Annals of Global Analysis and Geometry 28: 285–300 (2005)

© 2005 Springer.

tt*-Geometry and Pluriharmonic Maps

LARS SCHÄFER^{1,2}

¹Mathematisches Institut der Universität Bonn, Beringstraße 1, D-53115 Bonn, Germany. ²Institut Élie Cartan de Mathématiques, Université Henri Poincaré – Nancy 1, B.P. 239, F-54506 Vandœuvre-lès-Nancy Cedex, France. e-mail: schaefer@math.uni-bonn.de/schafer@iecn.u-nancy.fr

(Received: 13 May 2005; accepted: 24 May 2005)

Abstract. In this paper we use the real differential geometric definition of a metric (a unimodular oriented metric) tt^* -bundle of Cortés and the author (*Topological-anti-topological fusion equations, pluriharmonic maps and special Kähler manifolds*) to define a map Φ from the space of metric (unimodular oriented metric) tt^* -bundles of rank r over a complex manifold M to the space of pluriharmonic maps from M to GL(r)/O(p, q) (respectively SL(r)/SO(p, q)), where (p, q) is the signature of the metric. In the sequel the image of the map Φ is characterized. It follows, that in signature (r, 0) the image of Φ is the whole space of pluriharmonic maps. This generalizes a result of Dubrovin (*Comm. Math. Phys.* **152** (1992; S539–S564).

Mathematics Subject Classifications (2000): 58A14, 53C55.

Key words: *tt**-geometry, *tt**-bundles, pluriharmonic maps, pseudo-Riemannian symmetric spaces.

1. Introduction

 tt^* -geometry is a geometry, which has its origin in physics. Around 1990 physicists began to study topological field theories and their moduli spaces, in particular N = 2supersymmetric field theories. A special geometric structure called topologicalanti-topolgical fusion was found and studied (see for example [2, 5]). A definition of tt^* -geometry on abstract vector bundles was formulated in [8, 11]. The former tt^* -geometries are included in this version by choosing TM^c respectively $T^{1,0}M$ as the bundle in the abstract version. Mathematically this geometry can be considered as a generalization of variations of Hodge structures (VHS), as it was done in a paper of Hertling [8]. From his results follows, that a special Kähler manifold gives a tt^* -bundle. A definition in terms of real differential geometry was given in [4] and used to give another proof of this result not using the methods of VHS. A further interesting class of solutions are harmonic bundles first introduced by Simpson [15]. These solutions are considered in [8, 12, 14].

A result of Dubrovin [5] associates to every tt^* -geometry with positive definite metric a pluriharmonic map to GL(r)/O(r) where r is the dimension of the basemanifold and vice-versa to every such map a tt^* -geometry. This result was proven by the author in his 'Diplomarbeit' [11] for the case of a tt^* -geometry on an abstract vector bundle and is presented here in a more general context. The explicit form of this map in the special Kähler case, which implies its pluriharmonicity, was given in [4]. In this context indefinite metrics can occur. This is the motivation to generalize the above result to the case of tt^* -bundles carrying indefinite metrics. In [14] we applied the above result to harmonic bundles with hermitian metric of arbitrary signature and obtained a generalization of the correspondence between harmonic bundles over a compact Kähler manifold X of complex dimension n and harmonic maps from X to $GL(n, \mathbb{C})/U(n)$.

May we illustrate now the main results: In Theorem 2 we show, that a metric tt^* -bundle with a metric of signature (p, q) over a complex manifold (M, J) gives rise to a pluriharmonic map f from M to GL(r)/O(p, q) being admissible in the following sense

DEFINITION 1. Let (M, J) be a complex manifold and G/K a locally Riemannian symmetric space with associated Cartan decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{p} \oplus \mathfrak{k}$. A map $f: (M, J) \to G/K$ is said to be admissible, if the linear extension of its differential maps $T_x^{1,0}M$ (respectively $T_x^{0,1}M$) to an Abelian subspace of \mathfrak{p}^c for all $x \in M$.

Conversely, an admissible pluriharmonic map f from M to GL(r)/O(p, q) gives rise to a metric tt^* -bundle as is shown in Theorem 3. In other words we could say, that our construction defines a map Φ from the space of metric tt^* -bundles of rank r over a complex manifold (M, J) to the space of pluriharmonic maps from Mto GL(r)/O(p, q). The image of the map Φ is characterized to be the admissible pluriharmonic maps from M to GL(r)/O(p, q). The case of a metric tt^* -bundle of rank r with metric of signature (r, 0) follows from this theorem, since in this case the pluriharmonic are shown to be admissible using a result of Sampson [10]. It remains the question, if all these pluriharmonic maps are admissible or if there are some counterexamples, which we do not know yet. The described results are also proven for unimodular-oriented metric tt^* -bundles. Here the target space of the pluriharmonic maps is SL(r)/SO(p, q).

We hope this approach enables a broader readership to understand this result relating physical/algebro-geometrical objects with well-known differentialgeometric objects.

2. tt*-Bundles

For the convenience of the reader we recall the definition of a tt^* -bundle given in [4]:

DEFINITION 2. A tt*-bundle (E, D, S) over a complex manifold (M, J) is a real vector bundle $E \rightarrow M$ endowed with a connection D and a section $S \in$

*tt**-GEOMETRY AND PLURIHARMONIC MAPS

 $\Gamma(T^*M \otimes \text{End } E)$ satisfying the *tt**-equation

$$R^{\theta} = 0 \quad \text{for all } \theta \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{2.1}$$

where R^{θ} is the curvature tensor of the connection D^{θ} defined by

$$D_X^{\theta} := D_X + \cos(\theta)S_X + \sin(\theta)S_{JX} \quad \text{for all } X \in TM.$$
(2.2)

A metric tt*-bundle (E, D, S, g) is a *tt**-bundle (E, D, S) endowed with a possibly indefinite *D*-parallel fiber metric *g* such that *S* is g-symmetric, i.e. for all $p \in M$

$$g(S_X Y, Z) = g(Y, S_X Z) \quad \text{for all } X, Y, Z \in T_p M.$$
(2.3)

A unimodular metric tt*-bundle (E, D, S, g) is a metric tt*-bundle (E, D, S, g) such that tr $S_X = 0$ for all $X \in TM$. An oriented unimodular metric tt*-bundle (E, D, S, g, or) is a unimodular metric tt^* -bundle endowed with an orientation or of the bundle E.

