245 (20.1)$ $14 (1.1-2.0)$ $0.02^{*+}$ $e^{*}$ $133 (52.8)$ $561 (45.3)$ $1.0$ $0.06$ white* $13 (47.7)$ $288 (21.1)$ $34 (2.4.4.8)$ $0.06$ insurance $73 (28.8)$ $444 (36.4)$ $1.3 (0.9-1.8)$ $0.18$ insurance $13 (1.0.1.7)$ $23 (10.3)$ $1.0$ $0.01^{**}$ insurance $13 (47.7)$ $28 (71.0)$ $1.0$ $0.01^{**}$ insurance $13 (45.6)$ $1.0$ $1.0$ $0.01^{**}$ in the* $27 (10.8)$	≥50	116 (45.8)	396 (32.4)	1.8 (1.3–2.3)	<0.001**	1.5 (1.1–2.1)	$0.001^{**}$
rt $(7/25)$ $245$ (20.1) $14(11-20)$ $0.02^{6+6}$ $e^{-}$ $186$ (73.5) $245$ (20.1) $14(11-20)$ $0.02^{6+6}$ $e^{-}$ $186$ (73.5) $245$ (20.1) $14(11-20)$ $0.02^{6+6}$ white* $133$ (52.8) $561$ (46.3) $13(10-17)$ $0.06$ white* $119$ (47.2) $650$ (53.7) $10$ $0.06$ with at the stander $73$ (28.8) $444$ (64.4) $13$ (0.9-1.8) $0.01$ with at the stander $67$ (26.5) $100$ $0.066-1.4$ $0.18$ $0$ $27$ (10.8) $120$ (10.0) $10$ $0.01$ $0.71$ $0$ $0.10$ $0.20$ (10.0) $0.066-1.4$ $0.10$ $0.066-1.4$	<50*	137 (54.2)	825 (67.6)	1.0		1.0	
ale $67(26.5)$ $245(20.1)$ $1.4(1.1-2.0)$ $0.02^{4.6}$ $e^*$ $18(73.5)$ $976(79.9)$ $1.0$ $1.0$ $0.06$ . White* $13(5.3.7)$ $561(46.3)$ $1.3(1.0-1.7)$ $0.06$ . White* $19(47.2)$ $650(53.7)$ $1.0$ $0.06$ . White* $19(47.2)$ $550(46.3)$ $1.3(1.0-1.7)$ $0.06$ . Instructed $73(2.8)$ $540(46.3)$ $1.0$ $0.18$ . Instructed $73(2.8)$ $444(36.4)$ $1.3(0.9-1.8)$ $0.18$ . Instructed $73(2.8)$ $519(42.5)$ $1.0$ $0.18$ . Instructed $73(2.6.5)$ $519(42.5)$ $1.0$ $0.18$ . Instructed $73(2.6.5)$ $519(42.5)$ $1.0$ $0.39(-1.4)$ $0.71$ . Instructed $73(2.6.5)$ $120(10.0)$ $1.0$ $0.9(0.6-1.4)$ $0.71$ . Instructed $73(2.6.5)$ $120(10.0)$ $1.0$ $0.9(0.9-1.6)$ $0.09$ . Instructed $73(2.6.5)$ $1.0$ $0.9(0.9-1.6)$ $0.00$ . Instructed $73(2.6.5)$ $1.0$ $0.9(0.9-2.5)$ $0.09$ . Instructed $70(2.5)$ $12(0.8-2.5)$ $0.09$ . Instructed $70(2.5)$ $1.0$ $0.9(2.6.5)$ $1.0$ $0.09$ . Instructed $1.0$ $1.0$ $1.0$ $1.0$ $0.09$ . Instructed $1.0$ $1.0$ $1.0$ $1.0$ $0.09$ . Instructed $1.0$ $1.0$ $1.0$ $1.0$ $1.0$ $0.09$ . Instructed $1.10$ $1.07(1.2)$ $1.0(1.2)$ $1.0(1.0)$ $1.0$ $0.00$ . Instructed $1.0$ $1.07(1.2)$ $1.0(1.0)$ $1.0$ $0.00$ . Instructed $1.0$ $1.07(1.2)$ $1.0(1.0)$ $1.0$ $0.009$ . Instructed $1.0$ $1.07(1.0.5)$ $1.0(0.9-2.5)$ $0.009$ . Instructed $1.0$ $1.07(1.0.5)$ $1.0(0.9-2.5)$ $0.009$ . Instructed $1.0$ $1.07(1.0.7)$ $1.07(1.0)$ $1.0$	Gender						
$^{*}$ 186 (73.5)         976 (79.9)         1.0 $^{*}$ 133 (53.7)         561 (46.3)         1.3 (1.0-1.7)         0.06           .White*         133 (53.7)         561 (46.3)         1.3 (1.0-1.7)         0.06           .White*         133 (53.7)         561 (46.3)         1.3 (1.0-1.7)         0.06           .White*         133 (53.7)         268 (53.7)         1.0         0.06           .instruct         133 (54.7)         258 (21.1)         3.4 (2.4.4.8)             istruct         73 (28.8)         444 (56.4)         1.3 (0.9-1.8)         0.18           istruct         73 (28.9)         444 (56.4)         1.3 (0.9-1.8)         0.13           oells/µ1         27 (10.8)         120 (10.0)         10         0.06 (-0.14)         0.71 $^{*}$ 27 (10.8)         120 (10.0)         10         0.06 (-0.14)         0.71 $^{*}$ 27 (10.8)         128 (13.1)         12 (0.8-1.7)         0.39 $^{*}$ 24 (4.5)         13 (0.50 (-0.1.4)         0.71         0.71 $^{*}$ 24 (4.8)         128 (13.1)         12 (0.8-1.7)         0.31 $^{*}$ 24 (4.5)	Female	67 (26.5)	245 (20.1)	1.4(1.1-2.0)	$0.02^{**}$	1.2 (0.8–1.7)	0.40
te         133 (528)         561 (46.3)         1.3 (1.0-1.7)         0.06           White*         119 (47.2)         650 (53.7)         1.0         0.06           insurance         119 (47.2)         650 (53.7)         1.0         0.06           insurance         113 (44.7)         258 (21.1)         3.4 (2.4-4.8)         <0001**	Male*	186 (73.5)	976 (79.9)	1.0		1.0	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Race						
119 (472) $650 (53.7)$ 1.0113 (44.7) $258 (21.1)$ $3.4 (2.4.4.8)$ $<0.001^{**}$ 73 (28.8) $444 (36.4)$ $1.3 (0.9-1.8)$ $0.18$ 67 (26.5) $519 (42.5)$ $1.0$ $0.9 (0.6-1.4)$ $0.71$ 224 (892) $1081 (90.0)$ $0.9 (0.6-1.4)$ $0.71$ 27 (10.8) $120 (10.0)$ $1.0$ $0.9 (0.6-1.4)$ $0.71$ 27 (10.8) $120 (10.0)$ $1.0$ $0.9 (0.6-1.4)$ $0.71$ 214 (84.9) $126 (10.0)$ $1.0$ $0.9 (0.6-1.4)$ $0.71$ $214 (84.9)$ $1052 (86.9)$ $1.0$ $0.9 (0.6-1.4)$ $0.71$ $214 (84.9)$ $1052 (86.9)$ $1.0$ $0.9 (0.6-1.4)$ $0.71$ $214 (84.9)$ $1052 (86.9)$ $1.0$ $0.9 (0.6-1.4)$ $0.71$ $214 (84.9)$ $1052 (86.9)$ $1.0$ $0.9 (0.6-1.4)$ $0.71$ $214 (84.9)$ $1052 (86.9)$ $1.0$ $0.9 (0.6-1.4)$ $0.09$ $214 (84.9)$ $1052 (86.9)$ $1.0$ $0.9 (0.6-1.4)$ $0.09$ $214 (84.9)$ $1057 (85.9)$ $1.5 (0.9-2.5)$ $0.09$ $20 (79)$ $81 (6.6)$ $1.5 (0.9-2.5)$ $0.09$ $20 (82.6)$ $1073 (87.9)$ $1.0$ $0.71$ $21 (0.7)$ $87 (7.0)$ $3.8 (2.5-5.7)$ $<0.001^{**}$ $27 (107)$ $67 (5.5)$ $2.9 (1.8.4.6)$ $<0.001^{**}$ $25 (9.9)$ $72 (5.9)$ $2.5 (1.5.4.0)$ $<0.001^{**}$	White	133 (52.8)	561 (46.3)	1.3 (1.0–1.7)	0.06	1.2 (0.9–1.7)	0.18
113 (447) $258 (21.1)$ $3.4 (2.4.4.8)$ $<0.001^{**}$ 73 (28.8) $444 (36.4)$ $1.3 (0.9-1.8)$ $0.18$ 67 (26.5) $519 (42.5)$ $1.0$ $0.9 (0.6-1.4)$ $0.71$ 224 (892) $1081 (90.0)$ $0.9 (0.6-1.4)$ $0.71$ 27 (10.8) $120 (10.0)$ $1.0$ $0.9 (0.6-1.4)$ $0.71$ $27 (10.8)$ $120 (10.0)$ $1.0$ $0.9 (0.6-1.4)$ $0.71$ $27 (10.8)$ $120 (10.0)$ $1.0$ $0.9 (0.6-1.4)$ $0.71$ $214 (84.9)$ $1052 (86.9)$ $1.0$ $0.9 (0.6-1.7)$ $0.39$ $214 (84.9)$ $1052 (86.9)$ $1.0$ $0.9 (0.6-1.7)$ $0.39$ $214 (84.9)$ $1052 (86.9)$ $1.0$ $0.9 (0.6-1.7)$ $0.39$ $214 (84.9)$ $1052 (86.9)$ $1.0$ $0.9 (0.9-2.6)$ $0.09$ $20 (7.9)$ $67 (5.5)$ $1.5 (0.9-2.6)$ $0.01$ $20 (82.6)$ $1073 (87.9)$ $1.0$ $0.38 (2.5-5.7)$ $<0.001^{**}$ $20 (82.6)$ $1073 (87.9)$ $1.0$ $0.11$ $0.11$ $20 (82.6)$ $1073 (87.9)$ $1.0$ $2.9 (1.8-4.6)$ $<0.001^{**}$ $20 (10.7)$ $67 (5.5)$ $2.9 (1.8-4.6)$ $<0.001^{**}$ $21 (10.7)$ $72 (5.9)$ $2.5 (1.5-4.0)$ $<0.001^{**}$	Non-White*	119 (47.2)	650 (53.7)	1.0		1.0	
