

# **Sintering Temperature and Interphase Efects on Mechanical Properties of an Oxide Fiber‑Reinforced Al 2O3‑SiO2 Composite Fabricated by Sol–Gel Method**

**Yi Wang1  [·](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5624-482X) Ao Zhang1 · Guang‑de Li1 · Shao‑peng Liu1 · Yang Xiang2 · Hai‑feng Cheng<sup>2</sup>**

Received: 12 July 2020 / Accepted: 20 January 2021 / Published online: 28 January 2021 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature B.V. part of Springer Nature 2021

# **Abstract**

Three-dimensional Nextel<sup>TM</sup> 440 fiber-reinforced  $Al_2O_3-SiO_2$  matrix (N440/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-SiO<sub>2</sub>) composites were fabricated by sol–gel method with sintering temperature from 1100  $^{\circ}$ C to 1400 °C. The interface engineering of the composites was carried out by employing PyC and FC interphase. The microstructure and mechanical properties of the composites were investigated. Results showed that the sintering temperature had remarkable efects on the mechanical properties of the composites. The average fexural strength of the composite without interphase decreased as the temperature increased, with fracture behaviour changing from ductile to brittle, and the highest fexural strength of 90.0 MPa was obtained for the composite fabricated at 1100  $^{\circ}$ C. However, the flexural strength of the composite with PyC interphase increased initially and then decreased, with fracture behaviour remaining ductile, and the highest fexural strength of 116.3 MPa was obtained for the composite fabricated at 1300 °C. Moreover, PyC and FC interphase could effectively weaken the interfacial bond strength and efficiently promote load transfer, thus improving the strength. For the composite fabricated at 1300  $^{\circ}$ C, the average flexural strength signifcantly increased after introducing the optimised PyC and FC interphase.

**Keywords** Sol–gel processes · Composites · Interfaces · Electron microscopy · Mechanical properties

# **1 Introduction**

Due to low density, high damage and temperature tolerance, continuous fber-reinforced ceramic matrix composites (CFRCMCs) are considered to be the prospective candidate materials for thermo-structural and functional applications such as aircraft engines and ultra-high-velocity

 $\boxtimes$  Yi Wang wycfcnudt@163.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Science and Technology On Ballistic Missile Penetration Laboratory, Beijing 100076, China

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Science and Technology On Advanced Ceramic Fibers and Composites Laboratory, National University of Defense Technology, Changsha 410073, China

weapons  $[1–3]$  $[1–3]$ . This is especially the case for oxide/oxide CMCs, owing to their improved damage tolerance than monolithic ceramics, thermal stability than metals and oxidation inhibition than non-oxide CMCs in extreme environments [\[4](#page-17-2)[–6](#page-17-3)].

In the past two decades, considerable eforts have been made to investigate oxide/oxide CMCs, especially the preparation, properties and structural evolution during thermal exposure of oxide fbers [[7](#page-17-4), [8\]](#page-17-5), and processsing, serving properties, failure mechanism and performance improvement of the composites  $[9-11]$  $[9-11]$ . The application of oxide/oxide CMCs is largely limited by their complex fabrication process, low damage tolerance and short service life as raw materials (e.g. oxide fbers and matrices). Relevant research work has been focused on these issues in recent years [\[12](#page-17-8), [13](#page-17-9)]. Like most CFRCMCs, the mechanical behaviour of oxide/oxide CMCs is greatly determined by the fber/matrix interface. In a tough composite, the interfacial bond strength should be appropriate not only for the load transfer from the matrix to the fber, but also for the crack defection, interface debonding and subsequent fber pullout [[14\]](#page-17-10).

According to Prof. Zok, the interface engineering of oxide/oxide CMCs can be achieved either by porous matrix, fber coatings or fugitive coatings, all of which promote fber toughening, causing high in situ fber strength and energy consumption during interface debonding, fber bridging and pullout  $[15]$  $[15]$ . Owing to the advantages of high fabrication efficiency, improved strength and toughness, and long service life, porous matrix has been widely employed in oxide/ oxide CMCs to provide toughening mechanisms [\[16](#page-17-12), [17\]](#page-17-13), and present commercially available composites mainly rely on porous alumina and mullite. Despite this, porous matrix composites are primarily manufactured from winding with 1D-flament or hot-pressing with 2D-fabric reinforcements, which cannot meet the increasing demand for complex components [[12,](#page-17-8) [18](#page-17-14)]. Fiber preform could allow for the production of more complex geometries and the difficulty of crack defection could be solved by introducing fber coatings or fugitive coatings. Several nonoxide ceramics including BN, PyC, SiC and  $Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>$  and oxide ceramics including ZrO<sub>2</sub>, ZnO<sub>2</sub> and mixed metal oxides  $(MXO<sub>4</sub>)$  have been thoroughly explored as interphase materials in oxide/ oxide CMCs, and remarkable strength improvement was achieved [\[19](#page-17-15), [20\]](#page-17-16). In our previous work, the processing, microstructure and performance of oxide/oxide CMCs were studied by employing BN and fugitive carbon (FC) interphase [\[21](#page-17-17)–[23\]](#page-18-0). However, the combined efects of sintering temperature and PyC or FC interphase, and their effects on the mechanical properties of the composites remain to be fully elucidated.