In the case of moduli spaces of topological quantum field theories [2, 5] and the moduli spaces of singularities [8], the complexified tt^* -bundle $E^{\mathbb{C}}$ is identified with $T^{1,0}M$ and the metric g is positive definite. The case E = TM and, hence, $E^{\mathbb{C}} = T^{1,0}M + T^{0,1}M$ includes special complex and special Kähler manifolds, as was proven in [4] and follows from [8].

Remark 1. (1) If (E, D, S) is a tt*-bundle then (E, D, S^{θ}) is a tt^* -bundle for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, where

$$S^{\theta} := D^{\theta} - D = (\cos \theta)S + (\sin \theta)S_{J}.$$
(2.4)

The same remark applies to metric tt^* -bundles.

(2) Notice that an oriented unimodular metric tt^* -bundle (E, D, S, g, or) carries a canonical metric volume element $\nu \in \Gamma(\wedge^r E^*)$, $r = \operatorname{rk} E$, determined by g and or, which is D^{θ} parallel for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$.

The following proposition characterizes tt^* -bundles (E, D, S) in the form of explicit equations for D and S. These equations are important in the later calculations

PROPOSITION 1. Let *E* be a real vector bundle over a complex manifold (M, J) endowed with a connection *D* and a section $S \in \Gamma(T^*M \otimes \text{End } E)$.

Then (E, D, S) is a tt*-bundle if and only if D and S satisfy the following equations:

$$R^{D} + S \wedge S = 0, \ S \wedge S \ is \ of \ type \ (1,1), \ d^{D} S = 0 \ and \ d^{D} S_{J} = 0.$$
 (2.5)

Proof. As the attentive reader may observe, it is easier to show this proposition after complexifying TM. But since one idea of the paper was to formulate these results in real differential geometry, we give a real version of the proof.

To prove the proposition, we have to compute the curvature of D^{θ} .

Let $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ arbitrary:

$$\begin{aligned} R^{\theta}_{X,Y} &= R^{D}_{X,Y} + [D_X, \cos(\theta)S_Y + \sin(\theta)S_{JY}] + [\cos(\theta)S_X + \sin(\theta)S_{JX}, D_Y] + \\ &+ [\cos(\theta)S_X + \sin(\theta)S_{JX}, \cos(\theta)S_Y + \sin(\theta)S_{JY}] - \\ &- \cos(\theta)S_{[X,Y]} - \sin(\theta)S_{J[X,Y]} \\ &= R^{D}_{X,Y} + \sin^2(\theta)[S_{JX}, S_{JY}] + \cos^2(\theta)[S_X, S_Y] + \cos(\theta)\sin(\theta) \times \\ &\times ([S_X, S_{JY}] + [S_{JX}, S_Y]) + \cos(\theta)([D_X, S_Y] + [S_X, D_Y] - S_{[X,Y]}) + \\ &+ \sin(\theta)([S_{JX}, D_Y] + [D_X, S_{JY}] - S_{J[X,Y]}). \end{aligned}$$

We now recall the Fourier expansion of

 $\cos^{2}(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\cos(2\theta)$ and $\sin^{2}(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\cos(2\theta)$

to find

$$R_{X,Y}^{\theta} = R_{X,Y}^{D} + \frac{1}{2} ([S_X, S_Y] + [S_{JX}, S_{JY}]) + + \cos(\theta) ([D_X, S_Y] + [S_X, D_Y] - S_{[X,Y]}) + + \sin(\theta) ([S_{JX}, D_Y] + [D_X, S_{JY}] - S_{J[X,Y]}) + + \frac{1}{2} \cos(2\theta) ([S_X, S_Y] - [S_{JX}, S_{JY}]) + + \frac{1}{2} \sin(2\theta) ([S_X, S_{JY}] + [S_{JX}, S_Y]).$$

Taking Fourier coefficients yields

$$0 = R_{X,Y}^{D} + \frac{1}{2}([S_X, S_Y] + [S_{JX}, S_{JY}]),$$

$$0 = [S_X, S_Y] - [S_{JX}, S_{JY}], \quad 0 = [S_X, S_{JY}] + [S_{JX}, S_Y],$$

$$0 = [D_X, S_Y] + [S_X, D_Y] - S_{[X,Y]}, \quad 0 = [S_{JX}, D_Y] + [D_X, S_{JY}] - S_{J[X,Y]}$$

and equivalently

$$R_{X,Y}^D + [S_X, S_Y] = 0, \quad S \wedge S(X, Y) = [S_X, S_Y] = [S_{JX}, S_{JY}],$$

 $d^D S = 0 \text{ and } d^D S_J = 0.$

3. Pluriharmonic Maps

In this section we recall the notion of pluriharmonic maps and explain some properties of pluriharmonic maps to S(p,q) := GL(r)/O(p,q) where O(p,q) is the pseudo-orthogonal group of signature (p,q) respectively $S^1(p,q) := SL(r)/SO(p,q)$, which are needed later to prove the main theorem.

tt*-GEOMETRY AND PLURIHARMONIC MAPS

In order to uniform the formulation of the paper we introduce the following notions:

$$G_0(r) = \operatorname{GL}(r), \quad G_1(r) = \operatorname{SL}(r), \quad \mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{gl}(r), \quad \mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathfrak{sl}(r),$$

$$K_0(p,q) = \operatorname{O}(p,q), \quad K_1(p,q) = \operatorname{SO}(p,q), \quad \mathfrak{k}_0 = \mathfrak{k}_1 = \mathfrak{so}(p,q),$$

$$S^0(p,q) = \operatorname{S}(p,q).$$

These objects are also written with an index $i \in \{0, 1\}$.

