

# **Counting Central Configurations at the Bifurcation Points**

Ya-Lun Tsai<sup>1</sup>

Received: 31 August 2015 / Accepted: 12 January 2016 / Published online: 19 January 2016 © Springer Science+Business Media Dordrecht 2016

**Abstract** Enumeration problems for the central configurations of the Newtonian *n* body problem are hard for n > 3 in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and n > 4 in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . These are problems in finding the numbers of classes of central configurations for all the masses in a parameter space of positive dimensions. Many results are obtained generically. That is, rigorous proofs of the counting problems only exists for parameters not at the bifurcation points. For the bifurcation points, only numerical evidences are provided due to the complexity of the problems.

In this paper, we propose an algorithm that rigorously proves results on counting central configurations for all masses in one dimensional parameter spaces. Especially, we provide an approach to find all bifurcation points and count real roots at those points, known only implicitly. A spatial restricted (4 + 1)-body problem and a planar (1 + 3)-body problem are successfully applied by our method. All results except for the equal masses for the restricted (4 + 1)-body problem are new at the bifurcation points.

**Keywords** Central configurations · Bifurcations · Parametric polynomial systems · Symbolic computations

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010) 70F10 · 13P10 · 68W30

# **1** Introduction

Central configurations play an important role in the study of the Newtonian *n*-body problem. Given a initial position of a central configuration in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with zero initial velocity, the *n* particles accelerate toward the center of mass in such a way that the configuration collapses homothetically. If we have initial positions of a central configuration in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and proper initial velocities, each particle will traverse an elliptical orbit around the center of mass as in the

⊠ Y.-L. Tsai yltsai@nchu.edu.tw

Department of Applied Mathematics, National Chung Hsing University, 145 Xingda Rd., South Dist., Taichung City 402, Taiwan

Kepler problem and the configuration remains similar to the initial configuration throughout the motion, varying only in size.

Finding all central configurations is challenging in the field of celestial mechanics. They are completely known only for n = 2, 3 and for configurations in  $\mathbb{R}^1$  and  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  for all n. For n = 2, every configuration of two bodies is central. Counting up to rotations and translations, we obtain one central configuration. For n = 3, there are five central configurations. Three of them are collinear configurations found by Euler and the others are the equilateral triangle discovered by Lagrange. Considering n bodies in  $\mathbb{R}^1$ , there are  $\frac{n!}{2}$  central configurations [18]. On the other hand, the only central configuration in  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  is when the n bodies form a regular simplex [23].

For n > 3, only limited results are known about central configurations in  $\mathbb{R}^{\ell}$ , where  $1 < \ell < n - 1$ . For n = 4, Hampton and Moeckel [16] proved the finiteness of central configurations in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  for any choices of four positive masses. For n = 5 with generic choices of masses, the finiteness of central configurations in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  was proved by Albouy and Kaloshin [2], and the finiteness of central configurations in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  was proved by Hampton and Jensen [15]. For n > 5, we do not even know if the number of central configurations is finite. Smale's 6-th problem in the list of his 18 mathematical problems for the 21 century [24] asked if the number of central configurations in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is finite for all n. It is still open for n > 5.

Even in the cases of 4 bodies in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and 5 bodies in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , we do not have exact counts for the numbers of central configurations for all systems where finiteness are proved. Restricted cases where some of the masses are zero and/or some of the non zero masses are equal are among the few successful examples. There are two kinds of restricted cases for some of the masses being zero. One is when only one of the masses equals to zero, called the restricted (n + 1)-body problem. Another is when all but one of the masses approach zero and considering the limiting central configurations. This is called the (1 + n)-body problem.

The restricted (2 + 1)-body problem is well studied and also has five central configurations as in the 3-body problem. The number of central configurations of the restricted (3 + 1)-body problem in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is shown numerically to be 8, 9 or 10 [1, 20, 22]. The results are recently proved in [3, 4]. To our understanding, the paper in [4] is among the few dealing with the counting problems where masses are also on the bifurcation curve. They prove rigorously that, on the bifurcation curve, there are nine central configurations.

In this paper, we generalize the case of equal masses of the restricted (4 + 1)-body problem in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  and consider two special cases, where one mass parameter is involved. We use different approach to count the numbers of central configurations and obtain 3, 4, 5 and 2, 3, 4 for the zero mass on different lines of symmetry. In particular, the numbers of such central configurations are four and three at the bifurcation points.

The cases of the (1 + n)-body problems are first considered by Maxwell when studying the rings of Saturn. For such problems, we have one dominant mass, assuming 1, and *n* small masses,  $\mu_i \varepsilon$ . Let  $\varepsilon \to 0$  and consider the limiting central configurations. Such central configurations have the body of the dominant mass at the center of a circle in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  which passes through the bodies of infinitesimal masses [9]. There are two central configurations of the (1+2)-body problem, one is collinear and the other one is the equilateral triangle [10].

The (1 + 3)-body problem is studied in [5, 6]. In [5], the special cases of  $\mu_1 = \mu_2$  are considered. There numerical evidences shows the number of central configurations varies from 5 to 7. In [6], the general cases are studied and generic results of 5 or 7 central configurations are proved, while cases on the bifurcation curve are still in lack of rigorous proofs. It turns out that rigorous counts at two bifurcation points in the case of  $\mu_1 = \mu_2$  are missing. In this paper, we use our method to show that, in the special cases of  $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ , the number

of central configurations are indeed 5, 6, or 7. In particular, at two bifurcation points, the numbers of central configurations are five and six.

In this paper, some computations involve Groebner basis and resultants, which are exact symbolic computations. We use Mathematica 10, to perform such computations. We also use Mathematica to count roots of integral polynomials of one variable by its implemented command "CountRoots" that always give accurate answers as explained in [8]. Therefore, all of our arguments in this paper are rigorous. In [26], we provide a link for Mathematica notebooks containing results of our computations.

# 2 Two Restricted Problems and Main Results

The Newtonian *n*-body problem studies the dynamics of *n* particles with masses  $m_i > 0$  and positions  $q_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , moving according to the Newton's laws of motion:

$$m_{j}\ddot{q}_{j} = \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{m_{i}m_{j}(q_{i} - q_{j})}{\|q_{i} - q_{j}\|^{3}}, \quad 1 \le j \le n.$$
(1)

**Definition 1** A configuration  $(q_1, \ldots, q_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{dn} \setminus \Delta$  is central if there exists  $\lambda < 0$  such that

$$\lambda(q_j - c) = \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{m_i(q_i - q_j)}{\|q_i - q_j\|^3}, \quad 1 \le j \le n,$$
(2)

where  $c = \frac{1}{M}(m_1q_1 + \dots + m_nq_n), M = m_1 + \dots + m_n, \text{ and } \Delta = \{q_i = q_j, i \neq j\}.$ 

# **2.1** A Restricted (4 + 1)-Body Problem in $\mathbb{R}^3$

Let five particles have masses  $m_1, \ldots, m_4 > 0$  and  $m_5 = 0$ . The four particles with positive masses form a central configuration by themselves according to (2). Considering the central configuration in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , we obtain a regular tetrahedron [23]. Fixing  $q_1, \ldots, q_4$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  such that the length of the sides of the regular tetrahedron is 1 and assuming the total mass M = 1, without lost of generality, we obtain  $\lambda = -1$  in (2). The equation in (2) for the zero mass become  $\partial_x G = \partial_y G = \partial_z G = 0$ , where

$$G = \frac{1}{2} \|q_5 - c\|^2 + \frac{m_1}{\|q_5 - q_1\|} + \frac{m_2}{\|q_5 - q_2\|} + \frac{m_3}{\|q_5 - q_3\|} + \frac{m_4}{\|q_5 - q_4\|}$$

By the identity,

$$||q_5 - c||^2 - \sum_{i=1}^4 m_i ||q_5 - q_i||^2 = ||c||^2 - \sum_{i=1}^4 m_i ||q_i||^2,$$

we change the coordinate of  $q_5$  to  $r_i = ||q_5 - q_i||$  for i = 1, ..., 4. So, we obtain

$$G = \frac{1}{2} \left( m_1 r_1^2 + m_2 r_2^2 + m_3 r_3^2 + m_4 r_4^2 \right) + \frac{m_1}{r_1} + \frac{m_2}{r_2} + \frac{m_3}{r_3} + \frac{m_4}{r_4} + C$$

for some constant *C* depending on *c*. With new coordinates, we impose a restriction for the mutual distances of five points in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  given by the Cayley–Menger determinant below [19].

Therefore, we have the equation below for  $r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4$ .

0	1	1	1	1	1	
1	0	1	1	1	$r_1^2$	
1	1	0	1	1	$r_2^2$	-F-0
1	1	1	0	1	$r_{3}^{2}$	= r = 0.
1	1	1	1	0	$r_4^2$	
1	$r_{1}^{2}$	$r_{2}^{2}$	$r_{3}^{2}$	$r_{4}^{2}$	0	

Now we find the critical points of G restricted to F = 0. By the Lagrange multiplier technique, we obtain the following equations for a multiplier  $\omega$ .

$$\partial_{r_i} G = \omega \partial_{r_i} F, \quad \forall i = 1, 2, 3, 4,$$
  
 $F = 0.$ 
(3)

Eliminating  $\omega$  and clearing the denominators, we obtain polynomial systems from (3). For the case of equal masses, we have the following results [17, 21].

**Proposition 1** When  $m_1 = m_2 = m_3 = m_4$ , there are 5 central configurations for the zero mass locating at the line with  $r_i = r_j$ , and  $r_k = r_\ell$ , for distinct  $i < j, k < \ell$  in  $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . There are 4 central configurations for the zero mass locating at the line with  $r_i = r_j = r_k$  for i < j < k in  $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . If the zero mass is not on any of the 7 lines of symmetry, there are no central configurations.

To generalize the problem, we consider two special cases. The first case is when  $m_1 = m_3 = k$ ,  $m_2 = m_4 = \frac{1}{2} - k$ . In this case, we consider  $r_1 = r_3 = x$  and  $r_2 = r_4 = y$  for simplicity. The second case is when  $m_1 = m_2 = m_3 = k$ ,  $m_4 = 1 - 3k$ . In this case, we consider  $r_1 = r_2 = r_3 = x$  and  $r_4 = y$ .

