

# **Sensitivity of Strength Via Temperature for SiC/SiC Composites and SiC Fibers in an Oxygen‑Free Environment**

**Sheng Zhang1,2  [·](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8821-0269) Weikang Meng1,2 · Zhiguo Zhang3**

Received: 27 April 2021 / Accepted: 5 August 2021 Published online: 24 August 2021 /© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature B.V. 2021

## **Abstract**

The sensitivity of strength via temperature for SiC/SiC composites and SiC fbers in an oxygen-free environment is studied through experimental investigation and theoretical analysis. Tensile tests are performed on SiC/SiC minicomposites at room temperature, 500 and 1000  $\degree$ C in an oxygen-free environment. A high-efficiency method is proposed to obtain the high-temperature strength distribution of SiC fbers. The experimental results show that the sensitivity of strength via temperature is high for SiC fbers but low for SiC/ SiC minicomposites. To explain this phenomenon, the strength model of minicomposites is developed. The theoretical analysis reveals that the low sensitivity of strength via temperature for minicomposites results from that the infuences of the changes of the Weibull parameters  $m$  and  $\sigma_0$  at elevated temperatures are offset.

**Keywords** Ceramic matrix composites · SiC/SiC · SiC fiber · Temperature sensitivity · Strength

# **1 Introduction**

Owing to their excellent mechanical properties at elevated temperatures, SiC/SiC composites ofer promise for aeronautical and astronautic high-temperature applications [[1](#page-15-0), [2](#page-15-1)]. Strength is one of the key properties of SiC/SiC composites since the strength afects the judgment on the failure of a structure. Considering the varying working temperature in the aeronautical and astronautic applications, e.g., turbine blades of aero-engines, it is essential to obtain the infuence of the temperature on the strength of SiC/SiC composites i.e., the temperature sensitivity.

 $\boxtimes$  Sheng Zhang zhangsheng@nuaa.edu.cn

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Jiangsu Province Key Laboratory of Aerospace Power System, College of Energy and Power Engineering, Nanjing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Nanjing 210016, P.R. China

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Key Laboratory of Aero-Engine Thermal Environment and Structure, Ministry of Industry and Information Technology, Nanjing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Nanjing 210016, P.R. China

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> China International Engineering Consulting Corporation, Beijing 100048, P.R. China

Many researchers have studied the influence of temperature. Guo et al. [\[3](#page-15-2)] carried out tensile tests on plain-woven SiC/SiC composites between 298 and 1400 K and found that the strength remained nearly constant up to 800 K and dropped signifcantly at 1200 K. Jing et al. [\[4](#page-15-3)] performed tensile tests on 3D four-directional SiC/SiC composites at room and elevated temperatures. The result showed a big decline of strength from a room temperature value of 350 MPa to 220 and 200 MPa at 1100 ℃ and 1300 ℃. Zhang et al. [[5\]](#page-15-4) also found that the tensile strength of 3D SiC/SiC composites showed a signifcant decline from room temperature to elevated temperatures.

In the above works, the tests were all carried out in the air, and the decline of strength at elevated temperatures was mainly induced by oxidation [[4\]](#page-15-3). To investigate the infuence of the temperature itself, the high-temperature strength in an oxygen-free environment should also be obtained since the strengths in oxidation and oxygen-free environments vary greatly [\[6](#page-15-5)]. However, little research has been done into the sensitivity of strength via temperature for SiC/SiC composites in an oxygen-free environment due to the experimental difficulties.

Besides the experimental study, the theoretical analysis of the temperature sensitivity is also important. Guo et al.  $[3, 7, 8]$  $[3, 7, 8]$  $[3, 7, 8]$  $[3, 7, 8]$  $[3, 7, 8]$  $[3, 7, 8]$  $[3, 7, 8]$ , Jing et al.  $[4]$  $[4]$  and Deng et al.  $[9]$  $[9]$  developed respective temperature-dependent strength models to analyze the temperature sensitivity. In their models, the strength of SiC/SiC composites is predicted based on that of SiC fbers. As the reinforcement, the strength of SiC fber has a great infuence on that of the SiC/SiC composites. Therefore, in the above models, the variation of the fber strength at diferent temperatures is considered. Due to the random faws in fbers, the strength of a single fber is stochastic which means that the statistical strength distribution of fbers should be obtained.