DEFINITION 3. Let (M, J) be a complex manifold and (N, h) a pseudo-Riemannian manifold with Levi-Civita connection ∇^h , D a connection on M which satisfies

$$D_{JY}X = JD_YX \tag{3.1}$$

for all vector fields which satisfy $\mathcal{L}_X J = 0$ (i.e. for which X - iJX is holomorphic) and ∇ the connection on $T^*M \otimes f^*TN$ which is induced by D and ∇^h .

A map $f: M \to N$ is pluriharmonic if and only if it satisfies the equation

$$\nabla''\partial f = 0, \tag{3.2}$$

where $\partial f = df^{1,0} \in \Gamma(\bigwedge^{1,0} T^*M \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} (TN)^{\mathbb{C}})$ is the (1, 0)-component of $d^c f$ and ∇'' is the (0, 1)-component of $\nabla = \nabla' + \nabla''$.

Equivalently one regards $\alpha = \nabla d\phi \in \Gamma(T^*M \otimes T^*M \otimes \phi^*TN)$. Then ϕ is pluriharmonic if and only if

 $\alpha(X, Y) + \alpha(JX, JY) = 0$

for all $X, Y \in TM$.

Remark 2. (1) Note that f is pluriharmonic iff f restricted to every holomorphic curve is harmonic. In fact, this gives a definition of pluriharmonic maps, which is independent of the chosen connections. For a short discussion of this, the reader is referred to [4].

(2) Any complex manifold (M, J) admits a torsion free complex connection D (complex means DJ = 0) and consequently a connection satisfying (3.1). In the rest of the paper, we therefore suppose, that the connection on (M, J) is also torsion free.

Let $Sym_{p,q}^{i}(\mathbb{R}^{r})$ be the symmetric $r \times r$ matrices in $G_{i}(r)$ of signature (p, q). These define pseudo-scalar products of same signature by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{A} = \langle A \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{r}}$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{r}}$ is the Euclidean scalar product. The natural action of an element $g \in G_{i}(r)$ is given by $\langle g^{-1} \cdot, g^{-1} \cdot \rangle_{A} = \langle (g^{-1})^{t} A g^{-1} \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{r}}$. This gives us an action of $G_{i}(r) A \mapsto (g^{-1})^{t} A g^{-1}$ on $Sym_{p,q}^{i}(\mathbb{R}^{r})$ which we use to identify $Sym_{p,q}^{i}(\mathbb{R}^{r})$ with $S^{i}(p, q)$ in the following **PROPOSITION 2.** Let Ψ^i be the canonical map Ψ^i : $S^i(p,q) \xrightarrow{\sim} Sym_{p,q}^i(\mathbb{R}^r) \subset G_i(r)$ where $G_i(r)$ carries the pseudo-Riemannian Ad-invariant trace-form. Then Ψ^i is a totally-geodesic immersion and a map f from a complex manifold (M, J) to $S^i(p,q)$ is pluriharmonic, iff the map $\Psi^i \circ f \colon M \to G^i(r)$ is pluriharmonic.

Proof. The proof is done by expressing the map Ψ^i in terms of the well-known Cartan immersion. For further information see for example [3, 6, 7, 9].

(1) First we study the identification $S^i(p,q) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Sym}_{p,q}^i(\mathbb{R}^r)$. By Sylvesters theorem $G_i(r)$ operates on $\text{Sym}_{p,q}^i(\mathbb{R}^r)$ via

$$G_i(r) \times \operatorname{Sym}_{p,q}^i(\mathbb{R}^r) \to \operatorname{Sym}_{p,q}^i(\mathbb{R}^r), \quad (g, B) \mapsto g \cdot B := (g^{-1})^t Bg^{-1}.$$

The stabilisator of the point I_{p,q} = diag(I_p, -I_q) is K_i(p, q) and the above action is transitive by Sylvesters theorem. Therefore, by the orbit stabilizer theorem (compare Gallot, Hulin, Lafontaine [6] 1.100) we obtain a diffeomorphism Ψⁱ: Sⁱ(p, q) → Symⁱ_{p,q}(ℝ^r), g K_i(p, q) → g · I_{p,q} = (g⁻¹)^t I_{p,q}g⁻¹.
(2) We recall some results about symmetric spaces (see: [3]). Let G be a Lie group

(2) We recall some results about symmetric spaces (see: [3]). Let *G* be a Lie group and *σ*: *G* → *G* a group homomorphism with *σ*² = Id_G. Let *K* denote the subgroup *K* = *G^σ* = {*g* | *σ*(*g*) = *g*}. The Lie algebra *g* of *G* decomposes in *g* = *h* ⊕ *p* with *dσ*_{*I*d_{*G*}(*h*) = *h*, *dσ*_{*I*d_{*G*}(*p*) = −*p*. And we have the following information: The map *φ*: *G/K* → *G* with *φ*: [*gK*] → *gσ*(*g*⁻¹) defines a totally geodesic immersion called the Cartan immersion.}}

We want to utilize this:

Therefore we define $\sigma: G_i(r) \to G_i(r), g \mapsto (g^{-1})^{\dagger}$ where $g^{\dagger} = I_{p,q}g^t I_{p,q}$ is the adjoint with respect to the pseudo-scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{I_{p,q}} = \langle \cdot, I_{p,q} \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}$.

 σ is obviously a homomorphism and an involution with $G_i(r)^{\sigma} = K_i(p, q)$. By a direct calculation one gets $d\sigma_{Id_G} = -h^{\dagger}$ and hence

$$\mathfrak{h} = \{h \in \mathfrak{gl}(r) \mid h^{\dagger} = -h\} = \mathfrak{o}(p, q) = \mathfrak{so}(p, q),$$

$$\mathfrak{p} = \{h \in \mathfrak{gl}(r) \mid h^{\dagger} = h\} =: \operatorname{sym}^{i}(p, q).$$

Thus we end up with

$$\phi: S'(p,q) \to G_i(r), \tag{3.3}$$

$$g \mapsto g\sigma(g^{-1}) = gg^{\dagger} = gI_{p,q}g^{t}I_{p,q} = R_{I_{p,q}} \circ \Psi^{t} \circ \Lambda(g).$$
(3.4)

Here R_h is the right multiplication by h and Λ is the map $\Lambda: G_i \to G_i, h \mapsto (h^{-1})^t$. Both maps are isometries of the invariant metric. Hence, Ψ^i is a totally-geodesic immersion.

