

# **Enhanced Electrical Conductivity and Interlaminar Fracture Toughness of CF/EP Composites via Interleaving Conductive Thermoplastic Films**

**Jialiang Liu1 · Yuntao Li1 · Dong Xiang1  [·](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8714-6576) Chunxia Zhao1 · Bin Wang<sup>1</sup> · Hui Li1**

Received: 20 June 2020 / Accepted: 11 November 2020 / Published online: 16 November 2020© Springer Nature B.V. 2020

## **Abstract**

The poor electrical conductivity and interlaminar fracture toughness of carbon fber reinforced epoxy (CF/EP) composites could reduce their reliability for aerospace applications. In this work, multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs) doped polyethersulfone (PES) conductive thermoplastic flms (CTFs) were prepared by solution casting method. The asobtained composites were then interleaved into CF/EP prepregs to yield composite laminates. The efects of CTFs on the electrical conductivity and interlaminar fracture toughness of the as-prepared CF/EP composites were investigated. The results suggested an increase in conductivity of laminates by  $104\%$  and  $84\%$  in the transverse (Y) and throughthickness (Z) directions, respectively. The Mode I and Mode II interlaminar fracture toughness values were evaluated by double cantilever beam (DCB) and end-notched fexure (ENF) testing. The data revealed that the introduction of CTFs with 10wt% MWCNTs led to enhancement in Mode I and Mode II interlaminar fracture toughness of Composite laminates by 206% and 47%, respectively. The failure mechanism was investigated through microstructural and morphological changes in the composites studied by scanning electron microscopy (SEM). This work provides valuable guidance for the simultaneous enhancement of electrical conductivity and interlaminar fracture toughness of CF/EP composites.

**Keywords** CF / EP composites · Interlaminar fracture toughness · Conductivity · Carbon nanotubes · Polyethersulfone

## **1 Introduction**

In recent decades, carbon fber reinforced composites have gradually replaced the traditional metal-based materials in the aerospace industry owing to their high specifc strength, superior stifness, and good fatigue and corrosion resistance. For instance, carbon fber

 $\boxtimes$  Yuntao Li yuntaoli@swpu.edu.cn

 $\boxtimes$  Dong Xiang dxiang01@hotmail.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> School of New Energy and Materials, Southwest Petroleum University, Chengdu 610500, China

reinforced composites(CFRP) are used in parts constituting the Airbus A350, Airbus A380, and Boeing 787 [[1,](#page-18-0) [2\]](#page-18-1). Moreover, carbon fber reinforced composites possess lightweight than metal-based materials, thereby saving fuel consumption and economic costs. However, the electrical conductivity remains a crucial problem in the aerospace industry. Though carbon fber itself has good electrical conductivity, it still not good enough for use in the aerospace industry when compared to metal-based materials like aluminum and copper [[3](#page-18-2), [4\]](#page-19-0). Therefore, the development of novel conductive structures with good conductivity is highly desirable in the aerospace industry.

In the last few years, numerous conductive nanoparticles have been added to matrices to build novel structures with improved conductivities to meet the requirements of the aerospace industry. Examples include silver nanowires [[5](#page-19-1)], graphene [\[6](#page-19-2)], carbon black [[7\]](#page-19-3), and carbon nanotubes (CNTs)  $[8-10]$  $[8-10]$  $[8-10]$ . Notably, CNTs have so far been demonstrated as one of the best conductive fllers for conductive polymers due to their excellent mechanical and physical properties, such as high strength, specifc modulus, and superior electrical conductivity [[11](#page-19-6), [12](#page-19-7)]. However, the high aspect ratio and van der Waals force in CNTs often lead to excessive aggregation, thereby afecting their comprehensive properties in various materials [\[8](#page-19-4)].

On the other hand, the structures of composite materials used in aircraft are very sensitive to low-speed impact of birds, hail, among other phenomena. These would deteriorate the performance of composite materials and afect safety [\[13,](#page-19-8) [14](#page-19-9)]. Furthermore, diferent composite materials possess various properties, which will dictate the type of damage during manufacturing and use. Examples include delamination, crack formation, surface scratching, size of pores, and degumming [\[15\]](#page-19-10). Among these, delamination is the primary mode of failure often caused by the growth of crack formation in the matrix under the action of an external force. The growth of delamination is mainly driven by the interlaminar shear stress induced by bending of laminates during impact [\[16](#page-19-11), [17\]](#page-19-12). Such delamination phenomena are mainly generated by loading conditions of Mode I and Mode II of formed cracks under common loads, such as impact and fatigue [[16](#page-19-11)[–18\]](#page-19-13).

Therefore, various studies have so far been carried out to improve the interlayer properties and performances of composite materials through Z-pins [[19](#page-19-14)], three-dimensional weaving [[20](#page-19-15), [21\]](#page-19-16), thickness stitching [[22](#page-19-17)], and interleaf modifcation [[23](#page-19-18)]. Among these, interlayer modifcation is relevant for inserting composite flms into interlayers of carbon fiber to improve the mechanical and or electrical properties [[24](#page-19-19)].

In recent years, interlayer materials have been inserted into carbon fber reinforced composites to improve mechanical and electrical properties. This has been achieved through several methods, such as nanofber veils [\[4,](#page-19-0) [25](#page-20-0), [26\]](#page-20-1), nanoparticles [\[3](#page-18-2), [27\]](#page-20-2), and thermoplastic flms [\[24,](#page-19-19) [28](#page-20-3)]. Various factors have been shown to afect the interlaminar toughness of composite materials. Among these, inhibiting the fracture of fber bundle, as well as crack growth have been pointed out as important factors enhance interlaminar fracture toughness. Therefore, the selection of proper interface reinforcement materials should be considered as an important factor for enhancing the interfacial forces.

