# Energy functionals and soliton equations for G2-forms

Hartmut Weiss · Frederik Witt

Received: 5 January 2012 / Accepted: 24 April 2012 / Published online: 13 May 2012 © Springer Science+Business Media B.V. 2012

**Abstract** We extend short-time existence and stability of the Dirichlet energy flow as proven in a previous article by the authors to a broader class of energy functionals. Furthermore, we derive some monotonely decreasing quantities for the Dirichlet energy flow and investigate an equation of soliton type. In particular, we show that nearly parallel  $G_2$ -structures satisfy this soliton equation and study their infinitesimal soliton deformations.

Keywords G<sub>2</sub>-manifolds · Geometric evolution equations

# **1** Introduction

In the quest for 'special' metrics, variational principles play an important rôle. A prominent example is the total scalar curvature functional on the space of Riemannian metrics, whose critical points are Ricci-flat metrics. In this article, we consider various functionals defined on  $\Omega^3_+(M)$ , the space of *positive 3-forms* on a compact, seven-dimensional spin manifold M. These forms are sections of the fibre bundle  $\Lambda^3_+T^*M \rightarrow M$  whose fibre is the *open* orbit  $GL(7)_+/G_2$  of  $GL(7)_+$  acting on  $\Lambda^3 \mathbb{R}^{7*}$ . Furthermore, such a section  $\Omega$  induces a Riemannian metric  $g_{\Omega}$  on M. We also refer to  $\Omega$  as a  $G_2$ -structure on M. The importance of this notion stems from the fact the only (irreducible) odd-dimensional instance of special holonomy comes from metrics of the form  $g_{\Omega}$ . A central problem is to find conditions which ensure the existence of a holonomy  $G_2$ -metric provided necessary topological conditions are met. Such a theorem would yield an analogue of Yau's celebrated theorem [18] which asserts

H. Weiss (🖂)

Mathematisches Institut der LMU München, Theresienstrasse 39, 80333 München, Germany

F. Witt

Mathematisches Institut der Universität Münster, Einsteinstrasse 62, 48149 Münster, Germany e-mail: frederik.witt@uni-muenster.de

e-mail: weiss@math.lmu.de

the existence of a metric with holonomy SU(m) on a Kähler manifold  $M^{2m}$  whose first Chern class vanishes.

The quantity we seek to extremalise is the *intrinsic torsion* of a positive 3-form  $\Omega$  which can be thought of as an endomorphism of TM (cf. Sect. 2 for a definition). To see what this means concretely we recall that by a result of Fernández and Gray [9],  $\Omega$  is *torsion-free*, i.e. its intrinsic torsion vanishes, if and only if  $d\Omega = 0$  and  $\delta_{\Omega}\Omega = 0$  (here,  $\delta_{\Omega}$  denotes the codifferential induced by  $g_{\Omega}$ ). This, in turn, is equivalent for the holonomy of  $g_{\Omega}$  to be contained in G<sub>2</sub>. In [17] we show that the critical points of the *Dirichlet energy functional* 

$$\mathcal{D}: \Omega^3_+(M) \to \mathbb{R}, \quad \Omega \mapsto \frac{1}{2} \int_M \left( |\mathrm{d}\Omega|^2_{\Omega} + |\delta_\Omega \Omega|^2_{\Omega} \right) \mathrm{vol}_{\Omega}$$

(with  $vol_{\Omega} = \Omega \wedge \star_{\Omega} \Omega/7$ ) are precisely the torsion-free forms. Since these are absolute minimisers of  $\mathcal{D}$ , it is natural to consider the negative gradient flow

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Omega_t = -\text{grad} \, \mathcal{D}(\Omega_t) =: \mathcal{Q}(\Omega_t) \tag{DF}$$

for  $t \in [0, T)$ , subject to some initial condition  $\Omega_0 \in \Omega^3_+(M)$ . Here, -grad denotes the negative  $L^2$ -gradient determined by  $D_\Omega \mathcal{D}(\dot{\Omega}) = -\langle Q(\Omega), \dot{\Omega} \rangle_\Omega = -\int_M Q(\Omega) \wedge \star_\Omega \dot{\Omega}$  for all  $\dot{\Omega} \in \Omega^3(M)$ . The principal results of [17] are these:

**Theorem 1.1** (Short-time existence) *The Dirichlet energy flow*  $\partial_t \Omega_t = Q(\Omega_t)$  *has a unique short-time solution for any initial condition*  $\Omega_0 \in \Omega^3_+(M)$ .

In particular, for any initial condition, there exists a unique solution to (DF) on a maximal time interval [0,  $T_{\text{max}}$ ) where  $T_{\text{max}} \in (0, \infty]$ .

**Theorem 1.2** (Stability) Let  $\overline{\Omega} \in \Omega^3_+(M)$  be torsion-free. Then for any initial condition sufficiently close to  $\overline{\Omega}$  in the  $C^{\infty}$ -topology, the Dirichlet energy flow exists for all times and converges modulo diffeomorphisms to a torsion-free G<sub>2</sub>-structure.

In this article, we analyse the flow (DF) further. Firstly, we derive various monotonely decreasing quantities. In particular, we show that the  $W^{1,2}$ -Sobolev norm  $\|\Omega_t\|_{W^{1,2}_{\Omega_t}}^2$  is bounded by a monotonely decreasing bound  $C_t$ . Moreover,  $\frac{d}{dt}C_t = 0$  if and only if  $\Omega_t$  is torsion-free. The proof involves the functional

$$\mathcal{C}(\Omega) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{M} |\nabla^{\Omega} \Omega|_{\Omega}^{2} \operatorname{vol}_{\Omega}$$

where  $\nabla^{\Omega}$  is the Levi–Civita connection induced by  $g_{\Omega}$ . Its critical points are again the torsion-free positive forms, and the associated negative gradient flow has properties very similar to (DF). In fact, both  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  are special instances of a whole family of energy functionals. To discuss these in general, we first recall that any  $\Omega \in \Omega^3_+(M)$  induces a G<sub>2</sub>-decomposition of *p*-forms  $\Lambda^p = \bigoplus_q \Lambda^p_q$  into irreducible modules, where *q* is the rank of the module. The corresponding module of sections will be denoted by  $\Omega^p_q(M)$  (this is analogous to the decomposition into (p, q)-forms over an almost-complex manifold). For example,

$$\Lambda^2 = \Lambda_7^2 \oplus \Lambda_{14}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda^3 = \Lambda_1^3 \oplus \Lambda_7^3 \oplus \Lambda_{27}^3. \tag{1}$$

Of course,  $\Lambda_1^3$  is spanned by the invariant form  $\Omega$ . Furthermore,  $\Lambda^1$  is irreducible. Since the induced Hodge-star operator  $\star_{\Omega}$  is a G<sub>2</sub>-equivariant isomorphism  $\Lambda^p \to \Lambda^{7-p}$ , we immediately get the decomposition of  $\Lambda^p$  for p = 4, 5 and 6. In particular, we can decompose

 $d\Omega$  and  $d \star_{\Omega} \Omega$  into irreducible components. Using various G<sub>2</sub>-equivariant isomorphisms, we can write

$$d\Omega = \tau_0 \star_\Omega \Omega + 3\tau_1 \wedge \Omega + \star_\Omega \tau_3 \tag{2}$$

and

$$\mathbf{d} \star_{\Omega} \Omega = 4\tau_1 \wedge \star_{\Omega} \Omega + \tau_2 \wedge \Omega \tag{3}$$

(see e.g. Proposition 1 in [5]) for uniquely determined *torsion forms*  $\tau_0 \in \Omega_1^0(M)$ ,  $\tau_1 \in \Omega_1^1(M)$ ,  $\tau_2 \in \Omega_{14}^2(M)$  and  $\tau_3 \in \Omega_{27}^3(M)$ . These forms depend on  $\Omega$  and can be thought of as maps from  $\Omega_+^3(M)$  to  $\Omega_q^p$ . The  $\tau_k(\Omega)$  vanish identically for all k if and only if  $\Omega$  is closed and coclosed, that is, if  $\Omega$  is torsion-free. Note in passing that it is not obvious that  $\tau_1$  appears twice in both  $d\Omega$  and  $d \star_{\Omega} \Omega$ , cf. [4]. Here, this will be a consequence of a Bianchi-type identity for  $\Omega$ , see the remark after Lemma 3.3. We now define the energy functionals

$$\mathcal{D}_{\nu} := \sum_{i=0}^{3} \nu_i \mathcal{D}_i$$

with

$$\mathcal{D}_i(\Omega) := \frac{1}{2} \int_M |\tau_i|_{\Omega}^2 \operatorname{vol}_{\Omega}$$

and  $\nu = (\nu_0, \nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3) \in \mathbb{R}^4$ . If  $\nu \in \mathbb{R}^4_+$ , that is, all entries in  $\nu$  are positive, then we can prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 for the *generalised Dirichlet energy flow* 

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \,\Omega_t = Q_\nu(\Omega_t), \qquad (\mathrm{DF}_\nu)$$

see Theorems 2.9 and 2.10. The flow (DF) is just the special case for  $\nu = (7, 84, 1, 1)$ . However, we shall write  $\mathcal{D}$  and Q for  $\mathcal{D}_{\nu}$  and  $Q_{\nu}$  in this case to be consistent with [17].

To obtain concrete solutions to  $(DF_v)$ , we consider the equation

$$Q_{\nu}(\Omega_0) = \mu_0 \Omega_0 + \mathcal{L}_{X_0} \Omega_0$$

for some real constant  $\mu_0$  and vector field  $X_0$  (with  $\mathcal{L}_{X_0}$  the Lie derivative along  $X_0$ ). In analogy with Ricci-flow, we call this the  $\mathcal{D}_{v}$ -soliton equation. A  $\mathcal{D}$ -soliton, where  $\mathcal{D}$  is the original Dirichlet energy functional, will be simply called a G<sub>2</sub>-soliton. For a  $\mathcal{D}_{\nu}$ -soliton  $\Omega_0$ as initial condition, the solution to  $(DF_v)$  has the form  $\Omega_t = \mu(t)\Omega_0$  with  $\mu(t) \searrow 0$  as  $t \nearrow T_{\text{max}}$ , and so becomes singular. As in the Ricci-flow case, one expects G<sub>2</sub>-solitons to play a major rôle in the study of finite time singularities. We first show that any G<sub>2</sub>-soliton is necessarily of the form  $Q(\Omega) = \mu \Omega$ . This is precisely the condition to be a critical point for  $\mathcal{D}$  subject to the constraint that the total volume  $\int_{\mathcal{M}} \operatorname{vol}_{\Omega}$  equals 1. Furthermore, any such G<sub>2</sub>-soliton is either steady, i.e.  $\mu_0 = 0$ , in which case the flow is constant and thus exists trivially for all times, or shrinking, i.e.  $\mu_0 < 0$ . In this case, the flow collapses in finite time. Our main result is that *nearly parallel* G<sub>2</sub>-structures (i.e. G<sub>2</sub>-structures for which all torsion forms but  $\tau_0$  vanish) are G<sub>2</sub>-solitons in the sense above (cf. Theorem 4.1). For example, the 7-sphere with the round metric is nearly parallel. In general, nearly parallel G<sub>2</sub>-structures induce Einstein metrics with positive Einstein constant. However, we do not know whether a soliton is necessarily of this type. Finally, we investigate the premoduli space of  $G_2$ -soliton deformations at a nearly parallel G<sub>2</sub>-structure. As in the Einstein case, we can prove that the premoduli space is a real-analytic subset of some finite-dimensional real analytic submanifold (cf. Theorem 5.7). Any infinitesimal Einstein deformation of a nearly parallel  $G_2$ -structure gives an infinitesimal soliton deformation, but again we do not know whether the converse holds.

## 1.1 Conventions

(i) In this article, we shall only encounter irreducible G<sub>2</sub>-representation spaces of dimension equal or less than 27. In this range, an irreducible G<sub>2</sub>-representation is uniquely determined by its dimension q. For instance, the space of symmetric 2-tensors  $\odot^2 \mathbb{R}^{7*}$  can be decomposed into the line spanned by the identity and the 27-dimensional irreducible space of tracefree 2-tensors  $\odot_0^2 \mathbb{R}^{7*}$ , which is thus isomorphic to  $\Lambda_{27}^3 \mathbb{R}^{7*}$ . Consequently, the module of endomorphisms can be decomposed into

$$\mathbb{R}^{7*} \otimes \mathbb{R}^{7*} = \odot^2 \mathbb{R}^{7*} \oplus \Lambda^2 \mathbb{R}^{7*} = \Lambda_0^3 \oplus \Lambda_{27}^3 \oplus \Lambda_7^3 \oplus \Lambda_{14}^2.$$
(4)

We denote projection onto irreducible components by  $[\cdot]_q$ . For example, a 3-form  $\dot{\Omega} \in \Omega^3(M)$  can be decomposed into  $\dot{\Omega} = [\dot{\Omega}]_1 \oplus [\dot{\Omega}]_7 \oplus [\dot{\Omega}]_{27}$  and an endomorphism  $\dot{A}$  into  $[\dot{A}]_1 \oplus [\dot{A}]_{7} \oplus [\dot{A}]_{14} \oplus [\dot{A}]_{27}$ .

(ii) If  $F : \Omega^3_+(M) \to E$  is a smooth map between Fréchet spaces, then we often write  $\dot{F}_{\Omega}$  for  $D_{\Omega}F(\dot{\Omega})$ , the linearisation of F at  $\Omega$  evaluated in  $\dot{\Omega} \in \Omega^3(M)$ . For example, for the map  $\Theta : \Omega^3_+(M) \to \Omega^4(M)$  which sends  $\Omega$  to  $\Theta(\Omega) = \star_{\Omega} \Omega$ , we get

$$\dot{\Theta}_{\Omega} = \star_{\Omega} p_{\Omega}(\dot{\Omega}) \tag{5}$$

with

$$p_{\Omega}(\dot{\Omega}) = \frac{4}{3} [\dot{\Omega}]_1 + [\dot{\Omega}]_7 - [\dot{\Omega}]_{27}$$

Another example is  $Q: \Omega^3_+(M) \to \Omega^3(M)$ , the negative gradient of  $\mathcal{D}$ , given by

$$Q(\Omega) = -\delta_{\Omega} d\Omega - p_{\Omega} (d\delta_{\Omega} \Omega) - q_{\Omega} (\nabla^{\Omega} \Omega),$$
(6)

where  $q_{\Omega}$  is determined by the identities

$$\langle \dot{\Omega}, q_{\Omega} (\nabla^{\Omega} \Omega) \rangle_{\Omega} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \langle \dot{\star}_{\Omega} d\Omega, \star_{\Omega} d\Omega \rangle_{\Omega} + \langle \dot{\star}_{\Omega} d\star_{\Omega} \Omega, \star_{\Omega} d\star_{\Omega} \Omega \rangle_{\Omega} \right)$$
(7)

to hold for all  $\dot{\Omega} \in \Omega^3(M)$ .