In this study, 3D Nextel<sup>TM</sup> 440 fiber-reinforced alumina and silica matrix (N440/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>- $SiO<sub>2</sub>$ ) composites were fabricated by sol–gel method with varying sintering temperatures, and the interface engineering of the composites was conducted by using PyC and FC coatings. The microstructure, mechanical properties and interfacial characteristics of the composites were investigated via the combination of three-point bending test, scanning electron microscopy and transmission electron microscopy. The effects of the sintering temperature and interphase on the microstructure and strength of the composites were studied, by considering the interface characteristics and the crack propagation mode.

### **2 Experimental**

#### **2.1 Materials**

The reinforcement was Nextel<sup>TM</sup>440 fiber fabrics (BF-20, 3 M Co., USA) and the fiber strength retention after exposing 100 h at 1200 °C and 1300 °C was about 60.0% and 49.0%, respectively [\[24](#page-18-1)]. The use of Nextel 440 fber was mainly based on two considerations: one

was the appropriate application temperature of the resultant composite ( $\lt$ 1200 °C), and the other was about low cost. Three-dimensional orthogonal preforms with the fber volume fraction of about 40.0% were accomplished by Z-stitching ten layer fabrics at  $2.5 \times 2.5$  needle/  $\text{cm}^2$  with the same fiber yarn. The preforms were desized in air at 600 °C for 2 h before using. The matrix precursor was diphasic  $A_1O_3$ -SiO<sub>2</sub> sol prepared from commercial boehmite and silica sols in proportion corresponding to mullite composition  $(3Al_2O_3.2SiO_2)$ . After calcined at 1200  $\degree$ C, the ceramic yield was approximately 19.6 wt.%, and the product was composed of  $(\delta, \theta)$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and amorphous SiO<sub>2</sub>, which reacted with each other to form orthorhombic mullite above 1200 °C.

For interface engineering, the preforms were pre-coated with PyC by CVD technique employing  $C_3H_6$ –Ar system at 1000 °C with a total pressure of 1.5 kPa. The thickness of PyC coatings was controlled by varying deposition time, and the resulting thickness, determined by ten times microscope-measurement at diferent locations, was about 10 nm, 70 nm and 150 nm after depositing 1 h, 3 h and 5 h, respectively.

### **3 Preparation of the Composites**

Three-dimensional  $N440/Al_2O_3-SiO_2$  composites were fabricated by sol–gel method, including follow steps: (1) vacuum infiltrating the preform with  $Al_2O_3-SiO_2$  sol for 6 h, (2) hot gelating the preform at 80  $\degree$ C for 10 h, (3) heat treating the green body at elevated temperature for 1 h in argon atmosphere. Twelve sol–gel cycles were carried out to obtain the ultimate composites.

For the composite without interphase, uncoated preform was employed, and the heat-treatment temperature was chosen as 1100  $^{\circ}$ C, 1200  $^{\circ}$ C and 1300  $^{\circ}$ C. For interface engineering of the composites, PyC coated preform was employed, and the heat-treatment temperature was chosen as 1200  $\degree$ C, 1300  $\degree$ C and 1400  $\degree$ C. The composite with FC interphase was obtained by oxidizing the composite with PyC interphase fabricated at 1300 °C in air at 600 °C for 2 h.

#### **4 Characterization**

Density and porosity of the composites were measured by Archimedes principle. Flexural strength and modulus were characterized by room-temperature three-point bending (TPB) test on INSTRON 1342, with the sample dimension of 60  $\text{mm} \times 5 \text{ mm} \times 4 \text{ mm}$ . The support span and loading speed was set as 50 mm and 0.5 mm/min, respectively. The average value was calculated through fve samples. Elastic modulus of the matrix in the composites was studied by Nano-indentation on MTS Nanoindenter XP equipped with a Berkovich diamond indenter. More than 10 indents were carried out at a maximum load of 10 mN and the Oliver-Pharr method was employed for calculation [\[25\]](#page-18-2). Toughness of the matrix was calculated from work of fracture using chevron-notched specimens with the same dimensions [[17](#page-17-13)].