For the first case, we have the following system.

$$\begin{cases} f_1 = (1-2k)x^3 - (2-4k)x^5 + (2-4k)x^3y^2 - 2ky^3 \\ -4kx^2y^3 - (1-4k)x^3y^3 + 2x^5y^3 + 4ky^5 - 2x^3y^5, \\ f_2 = 3 - 4x^2 + 4x^4 - 4y^2 - 8x^2y^2 + 4y^4. \end{cases}$$
(4)

**Theorem 1** The system (4) has 3, 4, and 5 positive zeros in  $(0, \alpha) \cup (\frac{1}{2} - \alpha, \frac{1}{2})$ , at  $k = \alpha, \frac{1}{2} - \alpha$ , and in  $(\alpha, \frac{1}{2} - \alpha)$ , respectively, where  $\alpha$  is approximately 0.226696. Therefore, in the restricted (4 + 1)-body problem in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , there are 3, 4, or 5 central configurations for the zero mass on the line of symmetry shown in Fig. 1 when  $m_1 = m_3$ ,  $m_2 = m_4$ .

For the second case, we have the following system.

$$\begin{cases} f_3 = (1-3k)x^3 - (1-3k)x^5 + (1-3k)x^3y^2 - ky^3 \\ -3kx^2y^3 - (1-4k)x^3y^3 + x^5y^3 + 3ky^5 - x^3y^5, \\ f_4 = 3 - 6x^2 + 3x^4 - 2y^2 - 6x^2y^2 + 3y^4, \\ f_5 = -1 + (-1+x^2)z. \end{cases}$$
(5)

🖄 Springer

#### **Fig. 1** $m_1 = m_3, m_2 = m_4$



**Fig. 2**  $m_1 = m_2 = m_3$ 

Note that in system (5), we impose an extra variable z and the equation  $f_5$  to remove the singularities when x = 1, where the zero mass particle collides with the particle of mass 1 - 3k.

**Theorem 2** The system (5) has 2, 3, and 4 zeros with positive x, y coordinates in  $(0, \beta)$ , at  $k = \beta$ , and in  $(\beta, \frac{1}{3})$ , respectively, where  $\beta$  is approximately 0.246659. Therefore, in the restricted (4+1)-body problem in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , there are 2, 3, or 4 central configurations for the zero mass on the line of symmetry shown in Fig. 2 when  $m_1 = m_2 = m_3$ .

*Remark 1* In Theorem 1, the number of central configurations 5 is obtained as in Proposition 1 by letting  $k = \frac{1}{4}$ . Similarly, in Theorem 2, the number of central configurations 4 is obtained as in Proposition 1 by letting  $k = \frac{1}{4}$ .

# **2.2** The (1 + 3)-Body Problem in $\mathbb{R}^2$

Now, let  $q_i = (x_i, y_i) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $r_{i,j} = ||q_i - q_j||$  be the distance between particles *i* and *j*. Let  $\Delta_{i,j,k}$  denote the oriented area of the triangle with vertices  $q_i, q_j$ , and  $q_k$ . That is,

$$\Delta_{i,j,k} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{vmatrix} x_i & y_i & 1 \\ x_j & y_j & 1 \\ x_k & y_k & 1 \end{vmatrix}.$$

We have the following characterization for non collinear central configurations [14].

**Proposition 2** Let  $(q_1, ..., q_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} \setminus \Delta$  be a non collinear planar configuration. Then (2) are satisfied if and only if

$$\sum_{k=1, k \neq i, j}^{n} m_k \Delta_{i, j, k} \left( \frac{1}{r_{ik}^3} - \frac{1}{r_{jk}^3} \right) = 0, \quad 1 \le i < j \le n.$$

Let  $(q_1(\epsilon), q_2(\epsilon), q_3(\epsilon), q_4(\epsilon))$  be a central configuration of the planar 4-body problem, with  $m_1 = \mu_1 \epsilon, m_2 = \mu_2 \epsilon, m_3 = \mu_3 \epsilon, m_4 = 1$ . If the limit of each  $q_i(\epsilon)$  exists as  $\epsilon$  approaches zero such that none of the two limiting positions collide, we call the limiting configuration a non-collision central configuration of the planar (1+3)-body problem. We have the following well known results [9].

**Proposition 3** All the central configurations of the planar (1 + n)-body problem lie on a circle centered at the particle with positive mass.

To find the non-collision central configurations of the planar (1 + 3)-body problem, we can apply Proposition 2 and let  $\epsilon$  approaches zero. By Proposition 3 we can then use, without lost of generality,

$$q_1 = \left(\frac{1 - r_3^2}{1 + r_3^2}, \frac{2r_3}{1 + r_3^2}\right), \qquad q_2 = \left(\frac{1 - r_4^2}{1 + r_4^2}, \frac{2r_4}{1 + r_4^2}\right), \qquad q_3 = (-1, 0), \qquad q_4 = (0, 0)$$

in the limiting equations. Here we consider  $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = 1$  and  $\mu_3 = k > 0$ . Also, we impose two more variables  $r_1, r_2$  such that  $\frac{1}{r_1^2} = 1 + r_3^2$ , and  $\frac{1}{r_2^2} = 1 + r_4^2$ . Then, we obtain the following system with four equations, four variables, and one parameter.

$$\begin{cases} f_{6} = r_{1}r_{3}^{2}r_{4} - 8r_{1}r_{2}^{2}r_{3}^{2}r_{4} - 2r_{1}r_{3}r_{4}^{2} + 16r_{1}r_{2}^{2}r_{3}r_{4}^{2} + r_{1}r_{4}^{3} - 8r_{1}r_{2}^{3}r_{4}^{3} \\ +k(1 - 8r_{1}^{3}r_{2}^{3}r_{3}^{3} + r_{3}r_{4} + 24r_{1}^{3}r_{2}^{3}r_{3}^{2}r_{4} - 8r_{1}^{3}r_{2}^{3}r_{4}^{3}r_{4} - 24r_{1}^{3}r_{2}^{3}r_{3}r_{4}^{2} \\ + 24r_{1}^{3}r_{2}^{3}r_{3}^{3}r_{4}^{2} + 8r_{1}^{3}r_{2}^{3}r_{4}^{3} - 24r_{1}^{3}r_{2}^{3}r_{3}^{2}r_{4}^{3} + 8r_{1}^{3}r_{2}^{3}r_{3}r_{4}^{4} + 8r_{1}^{3}r_{2}^{3}r_{3}r_{4}^{3} + 8r_{1}^{3}r_{2}^{3}r_{3}r_{4}^{3} + 8r_{1}^{3}r_{2}^{3}r_{3}r_{4}^{4} + 24r_{1}^{3}r_{2}^{3}r_{3}r_{4}^{2} + 8r_{1}^{3}r_{2}^{3}r_{4}^{3} - 24r_{1}^{3}r_{2}^{3}r_{3}^{2}r_{4}^{3} + 8r_{1}^{3}r_{2}^{3}r_{3}r_{4}^{4} + 8r_{1}^{3}r_{2}^{3}r_{3}r_{4}^{3} + 8r_{1}^{3}r_{2}^{3}r_{3}r_{4}^{4} + 8r_{1}^{3}r_{2}^{3}r_{3}r_{4}^{3} + 8r_{1}^{3}r_{2}^{3}r_{3}r_{4}^{4} + 8r_{1}^{3}r_{2}^{3}r_{3}r_{4}^{4} + 8r_{1}^{3}r_{2}^{3}r_{3}r_{4}^{3} + 8r_{1}^{3}r_{2}^{3}r_{3}r_{4}^{4} + 8r_{1}^{3}r_{2}^{3}r_{3}r_{4}^{3} + 8r_{1}^{3}r_{2}^{3}r_{3}r_{4}^{4} + 8r_{1}^{3}r_{2}^{3}r_{3}r_{4}^{3} + 8r_{1}^{3}r_{2}^{3}r_{3}r_{4}^{4} + 8r_{1}^{3}r_{2}^{3}r_{3}r_{4}^{4} + 8r_{1}^{3}r_{2}^{3}r_{3}r_{4}^{4} + 8r_{1}^{3}r_{2}^{3}r_{3}r_{4}^{3} + 8r_{1}^{3}r_{2}^{3}r_{3}r_{4}^{4} + 8r_{1}^{3}r_{2}^{3}r_{4}^{4} + 8r_{1}^{3}r_{2}^{3}r_{4}^{4} + 8r_{1}^{3}r_{2}^{3}r_{4}^{4} + 8r_{1}^{3}r_{2}^{3}r_{4}^{4} + 8r_{1}^{3}r_{2}^{3}r_{4}^{4} + 8r_{1}^{3}r_{4}^{3} + 8r_{1}^{3} +$$

The geometric meanings of  $r_3$ ,  $r_4$  are the y-coordinates of the points of intersection between the y-axis and the line segments connecting  $q_3$  and  $q_1$ ,  $q_2$ , respectively. Denote the

#### **Fig. 3** The 1 + 3 body problem

intersection points by  $p_1$  and  $p_2$ . Then  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  are the inverse of the lengths of the hypotenuse of the triangles  $p_1q_3q_4$  and  $p_2q_3q_4$ , respectively. Figure 3 shows the geometry. The goal here is to count the number of common zeros of the system (6) with  $0 < r_1, r_2 < 1$  and  $r_3 > r_4$  without lost of generality. (Note that it is easy to see there are no common zeros for  $r_1 = 1$  or  $r_2 = 1$  if 0 < k.)

# **Theorem 3** The system (6) has 5, 6, and 7 zeros with $0 < r_1, r_2 < 1$ and $r_3 > r_4$ in $(0, a) \cup [b, \infty)$ , at s = a, and in (a, b), respectively, where a, b are approximately 0.89616 and 1.42385. Therefore, for the planar (1+3)-body problem with two equal infinitesimal masses, there are 5, 6, or 7 central configurations.

*Remark* 2 In [6], the number of central configurations 5 or 7 on  $(0, \infty) \setminus \{a, b\}$  are proved rigorously. As in [5], only numerical evidences are given for the numbers of central configurations at *a* and *b*.

# **3** Counting Algorithm

In this section, we present our method of counting real common zeros of a parametric polynomial system  $\mathcal{F} = \{f_1, \ldots, f_n\}$ , where  $f_i \in \mathbb{Q}[k][x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ . Here  $\mathbb{Q}[k]$  is the domain of the coefficients.