(3) Pluriharmonicity is independent of the connection satisfying (3.1) chosen on *M*. Therefore, we can take it torsion free (see Remark 2). We calculate the tensor

$$\nabla \mathrm{d} f(X, Y) = \nabla_X^N (\mathrm{d} f(Y)) - \mathrm{d} f(D_X Y).$$

for holomorphic vector fields X, Y. The (1,1) part of the second term vanishes for holomorphic X, Y, since

$$D_X Y + D_{JX} J Y = D_X Y + J D_{JX} Y = D_X Y + J^2 D_X Y = 0.$$

Hence, we have only to regard the Levi-Civita connections on G_i and $G_i/K_i = S^i(p, q)$. Let $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ holomorphic and calculate:

$$\nabla_X^{G_i} d(\Psi^i \circ f)(Y) = \nabla_X^{G_i} d\Psi^i(\mathrm{d}f(Y)) = \nabla_X^{G_i} \Psi^i_*(\mathrm{d}f(Y))$$
$$= \Psi^i_* \left(\nabla_X^{G_i/K_i} \mathrm{d}f(Y)\right) + II(X, Y)$$

where *II* is the second fundamental form which vanishes, as the immersion is totally geodesic. This implies with the notation $\alpha^{G_i} = \nabla^{G_i} d(\Psi^i \circ f)$ and $\alpha^{G_i/K_i} = \nabla^{G_i/K_i} df$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^{G_i}(X,Y) + \alpha^{G_i}(JX,JY) &= \nabla_X^{G_i} d(\Psi^i \circ f)(Y) + \nabla_{JX}^{G_i} d(\Psi^i \circ f)(JY) \\ &= \Psi_*^i \Big(\nabla_X^{G_i/K_i} df(Y) + \nabla_{JX}^{G_i/K_i} df(JY) \Big) \\ &= \Psi_*^i \Big(\alpha^{G_i/K_i}(X,Y) + \alpha^{G_i/K_i}(JX,JY) \Big). \end{aligned}$$

Since Ψ^i is an immersion, the left side is zero iff the right is and the proof is finished.

Remark 3 (compare [4]). Above we have identified $G_i(r)/K_i(p,q)$ with $\operatorname{Sym}_{p,q}^i(\mathbb{R}^r)$ via Ψ^i .

Let us choose $o = eK_i(p,q)$ as base point and suppose that Ψ^i is chosen to map o to $I = I_{p,q}$. By construction Ψ^i is $G_i(r)$ -equivariant. We identify the tangent-space $T_S \text{Sym}^i_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^r)$ at $S \in \text{Sym}^i_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^r)$ with the (ambient) vector space of symmetric matrices:

$$T_{S}\operatorname{Sym}^{i}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^{r}) = \operatorname{Sym}^{i}(\mathbb{R}^{r}) := \{A \in \mathfrak{g}_{i}(r) \mid A^{t} = A\}.$$
(3.5)

For $\Psi^i(\tilde{S}) = S$, the tangent space $T_{\tilde{S}}S^i(p,q)$ is canonically identified with the vector space of S-symmetric matrices:

$$T_{\tilde{S}}S^{i}(p,q) = \operatorname{sym}^{i}(S) := \{A \in \mathfrak{g}_{i}(r) \mid AS = SA^{t}\}.$$
(3.6)

Note that $\operatorname{sym}^{i}(I_{p,q}) = \operatorname{sym}^{i}(p,q)$.

PROPOSITION 3. The differential of $\varphi^i := (\Psi^i)^{-1}$ at $S \in \text{Sym}_{p,q}^i(\mathbb{R}^r)$ is given by

$$\operatorname{Sym}^{i}(\mathbb{R}^{r}) \ni X \mapsto -\frac{1}{2}S^{-1}X \in S^{-1}\operatorname{Sym}^{i}(\mathbb{R}^{r}) = \operatorname{sym}^{i}(S).$$
(3.7)

Using this proposition we relate now the differentials

$$\mathrm{d}f_x : T_x M \to \mathrm{Sym}^\iota(\mathbb{R}^r) \tag{3.8}$$

of a map $f: M \to \operatorname{Sym}_{p,q}^{i}(\mathbb{R}^{r})$ at $x \in M$ and

$$d\tilde{f}_x: T_x M \to \operatorname{sym}^i(f(x)) \tag{3.9}$$

a map $\tilde{f} = \varphi \circ f \colon M \to S^i(p,q) \colon \mathrm{d}\tilde{f}_x = \mathrm{d}\varphi \,\mathrm{d}f_x = -\frac{1}{2}f(x)^{-1}\mathrm{d}f_x.$

One can interpret the 1-form $A = -2d\tilde{f} = f^{-1}df$ with values in $\mathfrak{g}_i(r)$ as connection form on the vector bundle $E = M \times \mathbb{R}^r$. We note, that the definition of A is the pure gauge. This means, that A is gauge-equivalent to A' = 0, as for A' = 0 one has $A = f^{-1}A'f + f^{-1}df = f^{-1}df$. The curvature vanishes, since it is independent of gauge. Thus we get:

PROPOSITION 4. Let $f: M \to G_i(r)$ be a C^{∞} -mapping and $A := f^{-1}df: TM \to \mathfrak{g}_i(r)$. Then the curvature of A vanishes, i.e. for $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$

$$Y(A_X) - X(A_Y) = A_{[X,Y]} - [A_X, A_Y].$$
(3.10)

In the next proposition we give the equations for pluriharmonic maps from a complex manifold to $G_i(r)$.

PROPOSITION 5. Let (M, J) be a complex manifold, $f: M \to G_i(r)$ a C^{∞} -map and A defined as in Proposition 4.

The pluriharmonicity of f is equivalent to the equation

$$Y(A_X) + \frac{1}{2}[A_Y, A_X] + JY(A_{JX}) + \frac{1}{2}[A_{JY}, A_{JX}] = 0,$$
(3.11)

for holomorphic $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$.