Polyethersulfone (PES) is a typical thermoplastic toughening material with good chemical and physical compatibility in thermosetting resins [[29\]](#page-20-4). The fracture toughness of composite materials can signifcantly be improved by inserting PES interleaf between laminated plates [[30–](#page-20-5)[32](#page-20-6)]. For instance, Mimura et al. [[33\]](#page-20-7) added 10 wt% PES to biphenyl epoxy resin and recorded an increase in fracture toughness and glass transition temperature (Tg) by respectively 60% and 20% when compared to unmodifed resin. Zheng et al. [\[27\]](#page-20-2) introduced 10 wt% dissolvable PES fber as an interlaminar layer and noticed improvement in Mode I fracture toughness energy of the composites by

fve-fold when compared to the control specimen. Cheng et al. [[34](#page-20-8)] incorporated interleaved with uniformly aligned PES fber webs into laminated plates and observed maximum enhancement in Mode I and II fracture toughness energies of the composites by 120% and 68.8% when compared to those without interleaves, respectively.

Though these research methods might increase the toughness and/or conductivity of composite materials to some extent, they still sufer from several drawbacks like complex processing, difcult to control, unsuitable for industrial production, and high cost. Moreover, composite materials used in the aerospace industry must satisfy good conductivity and mechanical properties simultaneously.

In sum, selected methods should not only conform to the actual manufacturing process but also maximize the production value to improve the comprehensive properties of composite materials. In this work, the electrical and mechanical properties of CF/ EP composites were greatly enhanced by interlaminar toughening technology. First, composite flm consisting of MWCNTs doped PES was manufactured through a simple solution casting method, and its electrical properties were tested to evaluate its suitability. Next, conductive PES flm was inserted into CF/EP prepreg layer, and composite laminate was obtained by hot pressing. The mechanical and electrical properties of the as-obtained composites were then tested, and micromorphological and fracture mechanisms were determined. The results showed signifcant increases in both electrical conductivity and interlaminar fracture toughness of the modifed CF/EP composites.

#### **1.1 Experimental**

#### **1.1.1 Materials**

MWCNTs (NANOCYL NC7000) with an average length of 1.5 nm, a diameter of 9.5 mm, and a density of 2.0 g  $cm^{-3}$  were obtained from Nanocyl S.A. (Sambreville, Belgium). Unidirectional CF/EP prepregs (B-228H) were purchased from BONAT-ECH Advanced Materials Company (Beijing, China), and their physical and mechanical properties are summarized in Table [1.](#page-2-0) PES powder (Basf E1010) with a density of 1.37 g cm−3 was supplied by BASF Germany. N, N-Dimethylformamide (DMF) was provided by Kelong Chemical Reagent Company (Chengdu, China).

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

## **1.1.2 Fabrication of CTFs**

CTFs with different MWCNTs contents  $(0, 0.2, 0.3, 0.5, 1, 3, 5, 7, 10, 15,$  and 20 wt%) were manufactured through solution casting method. First, multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs) were added to DMF solution under constant stirring sonication for 30 min. Next, PES powder was added to MWCNTs/DMF suspension under sonication for 30 min followed by vigorous stirring at 160 ℃ for 2.5 h. Finally, MWCNTs/PES/DMF mixed solution was poured into a glass mold (150 mm×150 mm×8 mm) and left to dry at 80 °C for 12 h. The thickness of the as-produced CTFs ranged from 14 to 15 μm. The fabrication process of CTFs is displayed in Fig. [1](#page-3-0).

### **1.1.3 Fabrication of CF/EP Composite Laminates and Specimens Testing**

The unidirectional prepregs were first cut into square sheets of  $150 \times 150$  mm size, and then twenty-four layers of prepregs were manually stacked as ply-by-ply in  $[0^{\circ}]_{24}$  for Mode I interlaminar and II fracture toughness testing. A layer of CTF was inserted between the 12th and 13th prepregs during manual layering. Furthermore, PTFE flm (13 μm thick) coated with the released agent was inserted as a pre-crack, in which pre-crack length of Mode I was set to 50 mm and that of Mode II was 45 mm. Meanwhile, other unidirectional prepregs were cut into square sheets with size of  $50 \times 50$  mm. A total of these twelve layers of prepregs were manually stacked as ply-by-ply in  $[0^{\circ}]_{12}$  for conductivity testing. A conductive flm (a total of eleven CTFs) was inserted between each layer of prepreg.

After layup, the fabricated specimens were subjected to hot press curing process. To this end, two Tefon flms were placed on the surface of a steel mold to facilitate the removal of the cured laminate. Finally, the composite laminates were naturally cooled to room temperature under 10 MPa pressure. The fabrication process of composite laminates is also shown in Fig. [1.](#page-3-0) The prepreg curing process of the composite laminates is depicted in Fig. [2](#page-4-0).

For interlaminar fracture toughness testing of composite laminates, the average thickness of each specimen is about 4 mm. For electrical properties testing, the average thickness of each specimen is about 1.5 mm. The test samples were cut from the cured laminates using a water jet cutter. The size of samples subjected to fracture toughness testing was



<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Fig. 1** Fabrication process of CTFs and CTF interleaved CF/EP composite laminates



<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Fig. 2** Prepreg curing process of composite laminates

 $150$  mm  $\times$  20 mm  $\times$  4 mm, and that for electrical properties was 12 mm  $\times$  12 mm  $\times$  1.5 mm. The dimensions of test samples are presented in Fig. [3.](#page-5-0)

## **1.1.4 Characterization**

#### **1.1.5 Microscopy**

The conductive networks in the CTFs, as well as the fracture surface morphologies of DCB and ENF samples were observed by FEI Quanta 650 FEG feld emission scanning electron microscopy at accelerating voltage of 30 kV. To emit abundant secondary electrons on the surfaces and facilitate the morphological identifcation, all specimens surfaces were sprayed with gold before any observation. Note that CTFs were etched in DMF solution before testing the conductive networks.

#### **1.1.6 Electrical Conductivity**

The values of volume conductivity of CTFs were measured at room temperature by twopoint probe method and DC power supply voltage of 1 V. The CTFs were cut into rectangular strips with size of 40  $mm \times 10$  mm, and distance between electrodes was fixed to 30 mm. The conductivity of each prepared CF/EP laminate at room temperature was measured by a KEITHLEY 2000 multimeter using the four-wire method. The conductivities of composite laminates with dimension of 12  $mm \times 12$   $mm \times 1.5$  mm were also measured.