Microstructure analysis of the composites was carried out by SEM on Hitachi S-4800. Interfacial characteristics of the composites were analyzed by TEM on Tecnai F20, with the specimen processed by FIB technique on Helios nanolab 600i.

# **5 Results and Discussion**

### **5.1 Characteristics of the Composite without Interphase**

Figure [1](#page-3-0) shows cross-section morphology of  $N440/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-SiO<sub>2</sub>$  composite without interphase fabricated at diferent temperatures. A large number of micropores were ditributed in the composite, both inside and outside of fber bundles, and few cracks could be observed. As the sintering temperature was increased, the number and size of micropores gradually decreased, especially for those located inside the fber bundles.

Properties of N440/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-SiO<sub>2</sub> composite without interphase are listed in Table [1.](#page-4-0) As the sintering temperature increased from 1100 °C to 1300 °C, the density increased from 1.92 g/



<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Fig. 1** Cross-section morphology of the composite without interphase fabricated at diferent temperatures: (**a**)(**b**) 1100 °C, (**c**)(**d**) 1200 °C and (**e**)(**f**) 1300 °C

| Sintering<br>tempera-<br>ture<br>$({}^{\circ}C)$ | Interphase    | Density<br>$(g \cdot cm^{-3})$ | Open porosity<br>(%) | Total porosity<br>$(\%)$ | Flexural<br>strength<br>(MPa) | Elastic modulus<br>(GPa) |
|--|---------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1100   | None          | 1.92                           | 12.5                 | 35.1                     | $90.0 \pm 6.8$                | $35.0 \pm 0.7$           |
| 1200   | None          | 2.08                           | 9.2                  | 30.7                     | $54.0 \pm 1.8$                | $40.8 \pm 0.4$           |
| 1300   | None          | 2.38                           | 6.4                  | 18.6                     | $56.7 \pm 2.8$                | $63.7 \pm 1.9$           |
| 1200   | $PyC$ , 10 nm | 2.08                           | 9.0                  | 30.7                     | $89.0 \pm 5.0$                | $31.4 \pm 1.5$           |
| 1200   | $PyC, 70$ nm  | 2.01                           | 9.8                  | 33.0                     | $77.0 \pm 9.5$                | $25.2 \pm 4.6$           |
| 1200   | PyC, 150 nm   | 2.00                           | 10.1                 | 33.4                     | $73.8 \pm 6.0$                | $26.2 \pm 1.5$           |
| 1300   | $PyC$ , 10 nm | 2.34                           | 6.9                  | 20.0                     | $81.9 \pm 2.0$                | $45.6 \pm 1.6$           |
| 1300   | $PyC, 70$ nm  | 2.28                           | 7.5                  | 22.0                     | $107.7 \pm 2.7$               | $40.2 \pm 1.4$           |
| 1300   | PyC, 150 nm   | 2.28                           | 7.5                  | 22.0                     | $116.3 \pm 6.6$               | $37.6 \pm 3.5$           |
| 1400   | $PyC$ , 10 nm | 2.32                           | 5.5                  | 20.7                     | $73.8 \pm 7.6$                | $64.3 \pm 1.5$           |
| 1400   | $PyC, 70$ nm  | 2.30                           | 6.0                  | 21.4                     | $79.7 \pm 2.5$                | $43.0 \pm 1.1$           |
| 1300   | FC, 10 nm     | 2.32                           | 7.5                  | 20.7                     | $78.6 \pm 1.8$                | $32.7 \pm 2.8$           |
| 1300   | FC, 70 nm     | 2.26                           | 8.1                  | 22.7                     | $100.9 \pm 7.2$               | $30.0 \pm 1.4$           |
| 1300   | FC, 150 nm    | 2.25                           | 8.1                  | 22.9                     | $95.6 \pm 6.0$                | $22.2 \pm 0.2$           |

<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Table 1** Properties of N440/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-SiO<sub>2</sub> composite with different fabrication parameter

cm<sup>3</sup> to 2.38 g/cm<sup>3</sup>, and the total porosity decreased from 35.1% to 18.6% due to the densification of the matrix. Moreover, the average fexural strength decreased from 90.0 MPa to 56.7 MPa due to the decreased matrix porosity, improved interfacial bond strength and decreased in situ fber strength. Conversely, the elastic modulus increased from 35.0 GPa to 63.7 GPa due to the densifcation and mullitization of the matrix. Typical fexural load–displacement curves are plotted in Fig. [2](#page-4-1). As shown in the fgure, the composite fabricated at 1100 °C displayed typical ductile fracture behaviour with the maximum integrated area under the curve, whereas the one fabricated at 1300 °C showed typical brittle fracture behaviour with the minimum integrated area. The lowest average fexural strength of 54.0 MPa was obtained for the composite fabricated at 1200 °C. The fracture behaviour diference should be attributed to the interfacial characteristic



<span id="page-4-1"></span>

diference induced by sintering temperature [[26\]](#page-18-3). Weak interfacial bond strength, which favours ductile fracture behaviour, was obtained at low sintering temperature, whereas interfacial bond was strengthened with increasing temperature, thus leading to brittle fracture behaviour. Owing to higher mullitization and densifcation degree, the composite fabricated at 1300 °C had slightly higher flexural strength compared with the one fabricated at 1200 °C.