#### 2 The Dirichlet energy and the Hitchin functional

# 2.1 The torsion forms of a positive 3-form

Recall that  $\nabla^{\Omega}\Omega$  is a section of  $\Lambda^1 \otimes \Lambda^3_7$  and hence may be written as  $\nabla^{\Omega}\Omega = T(\Omega)$  for a uniquely determined tensor field  $T \in \Gamma(\Lambda^1 \otimes \Lambda^2_7)$ , the *intrinsic torsion* of the G<sub>2</sub>-structure (cf. for example [5]). Here the  $\Lambda^2_7$  factor of T acts, seen as an element in  $\Lambda^2 \cong \mathfrak{so}(7)$ , the Lie algebra of SO(7), equivariantly in the standard way on  $\Omega$  and gives an element in  $\Lambda^3_7$ . The module  $\Lambda^1_7 \otimes \Lambda^3_7$  decomposes as  $\Lambda^0_1 \oplus \Lambda^1_7 \oplus \Lambda^2_{14} \oplus \Lambda^3_{27}$  into G<sub>2</sub>-irreducible ones. Hence

$$\nabla^{\Omega}\Omega = \xi_1 + \xi_7 + \xi_{14} + \xi_{27},$$

🖄 Springer

where  $\xi_i$  denotes the projection of  $\xi := \nabla^{\Omega} \Omega$  onto the corresponding irreducible summand. The  $\xi_k$  are thus the irreducible components of the intrinsic torsion *T* under the embedding  $T \mapsto T(\Omega)$ .

**Proposition 2.1** Let  $\Omega \in \Omega^3_+(M)$  be a positive 3-form. Then the following holds:

(i) One has

$$|\mathrm{d}\Omega|_{\Omega}^{2} = 7\tau_{0}^{2} + 36|\tau_{1}|_{\Omega}^{2} + |\tau_{3}|_{\Omega}^{2}$$

and

$$|\delta_{\Omega}\Omega|^2_{\Omega} = 48|\tau_1|^2_{\Omega} + |\tau_2|^2_{\Omega}$$

In particular,

$$|d\Omega|_{\Omega}^{2} + |\delta_{\Omega}\Omega|_{\Omega}^{2} = 7\tau_{0}^{2} + 84|\tau_{1}|_{\Omega}^{2} + |\tau_{2}|_{\Omega}^{2} + |\tau_{3}|_{\Omega}^{2}.$$
(8)

(ii) One has

$$|\nabla^{\Omega}\Omega|_{\Omega}^{2} = \frac{7}{4}\tau_{0}^{2} + 24|\tau_{1}|_{\Omega}^{2} + 2|\tau_{2}|_{\Omega}^{2} + 2|\tau_{3}|_{\Omega}^{2}.$$
(9)

Proof (i) Clearly

$$|\mathrm{d}\Omega|_{\Omega}^{2} = \tau_{0}^{2} |\star_{\Omega}\Omega|_{\Omega}^{2} + 9|\tau_{1} \wedge \Omega|_{\Omega}^{2} + |\tau_{3}|_{\Omega}^{2},$$

which using  $|\star_{\Omega}\Omega|_{\Omega}^2 = |\Omega|_{\Omega}^2 = 7$  and  $|\tau_1 \wedge \Omega|_{\Omega}^2 = 4|\tau_1|_{\Omega}^2$  (cf. for instance Eq. (15) in [17]) yields the first equation. Similarly,

 $|\delta_\Omega \Omega|^2_\Omega = |d\star_\Omega \Omega|^2_\Omega = 16 |\tau_1 \wedge \star_\Omega \Omega|^2_\Omega + |\tau_2 \wedge \Omega|^2_\Omega$ 

as  $|\tau_1 \wedge \star_\Omega \Omega|_\Omega^2 = 3|\tau_1|_\Omega^2$  (cf. Eq.(15) in [17]) and  $|\tau_2 \wedge \Omega|_\Omega^2 = |\tau_2|_\Omega^2$ , for  $\Lambda_{14}^2 = \{\alpha \in \Lambda^2 \mid \alpha \wedge \Omega = -\star_\Omega \alpha\}$ . (ii) Let  $\varepsilon : \Lambda^1 \otimes \Lambda^k \to \Lambda^{k+1}$  and  $\iota : \Lambda^1 \otimes \Lambda^k \to \Lambda^{k-1}$  denote exterior resp. interior

(ii) Let  $\varepsilon : \Lambda^1 \otimes \Lambda^k \to \Lambda^{k+1}$  and  $\iota : \Lambda^1 \otimes \Lambda^k \to \Lambda^{k-1}$  denote exterior resp. interior multiplication. Then  $d\Omega = \epsilon(\xi)$  and  $\delta_\Omega \Omega = -\iota(\xi)$ . Since  $\varepsilon$  and  $\iota$  are GL-equivariant, one has more precisely

$$d\Omega = \varepsilon(\xi_1) + \varepsilon(\xi_7) + \varepsilon(\xi_{27})$$

and

$$\delta_{\Omega}\Omega = -\iota(\xi_7) - \iota(\xi_{14}).$$

We need to calculate the length distortion of the maps  $\xi$  and  $\iota$  on the irreducible summands. We claim that

$$|\varepsilon(\xi_1)|_{\Omega}^2 = 4|\xi_1|_{\Omega}^2, \quad |\varepsilon(\xi_7)|_{\Omega}^2 = \frac{3}{2}|\xi_7|_{\Omega}^2, \quad |\varepsilon(\xi_{27})|_{\Omega}^2 = \frac{1}{2}|\xi_{27}|_{\Omega}^2$$

and

$$|\iota(\xi_7)|^2_{\Omega} = 2|\xi_7|^2_{\Omega}, \quad |\iota(\xi_{14})|^2_{\Omega} = \frac{1}{2}|\xi_{14}|^2_{\Omega}.$$

To establish these we consider the map  $f : \Lambda^1 \otimes \Lambda^1 \to \Lambda^1 \otimes \Lambda_7^3$  which to  $v \otimes w$  assigns  $v \otimes (w \sqcup \star_\Omega \Omega)$ . The module of symmetric endomorphisms  $\odot^2$  which is spanned by  $v \otimes w + w \otimes v$  can be decomposed into the tracefree endomorphisms  $\odot_0^2$  and multiples of the identity. A (GL(7)-)equivariant projection  $\pi_0 : \odot^2 \to \odot_0^2$  is given by  $\pi_0(a) = a - \text{Tr}(a)\text{Id}/7$ . We want to compute  $|\varepsilon(f(\pi_0(a)))|^2$  and  $|f(\pi_0(a))|^2$  for  $a \in \odot^2$ . It suffices to do this for elements

D Springer

of the form  $e_i \otimes e_j + e_j \otimes e_i$  for some orthonormal basis  $e_1, \ldots, e_7$  of  $\Lambda^1$ . Furthermore, since G<sub>2</sub> acts transitively on pairs of orthonormal vectors, we need to consider the element  $e_1 \otimes e_2 + e_2 \otimes e_1$  only, which is already in  $\bigcirc_0^2$ . Thus,

$$|f(e_1 \otimes e_2 + e_2 \otimes e_1)|^2 = |e_1 \otimes (e_2 \bot \star_\Omega \Omega) + e_2 \otimes (e_1 \bot \star_\Omega \Omega)|^2 = 8$$

while

$$\varepsilon(f(e_1 \otimes e_2 + e_2 \otimes e_1))|^2 = |e_1 \wedge (e_2 \llcorner \star_\Omega \Omega) + e_2 \wedge (e_1 \llcorner \star_\Omega \Omega)|^2 = 4,$$

whence the distortion factor 1/2 as claimed above. In the same vein, consider the projection  $\pi_{14}^2 : \Lambda^2 \to \Lambda_{14}^2$  given by  $\pi_{14}^2(\alpha) = (2\alpha - \star_{\Omega}(\alpha \land \Omega))/3$ . Then

$$|f(e_1 \otimes e_2 - e_2 \otimes e_1)|^2 = |e_1 \otimes (e_{2 \perp} \star_{\Omega} \Omega) - e_2 \otimes (e_{1 \perp} \star_{\Omega} \Omega)|^2 = 8$$

and

$$|\iota(f(e_1 \otimes e_2 - e_2 \otimes e_1))|^2 = |e_1 \llcorner (e_2 \llcorner \star_\Omega \Omega) - e_2 \llcorner (e_1 \llcorner \star_\Omega \Omega)|^2 = 4,$$

giving again the distortion factor 1/2. Either by proceeding as before or by using the transitivity of  $G_2$  on the sphere of its vector representation we deduce the remaining coefficients. Therefore

$$|d\Omega|_{\Omega}^{2} = 4|\xi_{1}|_{\Omega}^{2} + \frac{3}{2}|\xi_{7}|_{\Omega}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}|\xi_{27}|_{\Omega}^{2}$$

and

$$|\delta_{\Omega}\Omega|_{\Omega}^{2} = 2|\xi_{7}|_{\Omega}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}|\xi_{14}|_{\Omega}^{2}$$

Comparing this with the formulæ (2) and (3) we get:

$$|\xi_1|_{\Omega}^2 = \frac{7}{4}\tau_0^2, \quad |\xi_7|_{\Omega}^2 = 24|\tau_1|_{\Omega}^2, \quad |\xi_{14}|_{\Omega}^2 = 2|\tau_2|_{\Omega}^2, \quad |\xi_{27}|_{\Omega}^2 = 2|\tau_3|_{\Omega}^2.$$

Since clearly

$$|\nabla^{\Omega}\Omega|_{\Omega}^{2} = |\xi_{1}|_{\Omega}^{2} + |\xi_{7}|_{\Omega}^{2} + |\xi_{14}|_{\Omega}^{2} + |\xi_{27}|_{\Omega}^{2}$$

the result follows.

*Remark* The previous proposition provides an alternative proof of the result of Fernández and Gray mentioned in the introduction: For  $\Omega \in \Omega^3_+(M)$  one has  $\nabla^{\Omega}\Omega = 0$  if and only if  $d\Omega = \delta_{\Omega}\Omega = 0$ , since both equations are equivalent to  $\tau_0 = \tau_1 = \tau_2 = \tau_3 = 0$ . By standard holonomy theory,  $\nabla^{\Omega}\Omega = 0$  is equivalent to  $g_{\Omega}$  having holonomy contained in G<sub>2</sub>.

#### 2.2 Monotone quantities

For any smooth family  $\Omega_t$ , we can write

$$\partial_t \Omega_t = 3f_t \Omega_t + \star_{\Omega_t} (\alpha_t \wedge \Omega_t) + \gamma_t$$

for uniquely determined quantities  $f_t \in C^{\infty}(M)$ ,  $\alpha_t \in \Omega^1(M)$  and  $\gamma_t \in \Omega^3_{27,\Omega_t}(M)$  depending smoothly on *t*. These are called the *deformation forms* of  $\Omega_t$ . In particular, the evolution of the associated volume form is given by

$$\partial_t \operatorname{vol}_{\Omega_t} = 7 f_t \operatorname{vol}_{\Omega_t},$$

Springer

see e.g. [5]. For a solution  $\Omega_t$  to (DF), we have

$$g_{\Omega_t}(Q(\Omega_t), \Omega_t) = 3f_t g_{\Omega_t}(\Omega_t, \Omega_t) = 21f_t$$

and hence

$$\partial_t \operatorname{vol}_{\Omega_t} = \frac{1}{3} g_{\Omega_t}(Q(\Omega_t), \Omega_t) \operatorname{vol}_{\Omega_t}.$$
 (10)

Alternatively, use that the differential of the map  $\phi : \Lambda^3_+ \to \Lambda^7$  sending  $\Omega$  to vol $_{\Omega}$  is given by

$$D_{\Omega}\phi(\dot{\Omega}) = \frac{1}{3}\dot{\Omega} \wedge \star_{\Omega}\Omega, \qquad (11)$$

cf. [12]. The Hitchin functional is defined by

$$\mathcal{H}: \Omega^3_+(M) \to \mathbb{R}, \quad \Omega \mapsto \int_M \operatorname{vol}_\Omega$$

i.e. it associates with  $\Omega \in \Omega^3_+(M)$  its total volume. We find that the value of the Hitchin functional is monotone and convex along a solution to the Dirichlet energy flow:

**Proposition 2.2** If  $(\Omega_t)_{t \in [0,T)}$  is a solution to (DF), then

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\mathcal{H}(\Omega_t) \leq 0 \quad and \quad \frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}t^2}\mathcal{H}(\Omega_t) \geq 0$$

for all  $t \in [0, T)$ . Further,  $\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=t_0} \mathcal{H}(\Omega_t) = 0$  if and only if  $\Omega_{t_0}$  is torsion-free.

*Proof* Using Eq. (10) we get

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \mathcal{H}(\Omega_t) = \int_M \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \operatorname{vol}_{\Omega_t}$$
$$= \frac{1}{3} \int_M g_{\Omega_t}(Q(\Omega_t), \Omega_t) \operatorname{vol}_{\Omega_t}$$
$$= -\frac{1}{3} D_{\Omega_t} \mathcal{D}(\Omega_t)$$

Since  $\mathcal{D}$  is positively homogeneous, i.e.  $\mathcal{D}(\lambda\Omega) = \lambda^{5/3}\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$  for  $\lambda > 0$ , one has  $D_{\Omega}\mathcal{D}(\Omega) = \frac{5}{3}\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$  by Euler's formula, cf. the proof of Corollary 4.3 in [17]. Hence

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\mathcal{H}(\Omega_t) = -\frac{5}{9}\mathcal{D}(\Omega_t) \le 0 \tag{12}$$

with equality if and only if  $\Omega_t$  is torsion-free. Furthermore,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}t^2}\mathcal{H}(\Omega_t) = -\frac{5}{9}D_{\Omega_t}\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{Q}(\Omega_t)) = \frac{5}{9}\|\mathcal{Q}(\Omega_t)\|_{\Omega_t}^2$$

which is always non-negative.

Equation (12) has the following noteworthy consequence for a long-time solution to the Dirichlet energy flow: Suppose that  $\Omega_t$  is a solution to (DF) on  $[0, \infty)$ . Then, since  $\mathcal{D}(\Omega_t)$  is monotonely decreasing, the limit

$$\mathcal{D}_{\infty} := \lim_{t \to \infty} \mathcal{D}(\Omega_t) \ge 0$$

exists. In fact, we have

D Springer

**Corollary 2.3** If  $(\Omega_t)_{t \in [0,\infty)}$  is a solution to (DF), then  $\mathcal{D}_{\infty} = 0$ .

*Proof* Assume to the contrary that  $\mathcal{D}_{\infty} > 0$ . Then  $\mathcal{D}(\Omega_t) \ge \mathcal{D}_{\infty} > 0$  for all  $t \in [0, \infty)$ . Hence, by Eq. (12),  $\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{H}(\Omega_t) \le -\frac{5}{9}\mathcal{D}_{\infty} < 0$  for all t, and therefore

$$\mathcal{H}(\Omega_t) \leq \mathcal{H}(\Omega_0) - \frac{5}{9}\mathcal{D}_{\infty}t.$$

In particular,  $\mathcal{H}(\Omega_t)$  becomes negative in finite time. Contradiction!