The strength distribution of SiC fibers at room temperature has been studied sufficiently. Kotani et al. [\[10\]](#page-16-0), Wu et al. [\[11\]](#page-16-1) and Chen et al. [[12](#page-16-2)] have performed tests at room temperature and found that the fber strength follows a Weibull distribution. For the hightemperature problems, most of the studies focus on the efects of heat treatment on the strength of SiC fbers [[13](#page-16-3)[–15\]](#page-16-4). However, the heat-treated fbers are all tested at room temperature in these works. The strength distribution of the heat-treated fbers at room temperature is not equal to that of the as-received fbers at elevated temperatures and the obtained strength properties cannot be used to predicted the high-temperature strength of SiC/SiC composites.

In fact, the strength property of fibers at elevated temperatures is difficult to obtain. In the above literature  $[3, 4, 7-9]$  $[3, 4, 7-9]$  $[3, 4, 7-9]$  $[3, 4, 7-9]$  $[3, 4, 7-9]$  $[3, 4, 7-9]$ , the strength of a single SiC fiber is determined from its high-temperature fracture surface and then the strength distribution is obtained. According to this method, the strength of a single fber *S* can be determined by the radius of the smooth mirror region  $r<sub>m</sub>$  on its fracture surface.

$$
S = \frac{A_{\rm m}}{\sqrt{r_m}}\tag{1}
$$

where  $A_m$  is an empirical constant. However, the boundary of the smooth mirror region is not always distinct  $[16]$  and the empirical constant  $A<sub>m</sub>$  cannot be determined exactly which makes the strength distribution obtained not precise enough. Pysher et al. [[17\]](#page-16-6) performed single fber tensile tests at elevated temperatures. However, only the average fber strength was obtained by them. This is because that a large number of samples are needed to determine the distribution character  $[16]$  $[16]$  $[16]$  which are difficult to obtain considering the time-consuming single fber tests at elevated temperatures.



<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Fig. 1** Specimen of SiC/SiC minicomposite (**a**) and SiC fber bundle (**b**)

In summary, the sensitivity of strength via temperature for SiC/SiC composites and SiC fbers in an oxygen-free environment is not explicit. In the present work, the temperature sensitivity is studied through experimental and theoretic methods. A new precise and efficient method is developed to obtain the strength distribution of SiC fbers at elevated temperatures. Tensile tests are performed on the SiC/SiC minicomposites and SiC fber bundles at diferent temperatures in an oxygen-free environment. The sensitivity of strength via temperature for SiC/SiC minicomposites and Weibull parameters of SiC fbers are then obtained. The fracture model of minicomposites is developed based on which the temperature sensitivity is analyzed theoretically.

# **2 Material and Experimental Procedure**

#### **2.1 Material**

The SiC/SiC minicomposites are used in the present study to investigate the temperature sensitivity. A SiC/SiC minicomposite is defned as the combination of a SiC fber bundle, the SiC matrix that surrounds the fbers and the interphase between the fber and the matrix [[18](#page-16-7)[–20\]](#page-16-8). Due to their relatively simple architecture (see Fig. [1a](#page-2-0)) and micromechanical model, minicomposites are an appropriate choice for the study of the temperature sensitivity for SiC/SiC composites. The SiC/SiC minicomposites in the present study are manufactured using the chemical vapor infltration (CVI) process where the pyrocarbon interphase and SiC matrix are deposited onto the SiC fber bundle. The data for the material properties of the SiC/SiC minicomposites are listed in Table [1.](#page-2-1) Here, subscripts f and m denote

<span id="page-2-1"></span>

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

the fber and matrix, respectively. Additionally, *E* is the elasticity modulus, *v* is the volume fraction,  $\alpha$  is the thermal expansion coefficient, r is the diameter,  $\tau$  is the interfacial shear stress and Δ*T* is the diference between room temperature and the operation temperature.