113 $(447)$ 258 $(21.1)$ $3.4 (2.4.4.8)$ $<0.001^{**}$ 73 $(28.8)$ $444 (36.4)$ $1.3 (0.9-1.8)$ $0.18$ $67 (26.5)$ $519 (42.5)$ $1.3 (0.9-1.8)$ $0.18$ $57 (26.5)$ $519 (42.5)$ $1.0$ $0.9 (0.6-1.4)$ $0.71$ $224 (892)$ $120 (10.0)$ $1.0$ $0.9 (0.6-1.4)$ $0.71$ $27 (10.8)$ $120 (10.0)$ $1.0$ $0.9 (0.6-1.4)$ $0.71$ $27 (10.8)$ $120 (10.0)$ $1.0$ $0.9 (0.6-1.4)$ $0.71$ $214 (84.9)$ $120 (10.0)$ $1.0$ $0.9 (0.6-1.4)$ $0.71$ $214 (84.9)$ $1052 (86.9)$ $1.0$ $0.9 (0.6-1.4)$ $0.39$ $214 (84.9)$ $1052 (86.9)$ $1.0$ $0.9 (0.6-1.4)$ $0.39$ $214 (84.9)$ $1052 (86.9)$ $1.0$ $0.9 (0.6-1.4)$ $0.39$ $214 (84.9)$ $1052 (86.9)$ $1.0$ $0.9 (0.9-2.5)$ $0.39$ $214 (84.9)$ $1073 (87.9)$ $1.0$ $0.92$ $0.09$ $20 (7.9)$ $67 (5.5)$ $1.5 (0.9-2.5)$ $0.01$ $20 (82.6)$ $1073 (87.9)$ $1.0$ $0.01$ $20 (82.6)$ $1073 (87.9)$ $1.0$ $0.01$ $46 (18.2)$ $86 (7.0)$ $3.8 (2.5-5.7)$ $0.001^{**}$ $27 (107)$ $67 (5.5)$ $2.9 (1.8-4.6)$ $0.01^{**}$ $26 (9.9)$ $72 (5.9)$ $2.5 (1.5-4.0)$ $0.01^{**}$	Health insurance						
73 (288) $444 (36.4)$ 1.3 (0.9-1.8)0.1867 (26.5)519 (42.5)1.01.0224 (89.2)1081 (90.0)0.9 (0.6-1.4)0.7127 (10.8)120 (10.0)1.00.9 (0.6-1.4)0.7127 (10.8)120 (10.0)1.00.9 (0.6-1.4)0.71214 (84.9)158 (13.1)1.2 (0.8-1.7)0.39214 (84.9)1052 (86.9)1.01.024 (9.5)81 (6.6)1.5 (0.9-2.5)0.0920 (7.9)67 (5.5)1.5 (0.9-2.6)0.1120 (82.6)1073 (87.9)1.00.1220 (82.6)1073 (87.9)1.00.9446 (18.2)86 (7.0)3.8 (2.5-5.7)<0.001**	Public	113 (44.7)	258 (21.1)	3.4 (2.4-4.8)	<0.001**	2.1 (1.5–3.1)	< 0.0001 **
67 (26.5) $519 (42.5)$ $100$ $1.0$ $224 (89.2)$ $1081 (90.0)$ $0.9 (0.6-1.4)$ $0.71$ $27 (10.8)$ $120 (10.0)$ $1.0$ $0.9 (0.6-1.4)$ $0.71$ $27 (10.8)$ $120 (10.0)$ $1.0$ $0.9 (0.6-1.4)$ $0.71$ $38 (15.1)$ $158 (13.1)$ $1.2 (10.8-1.7)$ $0.39$ $38 (15.1)$ $158 (13.1)$ $1.2 (0.8-1.7)$ $0.39$ $214 (84.9)$ $1052 (86.9)$ $1.0$ $0.39$ $214 (84.9)$ $1052 (86.9)$ $1.0$ $0.39$ $214 (84.9)$ $1052 (86.9)$ $1.0$ $0.39$ $214 (84.9)$ $1073 (87.9)$ $1.0$ $0.09$ $20 (7.9)$ $67 (5.5)$ $1.5 (0.9-2.5)$ $0.01$ $20 (7.9)$ $67 (3.5)$ $1.5 (0.9-2.5)$ $0.01$ $20 (7.9)$ $67 (3.5)$ $1.5 (0.9-2.5)$ $0.00$ $20 (7.9)$ $67 (3.5)$ $1.5 (0.9-2.5)$ $0.01 * *$ $20 (13.4)$ $1.7 (1.2)$ $2.9 (1.8-4.6)$ $0.01$ $20 (10.7)$ $2.7 (1.5.4.0)$ $0.01 * *$ $0.001 * *$ <	Uninsured	73 (28.8)	444 (36.4)	1.3 (0.9–1.8)	0.18	1.1 (0.7 - 1.7)	0.59
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Private*	67 (26.5)	519 (42.5)	1.0		1.0	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	CD4 (cells/µL)						
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	≥200	224 (89.2)	1081 (90.0)	0.9 (0.6–1.4)	0.71	I	I
$38 (15.1)$ $158 (13.1)$ $1.2 (0.8-1.7)$ $0.39$ $214 (84.9)$ $1052 (86.9)$ $1.0$ $0.09$ $214 (84.9)$ $1052 (86.9)$ $1.0$ $0.0-2.5$ $24 (9.5)$ $81 (6.6)$ $1.5 (0.9-2.5)$ $0.09$ $20 (7.9)$ $67 (5.5)$ $1.5 (0.9-2.6)$ $0.11$ $20 (82.6)$ $1073 (87.9)$ $1.0$ $0.11$ $209 (82.6)$ $1073 (87.9)$ $1.0$ $0.9-2.6$ $0.01$ $46 (18.2)$ $86 (7.0)$ $3.8 (2.5-5.7)$ $<0.001^{**}$ $27 (10.7)$ $67 (5.5)$ $2.9 (1.8-4.6)$ $<0.001^{**}$ $25 (9.9)$ $72 (5.9)$ $2.5 (1.5-4.0)$ $<0.01^{**}$	<200*	27 (10.8)	120 (10.0)	1.0			
$38 (15.1)$ $158 (13.1)$ $1.2 (0.8-1.7)$ $0.39$ $214 (84.9)$ $1052 (86.9)$ $1.0$ $0.925 (8.9)$ $0.09$ $24 (9.5)$ $81 (6.6)$ $1.5 (0.9-2.5)$ $0.09$ $20 (7.9)$ $67 (5.5)$ $1.5 (0.9-2.6)$ $0.11$ $20 (82.6)$ $1073 (87.9)$ $1.0$ $0.19$ $20 (82.6)$ $1073 (87.9)$ $1.0$ $0.11$ $209 (82.6)$ $1073 (87.9)$ $1.0$ $0.09$ $46 (18.2)$ $86 (7.0)$ $3.8 (2.5-5.7)$ $<0.001^{**}$ $46 (13.2)$ $86 (7.0)$ $2.9 (1.8-4.6)$ $<0.001^{**}$ $27 (10.7)$ $67 (5.5)$ $2.9 (1.8-4.6)$ $<0.001^{**}$ $25 (9.9)$ $72 (5.9)$ $2.5 (1.5-4.0)$ $<0.01^{**}$	Viral load (copies/mL)						
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	≥200	38 (15.1)	158 (13.1)	1.2(0.8-1.7)	0.39	Ι	I
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<200*	214 (84.9)	1052 (86.9)	1.0			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Substance use <sup>b</sup>						
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Current	24 (9.5)	81 (6.6)	1.5 (0.9–2.5)	0.09	1.4 (0.8–2.4)	0.22
$209 (82.6)$ $1073 (87.9)$ $1.0$ $46 (18.2)$ $86 (7.0)$ $3.8 (2.5-5.7)$ $<0.001^{**}$ $27 (10.7)$ $67 (5.5)$ $2.9 (1.8.4.6)$ $<0.001^{**}$ $34 (13.4)$ $137 (11.2)$ $1.8 (1.2-2.7)$ $0.01^{**}$ $25 (9.9)$ $72 (5.9)$ $2.5 (1.5-4.0)$ $<0.001^{**}$	Unknown	20 (7.9)	67 (5.5)	1.5(0.9-2.6)	0.11	1.5 (0.6–3.7)	0.37
46 $(18.2)$ 86 $(7.0)$ 3.8 $(2.5-5.7)$ $<0.001**$ 27 $(10.7)$ 67 $(5.5)$ $2.9 (1.8-4.6)$ $<0.001**$ 34 $(13.4)$ 137 $(11.2)$ $1.8 (1.2-2.7)$ $0.01**$ 25 $(9.9)$ 72 $(5.9)$ $2.5 (1.5-4.0)$ $<0.001**$	Prior/never*	209 (82.6)	1073 (87.9)	1.0		1.0	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Depression <sup>c</sup> and/or Anxiety/Pan	ic <sup>d</sup>					
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Both	46 (18.2)	86 (7.0)	3.8 (2.5–5.7)	<0.001**	1.8 (1.1–2.8)	$0.01^{**}$
anic only 34 (13.4) 137 (11.2) 1.8 (1.2–2.7) 0.01** 25 (9.9) 72 (5.9) 2.5 (1.5–4.0) <0.001**	Depression only	27 (10.7)	67 (5.5)	2.9 (1.8-4.6)	<0.001**	1.5 (0.9–2.5)	0.16
25 (9.9)	Anxiety/panic only	34 (13.4)	137 (11.2)	1.8 (1.2–2.7)	$0.01^{**}$	1.1 (0.7 - 1.7)	0.71
	Unknown	25 (9.9)	72 (5.9)	2.5 (1.5-4.0)	<0.001 **	1.9 (1.0–3.6)	$0.04^{**}$