Fracture surface of the composite without interphase fabricated at diferent temperature after the TPB test is illustrated in Fig. [3](#page-5-0). For the composite fabricated at 1100 °C (Fig. [3a](#page-5-0), b), long fber pullout behaviour could be observed at the fracture surface. As shown in Fig. [4](#page-6-0)a, a large number of matrices adhered to the pullout fber and cracks could efectively defect at the fber/matrix interface. The pullout behaviour mainly originated from the weak interfacial bonding induced by the weak interfacial interaction and high matrix porosity, allowing interface



<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Fig. 3** Fracture surface of the composite without interphase fabricated at diferent temperatures: (**a**)(**b**) 1100 °C, (**c**)(**d**) 1200 °C and (**e**)(**f**) 1300 °C

 $\bigcirc$  Springer



<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Fig. 4** (**a**) surface morphology of the pullout fber in the composite without interphase fabricated at 1100 °C, and (**b**) matrix crack propagation behaviour inner fber in the composite fabricated at 1200 °C

debonding to easily occur. In comparison, the composite fabricated at 1200 °C and 1300 °C showed a much flat fracture surface with no fiber pullout (Fig. [3c](#page-5-0)-f), owing to the strong interfacial bonding resulting from the strong interfacial interaction and low matrix porosity at these temperatures. As shown in Fig. [4](#page-6-0)b, the crack penetration from the matrix into the fber confrmed the strong interfacial bonding in the composite fabricated at high temperature.

The fiber/matrix interface in the composite without interphase fabricated at 1200  $\degree$ C was characterized by TEM. Figure [5](#page-6-1) shows that extensive grains were distributed in the inner fber and matrix, and a fuzzy interface was obtained. Moreover, a mullite grain that originated from



<span id="page-6-1"></span>**Fig. 5** TEM analysis of the fber/matrix interface in the composite without interphase fabricated at 1200 °C

interfacial interaction existed at the interface, which confrmed that the temperature induced interfacial bond strengthening.

# **6 Characteristics of the Composite with PyC Interphase**

Figure [6](#page-7-0) shows cross-section morphology of  $N440/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-SiO<sub>2</sub>$  composite with different thickness of PyC interphase fabricated at 1300 °C. For all composites, the densifcation degree was high without few micropores, and the contour profle of the fber was very clear. As shown in high-magnifcation images (Fig. [6b](#page-7-0), d and f), a thin PyC layer with close



<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Fig. 6** Cross-section morphology of the composite with diferent thickness of PyC interphase fabricated at 1300 °C: (**a**)(**b**) 10 nm, (**c**)(**d**) 70 nm and (**e**)(**f**) 150 nm

structure and uniform thickness was present between the fber and matrix. The boundary amongst the fber, interphase and matrix was very distinct, indicating not only the stability of PyC coatings during the sol–gel process but good physical and chemical compatibility of these constituents.

As shown in Table [1,](#page-4-0) compared with the corresponding composite without interphase, the density of the composite with PyC interphase decreased, whereas the total porosity slightly increased, which can be attributed to the blocking efect of PyC coatings on the sol impregnation process and the lower density of PyC coatings than the  $Al_2O_3$ - $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  matrix. In general, the density of the composite with PyC interphase increased as the sintering temperature increased but decreased gradually as the thickness of the PyC interphase increased. The opposite trend was observed for the porosity, and the same trend was noted for the elastic modulus. As the thickness of the PyC interphase increased, the average fexural strength of the composite fabricated at 1200 °C decreased from 89.0 MPa to 73.8 MPa, with the elastic modulus decreasing from 31.4 GPa to 26.2 GPa, and the average fexural strength of the composite fabricated at 1300 °C increased from 81.9 MPa to 116.3 MPa, with the elastic modulus decreasing from 45.6 GPa to 37.6 GPa. The highest value of 116.3 MPa was obtained for the composite fabricated at 1300 °C because of higher mullitization and densifcation degree than the one fabricated at 1200 °C but lower fber thermal -degradation degree than the one fabricated at  $1400^{\circ}$ C. Figure [7](#page-8-0) shows that the composite with the PyC interphase displayed ductile fracture behaviour. For the composite fabricated at 1200  $\degree$ C, the typical flexural load–displacement curve illustrated a plateau



