CrossMark

Diversity and abundance of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi under different plant and soil properties in Sidama, southern Ethiopia

Beyene Dobo · Fassil Asefa · Zebene Asfaw

Abstract In Sidama, agroforestry represents land-use systems with deliberate management of multipurpose trees and shrubs that grow in intimate association with annual and perennial agricultural crops and/or livestock. The interaction of microbiota with the trees, shrubs and crops may make the system fertile, productive and sustainable. One of the beneficial microbiota which has symbiotic association with most of the plants in agroforestry is arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF). In November and December of 2012, root and rhizosphere soil samples of 21 plant species from nine peasant associations (PAs) (villages within districts where 300–500 families live) were collected from the agroforestry practices in Sidama of Southern Ethiopia for the determination of diversity and abundance of AMF under selected soil parameters and plant species density. Findings on the diversity of AMF based on soil properties showed that at moderate to low P and N concentrations the rate of AMF root colonization and spore density was high in comparison with the rhizosphere soils with the highest P and N concentration. The highest percentage of total AMF colonization was recorded for shade trees *Millettia ferruginea* (84 %) and *Erythrina brucei* (80 %) followed by intercropped perennial crops *Ensete ventricosum* (86 %), *Catha edulis* (85 %) and *Coffea arabica* (80 %) and the lowest percentage AMF colonization was recorded for *Rhamnus prinoides* (53 %) and *Colocasia esculenta* (52 %). Though found in almost all homegarden agroforestry practices and with broad coverage in Sidama agroforestry, some crops and vegetables such *Brassica integrifolia* and *Cucurbita pepo*, grown intercropped were found to be non-mycorrhizal as none of the AMF structures were recorded. The highest number of AM spore population was recorded in rhizosphere soils of *Croton macrostachyus* (1066 ± 19.33) and *Catha edulis* (1054 ± 53.12) and the lowest spore density was recorded for *Dioscorea alata* (100.00 ± 2.89) spore per 100 g of dry soil. The percentage fungal colonization in any individual plant species and spore population in the rhizosphere soils of that species did not correlate to each other and percentage AM root colonization and spore density of all plants in the agroforestry of Sidama were found significantly different at P < 0.05 level.

Keywords AMF · Rhizosphere · Parameters · Colonization · Density

B. Dobo (✉)
Department of Natural Resources Management and Environmental Sciences, Haramaya University, Haramaya, Ethiopia
e-mail: beyeneashl@yahoo.co.uk

F. Asefa
Department of Microbial, Cellular and Molecular Biology, College of Natural Sciences, Addis Ababa University, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia

Z. Asfaw
Wondo Genet College of Forestry and Natural Resources, Hawassa University, Hawassa, Ethiopia

Introduction

Forests are cleared for agriculture and settlement in different parts of Ethiopia. Agroforestry is an age old traditional practice which gradually replaced forests. It is a sustainable management system for land that combines agricultural crops, trees, forest plants and/or animals simultaneously or sequentially, and applies management practices that are compatible with the cultural patterns of the local population (Raintree 1997). It is practiced on Homegarden (Millat-e-Mustafa 1997; Zebene 2003), cropland (Roy 1997), forestlands (Emiru Birhanea et al. 2010; Wang et al. 2004). Although the role of agroforestry in conserving biological diversity is being appreciated in many parts, the sustainability of these practices is a major concern in Ethiopia.

Low soil fertility, acidity and deficiency in major nutrients such as phosphorus and nitrogen are some of the problems related to successful agroforestry systems. The conversion of forest to different agricultural systems causes loss of plant species that expose the soil to water and wind erosion. Besides, the removal of plant species from forests and agroforests may be accounted for the loss of biodiversity, not only of plants, but also the microbiota important for the soil health as a whole. Therefore, maintenance of floristic diversity in agroforestry is number one crucial condition for sustainable productivity of the agroforests. One of such situations is planting of mycorrhizal seedlings that can be a good measure to increase the yield of the plants for the sustainable management for land that will increase the overall production.

Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi are widespread and symbiotic copious members of the soil biota, a generally acknowledged key factor in agricultural ecosystem functioning and sustainability (Verbruggen and Kiers 2010). These symbiotically associated fungi play an important role to the plants in agroforestry practices by enhancing establishment and growth, increasing nutrient and water uptake, maintaining diversity by accelerating the ability of host plants to compete for resources, contributing to efficient recycling of nutrients and thus to long term stability and stabilization of the soil (Smith and Read 1997; Jasper 1992). AMF are widespread in tropical soils and associate with a wide range of plant species, including most commercial crops and trees (Sieverding 1991; da Silva et al. 2013). They are keystone organisms and form an interface between soils and plant roots, and are sensitive to changes in soil physicochemical properties and plant conditions (Power and Mills 1995).

Under the insufficient concentration of nutrients in the root zone of plants, exploring further in the soil with its extended extra radical hyphae, AMF supply phosphorus, macro and micro soil nutrients to roots which has symbiosis with AMF. In these symbiotic associations both partners benefit from each other under certain conditions (Demir et al. 2008; Rhodes 1980; Bolan et al. 1987; Li et al. 1991). Fungi take some organic matter and carbohydrates from the plant. In return, AMF supply nutrients such as phosphorus, nitrogen (N), calcium (Ca), copper (Cu), manganese (Mn), sulphur (S) and zinc (Zn) (Sieverding 1991; Ortas 2002). But when the soil is rich in necessary nutrients, plants may not waste more energy for the association with AMF and go for the cheapest source of energy, and individual plant species and plant communities in natural and farming systems affect the distribution and diversity of AMF species (Dalpe et al. 2000; Jefwa et al. 2006).