FactorChronic opioid prescriptionYesNoYesNoN = 253NoN = 1221 $n$ (%)Neither* $121$ (47.8)Seg (70.4)Alcohol use* $20$ (7.9)At risk $20$ (7.9)Onknown $20$ (7.9)No/low risk* $213$ (84.2)Pain* $72$ (5.9)No/low risk* $203$ (80.2)Alcohol use* $13$ (5.2)No/low risk* $203$ (80.2)At risk $72$ (5.9)No/now risk* $203$ (80.2)No/now risk* $37$ (14.6)Toknown $37$ (14.6)No $37$ (14.6)No $37$ (14.6)No $37$ (14.6)No $37$ (14.6)No $37$ (14.6)	vioid prescription	No N = 1221 n (%) 859 (70.4)	Univariate analysis Unadjusted OR		Multivariable analysis <sup>a</sup>	
YesNeither*Neither*Neither*Neither*Neither*Alcohol use*Alcohol use*At riskNo/low risk*20 (7.9)No/low risk*Pain*Yes(moderate/severe)203 (80.5)Unknown13 (5.2)No		$\begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ = 1221 \\ (\%) \\ 9 (70.4) \end{pmatrix}$	Unadjusted OR	,		
Neither*         121 (47.8           Alcohol use <sup>e</sup> 20 (7.9)           At risk         20 (7.9)           Unknown         20 (7.9)           No/low risk*         213 (84.5)           Pain <sup>f</sup> 213 (84.5)           Ves(moderate/severe)         203 (80.5)           Unknown         13 (5.2)           No         37 (14.6)           Univariate and multivariable analyses conducte		9 (70.4)	(J) % C(J)	p-value	Adjusted OR (95 % CI)	p-value
At risk 20 (7.9) Unknown 20 (7.9) No/low risk* 213 (84.2 Pain <sup>f</sup> 213 (84.2 Yes(moderate/severe) 203 (80.2 Unknown 13 (5.2) No 37 (14.6 Univariate and multivariable analyses conducte			1.0		1.0	
Unknown20 (7.9)No/low risk*213 (84.2Painf213 (84.2Painf203 (80.2Yes(moderate/severe)203 (80.2Unknown13 (5.2)No37 (14.6Univariate and multivariable analyses conducte		155 (12.7)	0.6 (0.4–1.0)	0.04**	0.6 (0.3–1.0)	0.03
No/low risk*213 (84.2Painf213 (84.2Yes(moderate/severe)203 (80.2Unknown13 (5.2)No37 (14.6Univariate and multivariable analyses conducte		72 (5.9)	1.3 (0.8–2.2)	0.33	$0.7 \ (0.3-1.6)$	0.38
Yes(moderate/severe) 203 (80.2 Unknown 13 (5.2) No 37 (14.6 Univariate and multivariable analyses conducte		994 (81.4)	1.0		1.0	
Unknown 13 (5.2) No 37 (14.6 Univariate and multivariable analyses conducte		415 (34.0)	9.9 (6.9–14.4)	<0.001**	7.6 (5.1–11.2)	$<0.0001^{**}$
No 37 (14.6 Univariate and multivariable analyses conducte		54 (4.4)	4.9 (2.5–9.8)	<0.001**	2.7 (1.0–7.2)	$0.04^{**}$
Univariate and multivariable analyses conducte		752 (61.6)	1.0		1.0	
<i>CI</i> confidence interval, <i>OR</i> odds ratio, <i>UAB</i> University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL, USA * Reference category ** Statistically significant at 0.05 level Tesscription covering ≥ 90 consecutive days as of July 2012 a Multivariable model performance: Hosmer-Lemeshow test p-value = 0.72; c-statistics = 0.800; Max-rescaled r-square = 0.2647 b Alcohol, Smoking, and Substance Involvement Screening Test (ASSIST) C Patient Health Questionnaire-9 instrument (PHQ-9): score ≥ 10 d Patient Health Questionnaire-5 for Anxiety (PHQ-A) instrument C Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT-C) f EUROQOL health related quality of life instrument	Iniversity of Alabama as of July 2012 Lemeshow test p-valuc tent Screening Test (A) PHQ-9): score $\geq 10$ (PHQ-A) instrument AUDIT-C) trument	at Birmingham, Birmin, e = 0.72; c-statistics = SSIST)	gham, AL, USA 0.800; Max-rescaled r-square	= 0.2647		