We define the *specialization* at a point  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ . It is a ring homomorphism  $\varphi^r$ :  $\mathbb{Q}[k][x_1, \ldots, x_n] \to \mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  such that  $\varphi^a(f)$  is the real polynomial after substituting the parameter with the value r in f. We denote  $\varphi^r(f) = f^r$  and  $\varphi^r(\mathcal{F}) = \{f^r | f \in \mathcal{F}\} = \mathcal{F}^r$ .

The enumeration problem of the system  $\mathcal{F}$  is to count the number of common zeros in  $\mathcal{X}$  of  $\mathcal{F}^r$  for all  $r \in \mathcal{P}$ . Here  $\mathcal{X} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | p_1(x) > 0, \dots, p_\ell(x) > 0\}$ , where  $p_j$  are polynomials with integral coefficients and  $\mathcal{P}$  is an open interval in  $\mathbb{R}$ , called the *parameter space*. (For example, if n = 2,  $p_1 = x_1$ ,  $p_2 = x_2$ , and  $\mathcal{P} = (0, \infty)$ , we are to count the common zeros of the system in the first quadrant for all positive parameters.) To do so, we proceed as the following.



- 1. View  $\mathcal{F}$  in  $\mathbb{Q}[x_1, \ldots, x_n, k]$  and compute the Groebner basis  $\mathcal{G}$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  with a *block order*.
- 2. View  $\mathcal{G}$  in  $\mathbb{Q}(k)[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ , where  $\mathbb{Q}(k)$  is the field of rational polynomials in k, and compute the Hermite matrices  $H_j := H(\mathcal{F}, P_j)$  for all  $P_j$ , where  $P_j$  is any product of a subset from  $\{p_1, \ldots, p_\ell\}$ . There are  $2^\ell$  of them including of the product of no polynomials  $P_0 := 1$ .
- 3. From  $H_0$ , we obtain all points  $a \in \mathcal{P}$  where the number of real zeros of  $\mathcal{F}$  may change. Those points are zeros of a polynomial g, called the *bifurcation polynomial*, which is the numerator of  $H_0$ .
- 4. Call the zeros  $a_i$  of g the *bifurcation points* and pick a rational sample point  $a \in (a_i, a_{i+1})$ . Use Hermite root counting theorem to count the number of zeros in  $\mathcal{X}$  by computing signatures of  $H(\mathcal{F}^a, P_j)$  for all j.

The number of zeros in  $\mathcal{X}$  is a constant in  $(a_i, a_{i+1})$  if  $p_j \neq 0$  for all j at the common zeros. Therefore, generic results are obtained. Next, we focus on parameters at the bifurcation points  $a_i$ 's. Let  $H_j^i := H(\mathcal{F}^{a_i}, P_j)$  and d be the dimension of  $H_j$ .

- 5. For each  $a_i$  and  $H_j$ , obtain the rank  $r_{i,j}$  of  $H_j^i$  from the principal minors of  $H_j$ . For each  $H_j$ , denote the leading principal minors of order *t* by  $D_{j,t}$ . It turns out  $D_{j,t}^{a_i} = 0$  for all  $t > r_{i,j}$ , if  $r_{i,j} < d$ .
- 6. For each  $a_i$ , we pick an interval  $(a_{i\ell}, a_{ir})$  containing it such that, for all j and  $t \le r_{i,j}$ , if  $D_{j,t}$  is not identically equal to zero, then there is no zeros in  $(a_{i\ell}, a_{ir})$  and there is only one zero,  $a_i$ , of  $D_{j,t}$  for all  $t > r_{i,j}$ , if  $r_{i,j} < d$ .
- 7. For each  $a_i$  and  $H_j$ , compute the number of sign changes  $v_{i,j}$  in the list  $\{1, D_{j,1}^{b_i}, \ldots, D_{i,r_i}^{b_i}\}$ , where  $b_i$  can be either  $a_{li}$  or  $a_{ri}$ .
- 8. Obtain the signatures of  $H_j^i$  from  $v_{i,j}$  and  $r_{i,j}$  by the Jacobian theorem and compute the number of common zeros in  $\mathcal{X}$  of  $\mathcal{F}^{a_i}$  again by Hermite root counting theorem.

*Remark 3* By Hermite root counting theorem, we not only count the common zeros of  $\mathcal{F}^{a_i}$ in  $\mathcal{X} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | p_1(x) > 0, \dots, p_\ell(x) > 0\}$ . We also obtain the numbers of common zeros in any of the region  $\{(-1)^{m_1}p_1 > 0, \dots, (-1)^{m_\ell}p_\ell > 0\}$  among the  $2^\ell$  "quadrants".

In the following two subsections, we present tools we used. Moreover, we focus on showing that our counting method is rigorous.

#### 3.1 Steps from (1) to (4): on Finding the Bifurcation Polynomial

Given any polynomial ring over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , a finite set of polynomials in it, a total ordering on monomials, we can symbolically manipulate the given set of polynomials and output the *Groebner basis*, another finite set of polynomials, which has many good properties [11]. They generate the same ideal and hence share the same set of common zeros. For certain orders on monomials, the Groebner base are much easier to solve than the original system.

Consider the quotient algebra A over the filed  $\mathbb{C}$  of the ideal generated by the polynomials, a Groebner basis can verify if the polynomial system has finitely many complex common zeros by showing the dimension of A as a vector space over  $\mathbb{C}$  is finite. In this case, the dimension gives an upper bound to the number of zeros. Moreover, we can obtain a basis of A and an algorithm to express any element in A with this basis. Therefore, it becomes possible to compute the *Hermite matrix* that is used to count the number of real roots.

**Definition 2** Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{f_1, \ldots, f_n\} \subset \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \ldots, x_n], I = \langle \mathcal{F} \rangle, p \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \text{ and } A = \mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/I \text{ with a basis } \{b_1, \ldots, b_m\}, \text{ the Hermite matrix, denoted by } H(\mathcal{F}, p), \text{ is the } I = \{f_1, \ldots, f_n\} \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/I \text{ with a basis } \{f_1, \ldots, f_n\} \subset \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \ldots, x_n], I = \langle \mathcal{F} \rangle$ 

 $m \times m$  real symmetric matrix with entries  $Trace(L(pb_ib_j))$ , where  $L(pb_ib_j)$  is the linear map on A defined by the left multiplication with  $pb_ib_j$ .

Hermite root counting method is given in the following proposition [7]. In [26], we provide a Mathematica notebook containing the implementation of our efficient algorithm outputting  $H(\mathcal{F}, p)$  given  $\mathcal{F}, p$  and a Groebner basis of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

**Proposition 4** Matrix rank of  $H(\mathcal{F}, p)$  equals to the number of complex roots of  $\mathcal{F}$  with  $p \neq 0$ . Signature of  $H(\mathcal{F}, p)$  equals to the number of real roots with p > 0 minus the number of real roots with p < 0.

If we are to count real roots in  $\mathcal{X} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | p_1(x) > 0, \dots, p_\ell(x) > 0\}$ , where  $p_j$  are polynomials, we need to compute  $2^\ell$  Hermite matrices  $H(\mathcal{F}, P_j)$  for all  $P_j$ , where  $P_j$  is any product of a subset from  $\{p_1, \dots, p_\ell\}$ , including of the product of elements in the empty set  $P_0 = 1$ . Then solving a linear system of  $2^\ell$  variables, we obtain the numbers of real roots in all of the  $2^\ell$  regions  $\{(-1)^{m_1}p_1 > 0, \dots, (-1)^{m_\ell}p_\ell > 0\}$ .

In our situations, parameters are involved. Extra cares should be taken into consideration. Given  $\mathcal{F} = \{f_1, \ldots, f_n\} \subset \mathbb{Q}[k][x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ , a polynomial system with coefficients in the domain  $\mathbb{Q}[k]$ . The first goal is to compute a set of polynomials  $\mathcal{G}$  in  $\mathbb{Q}[k][x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  such that the *specialization* at any point  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathcal{G}^r$  is a Groebner basis for  $\mathcal{F}^r$  in  $\mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ . Here, we adopt the following proposition to achieve this goal for almost all  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  [13].

**Proposition 5** View  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathbb{Q}[x_1, ..., x_n, k]$ , consider any total ordering where any monomial involving one of the  $x_i$ 's is greater than all monomials in k, called a block order, and compute a Groebner basis  $\mathcal{G} = \{g_1, ..., g_s\}$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  with this order. Using the total ordering on the variables  $x_1, ..., x_n$  of the block order, we find the leading terms  $\{h_1, ..., h_s\} \subset \mathbb{Q}[k]$  of  $\mathcal{G}$  in  $\mathbb{Q}[k][x_1, ..., x_n]$ . If  $h_i^r \neq 0$  for all i, then  $\mathcal{G}^r$  is a Groebner basis of  $\mathcal{F}^r$ .

Next, we will compute  $H(\mathcal{F}^r, p)$  for all such r where  $h_i^r \neq 0$  for all i. We use the following results whose proofs can be found in [25].

**Proposition 6** View  $\mathcal{G}$  obtained in Proposition 5 as a set in  $\mathbb{Q}(k)[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  and consider  $\mathcal{F}$  in  $\mathbb{Q}(k)[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ . Then  $\mathcal{G}$  is a Groebner basis of  $\mathcal{F}$  in  $\mathbb{Q}(k)[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ . Hence, we can compute the hermite matrix  $H(\mathcal{F}, p)$  on the algebra A over the field  $\mathbb{Q}(k)$ .  $H(\mathcal{F}, p)$  is a symmetric matrix with entries in  $\mathbb{Q}(k)$ , whose denominators can only contain factors  $h_i$ 's in Proposition 5. We have the specialization  $\varphi^r(H(\mathcal{F}, p)) = H(\mathcal{F}^r, p)$  for all r where  $h_i^r \neq 0$  for all i.

Then, we compute the *bifurcation polynomial* g of the system  $\mathcal{F}$ . Theoretically, the bifurcation polynomial is defined as the polynomial containing the projection of the common zeros of  $\{\mathcal{F}, J\}$  in  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n, k]$ , where J is the Jacobian determinant of  $\mathcal{F}$ , into the parameter space  $\mathcal{P}$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Those points of projection in the parameter space are called the *bifurcation points*. One approach to compute g is to compute a Groebner basis of  $\{\mathcal{F}, J\}$  with a certain order to eliminate the variables  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ . We will not use this method because the computations of such Groebner basis usually require much more computer memories then we have. Instead, we use the following method [25].