The SiC fbers are provided by Fujian Leadasia New Material Co., Ltd. with a trade name of Cansas-3203. These fbers are manufactured using the preceramic polymer pyrolysis process and are a type of low-oxygen and high-carbon fbers. The general properties are listed in Table [2](#page-3-0). Note that the fber bundles are not resin-impregnated to make the single fbers independent of each other. As shown in Fig. [1](#page-2-0)b, both ends of the fber bundle specimen are glued into an aluminum tube using epoxy adhesive (Model DP760, 3 M Company, St. Paul, MN, USA). The gage length of the specimen is 100 mm.

## <span id="page-3-2"></span>**2.2 Tensile Test of Minicomposites and Fiber Bundles**

Due to the similar shapes of specimens, monotonic tensile tests of minicomposites and fber bundles are performed using the same test system. As shown in Fig. [2](#page-3-1), the whole test system is composed of an electronic testing machine, a high-temperature furnace and a vacuum chamber. The electronic testing machine is used to apply the tensile

<span id="page-3-1"></span>

**Fig. 2** High-temperature and oxygen-free tensile test system

load on the specimen and measure the load and the deformation. The high-temperature furnace can heat the specimen and the vacuum chamber can ensure a vacuum degree of  $10^{-3}$  Pa and therefore avoid the oxidation of the specimen at elevated temperatures. The tensile test is under a constant displacement rate of 0.1 mm/min. The strain of the specimen is calculated based on the cross-head displacement. The stress–strain responses of SiC/SiC minicomposites and SiC fber bundles at room temperature, 500 and 1000 ℃ are obtained.

#### <span id="page-4-2"></span>**3 Determination of Fiber Strength Distribution**

The commonly used Weibull model  $[21-25]$  $[21-25]$  which is based on the hypothesis of the weakest link is used in the present study to describe the strength distribution of SiC fbers. For a two-parameter Weibull model, the probability that a fber fractures is

$$
P = 1 - \exp\left[-\frac{L_{\rm f}}{L_0} \left(\frac{\sigma}{\sigma_0}\right)^m\right] \tag{2}
$$

where  $L_f$  is the length of the fiber,  $L_0$  is the reference length taken to be 1 m and  $\sigma$  is the fiber stress.  $\sigma_0$  and *m* are the statistical parameters and they reflect the characteristic of the fber strength distribution.

For a SiC fiber bundle, if the strain is  $\varepsilon_{\rm b}$ , the stress in a single fiber is

<span id="page-4-0"></span>
$$
\sigma = \varepsilon_{\rm b} E_{\rm f} \tag{3}
$$

where  $E_f$  is the elastic modulus of the SiC fiber. Then the stress of the fiber bundle is

$$
\sigma_{\rm b} = \sigma (1 - P) = \varepsilon_{\rm b} E_{\rm f} \exp\left[ -\frac{L_{\rm f}}{L_0} \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{\rm b} E_{\rm f}}{\sigma_0} \right)^m \right] \tag{4}
$$

<span id="page-4-1"></span>



<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Fig. 4** Flow of determination of the fber strength distribution



<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Fig. 5** Stress distributions in an intact fber (**a**) and a broken fber (**b**)

Equation  $(4)$  $(4)$  is the equation of the stress-strain curve of the fiber bundle and it reveals that the Weibull parameters can be derived from some feature points of the stress–strain curve. The ultimate strength of the fber bundle can be determined by taking the derivative of Eq. [\(4](#page-4-0)).

<span id="page-6-1"></span>



<span id="page-7-1"></span>**Fig. 7** Stress–strain response of SiC/SiC minicomposites (**a**) and SiC fber bundles (**b**)

$$
\sigma_{\rm b}'(\varepsilon_{\rm b}) = E_{\rm f} \exp\left[-\frac{L_{\rm f}}{L_0} \left(\frac{\varepsilon_{\rm b} E_{\rm f}}{\sigma_0}\right)^m\right] \left[1 - \frac{L_{\rm f}}{L_0} m \left(\frac{\varepsilon_{\rm b} E_{\rm f}}{\sigma_0}\right)^m\right] \tag{5}
$$

Setting  $\sigma'_{b}(\epsilon_{b}) = 0$  and then the extreme point  $(\epsilon_{b}^{*}, \sigma_{b}^{*})$  of the stress-strain curve is derived.