<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Fig. 3** Dimensions of diferent test samples: (**a**) Mode I test samples, (**b**) Mode II test samples, and (**c**) electrical performance test samples

Due to anisotropy of the fber, the electrical conductivity was measured in three directions (fber, transverse, and through-thickness directions), as shown in Fig. [4a](#page-6-0). To reduce errors, all conductivity test samples were carried out at least three times. For testing, the specimen surface was frst sanded with sandpaper and then cleaned with acetone and air-dried. Finally, the test surface was coated with a layer of conductive silver paste to minimize the contact resistance. The conductivity (S) values of CTFs and CF/EP laminates were calculated according to Eq. ([1](#page-5-1)):

<span id="page-5-1"></span>
$$
\sigma = \frac{L}{RA} \tag{1}
$$

where, *R*, *A* and *L* represent the volume resistance, cross-sectional area and length of the specimen, respectively. Note that *L* and *A* represent the diferent geometric dimensions of the specimen when measured in three directions.

#### **1.1.7 Mode I Interlaminar Fracture Toughness Testing**

The Mode I interlayer fracture toughness of each composite laminate was tested by the double-cantilever-beams (DCB) test method (ASTM D5528). A universal testing machine



<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Fig. 4** (**a**) Conductivity testing, (**b**) Mode I test set-up and (**c**) Mode II test set-up

(SANS CMT4104) was used for this purpose. The number of specimens should be at least fve in each group, and two hinges adhered to the pre-cracked end of each specimen. To visually observe the crack propagation and movement, white paint was applied to the surface of each specimen before testing and marked by vertical thin lines every 1 mm. To facilitate the observation of crack propagation, a digital microscope with maximum magnifcation of 500-times was placed in front of each sample. The total crack length (*a*) represented the sum of distance from the loading line to the endpoint from the inserted PTFE film plus the increase in crack growth. The tests were performed at 2 mm min<sup>-1</sup> displacement control mode. The set-up of Mode I testing is displayed in Fig. [4b](#page-6-0). The calculation of Mode I interlayer fracture toughness was carried out according to the compliance calibration (MCC) method (Eq.  $(2)$ ):

<span id="page-6-1"></span>
$$
G_{IC} = \frac{3P^2C^{2/3}}{2A_1bh} \tag{2}
$$

Where *P* is the load corresponding to the defned crack length (*a*). Compliance (*C*) represents the ratio of load point displacement to that corresponding to a defned crack length.  $A_1$  is the slope of the line on the least-squares plot of crack length normalized by specimen thickness  $(a/h)$  as a function of cube root of compliance  $(C^{1/3})$ . *h* and *b* are the thickness and width of the specimen.

#### **1.1.8 Mode II Interlaminar Fracture Toughness Testing**

Mode II interlaminar fracture toughness  $(G<sub>HC</sub>)$  testing of composite laminates was carried out according to standard ASTM D7905/D7905M. ENF test method was used to carry out

three-point bending tests on a universal testing machine. The experimental diagram of ENF testing is shown in Fig. [4](#page-6-0)c. Mode II interlaminar fracture toughness was measured by the compliance calibration (CC) method, including compliance calibration and fracture tests. The CC mark of pre-cracked area was made at the end of an inserted polytetrafuoroethylene (PTFE) film, in which a mark was made every 5 mm. A compliance calibration test was first performed at 20 mm and 40 mm from the crack tip, where fracture test point was 30 mm away from the crack tip  $(a_0)$ . Meanwhile, the load versus displacement (*P vs.*  $\delta$ ) curve was recorded on the computer. Note that both CC and fracture tests were achieved using displacement-controlled loading at constant speed of 1 mm min<sup>-1</sup>. To ensure experimental accuracy, at least 5 specimens were tested in each group. Data ftting of compliance versus crack length was carried out according to CC method (Eq. ([3](#page-7-0)):

<span id="page-7-0"></span>
$$
C = A + ma^3 \tag{3}
$$

Where *C* represents the compliance obtained from the slope of the linear region in *δ-P* curve drawn from two CC tests and one fracture test. *A* and *m* are CC coefcients, in which *A* represents the intercept and m is the slope obtained from the regression analysis.

Three compliance (*C*) values of the ftted straight line allowed the calculation of the cubic crack length of each test piece by linear least square calculation. The CC coefficients obtained from compliances versus crack length  $(C \text{ vs. } a_0^3)$  are illustrated in Fig. [5](#page-7-1).

The Mode II interlayer fracture toughness value was estimated through Eq. ([4](#page-7-2)):



<span id="page-7-2"></span>
$$
G_{HC} = \frac{3mP^2 \max a_0^2}{2B} \tag{4}
$$

<span id="page-7-1"></span>**Fig. 5** Method used for determining CC coefficients from compliances versus crack length (C vs.  $a_0^3$ )

Where  $P_{\text{max}}$  and  $a_0$  are the maximum force generated in the fracture test and crack length used in fracture test, respectively. *B* represents the specimen width.

### **2 Results and Discussion**

#### **2.1 Conductivity of CTFs**

In the frst step, the electrical properties of PES flms doped with MWCNTs were investigated. Figure [6](#page-8-0) shows the conductivity and fitting curves of CTFs prepared with different contents of MWCNTs. Obviously, increased contents of MWCNTs led to enhanced electrical conductivity of the flm. Since pure PES flm was non-conductive, the introduction of 1 wt% MWCNTs yielded relatively high value of conductivity (21.3 S/m), which was many orders of magnitude higher than that of pure flm. As MWCNTs contents further rose, the curve slowly enhanced then eventually fattened at MWCNTs content of 5 wt% at which conductivity reached 105 S/m. The conductivities of CTFs containing 10 and 15 wt% MWCNTs were recorded as 330.6 S/m and 484.2 S/m, respectively. To further analyze the conductive networks in CTFs, the classical statistical percolation theory  $(Eq. (5))$  $(Eq. (5))$  $(Eq. (5))$  was used to fit the experimental data and the results are gathered in Fig. [6.](#page-8-0)



<span id="page-8-1"></span>
$$
\sigma = \sigma_0 \times (\Phi - \Phi c)^t \tag{5}
$$

<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Fig. 6** Electrical conductivity of CTFs containing various loadings of MWCNTs. Inset shows log—log plot of conductivity versus  $\Phi$ — $\Phi_C$ 



<span id="page-9-0"></span>**Fig. 7** SEM image of CTF containing 10 wt% MWCNTs

Where  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma_0$  are the conductivity of CTF and nanofiller with different contents, respectively. *Φ* represents the nanofller content, *Φc* is the critical concentration at percolation threshold, and *t* is the critical exponent depending on dimensionality of the conductive network [\[35\]](#page-20-9).