**Proposition 7** Consider the hermite matrix  $H(\mathcal{F}, p)$  in  $\mathbb{Q}(k)$  obtained in Proposition 6 and p = 1. If the determinant of  $H(\mathcal{F}, 1)$  in  $\mathbb{Q}(k)$  is not identically equal to zero. Then the

zero set of the numerator g contains the bifurcation points. Therefore, we call such g the bifurcation polynomial.

#### 3.2 Steps from (5) to (8): on Counting at the Bifurcation Points

Assume the bifurcation polynomial g has real zeros  $\{a_1, \ldots, a_w\}$  in the parameter space  $\mathcal{P}$ . We will also use the Hermite root counting method to count the number of common zeros of  $\mathcal{F}^{a_i}$  in  $\mathcal{X}$ . Since  $a_i$ 's are only know implicitly, we can not compute  $\varphi^{a_i}(H(\mathcal{F}, p))$  nor  $H(\mathcal{F}^{a_i}, p)$  directly to obtain the signatures. However, as long as  $a_i$  is not a zero for any of the  $h_j$ 's in Proposition 5, we have  $\varphi^{a_i}(H(\mathcal{F}, p)) = H(\mathcal{F}^{a_i}, p)$  by Proposition 6. In fact,  $\varphi^r(H(\mathcal{F}, p)) = H(\mathcal{F}^r, p)$  in a small closed interval  $[a_{\ell i}, a_{r i}]$  containing  $a_i$ . We will pick such interval that is small enough for us to obtain the signatures of  $\varphi^{a_i}(H(\mathcal{F}, p))$ . The critical tool is the Jacobian theorem in the following [12].

**Proposition 8** Let *H* be a  $d \times d$  real symmetric matrix and  $D_i$  for i = 1, ..., d be the leading principal minors of *H* of order *i*. That is,  $D_i$  is the determinant of the sub matrix  $H^i$  of *H*, where  $H^i_{s,t} = H_{s,t}$  for  $1 \le s, t \le i$ . Assume *H* has rank *r*.

- 1. If  $D_i \neq 0$  for all  $i \leq r$ , then the signature of H is r 2v, where v is the number of variation of sign in the sequence  $1, D_1, \ldots, D_r$ .
- 2. If in the sequence  $1, D_1, \ldots, D_r \neq 0$ , there are zeros but not three in succession, then the signature of H can be determined by r - 2v omitting the zero  $D_k$  if  $D_{k-1}D_{k+1} \neq 0$ and, in the cases of  $D_k = D_{k+1} = 0$ , setting the number of variation in the sequence  $D_{k-1}, D_k, D_{k+1}, D_{k+2}$  to be 1 if  $D_{k+2}D_{k-1} < 0$  and to be 2 if  $D_{k+2}D_{k-1} > 0$ .
- 3. If there are three consecutive zeros in  $D_1, \ldots, D_{r-1}$ , then the signs of the non zero  $D_k$ 's do not determined the signature.
- 4. If  $D_r = 0$ , the signs of the non zero  $D_k$ 's do not determined the signature.

According to the Jacobian theorem, we only consider cases when  $H(\mathcal{F}, p)$  does not have more than two consecutive zero polynomials in the sequence of its leading principal minors. Also, in applying the Jacobian theorem, it is critical to find the rank first. We list the following facts about ranks.

**Definition 3** Let *H* be a  $d \times d$  real matrix. A minor of order  $i \le d$  is the determinant of the  $i \times i$  sub matrix *M* of *H*, where  $M_{s,t} = H_{s,t}$  for  $s, t \in \{1, ..., d\}$ . The largest among the orders of the non-zero minors generated by *H* is the rank.

From the definition, it is easy to see the following facts. We omit the proofs.

**Proposition 9** Let H be a  $d \times d$  real symmetric matrix and  $D_i$  for i = 1, ..., d be the leading principal minors of H of order i. Let  $M^{d-1}$  be the matrix of order d - 1, where  $M_{s,t}^{d-1} = H_{s,t}$  for  $s, t \in \{1, ..., d-2, d\}$ . Let  $M_{d-1}$  denote the determinant of  $M^{d-1}$ . We have the following.

- 1. If  $D_d \neq 0$ , then the rank of H is d.
- 2. If  $D_d = 0$ ,  $D_{d-1} \neq 0$ , then the rank of H is d 1.
- 3. If  $D_d = D_{d-1} = M_{d-1} = 0$ ,  $D_{d-2} \neq 0$ , then the rank of H is d 2.

Now, we return to the goal of computing the signature of  $\varphi^{a_i}(H(\mathcal{F}, p))$ , where  $a_i$  a zero of the bifurcation polynomial g and is not a zero for any of the  $h_i$ 's in Proposition 5.

Using Proposition 9, we obtain the ranks for the Hermite matrices considered in this paper involving the parameter k. It remains to compute the numbers of variation of sign in the sequences of leading principal minors.

Suppose the rank is r,  $D_r^{a_i} \neq 0$ , and there are no three or more consecutive zero polynomials in  $D_1, \ldots, D_{r-1}$ . A small interval  $[a_{i\ell}, a_{ir}]$  containing  $a_i$  can be found easily satisfying that there is no zeros for the non zero  $D_k$ 's for all  $k = 1, \ldots, r-1$  in  $[a_{i\ell}, a_{ir}]$ . Then the sign of the non zero  $D_k^{a_i}$  agrees with that of  $D_k^{a_{i\ell}}$  or  $D_k^{a_{ir}}$ .

#### 3.3 Challenging Parts and Other Remarks

*Remark 4* To apply our approach,  $\mathcal{F}$  need to meet some requirements.

- 1. In step (1), the Groebner basis  $\mathcal{G}$  in  $\mathbb{Q}[x_1, \ldots, x_n, k]$  should be computable.
- 2. In step (3), determinant of  $H(\mathcal{F}, 1)$  in  $\mathbb{Q}(k)$  is not identically equal to zero.
- 3. For steps (5) to (8), the  $H_j$ 's having entries  $\mathbb{Q}(k)$  and defined in the step (2) can not have three or more consecutive zero polynomials in the sequences of their leading principal minors up to the order of rank. And the leading principal minors of the order of rank are not zero polynomials.
- 4. For steps (5) to (8),  $a_i$ , a zero of the bifurcation polynomial g, is not a zero for any of the  $h_i$ 's in Proposition 5.

In fact, any zero of a  $h_j$  in the parameter space should be handle differently, for in Proposition 7, which is proved by Proposition 6 in [25], did not consider such points. It is even possible to obtain bifurcation points from them.

*Remark 5* The orders on monomials can be determined by a matrix with row  $\mathbf{w}_i$ 's. Given two monomials  $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2$  with exponent vectors  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , we have  $\mathbf{x}_1 > \mathbf{x}_2$  if  $\mathbf{w}_1 \cdot \alpha > \mathbf{w}_1 \cdot \beta$ . If  $\mathbf{w}_1 \cdot \alpha = \mathbf{w}_1 \cdot \beta$ , we compare  $\mathbf{w}_2 \cdot \alpha$  with  $\mathbf{w}_2 \cdot \beta$ . Repeat the procedure until we find which one is larger. In this paper, we use the following matrix for variables  $x_1, \ldots, x_n, k$ .

Γ1	1	•••	1	1	٦0	
1	1	•••	1	0	0	
:	÷	·	÷	÷	÷	
1	1	•••	0	0	0	
1	0	•••	0	0	0	
Lo	0		0	0	1	

This is a block order. Its restriction on  $x_j$ 's is the order determined by the upper left  $n \times n$  block called the graded reverse lexicographical order (or grevlex order). According to [11], for some operations, the grevlex ordering is the most efficient for computation. Therefore, we use this order as one of the block.

*Remark* 6 In step (6), we use the implemented command "CountRoots" of Mathematica 10 to count roots of integral polynomials of one variable. This command is based on [8]. In the introduction of this paper, the authors commented that "Unlike numerical methods the algorithm will always terminate with correct results."

*Remark* 7 In our work, we simply use the command "Det" of Mathematica to compute leading principal minors in one variable. For system (6), the order of H is 104 and we need

to compute  $104 \times 8$  leading principal minors. It took us almost three months to have them all computed. The results are provided in [26].

#### 4 The (4 + 1)-Body Problem

#### 4.1 Counting Zeros for System (4)

The following results give a whole picture of the numbers of zeros in each quadrant when the parameters are in  $(0, \frac{1}{2})$ . So, Theorem 1 is proved.

**Proposition 10** For system (4), we obtain the bifurcation polynomial  $g^4$  in the Appendix. There are 4 zeros of  $g_4$  in  $(0, \frac{1}{2})$ . The neighbour points in the step (6) of our method are given in the Table 1. The ranks and sign variations of the neighbour and the bifurcation points are given in Table 2. Finally, the numbers of real roots in four quadrants for those points are given in Table 3.

*Proof* We have  $\mathcal{F} = \{f_1, f_2\} \subset \mathbb{Q}[x, y, k]$ . Using the block order in Remark 5, we obtain  $\mathcal{G} = \{g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4\}$  with leading coefficients  $\{4, 4, 4, 16\} \subset \mathbb{Q}[k]$ . Using  $\mathcal{G}$ , we find a basis  $\{1, y, y^2, y^3, y^4, y^5, y^6, y^7, y^8, x, xy, xy^2, xy^3, xy^4, xy^5, xy^6, x^2, x^2y, x^2y^2, x^2y^3, x^2y^4, x^2y^5, x^2y^6, x^3, x^3y, x^3y^2, x^3y^3, x^3y^4\}$  for the algebra  $A = \mathbb{Q}(k)[x, y]/\langle \mathcal{F} \rangle$ . So, the dimension of A is 28 and there are at most 28 complex zeros for all k.

Computing four Hermite matrices,  $H_0 = H(\mathcal{F}, 1)$ ,  $H_1 = H(\mathcal{F}, x)$ ,  $H_2 = H(\mathcal{F}, y)$ ,  $H_3 = H(\mathcal{F}, xy)$  with  $\mathcal{G}$ , we find the determinant of  $H(\mathcal{F}, 1)$  is not identically equal to zero. So, we obtain the bifurcation polynomial  $g^4$  from the numerator. Using "CountRoots", we isolate four real roots  $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4$  of  $g^4$  in  $(0, \frac{1}{2})$ .

Computing 28 × 4 leading principals minors, we find  $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4$  are also roots of the numerator of the determinants of  $H_1, H_2, H_3$ . And, all the leading principals minors of order 27 do not have  $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4$  as their zeros. Therefore, by Proposition 9,  $H_j^i$  has rank  $r_{i,j} = 27$  for all i, j.