<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Fig. 7** Typical fexural load–displacement curves of the composite with diferent thickness of PyC interphase fabricated at diferent temperatures

after the load reached the maximum, indicating unideal fber toughening efect originating from relatively weak interfacial bonding [\[27\]](#page-18-4). However, for the composite fabricated at 1400 °C, the load decreased rapidly after reaching the maximum, and the integral area under the curve was small, indicating unideal fber toughening efect originating from low in situ fber strength due to exposure at high sintering temperature [[28](#page-18-5)]. Moreover, for the composite fabricated at 1300 °C, the curve showed a standard ductile fracture behaviour. The load decreased gradually after reaching the maximum without any plateau, and the integral area under the curve was large, indicating ideal fber toughening efect originating from relatively suitable in situ fber strength and interfacial bond strength.

Figure [8](#page-10-0) shows that the composite with diferent thickness of PyC interphase fabricated at diferent temperatures displayed typical fber pullout behaviour. The length of pullout fber decreased gradually as the sintering temperature increased but increased as the thickness of the PyC interphase increased for the composite fabricated at  $1300^{\circ}$ C. As shown in Fig. [8a](#page-10-0) and b, the longest length of the pullout fber and the loosest matrix inner fber bundle were observed at the fracture surface of the composite with 10 nm PyC interphase fabricated at 1200  $\degree$ C, which implied an inefficient load transfer from the matrix to the fber. However, for the composite with a 70 nm-thick PyC interphase fabricated at 1400  $\degree$ C (Fig. [8](#page-10-0)g, h), the shortest length of the pullout fiber and the densest matrix inner fber bundle were observed because of the lowest in situ fber strength and the highest densifcation degree. For the composite with a 70 nm-thick PyC interphase fabricated at 1300  $\degree$ C (Fig. [8](#page-10-0)c–f), the length of the pullout fiber and the density of the matrix inner fber bundle were suitable, owing to appropriate in situ fber strength and interfacial bond strength. High-magnification image  $(Fig. 9)$  $(Fig. 9)$  shows that cracks could propagate efficiently from the matrix to the fber, and fber toughening mechanisms including debonding and pullout occurred. The PyC interphase could be found adhering to the debonding fber and matrix, and internal split of PyC interphase occurred, which inferred that the crack could defect either between the matrix, PyC, fber or inner PyC.

The fber/matrix interface in the composite with 70 nm PyC interphase fabricated at 1300  $\degree$ C was analysed by TEM. As shown in Fig. [10a](#page-11-1) and b, nano grains were uniformly distributed in the fber and matrix, and these two components were separated by a 60 nm-thick uniform inert PyC interphase without any interfacial difusion and reaction. Concave and convex surface originating from defects and grain growth was obtained at the fber surface. High-resolution TEM image (Fig. [10c](#page-11-1)) confrmed that the interphase was turbostratic carbon with obvious orientation, which was benefcial for crack defection [\[29\]](#page-18-6). Element mapping analysis (Fig. [10d](#page-11-1)) further confrmed that a 60 nm-thick PyC interphase existed between the fber and the matrix.

### **7 Characteristics of the Composite With FC Interphase**

Owing to the high sintering temperature and superior strength,  $N440/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-SiO<sub>2</sub>$ composite with diferent thickness of PyC interphase fabricated at 1300 °C was further oxidised to obtain composite with diferent width of FC interphase. Here, we assumed that the width of the FC interphase was the same as the thickness of the PyC interphase. As shown in Fig. [11,](#page-12-0) the gap with uniform width originating from the oxidation of the PyC interphase was observed between the fber and the matrix, and the gap width was consistent with the thickness of the original PyC interphase.



<span id="page-10-0"></span>**Fig. 8** Fracture surface of the composite with diferent thickness of PyC interphase fabricated at diferent temperatures: (**a**)(**b**) 1200 °C, 10 nm, (**c**)(**d**) 1300 °C, 10 nm, (**e**)(**f**) 1300 °C, 70 nm and (**g**)(**h**) 1400 °C, 70 nm



**Fig. 9** Microstructure of the fber/matrix interface in the composite with a 70 nm-thick PyC interphase fabricated at 1300 °C

<span id="page-11-0"></span>As shown in Table [1](#page-4-0), compared with the corresponding composite with PyC interphase, the density of the composite decreased, whereas the total porosity slightly increased after the oxidation of the PyC interphase. The density decreased from 2.32 g/  $\text{cm}^3$  to 2.25 g/cm<sup>3</sup>, whereas the total porosity increased from 20.7% to 22.9% as the gap width increased from 10 to 150 nm. The average fexural strength of the composite