*Remark* As an example communicated to us by Joel Fine shows, long-time existence is not sufficient to imply convergence to a critical point (cf. Fine, Pers. commun.). It is obtained by restricting the Dirichlet energy functional  $\mathcal{D}$  to the space of SO(4)-invariant forms on  $\mathbb{R}^4 \times SO(3)$ . Using Lemma 3.1, the flow equations can be reduced to a system of nonlinear ODEs which can be explicitly solved and whose solutions project down to  $T^4 \times SO(3)$ . This is related to the failure of the Dirichlet energy functional to satisfy the Palais–Smale condition. If, however,  $\lim_{t\to\infty} \Omega_t = \Omega_\infty \in \Omega^3_+(M)$ , say w.r.t. the  $C^1$ -topology, then Corollary 2.3 suffices to conclude that  $\Omega_\infty$  is torsion-free.

As for the Dirichlet energy functional, we may set

$$\mathcal{H}_{\infty} := \lim_{t \to \infty} \mathcal{H}(\Omega_t) \ge 0$$

for a solution  $\Omega_t$  to (DF) on  $[0, \infty)$ . Here two cases may occur:

(1)  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty} > 0$ 

(2)  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty} = 0$ 

A prototypical example for the first case is a solution converging to a torsion-free G<sub>2</sub>-structure as  $t \to \infty$ . Such solutions exist as a consequence of Theorem 1.2, our stability result for the Dirichlet energy flow. A solution fitting into the second case is provided by Fine's example (cf. Fine, Pers. commun.).

A further consequence of Eq. (12) is that the value of the Hitchin functional decays at most linearly along a solution to the Dirichlet energy flow:

**Corollary 2.4** If  $(\Omega_t)_{t \in [0,T)}$  is a solution to (DF), then

$$\mathcal{H}(\Omega_0) \ge \mathcal{H}(\Omega_t) \ge \mathcal{H}(\Omega_0) - \frac{5}{9}\mathcal{D}(\Omega_0)t$$

for all  $t \in [0, T)$ . In particular, for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\mathcal{H}(\Omega_t) \ge \delta$  for all  $t \in [0, t_0 - \varepsilon]$  with  $t_0 = \min\{T, \frac{9}{5} \frac{\mathcal{H}(\Omega_0)}{\mathcal{D}(\Omega_0)}\}$ .

*Proof* Since the Dirichlet energy flow is the negative gradient flow of  $\mathcal{D}$ , one clearly has

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\mathcal{D}(\Omega_t) \leq 0$$

for all  $t \in [0, T)$ , in particular  $\mathcal{D}(\Omega_t) \leq \mathcal{D}(\Omega_0)$ . Hence by Eq. (12)

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\mathcal{H}(\Omega_t) \geq -\frac{5}{9}\mathcal{D}(\Omega_0),$$

and the claim follows by integration.

Deringer

*Remark* If one knew exponential decay of  $\mathcal{D}(\Omega_t)$  for a solution to (DF) on  $[0, \infty)$  beforehand, then  $\mathcal{H}(\Omega_t)$  would be bounded from below: Assuming  $\mathcal{D}(\Omega_t) \leq Ce^{-\lambda t}$  for constants  $C, \lambda > 0$  and using Eq. (12) once again, one gets

$$\mathcal{H}(\Omega_t) \ge \mathcal{H}(\Omega_0) - \frac{5}{9} \int_0^t C e^{-\lambda \tau} d\tau$$
$$= \mathcal{H}(\Omega_0) - \frac{5}{9} \frac{C}{\lambda} (1 - e^{-\lambda t})$$
$$\ge \mathcal{H}(\Omega_0) - \frac{5}{9} \frac{C}{\lambda}$$

for all  $t \in [0, \infty)$ . This would be particularly useful if one could choose C and  $\lambda$  in such a way that  $\delta := \mathcal{H}(\Omega_0) - \frac{5}{9}\frac{C}{\lambda} > 0$ .

In [5] it is shown that the scalar curvature of the metric  $g_{\Omega}$  is given by

$$s_{g_{\Omega}} = 12\delta_{\Omega}\tau_{1} + \frac{21}{8}\tau_{0}^{2} + 30|\tau_{1}|_{\Omega}^{2} - \frac{1}{2}|\tau_{2}|_{\Omega}^{2} - \frac{1}{2}|\tau_{3}|_{\Omega}^{2}$$

(cf. (4.28) loc. cit.). Thus, by Stokes' theorem, the total scalar curvature

$$\mathcal{S}(\Omega) := \int_{M} s_{g_{\Omega}} \operatorname{vol}_{\Omega}$$

of  $g_{\Omega}$  is given by

$$S(\Omega) = \int_{M} \left( \frac{21}{8} \tau_0^2 + 30 |\tau_1|_{\Omega}^2 - \frac{1}{2} |\tau_2|_{\Omega}^2 - \frac{1}{2} |\tau_3|_{\Omega}^2 \right) \operatorname{vol}_{\Omega}.$$
(13)

On the other hand, by Proposition 2.1, we have

$$\mathcal{D}(\Omega) = \int_{M} \left( \frac{7}{2} \tau_0^2 + 42 |\tau_1|_{\Omega}^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\tau_2|_{\Omega}^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\tau_3|_{\Omega}^2 \right) \operatorname{vol}_{\Omega}.$$

Comparing coefficients immediately yields

**Lemma 2.5** Let  $\Omega \in \Omega^3_+(M)$  be a positive 3-form. Then  $|\mathcal{S}(\Omega)| \leq \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ .

Using the monotonicity of  $\mathcal{D}$  and Corollary 2.3 we obtain

**Corollary 2.6** The absolute value of the total scalar curvature  $S(\Omega_t)$  is bounded by a monotonely decreasing quantity along a solution  $(\Omega_t)_{t \in [0,T)}$  to (DF). If  $\Omega_t$  is defined on  $[0, \infty)$ , then  $\lim_{t\to\infty} S(\Omega_t) = 0$ .

If we define

$$\mathcal{C}(\Omega) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{M} |\nabla^{\Omega} \Omega|_{\Omega}^{2} \operatorname{vol}_{\Omega},$$

Deringer

then we get from Eqs. (8) and (9)

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{C}(\Omega) &= \int_{M} \left( \frac{7}{8} \tau_{0}^{2} + 12 |\tau_{1}|_{\Omega}^{2} + |\tau_{2}|_{\Omega}^{2} + |\tau_{3}|_{\Omega}^{2} \right) \operatorname{vol}_{\Omega} \\ &= \mathcal{D}(\Omega) + \int_{M} \left( -\frac{21}{8} \tau_{0}^{2} - 30 |\tau_{1}|_{\Omega}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} |\tau_{2}|_{\Omega}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} |\tau_{3}|_{\Omega}^{2} \right) \operatorname{vol}_{\Omega} \\ &= \mathcal{D}(\Omega) - \mathcal{S}(\Omega). \end{split}$$

Furthermore, we remark that

$$2\mathcal{C}(\Omega) + 7\mathcal{H}(\Omega) = \|\Omega\|_{W^{1,2}_{\Omega}}^{2},$$

whence

$$0 \leq \|\Omega\|_{W^{1,2}_{\Omega}}^2 \leq 4\mathcal{D}(\Omega) + 7\mathcal{H}(\Omega) \leq 8\|\Omega\|_{W^{1,2}_{\Omega}}^2.$$

In particular, we find along a solution to the Dirichlet energy flow

**Proposition 2.7** Let  $(\Omega_t)_{t \in [0,T)}$  be a solution to (DF). Then

$$\|\Omega_t\|^2_{W^{1,2}_{\Omega_t}} \le C_t \le C_0$$

for the monotonely decreasing bound  $C_t := 4\mathcal{D}(\Omega_t) + 7\mathcal{H}(\Omega_t)$ . Furthermore, one has  $\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=t_0} C_t = 0$  if and only if  $\Omega_{t_0}$  is torsion-free.

*Proof* The first assertion follows directly from the discussion above. Secondly,  $\frac{d}{dt}C_t = 4\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{D}(\Omega_t) + 7\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{H}(\Omega_t) \leq 0$  with equality if and only if  $\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{D}(\Omega_t) = 0$  and  $\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{H}(\Omega_t) = 0$ , whence the result by Proposition 2.2.

2.3 The generalised Dirichlet energy flow

The energy functionals  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  considered above are special instances of the functional

$$\mathcal{D}_{\lambda} := \sum_{i=0}^{3} \nu_i \mathcal{D}_i$$

with

$$\mathcal{D}_i(\Omega) := \frac{1}{2} \int_M |\tau_i|_{\Omega}^2 \operatorname{vol}_{\Omega}$$

and  $\nu = (\nu_0, \nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3) \in \mathbb{R}^4$ . More specifically, one has

$$\mathcal{D} = 7\mathcal{D}_0 + 84\mathcal{D}_1 + \mathcal{D}_2 + \mathcal{D}_3$$

and

$$\mathcal{C} = \frac{7}{4}\mathcal{D}_0 + 24\mathcal{D}_1 + 2\mathcal{D}_2 + 2\mathcal{D}_3.$$

We call the functional  $\mathcal{D}_{\nu}$  the generalised Dirichlet energy functional associated with the parameter  $\nu \in \mathbb{R}^4$ . The aim of this section is to further analyse this family of functionals. In particular, we prove generalised versions of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 for  $\mathcal{D}_{\nu}$  for  $\nu \in \mathbb{R}^4_+$ .

Set  $Q_i(\Omega) := -\text{grad } \mathcal{D}_i(\Omega)$ , i = 0, 1, 2, 3 and  $Q_\nu(\Omega) := -\text{grad } \mathcal{D}_\nu(\Omega)$  for  $\nu \in \mathbb{R}^4$ . The functional  $\mathcal{D}_\nu$  shares the same basic properties with  $\mathcal{D}$ : It is Diff $(M)_+$ -invariant and positively homogeneous, i.e.  $\mathcal{D}_\nu(\mu\Omega) = \mu^{\frac{5}{3}} \mathcal{D}_\nu(\Omega)$  for  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}_+$ .

Next we consider the negative gradient flow of the generalised Dirichlet energy functional

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \,\Omega_t = Q_v(\Omega_t) \tag{DF}_v$$

for  $v \in \mathbb{R}^4$ , subject to some initial condition  $\Omega_0 \in \Omega^3_+(M)$ . We call the flow equation  $(DF_v)$  the generalised Dirichlet energy flow.

For  $\nu \in \mathbb{R}^4_+$ , the generalised Dirichlet energy flow behaves much like the ordinary Dirichlet energy flow. In this case, Euler's formula implies as for Q (corresponding to  $\mathcal{D}$ ) that  $Q_{\nu}(\Omega) = 0$  holds if and only if  $\Omega$  is torsion-free. As a first result, we have

**Lemma 2.8** The flow equation  $(DF_v)$  is weakly parabolic for  $v \in \mathbb{R}^4_{>0}$ , i.e.

$$-g_{\Omega}(\sigma(D_{\Omega}Q_{\nu})(x,\xi)\dot{\Omega},\dot{\Omega}) \ge 0$$

for all  $x \in M$ ,  $\xi \in T_x^*M$  and  $\dot{\Omega} \in \Lambda^3 T_x^*M$ .

Proof According to Proposition 2.1 one has

$$|[d\Omega]_1|_{\Omega}^2 = 7\tau_0^2, \quad |[d\Omega]_7|_{\Omega}^2 = 36|\tau_1|_{\Omega}^2, \quad |[d\Omega]_{27}|_{\Omega}^2 = |\tau_3|_{\Omega}^2$$

and

$$|[\delta_{\Omega}\Omega]_7|^2 = 48|\tau_1|^2_{\Omega}, \quad |[\delta_{\Omega}\Omega]_{14}|^2 = |\tau_2|^2_{\Omega}.$$

Therefore

$$7 \cdot \mathcal{D}_{0}(\Omega) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{M} |[d\Omega]_{1}|_{\Omega}^{2} \operatorname{vol}_{\Omega},$$
  
$$36 \cdot \mathcal{D}_{1}(\Omega) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{M} |[d\Omega]_{7}|_{\Omega}^{2} \operatorname{vol}_{\Omega},$$
  
$$\mathcal{D}_{3}(\Omega) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{M} |[d\Omega]_{27}|_{\Omega}^{2} \operatorname{vol}_{\Omega}$$

and

$$48 \cdot \mathcal{D}_1(\Omega) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M |[\delta_\Omega \Omega]_7|^2_\Omega \operatorname{vol}_\Omega, \quad \mathcal{D}_2(\Omega) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M |[\delta_\Omega \Omega]_{14}|^2_\Omega \operatorname{vol}_\Omega.$$

Linearising as in [17] we get

2

$$-\sigma(D_{\Omega}Q_{0})(x,\xi)\dot{\Omega} = \frac{1}{7}\xi_{\perp}[\xi \wedge \dot{\Omega}]_{1}, \quad -\sigma(D_{\Omega}Q_{1})(x,\xi)\dot{\Omega} = \frac{1}{36}\xi_{\perp}[\xi \wedge \dot{\Omega}]_{7},$$
$$-\sigma(D_{\Omega}Q_{2})(x,\xi)\dot{\Omega} = p_{\Omega}(\xi \wedge [\xi_{\perp}p_{\Omega}\dot{\Omega}]_{14}), \quad -\sigma(D_{\Omega}Q_{3})(x,\xi)\dot{\Omega} = \xi_{\perp}[\xi \wedge \dot{\Omega}]_{27}$$

Now for k = 1, 7, 27 we have for  $\xi \in T_x^*M$ 

$$g_{\Omega}(\xi \llcorner [\xi \land \dot{\Omega}]_k, \dot{\Omega}) = |[\xi \land \dot{\Omega}]_k|_{\Omega}^2 \ge 0$$

Deringer

and for k = 14

$$g_{\Omega}(p_{\Omega}(\xi \wedge [\xi \llcorner p_{\Omega}\dot{\Omega}]_{14}), \dot{\Omega}) = |[\xi \llcorner p_{\Omega}\dot{\Omega}]_{14}|_{\Omega}^{2} \ge 0.$$

Since  $D_{\Omega}Q_{\nu} = \sum_{i=0}^{3} \nu_i D_{\Omega}Q_i$ , the result follows.

Breaking the diffeomorphism invariance one gets:

**Theorem 2.9** The generalised Dirichlet energy flow  $\partial_t \Omega_t = Q_v(\Omega_t)$  has a unique short-time solution for  $v \in \mathbb{R}^4_+$  and any initial condition  $\Omega_0 \in \Omega^3_+(M)$ .