Factor	Co-prescription of sedating	sedating medications	Univariate analysis		Multivariable analysis <sup>a</sup>	is <sup>a</sup>
	$ \begin{array}{l} \operatorname{Yes} \\ \mathrm{N} = 90 \\ \mathrm{n} \ (\%) \end{array} $	No N = 1384 n (%)	Unadjusted OR (95 % CI)	p-value	Adjusted OR (95 % CI)	p-value
Age, years						
≥50	43 (47.8)	469 (33.9)	1.8 (1.2–2.7)	$0.01^{**}$	1.3 (0.8–2.1)	0.28
<50*	47 (52.2)	915 (66.1)	1.0		1.0	
Gender						
Female	26 (28.9)	286 (20.7)	1.6 (1.0–2.5)	0.07	1.8 (1.1–3.2)	0.03
Male*	64 (71.1)	1098 (79.3)	1.0		1.0	
Race						
White	67 (75.3)	627 (45.6)	3.6 (2.2–5.9)	<0.001**	4.1 (2.4–7.1)	<0.0001**
Non-White*	22 (24.7)	747 (54.0)	1.0		1.0	
Health insurance						
Public	47 (52.2)	324 (23.4)	3.4 (2.0–5.7)	<0.001**	1.9 (1.1–3.3)	$0.02^{**}$
Uninsured	19 (21.1)	498 (36.0)	0.9 (0.5–1.7)	0.72	0.7 (0.4–1.4)	0.32
Private*	24 (26.7)	562 (40.6)	1.0		1.0	
CD4 (cells/µL)						
$\geq 200$	81 (92.0)	1224 (89.7)	1.3 (0.6–2.9)	0.49	Ι	I
<200*	7 (8.0)	140 (10.3)	1.0			
Viral load (copies/mL)						
≥200	11 (12.4)	185 (13.5)	0.9 (0.5–1.7)	0.77	Ι	I
<200*	78 (87.6)	1188 (86.5)	1.0			
Substance use <sup>b</sup>						
Current	7 (7.8)	98 (7.1)	1.1 (0.5–2.5)	0.79	1.2 (0.5–2.9)	0.69
Unknown	6 (6.7)	81 (5.9)	1.2 (0.5–2.7)	0.74	0.5 (0.1–2.7	0.44
Prior/never*	77 (85.6)	1205 (87.1)	1.0		1.0	
Depression <sup>c</sup> and/or Anxiety/Panic <sup>d</sup>	nic <sup>d</sup>					
Both	22 (24.4)	110(8.0)	5.2 (3.0–9.2)	$<0.001^{**}$	2.7 (1.4–5.0)	$0.002^{**}$
Depression only	9 (10.0)	85 (6.1)	2.8 (1.3–6.0)	$0.01^{**}$	1.4 (0.6–3.2)	0.46
Anxiety/Panic only	13 (14.4)	158 (11.4)	2.2 (1.1–4.2)	$0.02^{**}$	1.3 (0.6–2.6)	0.50
Unknown	10 (11.1)	87 (6.3)	3.0 (1.4–6.3)	$0.003^{**}$	2.5 (1.0-6.3)	$0.05^{**}$
Neither*	36 (40.0)	944 (68.2)	1.0		1.0	
Alcohol use <sup>e</sup>						
At risk	9 (10.0)	166 (12.0)	0.8 (0.4–1.7)	0.61	0.9 (0.4–2.0)	0.84
Unknown	(7.9) 7	85 (6.1)	1.3 (0.6–2.8)	0.57	0.9 (0.2–3.9)	0.89
No/low risk*	74 (82.2)	1133 (81.9)	1.0		1.0	