Therefore, according to the previous investigators, AMF spore density, diversity and rates of root colonization are dependent on soil physicochemical properties (Mahmud et al. 1999) and plant species density and richness (Allsopp and Stock 1992). Though there are some research reports (Zebene 2003) on mycorrhizal association of agroforestry trees, information is scarce on spore density and diversity of AMF based on soil parameters and type of plant species density and richness in Sidama agroforestry. Therefore, the objective of the present investigation is to study diversity of AMF based on selected soil parameters and plant species density in selected sites of Sidama agroforestry practices.

Materials and methods

Sampling site

This study was conducted in Sidama Administrative region of Southern Ethiopia during dry season in November and December of 2012. It lies between 06°45′33″ and 06°54′713″ N and 038°27′432″ and 038°31′788″ E and 1740–2135 m above the sea level. The study area is characterized by a moist to sub-humid

warm sub-tropical climate with annual rainfall of 1000–1800 mm and mean annual temperature of 15–20 °C. The main agricultural system in the region is the Tree-enset-coffee based home-garden agroforestry systems commonly practiced in Southern Ethiopia. The study was undertaken in 36 home gardens located in nine peasant association (PAs, smallest Ethiopian administrative unit, where 300–500 families live) distributed over two woredas or district. Each woreda (district) has 40–60 PAs where these agroforestry systems are practiced. In this study, we selected only nine PAs (Table 1) because these PAs are located at closest proximity to the original forest relics from where the agroforestry practices were emerged. From each PAs, four homegardens were selected randomly and soil and root samples were collected from 23 commonly occurring plant species in selected agroforestry practice (Table 2).

Soil sampling and analysis

Rhizosphere soils from under the canopy of the 23 plant species which included trees, crops and vegetable species were collected during dry season (November–December) in year 2012 from each sampling site (PAs). Soil sample collection was carried out at four 10×10 m quadrats from each PAs. The soil was sampled to a depth of 0–15 cm in 828 sampling points using a soil auger. A composite soil sample of 500 g was collected from each plant species. In total $(23 \times 9 \times 4)$ samples were collected for analysis from all the nine PAs (sampling sites), i.e. 23×4 samples from each sampling site. The soil samples were air-dried at room temperature for 2 weeks and preserved at 4 °C for analysis of soil physicochemical properties, AMF spore abundance and AMF diversity.

About 0.5 mg of fine root samples from each plant were also collected, washed with tap water, preserved in 50 % ethanol, and stored at 4 °C for percentage root colonization analysis.

Soil analysis were undertaken at the Southern Nations, Nationalities and Peoples Region (SNNPR) Soil Laboratory and Debrezeite Agricultural Research Center following standard procedures and methods: Soil textural fractions were analyzed following the hydrometric method after removing organic matter using H_2O_2 and dispersing the soils with sodium hexameta-phosphate (Black et al. 1965). Soil pH was determined by potentiometric methods using 1:2.5 soil:water ratio. Soil organic carbon (SOC) was determined by the Walkley–Black oxidation method (Schnitzer 1982). Total nitrogen (TN) was determined using the Kjeldahl distillation method (Bremner and Mulvaney 1982), and available phosphorous (AP) was determined using Olsen's extraction method (UV/visible Spectrometer, Lambda EZ 201) (Olsen and Dean 1965). Available potassium (Av. K) was determined by sodium acetate method (Jones 2001).

Root colonization

The root pieces collected and preserved were stained according to Phillips and Hayman (1970) with some modifications. The root pieces were cleared in 10 % KOH solution for 15–20 min at 90 °C in a boiling water bath. Then, they were rinsed in water several times and acidified with 1 % HCl solution. Pigmented roots were bleached in H_2O_2 for about 20 min and again washed with water. Finally the root segments were stained for 10 min in 0.05 % trypan blue at 90 °C for about 10 min and subsequently distained at room temperature in acidic glycerol.

Table 1 Geographical location and altitudinal ranges of the Peasant Associations (PAs)

S. no.	Study site (PAs)	Latitude	Longitude	Altitude
1.	Ferro I	06°46′30″N	038°29′00″E	1795–1896
2.	Ferro II	06°49′602″N	038°29′135″E	1860–1940
3.	Halekena	06°45′333″N	038°27′432″E	1740–1825
4.	Taramesa	06°086′055″N	038°45′605″E	1745–1868
5.	Tellamo	06°083′629″N	038°48′489″E	1825–1906
6.	Gonowagoda	06°084′609″N	038°50′916″E	1855–1990
7.	AbelaLida	06°54′993″N	038°29′317″E	1945–1960
8.	Galakohireye	06°54′864″N	038°30′315″E	2006–2011
9.	Arossa	06°54′713″N	038°31′788″E	2111–2135

Table 2 Plant species present in Sidama agroforestry systems the time of sampling

S. no.	Latin names	Family	Amharic	Sidamigna
1.	*Ensete ventricosum* (Welw.) Cheesman	Musaceae	Enset	Wesse
2.	*Catha edulis* (vahl.) Forssk.ex Endl	Celastraceae	Khat	Khat
3.	*Millettia ferruginea* Hochst	Fabaceae	Birbira	Hengedicho
4.	*Erythrina brucei* Schweinf	Fabaceae	Korch	Wellako
5.	*Cordia africana* Lam.	Boraginaceae	Wanza	Wadicho
6.	*Croton macrostachyus*(HochstExDel.)	Euphorbaceae	Bisana	Mesincho
7.	*Prunus africana* (Hook.f.) Kalkm.	Rosaceae	TikurInchet	Garbicho
8.	*Vernonia amygdalina* Del.	Asteraceae	Grawa	Hecho
9.	*Persea americana* Mill.	Lauraceae	Avokado	Abukato
10.	*Mengifera indica* L.	Anacardiaceae	Mango	Mango
11.	*Saccharum officinarum* L.	Poaceae	Shenkorageda	Shenkora
12.	*Ricinus communis* L.	Euphorbiaceae	Castor	Qenboo
13.	*Coffea arabica* L.	Rubiaceae	Coffee	Buna
14.	*Zea mays* L.	Poaceae	Bokolo	Bedela
15.	*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.	Fabaceae	Common bean	Wahe
16.	*Ipomoea batatas* (L.) Lam	Convolvulaceae	Sikuardinich	Metatessa
17.	*Solanum tuberosum* L.	Solanaceae	Potato	Dinich
18.	*Cucurbita pepo* L.	Cucurbitaceae	Pumpkin	Baqula
19.	*Brassica integrifolia* (West) O.E. schulz	Brassicaceae	Kale	Shana
20.	*Cappisicum annuum* L.	Solanaceae	*Chilly*	*Mitmita*
21.	*Rhamnus prinoides* L' herit	Rhamnaceae	Gesho	Taddo
22.	*Dioscorea alata* L.	Dioscoraceae	Boyna	Bohe
23.	*Colocasia esculenta* (L.) Schoot	Araceae	Godere	Qolchoma