*t* and  $\Phi_c$  were obtained by fitting the experimental data through the least square method, in which  $\sigma$  and  $\Phi$  were considered as independent variables. The fitting process consisted of gradually changing the *z* value in the logarithmic curve (*logσ*~*log (Φ-Φc*)) of conductivity and  $log (\Phi \Phi_c)$  until linear fitted curves with smallest errors were obtained. The fitted results (Fig. [6\)](#page-8-0) suggested the critical fller concentration of the hybrid conductive flm percolation at 0.3 wt%. The critical exponent (*t*) was estimated to 1.29. This value was low, thereby indicating the formation of complete conductive path in the flm following 2D model [\[36\]](#page-20-10).

A SEM image of CTF containing 10 wt% MWCNTs is provided in Fig. [7.](#page-9-0) At higher magnifcation (Fig. [7](#page-9-0)b), strong mechanical agitation and ultrasounds led to embedding of numerous curved and interlaced single MWCNTs in the matrix to form wavy shape. The interlaced MWCNTs frst showed tree branch-like shape and then eventually transformed into mesh-like conductive network structure. Related literature suggested that more uniformly dispersed and loose CNTs would more likely be conductive to electron transfer [[37](#page-20-11), [38](#page-20-12)]. Therefore, an effective conductive network can be formed by introducing an appropriate amount of carbon nanotubes, which could increase the electrical conductivity. By contrast, much large or very low amounts MWCNTs would decline the conductivity.

### **3 Electrical Conductivity of CF/EP Composite Laminates**

To explore the electrical properties of the composite laminates, four sets of specimens were made under the same conditions. To this end, the control sample and laminates interleaved by CTFs with MWCNTs loadings of 5, 10 and 15 wt% were tested. The changes in volume conductivity in all three diferent directions (fber direction, Y-direction, and Z-direction) are presented in Fig. [8](#page-10-0). The electrical conductivities of the above four sets of specimens in the fber direction were measured as 1151.5 S/m, 1057.1 S/m, 1372.2 S/m and 1038.7 S/m, respectively. Besides, laminates interleaved by CTFs with MWCNTs loadings of 5 and 15 wt% showed slightly lower conductivities than those loaded with 10 wt% MWCNTs. Thus,



<span id="page-10-0"></span>**Fig. 8** Conductivities of composite samples in comparison with control sample (without interleaf). Conductivities obtained along the fber direction (X-direction), transverse direction (Y-direction), and throughthickness direction (Z-direction) of composites interleaved with various MWCNTs loadings

low MWCNTs contents would form no effective conductive network path in the matrix. By contrast, very high contents MWCNTs would lead to serious agglomeration, thereby reducing the conductivity.

Similarly, the respective volume conductivities measured in Y-direction were 22.3  $S / m$ , 29.4 S / m, 45.5 S / m, and 49.1 S / m. The increase in MWCNTs contents showed an initial raising trend. However, laminates interleaved by CTFs with MWC-NTs loadings exceeding 10 wt% slowed down the upward trend until no major change was observed.

The respective volume conductivities along the Z-direction were estimated to 0.13 S / m, 0.19 S / m, 0.24 S / m, and 0.22 S / m. The change in conductivity was basically similar to that in Y-direction. Besides, conductivity displayed relatively large changes when compared to the control sample.

A low magnifcation SEM image of the composite laminate containing 10 wt% MWCNTs is shown in Fig. [9](#page-11-0). Obvious traces of layering were observed, and both resin and conductive hybrid flm were staggered to yield a relatively compact matrix structure. In large magnifcation images (Fig. [9b](#page-11-0)-d), MWCNTs tightly connected with the resin matrix in the flm. Besides, some small gaps between the flm and matrix existed (Fig. [9c](#page-11-0)-d). However, the resin still showed some flament-like connections with some MWCNTs. Thus, high temperature and hot pressing processes led to better fusing of the epoxy resin with the flm, forming better conductive path and reducing the overall resistance of the resin.



<span id="page-11-0"></span>**Fig. 9** SEM images of diferent sections of a 10% MWCNTs sample: (**a**) cross-section structure and (**b-d**) conductive networks formed via MWCNTs between the CTF and CF/EP

## **4 Mode I Interlaminar Fracture Toughness**

Mode I interlaminar fracture toughness of control sample and laminates interleaved by CTFs with MWCNTs loadings of 5, 10, and 15 wt% were studied and the results were compared. Figure [10](#page-12-0) shows the force-crack opening displacement curves for all composite laminate samples. During the initial stage of loading, the curve changed linearly until reaching a maximum force point, corresponding to crack initiation point. As crack continued to expand, the curve displayed a downward trend with overall wavy pattern. The critical forces of all four groups of samples were estimated to 52.7 N, 66.0 N, 84.4 N and 65.64 N, respectively. Thus, the critical forces of the remaining three groups of samples increased when compared to the control sample. In particular, the critical force of composite laminate containing 10 wt% MWCNTs increased by 60.1%.

The variation in Mode I fracture toughness obtained by calculations versus crack propagation is displayed in Fig. [11](#page-13-0). The fracture toughness values of all four different groups of samples showed a rapid increase during the initial stage followed by slowing down and eventually stabilizing. Furthermore, the increase in MWCNTs contents in composite laminates led to a decrease in fracture toughness. The reason for this may have to do with the inertness of MWCNTs surfaces, thereby weakening the interface interaction force between the hybrid film and CF/EP system. Besides, the increase in MWCNTs contents in the films induced local aggregation, generating



<span id="page-12-0"></span>**Fig. 10** Comparison of typical DCB load–displacement curves of composites with diferent interlayers

weak interfacial forces and reducing the interfacial compatibility between the film and epoxy.