Proof. Again, pluriharmonicity of f does not depend on the connection satisfying (3.1) on M. Hence, the (1,1)-part of the second term of $\nabla d f(X, Y)$ vanishes for holomorphic X, Y, as in the proof of Proposition 2. Therefore, we only have to regard the pulled-back Levi-Civita connection ∇ on $G_i(r)$.

Let $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$. To find these equations we write df(X) and df(Y) that are sections in $f^*TG_i(r)$, as linear combination of left invariant vector fields $f^*\tilde{E}_{ij} = \tilde{E}_{ij} \circ f$, with $\tilde{E}_{ij}(g) = gE_{ij}, \forall g \in G_i(r)$ and a basis $E_{ij}, i, j = 1 \dots r$ of $\mathfrak{g}_i(r)$. In this notation we have

$$df(X) = \sum_{ij} a_{ij} \tilde{E}_{ij} \circ f = \sum_{ij} a_{ij} f E_{ij}$$

and

$$df(Y) = \sum_{ij} b_{ij} \tilde{E}_{ij} \circ f = \sum_{ij} b_{ij} f E_{ij}$$

with functions a_{ij} and b_{ij} on M and further

$$A_X = f^{-1} df(X) = \sum_{ij} a_{ij} E_{ij}$$
 and $A_Y = f^{-1} df(Y) = \sum_{ij} b_{ij} E_{ij}$.

With this information we compute

$$(f^*\nabla)_Y df(X) = (f^*\nabla)_Y \sum_{i,j} a_{ij} \tilde{E}_{ij} \circ f$$

$$= \sum_{ij} Y(a_{ij}) \tilde{E}_{ij} \circ f + \sum_{ij} a_{ij} (f^*\nabla)_Y \tilde{E}_{ij} \circ f$$

$$= \sum_{ij} Y(a_{ij}) \tilde{E}_{ij} \circ f + \sum_{ij} a_{ij} \nabla_{df(Y)} \tilde{E}_{ij} \circ f$$

$$= \sum_{ij} Y(a_{ij}) f E_{ij} + \sum_{abij} a_{ij} b_{ab} \underbrace{(\nabla_{\tilde{E}_{ab}} \tilde{E}_{ij}) \circ f}_{\frac{1}{2} f[E_{ab}, E_{ij}]}$$

$$= f(Y(A_X) + \frac{1}{2} [A_Y, A_X]).$$

Therefore the pluriharmonicity is equivalent to the equation

 $Y(A_X) + \frac{1}{2}[A_Y, A_X] + JY(A_{JX}) + \frac{1}{2}[A_{JY}, A_{JX}] = 0$

for holomorphic X, Y.

Suppose that *N* is a locally Riemannian symmetric space with universal cover G/K with noncompact semi-simple Lie group *G*, maximal compact subgroup *K* and associated Cartan decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$. In each point one identifies the tangent space of *N* with \mathfrak{p} . This is unique up to right action of *K* and left action of the fundamental group. All relevant structures are preserved by these actions. Therefore, given a $f: M \to N$, we can regard $df_x(T_x^{1,0}M), x \in M$ as a subspace of \mathfrak{p}^c . For the 'complexified' sectional curvature of *N* holds using the Killing form *b*

$$b(R(X, Y)Y, X) = -b([X, Y], [Y, X]) \le 0.$$
(3.12)

It is a well-known result of Sampson [10], that a harmonic map of a compact complex manifold to a locally symmetric space of noncompact type is pluriharmonic and that its differential sends $T^{1,0}M$ to an Abelian subspace of \mathfrak{p}^c . The second claim, that the image of $T^{1,0}M$ under the differential of a pluriharmonic map is Abelian is true on noncompact manifolds, too. To illustrate this, we are going to prove, that pluriharmonicity implies this property.

THEOREM 1 (compare [10]). Let (M, J) be a complex manifold and N = G/K be a locally Riemannian symmetric space as above.

Then the complex linear extended differential of a pluriharmonic map $f: M \to N$ maps for all $x \in M$ $T_x^{1,0}M$ (respectively $T_x^{0,1}M$) to an Abelian subspace of \mathfrak{p}^c . On T M the differential of a pluriharmonic map $f: M \to N$ obeys the equation

$$[\mathrm{d}f_x(X), df_x(Y)] = [\mathrm{d}f_x(JX), df_x(JY)]$$

with $X, Y \in T_x M, x \in M$.

Proof. The strategy is to show the vanishing of the curvature. Let *X*, *Y*, *Z*, $W \in \Gamma(T^{1,0}M)$ be holomorphic

$$R^{N}(f_{*}X, f_{*}Y)f_{*}\bar{Z} = R^{f^{*}\nabla^{N}}(X, Y)f_{*}\bar{Z}$$

= $(f^{*}\nabla^{N})_{X}(f^{*}\nabla^{N})_{Y}f_{*}\bar{Z} - (f^{*}\nabla^{N})_{Y}(f^{*}\nabla^{N}_{X})f_{*}\bar{Z} - (f^{*}\nabla^{N})_{[X,Y]}f_{*}\bar{Z}$

We remark now, that the pluriharmonic equation for holomorphic vector fields depends not on the connection chosen on the manifold M. Hence, it reduces to the equation $(f^*\nabla^N)_X f_*\bar{Y} = 0$, which implies $R^N(f_*X, f_*Y)f_*\bar{Z} = 0$. From Equation (3.12) we get $b([f_*X, f_*Y], [f_*\bar{Z}, f_*\bar{W}]) = 0$ and in the end $[f_*X, f_*Y] = 0$ for all X, Y.

Let $Z, W \in \Gamma(T^{1,0}M)$ be of the form Z = X - iJX and W = Y - iJYwith $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ and compute $[f_*Z, f_*W] = [f_*X, f_*Y] - [f_*JX, f_*JY] - i([f_*X, f_*JY] + [f_*JX, f_*Y])$. Hence, we conclude that [df(X), df(Y)] = [df(JX), df(JY)].