<span id="page-7-0"></span>
$$
\begin{cases}\n\varepsilon_b^* = \frac{\sigma_0}{E_f} \left( \frac{L_0}{m L_f} \right)^{1/m} \\
\sigma_b^* = \sigma_0 \left( \frac{L_0}{m L_f} \right)^{1/m} \exp\left( -\frac{1}{m} \right)\n\end{cases} \tag{6}
$$

Therefore, the Weibull parameters  $\sigma_0$  and *m* can be determined from the coordinate of the extreme point (see Fig. [3](#page-4-1)) and the initial slope of the stress–strain curve of the fber bundle according to Eq. ([6](#page-7-0)). The flow of determination of the fiber strength distribution is shown in Fig. [4.](#page-5-0)

#### <span id="page-7-3"></span>**4 Prediction of the Strength for Minicomposites**

The strength distribution of SiC fbers obtained above can be used to predict the mechanical behavior of SiC/SiC minicomposites. According to the shear-lag theory [[26](#page-16-11)], the stress distributions of an intact and broken fber in minicomposites are presented in Fig.  $5$  and Eqs. ([7](#page-8-0)) and ([8](#page-8-1)).

<span id="page-7-2"></span>



<span id="page-8-2"></span>**Fig. 8** Weibull parameters at diferent temperatures

$$
\begin{cases}\n\frac{d\sigma(x)}{dx} = \frac{2\tau}{r_{\rm f}}, L/2 - d \le |x| \le L/2\\
\sigma(x) = \sigma_{\rm f0}, |x| \le L/2 - d\n\end{cases} \tag{7}
$$

<span id="page-8-1"></span><span id="page-8-0"></span>
$$
\begin{cases}\n\frac{d\sigma(x)}{dx} = \frac{2\tau}{r_{\rm f}} \\
\sigma(x) = 0, x = L/2 - L_{\rm b_i}\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(8)

where  $\sigma_{f0}$  is the fiber normal stress if the composite is undamaged, *L* is matrix crack spacing, *d* is the length of the debonded region and  $L_{b_i}$  is the distance from the matrix crack plane to the nearest fber break plane as shown in Fig. [5](#page-6-0)b.

With the stress distributions of intact and broken fbers, the average stress of the minicomposite on any matrix crack plane can be derived.

$$
\overline{\sigma}_{\rm c} = \frac{v_{\rm f}}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sigma_{\rm f}^{i}
$$
 (9)



<span id="page-9-0"></span>Fig. 9 Simulated stress-strain responses of SiC fiber bundle

$$
\sigma_{\rm f}^i = \begin{cases} \frac{\sigma}{v_{\rm f}} & \text{intact fiber} \\ \min\left(\frac{\sigma}{v_{\rm f}}, \frac{2\tau}{r_{\rm f}} L_{\rm b_i}\right), \text{broken fiber} \end{cases} \tag{10}
$$

where *N* is the total amount of fibers in the minicomposite,  $\sigma_f^i$  is the normal stress of the *i*th fiber in a matrix crack plane.  $L_{b_i}$  is obtained by the stress distribution in Fig. [5a](#page-6-0) and the strength distribution of the fiber. As shown in Fig. [5,](#page-6-0) each single fiber is divided into many segments and each segment is a fber element. To determine the location of the fber break, i.e., whether a fber element will break, a random number is frst generated computationally. If the random number is less than the probability  $P$ , the fiber element breaks.

The average strain of the minicomposite is equivalent to that of the intact fber.

$$
\begin{split} \bar{\varepsilon}_{\rm c} &= \bar{\varepsilon}_{\rm f} \\ &= \frac{2}{E_{\rm f}L} \int_{0}^{L/2} \sigma_{\rm f}(x) dx + \left(\alpha_{\rm f} - \alpha_{\rm c}\right) \Delta T \\ &= \frac{2}{E_{\rm f}L} \left[ \int_{0}^{L/2-d} \sigma_{\rm f0} dx + \int_{L/2-d}^{L/2} \left(\frac{\sigma}{v_{\rm f}} + \frac{2\tau}{r_{\rm f}}\left(x - \frac{L}{2}\right)\right) dx \right] + \left(\alpha_{\rm f} - \alpha_{\rm c}\right) \Delta T \end{split} \tag{11}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{2}{E_{\rm f}L} \left[ \frac{\sigma}{v_{\rm f}} d - \frac{\tau}{r_{\rm f}} d^2 + \sigma_{\rm f0} \left(\frac{L}{2} - d\right) \right] + \left(\alpha_{\rm f} - \alpha_{\rm c}\right) \Delta T
$$