The initial interlaminar fracture toughness  $(G_{IC})$  and interlaminar fracture resistance  $(G_{IR})$  of the composites are provided in Fig. [12.](#page-13-1) Note that  $G_{IC}$  represents the interlaminar fracture toughness at crack length of 50 mm, and  $G_{IR}$  is the average value of interlaminar fracture toughness at crack length of 60–80 mm. The trend in R-curves looked similar to that of load-displacement curves (Fig. [10](#page-12-0)). Also, the interlayer fracture toughness resistance  $(G_{IR})$  specimen with inserted hybrid film was higher than that of control sample. For all samples, the toughness of composite laminates interleaved by CTFs with 10 wt% CNTs showed the highest values. The  $G_{IC}$  and  $G_{IR}$  of the latter specimen were estimated to 0.42  $kJ/m<sup>2</sup>$  and 0.89 kJ/m<sup>2</sup>, equivalent to 206% and 84% higher than those of control samples  $(0.14 \text{ kJ/m}^2 \text{ and } 0.48 \text{ kJ/m}^2 \text{, respectively}).$ The reason for this had to do with the good interface force between the matrix and interface when interleaving by CTFs with MWCNTs loading of 10 wt%. This led to uniform dispersion of MWCNTs, thereby improving the interface. On the other hand, laminates interleaved by CTFs with MWCNTs loading of 15 wt% showed smaller improvement in interfacial compatibility and forces by nanoparticles. Similarly, the agglomeration probability of carbon nanotubes between or around the interface of fiber-matrix was also high. Therefore, agglomeration of nanoparticles did not prevent delamination, leading to decreased interlayer fracture toughness.



<span id="page-13-0"></span>Fig. 11 Mode I fracture toughness- $(a-a_0)$  curves obtained for composites with different interlayers

## **5 Mode II Interlaminar Fracture Toughness**

The Mode II interlaminar fracture toughness tests were also carried out on all four groups of samples. Figure [13](#page-14-0) shows a typical ENF test load–displacement curve, and Fig. [14](#page-14-1) displays calculated values of mode II fracture toughness. Obviously, laminates interleaved by CTFs with MWCNTs loadings of 5, 10 and 15 wt% revealed signifcantly greater loading forces than control samples (Fig. [13](#page-14-0)).



<span id="page-13-1"></span>**Fig. 12** Comparison of Mode I fracture toughness and resistance for composites containing various MWC-NTs loadings



<span id="page-14-0"></span>**Fig. 13** Typical load–displacement curves obtained by ENF tests for composites with interlayers containing diferent MWCNTs loadings (5, 10, and 15 wt%)

Note that laminates interleaved by CTFs with MWCNTs loading of 10 wt% showed maximum force. Mode II fracture toughness improved in presence of hybrid flms, and may also enhance the interface between the resin and matrix. The Mode II interlayer fracture toughness of control sample was estimated to  $0.296 \text{ kJ/m}^2$  (Fig. [14](#page-14-1)). Laminates interleaved by CTFs with MWCNTs loading of 10 wt% displayed maximum enhancement of  $G_{\text{HC}}$  (0.436 kJ/m<sup>2</sup>) with a percentage improvement of 47%. Friction between the layer



<span id="page-14-1"></span>**Fig. 14** Mode II interlaminar fracture toughness  $(G<sub>HC</sub>)$  of composites laminates prepared with interlayers containing diferent MWCNTs loadings

 $\bigcirc$  Springer

subjected to delamination and presence of hackles were the undelaying mechanisms behind Mode II interlaminar fracture toughness [\[39\]](#page-20-13). The addition of nanohybrid film rendered the interface of fber-matrix rougher. This, in turn, led to better resistance to plastic deformation caused by shear force and interlayer delamination. Therefore, the failure occurred under greater shear force when compared to control sample. However, further increase in MWCNTs content in intercalated flm led to agglomeration in the matrix, thereby generating a local stress concentration point and damage under lower loads. Therefore, Mode II interlaminar fracture toughness reduced for laminates interleaved by CTFs with MWCNTs loadings above 10 wt%.

### **6 Fracture Toughness Mechanism**

To analyze the efect of CTFs on interlaminar fracture mechanism, the fracture surface was observed by SEM. Figure [15](#page-16-0) presents SEM images of fractured surfaces of control sample and laminates interleaved by CTFs with MWCNTs loading of 10 wt% under Mode I testing conditions. The control sample displayed a delaminated surface with smooth interface (Fig. [15](#page-16-0)(a, b)). Also, only a few resins adhered to the surface, indicating typical failure mode of brittle fracture characteristics. The fbers could easily be pulled out of the matrix, suggesting weak interface bonding.

Delaminated surface of laminates interleaved by CTFs with MWCNTs loading of 10 wt% is provided in Fig. [15\(](#page-16-0)c-h). The low magnification image showed a very rough interface (Fig. [15](#page-16-0) (c, d)). Moreover, some convex torn small pieces of hybrid flms adhered to the matrix surface, signifcantly improving the fber surface. The good interface force between the flm and epoxy matrix led to more absorption of fracture energy by the plastic deformation of the flm during stress. Meanwhile, the protruding part challenged crack expansion, thereby defecting and moving laterally. This, in turn, would consume more energy to promote crack expansion and increase the interlaminar fracture toughness.

Higher magnifcation images of the interface between the flm and epoxy matrix are displayed in Fig. [15](#page-16-0)(e–h). Phase separation could be seen in Fig. 15(e, f). The fracture surfaces of the flm and epoxy matrix presented irregular morphologies, and nanophase separation structure formed during the curing of the epoxy resin. The phase separation structure did not only maintain the mechanical properties of the matrix but also improve its toughness [[40](#page-20-14)].

In Fig. [15](#page-16-0)g, the matrix and MWCNTs looked very well combined. Besides, MWCNTs were covered by the resin, and many were pulled out of the matrix. This led to the consumption of more energy during the drawing process. MWCNTs subjected to drawing displayed bridging effect between the fiber and matrix, mechanically linking the interface. The bridging efect improved the interlaminar fracture toughness by increasing the resistance to crack growth.