*Proof* We employ DeTurck's trick as in [17]. Given some background  $G_2$ -structure  $\overline{\Omega} \in \Omega^3_+(M)$  (e.g. the initial condition  $\Omega_0$ ) we consider the vector field

$$X(\Omega) = -(\delta_{\bar{\Omega}}\Omega) \llcorner \bar{\Omega}.$$

For  $\varepsilon(\nu) = \min_{i=0,1,2,3} \nu_i/36$ , we set  $\Lambda(\Omega) := \mathcal{L}_{X(\Omega)}\Omega$  and

$$Q_{\nu}(\Omega) := Q_{\nu}(\Omega) + \varepsilon(\nu)\Lambda(\Omega).$$

Then,  $D_{\Omega}\widetilde{Q}_{\nu} = D_{\Omega}Q_{\nu} + \varepsilon(\nu)D_{\Omega}\Lambda$ . For  $\xi \in T_{\chi}^*M$  with  $|\xi|_{\Omega} = 1$ , we find that

$$-g_{\Omega}(\sigma(D_{\Omega}Q_{\nu})(x,\xi)\dot{\Omega},\dot{\Omega}) = -\sum_{i=0}^{3} \nu_{i}g_{\Omega}(\sigma(D_{\Omega}Q_{i})(x,\xi)\dot{\Omega},\dot{\Omega})$$
$$\geq -\varepsilon(\nu)g_{\Omega}(\sigma(D_{\Omega}Q)(x,\xi)\dot{\Omega},\dot{\Omega})$$

and hence

$$-g_{\Omega}(\sigma(D_{\Omega}\tilde{Q}_{\nu})(x,\xi)\dot{\Omega},\dot{\Omega})$$
  

$$\geq -\varepsilon(\nu)g_{\Omega}(\sigma(D_{\Omega}Q)(x,\xi)\dot{\Omega},\dot{\Omega}) - \varepsilon(\nu)g_{\Omega}(\sigma(D_{\Omega}\Lambda)(x,\xi)\dot{\Omega},\dot{\Omega})$$
  

$$= -\varepsilon(\nu)g_{\Omega}(\sigma(D_{\Omega}\tilde{Q})(x,\xi)\dot{\Omega},\dot{\Omega}) \geq \varepsilon(\nu)|\dot{\Omega}|_{\Omega}^{2},$$

where the last line follows from Lemma 5.7 in [17].

This shows that the flow equation  $\partial_t \widetilde{\Omega}_t = \widetilde{Q}_{\nu}(\widetilde{\Omega}_t)$  is strongly parabolic. Standard methods, see for instance [16], now yield a unique short-time solution  $\widetilde{\Omega}_t$ . A short-time solution  $\Omega_t$  for the original flow equation  $\partial_t \Omega_t = Q_{\nu}(\Omega_t)$  is then obtained by integrating the time-dependent vector field  $X(\widetilde{\Omega}_t)$  and pulling back  $\widetilde{\Omega}_t$  by the corresponding family of diffeomorphisms, cf. [17] for details.

The proof of uniqueness given in [17] for the Dirichlet energy flow applies without change to yield uniqueness of the solution  $\Omega_t$  on short time intervals.

Finally, as in [17] we also get a stability result:

**Theorem 2.10** Let  $\bar{\Omega} \in \Omega^3_+(M)$  be torsion-free. Then for any initial condition sufficiently close to  $\bar{\Omega}$  in the  $C^{\infty}$ -topology the solution to  $(DF_v)$  for  $v \in \mathbb{R}^4_+$  exists for all times and converges modulo diffeomorphisms to a torsion-free G<sub>2</sub>-structure.

*Proof* Let  $\Omega \in \Omega^3_+(M)$  be torsion-free, i.e.  $d\Omega = \delta_\Omega \Omega = 0$ . Then

$$(D_{\Omega}Q_{0})\dot{\Omega} = -\frac{1}{7}\delta_{\Omega}[\mathrm{d}\dot{\Omega}]_{1}, \quad (D_{\Omega}Q_{1})\dot{\Omega} = -\frac{1}{36}\delta_{\Omega}[\mathrm{d}\dot{\Omega}]_{7}$$
$$(D_{\Omega}Q_{2})\dot{\Omega} = -p_{\Omega}(\mathrm{d}[\delta_{\Omega}p_{\Omega}\dot{\Omega}]_{14}), \quad (D_{\Omega}Q_{3})\dot{\Omega} = -\delta_{\Omega}[\mathrm{d}\dot{\Omega}]_{27}$$

and

$$(D_{\Omega}\Lambda)(\dot{\Omega}) = -3d[\delta_{\Omega}\dot{\Omega}]_7.$$

We set  $L_{\nu} := D_{\Omega} \widetilde{Q}_{\nu}$  and  $L := D_{\Omega} \widetilde{Q}$  as in [17]. Then we get

$$L_{\nu} = -\nu_0 \frac{1}{7} \delta_{\Omega} [d\dot{\Omega}]_1 - \nu_1 \frac{1}{36} \delta_{\Omega} [d\dot{\Omega}]_7 - \nu_2 p_{\Omega} (d[\delta_{\Omega} p_{\Omega} \dot{\Omega}]_{14}) - \nu_3 \delta_{\Omega} [d\dot{\Omega}]_{27} - 3\varepsilon(\nu) d[\delta_{\Omega} \dot{\Omega}]_7$$

and hence

$$\langle -L_{\nu}\dot{\Omega}, \dot{\Omega} \rangle_{L^{2}_{\Omega}} \geq \varepsilon(\nu) \langle -L\dot{\Omega}, \dot{\Omega} \rangle_{L^{2}_{\Omega}} \quad \forall \dot{\Omega} \in \Omega^{3}(M)$$

with  $\varepsilon(v) = \min_{i=0,1,2,3} v_i/36$  as above. In particular,  $L_v$  is non-positive and the Gårding inequality holds. The proof then proceeds along the same lines as the one given in [17] for the Dirichlet energy flow.

### 3 G<sub>2</sub>-solitons

### 3.1 Symmetries

We recall that one has a natural  $\text{Diff}(M)_+$ -action on  $\Omega^3_+(M)$  given by pullback and that  $\mathcal{D}$  is  $\text{Diff}(M)_+$ -invariant, i.e.  $\mathcal{D}(\varphi^*\Omega) = \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$  for all  $\varphi \in \text{Diff}(M)_+$ . This implies that

$$\varphi^* Q(\Omega) = Q(\varphi^* \Omega). \tag{14}$$

Further, any symmetry of the initial condition  $\Omega_0$  is preserved by the Dirichlet energy flow:

**Lemma 3.1** Let  $(\Omega_t)_{t \in [0,T)}$  be a solution to (DF) with initial condition  $\Omega_0$ . If  $\varphi^* \Omega_0 = \Omega_0$  for some  $\varphi \in \text{Diff}(M)_+$ , then  $\varphi^* \Omega_t = \Omega_t$  for all  $t \in [0, T)$ .

*Proof* Using Eq. (14) one gets that  $(\varphi^* \Omega_t)_{t \in [0,T)}$  is a solution to (DF) with initial condition  $\varphi^* \Omega_0$ . Since  $\varphi^* \Omega_0 = \Omega_0$ , uniqueness of the Dirichlet energy flow implies that  $\varphi^* \Omega_t = \Omega_t$  for all  $t \in [0, T)$ .

Secondly, one has a natural  $\mathbb{R}_+$ -action on  $\Omega^3_+(M)$  given by scaling with respect to which  $\mathcal{D}$  is positively homogeneous:

$$\mathcal{D}(\lambda\Omega) = \lambda^{\frac{3}{3}} \mathcal{D}(\Omega) \tag{15}$$

for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$ .

**Lemma 3.2** One has  $Q(\lambda \Omega) = \lambda^{\frac{1}{3}}Q(\Omega)$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$ .

*Proof* Using Eq. (15) we calculate

$$D_{\lambda\Omega}\mathcal{D}(\dot{\Omega}) = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\Big|_{t=0} \mathcal{D}(\lambda\Omega + t\dot{\Omega})$$
$$= \lambda^{\frac{5}{3}} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\Big|_{t=0} \mathcal{D}(\Omega + t\lambda^{-1}\dot{\Omega})$$
$$= \lambda^{\frac{5}{3}} D_{\Omega}\mathcal{D}(\lambda^{-1}\dot{\Omega}) = \lambda^{\frac{2}{3}} D_{\Omega}\mathcal{D}(\dot{\Omega}).$$

🖉 Springer

Hence

$$D_{\lambda\Omega}\mathcal{D}(\dot{\Omega}) = \lambda^{\frac{2}{3}} D_{\Omega}\mathcal{D}(\dot{\Omega}) = \lambda^{\frac{2}{3}} \int_{M} g_{\Omega}(\operatorname{grad} \mathcal{D}(\Omega), \dot{\Omega}) \operatorname{vol}_{\Omega}$$

and on the other hand

$$D_{\lambda\Omega}\mathcal{D}(\dot{\Omega}) = \int_{M} g_{\lambda\Omega}(\operatorname{grad} \mathcal{D}(\lambda\Omega), \dot{\Omega}) \operatorname{vol}_{\lambda\Omega} = \lambda^{\frac{1}{3}} \int_{M} g_{\Omega}(\operatorname{grad} \mathcal{D}(\lambda\Omega), \dot{\Omega}) \operatorname{vol}_{\Omega}.$$

Here, we have used the fact that  $\operatorname{vol}_{\lambda\Omega} = \lambda^{\frac{7}{3}} \operatorname{vol}_{\Omega}$  and  $g_{\lambda\Omega} = \lambda^{-2}g_{\Omega}$  on 3-forms. Comparing these two expressions, we get the result.

*Remark* As a consequence of the preceding lemma, if  $\Omega_t$  is a solution to (DF) on [0, T) and  $\lambda > 0$ , then the space–time rescaling  $\Omega_t^{\lambda} := \lambda \Omega_{\lambda^{-2/3}t}$  is again a solution to (DF), defined on  $[0, \lambda^{2/3}T)$ .

3.2 A Bianchi-type identity

For some fixed background  $G_2$ -structure  $\Omega$ , consider the operator

 $\lambda_{\Omega}^* : \mathcal{X}(M) \to \Omega^3(M), \quad X \mapsto \mathcal{L}_X \Omega$ 

and its formal adjoint with respect to  $L^2_{go}$ , namely

$$\lambda_{\Omega}: \Omega^{3}(M) \to \mathcal{X}(M), \quad \dot{\Omega} \mapsto -X_{\Omega}(\dot{\Omega}) - \dot{\Omega}_{\bot} d\Omega,$$

where  $X_{\Omega}(\dot{\Omega}) = -\delta_{\Omega}\dot{\Omega}_{\Box}\Omega$ . As usual, we identify 1-forms and vector fields using  $g_{\Omega}$ . Recall that we have an  $L^2$ -orthogonal decomposition

$$\Omega^{3}(M) = \ker \lambda_{\Omega} \oplus \operatorname{im} \lambda_{\Omega}^{*}, \qquad (16)$$

where the second summand is tangent to the Diff $(M)_+$ -orbit through  $\Omega$ , see Proposition 5.6 and Lemma 7.3 in [17].

**Lemma 3.3** For all  $\Omega \in \Omega^3_+(M)$ , we have  $\lambda_\Omega(Q(\Omega)) = 0$  and  $\lambda_\Omega \Omega = 0$ .

*Proof* The proof proceeds along the same lines as Kazdan's derivation of the usual Bianchi identity in [13]: If  $\mathcal{F} : \Omega^3_+(M) \to \mathbb{R}$  is a Diff $(M)_+$ -invariant functional, then  $\lambda_{\Omega}(\operatorname{grad} \mathcal{F}(\Omega)) = 0$ , since the level-set  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}(\Omega))$  contains the Diff $(M)_+$ -orbit through  $\Omega$ . Now by definition,  $Q(\Omega) = -\operatorname{grad} \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ , which yields  $\lambda_{\Omega}(Q(\Omega)) = 0$ . Secondly, from Eq. (11) it follows that

grad 
$$\mathcal{H}(\Omega) = \frac{1}{3}\Omega$$

which gives  $\lambda_{\Omega} \Omega = 0$ .

*Remark* The equation  $\lambda_{\Omega}\Omega = 0$  is equivalent to  $\tau_1 = \tilde{\tau}_1$ , where in light of the definition of the torsion forms, one has

$$\mathrm{d}\Omega = \tau_0 \star_\Omega \Omega + 3\tau_1 \wedge \Omega + \star_\Omega \tau_3$$

and

$$\mathsf{d} \star_{\Omega} \Omega = 4\tilde{\tau}_1 \wedge \star_{\Omega} \Omega + \tau_2 \wedge \Omega$$

Deringer

for  $\tilde{\tau}_1$  a priori different from  $\tau_1$ . Indeed,  $\lambda_\Omega \Omega = (\delta_\Omega \Omega) \Box \Omega - \Omega \Box d\Omega = 0$  is equivalent to

$$([\delta_{\Omega}\Omega]_7) \llcorner \Omega = \Omega \llcorner ([d\Omega]_7).$$
(17)

Substituting  $[\delta_{\Omega}\Omega]_7 = -4 \star_{\Omega} \tilde{\tau}_1 \wedge \star_{\Omega}\Omega$  and  $[d\Omega]_7 = 3\tau_1 \wedge \Omega$  we obtain that Eq. (17) is equivalent to

$$-4 \star_{\Omega} (\tilde{\tau}_1 \wedge \star_{\Omega} \Omega) \llcorner \Omega = 3\Omega \llcorner (\tau_1 \wedge \Omega).$$
<sup>(18)</sup>

A routine calculation establishes for  $\xi \in \Omega^1(M)$  the identities  $\Omega_{\perp}(\xi \wedge \Omega) = -4\xi$  and  $\star_{\Omega}(\xi \wedge \star_{\Omega}\Omega)_{\perp}\Omega = 3\xi$ . Hence, the left-hand side of Eq. (18) equals  $-12\tilde{\tau}_1$ , whereas the right-hand side equals  $-12\tau_1$ .

**Corollary 3.4** If  $\Omega \in \Omega^3_+(M)$  satisfies  $Q(\Omega) = f \cdot \Omega$  for  $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$ , then f is constant, *i.e.*  $Q(\Omega) = \lambda \Omega$  for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof* Applying  $\lambda_{\Omega}$  to the equation  $Q(\Omega) = f \cdot \Omega$  yields the equation  $\lambda_{\Omega}(f\Omega) = 0$  using Lemma 3.3. On the other hand

$$\lambda_{\Omega}(f\Omega) = -\delta_{\Omega}(f\Omega) \llcorner \Omega - f\Omega \llcorner d\Omega$$
$$= (df \llcorner \Omega - f\delta_{\Omega}\Omega) \llcorner \Omega - f\Omega \llcorner d\Omega$$
$$= (df \llcorner \Omega) \llcorner \Omega - f\lambda_{\Omega}\Omega = (df \llcorner \Omega) \llcorner \Omega,$$

where we have again used Lemma 3.3 in the last line. Now since  $(\xi \, \square \, \Omega) \, \square \, \Omega = 3\xi$  for all  $\xi \in \Omega^1(M)$  we conclude that df = 0, i.e. f is constant.

Next we consider the operator  $\tilde{Q}_{\bar{\Omega}}(\Omega) = Q(\Omega) + \lambda_{\Omega}^*(X_{\bar{\Omega}}(\Omega)), \Omega, \bar{\Omega} \in \Omega^3_+(M)$ , defined in [17].

**Corollary 3.5** If  $\Omega \in \Omega^3_+(M)$  satisfies  $\tilde{Q}_{\bar{\Omega}}(\Omega) = 0$ , then  $Q(\Omega) = 0$ , i.e.  $\Omega$  is torsion-free.