The stained root segments were mounted in acidic glycerol on slides. The roots were then observed under the compound microscope ($200\times$ objective magnification). The presence of colonization in a root segment was recorded if hyphae (only), vesicle or arbuscule were found. About 100–150 intersections were examined for each sample. Total root colonization was calculated using the following formula: % Colonization = Total number of positive segments/Total number of segments studied $\times$ 100.

Spore population

From each soil sample 100 g soil was mixed in a 2 L capacity beaker containing 1.5 L of water. The soil in water was agitated by stirring vigorously by hand and left to settle down for about 5 min. The suspension was then sequentially (480, 100, 50 and 38 μm) sieved following the wet sieving and decanting method (Gerdemann and Nicolson 1963). The last pellet (38 μm) was suspended in 60 % sucrose solution

and thoroughly mixed and centrifuged at 2000 rpm for 1 min and the spores were rinsed carefully with tap water and transferred into plastic petri-dishes.

The AMF spores and sporocarps of each sample were counted under $4\times$ stereomicroscope. The spore densities were expressed as the numbers of spores and sporocarps per 100 g^{-1} of dry soil. Representative Morphospecies were mounted on slides with polyvinyl-lactic acid-glycerol (PVLG) or PVLG mixed with Melzer's reagent (1:1 v/v). Taxonomic differentiation was made based on the descriptions of the International Culture Collection of Vesicular/Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi (http://invam. caf.wvu.edu; 2005), and following descriptions by Schenck and Pérez (1990).

Statistical analysis

Data on spore abundance and root colonization was log(x) and arcsine (the inverse sine of the square root of the proportion) transformed using PAST3 (ver. 1.0.0.0) and SPSS software package (version 20.0)

respectively, prior to analysis to meet assumptions of ANOVA such as normality and homogeneity of variance.

Significance of differences in AM fungal spore abundance and percentage of root colonization between the samples was tested using Duncan's multiple range test at P < 0.05 after one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with the SPSS software package (version 20.0) (Fisher and Yates 1970). Pearson's correlation analysis was used to study the relationship between AMF and soil parameters.

Results

Soil physicochemical properties under different plant species

The physicochemical properties of soil samples of each plant are shown in Table 3. The soil in the study area was slightly acidic with mean pH of 5.87; mean organic carbon, total nitrogen, available phosphorus and potassium being 2.73, 0.30, 12.39 and 1.10 respectively. When individual plant species were considered, all plant species studied significantly affected the rhizosphere soil chemical properties (Table 3). They showed variation in soil pH (H_2O) from 5.0 (acidic) to 7.0 (neutral), whereas soil nitrogen content was in between 0.19 (medium) and 0.36 % (high). Similarly, phosphorus (Olson) content varied from the lowest 6.75 mg P/kg (*Solanum tuberosum*) to the highest 18.25 mg P/kg (*Persea americana*). Potassium was highest in the rhizosphere soil of *Ipomoea batatas* (2.18cmol (+)/kg) and the lowest (0.55 cmol(+)/kg) was recorded from *Prunus africana* and *Ricinus communis*. Organic carbon (OC) content of soil sample fall in between 2.04 (high) and 3.45 (very high).

AMF root colonization and spore density

The AMF root colonization on the different agroforestry plant species is presented in Table 4. The highest percentage root length colonization (RLC) was recorded in *Ensete ventricosum* (86 %) and the lowest in *Rhamnus prinoides* (53 %) and *Colosica esculenta* (52 %) respectively (Table 4). All plants were infected with AMF arbuscules and vesicles except *Brassica integrifolia* and *Cucurbita pepo* species (data not shown) (Table 4).

In case of vesicle formation, the highest colonization was found in *Ensete ventricosum* and *Coffea arabica* each 20.33 % followed by *Zea mays* 18.67 % and *Catha edulis* (17 %) and the lowest in *Persea americana* (9.67 %), *Mangifera indica* (9.33) and *Discorea alata* (9 %). Considering arbuscule formation, the highest percentage was observed in *Ricinus communis* (18 %), *Persea americana* (18 %) followed by *Ensete ventricosum* (15 %) and *Solanum tuberosum* (15.33 %). The lowest arbuscular colonization was recorded for *Colocasia esculenta* (5 %). In most of the records arbuscular and vesicular colonization are not significantly different at P < 0.05 level.

The highest number of spore population/100 g dry soil was recorded in the rhizosphere soil of *Croton macrostachyus* (1066) followed by *Catha edulis* (1054) and *Coffea arabica* (995) and the lowest density was recorded in *Dioscorea alata* (100 spores/ 100 g soil) (Table 4). From the data (Table 4), it is also observed that the percentage root colonization and spore population do not correlate to each other.