COROLLARY 1. Let (M, J) be a complex manifold, $f: M \to \text{Sym}_{r,0}^{i}(\mathbb{R}^{r}) \hookrightarrow G_{i}(r)$ a pluriharmonic map induced by a pluriharmonic map to $G_{i}(r)/K_{i}(r)$ and A defined as in Proposition 3. If f is a pluriharmonic map, then the operators A satisfy for all $X, Y \in T_{x}M$, with $x \in M$, the equation $[A_{X}, A_{Y}] = [A_{JX}, A_{JY}]$.

Proof. First, we apply Theorem 1 to $A = -2d \tilde{f}: M \to G_1 = SL(r)$. This yields the corollary for $G_1 = SL(r)$.

For $S^0(p,q) = S(p,q)$ we have the de Rham decomposition $S(p,q) = \mathbb{R} \times S^1(p,q)$, where \mathbb{R} corresponds to the connected central subgroup $\mathbb{R}^{>0} = \{\lambda \text{Id} \mid \lambda > 0\} \subset G_0 = GL(r)$. Hence we have the decomposition of $\mathfrak{gl}(r) = \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(r)$, where the \mathbb{R} -factor is central. Therefore, we are in the situation to apply the result for G_1 .

Remark 4. Since the trace form on SL(r) is a multiple of the Killing-form and on GL(r) it corresponds to the metric on the decomposition $S(p, q) = \mathbb{R} \times S^1(p, q)$, we can choose the trace form as metric and obtain the same result as in Theorem 1 and Corollary 1.

4. *tt**-Geometry and Pluriharmonic Maps

In this section we are going to state and prove the main results. Like in Section 3 one regards the mapping $A = f^{-1}df$ as a map $A: TM \to g_i(r)$.

We now suppose, that the complex manifold (M, J) is simply connected. Using the same considerations as in [11] the main theorems, Theorems 2 and 3, can be extended to nonsimply connected manifolds by pulling back the metric tt^* bundles to the universal cover of M. Accordingly, the pluriharmonic maps have to be replaced by twisted pluriharmonic maps.

THEOREM 2. Let (M, J) be a simply-connected complex manifold. Let (E, D, S, g[, or]) be a metric [a unimodular-oriented metric] tt^* -bundle where *E* has rank *r* and *M* dimension *n*.

Then the representation of the metric g in a D^{θ} -flat frame of

 $E f: M \to \operatorname{Sym}_{p,q}^{i}(\mathbb{R}^{r})$

induces an admissible pluriharmonic map $\tilde{f}: M \xrightarrow{f} \operatorname{Sym}_{p,q}^{i}(\mathbb{R}^{r}) \xrightarrow{\sim} S^{i}(p,q)$, where $S^{i}(p,q)$ carries the metric induced by the biinvariant pseudo-Riemannian trace-form on $\mathfrak{g}_{i}(r)$.

Let s' be another D^{θ} -flat frame. Then $s' = s \cdot U$ for a constant matrix and the pluriharmonic map associated to S' is $f' = U^t f U$.

Remark 5 (see also [4]). Before proving the theorem we make some remarks on the condition on $d\tilde{f}$. Let $x \in M$ and $\tilde{f}(x) = uo$. If $d\tilde{f}(T_x^{1,0}M)$ consist of commuting matrices, then $dL_u^{-1}d\tilde{f}(T_x^{1,0}M)$ is commutative, too. This follows from the fact, that

$$\mathrm{d}L_u: T_o S^i(p,q) \to T_{uo} S^i(p,q) = T_{\tilde{f}(x)} S^i(p,q)$$

equals

$$\operatorname{Ad}_{u}:\operatorname{sym}^{i}(p,q)=\operatorname{sym}^{i}(I_{p,q})\to\operatorname{sym}^{i}(u\cdot I_{p,q})=\operatorname{sym}^{i}\tilde{f}(x),$$

which preserves the Lie bracket.

Proof. Using Remark 1.1 it suffices to prove the case $\theta = \pi$.

We first consider a metric tt^* -bundle (E, D, S, g).

Let $s := (s_1, ..., s_r)$ be a D^{π} -flat frame of E (i.e. Ds = Ss), f the matrix $g(s_k, s_l)$ and further S^s the matrix-valued 1-form representing S in the frame s. For $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ we get:

$$X(f) = Xg(s, s) = g(D_X s, s) + g(s, D_X s)$$
$$= g(S_X s, s) + g(s, S_X s)$$
$$= 2g(S_X s, s) = 2f \cdot S^s(X) = 2f \cdot S^s_X.$$

Consequently $A_X = 2S_X^s$. We now prove the pluriharmonicity using

$$d^{D}S(X,Y) = D_{X}(S_{Y}) - D_{Y}(S_{X}) - S_{[X,Y]} = 0,$$
(4.1)

$$d^{D}S_{J}(X,Y) = D_{X}(S_{JY}) - D_{Y}(S_{JX}) - S_{J[X,Y]} = 0.$$
(4.2)

The Equation (4.2) implies

$$0 = d^{D}S_{J}(JX, Y) = D_{JX}(S_{JY}) + \underbrace{D_{Y}(S_{X})}_{\stackrel{(4,1)}{=} D_{X}(S_{Y}) - S_{[X,Y]}} - S_{J[JX,Y]}$$
$$= D_{JX}(S_{JY}) + D_{X}(S_{Y}) - S_{[X,Y]} - S_{J[JX,Y]}.$$

In local holomorphic coordinate fields X, Y on M we get in the frame s

$$JX(S_{JY}^s) + X(S_Y^s) + [S_X^s, S_Y^s] + [S_{JX}^s, S_{JY}^s] = 0.$$

Now $A = 2S^s$ gives Equation (3.11) and proves the pluriharmonicity of f.

Using $A_X = 2S_X^s = -2d \tilde{f}(X)$, we find the property of the differential, as $S \wedge S$ is of type (1,1) using the tt^* -equations, see Proposition 1.

The last statement is obvious.