*Proof* Applying  $\lambda_{\Omega}$  to the equation

$$\widetilde{Q}_{\bar{\Omega}}(\Omega) = Q(\Omega) + \lambda_{\Omega}^*(X_{\bar{\Omega}}(\Omega)) = 0$$
<sup>(19)</sup>

yields the equation  $\lambda_{\Omega}\lambda_{\Omega}^*(X_{\bar{\Omega}}(\Omega)) = 0$  using Lemma 3.3. Hence,  $\lambda_{\Omega}^*(X_{\bar{\Omega}}(\Omega)) = 0$  and therefore  $Q(\Omega) = 0$ .

*Remark* Note that if *M* has finite fundamental group or more generally satisfies  $H^1(M; \mathbb{R}) = \{0\}$ , then  $\tilde{Q}_{\bar{\Omega}}(\Omega) = 0$  also implies  $X_{\bar{\Omega}}(\Omega) = 0$ . Indeed, since  $Q(\Omega) = 0$ ,  $\Omega$  is torsion-free and  $\mathcal{L}_{X_{\bar{\Omega}}(\Omega)}\Omega = 0$ . Hence,  $g_{\Omega}$  is Ricci-flat and  $X_{\bar{\Omega}}(\Omega)$  is Killing. But this implies that  $X_{\bar{\Omega}}(\Omega)$  is parallel and therefore its dual 1-form is harmonic. In general, a parallel Killing vector field has no zeros unless it is identically vanishing. Hence, the dual of  $X_{\bar{\Omega}}(\Omega)$  is a closed, nowhere vanishing 1-form. By Tischler's theorem [15], *M* must globally fibre over the circle. Note, however, that non-trivial parallel Killing vector fields can exist: If *X* is a Calabi–Yau threefold, then the product  $X \times S^1$  admits a natural torsion-free G<sub>2</sub>-structure for which the coordinate vector field  $\partial_t$  on  $S^1$  is a parallel Killing vector field. Conversely, by standard holonomy theory, (cf. for instance [2]), a torsion-free G<sub>2</sub>-manifold (*M*,  $\Omega$ ) with non-trivial parallel Killing vector field is reducible, i.e. *locally* of the form  $X \times S^1$  for *X* a Calabi–Yau manifold.

3.3 The soliton equation

**Definition 3.6** A triple  $(\Omega_0, X_0, \mu_0)$  with  $\Omega_0 \in \Omega^3_+(M)$ ,  $X_0 \in \mathcal{X}(M)$  a vector field and  $\mu_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ , which satisfy the equation

$$Q(\Omega_0) = \mu_0 \Omega_0 + \mathcal{L}_{X_0} \Omega_0$$

is called a G<sub>2</sub>-soliton structure. A solution to (DF) of the form

$$\Omega_t = \mu(t)\varphi_t^*\Omega_0$$

for some function  $\mu(t)$  and a family of orientation-preserving diffeomorphisms  $\varphi_t$  is called a G<sub>2</sub>-soliton solution.

A particular case of a soliton structure is a G<sub>2</sub>-structure  $\Omega_0$  satisfying the equation  $Q(\Omega_0) = \mu_0 \cdot \Omega_0$  for some constant  $\mu_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ . The ansatz

$$\Omega_t = \mu(t)\Omega_0, \quad \mu(0) = 1$$

yields using Lemma 3.2

$$\partial_t \Omega_t = \mu'(t) \Omega_0$$
$$Q(\Omega_t) = \mu(t)^{\frac{1}{3}} \mu_0 \Omega_0$$

and hence, the ODE

$$\mu'(t) = \mu_0 \mu(t)^{\frac{1}{3}}, \quad \mu(0) = 1.$$
 (20)

The solution of (20) is given by

$$\mu(t) = \left(\frac{2\mu_0}{3}t + 1\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}$$

on some maximal time interval  $[0, T_{max})$ . As in the Ricci-flow case, one has more generally:

**Lemma 3.7** Let  $(\Omega_0, X_0, \mu_0)$  be a G<sub>2</sub>-soliton structure. Then

$$\Omega_t := \mu(t)\varphi_t^*\Omega_0 \tag{21}$$

is a G<sub>2</sub>-soliton solution on  $[0, T_{\text{max}})$  for  $\mu(t) = (\frac{2\mu_0}{3}t + 1)^{\frac{3}{2}}$  and  $\varphi_t$  the flow of the timedependent vector field  $\mu(t)^{-\frac{2}{3}}X_0$ . The associated metric flow is given by

$$g_t = \mu(t)^{\frac{2}{3}} \varphi_t^* g_0.$$

Conversely, if  $\Omega_t = \mu(t)\varphi_t^*\Omega_0$  is a G<sub>2</sub>-soliton solution on  $[0, T_{\text{max}})$ , then  $(\Omega_0, X_0, \mu_0)$  with  $X_0 = \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0}\varphi_t$  and  $\mu_0 = \mu(0)$  is a G<sub>2</sub>-soliton structure.

Proof Differentiating Eq. (21) we get

$$\partial_t \Omega_t = \varphi_t^* \left( \mu(t)^{\frac{1}{3}} \mathcal{L}_{X_0}(\Omega_0) + \mu'(t) \Omega_0 \right)$$
$$Q(\Omega_t) = \varphi_t^* \mu(t)^{\frac{1}{3}} Q(\Omega_0)$$

which yields the claim upon substituting (20). The evolution of the associated metric  $g_t$  immediately follows from its scaling behaviour.

*Remark* By the preceding lemma, a  $G_2$ -soliton structure and a  $G_2$ -soliton solution are essentially the same thing. We will therefore simply refer to both the  $G_2$ -soliton structure or the corresponding soliton solution as a  $G_2$ -soliton.

**Definition 3.8** A G<sub>2</sub>-soliton ( $\Omega_0$ ,  $X_0$ ,  $\mu_0$ ) is called *expanding*, if  $\mu_0 > 0$ ; *steady*, if  $\mu_0 = 0$ ; and *shrinking*, if  $\mu_0 < 0$ . It is called *trivial* if  $Q(\Omega_0) = \mu_0 \Omega_0$ .

Using this terminology we can state the following:

**Proposition 3.9** Let  $(\Omega_0, X_0, \mu_0)$  be a G<sub>2</sub>-soliton. Then the following holds:

(i) Any G<sub>2</sub>-soliton ( $\Omega_0, X_0, \mu_0$ ) is trivial, i.e. already satisfies  $Q(\Omega_0) = \mu_0 \Omega_0$ .

(ii) One has  $\mu_0 \leq 0$ , i.e. there are no expanding G<sub>2</sub>-solitons.

(iii) If  $\Omega_t$  denotes the corresponding soliton solution, then  $T_{\text{max}} = \infty$  in the steady case and  $T_{\text{max}} = -\frac{3}{2\mu_0}$  in the shrinking case.

*Proof* To prove the first assertion we apply  $\lambda_{\Omega_0}$  to the equation

$$Q(\Omega_0) = \mu_0 \Omega_0 + \mathcal{L}_{X_0} \Omega_0 = \mu_0 \Omega_0 + \lambda_{\Omega_0}^* X_0.$$

This gives, using Lemma 3.3, the equation  $\lambda_{\Omega_0}\lambda_{\Omega_0}^*X_0 = 0$ , hence,  $\mathcal{L}_{X_0}\Omega_0 = 0$ .

Secondly, for  $\mu_0 > 0$  we would have

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\mathcal{D}(\Omega_t) = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\mathcal{D}(\mu(t)\Omega_0) = \frac{5}{3}\mu_0\mu(t)\mathcal{D}(\Omega_0) > 0$$

which is incompatible with the monotonicity of  $\mathcal{D}$ . The remaining statements follow from the behaviour of the solution of the ODE (20).

*Remark* For a shrinking soliton one clearly has  $\lim_{t\to T_{max}} \mu(t) = 0$  and therefore  $\lim_{t\to T_{max}} \mathcal{H}(\Omega_t) = \lim_{t\to T_{max}} \mathcal{D}(\Omega_t) = 0$ . This follows easily from the scaling behaviour of these functionals.

#### 3.4 A constrained variational principle

Next we ask for critical points of  $\mathcal{D}$  under the constraint  $\mathcal{H}(\Omega) = 1$ . Let  $\Omega^3_{+,1}(M)$  be the submanifold of  $\Omega^3_+(M)$  consisting of positive 3-forms of total volume 1. Its tangent space at  $\Omega$  is ker  $D_\Omega \mathcal{H}$ . Now by (11),  $\dot{\mathcal{H}}_\Omega = \langle \dot{\Omega}, \Omega \rangle / 3$  so that  $T_\Omega \Omega^3_{+,1}(M) = \Omega^{\perp}$ , the 3-forms which are perpendicular to  $\Omega$  with respect to the natural  $L^2$ -product. On the other hand, we need grad  $\mathcal{D} = -Q$  to be orthogonal to  $T_\Omega \Omega^3_{+,1}(M)$ , hence, a constrained critical point  $\Omega$  satisfies  $Q(\Omega) = \mu_0 \Omega$  for some constant  $\mu_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ . In view of Proposition 3.9, we obtain an alternative characterisation of G<sub>2</sub>-solitons.

**Corollary 3.10** A positive 3-form  $\Omega$  is a G<sub>2</sub>-soliton if and only if  $\Omega$  is a critical point of  $\mathcal{D}$  subject to  $\mathcal{H} \equiv 1$ .

*Remark* The results of this section apply mutatis mutandis to the generalised Dirichlet energy functionals  $\mathcal{D}_{\nu}, \nu \in \mathbb{R}^4_+$ . More precisely, we say that  $(\Omega_0, X_0, \mu_0)$  is a  $\mathcal{D}_{\nu}$ -soliton if the equation  $\mathcal{Q}_{\nu}(\Omega_0) = \mu_0 \Omega_0 + \mathcal{L}_{X_0} \Omega_0$  holds. Since  $\mathcal{D}_{\nu}$  shares the same symmetries with  $\mathcal{D}$ , we obtain the Bianchi identity  $\lambda_{\Omega}(\mathcal{Q}_{\nu}(\Omega)) = 0$ . Hence, we may deduce that any  $\mathcal{D}_{\nu}$ -soliton is trivial with  $\mu_0 \leq 0$ . The explicit solution to the soliton equation remains unchanged.

## 4 Examples

## 4.1 Homogeneous spaces

Consider a compact homogeneous space M = G/H. Then G acts on M via diffeomorphisms coming from left translations. Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$  be the decomposition at Lie algebra level from the inclusion  $H \hookrightarrow G$ , where  $\mathfrak{m}$  is some complement invariant under the isotropy action of H (the adjoint action of G restricted to H). The space of G-invariant G<sub>2</sub>-forms is precisely the space of H-invariant G<sub>2</sub>-forms in  $\Lambda^3\mathfrak{m}^*$ . Since invariant critical points can be obtained by restricting the functional to invariant G<sub>2</sub>-forms, we are left with a finite-dimensional variational problem. We will illustrate this procedure for the Dirichlet energy functional  $\mathcal{D}$ .

# 4.1.1 The round sphere

We think of  $S^7$  as the homogeneous space  $\text{Spin}(7)/\text{G}_2$ . Then  $\mathfrak{spin}(7) = \Lambda^2 \mathbb{R}^{7*} = \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{m}$ by (1), where  $\mathfrak{m}$  is isomorphic to the 7-dimensional irreducible vector representation of  $\text{G}_2$ . Hence,  $\Lambda^3\mathfrak{m}^* \cong \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathfrak{m} \oplus \odot_0^2\mathfrak{m}$  (also cf. our first convention at the end of Sect. 1) is a decomposition into irreducible  $\text{G}_2$ -modules, and we find a one-dimensional space of Spin(7)-invariant  $\text{G}_2$ -forms spanned by  $\Omega_0$ . In fact, if we think of  $S^7$  as the unit octonians with induced metric  $g_0$  (the round metric), then at  $p \in S^7$ ,  $\Omega_{0,p}(u, v, w) = g_{0,p}(p, u \cdot (\bar{v} \cdot w) - w \cdot (\bar{v} \cdot u))$  (here  $\bar{v}$ and  $\cdot$  denote conjugation and multiplication on  $\mathbb{O}$ ). Since  $Q(\Omega_0)$  must be also Spin(7)-invariant by Lemma 3.1, we deduce  $Q(\Omega_0) = c\Omega_0$  for some nonpositive constant *c*. Furthermore,  $H^3(S^7; \mathbb{R}) = 0$  so that  $\Omega_0$  cannot be torsionfree, whence,  $Q(\Omega_0) \neq 0$ .

## 4.1.2 The squashed sphere

Now consider  $S^7$  as the homogeneous space  $G/H = \text{Sp}(2) \times \text{Sp}(1)/\text{Sp}(1) \times \text{Sp}(1)$  defined by the embedding

$$(a, b) \in \operatorname{Sp}(1) \times \operatorname{Sp}(1) \mapsto \left( \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}, b \right).$$

The complex irreducible representations of Sp(1)  $\cong$  SU(2) are obtained from the symmetric powers  $\sigma_p = \odot^p \mathbb{C}^2$  of the standard vector representation on  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . Endowed with some negative multiple of the Killing form G/H becomes a normal Riemannian homogeneous space (cf. Definition 7.86 in [2]) with orthogonal decomposition  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$ . As an Sp(1) × Sp(1)space,  $\mathfrak{m} = \mathbf{1} \otimes \sigma_2 \oplus \sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_1 =: \mathfrak{m}' \oplus \mathfrak{m}''$ . Here, by abuse of notation,  $\sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_1$  (which is of real type) also denotes the underlying real representation. In the resulting decomposition of  $\Lambda^3\mathfrak{m}^*$ , we find two trivial representations, namely  $\Lambda^3\mathfrak{m}'^* \cong \mathbb{R}$  and one in  $\mathfrak{m}'^* \otimes \Lambda^2\mathfrak{m}''^*$ (cf. [1]). If  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$  and  $f_3$  denotes an orthonormal basis of  $\mathfrak{m}'$ , then the first one is spanned by  $\Omega_1 = f^{123}$ . For the second invariant form  $\Omega_2$ , we note that  $\Lambda^2\mathfrak{m}''^* = \mathbf{1} \otimes \sigma_2 \oplus \sigma_2 \otimes \mathbf{1}$ which is just the decomposition into self- and antiselfdual forms. Consequently, if  $e_1, \ldots, e_4$ is an orthonormal basis for  $\mathfrak{m}''$ , then  $\Omega_1 = \sum_k f^k \wedge \omega_k$  where

$$\omega_1 = e^{12} + e^{34}, \quad \omega_2 = e^{13} - e^{24}, \quad \omega_3 = e^{14} + e^{23}.$$

The G-invariant forms

(

$$\mathcal{I} = \left\{ \Omega_{a,b} := -a^3 \Omega_1 + ab^2 \Omega_2 \,|\, a, \, b > 0 \right\}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Here and in the sequel,  $f^{123}$  will be shorthand for  $f^1 \wedge f^2 \wedge f^3$ .

are of G<sub>2</sub>-type and compatible with the natural orientation. To compute the *G*-invariant critical points we must compute  $\mathcal{D}$  on  $\mathcal{I}$ . We first note that  $\Omega_{a,b}$  induces the metric  $g_{a,b} = -a^2 B|_{\mathfrak{m}'} - b^2 B|_{\mathfrak{m}''}$  so that  $\operatorname{vol}_{a,b} = a^3 b^4 e^{1234} \wedge f^{123}$  and

$$\star_{a,b}\Omega_{a,b} = -b^4 e^{1234} + a^2 b^2 \left( f^{23} \wedge \omega_1 - f^{13} \wedge \omega_2 + f^{12} \wedge \omega_3 \right).$$

We compute the commutators  $[\cdot, \cdot]_m$  and thus the exterior differentials of  $e_1, \ldots, f_3$ . Upon suitably rescaling *B* we find

$$\mathrm{d}\Omega_{a,b} = 12ab^2e^{1234} + (10ab^2 + 2a^3)\left(-f^{23} \wedge \omega_1 + f^{13} \wedge \omega_2 - f^{12} \wedge \omega_3\right)$$

and d  $\star_{a,b} \Omega_{a,b} = 0$ . Consequently,  $|d\Omega_{a,b}|^2 = 24(7a^2b^{-4} + 25a^{-2} + 10b^{-2})$ , whence,

$$\mathcal{D}(\Omega_{a,b}) = 12(7a^5 + 10a^3b^2 + 25ab^4)$$
Vol,

with Vol the total volume of G/H with respect to  $vol_{1,1} = e^{1234} \wedge f^{123}$ . Subject to the constraint  $a^3b^4 = 1$  the critical point equations read

$$7a^4 + 6a^2b^2 + 5b^4 = 3\mu a^2b^4, \quad a^2 + 5b^2 = \mu a^2b^2, \quad a^3b^4 = 1$$

for some constant  $\tau$ . Substituting  $u = a^2$  and  $v = b^2$  shows that u = v and  $\mu = 6/v$ . Hence, a = 1, b = 1 and  $\mu = 6$  is the unique solution which gives the soliton  $\Omega_{1,1}$ . The resulting metric is the so-called *squashed* metric.