Current result in this study reveals that under highest P and N concentrations spore density decreased in soils from rhizospheres of some plant species, although the opposite is true for the other species. For soils from the rhizospheres of *Persea americana* (P, 19.25; N 0.29), *Vernonia amygdalina* (P, 17.07; N 0.34), *Mangifera indica* (P, 16.50; N, 0.36), and *Dioscorea alata* (P, 17.09; N, 0.19) was recorded, 550 & 80, 600 & 78, 580 & 80 and 100 & 66 spores per 100 g soil and percentage root colonization respectively.

On the other hand for agroforestry plant species with low to medium P concentration such as *Catha edulis* (P, 7.12; N, 0.23) *Croton macrostachyus* (P, 8.15; N, 0.33), *Coffea arabica* (p,7.68; N, 0.32) was recorded 1054 & 85, 1066 & 64 and 995 & 80 spores per 100 g soil and percentage root colonization respectively. This result indicates that different plant species respond to AMF differently under low, medium and high concentrations of nutrients in their rhizospheres.

Pearson's correlation coefficient showed that spore density was not significantly correlated with soil pH, OC, total nitrogen & potassium and significant negative correlation with available phosphorus (r = −0.346, P = 0.005) and electron conductivity (r = −0.441, P = 0.00) at P < 0.01 level (2-tailed). Spore density was also negatively correlated with total nitrogen, but not significantly different.

Table 3 Rhizosphere soil chemical properties of plants

S. no.	Plant species	pH (H$_2$O)	OC (%)	TN (%)	AP(Olson) (mg/kg)	Kcmol(+)/kg
1.	*Ensete ventricosum* (Welw.) Cheesman	6.49 ± .12b	2.41 ± .23a	0.26 ± 0.02c	10.20 ± 1.3e	0.61 ± 0.33a
2.	*Catha edulis* (vahl.) Forssk.ex Endl	5.02 ± 0.00a	2.04 ± 0.02a	0.23 ± 0.00b	7.12 ± 0.01b	0.88 ± 0.01a
3.	*Millettia ferruginea* Hochst	6.53 ± 0.03b	2.46 ± 0.01a	0.36 ± 0.01d	9.13 ± 0.01d	1.01 ± 0.00b
4.	*Erythrina bruce* Schweinf	6.11 ± 0.01b	2.48 ± 0.03a	0.31 ± 0.00d	9.10 ± 0.05d	1.16 ± 0.01b
5.	*Cordia africana* Lam.	7.12 ± 0.01c	3.45 ± 0.03a	0.36 ± 0.01d	15.33 ± 0.02g	1.22 ± 0.01b
6.	*Croton macrostachyus* (HochstExDel)	6.50 ± 0.06b	2.50 ± 0.06a	0.33 ± 0.02d	8.15 ± 0.01c	0.98 ± 0.01ab
7.	*Prunus africana* (Hook.f.) Kalkm.	5.71 ± 0.32a	2.64 ± 0.01ab	0.29 ± 0.00d	16.12 ± 0.01h	0.55 ± 0.01a
8.	*Vernonia amygdalina* Del.	5.60 ± 0.08a	3.15 ± 0.00c	0.34 ± 0.01d	17.07 ± 0.06i	1.19 ± 0.05b
9.	*Persea americana* Mill.	6.40 ± 0.12b	2.63 ± 0.00ab	0.29 ± 0.01d	18.25 ± 0.14j	0.88 ± 0.01a
10.	*Mengifera indica* L.	5.20 ± 0.23a	2.74 ± 0.01ab	0.36 ± 0.02d	16.50 ± 0.06h	0.75 ± 0.02a
11.	*Saccharum officinarum* L.	5.35 ± 0.02a	2.31 ± 0.00a	0.29 ± 0.01d	9.23 ± 0.03c	1.35 ± 0.0b
12.	*Ricinus communis* L.	5.38 ± 0.03a	2.63 ± 0.04ab	0.29 ± 0.00d	8.21 ± 0.01c	0.55 ± 0.06a
13.	*Coffea arabica* L.	6.50 ± 0.06b	3.21 ± 0.01c	0.32 ± 0.01d	7.68 ± 0.01b	1.21 ± 0.02b
14.	*Zea mays* L.	5.63 ± 0.04a	2.51 ± 0.29a	0.30 ± 0.01d	8.93 ± 0.04c	0.71 ± 0.02a
15.	*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.	5.51 ± 0.29a	3.14 ± 0.02c	0.33 ± 0.01d	7.68 ± 0.28b	1.14 ± 0.01b
16.	*Ipomoea batatas* (L.) Lam	5.14 ± 0.09a	2.53 ± 0.00a	0.30 ± 0.01d	7.35 ± 0.03b	2.18 ± 0.01c
17.	*Solanum tuberosum* L.	5.33 ± 0.09a	2.71 ± 0.02ab	0.35 ± 0.00d	6.75 ± 0.03a	1.15 ± 0.01b
18.	*Cappsicum annuum* L.	6.05 ± 0.48b	2.47 ± 0.02a	0.30 ± 0.01d	14.52 ± 0.25f	0.95 ± 0.03ab
19.	*Rhamnus prinoides* L' herit	5.67 ± 0.22a	2.65 ± 0.00ab	0.34 ± 0.01d	16.01 ± 1.16h	1.20 ± 0.02b
20.	*Dioscorea alata* L.	5.93 ± 0.08ab	2.37 ± 0.07a	0.19 ± 0.01a	17.09 ± 0.03i	1.34 ± 0.01b
21.	*Colocasia esculenta* (L.) Schoot.	5.23 ± 0.09a	2.78 ± 0.01ab	0.27 ± 0.01c	16.60 ± 0.12h	2.22 ± 0.01c
	Mean total	5.87 ± 0.00ab	2.73 ± 0.05ab	0.30 ± 0.01d	12.39 ± 0.54ef	1.10 ± 0.05a

OC organic carbon, *TN* total nitrogen, *AP* available phosphors, *K* potassium

Similar letters in columns show no significant difference between plant species at P < 0.05

Pearson's correlation coefficient between root colonization and soil parameters showed that it is negatively correlated to available phosphorus (r = −0.324, P = 0.010) and potassium (r = −0.301, P = 0.017) and significantly different at P < 0.01 and P < 0.05 level (2-tailed) respectively. It was positive with pH and total nitrogen but not significantly different and was negative but significantly different between root colonization and organic carbon, and negative but not significantly different in between EC and root colonization.