Choosing neighbour points of  $a_i$ 's as in Table 1, we use "CountRoots" to verify the requirements in the step (6) in Sect. 3. Therefore, the numbers  $v_{i,j}$  of variation of signs in the step (7) are obtained in Table 2.

Finally, using Table 2 to obtain signatures, and solving linear systems in four variables, we obtain Table 3. For example, in Table 2 at  $k = a_1$ ,  $r_{1,j} = 27$ ,  $v_{1,0} = 10$ ,  $v_{1,1} = 13$ ,  $v_{1,2} = 12$ ,  $v_{1,3} = 13$ , signatures,  $r_{1,j} - 2v_{1,j}$ , are 7, 1, 3, 1.

Let  $s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4$  denote the number of real roots (x, y) with the signs (+, +), (+, -), (-, +), (-, -), respectively. We get

$$7 = s_1 + s_2 + s_3 + s_4,$$
  

$$1 = s_1 + s_2 - s_3 - s_4,$$
  

$$3 = s_1 - s_2 + s_3 - s_4,$$
  

$$1 = s_1 - s_2 - s_3 + s_4.$$

Therefore, we obtain  $(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4) = (3, 1, 2, 1)$  as in Table 3. Note that, for all k, x = 0 or y = 0 are not zeros. Therefore, the number of roots at each quadrants is a constant in each  $(a_i, a_{i+1})$  interval. So, Table 3 give the numbers of roots in each quadrant of the system (4) for all parameters in  $(0, \frac{1}{2})$ .

<b>Table 1</b> Neighbors of the zerosof $g^4$ in $(0, \frac{1}{2})$	i		$a_{i\ell}$		a <sub>ir</sub>
	1		2.0712182		2.0712183
	2		2.2669649		2.2669650
	3		2.7330350		2.7330351
	4		2.9287817	2.92878	
Table 2         Ranks and sign	F 21				
variations for the system (4)	7,0	1,1	1,	, 1	,
	$a_{1\ell}$	28, 10	28, 14	28, 12	28, 14
	$a_1$	27, 10	27, 13	27, 12	27,13
	$a_{1r}$	28, 11	28, 13	28, 13	28,13
	$a_{2\ell}$	28, 11	28, 13	28, 13	28,13
	$a_2$	27, 10	27, 12	27, 12	27, 12
	$a_{2r}$	28, 10	28, 12	28, 12	28, 12
	$a_{3\ell}$	28, 10	28, 12	28, 12	28, 12
	<i>a</i> <sub>3</sub>	27, 10	27, 12	27, 12	27, 12
	$a_{3r}$	28, 11	28, 13	28,13	28,13
	$a_{4\ell}$	28, 11	28, 13	28,13	28,13
	$a_4$	27, 10	27, 12	27, 13	27,13
	$a_{4r}$	28, 10	28, 12	28, 14	28, 14

**Table 3** Numbers of zeros of thesystem (4)

k	+,+	+, -	-, +	_, _
$a_{1\ell}$	3	1	3	1
$a_1$	3	1	2	1
$a_{1r}$	3	1	1	1
$a_{2\ell}$	3	1	1	1
<i>a</i> <sub>2</sub>	4	1	1	1
$a_{2r}$	5	1	1	1
$a_{3\ell}$	5	1	1	1
<i>a</i> <sub>3</sub>	4	1	1	1
$a_{3r}$	3	1	1	1
$a_{4\ell}$	3	1	1	1
$a_4$	3	2	1	1
$a_{4r}$	3	3	1	1

#### 4.2 Counting Zeros for System (5)

The following results give a whole picture of the numbers of zeros when the parameters are in  $(0, \frac{1}{3})$ . So, Theorem 2 is proved.

**Proposition 11** For system (5), we obtain the bifurcation polynomial  $g^5$  in the Appendix. There are 3 zeros of  $g^5$  in  $(0, \frac{1}{3})$ . The neighbour points in the step (6) of our method are given in the Table 4. The ranks and sign variations of the neighbour and the bifurcation

<b>Table 4</b> Neighbors of the zeros of $g^5$ in $(0, \frac{1}{3})$	i		a <sub>il</sub>		a <sub>ir</sub>
	1		2.3551745		2.3551746
	2		2.4665893		2.4665894
	3		2.4860582		2.4860583
Table 5         Ranks and sign	r 21		- <u>-</u>		
variations for the system (5)	7,0	op, $ op$	Τ, Ξ	-, +	_, _
	$a_{1\ell}$	24, 10	24, 12	24, 10	24, 12
	$a_1$	23,9	23, 11	23, 10	23, 12
	$a_{1r}$	24,9	24, 11	24, 11	24, 13
	$a_{2\ell}$	24,9	24, 11	24, 11	24, 13
	$a_2$	23, 8	23, 10	23, 10	23, 12
	$a_{2r}$	24, 8	24, 10	24, 10	24, 12
	$a_{3\ell}$	24, 8	24, 10	24, 10	24, 12
	<i>a</i> <sub>3</sub>	23,7	23, 10	23, 10	23, 11
	<i>a</i> <sub>3<i>r</i></sub>	24,7	24, 11	24, 11	24, 11
Table 6         Numbers of zeros of the system (5)	k	+,+	+, -	-,+	_,_
	$a_{1\ell}$	2	0	2	0
	$a_1$	2	1	2	0
	$a_{1r}$	2	2	2	0
	$a_{2\ell}$	2	2	2	0
	$a_2$	3	2	2	0
	$a_{2r}$	4	2	2	0
	$a_{3\ell}$	4	2	2	0
	<i>a</i> <sub>3</sub>	4	2	2	1
	$a_{3r}$	4	2	2	2

points are given in Table 5. Finally, the numbers of real roots in four quadrants for those points are given in Table 6.

*Proof* Now  $\mathcal{F} = \{f_3, f_4, f_5\} \subset \mathbb{Q}[x, y, z, k]$ . Using the block order in Remark 5, we obtain  $\mathcal{G} = \{g_1, \dots, g_{14}\}$ , where the non constant leading coefficients in  $\mathbb{Q}[k]$  are

$$\begin{aligned} h_2 &= 9k^2(-1+3k)(-6193005856+34523341224k-88164313884k^2 \\ &+ 179027640450k^3 - 296194349727k^4 + 292634913915k^5 \\ &- 135093148569k^6 + 22052144295k^7), \end{aligned} \\ h_5 &= c_5k, \\ h_9 &= c_9(-1+3k)^3(-485-162k+243k^2), \\ h_{10} &= c_{10}(-1+3k)^2, \\ h_{11} &= c_{11}(-1+3k), \end{aligned}$$

for some integers  $c_5$ ,  $c_9$ ,  $c_{10}$ ,  $c_{11}$ . There is no zeros in  $(0, \frac{1}{3})$  for all  $h_i$ 's above.

Using  $\mathcal{G}$ , we find a basis  $\{1, z, z^2, z^3, z^4, y, yz, yz^2, yz^3, y^2, y^2z, y^2z^2, y^3, x, xz, xz^2, xz^3, xy, xyz, xyz^2, xy^2, x^2, x^2y, x^3\}$  for the algebra  $A = \mathbb{Q}(k)[x, y, z]/\langle \mathcal{F} \rangle$ . So, the dimension of A is 24 and there are at most 24 complex zeros for all k.

Computing four Hermite matrices,  $H_0 = H(\mathcal{F}, 1)$ ,  $H_1 = H(\mathcal{F}, x)$ ,  $H_2 = H(\mathcal{F}, y)$ ,  $H_3 = H(\mathcal{F}, xy)$  with  $\mathcal{G}$ , we find the determinant of  $H(\mathcal{F}, 1)$  is not identically equal to zero. So, we obtain the bifurcation polynomial  $g^5$  from the numerator. Using "CountRoots", we isolate three real roots  $a_1, a_2, a_3$  of  $g^5$  in  $(0, \frac{1}{3})$ .

Computing 24 × 4 leading principals minors, we find  $a_1, a_2, a_3$  are also roots of the numerator of the determinants of  $H_1, H_2, H_3$ . And, all the leading principals minors of order 23 do not have  $a_1, a_2, a_3$  as their zeros. Therefore, by Proposition 9,  $H_j^i$  has rank  $r_{i,j} = 23$  for all i, j.

Choosing neighbour points of  $a_i$ 's as in Table 4, we use "CountRoots" to verify the requirements in the step (6) in Sect. 3. Therefore, the numbers  $v_{i,j}$  of variation of signs in the step (7) are obtained in Table 5.

Finally, using Table 5 to obtain signatures, and solving linear systems in four variables, we obtain Table 6. Note also, for all k, x = 0 or y = 0 are not zeros. Therefore, the number of roots at each quadrants is a constant in each  $(a_i, a_{i+1})$  interval. So, Table 6 give the numbers of roots in each quadrant of the system (5) for all parameters in  $(0, \frac{1}{3})$ .

#### 5 The (1+3)-Body Problem

#### 5.1 Counting Zeros for System (6)

The following results give a whole picture of the numbers of zeros for positive parameters. So, Theorem 3 is proved.

**Proposition 12** For system (6), we obtain the bifurcation polynomial  $g^6$  in the Appendix. There are 11 zeros of  $g^6$  in  $(0, \infty)$ . For  $a_2$  to  $a_{10}$ , our method is applied. The neighbour points in the step (6) are given in the Table 7. The ranks and sign variations of the neighbour and the bifurcation points are given in Table 8. Finally, the numbers of real roots in the eight orthants for those points are given in Table 9.

For  $a_1$ , it is a zero for some  $h_j$  in as remarked in Sect. 3.3. Our method does not apply. We will show it is not a bifurcation point. That is, the number of real zeros is a constant in the neighbourhood of this point, which is given from the results for  $a_{2\ell}$ .

For  $a_{11} = 10$ , it is again a zero for some  $h_j$ . We can just substitute k with 10 to obtain a system in  $\mathbb{Q}$ . We can use Hermite root counting theorem directly for this system to obtain the numbers of zeros. It is also recorded in Table 9. Same approached is applied to the sample point 100 on the right hand side of  $a_{11}$ .

Note there is a extra bifurcation point that is not obtain form  $g^6$  in our method. That is when k = 4. This point of bifurcation explains why the numbers of zeros are not the same for  $k = a_{9,r}$  and  $k = a_{10\ell}$ . It is again a zero for some  $h_j$  in as remarked in Sect. 3.3. We can again substitute k with 4 to obtain a system in  $\mathbb{Q}$  and count roots directly. The results are also recorded in Table 9.