 $\bigcirc$  Springer



<span id="page-10-0"></span>**Fig. 10** Stress–strain responses of SiC/SiC minicomposites

where the matrix crack spacing *L* is determined from the matrix cracking model in the literature [[16](#page-16-5)].

$$
\frac{1}{L} = \frac{1}{L_{\text{sat}}} \left\{ 1 - \exp\left[ -\left(\frac{\sigma}{\sigma_{\text{m0}}}\right)^{m_{\text{m}}}\right] \right\} \tag{12}
$$

where  $L_{\text{sat}}$  is the saturated matrix crack spacing, and  $\sigma_{\text{m0}}$  and  $m_{\text{m}}$  are the statistical parameters. In the present study,  $L_{sat} = 3.3$  mm,  $\sigma_{m0} = 600$  MPa and  $m_m = 5$ . The flow of determination of the minicomposites strength is shown in Fig. [6](#page-6-1).

# **5 Results and Discussion**

#### **5.1 Test Results of Minicomposites and Fiber Bundles**

Figure [7](#page-7-1) shows the stress–strain responses of SiC/SiC minicomposites and SiC fber bundles at diferent temperatures obtained through the tensile tests in Sect. [2.2.](#page-3-2) The stress–strain curve of SiC/SiC minicomposites can be divided into three parts, i.e., the initial linear part, the nonlinear part and the second linear part. At a low-stress level, the fbers and matrix in minicomposites are nearly undamaged which matches the initial linear part.



<span id="page-11-1"></span>**Fig. 11** Stress–strain responses of SiC fber bundles with diferent *m*

The nonlinearity is caused by the matrix cracking and the fber/matrix interfacial debonding. In the second linear part, the stress–strain curve recovers its linearity due to the saturation of matrix cracks and the completely debonded interface.

The stress–strain curve of SiC fber bundles can also be divided into three parts: the initial linear part, the nonlinear part and the decline part. The nonlinear response and the decrease of stress are both caused by the gradual fracture of single fbers. At the beginning of the tensile test, all the single fbers are intact owing to the low-stress level and therefore the fber bundle exhibits linearity. When the stress increased, single fbers begin to fracture gradually due to their stochastic strengths. This stage matches the nonlinear part of the stress–strain curve. With the further increase of the number of fractured single fbers, the loading capacity of the fber bundle declines signifcantly and the stress of the fber bundle begins to decrease. This stage matches the decline part of the stress–strain curve.

<span id="page-11-0"></span>



<span id="page-12-0"></span>**Fig. 12** Stress–strain responses of SiC fiber bundles with different  $\sigma_0$ 

The strength retentions at diferent temperatures are listed in Table [3](#page-7-2). As shown in Table [3,](#page-7-2) the temperature sensitivity of minicomposites and fbers varies greatly. The strength of SiC/SiC minicomposites and SiC fber bundles both decrease as the increase in temperature, but their strength retentions are different and are  $94\%$  and  $67\%$  at 1000 °C, respectively. Then, a question is brought up: why the temperature has a signifcant efect on the strength of the fber but nearly does not afect the minicomposites? The mechanism will be explained in Sect. [5.3.](#page-13-0)

#### **5.2 Effects of Temperature On the Strength Distribution of SiC Fibers**

Figure [8](#page-8-2) shows the Weibull parameters of SiC fbers at diferent temperatures determined by the stress–strain response of fber bundles and the method in Sect. [3.](#page-4-2) The temperature has a significant influence on the strength distribution of SiC fibers. As shown in Fig. [8](#page-8-2), the Weibull parameters  $\sigma_0$  and *m* both decrease as the temperature increases. Note that *m* is the shape parameter and that a higher *m* means better uniformity. Therefore, the scatter of the strength of SiC fbers is aggravated at higher temperatures. The large scatter results from the new faws in SiC fbers induced by the high temperature. Note that there are impurities, e.g., oxygen in SiC fbers. At elevated temperatures, the escape and decomposition reactions of the impurities can induce surface faws in fbers. On the other hand, the crystallization of the amorphous phase

![](_page_13_Figure_1.jpeg)

<span id="page-13-1"></span>**Fig. 13** Stress–strain responses of SiC/SiC minicomposites with diferent *m*

and grain growth of fne grains [\[11,](#page-16-1) [12](#page-16-2), [27](#page-16-12)] at elevated temperatures can also decrease the average strength and aggravate the scatter of the strength of SiC fbers.