AMF spore diversity

In general eight different AMF genera were identified (Table 5): *Acaulospora*, *Claroidioglomus*, *Funneliformis*, *Glomus*, *Gigaspora*, *Rhizophugus*, *Septoglomus*, and *Scutellospora* of which *Glomus* and *Acaulospora* were the dominant genera found in all species. The highest percentage of *Glomus* was recorded in *Coffea arabica* (15.27 %) and *Ensete ventricosum* (12.68 %) the lowest being recorded in *Cappisicum annuum* and *Colocasia esculenta* (0.29 %). The highest percentage of *Acaulospora* was recorded in three species; *Ensete ventricosum*, *Coffea arabica*, and *Zea mays* with 14.93, 10.85 and 11.76 % respectively. The highest percentage was recorded for *Claroideoglomus* (24.18 %) in *Croton macrostachyus*, *Funneliformis* (33.9 %) in *Ensete ventricosum*, *Gigaspora* (22.94 %) in *Catha edulis*, *Rhizophugus* (28.67 %) in *Ensete ventricosum*, *Septoglomus* (20 %) in *Saccharum officinarum*, and *Scutellospora* (16.67 %) in *Ensete ventricosum*.

In this study, observed plant species density (Fig. 1) in the agroforestry does not induced consistent

Table 4 Root colonization and spore density of AMF in agroforestry plant species

S. no.	Plant species	AMF structural colonization (%) and spore density			
		AC	VC	RLC	SD/100 g^{-1} dry soil
1.	*Ensete ventricosum* (Welw.) Cheesman	15.00 ± 2.08ab	20.33 ± 0.33a	85.73 ± 3.73a	630.00 ± 2.90d
2.	*Catha edulis* (vahl.) Forssk.ex Endl	16.67 ± 2.33a	17.00 ± 1.15b	84.74 ± 1.24a	1054.00 ± 53.12a
3.	*Millettia ferruginea* Hochst	11.67 ± 0.88b	16.67 ± 1.76b	83.80 ± 2.22ab	686.00 ± 2.31d
4.	*Erythrina brucei* Schweinf	13.67 ± 1.67abc	14.67 ± 1.33bc	80.23 ± 1.04b	768.00 ± 39.26cd
5.	*Cordia africana* Lam.	12.00 ± 2.31c	14.00 ± 3.00bc	72.33 ± 1.57c	880.00 ± 49.07c
6.	*Croton macrostachyusa* (Hochst ExDel.)	10.00 ± 0.58c	14.33 ± 0.33c	64.11 ± 3.20d	1066.00 ± 19.63a
7.	*Prunus africana* (Hook.f.) Kalkm.	11.67 ± 0.33b	9.67 ± 0.88e	57.67 ± 2.69d	675.00 ± 14.43d
8.	*Vernonia amygdalina* Del.	7.00 ± 1.53c	13.67 ± 2.40cbc	77.78 ± 1.91b	600.00 ± 46.19d
9.	*Persea americana* Mill.	18.33 ± 3.84a	15.33 ± 3.18bc	80.32 ± 2.47ab	550.00 ± 21.94e
10.	*Mengifera indica* L.	10.33 ± 1.20c	9.33 ± 0.88de	79.97 ± 0.77b	580.00 ± 69.28d
11.	*Saccharum officinarum* L.	13.67 ± 4.18abc	16.00 ± 4.04ab	68.87 ± 2.25b	625.00 ± 14.43d
12.	*Ricinus communis* L.	18.00 ± 5.69ab	14.00 ± 4.1bcd	74.25 ± 4.31bc	800.00 ± 86.60c
13.	*Coffea arabica* L.	11.33 ± 1.45c	20.33 ± 2.19a	80.14 ± 1.89b	995.00 ± 2.89b
14.	*Zea mays* L.	12.67 ± 0.33b	18.67 ± 1.76ab	80.59 ± 1.82b	700.00 ± 46.19c
15.	*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.	11.33 ± 1.67c	15.00 ± 0.58b	73.27 ± 1.10c	495.00 ± 83.72d
16.	*Ipomoea batatas* (L.) Lam	12.00 ± 0.58b	15.00 ± 0.58b	70.62 ± 1.96c	665.00 ± 39.84d
17.	*Solanum tuberosum* L.	15.33 ± 5.55ab	12.00 ± 2.52c	73.04 ± 1.63c	520.00 ± 11.55e
18.	*Cappisicum annuum* L.	12.33 ± 1.45b	10.00 ± 3.00dcd	72.67 ± 1.76c	632.00 ± 27.71d
19.	*Rhamnus prinoides* L' herit	9.67 ± 1.20c	9.67 ± 0.88de	53.33 ± 5.02d	751.00 ± 5.20c
20.	*Dioscorea alata* L.	11.33 ± 1.45c	9.00 ± 1.53de	60.52 ± 4.67d	100.00 ± 2.89f
21.	*Colocasia esculenta* (L.) Schoot	5.00 ± 1.0d	14.33 ± 7.17c	51.50 ± 7.17d	660.00 ± 92.38cd

AC Arbuscular colonization, *VC* vesicular colonization, *RLC* total root length colonization, *SD* spore density

Similar letters in columns show no significant difference between groups at P < 0.05

spore formation and percentage root colonization values in all plant species. *Colocasia esculenta* with its relative abundance value of 0.1 % (982) showed percentage colonization of 52 %, spore density of 660 and for *Dioscorea alata* with its relative abundance value of 0.05 % (511) was recorded root colonization of 61 %, more than *Colocasia esculenta* (Fig. 1) and the least spore density of 100 spore/100 g soil.