#### 4.2 Nearly parallel G<sub>2</sub>-structures

The previous two examples define in fact *nearly parallel*  $G_2$ -*structures* (see for instance [10]). These were first investigated by Gray [11] (who called them weak holonomy  $G_2$ -structures). This is a  $G_2$ -structure given by a  $G_2$ -form  $\Omega$  satisfying

$$\mathrm{d}\Omega = \tau_0 \star_\Omega \Omega$$

for some constant  $\tau_0 \neq 0$ . In particular,  $d \star_{\Omega} \Omega = 0$  so that alternatively, we may characterise nearly parallel G<sub>2</sub>-structures as those for which all torsion forms but  $\tau_0$  do vanish. By abuse of language, we refer to such an  $\Omega$  itself as a nearly parallel G<sub>2</sub>-structure. The associated metric is necessarily Einstein with positive constant scalar curvature  $s_{\Omega} = \frac{21}{7}\tau_0^2$ .

**Theorem 4.1** If  $\Omega$  is a nearly parallel G<sub>2</sub>-structure, then

$$Q_{\nu}(\Omega) = -\frac{5}{42}\nu_0\tau_0^2(\Omega)\Omega \tag{22}$$

for all  $v = (v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3) \in \mathbb{R}^4_+$ . In particular,  $\Omega$  is a G<sub>2</sub>-soliton.

Proof First we note that  $D_{\Omega}\mathcal{D}_k(\dot{\Omega}) = \int_M \dot{\tau}_{k,\Omega} \wedge \star_{\Omega} \tau_k(\Omega) + \frac{1}{2} \int_M \tau_k(\Omega) \wedge \dot{\star}_{\Omega} \tau_k(\Omega)$ . But for a nearly parallel G<sub>2</sub>-form  $\Omega$  we have  $\tau_k = 0, k \neq 0$ , so that grad  $\mathcal{D}_k(\Omega) = 0$  and in particular  $Q_{\nu}(Q) = -\nu_0 \text{grad } \mathcal{D}_0(\Omega)$ . We contend that for general  $\Omega \in \Omega^3_+(M)$ ,

grad 
$$\mathcal{D}_0(\Omega) = -\frac{1}{6}\tau_0^2\Omega + \frac{2}{7}\tau_0\star_\Omega d\Omega + \frac{1}{7}\star_\Omega (d\tau_0\wedge\Omega).$$
 (23)

If this is true, then grad  $\mathcal{D}_0(\Omega) = \frac{5}{42}c^2\Omega$  for nearly parallel  $\Omega$ , whence the result. It remains to show (23). We first determine  $\star_{\Omega}$ , the derivative of the map  $\Lambda^3_+ \to \text{Hom}(\Lambda^0, \Lambda^7)$  which sends  $\Omega$  to  $\star_{\Omega}$ . As this is a pointwise computation, we can write  $\dot{\Omega} = \dot{A}^*\Omega$ , where  $\dot{A} = \dot{A}_0$ for a smooth curve  $A_t \subset \text{GL}(7)$  with  $A_0 = \text{Id}$ . Then,

$$\dot{\star}_{\Omega} = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\big|_{t=0} \star_{A_t^*\Omega} = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\big|_{t=0} A_t^* \star_{\Omega} A_t^{-1*} = \dot{A}^* \star_{\Omega},$$

Deringer

for GL(7) acts trivially on 0-forms. In general, if  $v, w \in \Lambda^1$ , the action is given by  $(v \otimes w)^* \alpha^p = v \wedge (w \llcorner \alpha^p)$  for  $\alpha^p \in \Lambda^p$ . Using the standard formulæ  $\star_{\Omega} (v \llcorner \alpha^p) = (-1)^{p+1} v \wedge \star_{\Omega} \alpha^p$  and  $\star_{\Omega} (v \land \alpha^p) = (-1)^p v \llcorner \star_{\Omega} \alpha^p$  we get

$$\dot{A}^* \star_{\Omega} = \operatorname{Tr}(\dot{A}) \star_{\Omega} - \star_{\Omega} (\dot{A}^t)^* = \operatorname{Tr}(\dot{A}) \star_{\Omega}.$$

On the other hand, we have  $\dot{A}^*\Omega = \dot{A}_1^*\Omega + \dot{A}_7^*\Omega + \dot{A}_{27}^*\Omega$  where we used the decomposition of  $\dot{A} \in \Lambda^1 \otimes \Lambda^1$  given by (4). Since  $\dot{A}_1 = \frac{3}{7} \text{Tr}(\dot{A})$  id, we have

$$\dot{A}_1^* \Omega = \frac{3}{7} \operatorname{Tr}(\dot{A}) \Omega. \tag{24}$$

Hence,

$$\dot{\star}_{\Omega}\tau_{0}=\tau_{0}\mathrm{Tr}(\dot{A})\star_{\Omega}1=\frac{1}{7}\tau_{0}\mathrm{Tr}(\dot{A})\Omega\wedge\star_{\Omega}\Omega=\frac{1}{3}\tau_{0}\dot{\Omega}\wedge\star_{\Omega}\Omega.$$

To compute the linearisation of  $\tau_0(\Omega) = \star_{\Omega} (d\Omega \wedge \Omega)/7$  we note that  $\star_{\Omega}^2 = id$  implies  $\star_{\Omega} \dot{\star}_{\Omega} = -\dot{\star}_{\Omega} \star_{\Omega}$ , whence,

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\tau}_{0,\Omega} &= \dot{\star}_{\Omega} \left( \star_{\Omega} \tau_{0}(\Omega) \right) + \frac{1}{7} \star_{\Omega} \left( d\dot{\Omega} \wedge \Omega + \dot{\Omega} \wedge d\Omega \right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{3} \tau_{0}(\Omega) \star_{\Omega} \left( \dot{\Omega} \wedge \star_{\Omega} \Omega \right) + \frac{1}{7} \star_{\Omega} \left( d\dot{\Omega} \wedge \Omega + \dot{\Omega} \wedge d\Omega \right) \end{aligned}$$

From

$$\langle \operatorname{grad} \mathcal{D}_0(\Omega), \dot{\Omega} \rangle_{\Omega} = \int\limits_M \tau_0 \dot{\tau}_{0\Omega} \operatorname{vol}_{\Omega} + \frac{1}{6} \int\limits_M \tau_0^2 \dot{\Omega} \wedge \star_{\Omega} \Omega$$

Equation (23) easily follows.

*Remark* The factor appearing in the soliton equation (22) can also be computed using the homogeneity of  $D_{\nu}$ : If  $d\Omega = \tau_0 \star_{\Omega} \Omega$ , then by Euler's rule

$$\langle Q_{\nu}(\Omega), \Omega \rangle_{\Omega} = -D_{\Omega} \mathcal{D}_{\nu}(\Omega) = -\frac{5}{3} \mathcal{D}_{\nu}(\Omega) = -\frac{5}{42} \nu_0 \tau_0^2 \langle \Omega, \Omega \rangle_{\Omega}.$$

In particular, it follows that

$$\tau_0^2(\Omega) = \frac{2}{\nu_0} \cdot \frac{\mathcal{D}(\Omega)}{\mathcal{H}(\Omega)}.$$
(25)

**Corollary 4.2** Let  $\Omega \in \Omega^3_+(M)$  be torsion-free. Then there exists a neighbourhood of  $\Omega$  in  $\Omega^3_+(M)$  with respect to the  $C^{\infty}$ -topology which does not contain any shrinking  $\mathcal{D}_{\nu}$ -solitons, and in particular no nearly parallel  $G_2$ -structures.

*Proof* Choose a neighbourhood  $\mathcal{U} \subset \Omega^3_+(M)$  such that for any initial condition  $\Omega_0 \in \mathcal{U}$  the conclusion of Theorem 1.2 holds. Now if  $\Omega_0$  were a shrinking  $\mathcal{D}_{\nu}$ -soliton, then  $T_{\text{max}} < \infty$  according to Proposition 3.9, which is impossible.

*Remark* The previous corollary should be compared with Theorem 1.2 in [6] which asserts that a Ricci-flat metric which admits nonzero parallel spinors (as it is the case for  $g_{\Omega}$  with  $\Omega$  torsion-free) cannot be smoothly deformed into a metric of positive scalar curvature.

## 5 Soliton deformations

Let  $\bar{\Omega} \in \Omega^3_+(M)$  be a fixed nearly parallel G<sub>2</sub>-structure, i.e.  $d\bar{\Omega} = \bar{\tau}_0 \star_{\bar{\Omega}} \bar{\Omega}$  for some constant  $\bar{\tau}_0 \neq 0$ . In this final section, we linearise the G<sub>2</sub>-soliton equation

$$S_{\bar{\Omega}}(\Omega) := Q(\Omega) + \frac{5}{6}\bar{\tau}_0^2\Omega = 0 \tag{26}$$

at  $\overline{\Omega}$  and study the premoduli space of G<sub>2</sub>-soliton deformations.

5.1 The linearised soliton equation

In order to linearise the G<sub>2</sub>-soliton equation, we need a lemma first. Recall the map

$$\Theta: \Omega^3_+(M) \to \Omega^4(M), \quad \Omega \mapsto \star_\Omega \Omega$$

from Convention (ii) in Sect. 1. Its linearisation at  $\Omega$  is given by  $\dot{\Theta}_{\Omega} = \star_{\Omega} p_{\Omega}(\dot{\Omega})$  where  $p_{\Omega}(\dot{\Omega}) := \frac{4}{3} [\dot{\Omega}]_1 + [\dot{\Omega}]_7 - [\dot{\Omega}]_{27}$ .

**Lemma 5.1** Let  $\Omega \in \Omega^3_+(M)$ . For  $x \in M$ , let  $\Omega_t = A_t^* \Omega_x$  for a curve  $A_t \subset GL(7)$  such that  $A_0 = \operatorname{Id}_{T_xM}$ . If we define  $s_{\Omega}(\dot{\Omega}) := [\dot{\Omega}]_1 - [\dot{\Omega}]_7 + [\dot{\Omega}]_{27}$ , then for the second derivative  $\ddot{\Theta}_{\Omega} := \frac{d^2}{dt^2}\Big|_{t=0} \Theta(\Omega_t)$  at x we find

$$\begin{split} \ddot{\Theta}_{\Omega} &= \frac{1}{3} g(\Omega, \dot{\Omega}) \star_{\Omega} (p_{\Omega} - s_{\Omega}) \dot{\Omega} + 2 \star_{\Omega} (\dot{A}^{t})^{*2} \Omega - \star_{\Omega} s_{\Omega} \ddot{\Omega} \\ &+ \frac{1}{3} (g(\ddot{\Omega}, \Omega) - g(s_{\Omega} \dot{\Omega}, \dot{\Omega})) \star_{\Omega} \Omega. \end{split}$$

In particular, we have

$$\ddot{\Theta}_{\Omega} = \frac{1}{3}g(\Omega,\dot{\Omega})\star_{\Omega}(p_{\Omega} - s_{\Omega})\dot{\Omega} + 2\star_{\Omega}(\dot{A}^{t})^{*2}\Omega - \frac{1}{3}g(s_{\Omega}\dot{\Omega},\dot{\Omega})\star_{\Omega}\Omega.$$

for  $\ddot{\Omega} = 0$ .