🙆 Springer

	Co-prescription of sedating medications	lating medications	Univariate analysis		Multivariable analysis <sup>a</sup>	sis <sup>a</sup>
	Yes N = 90 n (%)	No N = 1384 n (%)	Unadjusted OR (95 % CI)	p-value	Adjusted OR (95 % CI)	p-value
Pain <sup>f</sup>						
Yes (moderate/severe)	76 (84.4)	542 (39.2)	13.7 (6.6–28.6)	<0.001**	9.1 (4.2–19.7)	<0.0001**
Unknown	6 (6.7)	61 (4.4)	9.6 (3.2–28.6)	<0.001**	9.1 (2.1–39.7)	$0.003^{**}$
No	8 (8.9)	781 (56.4)	1.0		1.0	
Univariate and multivariable analyses conducted using logistic regression method	ses conducted using log	ristic regression method				
CI confidence interval, OR odds ratio, UAB University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL, USA	tio, UAB University of	Alabama at Birmingham, Birmin	ıgham, AL, USA			
* Reference category						
** Statistically significant at 0.05 level	evel					
Prescription covering $\geq 90$ consecutive days as of July 2012	tive days as of July 201	12				
With benzodiazepines and/or muscle relaxants	le relaxants					
<sup>a</sup> Multivariable model performance: Hosmer-Lemeshow test p-value = 0.64; c-statistics = 0.841; Max-rescaled r-square = 0.2584	:: Hosmer-Lemeshow to	est p-value = $0.64$ ; c-statistics =	= 0.841; Max-rescaled r-square =	= 0.2584		
<sup>b</sup> Alcohol, Smoking, and Substance Involvement Screening Test (ASSIST)	e Involvement Screenin	g Test (ASSIST)				
<sup>c</sup> Patient Health Questionnaire-9 instrument (PHQ-9): score $\geq 10$	tstrument (PHQ-9): sco	$re \ge 10$				
<sup>e</sup> Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT-C)	ion Test (AUDIT-C)					
<sup>f</sup> EUROQOL health related quality of life instrument	of life instrument					

D Springer

over age 50, those with depression and anxiety are the most likely to be prescribed opioids. Further, we found those with anxiety are likely to be co-prescribed sedating medications such as benzodiazepines as might be expected, and we also found white and female participants had greater odds of co-prescription as compared to non-whites and males. Although numbers of deaths in our cohort were small, our results were consistent with findings from other studies of individuals with HIV [33] and in the general population [14, 21, 34]: mortality was higher in participants prescribed chronic opioids, and in individuals coprescribed opioids and other sedating medications. These findings have important implications for developing interventions to improve safety of opioid prescribing in HIV care.