On the other hand for species with the highest value of percentage abundance 0.22 % (2107) in *Ensete ventricosum*, was recorded the highest percentage colonization (86 %) and medium number of spore density (630 spores/100 g dry soil). For *Croton macrostachyus* with percentage abundance value of about 0.008 % (75), was recorded 1066 spores/100 g soil and 64.11 % root colonization. The next highest spore density (1054 100^{-1} g soil) and root colonization (85 %) was recorded for perennial crop *Catha edulis* with its percentage abundance value of about

0.02 % (188), followed by *Coffee arabica*, 995 spores 100^{-1} g soil and 80 % colonization with its abundance value of 0.12 % (1147) respectively. This indicates that in some plant species (e.g. *Ensete ventricosum*) density decreased the spore production but in some other plant species such as *Coffee arabica* plant species density has increased spore production.

Discussion

The effects of plant species density and its associated soil chemical properties in Sidama agroforestry homegardens were studied to determine their influence on AMF diversity, spore density and root colonization. The arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi spore population and root colonization pattern varied in different plant species in Sidama homegarden agroforestry systems. The study on diversity of AMF in

Table 5 Percentage AMF diversity in the 21 plant species in Sidama agroforestry

S. no.	Plant species	Different genera of AMF (%)								
		Ac.	Clar.	Fun.	Glo.	Giga.	Rhi.	Sep.	Scut.	Sp. no.
1.	*Ensete ventricosum* (Welw.) Cheesman	14.93h	14.38f	33.9h	12.68	17.65	28.67	13.33	16.67	8
2.	*Catha edulis* (vahl.) Forssk.ex Endl	3.18d	–	10.17e	9.8	22.94	16	–	2.38	6
3.	*Millettia ferruginea* Hochst	4.07e	14.38f	11.86f	11.82	2.35	12.67	6.67	5.95	8
4.	*Erythrin abrucei* Schweinf	4.07e	5.23e	16.95g	2.31	3.53	3.33	–	1.19	7
5.	*Cordia africana* Lam.	3.62d	5.23e	5.08c	0.86	3.53	0.67	–	2.38	7
6.	*Croton macrostachyus* (HochstEx Del)	9.95f	24.18 h	6.78d	9.51	1.76	7.33	13.33	8.33	8
7.	*Prunus africana* (Hook.f.) Kalkm.	3.17d	1.31a	–	1.73	1.18	6	–	4.76	6
8.	*Vernonia amygdalina* Del.	2.71c	2.61c	3.39b	4.9	1.18	6	13.33	4.76	8
9.	*Persea americana* Mill.	4.07e	–	–	1.73	1.18	2.67	–	3.57	5
10.	*Mengifera indica* L.	1.36b	1.31a	–	3.17	–	2	–	3.57	5
11.	*Saccharum officinarum* L.	3.62d	2.61c	–	3.46	0.58	3.33	20	7.14	7
12.	*Ricinus communis* L.	3.18d	–	3.39b	1.44	2.53	0.67	–	3.57	6
13.	*Coffea arabica* L.	10.85f	17.64 g	–	15.27	17.65	2	–	13.1	6
14.	*Zea mays* L.	11.76g	–	–	12.1	14.1	0.67	13.33	4.76	6
15.	*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.	4.07e	1.31a	3.39b	1.44	2.53	3.33	–	4.76	7
16.	*Ipomoea batatas* (L.) Lam	2.26c	3.92d	–	2.59	2.94	2	–	3.57	6
17.	*Solanum tuberosum* L.	1.8b	–	3.39b	1.73	1.76	–	13.33	5.95	6
18.	*Cappisicum annuum* L.	3.18d	1.31a	–	0.29	–	–	–	–	3
19.	*Rhanmnu sprinoides* L' herit	2.26c	1.96b	1.69a	0.58	–	–	–	–	4
20.	*Dioscorea alata* L.	1.36b	1.31a	–	0.86	1.18	–	6.67	1.19	6
21	*Colocasia esculenta* (L.) School.	0.45a	1.31a	–	0.29	1.18	–	–	–	4
	Mean	9.91	7.29	2.814	16.29	8.05	6.95	0.71	3.90	

Ac. Acaulospora, Claro. Claroidioglomus, Fun. Funneliformis, Glo. Glomus, Giga. Gigaspora, Rhi. Rhizophugus, Sep. Septoglomus, Scut. Scutellospora

Similar letters in columns show no significant difference between groups at $P < 0.05$

relation to soil parameters and plant species density did not show significant differences in AMF diversity indices (Shannon–Weaver diversity index, Simpson's Index of Diversity (data not shown)) due to plant species density and soil physical and chemical properties. However, there were differences in AMF spore density and root colonization between plant species. Shifts in AMF species composition with increasing or decreasing plant species density may be explained in part by differential responses of individual AMF species to individual host plant species and edaphic factors in the rhizospheres of each plant species.

According to Koide and Dickie (2002), three mechanisms have been proposed to account for plant density-dependent regulation of mycorrhizal colonization. According to their report, higher plant together with higher root density causes, (a) an increase in overlap of phosphorus depletion zone,

(b) a competition for light results in less carbohydrate available for mycorrhizal fungi and (c) an increase in cost-benefit ratio of mycorrhizal colonization. As plant density increase, competition for light increases and photosynthesis per plant declines and plants become limited more by carbon than by P reducing the root colonization. However, in some cases, increasing plant density has been associated with increasing AMF colonization (Eissenstat and Newman 1990; Allsopp and Stock 1992).