To verify the accuracy of the determined strength distribution, the stress–strain responses of SiC fber bundles are simulated according to Eq. [\(4\)](#page-4-0) and the derived Weibull parameters. As shown in Fig. [9](#page-9-0), the simulated stress–strain curves at diferent temperatures are in good agreement with the experimental results which verifes the accuracy of the method. Moreover, compared with the single fiber tensile test  $[17]$  $[17]$ , the present method is more efficient and more appropriate to obtain the strength distribution at elevated temperatures.

A comparison between the predicted stress–strain responses and strengths of SiC/SiC minicomposites and the experimental results at diferent temperatures are presented in Fig. [10](#page-10-0) and Table [4](#page-11-0). The prediction is based on the obtained strength distribution of SiC fbers and the strength model of minicomposites in Sect. [4.](#page-7-3) The predicted and experimental results are in good agreement which verifes further the accuracy of the obtained strength distribution of SiC fibers.

#### <span id="page-13-0"></span>**5.3 Reasons for the Low Temperature Sensitivity of SiC/SiC Composites**

The test results of fber bundles and minicomposites (see Fig. [7\)](#page-7-1) reveal that the temperature has a signifcant infuence on the strength of fber bundles but nearly does not afect that of the minicomposites. To investigate the reason, the stress–strain responses

![](_page_14_Figure_1.jpeg)

<span id="page-14-0"></span>**Fig. 14** Stress–strain responses of SiC/SiC minicomposites with different  $\sigma_0$ 

of fber bundles and minicomposites with diferent Weibull parameters are predicted using the models in Sects. [3](#page-4-2) and [4.](#page-7-3)

For SiC fber bundles, as shown in Figs. [11](#page-11-1) and [12](#page-12-0), the infuence of *m* is limited. However, the strength is very sensitive to  $\sigma_0$ . The strength of the fiber bundle decreases with the decrease of  $\sigma_0$ . Since  $\sigma_0$  decreases as the temperature increases, the strength of the SiC fber bundle declines dramatically at a high temperature.

For SiC/SiC minicomposites, the influences of *m* and  $\sigma_0$  are both significant. As shown in Fig. [13](#page-13-1), the strength of minicomposites decreases with the increase of *m*. Figure [14](#page-14-0) shows that the strength of minicomposites increases with the increase of *σ*<sub>0</sub>. Therefore, the effects of *m* and *σ*<sub>0</sub> are opposite. Since *m* and *σ*<sub>0</sub> both decrease with the increase of the temperature (see Fig.  $8$ ), their influences are offset. Therefore, the change of the strength of minicomposites at diferent temperatures is not obvious. This accounts for the low temperature sensitivity of SiC/SiC composites.

Note that the fber/matrix interfacial shear stress also afects the strength of SiC/SiC composites. The microstructure of the pyrocarbon interphase will be changed from an amorphous structure into a highly-ordered layer graphite structure at elevated temperatures  $[28]$  $[28]$ . However, this change of microstructure needs a temperature much higher than 1000 ℃ [[27](#page-16-12)]. Therefore, the microstructure of the pyrocarbon interphase and the interfacial shear stress are constant in the present work.

## **6 Conclusions**

The sensitivity of strength via temperature for SiC/SiC composites and SiC fbers is a key issue since they are always used at elevated temperatures. The infuence of the temperature on the strength of SiC/SiC composites and SiC fbers is investigated through experimental study and theoretical analysis.