*Proof* Now  $\mathcal{F} = \{f_6, f_7, f_8, f_9\} \subset \mathbb{Q}[r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4, k]$ . Using the block order in Remark 5, we obtain  $\mathcal{G} = \{g_1, \dots, g_{59}\}$ . Non constant factors in the leading coefficients that contain

positive zeros are k - 4, k - 10,  $-312 + 1896k + 530k^2 + 588k^3 + 101k^4 + 31k^5 + k^6$ . They have zeros 4, 10, and approximately 0.156489. These three points will be considered separately.

Using  $\mathcal{G}$ , we find a basis for the algebra  $A = \mathbb{Q}(k)[r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4]/\langle \mathcal{F} \rangle$ . They are  $\{1, r_4, r_4^2, r_4^3, r_4^4, r_5^4, r_6^4, r_3, r_3r_4, r_3r_4^2, r_3r_4^3, r_3r_4^4, r_3r_5^4, r_3^2, r_3^2r_4, r_3^2r_4^2, r_3^2r_4^3, r_3^3, r_3^3r_4, r_3^2r_4^2, r_4^3, r_3^3r_4, r_3^2r_4^2, r_4^3, r_3^3r_4, r_3^2r_4^2, r_4^3, r_3^2r_4^2, r_2^2r_3^3, r_3^2r_4, r_2^2r_3^2r_4, r_2^2r_3^2, r_2^2r_3^2r_4, r_2^2r_3^2, r_2^2r_3^2r_4, r_2^2r_3^2, r_2^2r_3^2r_4, r_2^2r_3^2, r_2^2r_4, r_2^2r_3^2, r_2^2r_4^2, r_2^2r_3^2, r_2^2r_3^2r_4, r_2^2r_3^2, r_2^2r_4^2, r_2^2r_4^2, r_2^2r_4^2, r_2^2r_4^2, r_2^2r_4^2, r_1^2r_4^2, r_1r_4^2, r_1r_4^2, r_1r_4^2, r_1r_3^2r_4, r_1r_3r_4, r_1r_3r_4, r_1r_3^2, r_1r_3^2r_4, r_1r_3^2r_4, r_1r_3^2r_4, r_1r_2^2r_3^2, r_1r_2^2r_4^2, r_1r_2r_4^2, r_1r_2r_4^2, r_1r_2r_4^2, r_1r_2r_3^2, r_1r_2r_3^2r_4, r_1r_2^2r_4, r_1r_2^2r_3, r_1r_2r_3^2r_4, r_1r_2^2r_4^2, r_1^2r_2r_4, r_1^2r_2r_3, r_1r_2r_3r_4, r_1^2r_2^2, r_1^2r_2^2r_4, r_1^2r_2r_3, r_1^2r_2r_3, r_1r_2r_4^2, r_1^2r_2r_4^2, r_1^2r_2r_3, r_1^2r_2r_4, r_1^2r_2r_4^2, r_1^2r_2r_4, r_1^2r_2^2, r_1^2r_2r_4, r_1^2r_2r_4, r_1^2r_2r_4^2, r_1^2r_2r_3, r_1r_2r_3r_4, r_1^2r_2^2, r_1^2r_2^2r_4, r_1^2r_2r_4^2, r_1^2r_2r_3, r_1^2r_2r_4, r_1^2r_2^2, r_1^2r_2^2r_4^2, r_1^2r_2r_4^2, r_1^2r_2r_3, r_1^2r_2r_4, r_1^2r_2^2, r_1^2r_2^2r_4^2, r_1^2r_2r_4^2, r_1^2r_2r_3, r_1^2r_2r_4, r_1^2r_2^2, r_1^2r_2r_4^2, r_1^2r_2r_4^2, r_1^2r_2r_4^2, r_1^2r_2r_4^2, r_1^2r_2r_3, r_1^2r_2r_4^2, r_1^2r_2r_4^2, r_1^2r_2r_4, r_1^2r_2r_4, r_1^2r_2r_2r_4^2, r_1^2r_2r_4^2, r_1^2r_2r_3, r_1^2r_2r_4, r_1^2r_2r_4^2, r_1^2r_2r_4^2, r_1^2r_2r_4^2, r_1^2r_2r_4^2, r_1^2r_2r_4^2, r_1^2r_2r_4^2, r_1^2r_2r_4, r_1^2r_2r_4^2, r_1^2r_$ 

For this system, we are interest in common zeros with  $0 < r_1, r_2 < 1$  and  $r_3 > r_4$ . Therefore, we need to compute 2<sup>3</sup> Hermite matrices,  $H_j = H(\mathcal{F}, P_j)$ 's, where  $P_j$  is a product of any subset from  $\{p_1 = \frac{1}{4} - (r_1 - \frac{1}{2})^2, p_2 = \frac{1}{4} - (r_2 - \frac{1}{2})^2, p_3 = r_3 - r_4\}$ , including of  $P_0 = 1$ .

We find the determinant of  $H_0$  is not identically equal to zero. So, we obtain the bifurcation polynomial  $g^6$  from the numerator. Using "CountRoots", we isolate eleven real roots  $a_1, \ldots, a_{11}$  of  $g^6$  in  $(0, \frac{1}{3})$ . Here,  $a_1 = 0.156489.\ldots$  and  $a_{11} = 10$  are the two zeros of some leading terms of  $\mathcal{G}$  mentioned above. So, we use our method in Sect. 3 to count zeros only at  $a_2, \ldots, a_{10}$ .

We separate zeros into three groups. The first group is  $\{a_4, a_8, a_{10}\}$ . They are zeros of  $g^6$  of multiplicity 1. The second group is  $\{a_2, a_3, a_5, a_6\}$ . They are zeros of multiplicity 2. The third group is  $\{a_7, a_9\}$ . They are zeros of multiplicity 3.

We find all  $a_i$ 's are zeros of the determinants of  $H_j$  for all j. Let  $D_{j,w}$  be the leading principal minors of  $H_j$  of order w and  $M_{j,103}$  be the principal minor of  $H_j$  of order 103 as the definition of  $M_{d-1}$  in Proposition 9 form H.

For all  $a_i$ 's in the first group,  $D_{j,103}^i \neq 0$  for all j. Therefore, by Proposition 9, ranks  $r_{i,j} = 103$  for i = 4, 8, 10 and all j. For all  $a_i$ 's in the second and third groups,  $D_{j,103}^i = M_{j,103}^i = 0$  for all j, and  $D_{j,102}^i \neq 0$  for all j. Therefore, by Proposition 9, ranks  $r_{i,j} = 102$  for i = 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 9 and all j.

Choosing neighbour points of  $a_i$ 's as in Table 7, we use "CountRoots" to verify the requirements in the step (6) in Sect. 3. Therefore, the numbers  $v_{i,j}$  of variation of signs in the step (7) are obtained in Table 8. Finally, using Table 8 to obtain signatures, and solving linear systems in eight variables, we obtain Table 9. Note also, for all k > 0, zeros of  $p_1, p_2$  or  $p_3$  are not common zeros for  $\mathcal{F}$ . The number of common zeros at each orthant is a constant in each  $(a_i, a_{i+1})$  interval for all i = 1, ..., 10.

The number of zeros at 4 and 10 and a sample point 100 > 10 are obtained by applying Hermite root counting theorem for systems in  $Q[r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4]$ . For the point  $a_1 = 0.156489...$ , which is a zero of  $-312 + 1896k + 530k^2 + 588k^3 + 101k^4 + 31k^5 + k^6$ , we will show that is it not a real bifurcation point. Therefore, the number of zeros at that point and in the interval  $(0, a_1]$  agree with that at  $a_{2\ell}$ .

Denote the resultant of f, g with respect to the variable x by Res(f, g, x). Here are our computations. Project common zeros of  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathbb{Q}[r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4, k]$  into the  $r_1, r_3, r_4$  space by computing  $f_{10} = Res(f_6, f_9, r_2), f_{11} = Res(f_7, f_9, r_2), f_{12} = Res(f_8, f_9, r_2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . Project common zeros of  $\{f_{10}, f_{11}, f_{12}\} \subset \mathbb{Q}[r_1, r_3, r_4, k]$  into the  $r_3, r_4$  space by computing  $f_{13} = Res(f_{10}, f_{12}, r_1), f_{14}f_{15}^2 = Res(f_{11}, f_{12}, r_1)$ .

Project common zeros of  $\{f_{13}, f_{14}\} \subset \mathbb{Q}[r_3, r_4, k]$  into the  $r_4$  space by computing  $Res(f_{13}, f_{14}, r_3) = c(1 + r_4^2)f_{16}f_{17}f_{18}f_{19}$ . Also, project common zeros of  $\{f_{13}, f_{15}\} \subset \mathbb{Q}[r_3, r_4, k]$  into the  $r_4$  space by computing  $Res(f_{13}, f_{15}, r_3) = f_{20}f_{21}$ .

i	a <sub>il</sub>	a <sub>ir</sub>
1	0.1564894479987466889600194	0.1564894479987466889600195
2	0.2055692659990572862276624	0.2055692659990572862276625
3	0.6791080539220485318202205	0.6791080539220485318202206
4	0.8961616399532140351980856	0.8961616399532140351980857
5	0.9939202640321302550753646	0.9939202640321302550753647
6	1.007282504406251354457414	1.007282504406251354457415
7	1.060647425842961855948559	1.060647425842961855948560
8	1.250637523363571101259966	1.250637523363571101259967
9	1.423851342176174559644926	1.423851342176174559644927
10	4.00001429220011941250039210564680	4.00001429220011941250039210564681

**Table 7** Neighbors of 10 zeros of  $g^6$  in  $(0, \infty)$ 

 Table 8
 Ranks and sign variations for the system (6)