Two prior studies have examined rates of chronic opioid prescription among individuals with HIV. Edelman and colleagues found that the rate of chronic opioid prescription among HIV-infected Veterans with chronic pain was approximately 10 % in 2006; this was similar to matched HIV-negative veterans [11]. Silverberg et al. found a similar (8%) rate of chronic opioid prescribing among HIV-infected individuals seeking care in a Kaiser cohort between 1997 and 2005, which was twice the rate of chronic opioid prescribing among individuals without HIV in that cohort during that time [12]. In these studies, chronic opioid prescription among individuals with HIV was at least as high if not higher than the general population. In our study, rates of chronic opioid prescription were almost double those reported in either of those studies. This could be due to differences in study design and comorbidities of the population. Another potential reason for this is the high rate of opioid prescription observed in the Southeastern US. A recent MMWR reported that Alabama's rate of opioid prescribing was the highest in the US, 2.7 times higher than the rate of prescribing in Hawaii, the lowest opioid prescribing state [35]. Therefore, it is possible that our finding of relatively high opioid prescription in our cohort reflects high use in HIV-infected individuals, and/or high opioid prescribing in our state. Additionally, data used in the two previous studies are now nearly a decade old, and HIV and chronic opioid prescribing epidemiology have both evolved: HIV-infected patients' life expectancy has dramatically increased, and opioid prescription has become more common in the general population. Our results may likely reflect these trends.

Few studies have examined chronic opioid prescription co-prescription of sedating medications among HIV-infected individuals or in the general population. In the only study of an HIV-infected cohort that we are aware of, Gaither et al. found that 25 % of HIV-infected veterans on chronic opioid therapy received at least a week's supply of a benzodiazepine during the 10-year study period, compared to 20 % among HIV-negative controls [36]. In a study of HIV-negative veterans, 24 % of those on chronic opioid therapy received a benzodiazepine prescription during the one year study period [37]. Our criteria for chronic sedative use was more conservative (>90 days as compared to 1 week or any prescription in the prior studies), and we looked at chronic co-prescription at a single snapshot in time (July 29, 2012). We found that 61 participants (24 %) were prescribed opioids and benzodiazepines. This suggests that co-prescription was at least as common in our cohort as in prior studies among individuals with HIV and the general population [11, 36-38]. Additionally, this study one of the first studies to characterize factors associated with co-prescription of sedating medications, and is consistent with the findings of a recently published study in a criminal justice population [39]. To our knowledge, this is the first study to investigate muscle relaxants (for which there is little evidence of efficacy [40]) alone and in combination with other medications in any patient population.

Even when controlling for pain, we identified several patient factors that were associated with chronic opioid prescription and chronic co-prescription. We found that age >50, public insurance, and depression and anxiety, were significantly associated with chronic opioid prescription, and that public insurance and depression and anxiety were associated with co-prescription of sedating medications. Our findings raise concern that opioids and other sedating medications are disproportionately prescribed for patients in whom use was risker. Although not examined in HIVinfected cohorts to date, this been described in the general population of individuals prescribed chronic opioid therapy, and has been dubbed "adverse selection" [41, 42]. "Adverse selection" of the riskiest patients for long-term opioid therapy may reflect the complexity of these patients, whose pain is often very difficult to manage and who suffer a significant degree of functional impairment as a result. However, it is particularly concerning in light of the paucity of evidence supporting the efficacy of long-term opioid therapy, alone and in combination with other sedating medications, for chronic non-malignant pain [13].

The patient characteristics we found to be associated with chronic opioid prescription are consistent with findings from general populations of patients with chronic pain, with the exception of age. In the general population, some studies suggest that younger individuals are more likely to be prescribed chronic opioid therapy, although the majority of patients in published studies were of older age (e.g., >50) [37, 42–47]. In our study and the two other studies among individuals with HIV that have asked this question, older participants were more likely to be prescribed chronic opioid therapy [11, 12]. We speculate that increased opioid prescribing among older HIV patients may be due to the substantial burden of multimorbidity among the aging HIV-infected population, which leads to the accumulation of painful conditions and the addition of opioids to the therapeutic approach.

We also noted a large racial difference in co-prescription, with white participants having higher odds of coprescription than non-whites. The reason for this racial difference is unknown. Other recent studies have suggested that whites are more likely to be prescribed benzodiazepines than non-whites, and of concern, that whites coprescribed opioids and benzodiazepines have higher rates of death compared to other racial groups [48]. This phenomenon, along with the small gender difference (females more likely to be co-prescribed opioids and other sedating medications than males), merits further investigation.

Of note, our study found that despite opioids, participants remained in moderate to severe pain; this is consistent with prior studies suggesting limited opioid efficacy in the general population [13] and in individuals with HIV [12]. It is also important to note the lack of evidence for long-term benzodiazepine use in the treatment of chronic pain. This underscores the need for widely available alternatives to chronic opioid and benzodiazepine prescription in this population, and for approaches to managing the large group of patients chronically taking these risky therapies who may have difficulty stopping them due to the expected physical dependence these medications produce, or due to the development of an opioid or benzodiazepine use disorder.

Our study findings can inform future patient- and provider-targeted interventions to improve opioid prescribing in HIV clinics. Prior work has shown that HIV providers feel unprepared to manage chronic pain, and lack confidence recognizing opioid use disorders [49]. Providers may be unaware of the lack of evidence as to benefit of opioids, the phenomenon of adverse selection, and the risk of oversedation and overdose when opioids are combined with other sedating medications. HIV provider education about these issues, and about high rates of opioid prescribing and co-prescribing could play an important role in improving patient safety. Providers need training regarding not only when to start opioids and sedating medications, but also when and how to discontinue or taper them when the harms exceed the benefits. Therefore, it follows that safe and effective non-opioid pharmacologic and nonpharmacologic modalities for managing HIV-infected patients with chronic pain must be accessible. Providers may also simply not know when patients are co-prescribed sedating medications; in this case, an alert to this effect could be helpful. Patient education about the harms of opioids and recent shifts in thinking regarding the role of opioids and sedating medications in treating pain is also needed.

In addition to investigation of the racial and gender differences in prescribing reflected in our results, and nonopioid pain treatment strategies, an important area of future investigation is how to address the large numbers of individuals with HIV already on long-term opioid therapy, whether alone or in combination with other sedating medications. In some such individuals, medications are taken safely, functional gains are measurable, and the benefit outweighs the risk. However, in others, concerning behaviors arise, and there may be concern for an opioid use disorder. Strategies for how to recognize and manage patients in such situations are lacking in the general or HIV-specific literature and represent an important area of future investigation.

Our study has limitations. It was conducted at one clinical site, which may limit generalizability to other settings, and was cross-sectional. Additionally, the database does not reliably include data about why opioids were prescribed, though we believe based on prior data from our cohort [50], and from others [51, 52], that most chronic opioid therapy was prescribed for chronic non-malignant pain, primarily musculoskeletal and neuropathic.