<span id="page-11-1"></span>Fig. 10 TEM analysis at the fiber/matrix interface in the composite with a 70 nm-thick PyC interphase fabricated at 1300 °C: (**a-c**) images and (**d**) element mapping

initially increased and then decreased, with the elastic modulus decreasing from 32.7 GPa to 22.2 GPa. The highest value of 100.9 MPa was obtained for the composite with a gap width of 70 nm. As plotted in Fig. [12](#page-13-0), the composite with FC interphase displayed similar ductile fracture behaviour as those with PyC interphase. The slope at the beginning of the fexural load–displacement curve and the load fall rate after reaching the maximum decreased gradually as the gap width increased, indicating the reduction of the elastic modulus and the load transfer efficiency. As shown in Fig.  $13$ , the inference could be further proved by increasing length of the pullout fber as the gap width increased. For the composite with a gap width of 150 nm, the curve showed the slowest



<span id="page-12-0"></span>**Fig. 11** Cross-section morphology of the composite with diferent width of FC interphase fabricated at 1300 °C: (**a**)(**b**) 10 nm, (**c**)(**b**) 70 nm and (**e**)(**f**) 150 nm

load fall rate after reaching the maximum with the longest pullout fber, implying that the lowest flexural strength resulted from the lowest load transfer efficiency.

### **8 Sintering Temperature Efects on Mechanical Properties of the Composites**

The above results showed that the sintering temperature had remarkable efects on the mechanical properties of  $N440/Al_2O_3-SiO_2$  composite. For the composite without interphase, the efect was mainly refected in diferent crack propagation behaviour related to porous matrix and interfacial bond strength.

According to the He-Hutchinson model [[17](#page-17-13)], crack defection will occur when the following condition is satisfed.

$$
\Gamma_i/\Gamma_f < G_d/G_p \tag{1}
$$

Where  $\Gamma_i$ ,  $\Gamma_f$  are the toughness of interface and fiber, and  $G_d$ ,  $G_p$  represent the energy release rate of crack defection and penetration, respectively. The critical ratio is determined by the elastic mismatch parameter, associated with the modulus of fiber  $(E_f)$  and matrix (*Em*):



<span id="page-13-0"></span>**Fig. 12** Typical fexural load–displacement curves of the composite with diferent width of FC interphase fabricated at 1300 °C

 $\circled{2}$  Springer



<span id="page-14-0"></span>**Fig. 13** Fracture surface of the composite with diferent width of FC interphase fabricated at 1300 °C: (**a**) (**b**) 10 nm, (**c**)(**d**) 70 nm and (**e**)(**f**) 150 nm

$$
a \approx \left(E_f - E_m\right) / \left(E_f + E_m\right) \tag{2}
$$

As the temperature increased from 1100  $^{\circ}$ C to 1200  $^{\circ}$ C, the matrix was strengthened with porosity decreasing from about 35.1% to 30.7%, and elastic modulus and toughness increasing from about 50 GPa to 90 GPa and from about 6 J/m<sup>2</sup> to 9 J/m<sup>2</sup>, respectively. As a result, the elastic mismatch parameter decreased and the toughness ratio increased (Fig. [14\)](#page-15-0), and the crack propagation behaviour changed from defection to penetration [[30](#page-18-7)]. For the composite fabricated at 1100 °C, fiber toughening mechanisms including debonding, bridging and pullout could occur because of crack defection. Nevertheless, for composites fabricated at 1200 °C and 1300 °C, fber toughening mechanism could hardly

occur owing to crack penetration. As a result, the composite strength decreased by about 40%, and the fracture behavior changed from ductile to brittle as the sintering temperature increased from 1100 °C to 1200 °C.

For the composite with interphase, the efect of sintering temperature was mainly reflected in the load transfer efficient and in situ fiber strength. The average flexural strength of the composite fabricated at 1300  $^{\circ}$ C was about 1.31 and 1.46 times higher than that of composites fabricated at 1200  $^{\circ}$ C and 1400  $^{\circ}$ C, respectively. The reason for the strength difference was that the lowest load transfer efficient due to the weakest interfacial bond strength was obtained when fabricated at 1200  $^{\circ}$ C, whereas the lowest in situ fiber strength was induced by high-temperature exposure during the sol–gel process when fabricated at 1400 °C.