Most of the plants included in this study are multiple purpose trees and perennial crops with course root systems. The variation in the percentage of colonization in the roots and the AM population in the rhizosphere soils of different plants recorded in the present study, therefore might explain the generally held view that plants with coarse roots gain more AMF (Mahmud et al. 1999) compared to those with fine

Fig. 1 Effect of plant species density on root colonization and spore density. *PD* plant density, *RLC* total root length colonization; *SD* spore density, *En* Enset, *Ca* Catha, *Mi* Millettia, *Er* Erythrina, *Co* Cordia, *Cr* Croton, *Pr* Prunus, *Ve* Vernonia, *Pe* Persea, *Me* Mengifera, *Sa* Saccharum, *Ri* Ricinus, *Cof* Coffee, *Z* Zea, *Ph* Phaseolus, *Ip* Ipomoea, *So* solanum, *Ca* Cappisicum, *Rh* Rhamnus, *Di* Discorea, *Col* Colocasia

roots. These differences might be due to the presence of diverse type of AMF in the rhizosphere soils of individual plant species or might be a manifestation of greater host susceptibility to AMF (Mehrotra 1998).

In this study eight different AMF genera were identified: *Acaulospora*, *Claroidioglomus*, *Funneliformis*, *Glomus*, *Gigaspora*, *Rhizophugus*, *Septoglomus*, and *Scutellospora* of which *Glomus* and *Acaulospora* were the dominant genera found in all species. This is not surprising since it was previously shown that *Glomus* and *Acaulospora* species are most abundant among the glomeromycotan genera in tropical areas (Gerdemann and Trappe 1974; Blaszkowski 1989; Talukdar and Germida 1993; Zerihun Belay et al. 2014). *Glomus* species is widely distributed regardless of the type and intensity of disturbance in the different ecosystems, whereas *Acaulospora* is dominant in least disturbed agro ecosystems (Snoeck et al. 2010).

In our study, the total spore density, in general, did not correlate with mycorrhizal colonization, possibly because of the presence of a diverse population of AM fungal species or according to Bierman and Linderman (1983), it could be due to the fact that there are AMF species that rely more on extensive formation of hyphal networks instead of survival through spore formation as primary infective propagules.

The relationship between spore numbers and mycorrhizal colonization has been found positive by several workers (Giovannetti and Nicolson 1983) but negative by others (Louis and Lim 1987). Some workers have also found no relationship between mycorrhizal colonization and spore density of AMF (Diaz and Honrubia 1994). The factors like edaphic or climatic condition; host fungus compatibility, root properties and soil microorganisms might influence the abundance of spore population and mycorrhizal associations with a particular tree species.

It is noticeable in the present study that the wide spread presence of *Glomus* and *Acaulospora* in the rhizospheres of selected plants, makes them favorable fungi for mass multiplication as well as seedling inoculation for their better establishment. The present survey of AM fungi on Sidama agroforestry plant species reveals that AM fungi are common, occurring in 21 of the 23 plant species examined. However, when establishing new agroforestry practices the tree species must possess adequate amounts of mycorrhizal colonization at the planting stage in order to survive better and perform well in adverse agroforestry sites. More studies are needed to select the suitable indigenous AM fungal strains for the production of quality plant and expanding the awareness of the role of AMF in agroforestry systems to the small holder peasants for better organic and sustainable production should be initiated.

Conclusion

The investigation reveals that AM fungi are common, occurring in 21 out of 23 plant species examined. The study also demonstrated the influence of different plant densities on the diversity of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. As to the dependency of AMF on soil parameters, plants exhibited variable patterns; some with high concentration of P showed lower spore density and root colonization, while others shows the opposite phenomena. *Glomus* and *Acaulospora* were dominant in this study. They could be used for mass multiplication as well as seedling inoculation for better establishment of major trees and perennial crops which can also serve as inoculums sources. Mycorrhization of agroforestry plants has gained considerable attention over the last few years because of their role as bio-fertilizers for improving host growth. More

studies are needed to select the suitable indigenous AM fungal strains for the production of quality plant and expand the awareness of the role of mycorrhiza in agroforestry systems to the small holder farmers.

References

Allsopp N, Stock WF (1992) Density dependent interactions between VA mycorrhizal fungi and even-aged seedlings of two perennial Fabaceae species. Oecologia 91:281–287. doi:10.1007/BF00317797

Belay Z, Asefa F, Mauritz V (2014) Diversity and abundance of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi associated with acacia trees from different land use systems in Ethiopia. Afr J Microb Res 7(48):550

Bierman B, Linderman RG (1983) Use of vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal roots, intraradicle vesicles and extraradicle vesicles asinoculum. New Phyto 95:97–105

Black C, Evans AD, White JL, Ensminger LE, Clark FE (1965) Methods of soil analysis part 1. American Society of Agronomy, Madison, Wis, USA, Physical and Mineralogical Properties Including Statistics of Measurement and Sampling

Blaszkowski J (1989) The occurrence of the Endogonaceae in Poland. Agric Ecosy Environ 29:45–50

Bolan NS, Robson AD, Barrow NJ (1987) Effects of vesicular–arbuscular mycorrhiza on theavailability of iron phosphates to plants. Plant Soil 99:401–410

Bremner JM, Mulvaney SC (1982) Nitrogen-total. In: Page AL (ed) Methods of soil analysis, vol 2. American Society of Agronomy, Madison, pp 595–624

da Silva CS, Menezes RSC, de Sampaio EVSB, Oehl F, Maia LC (2013) Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi within agroforestry and traditional land use systems in semi-arid northeast Brazil. Acta Scient Agron 35:307–314

Dalpe Y, Plenchette C, Gueye M (2000) Glomales species associated with surface and deep rhizosphere of *Faidherbia albida* in Senegal. Mycorrhiza 10:125–129

Demir S, Kaya I, Şavur OB, Özkan OU (2008) Determination of Arbuscular-Mycorrhizal fungus (AMF) from the plants belonging to Gramineae Family in Van Province. YYU J Agric Sci 18(2):103–111