In sum, our study highlights the high rate of chronic opioid prescription and co-prescription of other sedating medications among participants from a Southeastern US cohort of HIV-infected individuals. Further, we identified adverse selection that raises additional safety concerns. Our work serves as an important first step towards addressing high rates of opioid prescribing and improving opioid safety among individuals with HIV.

Acknowledgments This research was supported by the University of Alabama at Birmingham (UAB) Center for AIDS Research, an NIH funded program (P30 A1027767) that was made possible by the following institutes: NIAID, NCI, NICHD, NHLBI, NIDA, NIMH, NIA, FIC, and OAR. JSM was supported by 1K12HS02169401 (AHRQ). JLS was supported by K23DA027719 (NIDA). Funding was also provided by the Mary Fisher CARE Fund at UAB.

#### References

- Collaboration ATC. Life expectancy of individuals on combination antiretroviral therapy in high-income countries: a collaborative analysis of 14 cohort studies. Lancet. 2008;372(9635):293–9.
- Balderson BH, Grothaus L, Harrison RG, McCoy K, Mahoney C, Catz S. Chronic illness burden and quality of life in an aging HIV population. AIDS Care. 2013;25(4):451–8.
- Edelman EJ, Gordon KS, Glover J, McNicholl IR, Fiellin DA, Justice AC. The next therapeutic challenge in HIV: polypharmacy. Drugs Aging. 2013;30(8):613–28.
- Daubresse M, Chang HY, Yu Y, Viswanathan S, Shah ND, Stafford RS, et al. Ambulatory diagnosis and treatment of nonmalignant pain in the United States, 2000–2010. Med Care. 2013;51(10):870–8.

- Miaskowski C, Penko JM, Guzman D, Mattson JE, Bangsberg DR, Kushel MB. Occurrence and characteristics of chronic pain in a community-based cohort of indigent adults living with HIV infection. J Pain. 2011;12(9):1004–16.
- Merlin JS, Cen L, Praestgaard A, Turner M, Obando A, Alpert C, et al. Pain and physical and psychological symptoms in ambulatory HIV patients in the current treatment era. J Pain Symptom Manage. 2012;43(3):638–45.
- Merlin JS, Westfall AO, Raper JL, Zinski A, Norton WE, Willig JH, et al. Pain, Mood, and Substance Abuse in HIV: Implications for Clinic Visit Utilization, ART Adherence, and Virologic Failure. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2012;61(2):164–70.
- Newshan G, Bennett J, Holman S. Pain and other symptoms in ambulatory HIV patients in the age of highly active antiretroviral therapy. J Assoc Nurses AIDS Care. 2002;13(4):78–83.
- Aouizerat BE, Miaskowski CA, Gay C, Portillo CJ, Coggins T, Davis H, et al. Risk factors and symptoms associated with pain in HIV-infected adults. J Assoc Nurses AIDS Care. 2010;21(2):125–33.
- Cervia LD, McGowan JP, Weseley AJ. Clinical and demographic variables related to pain in HIV-infected individuals treated with effective, combination antiretroviral therapy (cART). Pain Med. 2010;11(4):498–503.
- Edelman EJ, Gordon K, Becker WC, Goulet JL, Skanderson M, Gaither JR, et al. Receipt of Opioid Analgesics by HIV-Infected and Uninfected Patients. J Gen Intern Med. 2012;28(1):82–90.
- Silverberg MJ, Ray GT, Saunders K, Rutter CM, Campbell CI, Merrill JO, et al. Prescription long-term opioid use in HIV-infected patients. Clin J Pain. 2012;28(1):39–46.
- Noble M, Treadwell JR, Tregear SJ, Coates VH, Wiffen PJ, Akafomo C, et al. Long-term opioid management for chronic noncancer pain. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2010;1:CD006605.
- Bohnert AS, Valenstein M, Bair MJ, Ganoczy D, McCarthy JF, Ilgen MA, et al. Association between opioid prescribing patterns and opioid overdose-related deaths. JAMA. 2011;305(13):1315–21.
- Dunn KM, Saunders KW, Rutter CM, Banta-Green CJ, Merrill JO, Sullivan MD, et al. Opioid prescriptions for chronic pain and overdose: a cohort study. Ann Intern Med. 2010;152(2):85–92.
- Johnson EM, Lanier WA, Merrill RM, Crook J, Porucznik CA, Rolfs RT, et al. Unintentional prescription opioid-related overdose deaths: description of decedents by next of kin or best contact, Utah, 2008–2009. J Gen Intern Med. 2012;. doi:10.1007/ s11606-012-2225-z.
- Kuehn BM. Methadone overdose deaths rise with increased prescribing for pain. JAMA. 2012;308(8):749–50.
- Webster LR, Cochella S, Dasgupta N, Fakata KL, Fine PG, Fishman SM, et al. An analysis of the root causes for opioidrelated overdose deaths in the United States. Pain Med. 2011;12(Suppl 2):S26–35.
- Webster LR. Considering the risks of benzodiazepines and opioids together. Pain Med. 2010;11(6):801–2.
- Skurtveit S, Furu K, Bramness J, Selmer R, Tverdal A. Benzodiazepines predict use of opioids–a follow-up study of 17,074 men and women. Pain Med. 2010;11(6):805–14.
- Paulozzi LJ, Kilbourne EM, Shah NG, Nolte KB, Desai HA, Landen MG, et al. A history of being prescribed controlled substances and risk of drug overdose death. Pain Med. 2012;13(1):87–95.
- Oliver P, Keen J. Concomitant drugs of misuse and drug using behaviours associated with fatal opiate-related poisonings in Sheffield, UK, 1997–2000. Addiction. 2003;98(2):191–7.
- Jones JD, Mogali S, Comer SD. Polydrug abuse: a review of opioid and benzodiazepine combination use. Drug Alcohol Depend. 2012;125(1–2):8–18.
- Gudin JA, Mogali S, Jones JD, Comer SD. Risks, management, and monitoring of combination opioid, benzodiazepines, and/or alcohol use. Postgrad Med. 2013;125(4):115–30.