*Proof* Writing  $A_t = A_t A_{t_0}^{-1} A_{t_0}$  we get

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\Big|_{t=t_0} A_t^* \Theta(\Omega) = A_{t_0}^* \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\Big|_{t=t_0} \left(A_t A_{t_0}^{-1}\right)^* \Theta(\Omega) = A_{t_0}^* \left(\dot{A}_{t_0} A_{t_0}^{-1}\right)^* \Theta(\Omega)$$

and hence,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}t^2}\Big|_{t=t_0}\Theta(\Omega_t) = \left((\dot{A}^*)^2 + \ddot{A}^* - (\dot{A}^2)^*\right)\Theta(\Omega).$$

In the same way, we obtain

$$\ddot{\Omega} = ((\dot{A}^*)^2 + \ddot{A}^* - (\dot{A}^2)^*)\Omega.$$
<sup>(27)</sup>

Now

$$\begin{split} (\dot{A}^*)^2 \Theta(\Omega) &= \dot{A}^* (\dot{A}^* \star_\Omega \Omega) \\ &= \dot{A}^* (\operatorname{Tr} \dot{A} \star_\Omega \Omega - \star_\Omega (\dot{A}^t)^* \Omega) \\ &= \operatorname{Tr} \dot{A} \left( \operatorname{Tr} \dot{A} \star_\Omega \Omega - \star_\Omega (\dot{A}^t)^* \Omega \right) \right) - \operatorname{Tr} \dot{A} \star_\Omega (\dot{A}^t)^* \Omega + \star_\Omega (\dot{A}^t)^{*2} \Omega \\ &= \operatorname{Tr} \dot{A} \star_\Omega (p_\Omega - s_\Omega) \dot{\Omega} + \star_\Omega (\dot{A}^t)^{*2} \Omega, \end{split}$$

Deringer

where we have used Tr  $\dot{A} \star_{\Omega} \Omega - \star_{\Omega} (\dot{A}^{t})^{*} \Omega = \dot{\Theta}_{\Omega}$  and  $(\dot{A}^{t})^{*} \Omega = s_{\Omega} \dot{\Omega}$ . Similarly,

$$\ddot{A}^*\Theta(\Omega) = \ddot{A}^* \star_{\Omega} \Omega = \operatorname{Tr} \ddot{A} \star_{\Omega} \Omega - \star_{\Omega} (\ddot{A}^t)^* \Omega$$

and

$$-(\dot{A}^2)^*\Theta(\Omega) = -\operatorname{Tr} \dot{A}^2 \star_{\Omega} \Omega + \star_{\Omega} (\dot{A}^2)^{t*}\Omega.$$

Finally, using (27)

$$((\dot{A}^*)^2 + \ddot{A}^* - (\dot{A}^2)^*) \Theta(\Omega) = \operatorname{Tr} \dot{A} \star_{\Omega} (p_{\Omega} - s_{\Omega}) \dot{\Omega} + (\operatorname{Tr} \ddot{A} - \operatorname{Tr} \dot{A}^2) \star_{\Omega} \Omega + 2 \star_{\Omega} ((\dot{A}^t)^*)^2 \Omega - \star_{\Omega} s_{\Omega} \ddot{\Omega}.$$

Next we need to compute the expression  $\operatorname{Tr}(\ddot{A} - \dot{A}^2)$ . By (24)  $\operatorname{Tr} \dot{A} = \frac{1}{3}g(\Omega, \dot{\Omega})$  and similarly  $\operatorname{Tr} \ddot{A} = \frac{1}{3}g(\Omega, \ddot{A}^*\Omega)$ . Write  $(\ddot{A} - \dot{A}^2)^*\Omega = \ddot{\Omega} - (\dot{A}^*)^2\Omega$ . Then

$$Tr(\ddot{A} - \dot{A}^2) = \frac{1}{3}g(\Omega, (\ddot{A} - \dot{A}^2)^*\Omega) = \frac{1}{3}g(\Omega, \ddot{\Omega} - (\dot{A}^*)^2\Omega).$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{split} [\dot{A}_{1}^{*}\dot{\Omega}]_{1} &= \frac{1}{7}g(\dot{A}_{1}^{*}\dot{\Omega},\Omega)\Omega = \frac{1}{7}g(\dot{\Omega},\dot{A}_{1}^{*}\Omega)\Omega = \frac{1}{7}|[\dot{\Omega}]_{1}|^{2}\Omega\\ [\dot{A}_{7}^{*}\dot{\Omega}]_{1} &= \frac{1}{7}g(\dot{A}_{7}^{*}\dot{\Omega},\Omega)\Omega = -\frac{1}{7}g(\dot{\Omega},\dot{A}_{7}^{*}\Omega)\Omega = -\frac{1}{7}|[\dot{\Omega}]_{7}|^{2}\Omega\\ [\dot{A}_{27}^{*}\dot{\Omega}]_{1} &= \frac{1}{7}g(\dot{A}_{27}^{*}\dot{\Omega},\Omega)\Omega = \frac{1}{7}g(\dot{\Omega},\dot{A}_{27}^{*}\Omega)\Omega = \frac{1}{7}|[\dot{\Omega}]_{27}|^{2}\Omega. \end{split}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{split} [\dot{A}^*\dot{A}^*\Omega]_1 &= [\dot{A}^*\dot{\Omega}]_1 \\ &= [\dot{A}_1^*\dot{\Omega}]_1 + [\dot{A}_7^*\dot{\Omega}]_1 + [\dot{A}_{27}^*\dot{\Omega}]_1 \\ &= \frac{1}{7} \left( |[\dot{\Omega}]_1|^2 - |[\dot{\Omega}]_7|^2 + |[\dot{\Omega}]_{27}|^2 \right) \Omega \\ &= \frac{1}{7} g(s_\Omega \dot{\Omega}, \dot{\Omega}) \Omega \end{split}$$

and in turn

$$\operatorname{Tr}(\ddot{A} - \dot{A}^2) = \frac{1}{3}g(\Omega, \ddot{\Omega}) - \frac{1}{3}g(s_\Omega\dot{\Omega}, \dot{\Omega}),$$

which yields the assertion.

**Proposition 5.2** Let  $\Omega \in \Omega^3_+(M)$  be a nearly parallel  $G_2$ -structure and define  $r_{\Omega}(\dot{\Omega}) := (id - p_{\Omega})(\dot{\Omega})$ . Then

$$D_{\Omega}Q(\dot{\Omega}) = -\delta_{\Omega}d\dot{\Omega} - p_{\Omega}d\delta_{\Omega}p_{\Omega}\dot{\Omega} - \tau_0(\star_{\Omega}dr_{\Omega} + r_{\Omega}\star_{\Omega}d)\dot{\Omega} + \tau_0^2 \left(\frac{1}{18}[\dot{\Omega}]_1 + \frac{1}{6}[\dot{\Omega}]_7 - \frac{23}{6}[\dot{\Omega}]_{27}\right) = -p_{\Omega}d(p_{\Omega}d)^*\dot{\Omega} - (\star_{\Omega}d + \tau_0r_{\Omega})^2\dot{\Omega} + \frac{1}{6}\tau_0^2\dot{\Omega}$$

for  $\tau_0 = \tau_0(\Omega)$  and  $\dot{\Omega} \in \Omega^3(M)$ .

Proof We compute the linearisation by starting from Eqs. (6) and (7). First,

$$D_{\Omega}(\Omega \mapsto \delta_{\Omega} d\Omega)(\dot{\Omega}) = \dot{\star}_{\Omega} d \star_{\Omega} d\Omega + \star_{\Omega} d\dot{\star}_{\Omega} d\Omega + \star_{\Omega} d \star_{\Omega} d\dot{\Omega}$$
$$= \tau_0^2 \dot{\star}_{\Omega} \star_{\Omega} \Omega + \tau_0 \star_{\Omega} d\dot{\star}_{\Omega} \star_{\Omega} \Omega + \star_{\Omega} d \star_{\Omega} d\dot{\Omega}$$
$$= \tau_0^2 r_{\Omega} \dot{\Omega} + \tau_0 \star_{\Omega} dr_{\Omega} \dot{\Omega} + \delta_{\Omega} d\dot{\Omega}.$$

🖄 Springer

Second,

$$D_{\Omega}(\Omega \mapsto p_{\Omega} d\delta_{\Omega} \Omega)(\dot{\Omega}) = -\dot{p}_{\Omega}(d \star_{\Omega} d \star_{\Omega} \Omega) - p_{\Omega}(d \star_{\Omega} d \star_{\Omega} \Omega) - p_{\Omega}(d \star_{\Omega} d\dot{\Theta}_{\Omega})$$
$$= p_{\Omega} d\delta_{\Omega} p_{\Omega} \dot{\Omega}.$$

Third we note that  $q_{\Omega}(\nabla^{\Omega}) = q_{\Omega}(d\Omega) + q_{\Omega}(\delta_{\Omega}\Omega)$ , where  $q_{\Omega}(d\Omega)$  and  $q_{\Omega}(\delta_{\Omega}\Omega)$  are determined by the identities

$$q_{\Omega}(\mathrm{d}\Omega) \wedge \star_{\Omega} \Omega' = \frac{1}{2} (\star'_{\Omega} \mathrm{d}\Omega) \wedge \mathrm{d}\Omega \tag{28}$$

and

$$q_{\Omega}(\delta_{\Omega}\Omega) \wedge \star_{\Omega}\Omega' = \frac{1}{2}(\star'_{\Omega}d\star_{\Omega}\Omega) \wedge d\star_{\Omega}\Omega$$
<sup>(29)</sup>

(with  $\star'_{\Omega} = D_{\Omega}(\Omega \mapsto \star_{\Omega})(\Omega')$ ) valid for all  $\Omega' \in \Omega^{3}(M)$ . It follows that  $q_{\Omega}(d\Omega) = -\frac{1}{6}\tau_{0}^{2}\Omega$ . Indeed, the left hand side of (28) is twice  $\tau_{0}^{2} \star'_{\Omega} \Theta(\Omega) \wedge \Theta(\Omega)$ . Now  $\Omega = \star_{\Omega} \Theta(\Omega)$  so that  $\Omega' = \star'_{\Omega} \Theta(\Omega) + \star_{\Omega} \Theta'_{\Omega}$ . Hence,  $[\star'_{\Omega} \Theta(\Omega)]_{1} = -[\Omega']_{1}/3$  which is the only component which survives wedging by  $\Theta(\Omega)$ . Differentiating Eq. (28) therefore implies

$$\begin{split} D_{\Omega}(\Omega &\mapsto q_{\Omega}(\mathrm{d}\Omega))(\dot{\Omega}) \wedge \star_{\Omega}\Omega' \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(D_{\Omega}^{2}\star)(\dot{\Omega}, \Omega')\mathrm{d}\Omega \wedge \mathrm{d}\Omega + \star_{\Omega}'\mathrm{d}\Omega \wedge \mathrm{d}\dot{\Omega} - q_{\Omega}(\mathrm{d}\Omega) \wedge \star_{\Omega}\Omega' \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\tau_{0}^{2}(D_{\Omega}^{2}\star)(\dot{\Omega}, \Omega')\star_{\Omega}\Omega \wedge \star_{\Omega}\Omega + \tau_{0}\star_{\Omega}'\star_{\Omega}\Omega \wedge \mathrm{d}\dot{\Omega} - \frac{1}{6}\tau_{0}^{2}r_{\Omega}\dot{\Omega} \wedge \star_{\Omega}\Omega'. \end{split}$$

On the other hand, differentiating the equation  $\Omega = \star_{\Omega} \Theta(\Omega)$  gives  $\ddot{\Omega} = \ddot{\star}_{\Omega} \Theta(\Omega) + 2\dot{\star}_{\Omega} \dot{\Theta}_{\Omega} + \star_{\Omega} \ddot{\Theta}_{\Omega}$ . Without loss of generality we may assume that  $\Omega_t = (1+t)\Omega$ , so in particular  $\ddot{\Omega} = 0$  and hence,  $\ddot{\star}_{\Omega} \Theta_{\Omega} = -2\dot{\star}_{\Omega} - \star_{\Omega} \ddot{\Theta}_{\Omega}$ . From Lemma 5.1, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2}\tau_0^2(D_\Omega^2\star)(\dot{\Omega},\,\Omega')\star_\Omega\,\Omega\wedge\star_\Omega\Omega\\ &=\,\tau_0^2\Big(-\dot{\star}_\Omega\dot{\Theta}_\Omega-\frac{1}{6}g_\Omega(\Omega,\,\dot{\Omega})(p_\Omega-s_\Omega)\dot{\Omega}-\Big((\dot{A}')^{*2}\Omega+\frac{1}{6}g_\Omega(s_\Omega\dot{\Omega},\,\dot{\Omega})\Omega\Big)\wedge\star_\Omega\Omega'\Big). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, the identities

$$-((\dot{A}^{i})^{*})^{2}\Omega \wedge \star_{\Omega}\Omega = -(\dot{A}^{i})^{*}\Omega \wedge \star_{\Omega}\dot{A}^{*}\Omega = -s_{\Omega}\dot{\Omega} \wedge \star_{\Omega}\dot{\Omega}$$
$$-\dot{\star}_{\Omega}\dot{\Theta}_{\Omega} \wedge \star_{\Omega}\Omega = -r_{\Omega}p_{\Omega}\dot{\Omega} \wedge \star_{\Omega}\dot{\Omega}$$
$$-\frac{1}{6}g_{\Omega}(\Omega,\dot{\Omega})(p_{\Omega} - s_{\Omega})\dot{\Omega} \wedge \star_{\Omega}\Omega = -\frac{7}{18}[\dot{\Omega}]_{1} \wedge \star_{\Omega}\dot{\Omega}$$
$$\frac{1}{6}g_{\Omega}(s_{\Omega}\dot{\Omega},\dot{\Omega})\Omega \wedge \star_{\Omega}\Omega = \frac{7}{6}s_{\Omega}\dot{\Omega} \wedge \star_{\Omega}\dot{\Omega}$$

imply

$$\frac{1}{2}\tau_0^2(D_\Omega^2\star)(\dot{\Omega},\Omega')\star_\Omega\Omega\wedge\star_\Omega\Omega=\tau_0^2\left(\frac{2}{9}[\dot{\Omega}]_1-\frac{1}{6}[\dot{\Omega}]_7+\frac{13}{6}[\dot{\Omega}]_{27}\right)\wedge\star_\Omega\Omega'.$$

Hence, using

$$\tau_0 \star'_{\Omega} \star_{\Omega} \wedge \mathrm{d}\dot{\Omega} = -\tau_0 \star_{\Omega} \star'_{\Omega} \Omega \wedge \mathrm{d}\dot{\Omega} = \tau_0 r_{\Omega} \Omega' \wedge \mathrm{d}\dot{\Omega} = \tau_0 r_{\Omega} \star_{\Omega} \mathrm{d}\dot{\Omega} \wedge \star_{\Omega} \Omega'$$

we arrive at

$$\begin{split} D_{\Omega}(\Omega &\mapsto q_{\Omega}(\mathrm{d}\Omega))(\dot{\Omega}) \wedge \star_{\Omega} \Omega' \\ &= \tau_0^2 \left(\frac{2}{9} [\dot{\Omega}]_1 - \frac{1}{6} [\dot{\Omega}]_7 + \frac{13}{6} [\dot{\Omega}]_{27}\right) \wedge \star_{\Omega} \Omega' + \tau_0 r_{\Omega} \star_{\Omega} \mathrm{d}\dot{\Omega} \wedge \star_{\Omega} \Omega' - \frac{1}{6} \tau_0^2 r_{\Omega} \dot{\Omega} \wedge \star_{\Omega} \Omega' \\ &= \tau_0^2 \left(\frac{5}{18} [\dot{\Omega}]_1 - \frac{1}{6} [\dot{\Omega}]_7 + \frac{11}{6} [\dot{\Omega}]_{27}\right) \wedge \star_{\Omega} \Omega' + \tau_0 r_{\Omega} \star_{\Omega} \mathrm{d}\dot{\Omega} \wedge \star_{\Omega} \Omega'. \end{split}$$

Deringer

Similarly, differentiating Eq. (29) we get

$$\begin{split} D_{\Omega}(\Omega &\mapsto q_{\Omega}(\delta_{\Omega}\Omega))(\dot{\Omega}) \wedge \star_{\Omega}\Omega' \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(D_{\Omega}^{2}\star)(\dot{\Omega}, \Omega') \mathrm{d}\Theta(\Omega) \wedge \mathrm{d}\Theta(\Omega) + \star_{\Omega}' \mathrm{d}\Theta(\Omega) \wedge \mathrm{d}\dot{\Theta}_{\Omega} - q_{\Omega}(\delta_{\Omega}\Omega) \wedge \dot{\star}_{\Omega}\Omega \\ &= 0, \end{split}$$

for  $d\Theta(\Omega) = q_{\Omega}(\delta_{\Omega}\Omega) = 0$ . Hence,

$$D_{\Omega}(\Omega \mapsto q_{\Omega}(\nabla^{\Omega}))(\dot{\Omega}) = D_{\Omega}(\Omega \mapsto q_{\Omega}(\mathrm{d}\Omega))(\dot{\Omega})$$
  
=  $\tau_0 r_{\Omega} \star_{\Omega} \mathrm{d}\dot{\Omega} + \tau_0^2 \left(\frac{5}{18}[\dot{\Omega}]_1 - \frac{1}{6}[\dot{\Omega}]_7 + \frac{11}{6}[\dot{\Omega}]_{27}\right).$ 

Summing up we obtain

$$(D_{\Omega}Q)(\dot{\Omega}) = -\delta_{\Omega}d\dot{\Omega} - p_{\Omega}d\delta_{\Omega}p_{\Omega}\dot{\Omega} - \tau_{0}\star_{\Omega}dr_{\Omega}\dot{\Omega} - \tau_{0}^{2}r_{\Omega}\dot{\Omega}$$
$$-\tau_{0}r_{\Omega}\star_{\Omega}d\dot{\Omega} - \tau_{0}^{2}\left(\frac{5}{18}[\dot{\Omega}]_{1} - \frac{1}{6}[\dot{\Omega}]_{7} + \frac{11}{6}[\dot{\Omega}]_{27}\right)$$
$$= -\delta_{\Omega}d\dot{\Omega} - p_{\Omega}d\delta_{\Omega}p_{\Omega}\dot{\Omega} - \tau_{0}(\star_{\Omega}dr_{\Omega} + r_{\Omega}\star_{\Omega}d)\dot{\Omega}$$
$$+\tau_{0}^{2}\left(\frac{1}{18}[\dot{\Omega}]_{1} + \frac{1}{6}[\dot{\Omega}]_{7} - \frac{23}{6}[\dot{\Omega}]_{27}\right),$$

which is the desired result.