#### **9 Interphase Efects on Mechanical Properties of the Composites**

Interphase effects on mechanical properties of  $N440/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$ -SiO<sub>2</sub> composite were also remarkable. For the composite without interphase, the highest average fexural strength of 90.0 MPa was obtained when the sintering temperature was  $1100^{\circ}$ C. However, for the composite with PyC interphase, the highest fexural strength of 116.3 MPa was obtained when the temperature was  $1300\text{ °C}$  and the thickness of PyC coatings was 150 nm. In



<span id="page-15-0"></span>**Fig. 14** Efects of sintering temperature on the crack propagation mode. Experimental results were calculated by assuming a toughness ratio  $\omega = \Gamma_i/\Gamma_m = 1$  and fiber properties  $\Gamma_f = 15$  J/m<sup>2</sup> and  $E_f = 190$  GPa

addition, for the composite fabricated at 1300  $^{\circ}$ C with FC interphase, the highest flexural strength of 100.9 MPa was obtained when the thickness of the FC interphase was 70 nm. After introducing the interphase, on the one hand, the sintering temperature increased by about 200 °C, and a slightly high average fexural strength and equivalent elastic modulus were obtained, which might be meaningful for high-temperature applications of composites considering the combined result of relatively high structural stability of the matrix at elevated temperature and high fber degradation. On the other hand, the fber/matrix interfacial properties were improved, and the fracture behaviour of the composite changed from brittle to ductile. Meanwhile, owing to easy preparation through oxidation of PyC interphase and little efects on composition and structure, FC interphase was more suitable to meet the demand of excellent high-temperature oxidation resistance for continuous fberreinforced oxide/oxide composites.

To better understand interphase effects on mechanical properties of  $N440/Al_2O_3-SiO_2$ composite, the load transfer was discussed. As illustrated in Fig. [15](#page-16-0), for the composite with optimised PyC or FC interphase (70 nm in this work), crack defection, interface debonding and fiber pullout would occur, and the load could effectively transfer from the matrix to the fber, thus improving the strength. As the coating thickness or gap width increased (150 nm in this work), further weakened interfacial bond strength was harmful to the load transfer. Consequently, fber failed with unideal toughening efect, thus causing low strength and stifness [\[31,](#page-18-8) [32](#page-18-9)].



<span id="page-16-0"></span>**Fig. 15** Schematic digrams of the load transfer in the composite with interphase fabricated at 1300 °C: (**a**) PyC interphase and (**b**) FC interphase

# **10 Conclusion**

Three-dimensional N440/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-SiO<sub>2</sub> composites were fabricated by sol–gel method, and PyC and FC interphase were introduced for interface engineering. The microstructure, mechanical properties and interfacial characteristics of three types of composites were investigated, and the efects of sintering temperature and interphase were discussed. The main conclusions were as follows: The sintering temperature had remarkable efects on the composite strength. For the composite without interphase, the efect was mainly refected in diferent crack propagation behaviour related to matrix porosity and interfacial bond strength. For those with interphase, the effect was reflected in the load transfer efficient and in situ fbre strength. The highest average fexural strength (90.0 MPa) was obtained for the composite without interphase fabricated at 1100 °C. The average fexural strength of the composite fabricated at 1300 °C with a 150 nm-thick PyC interphase and a 70 nm-wide FC interphase was 116.3 and 100.9 MPa, respectively. Meanwhile, for the composite fabricated at 1300 °C, the average fexural strength signifcantly increased after introducing PyC or FC interphase. The main reason for the strength improvement was the efective fbre toughening and matrix/fbre load transfer induced by optimised PyC or FC interphase (70 nm in this work). Further work will focus on interfacial strength analysis of N440/  $Al_2O_3-SiO_2$  composites without and with interphase fabricated at different temperature and systematic investigations on the service properties of optimised composite under high temperature.

**Funding** This work was fnancially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (51602347) and the Defense Science and Technology Project Foundation.