- Merlins JS, Tucker RO, Saag MS, Selwyn PA. The role of palliative care in the current HIV treatment era in developed countries. Top Antivir Med. 2013;21(1):20–6.
- 26. Starrels JL PD, Fox AD, Merlin J, Arnsten JH, Cunningham CO. HIV Treatment providers' perspectives on opioid prescribing for chronic pain: the overriding concern to retain patients in care [oral abstract presentation]. Paper presented at: Association of Medical Education and Research in Substance Abuse, November 8, 2013, Bethesda.
- 27. Burkholder GA, Tamhane AR, Appell LE, Willig JH, Saag MS, Raper JL, et al. Short Communication: Viral Suppression Is Associated with Increased Likelihood of Colorectal Cancer Screening Among Persons Living with HIV/AIDS. AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses. 2015;31(5):519–24.
- Kroenke K, Spitzer RL, Williams JB. The PHQ-9: validity of a brief depression severity measure. J Gen Intern Med. 2001;16(9): 606–13.
- Mathews WC, May S. EuroQol (EQ-5D) measure of quality of life predicts mortality, emergency department utilization, and hospital discharge rates in HIV-infected adults under care. Health Qual Life Outcomes. 2007;5:5.
- Newcombe DA, Humeniuk RE, Ali R. Validation of the world health organization alcohol, smoking and substance involvement screening test (ASSIST): report of results from the Australian site. Drug Alcohol Rev. 2005;24(3):217–26.
- Bush K, Kivlahan DR, McDonell MB, Fihn SD, Bradley KA. The AUDIT alcohol consumption questions (AUDIT-C): an effective brief screening test for problem drinking. Ambulatory Care Quality Improvement Project (ACQUIP). Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test. Arch Intern Med. 1998;158(16):1789–95.
- P. A. Logistic regression using the SAS system. Publisher: SAS Institute Inc. Edition: August 2003.
- 33. Weisberg DF, Gordon KS, Barry DT, Becker WC, Crystal S, Edelman EJ, et al. Long-term Prescription of Opioids and/or Benzodiazepines and Mortality Among HIV-Infected and Uninfected Patients. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2015;69(2):223–33.
- Gomes T, Mamdani MM, Dhalla IA, Paterson JM, Juurlink DN. Opioid dose and drug-related mortality in patients with nonmalignant pain. Arch Intern Med. 2011;171(7):686–91.
- Paulozzi LJ, Mack KA, Hockenberry JM. Division of Unintentional Injury Prevention NtCfIPaC, C. D. C. Vital signs: variation among States in prescribing of opioid pain relievers and benzodiazepines—United States, 2012. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2014;63(26):563–8.
- Gaither JR, Goulet JL, Becker WC, Crystal S, Edelman EJ, Gordon K, et al. Guideline-concordant management of opioid therapy among human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-infected and uninfected veterans. J Pain. 2014;. doi:10.1016/j.jpain.2014. 08.004.
- Dobscha SK, Morasco BJ, Duckart JP, Macey T, Deyo RA. Correlates of prescription opioid initiation and long-term opioid use in veterans with persistent pain. Clin J Pain. 2013;29(2): 102–8.
- Dobscha SK. Prescription opioids and benzodiazepines: moving beyond "just say no". Pain Med. 2013;14(10):1447–9.
- 39. Cropsey KL, Stevens EN, Valera P, Brendan Clark C, Bulls HW, Nair P, et al. Risk factors for concurrent use of benzodiazepines and opioids among individuals under community corrections supervision. Drug Alcohol Depend. 2015;. doi:10.1016/j.dru galcdep.2015.06.038.
- Richards BL, Whittle SL, van der Heijde DM, Buchbinder R. The efficacy and safety of muscle relaxants in inflammatory arthritis: a cochrane systematic review. J Rheumatol Suppl. 2012;90:34–9.
- Sullivan MD, Howe CQ. Opioid therapy for chronic pain in the United States: promises and perils. Pain. 2013;154(Suppl 1):S94–100.

- 42. Rogers KD, Kemp A, McLachlan AJ, Blyth F. Adverse selection? A multi-dimensional profile of people dispensed opioid analgesics for persistent non-cancer pain. PLoS One. 2013;8(12): e80095.
- 43. Sullivan MD, Edlund MJ, Zhang L, Unützer J, Wells KB. Association between mental health disorders, problem drug use, and regular prescription opioid use. Arch Intern Med. 2006;166(19):2087–93.
- Sullivan MD, Edlund MJ, Steffick D, Unützer J. Regular use of prescribed opioids: association with common psychiatric disorders. Pain. 2005;119(1–3):95–103.
- 45. Edlund MJ, Martin BC, Devries A, Fan MY, Braden JB, Sullivan MD. Trends in use of opioids for chronic noncancer pain among individuals with mental health and substance use disorders: the TROUP study. Clin J Pain. 2010;26(1):1–8.
- Parsells Kelly J, Cook SF, Kaufman DW, Anderson T, Rosenberg L, Mitchell AA. Prevalence and characteristics of opioid use in the US adult population. Pain. 2008;138(3):507–13.
- 47. Simoni-Wastila L, Ritter G, Strickler G. Gender and other factors associated with the nonmedical use of abusable prescription drugs. Subst Use Misuse. 2004;39(1):1–23.

- Calcaterra S, Glanz J, Binswanger IA. National trends in pharmaceutical opioid related overdose deaths compared to other substance related overdose deaths: 1999–2009. Drug Alcohol Depend. 2013;131(3):263–70.
- 49. Lum PJ, Little S, Botsko M, Hersh D, Thawley RE, Egan JE, et al. Opioid-prescribing practices and provider confidence recognizing opioid analgesic abuse in HIV primary care settings. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2011;56(Suppl 1):S91–7.
- Perry BA, Westfall AO, Molony E, Tucker R, Ritchie C, Saag MS, et al. Characteristics of an ambulatory palliative care clinic for HIV-infected patients. J Palliat Med. 2013;. doi:10.1089/jpm. 2012.0451.
- 51. Önen NF, Barrette EP, Shacham E, Taniguchi T, Donovan M, Overton ET. A review of opioid prescribing practices and associations with repeat opioid prescriptions in a contemporary outpatient HIV clinic. Pain Pract. 2012;12(6):440–8.
- 52. Johnson A, Condon KD, Mapas-Dimaya AC, Schrager J, Grossberg R, Gonzalez R, et al. Report of an HIV clinic-based pain management program and utilization of health status and health service by HIV patients. J Opioid Manag. 2012;8(1):17–27.