<i>r</i> , <i>v</i>	+, +, +	+, +, -	+, -, +	+, -, -	-, +, +	-, +, -	-, -, +	_, _, _
$a_{2\ell}$	104, 43	104, 48	104, 48	104, 52	104, 51	104, 51	104, 51	104, 52
$a_2$	102, 42	102, 47	102, 47	102, 51	102, 50	102, 50	102, 50	102, 51
$a_{2r}$	104, 43	104, 48	104, 48	104, 52	104, 51	104, 51	104, 51	104, 52
$a_{3\ell}$	104, 43	104, 48	104, 48	104, 52	104, 51	104, 51	104, 51	104, 52
<i>a</i> <sub>3</sub>	102, 43	102, 47	102, 47	102, 52	102, 49	102, 50	102, 50	102, 50
$a_{3r}$	104,45	104, 48	104, 48	104, 54	104, 49	104, 51	104, 51	104, 50
$a_{4\ell}$	104,45	104, 48	104, 48	104, 54	104, 49	104, 51	104, 51	104, 50
$a_4$	103,44	103, 47	103, 47	103, 53	103, 48	103, 50	103, 50	103, 49
$a_{4r}$	104,44	104, 47	104, 47	104, 53	104, 48	104, 50	104, 50	104, 49
$a_{5\ell}$	104,44	104, 47	104, 47	104, 53	104, 48	104, 50	104, 50	104, 49
a5	102, 42	102, 46	102, 46	102, 53	102, 48	102, 49	102, 49	102, 47
$a_{5r}$	104, 42	104, 47	104, 47	104, 55	104, 50	104, 50	104, 50	104, 47
$a_{6\ell}$	104, 42	104, 47	104, 47	104, 55	104, 50	104, 50	104, 50	104, 47
<i>a</i> <sub>6</sub>	102, 42	102, 46	102, 46	102, 53	102, 48	102, 49	102, 49	102, 47
$a_{6r}$	104,44	104, 47	104, 47	104, 53	104, 48	104, 50	104, 50	104, 49
$a_{7\ell}$	104,44	104, 47	104, 47	104, 53	104, 48	104, 50	104, 50	104, 49
$a_7$	102,44	102, 47	102, 47	102, 51	102, 48	102, 48	102, 48	102, 47
$a_{7r}$	104,45	104, 48	104, 48	104, 52	104, 49	104, 49	104, 49	104, 48
$a_{8\ell}$	104,45	104, 48	104, 48	104, 52	104, 49	104, 49	104, 49	104, 48
$a_8$	103,44	103, 48	103, 48	103, 51	103, 48	103, 49	103, 49	103, 47
$a_{8r}$	104,44	104, 49	104, 49	104, 51	104, 48	104, 50	104, 50	104, 47
$a_{9\ell}$	104,44	104, 49	104, 49	104, 51	104, 48	104, 50	104, 50	104, 47
<i>a</i> 9	102,44	102, 49	102, 49	102, 51	102, 48	102, 50	102, 50	102, 47
<i>a</i> 9r	104, 45	104, 50	104, 50	104, 52	104, 49	104, 51	104, 51	104, 48
$a_{10\ell}$	104, 44	104, 50	104, 50	104, 52	104, 48	104, 52	104, 52	104, 48
<i>a</i> <sub>10</sub>	103,44	103, 50	103, 50	103, 51	103, 48	103, 51	103, 51	103, 47
$a_{10r}$	104, 45	104, 51	104, 51	104, 51	104, 49	104, 51	104, 51	104, 47

k	+, +, +	+, +, -	+, -, +	+, -, -	-, +, +	-,+,-	-, -, +	_, _, _
$a_{2\ell}$	5	4	2	2	2	2	0	1
$a_2$	5	4	2	2	2	2	0	1
$a_{2r}$	5	4	2	2	2	2	0	1
$a_{3\ell}$	5	4	2	2	2	2	0	1
<i>a</i> <sub>3</sub>	5	4	1	2	1	2	0	1
$a_{3r}$	5	4	0	2	0	2	0	1
$a_{4\ell}$	5	4	0	2	0	2	0	1
$a_4$	6	4	0	2	0	2	0	1
$a_{4r}$	7	4	0	2	0	2	0	1
$a_{5\ell}$	7	4	0	2	0	2	0	1
$a_5$	7	4	0	3	0	3	0	1
$a_{5r}$	7	4	0	4	0	4	0	1
$a_{6\ell}$	7	4	0	4	0	4	0	1
$a_6$	7	4	0	3	0	3	0	1
$a_{6r}$	7	4	0	2	0	2	0	1
$a_{7\ell}$	7	4	0	2	0	2	0	1
$a_7$	7	2	0	2	0	2	0	1
$a_{7r}$	7	2	0	2	0	2	0	1
$a_{8\ell}$	7	2	0	2	0	2	0	1
$a_8$	7	2	0	2	0	2	1	1
$a_{8r}$	7	2	0	2	0	2	2	1
$a_{9\ell}$	7	2	0	2	0	2	2	1
<i>a</i> 9	5	2	0	2	0	2	2	1
$a_{9r}$	5	2	0	2	0	2	2	1
4	5	2	0	2	0	2	2	1
$a_{10\ell}$	5	3	0	2	0	2	3	1
$a_{10}$	5	2	0	2	0	2	3	1
$a_{10r}$	5	1	0	2	0	2	3	1
10	5	1	0	2	0	2	3	1
100	5	1	0	2	0	2	3	1

 Table 9
 Numbers of zeros of the system (6)

If there is a k such that the Jacobian of  $\mathcal{F}$  with respect to  $r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4$  is zero at the common zero, than the projection onto the  $r_4$  space must be a zero of multiplicity greater than one [25]. Therefore, at least one of the polynomial from  $f_{16}, \ldots, f_{21}$  has such zero of multiplicity greater than one. Let  $f_{i+6} = Res(f_i, \frac{df_i}{dr_4}, r_4)$ , for  $i = 16, \ldots, 21$ .

Finally, we find that  $Res(f_i, -312 + 1896k + 530k^2 + 588k^3 + 101k^4 + 31k^5 + k^6) \neq 0$ , for all i = 22, ..., 27. So, any zero of  $-312 + 1896k + 530k^2 + 588k^3 + 101k^4 + 31k^5 + k^6$ is not a bifurcation point.

Acknowledgements The author would like to thank Professor Richard Moeckel for his Mathematica codes for some of the computations in this paper. This research was partly supported by the Ministry of Science and Technology of the Republic of China under the grant MOST 104-2115-M-005-004.

# Appendix

 $g^4 = 14348907k^8(-1+2k)^8(-209131272894456944593144423783511499+12110628365621863141752595158626784528k$  $-321833043687522840731801104763386910592k^{2} + 5282816045452154761864610890773283600512k^{3}$  $-62321870899214934024101752875083881832064k^4 + 599525084805566810091138278319212884859904k^5$ -508956843470856054539146134098219465003827216<sup>6</sup> + 36166744134658030710388619684062815617212416<sup>77</sup>  $-173682271713691426508982988802998229113860096k^8 + 194571006705401622461750893770741265329291264k^9$  $+4361256499184399605996203588453710463202492416k^{10} - 36421637749003467158373200672552890309715951616k^{11}$  $-41374670022892701550349904115844068890901605253120k^{16} + 80753323917919406241244407109506118710185454206976k^{17}$  $+272731526235208997199716801978606751657411295051776k^{20} - 474893308685999304513566831791186612587185504780288k^{21}$  $+ 27556767991099841456412079571314810360028677013504k^{28} - 36931239344498259899134718751866345172519622803456k^{29} - 36931289344498259899134718751866345172519622803456k^{29} - 369312893456k^{29} - 369316k^{29} - 369316k^{29} - 3686k^{29} - 3686k^{29$  $+ 25570057182062646531889634545006726676623579414528k^{30} - 13171219513113041503585322296508115743622003949568k^{31} - 1317121951311304150358532296508115743622003949568k^{31} - 1317121951311304150358532296508115743622003949568k^{31} - 13171219513113041503585322965081157436220039488k^{31} - 1317121951311304150358532296508115743622003949568k^{31} - 131712195131130415036853598k^{31} - 13171219513113041503868k^{31} - 1317121951311304150386k^{31} - 131712195131130415086k^{31} - 1317121951311506k^{31} - 131712195131150k^{31} - 131712195131120k^{31} - 131712195131120k^{31} - 131712195131120k^{31} - 131712195131120k^{31} - 13171219514k^{31} - 13171219514k^{31} - 13171219514k^{31} - 13171219514k^{31} - 1317120k^{31} - 13170k^{31} - 13180k^{31} - 13180k^{31} - 1318k^{31} - 1317120$  $+4161404052216203236353315247932243607000751013888k^{32} - 187806263065237241933283988669334605412687675392k^{33}$  $-68433198701898044709157961856663742108338749440k^{36} + 6459589270291605471908946749983035900473376768k^{37}$ +97575870675698281014578295123897847161815040k<sup>40</sup>).

 $g^{5} = 1000301832637713093336811104632832k^{14}(-6193005856 + 34523341224k - 88164313884k^{2} + 179027640450k^{3} - 296194349727k^{4} + 292634913915k^{5} \\ -135093148569k^{6} + 22052144295k^{7})^{2}(729000000000 - 43740000000000k + 1067148472500000k^{2} - 9112390285500000k^{3} + 643286524024321875k^{4} \\ -22708959618399581250k^{5} + 500801355667529080875k^{6} - 6197850032153476113900k^{7} + 54882543612603736731564k^{8} - 421146331287143356032660k^{9} \\ +2954546557428780047159658k^{10} - 17648630788414956041955708k^{11} + 83771969926609859108071258k^{12} - 306975451272810787143804180k^{13} \\ +857391208251519414055109752k^{14} - 1794120238816087989509616300k^{15} + 2726191394983375066395449239k^{16} - 2838574971479705566887774018k^{17} \\ +1728344797213312641067462323k^{18} - 37350374248580151836867904k^{19} - 1300031429522116294856107152k^{20} + 1983441863951450533463609376k^{21} \\ -2053166141818806972470118720k^{22} + 1531280866164945026551289856k^{23} - 694191759631599704931041472k^{24} + 158306825224304132527104k^{25} \\ +286525215697323461069387520k^{26} - 258835251578200089068123136k^{27} + 133514108743669727266861056k^{28} - 40315836246102348631824384k^{29} \\ +5280932874361714728127488k^{30}),$ 