In the case of an oriented unimodular metric tt^* -bundle (E, D, S, g, or) we can take the frame *s* to be oriented and of volume 1, with respect to the canonical D^{θ} -parallel-metric volume ν . Therefore the map *f* takes values in $\text{Sym}_{p,q}^1(\mathbb{R}^r)$ and the above arguments show the rest.

THEOREM 3. Let (M, J) be a simply-connected complex manifold and put $E = M \times \mathbb{R}^r$.

Then a pluriharmonic map $\tilde{f}: M \to S^i(p,q)$ gives rise to a pluriharmonic map $f: M \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} S^i(p,q) \xrightarrow{\sim} Sym^i_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^r) \xrightarrow{\iota} G_i(r).$

If \tilde{f} is admissible, then the map f induces a metric tt^* -bundle [a unimodularoriented metric tt^* -bundle] ($E, D = \partial + S, S = -d\tilde{f}, g = \langle f \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^r}$ [, or]) on Mwhere ∂ is the canonical flat connection on E and or is the canonical orientation on E.

Remark 6. We observe, that for Riemannian surfaces $M = \Sigma$ the condition on the differential holds, since $T^{1,0}\Sigma$ is one-dimensional.

Proof. Let $\tilde{f}: M \to S^i(p, q)$ be a pluriharmonic map. Then, by Proposition 3 we know, that $f: M \to \operatorname{Sym}_{p,q}^i(\mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{\iota} G_i(r)$ is pluriharmonic.

Since $E = M \times \mathbb{R}^r$, we can regard sections of E as r-tuples of $C^{\infty}(M, \mathbb{R})$ -functions.

In the spirit of Section 3 we regard the one form $A = -2d\tilde{f} = f^{-1}df$ with values in $g_i(r)$ as a connection on *E*. We recall that the curvature of this connection vanishes (Proposition 4).

(a) First, we check the conditions on the metric:

LEMMA 1. The connection D is compatible with the metric g and S is symmetric with respect to g.

Proof. This is a direct computation with $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ and $v, w \in \Gamma(E)$ using the relations $(*)S = \frac{1}{2}f^{-1}df$, $(**), df_x: T_xM \to T_{f(x)}Sym_{p,q}^i(\mathbb{R}^r) =$ $Sym^i(\mathbb{R}^r)$ (compare Remark 3) and $g = \langle f \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^r} = \langle \cdot, f \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^r}$ which follows

from $f: M \to \operatorname{Sym}_{p,q}^{i}(\mathbb{R}^{r})$:

$$\begin{split} X(g(v,w)) &= X(\langle fv,w\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^r}) = \langle X(f)v,w\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^r} + \langle f(\partial_X v),w\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^r} + \\ &+ \langle fv,\partial_X w\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^r} \\ \stackrel{(**)}{=} \frac{1}{2} \langle X(f)v,w\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^r} + \frac{1}{2} \langle v,X(f)w\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^r} + \langle f(\partial_X v),w\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^r} + \\ &+ \langle fv,\partial_X w\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^r} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \langle f\cdot f^{-1}(X(f))v,w\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^r} + \frac{1}{2} \langle v,f\cdot f^{-1}(X(f))w\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^r} + \\ &+ \langle f\partial_X v,w\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^r} + \langle fv,\partial_X w\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^r} \\ \stackrel{(*),(**)}{=} g(X.v + S_X v,w) + g(v,X.w + S_X w) = g(D_X v,w) + \\ &+ g(v,D_X w). \end{split}$$

For $x \in M$ d \tilde{f}_x takes, by Remark 3, values in sym^{*i*}(f(x)). This shows that $S = -d\tilde{f}$ is symmetric with respect to $g = \langle f \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^r}$.

To finish the proof, we have to check the tt^* -equations. The second tt^* -equation

$$[S_X, S_Y] = [S_{JX}, S_{JY}]$$
(4.3)

for *S* follows from the assumption that the image of $T^{1,0}M$ under $d^c \tilde{f}$ is Abelian. In fact, this is equivalent to $[d \tilde{f}(JX), d \tilde{f}(JY)] = [d \tilde{f}(X), d \tilde{f}(Y)] \forall X, Y \in TM.$

$$d^{D}S(X, Y) = [D_{X}, S_{Y}] - [D_{Y}, S_{X}] - S_{[X,Y]}$$

= $\partial_{X}(S_{Y}) - \partial_{Y}(S_{X}) + 2[S_{X}, S_{Y}] - S_{[X,Y]} = 0$

is equivalent to the vanishing of the curvature of A = 2S interpreted as a connection on E (see Proposition 4).

Finally one has for holomorphic coordinate fields $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$

$$d^{D}S_{J}(JX, Y) = [D_{JX}, S_{JY}] + [D_{Y}, S_{X}]$$

$$= [\partial_{JX} + S_{JX}, S_{JY}] + [\partial_{Y} + S_{Y}, S_{X}]$$

$$= \partial_{JX}(S_{JY}) + \partial_{Y}(S_{X}) + [S_{JX}, S_{JY}] - [S_{X}, S_{Y}]$$

$$\stackrel{(4.3)}{=} \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{JX}(A_{JY}) + \partial_{Y}(A_{X}))$$

$$\stackrel{(3.10)}{=} \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{JX}(A_{JY}) + \partial_{X}(A_{Y}) + [A_{X}, A_{Y}])$$

$$\stackrel{(4.3)}{=} \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{JX}(A_{JY}) + \partial_{X}(A_{Y}) + \frac{1}{2}[A_{X}, A_{Y}] + \frac{1}{2}[A_{JX}, A_{JY}])$$

$$\stackrel{(3.11)}{=} 0.$$

This shows the vanishing of the tensor $d^D S_J$.

It remains to show the curvature equation for D. We observe, that $D + S = \partial + A$ and that A is flat, to find

$$0 = R_{X,Y}^{D+S} = R_{X,Y}^D + d^D S(X,Y) + [S_X, S_Y] \stackrel{d^D S = 0}{=} R_{X,Y}^D + [S_X, S_Y].$$

(b) With the same proof as in part (a) we get a metric tt^* -bundle. The orientation is given by the orientation of $E = M \times \mathbb{R}^r$.