SEM images of Mode II fractured surfaces of the control sample and laminates interleaved by CTFs with MWCNTs loading of 10 wt% are shown in Fig. [16.](#page-17-0) Fiber bundle exhibited small amounts of residual resin with smooth and fat fracture surface of resin matrix (Fig.  $16(a, b)$  $16(a, b)$ ), suggesting weak interface bonding between the carbon fber and epoxy resin. The fracture surface of laminates interleaved by CTFs with MWCNTs loading of  $10wt\%$  is provided in Fig. [16](#page-17-0) (c, d). Compared to control sample, the interface between the fber and matrix obviously improved by becoming rough and uneven. The concave formation caused by fusion of the resin and matrix led to uneven



<span id="page-16-0"></span>**Fig. 15** SEM images of fracture surfaces: (**a, b**) control sample and (**c-h**) 10wt% MWCNTs/PES flm intercalated laminate after DCB testing



<span id="page-17-0"></span>**Fig. 16** SEM images of fracture surfaces: (**a,b**) control sample and (**c-f**) 10 wt% MWCNTs/PES flm intercalated laminate sample after ENF test

interface. As a result, the hierarchical structure would resist crack growth and aggregation caused by shear force, thereby producing more resistance and consuming more energy. Also, hole-like structure with underneath fber tow was observed in Fig. [16](#page-17-0)d. Thus, good interface was formed between the flm and composite material. Many steps-like fracture surfaces and plastic fracture surfaces were also seen in hybrid flm fragments. These fracture surfaces and plastic fracture surfaces would inhibit crack growth. During fracture process, the cracks in the interlaminar area forward in the zigzag oblique direction, thereby increasing the path of cracks and consuming energy. Further expansion would require more energy and improve fracture toughness.

The high magnifcation images Fig. [16](#page-17-0) (e, f) showed many pulled out and fractured MWCNTs. This, in turn, generated plastic deformation on the nearby interface, leading to better resistance to polymerization of microcracks. This made the fnal formation and transfer of cracks more difficult, thereby improving Mode II interlayer fracture toughness.

## **7 Conclusions**

MWCNT/PES hybrid flms were successfully prepared by solution casting method. The electrical properties of the as-obtained MWCNT/PES hybrid flms were tested. The results showed that the electrical properties of MWCNT/PES hybrid flms increased with loading amounts of MWCNTs. However, the change decreased for MWCNTs loadings above 10 wt%. CTFs were then inserted into the prepreg to prepare composite laminates, and their electrical and mechanical properties were tested. Compared to control sample, the electrical properties of composite samples with intercalation increased along the X, transverse (Y) and through-thickness (Z) directions at rates of 19%, 104% and 82.5%, respectively. The testing of the mechanical properties revealed Mode I fracture toughness  $(G<sub>IC</sub>)$  reaching as high as  $0.414 \text{ kJ/m}^2$ , equivalent to  $206\%$  increase. Also, the highest value of fracture impedance (G<sub>IR</sub>) was recorded as 0.891 kJ/m<sup>2</sup>, equivalent to 84% increase. For Mode II interlaminar fracture toughness (G<sub>IIC</sub>), the maximum value was estimated to 0.436 kJ/m<sup>2</sup>, equivalent to 47% increase. The rise in fracture toughness was related to improvement in interface between CF/EP system, as well as enhancement in bonding force of the interface in presence of hybrid flm. Thus, cracks expended more energy during interface growth, thereby improving the fracture toughness. In sum, these fndings look promising for future fabrication of carbon fber composite materials for the aerospace industry with simultaneously improved electrical and mechanical properties.

**Acknowledgments** This work is supported by International Cooperation Research Program of Chengdu (2019-GH02-00054-HZ), Scientifc Research Starting Project of SWPU (2019QHZ011) and Scientifc Research Foundation for the Returned Overseas Chinese Scholars of Sichuan Province.