Tensile tests are performed on SiC fber bundles at room temperature, 500 and 1000 ℃ in an oxygen-free environment. The fber strength distribution (or Weibull parameters) is then determined from the stress–strain curves of fber bundles. Results show that the strength distribution of SiC fbers is sensitive to the temperature in an oxygen-free environment. Not only the strength declines at elevated temperatures but also the scatter of the strength is aggravated.

Tensile tests are also performed on SiC/SiC minicomposites at diferent temperatures. Test results show that, unlike SiC fbers, the strength of SiC/SiC minicomposites is not sensitive to the temperature in an oxygen-free environment. The strength of SiC/SiC minicomposites at 1000 °C is 94% of that at room temperature. To explain this phenomenon, the strength model of minicomposites is developed. The theoretical analysis reveals that the low sensitivity of strength via temperature for minicomposites results from that the infuences of the changes of the Weibull parameters *m* and  $\sigma_0$  of SiC fibers at elevated temperatures are offset.

**Acknowledgements** This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China [grant numbers 51675266, 11972183]; China Postdoctoral Science Foundation [grant number 2021M691566]; and Jiangsu Planned Projects for Postdoctoral Research Funds.

**Data Availability** The datasets generated during and analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

## **References**

- <span id="page-15-0"></span>1. Lamon, J.: Review: creep of fbre-reinforced ceramic matrix composites. Int. Mater. Rev. **65**(1), 28–62 (2020)
- <span id="page-15-1"></span>2. Zok, F.W., Maxwell, P.T., Kawanishi, K., et al.: Degradation of a SiC-SiC composite in water vapor environments. J. Am. Ceram. Soc. **103**(3), 1927–1941 (2019)
- <span id="page-15-2"></span>3. Guo, S., Kagawa, Y.: Tensile fracture behavior of continuous SiC fber-reinforced SiC matrix composites at elevated temperatures and correlation to in situ constituent properties. J. Eur. Ceram. Soc. **22**(13), 2349–2356 (2002)
- <span id="page-15-3"></span>4. Jing, X., Shi, D., Yang, X., et al.: Fiber strength measurement for KD-I(f)/SiC composites and correlation to tensile mechanical behavior at room and elevated temperatures. Ceram. Int .**41**(1), 299–307 (2015)
- <span id="page-15-4"></span>5. Zhang, Y., Jiang, Y., Ren, D., et al.: High-temperature tensile behavior of 3D SiC/SiC composites. J. Phys. Conf. Ser. **1605**(1), 12126 (2020)
- <span id="page-15-5"></span>6. Davies, I.J., Ogasawara, T., Ishikawa, T.: Stress/strain behavior of a 3-D woven composite based on the SiC/SiC system. J. Ceram. Soc. Jpn. **109**(7), 643–646 (2001)
- <span id="page-15-6"></span>7. Guo, S., Kagawa, Y.: Temperature Dependence of in situ Constituent Properties of Polymer-infltrationpyrolysis-processed Nicalon SiC Fiber-reinforced SiC Matrix Composite. J. Mater. Res. **15**(4), 951–960 (2000)
- <span id="page-15-7"></span>8. Guo, S., Kagawa, Y.: Temperature Dependence of Tensile Strength for a Woven Boron-Nitride-Coated Hi-Nicalon SiC Fiber-Reinforced Silicon-Carbide-Matrix Composite. J. Am. Ceram. Soc. **84**(9), 2079–2085 (2001)
- <span id="page-15-8"></span>9. Deng, Y., Li, W., Wang, X., et al.: Temperature-dependent tensile strength model for 2D woven fber reinforced ceramic matrix composites. J. Am. Ceram. Soc. **101**(11), 5157–5165 (2018)
- <span id="page-16-0"></span>10. Kotani, M., Konaka, K., Ogihara, S.: The efect on the tensile properties of PIP-processed SiC/SiC composite of a chemical vapor-infltrated SiC layer overlaid on the pyrocarbon interface layer. Compos. Part A Appl. Sci. Manuf. **87**, 123–130 (2016)