Diaz G, Honrubia M (1994) A mycorrhizal survey of plants growing on mine wastes in southern Spain. Arid Soil Res Rehabil 8:59–68

Eissenstat DM, Newman EI (1990) Seedling establishment near large plants: effects of vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizas on the intensity of plant competition. Funct Ecol 4:95–99

Emiru Birhanea WK, Thomas JS Frank, Frans B (2010) Arbuscular mycorrhizal associations in *Boswellia*

papyrifera (frankincense-tree) dominated dry deciduous woodlands of northern Ethiopia. For Ecol Manag 260:2160–2169

Fisher RA, Yates F (1970) Statistical tables for biological, agriculture and medical research, 6th edn. Hafner, Davien

Gerdemann JW, Nicolson TH (1963) Spores of mycorrhizal *Endogone* species extracted from soil by wet sieving and decanting. Trans Br Mycol Soc 46:235–244

Gerdemann JW, Trappe MJ (1974) The Endogonaceae in the Pacific Northwest. Mycologia Memoir, no. 5. The New York Botanical Garden, New York

Giovannetti M, Nicolson HT (1983) Vesicular–arbuscular mycorrhizas in Italian sand dunes. Trans Br Mycol Soc 80:552–555

Jasper DA (1992) Management of mycorrhizas in revegetation. In: Robson AD, Abbott LK, Malajczuk N (eds) Proceedings of international symposium on management of mycorrhizas in agriculture, horticulture and forestry

Jefwa JM, Sinclair R, Maghembe JA (2006) Diversity of glomale mycorrhizal fungi in maize/sesbania intercrops and maize monocrop systems in Southern Malawi. Agrofor Syst 67:107–114

Jones BJJ (2001) Laboratory guide for conducting soil tests and plant analysis. CRC Press, Dallas

Koide RT, Dickie IA (2002) Effects of mycorrhizal fungi on plants populations. Plant Soil 244:307–317. doi:10.1023/A:1020204004844

Li XL, Marschnerm H, George E (1991) Extension of the phosphorus depletion zone in VA mycorrhizal white clover in a calcareous soil. Plant Soil 135:41–48

Louis L, Lim G (1987) Spore density and root colonization of vesicular–arbuscular mycorrhizas in tropical soil. Trans Br Mycol Soc 88:207–212

Mahmud RM, Mridha UA, Osman TK, Xu LH, Umemura H (1999) Relationship between edaphic factors and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in soils of rubber plantation. The 207th Annual Meeting of Japanese Society of Crop Science, 2–3 April, 1999, Tokyo. Jap J Crop Sci 68 (Extra 1):244–245

Mehrotra VS (1998) Arbuscular mycorrhizal association of plants colonizing coal mine spoils in India. J Agric Sci Camb 130:123–133

Millat-e-Mustafa M (1997) Tropical homegardens: an overview. In: Alam MK, Ahmed FU, Ruhul Amin AM (eds) Agroforestry: Bangladesh perspective. BARC, Trombay, pp 18–33

Olsen SR, Dean LA (1965) Phosphorous. In: Black CA (ed) Methods of soil analysis part 2: chemical and microbiological properties, vol 9. American Society of Agronomy, Madison, pp 1035–1049

Ortaş İ (2002) Do Plants depend on mycorrhizae in terms of nutrient requirement? In: International conference on sustainable land use and management. Çanakkale.

Phillips JM, Hayman DS (1970) Improved procedures for clearing roots and staining parasitic and vesicular–arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi for rapid assessment of infection. Trans Br Mycol Soc 55:158–161

Power ME, Mills LS (1995) The keystone cops meet in Hilo. Tree 10:182–184

Raintree JB (1997) Agroforestry concepts. In: Alam MK, Ahmed FU, Ruhul Amin AM (eds) Agroforestry: Bangladesh perspective. BARC, Trombay, pp 1–17

Rhodes LH (1980) The use of mycorrhizae in crop production systems. Outlook Agric 10(6):275–281

Roy I (1997) Cropland agroforestry: the experience of the village and farm forestry programme. In: Alam MK, Ahmed FU, Ruhul Amin AM (eds) Agroforestry: Bangladesh perspective. BARC, Trombay, pp 98–111

Schenck NC, Pérez Y (1990) Manual for the identification of VA mycorrhizal fungi. Synergistic-Publications, Gainesville

Schnitzer M (1982) Total carbon, organic matter and carbon. In: Page AL, Miller RH, Keeney DR (eds) Methods of soil analysis—part 2. Agronomy monograph, vol 9, 2nd edn. American Society of Agronomy, Madison, pp 539–577

Sieverding E (1991) Vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhiza management in tropical agro systems. German Technical Cooperation (GTZ) Eschborn. ISBN 3 88085 462 9

Smith SE, Read DJ (1997) Mycorrhizal symbiosis, 2nd edn. Academic Press, London

Snoeck D, Abolo D, Jagoret P (2010) Temporal changes in VAM fungi in the cocoa agroforestry systems of central Cameroon. Agrofor Syst 78:323–328

Talukdar NC, Germida JJ (1993) Occurrence and isolation of vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizae in cropped field soils of Saskatchewan. Can J Microbial 39:576–586

Verbruggen E, Toby Kiers E (2010) Evolutionary ecology of mycorrhizal functional diversity in agricultural systems. Evol Appl 3:547–560

Wang FY, Liu RJ, Lin XG (2004) Arbuscular mycorrhizal status of wild plants in salin-alkaline soils of the yellow river delta. Mycorrhiza 14:133–137

Zebene A (2003) Tree species diversity, top soil conditions and Arbuscular mycorrhizal Association in the Sidama traditional agroforestry land use, southern Ethiopia. Doctorial Thesis, Department of Forest Management and Products, SLU, Acta Universitatis Sueciae, Silverstria