## **Compliance with Ethical Standard**

**Conficts of interest** The authors declared that they have no conficts of interest to this work.

## **References**

- <span id="page-18-0"></span>1. Katnam, K.B., Da Silva, L.F.M., Young, T.M.: Bonded repair of composite aircraft structures: A review of scientifc challenges and opportunities. Prog. Aeronaut. Sci. **61**, 26–42 (2013). [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paerosci.2013.03.003) [org/10.1016/j.paerosci.2013.03.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paerosci.2013.03.003)
- <span id="page-18-1"></span>2. Kersiene, N., Raslavicius, L., Kersys, A., Kazys, R., Zukauskas, E.: Energo-Mechanical Evaluation of Damage Growth and Fracture Initiation in Aviation Composite Structures. Polym. Plast. Technol. Eng. **55**(11), 1137–1144 (2016).<https://doi.org/10.1080/03602559.2015.1132452>
- <span id="page-18-2"></span>3. Zhang, D., Ye, L., Deng, S., Zhang, J., Tang, Y., Chen, Y.: CF/EP composite laminates with carbon black and copper chloride for improved electrical conductivity and interlaminar fracture toughness. Compos. Sci. Technol. **72**(3), 412–420 (2012).<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compscitech.2011.12.002>
- <span id="page-19-0"></span>4. Guo, M., Yi, X., Liu, G., Liu, L.: Simultaneously increasing the electrical conductivity and fracture toughness of carbon-fber composites by using silver nanowires-loaded interleaves. Compos. Sci. Technol. **97**, 27–33 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compscitech.2014.03.020>
- <span id="page-19-1"></span>5. Guo, M., Yi, X.: The production of tough, electrically conductive carbon fber composite laminates for use in airframes. Carbon **58**, 241–244 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbon.2013.02.052>
- <span id="page-19-2"></span>6. Li, Y., Zhang, H., Porwal, H., Huang, Z., Bilotti, E., Peijs, T.: Mechanical, electrical and thermal properties of in-situ exfoliated graphene/epoxy nanocomposites. Compos. Part A: Appl. Sci. **95**, 229–236 (2017).<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesa.2017.01.007>
- <span id="page-19-3"></span>7. Garcia, E.J., Wardle, B.L., Hart, A.J., Yamamoto, N.: Fabrication and multifunctional properties of a hybrid laminate with aligned carbon nanotubes grown In Situ. Compos. Sci. Technol. **68**(9), 2034– 2041 (2008).<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compscitech.2008.02.028>
- <span id="page-19-4"></span>8. Thostenson, E., Ren, Z., Chou, T.-W.: Advances in the Science and Technology of Carbon Nanotubes and Their Composites: A Review. Compos. Sci. Technol. **61**(13), 1899–1912 (2001). [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0266-3538(01)00094-X) [doi.org/10.1016/S0266-3538\(01\)00094-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0266-3538(01)00094-X)
- 9. Xiang, D., Wang, L., Tang, Y., Zhao, C., Harkin-Jones, E., Li, Y.: Efect of phase transitions on the electrical properties of polymer/carbon nanotube and polymer/graphene nanoplatelet composites with diferent conductive network structures. Polym. Int. **67**(2), 227–235 (2018). [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1002/pi.5502) [org/10.1002/pi.5502](https://doi.org/10.1002/pi.5502)
- <span id="page-19-5"></span>10. Xiang, D., Guo, J., Kumar, A., Chen, B., Harkin-Jones, E.: Efect of processing conditions on the structure, electrical and mechanical properties of melt mixed high density polyethylene/multiwalled CNT composites in compression molding. Mater. Test. **59**(2), 136–147 (2017). [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.3139/120.110974) [org/10.3139/120.110974](https://doi.org/10.3139/120.110974)
- <span id="page-19-6"></span>11. Chou, T.-W., Gao, L., Thostenson, E.T., Zhang, Z., Byun, J.-H.: An assessment of the science and technology of carbon nanotube-based fbers and composites. Compos. Sci. Technol. **70**(1), 1–19 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compscitech.2009.10.004>
- <span id="page-19-7"></span>12. Kim, Y., Shin, T., Choi, H., Kwon, J., Chung, Y.-C., Yoon, H.: Electrical conductivity of chemically modifed multiwalled carbon nanotube/epoxy composites. Carbon **43**, 23–30 (2005). [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbon.2004.08.015) [doi.org/10.1016/j.carbon.2004.08.015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbon.2004.08.015)
- <span id="page-19-8"></span>13. Garnier, C., Pastor, M.-L., Eyma, F., Lorrain, B.: The detection of aeronautical defects in situ on composite structures using Non Destructive Testing. Compos. Struct. **93**(5), 1328–1336 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compstruct.2010.10.017>
- <span id="page-19-9"></span>14. Baker, A., Gunnion, A.J., Wang, J.: On the Certifcation of Bonded Repairs to Primary Composite Aircraft Components. J. Adhes. **91**(1–2), 4–38 (2015). [https://doi.org/10.1080/00218464.](https://doi.org/10.1080/00218464.2014.883315) [2014.883315](https://doi.org/10.1080/00218464.2014.883315)
- <span id="page-19-10"></span>15. Kostopoulos, V., Baltopoulos, A., Karapappas, P., Vavouliotis, A., Paipetis, A.: Impact and afterimpact properties of carbon fbre reinforced composites enhanced with multi-wall carbon nanotubes. Compos. Sci. Technol. **70**(4), 553–563 (2010). [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compscitech.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compscitech.2009.11.023) [2009.11.023](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compscitech.2009.11.023)
- <span id="page-19-11"></span>16. Garg, A.C.: Delamination—a damage mode in composite structures. Eng. Fract. Mech. **29**(5), 557– 584 (1988). [https://doi.org/10.1016/0013-7944\(88\)90181-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0013-7944(88)90181-6)
- <span id="page-19-12"></span>17. Sela, N., Ishai, O.: Interlaminar fracture toughness and toughening of laminated composite materials: a review. Compos. **20**(5), 423–435 (1989). [https://doi.org/10.1016/0010-4361\(89\)90211-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0010-4361(89)90211-5)
- <span id="page-19-13"></span>18. Hojo, M., Ando, T., Tanaka, M., Adachi, T., Shojiro, O., Endo, Y.: Modes I and II interlaminar fracture toughness and fatigue delamination of CF/epoxy laminates with self-same epoxy interleaf. Int. J. Fatigue. **28**(10), 1154–1165 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfatigue.2006.02.004>
- <span id="page-19-14"></span>19. Yan, W., Liu, H.-Y., Mai, Y.W.: Numerical study on the mode I delamination toughness of Z-pinned laminates. Compos. Sci. Technol. **63**(10), 1481–1493 (2003). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0266-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0266-3538(03)00167-2) [3538\(03\)00167-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0266-3538(03)00167-2)
- <span id="page-19-15"></span>20. Jain, L., Mai, Y.W.: Determination of mode II delamination toughness of stitched laminated composites. Compos. Sci. Technol. **55**(3), 241–253 (1995). [https://doi.org/10.1016/0266-3538\(95\)00089-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0266-3538(95)00089-5)
- <span id="page-19-16"></span>21. Tanzawa, Y., Watanabe, N., Ishikawa, T.: Interlaminar fracture toughness of 3-D orthogonal interlocked fabric composites. Compos. Sci. Technol. **59**, 1261–1270 (1999). [https://doi.org/10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0266-3538(98)00167-5) [S0266-3538\(98\)00167-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0266-3538(98)00167-5)
- <span id="page-19-17"></span>22. Dransfeld, K., Baillie, C., Mai, Y.W.: Improving the delamination resistance of CFRP by stitching—a review. Compos. Sci. Technol. **50**(3), 305–317 (1994). [https://doi.org/10.1016/0266-3538\(94\)90019-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0266-3538(94)90019-1)
- <span id="page-19-18"></span>23. Narducci, F., Pinho, S.T.: Interaction between nacre-like CFRP mesolayers and long-fbre interlayers. Compos. Struct. **200**, 921–928 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compstruct.2018.05.103>
- <span id="page-19-19"></span>24. Li, W., Xiang, D., Wang, L., Harkin-Jones, E., Zhao, C., Wang, B., Li, Y.: Simultaneous enhancement of electrical conductivity and interlaminar fracture toughness of carbon fber/epoxy

composites using plasma-treated conductive thermoplastic flm interleaves. RSC. Adv. **8**(47), 26910–26921 (2018).<https://doi.org/10.1039/c8ra05366a>