It remains to check the condition on the trace of S. This property is clear, since in this case $d\tilde{f}_x$ takes values in sym¹(f(x)) for all $x \in M$.

We want to emphasize the last result in the positive definite case:

THEOREM 4. Let (M, J) be a simply-connected complex manifold and put $E = M \times \mathbb{R}^r$. Then a pluriharmonic map $\tilde{f}: M \to S^i(r, 0)$ is admissible. Moreover, it induces a second pluriharmonic map $f: M \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} S^i(r, 0) \to Sym_{r,0}^i(\mathbb{R}^r) \xrightarrow{\iota} G_i(r)$ and a metric tt^* -bundle $(E, D = \partial + S, S = -d \tilde{f}, g = \langle f \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^r} [, or])$ on M where ∂ is the canonical flat connection on E and or is the canonical orientation of E.

Proof. In the case of signature (r, 0) Corollary 1 implies that for all $x \in M$ the image of $d\tilde{f}_x$ is Abelian and the differential of any pluriharmonic map $\tilde{f}: M \to S(r, 0)$ is admissiable as required in Theorem 3.

In the situation of Theorem 3 the two constructions are inverse in the following sense:

PROPOSITION 6.

- (1) Let (E, D, S, g[, or]) be a metric [a unimodular-oriented metric] tt^* -bundle on a complex manifold (M, J) and let \tilde{f} be the associated pluriharmonic map constructed to a D^{θ} -flat frame s in Theorem 2. Then \tilde{f} is admissible and the metric [unimodular-oriented metric] tt^* -bundle $(M \times \mathbb{R}^r, \tilde{D} = \partial + \tilde{S}, \tilde{S}, \tilde{g}, [or])$ associated to \tilde{f} in Theorem 4 is the representation of (E, D, S, g[, or]) in the frame s.
- (2) Given a pluriharmonic map \tilde{f} from a complex manifold (M, J) to $S^i(p, q)$, then one obtains via Theorem 3 a metric [a unimodular-oriented metric] tt^* bundle $(M \times \mathbb{R}^r, D, S, g[, or])$. The pluriharmonic map associated to this metric tt^* -bundle is conjugated to the map \tilde{f} by a constant matrix in $G_i(r)$.

Proof. Using again Remark 1.1 we can set $\theta = \pi$.

(1) The maps f, \tilde{f} and the metric $\tilde{g} = \langle f \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^r}$ express the metric g in the frame s. In the computations of Theorem 2 and with Theorem 3 one finds $2\tilde{S} = A =$

 $f^{-1}df = 2S^s$. From $0 = D^{\pi}s = Ds - Ss$ we obtain that the connection D in the frame s is just $\partial + S^s = \partial + \frac{A}{2} = \partial + \tilde{S} = \tilde{D}$.

(2) To find the pluriharmonic map associated to $(M \times \mathbb{R}^r, D, S, g [, or])$ we have to express the metric g in a D^{π} -flat frame s. But $D^{\pi} = \partial + \frac{A}{2} - \frac{A}{2} = \partial$. Hence we can take s as the standard-basis of \mathbb{R}^r and we get f. Every other basis gives a conjugated result.

Acknowledgements

Research of the author was supported by the 'Schwerpunktprogramm Stringtheorie' of the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, by a joint grant of the 'Deutscher Akademischer Austauschdienst' and the CROUS Nancy-Metz and the 'Studienstiftung des deutschen Volkes'.

The author wants to thank his advisor V. Cortés for the motivated support of his work, C. Hertling for the discussions and B. Dubrovin for the hints relating to his paper. Moreover, he wants to thank his parents having enabled all leading to this work and to M.-A. Lawn for being herself.

References

- 1. Alekseevsky, D. V., Devchand, C. and Cortés, V.: Special complex manifolds, *J. Geom. Phys.* **42** (2002), 85–S105.
- Cecotti, S. and Vafa, C.: Topological-anti-topological fusion, *Nuclear. Phys. B* 367 (1991), 351– S461.
- 3. Cheeger, J. and Ebin, D. G.: *Comparison Theorems in Riemannian Geometry*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1975.
- Cortés, V. and Schäfer, L.: Topological-anti-topological fusion equations, pluriharmonic maps and special Kähler manifolds, in: O. Kowalski, E. Musso and D. Perrone (eds), *Proceedings of the Conference 'Curvature in Geometry' organized in Lecce in honour of Lieven Vanhecke*, Progr. Math. 234, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2005.
- Dubrovin, B.: Geometry and integrability of topological-anti-topological fusion, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 152 (1992) 539–S564.
- 6. Gallot, S., Hulin, D. and Lafontaine, J.: Riemannian Geometry, Springer, Berlin, 1993.
- 7. Helgason, S.: Differential Geometry and Symmetric Spaces, Academic Press, New York, 1962.
- Hertling, C.: tt*-Geometry, Frobenius manifolds, their connections and the construction for singularities, J. Reine Angew. Math. 555 (2003), 77–161.
- Kobayashi, S. and Nomizu, K.: Foundations of Differential Geometry, Vol. I/II, Interscience, New York, 1964/1969.
- Sampson, J. H.: Applications of harmonic maps to K\u00e4hler geometry, In: Contemp. Math. 49, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1986, pp. 125–134.
- Schäfer, L.: *tt**-Geometrie und pluriharmonische Abbildungen, Diplomarbeit an der Universität, Bonn, 2002.
- Schäfer, L.: Higgs-Bündel, nicht-lineare Sigma-Modelle und topologische antitopologische Fusion, Diplomarbeit in Physik an der Universität Bonn, July 2004.
- Schäfer, L.: tt*-Bundles in para-complex geometry, special para-Kähler manifolds and parapluriharmonic maps, *Differential Geom. Appl.*, to appear.

LARS SCHÄFER

- 14. Schäfer, L.: Harmonic bundles topological–antitoplological fusion and the related puriharmonic maps, *J. Geom. Phys.*, to appear.
- 15. Simpson, C. T.: Higgs bundles and local systems, *Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math.* **75** (1992), 5–95.