- <span id="page-16-1"></span>11. Wu, B., Ni, N., Fan, X., et al.: Strength degradation of SiC fbers with a porous ZrB2-SiC coating: Role of the coating porous structure. J. Eur. Ceram. Soc. **40**(4), 961–971 (2020)
- <span id="page-16-2"></span>12. Chen, Y., Chen, Z., Zhang, R., et al.: Structural evolution and mechanical properties of Cansas-III SiC fbers after thermal treatment up to 1700 °C. J. Eur. Ceram. Soc. **41**(10), 5036–5045 (2021)
- <span id="page-16-3"></span>13. Cao, S., Wang, J., Wang, H.: Efect of heat treatment on the microstructure and tensile strength of KD-II SiC fbers. Mater. Sci. Eng. A **673**, 55–62 (2016)
- 14. Cao, S., Wang, J., Wang, H.: High-temperature behavior and degradation mechanism of SiC fbers annealed in Ar and  $N_2$  atmospheres. J. Mater. Sci.  $51(9)$ , 4650–4659 (2016)
- <span id="page-16-4"></span>15. Zhao, C., Wang, Y., Zhang, G., et al.: Efect of heat treatment on the microstructure and properties of CVD SiC fber. J. Mater. Sci. Technol. **33**(11), 1378–1385 (2017)
- <span id="page-16-5"></span>16. Zhang, S., Gao, X., Dong, H., et al.: In situ modulus and strength of carbon fbers in C/SiC composites. Ceram. Int. **43**(9), 6885–6890 (2017)
- <span id="page-16-6"></span>17. Pysher, D.J., Goretta, K.C., Hodder, R.S., et al.: Strengths of ceramic fbers at elevated temperatures. J. Am. Ceram. Soc. **72**(2), 284–288 (1989)
- <span id="page-16-7"></span>18. Almansour, A., Maillet, E., Ramasamy, S., et al.: Efect of fber content on single tow SiC minicomposite mechanical and damage properties using acoustic emission. J. Eur. Ceram. Soc. **35**, 3389–3399 (2015)
- 19. Dai, J., Wang, Y., Xu, Z., et al.: Efect of BN/SiC interfacial coatings on the tensile properties of SiC/ SiC minicomposites fabricated by PIP. Ceram. Int. **46**(16), 25058–25065 (2020)
- <span id="page-16-8"></span>20. Lu, Z., Yue, J., Fu, Z., et al.: Microstructure and mechanical performance of  $SiC_f/BN/SiC$  mini-composites oxidized at elevated temperature from ambient temperature to 1500 °C in air. J. Eur. Ceram. Soc. **40**(8), 2821–2827 (2020)
- <span id="page-16-9"></span>21. Loidl, D., Paris, O., Rennhofer, H., et al.: Skin-core structure and bimodal Weibull distribution of the strength of carbon fbers. Carbon. **45**(14), 2801–2805 (2007)
- 22. Nemeth, N., Walker, A., Baker, E., et al.: Large-scale Weibull analysis of H-451 nuclear-grade graphite rupture strength. Carbon. **58**, 208–225 (2013)
- 23. Naik, D.L., Fronk, T.H.: Weibull distribution analysis of the tensile strength of the kenaf bast fber. Fiber. Polym. **17**(10), 1696–1701 (2016)
- 24. Guo, M., Zhang, T.H., Chen, B.W., et al.: Tensile strength analysis of palm leaf sheath fber with Weibull distribution. Compos. Part A Appl. Sci. Manuf. **62**, 45–51 (2014)
- <span id="page-16-10"></span>25. Sakin, R., Ay, İ: Statistical analysis of bending fatigue life data using Weibull distribution in glass-fber reinforced polyester composites. Mater. Design. **29**(6), 1170–1181 (2008)
- <span id="page-16-11"></span>26. Gao, X., Zhang, S., Fang, G., et al.: Distribution of slip regions on the fber-matrix interface of ceramic matrix composites under arbitrary loading. J. Reinf. Plast. Comp. **34**(20), 1713–1723 (2015)
- <span id="page-16-12"></span>27. Han, X., Gao, X., Song, Y.: Efect of heat treatment on the microstructure and mechanical behavior of SiC/SiC mini-composites. Mat. Sci. Eng. A-Struct. **746**, 94–104 (2019)
- <span id="page-16-13"></span>28. Mei, H., Li, H., Bai, Q., et al.: Increasing the strength and toughness of a carbon fber/silicon carbide composite by heat treatment. Carbon. **54**, 42–47 (2013)

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.