- <span id="page-20-0"></span>25. Palazzetti, R., Zucchelli, A., Gualandi, C., Focarete, M.L., Donati, L., Minak, G., Ramakrishna, S.: Infuence of electrospun Nylon 6,6 nanofbrous mats on the interlaminar properties of Gr-epoxy composite laminates. Compos. Struct. **94**(2), 571–579 (2012). [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compstruct.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compstruct.2011.08.019) [2011.08.019](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compstruct.2011.08.019)
- <span id="page-20-1"></span>26. Guo, M., Yi, X., Rudd, C., Liu, X.: Preparation of highly electrically conductive carbon-fber composites with high interlaminar fracture toughness by using silver-plated interleaves. Compos. Sci. Technol. **176**, 29–36 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compscitech.2019.03.014>
- <span id="page-20-2"></span>27. Zheng, W., Yao, Z., Lin, H., Zhou, J., Cai, H., Qi, T.: Improved fracture toughness of carbon fber fabric/epoxy composite laminates using polyether sulfone fbers. High Perform. Polym. **31**(8), 996– 1005 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0954008318812151>
- <span id="page-20-3"></span>28. Xu, H., Tong, X., Zhang, Y., Li, Q., Lu, W.: Mechanical and electrical properties of laminated composites containing continuous carbon nanotube flm interleaves. Compos. Sci. Technol. **127**, 113–118 (2016).<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compscitech.2016.02.032>
- <span id="page-20-4"></span>29. Yu, Y., Zhang, Z., Gan, W., Wang, M., Li, S.: Efect of Polyethersulfone on the Mechanical and Rheological Properties of Polyetherimide-Modifed Epoxy Systems. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. **42**(14), 3250– 3256 (2003).<https://doi.org/10.1021/ie0210309>
- <span id="page-20-5"></span>30. Rajasekaran, R., Alagar, M., Chozhan, C.K.: Efect of polyethersulfone and N, N '-bismaleimido-4,4 '-diphenyl methane on the mechanical and thermal properties of epoxy systems. Express Polym. Lett. **2**(5), 339–348 (2008).<https://doi.org/10.3144/expresspolymlett.2008.40>
- 31. Ying, W.B., Yang, H.S., Moon, D.S., Lee, M.W., Ko, N.Y., Kwak, N.H., Lee, B., Zhu, J., Zhang, R.: Epoxy resins toughened with in situ azide-alkyne polymerized polysulfones. J. Appl. Polym. Sci. **135**(5) (2018). https://doi.org/<https://doi.org/10.1002/app.45790>
- <span id="page-20-6"></span>32. Lee, S.-E., Jeong, E., Lee, M.Y., Lee, M.-K., Lee, Y.-S.: Improvement of the mechanical and thermal properties of polyethersulfone-modifed epoxy composites. J. Ind. Eng. Chem. **33**, 73–79 (2016). [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jiec.2015.09.022) [doi.org/10.1016/j.jiec.2015.09.022](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jiec.2015.09.022)
- <span id="page-20-7"></span>33. Mimura, K., Ito, H., Fujioka, H.: Improvement of thermal and mechanical properties by control of morphologies in PES-modifed epoxy resins. Polymer **41**(12), 4451–4459 (2000). [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0032-3861(99)00700-4) [10.1016/S0032-3861\(99\)00700-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0032-3861(99)00700-4)
- <span id="page-20-8"></span>34. Cheng, C., Chen, Z., Huang, Z., Zhang, C., Tusiime, R., Zhou, J., Sun, Z., Liu, Y., Yu, M., Zhang, H.: Simultaneously improving mode I and mode II fracture toughness of the carbon fber/epoxy composite laminates via interleaved with uniformly aligned PES fber webs. Compos. Part A: Appl. Sci. **129** (2020). https://doi.org[/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesa.2019.105696](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesa.2019.105696)
- <span id="page-20-9"></span>35. Bauhofer, W., Kovacs, J.Z.: A review and analysis of electrical percolation in carbon nanotube polymer composites. Compos. Sci. Technol. **69**(10), 1486–1498 (2009). [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compscitech.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compscitech.2008.06.018) [2008.06.018](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compscitech.2008.06.018)
- <span id="page-20-10"></span>36. Breton, Y., Désarmot, G., Salvetat, J.-P., Delpeux, S., Sinturel, C., Beguin, F., Bonnamy, S.: Mechanical properties of multiwall carbon nanotubes/epoxy composites: Infuence of network morphology. Carbon **42**, 1027–1030 (2004). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbon.2003.12.026>
- <span id="page-20-11"></span>37. Kobashi, K., Ata, S., Yamada, T., Futaba, D.N., Yumura, M., Hata, K.: A dispersion strategy: dendritic carbon nanotube network dispersion for advanced composites. Chem. Sci. **4**(2), 727–733 (2013). [https://](https://doi.org/10.1039/c2sc21266h) [doi.org/10.1039/c2sc21266h](https://doi.org/10.1039/c2sc21266h)
- <span id="page-20-12"></span>38. Wong, D.W.Y., Lin, L., Mcgrail, P.T., Peijs, T., Hogg, P.J.: Improved fracture toughness of carbon fbre/epoxy composite laminates using dissolvable thermoplastic fbres. Compos. Part A: Appl. Sci. **41**(6), 759–767 (2010).<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesa.2010.02.008>
- <span id="page-20-13"></span>39. Seyhan, A.T., Tanoglu, M., Schulte, K.: Mode I and mode II fracture toughness of E-glass non-crimp fabric/carbon nanotube (CNT) modifed polymer based composites. Eng. Fract. Mech. **75**(18), 5151– 5162 (2008).<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engfracmech.2008.08.003>
- <span id="page-20-14"></span>40. Guo, J., Zhang, Q., Gao, L., Zhong, W., Sui, G., Yang, X.: Signifcantly improved electrical and interlaminar mechanical properties of carbon fber laminated composites by using special carbon nanotube pre-dispersion mixture. Compos. Part A: Appl. Sci. **95**, 294–303 (2017). [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesa.2017.01.021) [compositesa.2017.01.021](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesa.2017.01.021)

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.