# **References**

- <span id="page-17-0"></span>1. Fan, C.Y., Ma, Q.S., Zeng, K.H.: Bull. Mater. Sci. **41**, 68 (2018)
- 2. Patel, M., Saurabh, K., Bhanu Prasad, V.V., Subrahmanyam, J.: Bull. Mater. Sci. **35**, 63 (2012)
- <span id="page-17-1"></span>3. Yang, L.W., Liu, H.T., Jiang, R., Sun, X., Mao, W.G., Cheng, H.F., Molina-Aldareguía, J.M.: J. Eur. Ceram. Soc. **37**, 2991 (2017)
- <span id="page-17-2"></span>4. Simon, R.A., Danzer, R.: Adv. Eng. Mater. **8**, 1129 (2006)
- 5. Zhang, W., Ma, Q.S., Dai, K.W., Mao, W.G.: Trans. Nonferrous Met. Soc. China **28**, 2248 (2018)
- <span id="page-17-3"></span>6. Xiang, Y., Peng, Z.H., Wang, Y., Xing, Z.F., Cao, F.: J. Alloy Compd. **750**, 857 (2018)
- <span id="page-17-4"></span>7. Tan, H.B., Ma, X.L., Fu, M.X.: Bull. Mater. Sci. **36**, 153 (2013)
- <span id="page-17-5"></span>8. Hay, R.S., Fair, G.E., Tidball, T.: J. Am. Ceram. Soc. **98**, 1907 (2015)
- <span id="page-17-6"></span>9. Wamser, T., Scheler, S., Martin, B., Krenkel, W.: J. Eur. Ceram. Soc. **34**, 3827 (2014)
- 10. Di Salvo, D.T., Sackett, E.E., Johnston, R.E., Thompson, D., Andrews, P., Bache, M.R.: J. Eur. Ceram. Soc. **35**, 4513 (2015)
- <span id="page-17-7"></span>11. Ruggles-Wrenn, M.B., Lanser, R.L.: Mater. Sci. Eng. A **659**, 270 (2016)
- <span id="page-17-8"></span>12. Puchas, G., Held, A., Krenkel, W.: Mater. Today: Proceedings **16**, 49 (2019)
- <span id="page-17-9"></span>13. Yang, Z.M., Yang, J.J.: J. Eur. Ceram. Soc. **40**, 1549 (2020)
- <span id="page-17-10"></span>14. Venkatesh, R.: Ceram. Int. **28**, 565 (2002)
- <span id="page-17-11"></span>15. Zok, F.W.: J. Am. Ceram. Soc. **89**, 3309 (2006)
- <span id="page-17-12"></span>16. Schmücker, M., Grafmüller, A., Schneider, H.: Compos. Part A **34**, 613 (2003)
- <span id="page-17-13"></span>17. Fujita, H., Jeferson, G., McMeeking, R.M.: J. Am. Ceram. Soc. **87**, 261 (2004)
- <span id="page-17-14"></span>18. Yang, J.Y., Weaver, J.H., Zok, F.W.: J. Am. Ceram. Soc. **92**, 1087 (2009)
- <span id="page-17-15"></span>19. Chawla, K.K.: J. Eur. Ceram. Soc. **28**, 447 (2008)
- <span id="page-17-16"></span>20. Poges, S., Monteleone, C., Petroski, K., Richards, G., Suib, S.L.: Ceram. Int. **43**, 17121 (2017)
- <span id="page-17-17"></span>21. Wang, Y., Cheng, H.F., Liu, H.T., Wang, J.: Ceram. Int. **39**, 9229 (2013)
- 22. Wang, Y., Cheng, H.F., Wang, J.: Ceram. Int. **40**, 7269 (2014)
- <span id="page-18-0"></span>23. Wang, Y., Liu, H.T., Cheng, H.F., Wang, J.: Compos. Part B **75**, 86 (2015)
- <span id="page-18-1"></span>24. 3M<sup>TM</sup> Nextel<sup>TM</sup> Ceramic Fibers and Textiles Technical Reference Guide. Available: [https://3M.com/](https://3M.com/ceramics) [ceramics](https://3M.com/ceramics) Accessed on 20 April 2020
- <span id="page-18-2"></span>25. Oliver, W.C., Pharr, G.M.: J. Mater. Res. **7**, 1564 (1992)
- <span id="page-18-3"></span>26. Yang, L.W., Wang, J.Y., Liu, H.T., Jiang, R., Cheng, H.F.: Compos. Part B **119**, 79 (2017)
- <span id="page-18-4"></span>27. Chen, J., Wang, Y., Huang, H., Meng, H.D., Wang, X.L.: Rare Metal. Mater. Eng. **47**, 1281 (2018) (**In Chinese**)
- <span id="page-18-5"></span>28. Jiang, R., Liu, H.T., Yang, L.W., Sun, X., Cheng, H.F.: Mater. Charact. **138**, 120 (2018)
- <span id="page-18-6"></span>29. Liu, H.T., Cheng, H.F., Wang, J., Che,.R.C., Tang, G.P., Ma, Q.S.: Mater. Lett. **63**, 2029 (2009)
- <span id="page-18-7"></span>30. Mattoni, M.A., Yang, J.Y., Levi, C.G., Zok, F.W.: J. Am. Ceram. Soc. **84**, 2594 (2001)
- <span id="page-18-8"></span>31. Ma, X.K., Yin, X.W., Fan, X.M., Cao, X.Y., Yang, L.W., Sun, X.N., Cheng, L.F.: J. Eur. Ceram. Soc. **39**, 1766 (2019)
- <span id="page-18-9"></span>32. Weaver, J.H., Yang, J., Zok, F.W.: J. Am. Ceram. Soc. **91**, 4003 (2008)

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.