*Remark* In particular, we see that  $D_{\Omega}Q(\Omega) = -\frac{5}{18}\tau_0^2\Omega$  which, of course, follows directly from differentiating  $Q((1+t)\Omega) = (1+t)^{1/3}Q(\Omega)$  at t = 0 (cf. Lemma 3.2).

As a corollary to Proposition 5.2, we immediately obtain the linearisation of the operator  $S_{\bar{\Omega}}$  at  $\bar{\Omega}$ :

**Corollary 5.3** Let  $\overline{\Omega} \in \Omega^3_+(M)$  be a nearly parallel  $G_2$ -structure. Then

$$D_{\bar{\Omega}}S_{\bar{\Omega}}(\dot{\Omega}) = -p_{\bar{\Omega}}d(p_{\bar{\Omega}}d)^*\dot{\Omega} - (\star_{\bar{\Omega}}d + \bar{\tau}_0r_{\bar{\Omega}})^2\dot{\Omega} + \bar{\tau}_0^2\dot{\Omega}$$

for  $\bar{\tau}_0 = \tau_0(\bar{\Omega})$  and  $\dot{\Omega} \in \Omega^3(M)$ .

### 5.2 The premoduli space

As above, let  $\bar{\Omega} \in \Omega^3_+(M)$  be a fixed nearly parallel G<sub>2</sub>-structure on M. We wish to study the space of G<sub>2</sub>-soliton deformations of  $\bar{\Omega}$ , i.e. solutions  $\Omega \in \Omega^3_+(M)$  to the soliton equation (26) close to  $\bar{\Omega}$  modulo the action of diffeomorphisms. Towards that end, we first investigate the linear equation  $D_{\bar{\Omega}}S_{\bar{\Omega}}(\dot{\Omega}) = 0$ . As this parallels the corresponding theory for the Einstein premoduli space as developed by Koiso, we follow [2,3] and only sketch the main points. Recall the  $L^2$ -orthogonal decomposition

$$\Omega^{3}(M) = \operatorname{im} \lambda_{\bar{\Omega}}^{*} \oplus \ker \lambda_{\bar{\Omega}}.$$

given in (16). By Ebin's slice theorem [8], ker  $\lambda_{\bar{\Omega}} = T_{\bar{\Omega}} S_{\bar{\Omega}}$  integrates to a slice  $S_{\bar{\Omega}}$  for the  $Diff_0(M)$ -action. Hence, the space  $\sigma(\bar{\Omega})$  of *infinitesimal soliton deformations* of  $\bar{\Omega}$  consists of  $\dot{\Omega} \in \Omega^3(M)$  satisfying the equations

$$D_{\bar{\Omega}}S_{\bar{\Omega}}(\dot{\Omega}) = 0$$
 and  $\lambda_{\bar{\Omega}}(\dot{\Omega}) = 0$ .

The *premoduli space*  $\mathcal{M}(\bar{\Omega})$  of G<sub>2</sub>-soliton deformations at  $\bar{\Omega}$  is the set of G<sub>2</sub>-solitons in the slice  $S_{\bar{\Omega}}$  near  $\bar{\Omega}$ . To investigate the structure of  $\sigma(\bar{\Omega})$  and  $\mathcal{M}(\bar{\Omega})$  further we introduce the linear operator

$$P_{\bar{\Omega}}: \Omega^3(M) \to \Omega^3(M), \ P_{\bar{\Omega}}(\dot{\Omega}) := D_{\bar{\Omega}} S_{\bar{\Omega}}(\dot{\Omega}) - \lambda_{\bar{\Omega}}^* \lambda_{\bar{\Omega}}(\dot{\Omega}),$$

which is clearly symmetric.

**Lemma 5.4** The operator  $P_{\overline{\Omega}}$  is elliptic.

*Proof* The operator  $P_{\bar{\Omega}}$  differs from the linearisation of the Dirichlet–DeTurck operator only in the lower order terms, cf. in particular Eq. (32) in [17]. Hence, it has the same symbol and the claim follows from Lemma 5.7 in [17].

Since any infinitesimal soliton deformation of  $\overline{\Omega}$  lies in the kernel of  $P_{\overline{\Omega}}$ , we immediately conclude from ellipticity:

**Corollary 5.5** The space  $\sigma(\overline{\Omega})$  is finite dimensional.

To discuss the structure of the premoduli space we first prove the following

**Lemma 5.6** The restricted linear operator  $D_{\bar{\Omega}}S_{\bar{\Omega}}: T_{\bar{\Omega}}S_{\bar{\Omega}} \to \Omega^3(M)$  has closed<sup>2</sup> image.

*Proof* Clearly,  $P_{\bar{\Omega}}(T_{\bar{\Omega}}S_{\bar{\Omega}}) = D_{\bar{\Omega}}S_{\bar{\Omega}}(T_{\bar{\Omega}}S_{\bar{\Omega}})$ . As an elliptic operator,  $P_{\bar{\Omega}}$  has closed image. Furthermore,  $\lambda_{\bar{\Omega}} \circ P_{\bar{\Omega}} = \lambda_{\bar{\Omega}}\lambda_{\bar{\Omega}}^* \circ \lambda_{\bar{\Omega}}$  and thus

$$P_{\bar{\Omega}}(T_{\bar{\Omega}}S_{\bar{\Omega}}) \subset P_{\bar{\Omega}}(\Omega^{3}(M)) \cap \ker \lambda_{\bar{\Omega}} \subset P_{\bar{\Omega}}\left(\lambda_{\bar{\Omega}}^{-1}\left(\ker \lambda_{\bar{\Omega}}\lambda_{\bar{\Omega}}^{*}\right)\right).$$

Now  $L_{\bar{\Omega}} := \lambda_{\bar{\Omega}} \lambda_{\bar{\Omega}}^*$  is elliptic. Indeed, for the principal symbol applied to a covector  $\xi \in T_x^* M$ we find that  $\sigma(L_{\bar{\Omega}})(x,\xi)v = i(v \otimes \xi)^* \bar{\Omega}$ . This is injective, for  $(v \otimes \xi)^* \bar{\Omega} = 0$  implies  $v \otimes \xi \in \Lambda^2 \subset \Lambda^1 \otimes \Lambda^1$  on representation theoretic grounds, that is,  $v \otimes \xi$  is skew. But this is impossible for a decomposable endomorphism unless v = 0. Hence,  $g_{\bar{\Omega}}(\sigma(L_{\bar{\Omega}})(x,\xi)v,v) = -|\sigma(\lambda_{\bar{\Omega}}^*)(x,\xi)v|_{\bar{\Omega}}^2$  is negative-definite. Consequently, ker  $L_{\bar{\Omega}}$  is finite-dimensional and so  $T_{\bar{\Omega}}S_{\bar{\Omega}}$  is of finite codimension in  $\lambda_{\bar{\Omega}}^{-1}(\ker \lambda_{\bar{\Omega}}\lambda_{\bar{\Omega}}^*)$ . Since  $T_{\bar{\Omega}}S_{\bar{\Omega}}$  is also closed,  $P_{\bar{\Omega}}(T_{\bar{\Omega}}S_{\bar{\Omega}})$  is closed in  $P_{\bar{\Omega}}(\lambda_{\bar{\Omega}}^{-1}(\ker \lambda_{\bar{\Omega}}\lambda_{\bar{\Omega}}^*))$ . As a result,  $P_{\bar{\Omega}}(T_{\bar{\Omega}}S_{\bar{\Omega}})$  is closed in  $P_{\bar{\Omega}}(\Omega^3(M)) \cap \ker \lambda_{\bar{\Omega}}$  and thus in  $\Omega^3(M)$ .

Let  $p: \Omega^3(M) \to D_{\bar{\Omega}} S_{\bar{\Omega}}(T_{\bar{\Omega}} S_{\bar{\Omega}})$  be the orthogonal projection. By the previous lemma,  $p \circ S_{\bar{\Omega}}: S_{\bar{\Omega}} \to D_{\bar{\Omega}} S_{\bar{\Omega}}(T_{\bar{\Omega}} S)$  is a submersion at  $\bar{\Omega}$ . It is also a real analytic map, since  $g_{\bar{\Omega}}$  is Einstein (hence, real analytic in harmonic coordinates, cf. [7]) and  $\Delta_{g_{\bar{\Omega}}} \bar{\Omega} = \bar{\tau}_0^2 \bar{\Omega}$  (so that  $\bar{\Omega}$  is real analytic as a solution of an elliptic PDE with real analytic coefficients). As a consequence,  $Z := p \circ S_{\bar{\Omega}}^{-1}(0)$  is a real analytic submanifold with tangent space ker  $D_{\bar{\Omega}} S_{\bar{\Omega}} \cap T_{\bar{\Omega}} S_{\bar{\Omega}} = \sigma(\bar{\Omega})$ . Restricted to  $Z, S_{\bar{\Omega}}$  is also real analytic so that  $(S_{\bar{\Omega}}|_Z)^{-1}(0)$ , the premoduli space of solitons, is a real analytic subset. We thus arrive at the following conclusion (compare with Koiso's work [14] in the Einstein case).

**Theorem 5.7** The slice  $S_{\overline{\Omega}}$  contains a finite-dimensional real analytic submanifold Z such that Z contains  $\mathcal{M}(\overline{\Omega})$  as a real analytic subset and  $T_{\overline{\Omega}}Z = \sigma(\overline{\Omega})$ .

Example Consider the spaces

$$\begin{split} \sigma_1 &= \left\{ \gamma \in \Omega^3_{27}(M) \mid \star_{\bar{\Omega}} \mathrm{d}\gamma = -\bar{\tau}_0 \gamma \right\}, \\ \sigma_2 &= \left\{ \gamma \in \Omega^3_{27}(M) \mid \star_{\bar{\Omega}} \mathrm{d}\gamma = -3\bar{\tau}_0 \gamma \right\}, \\ \sigma_3 &= \left\{ \gamma \in \Omega^3_{27}(M) \mid \star_{\bar{\Omega}} \mathrm{d}\gamma = -3\bar{\tau}_0^2 \gamma \right\}. \end{split}$$

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  Here and thereafter, this refers to the natural extension of  $D_{\bar{\Omega}}S_{\bar{\Omega}}$  to Sobolev- or Hölder-spaces.

Any  $\gamma \in \sigma_{1,2}$  is coclosed. Since  $d\bar{\Omega} = \tau_0 \star_{\bar{\Omega}} \Omega$ , we also have  $\gamma \sqcup d\Omega = 0$ . Furthermore, any  $\gamma \in \sigma_3$  is closed, hence,  $[\delta_{\bar{\Omega}}\gamma]_7 = 0$  (see the proofs of Lemma 3.3 and Proposition 5.3 in [1]). Therefore,  $\lambda_{\bar{\Omega}}(\gamma) = 0$  in all three cases. It is straightforward to check that  $P_{\bar{\Omega}}\gamma = 0$ for  $\gamma \in \sigma_{1,2,3}$ . By Theorem 6.2 in [1] these spaces correspond to the infinitesimal Einstein deformations of  $\bar{\Omega}$ . We do not know whether they exhaust all of  $\sigma(\bar{\Omega})$ .

**Acknowledgments** The authors would like to thank Bernd Ammann and Joel Fine for useful discussions on related matters. Furthermore, they thank the Hausdorff Research Institute for Mathematics at Bonn for the hospitality extended during the preparation of the article.

## References

- Alexandrov, B., Semmelmann, U.: Deformations of nearly parallel G<sub>2</sub>-structures. Preprint, arXiv: 1101.2143 (2011)
- 2. Besse, A.: Einstein Manifolds. Springer, Berlin (1987)
- 3. Bourguignon, J.-P.: Déformations des métriques d'Einstein. Astérisque 80, 21-31, SMF (1980)
- 4. Bryant, R.: Metrics with exceptional holonomy. Ann. Math. 126(3), 525-576 (1987)
- Bryant, R.: Some remarks on G<sub>2</sub>-structures. In: Gökova Geometry/Topology Conference (GGT), Gökova, pp. 75–109 (2006)
- Dai, X., Wang, X., Wei, G.: On the stability of Riemannian manifolds with parallel spinors. Invent. Math. 161, 151–176 (2005)
- DeTurck, D., Kazdan, J.: Some regularity theorems in Riemannian geometry. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 14(3), 249–260 (1981)
- Ebin, D.: The moduli space of Riemannian metrics. In: Global Analysis, vol. 15 of Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, pp. 11–40. AMS, Providence (1968)
- Fernández, M., Gray, A.: Riemannian manifolds with structure group G<sub>2</sub>. Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 132, 19– 45 (1982)
- Friedrich, T., Kath, I., Moroianu, A., Semmelmann, U.: On nearly parallel G<sub>2</sub>-structures. J. Geom. Phys. 23, 259–286 (1997)
- 11. Gray, A.: Weak holonomy groups. Math. Z. 123, 290–300 (1971)
- 12. Hitchin, N.: Stable forms and special metrics. Contemp. Math. 288, 70-89 (2001)
- Kazdan, J.: Another proof of Bianchi's identity in Riemannian geometry. Proc. AMS 81(2), 341– 342 (1981)
- 14. Koiso, N.: Einstein metrics and complex structures. Invent. Math. 73(1), 71-106 (1983)
- 15. Tischler, D.: On fibering certain foliated manifolds over  $S^1$ . Topology **9**, 153–154 (1970)
- Topping, P.: Lectures on the Ricci flow. LMS Lecture note series 325. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2006)
- 17. Weiss, H., Witt, F.: A heat flow for special metrics. Preprint, arXiv:0912.0421 (2010)
- Yau, S.-T.: On the Ricci curvature of a compact Kähler manifold and the complex Monge–Ampère equation. I. Commun. Pure Appl. Math. 31(3), 339–411 (1978)