 $g^{6} = (-10+k)^{2}(-312+1896k+530k^{2}+588k^{3}+101k^{4}+31k^{5}+k^{6})^{2}(2963399638413312+23142740033323008k+72951703384555008k^{2}+101k^{4}+31k^{5}+k^{6})^{2}(2963399638413312+23142740033323008k+72951703384555008k^{2}+101k^{4}+31k^{5}+k^{6})^{2}(2963399638413312+23142740033323008k+72951703384555008k^{2}+101k^{4}+31k^{5}+k^{6})^{2}(2963399638413312+23142740033323008k+72951703384555008k^{2}+101k^{4}+31k^{5}+k^{6})^{2}(2963399638413312+23142740033323008k+72951703384555008k^{2}+101k^{4}+31k^{5}+k^{6})^{2}(2963399638413312+23142740033323008k+72951703384555008k^{2}+101k^{4}+31k^{5}+k^{6})^{2}(2963399638413312+23142740033323008k+72951703384555008k^{2}+101k^{4}+10k^{4}+1$  $+ 111198530932125696k^3 + 57853164006793584k^4 - 74362983171264576k^5 - 150432849004786240k^6 - 90543746418355752k^7 + 13327925036628816k^8 - 150432849004786240k^6 - 1004418355752k^7 + 13327925036628816k^8 - 1004418355752k^7 + 10044886k^7 + 1004886k^7 + 1004886$  $-11384652544065195120k^{4} + 12123699015371647968k^{5} + 119990316536124348321k^{6} - 159500845521348320736k^{7} - 1771852062223329593868k^{8}$ +6379334690817350097484339882960120779072556541638429744495331286415681833295983673344k +143343436290907434190236021879697713112605548862658775303662260908808778086044764471296k2  $+1925971468600100640078529726392319981686684029582601342104648453967347669944533862318080k^{3}$ +16429902038782606583966068303176355643061275650052560033878736641831321770977372649505024k4 +79818441780782785298622655114118418614152880689308033436557543804575226170277636842717184k<sup>5</sup>  $+ 11861556659920223788540462451206599915743797275844984659267609794402598580893349608768000 k^{6}$ -3326425877717349404890766114854188474912014861300004392474168258124949363371872228243945472k<sup>7</sup> -298104089732034056505049036438120924639214745182516823294133069459179067117322460821253588484

-1377666751963431896524705385329854234087034814916723300484788474190179001940199011365834506244 -221132170868715022837450062532672166778701316102052890154080626384120653744701062068949029056k<sup>10</sup>  $+ 1807663668476843379787247448654704281223072364906507467853970901734633584037194483383449411584k^{11}$  $+ 17210516363703688109410764981931187566113319520547741849634865036725432133642018859904797599616 k^{12}$ +76453250500788007077602612456964514915210305030229523300641479285800042789091039931251226507392k13  $+ 171826074945197597439477944345955886878696447327774201742732925591358550636049577372250627146816k^{14}$ -187799799219729462130480345019905757098329529988354011206691518378527904690248560149070734150912k<sup>15</sup> -35069355407552015160616287656713989793348923976302284747316456668027913051630826289579316306209284<sup>16</sup>  $-16685981007862080222261942014908814524889083182754418387932967366610605254027552236687716057516160k^{17}$  $-46741539140815536453664256883776051478980280491609165478123328651577225431704752392429668464103648k^{18}$ -61791521559184706472527367904713974509060889517035425682666785763021907402125508683791314157012224k<sup>19</sup>  $+ 131249601858955428013626830456690535318883698534523207989005957351159703116814171185594919788454000 k^{20}$  $+1068544560710046898111443181163038729656721629680505566453439569887009100129604384121851588603022464k^{21}$  $+ 3463079992414460988274354308988673334223003901096743582305383724385402690601787822817732797861546441 k^{22} k^{22} k^{2} k$ +6419822113434879250282302315521108299434239825846597981042573749810170217477256298287696571335421600k<sup>23</sup>  $+1979519903939803893438172353625722285226670911874921540140986628363321908317603740148445491021677176k^{24}$  $-34683376930038393458953245095561876552348902973219361538733201261128603393761859204472584541895138972 k^{25}38972 k^{25}389$  $-154087104607807508146279666033043838233560701359289712190153578642306380570193723790622660606190028364 \\ k^{26}$  $-427455243182638450672140169260207191723922410340555485500167115355466526596877319824129201909624857024k^{27}$  $-923089682323392891666047074140958455517108052382898305706194205345481921257734025646023101855683462418 {\rm k}^{28}$ -1708972993943732388803634206263203439555789464315773328360019952062724292775643178118739178779929839696*k*<sup>29</sup> -30119902058529231109453082608913568677081473890867705276920749555033091309271941703730669702264100197264<sup>30</sup> -5678934487344602279227963368062517721546353608634445744656987743819749786276387550890061336279696102364k<sup>31</sup>  $-11815279314924989958518720012276790070346076895949444644113895058705170425027501349046603253701891281152k^{32}$  $-24801774380754131156491823684222603099127511055198039944478179594317357994308496365195196441449729521496k^{33}$  $-47168261827276459298454261797663378900778492070840713684305556059277688132995070307373076527936197929299k^{34}$  $-75093411932431688760387739140737443441255559997177462428517787642799225677995702550689867686646689044176{k}^{35}$ -907354901707651554373660447771378702234140139229753513749084986661763485672383577393481758229284777773006<sup>36</sup>  $-57849476055832003614944342766728571184345788180763119952952913029256133284610070847957480209575804762128k^{37}$  $+70880080173819969063467196699223343380987603661719313659638637345083086195282278990831134960221594135595k^{38}$  $+702602033267609853865934083513335793819190112296049924813989635004024334123484721444302942726842203139792k^{40}$  $+ 109935511051632687378482021964758781448946115759205865068425510627678158591508539939751252834522838396k^{41} + 1089355110516326873784820219647587814489461157592058650684255106276781585915851508539939751252834522838396k^{41} + 1089355110516326873784820219647587814489461157592058650684255106276781585915851508539939751252834522838396k^{41} + 10893551105163268737848202196475878144894611575920586506842551062767815859158539939751252834522838396k^{41} + 10893551105163268737848202196475878144894611575920586506842551062767815859158539939751252834522838396k^{41} + 10893568506842551062767815859158539939751252834522838396k^{41} + 1089356850684255106276781585915859158539939751252834522838396k^{41} + 1089356k^{41} + 108935k^{41} + 108855k^{41} + 1088$ +1360267765310901248406493563365479530444515456076185510570653832713615208384957283039844063779330806071530k42  $+856425206002602118369511459413241543702348442377962504559503612316575451706497918417802644392316745079506k^{44}$  $+36202229725222098978705097649075549897565065186741028922276800070330634228322967526133340650475647204164^{45}$  $-946839103974113378797000648703545303571428530555410279939368539264263076489821725642429989436746737392464 \\ k^{46} + k^{46} +$  $-1802233567414672516966550805472280866222380869926731160045983498527266251669107588719838398980164400794052k^{47}$ -2267969979723143318867523216896052151550388575255975020412037502920019855688847925523445213287333679308040k<sup>48</sup>  $-2218801712794043701393753065026915691317666044553362193996205842708962228706503765542477961145186583426768 k^{49}$ -17096614730643097590569947530230212532965806458089053634686894852784633050337412640623121742802903264569294<sup>50</sup> -936781256404498758173082528974828953598912621525992091190348088876666258693337608190909410469912078373792k<sup>51</sup>  $+470972067971017621267656262451844221395791326419647036421835335501596126938170576271471792576057499620064 k^{53}$  $+803997923185930692496647021544550619030769736154446720922427781266229831154361437656218405394908377769368k^{56}$ +618699993454747560183153212395875363653989069105303181572673005133501803388817511305201261981862448965788455

 $+259611314168884006788277847769604371584536041946756686790189045071201455112557236836100998698597973483168k^{59}$  $+144711522136417430545461503606109880498634371338735959612384211753269240781296504523147401998388531985906k^{60}$  $+73479169050130646151453725878188996814286295581744971021938636684228002715931683620190937389017126091120k^{61}$  $+ 34055509281654745349183438734975572063260564581942378515054237850639368481616365580386334352784313467002 k^{62} + 10000 k^{10} + 10000 k^$ +14413329056702784347876012650085728773229504993902823506324224926423511343914366808885183512047100312028k63  $+5565928139449973907459771580680131070217469889200147395135209213229722441637881976739774535781989837936k^{64}$  $+1957325191797168552171033574826019996992995134519519803260725250424720928804594113485493671220288854824k^{65}$  $+180383969458627961202989383265868774365499162274931933515735551185358671783002693213520904214192018800 k^{67}$  $+ 46817949116451900797748670248298961704611767473584313537835991741717254695275449730282109053029547852{k}^{68}$  $+ 10849875318994151603032797323948467554024140287730197036749234364809086774742896450246169431629221552k^{69}$  $+2225221508950651459592654266022542551525792485857827235132999395189877944485800821244395576606621885k^{70}$  $+399333836316577577906961899511206835549858229188976348726535631026857736989583546786091985945900680k^{71}$  $+61796521467123963355105984078485972861372383293338624242889260861139163023969503929397554019685408k^{72}$  $+8089022711223645600529464708861676042153179708190779666179924655790669763003713977485678758757892 k^{73}$  $+872542331281041329790157302889074619752457775630885310148043768798640392163509372031654114995970k^{74}$  $+74745328394994581611779939844485006064910130668357818169305194856380178617038980552481143084848k^{75}$ +4811350227207088047657661135034745075604151309575889046968705504827542728514813286504294378254k<sup>76</sup>  $+212942275657411544202473275950082965976660220469767880277522625858544001198392584154640557888k^{77}$ +5581022912242884171446108084587147924257178666593492361474466389316172301566873799443094596k<sup>78</sup>  $+76335623138985695233552036225597533902650187236945887492566357639490967096736389365202500 k^{79}$  $+ 1550152988085496822992578379085646470777530030706561536010310454161996471356618527375000k^{80}$ +691243125044510274005912103124481176332055353520718216999811338315381410100700000000k<sup>81</sup>  $+119088766094523880809538001718084393280753588166996644685873362375280914043759765625k^{82})^2$ 

# References

- Arenstorf, R.F.: Central configurations of four bodies with one inferior mass. Celest. Mech. 28, 9–15 (1982)
- Albouy, A., Kaloshin, V.: Finiteness of central configurations of five bodies in the plane. Ann. Math. 176, 535–588 (2012)
- Barros, J., Leandro, E.: The set of degenerate central configurations in the planar restricted four body problem. SIAM J. Math. Anal. 43, 634–661 (2011)
- Barros, J., Leandro, E.: Bifurcations and enumeration of classes of relative equilibria in the planar restricted four body problem. SIAM J. Math. Anal. 46(2), 1185–1203 (2014)
- Corbera, M., Cors, J., Llibre, J.: On the central configurations of the 1 + 3 body problem. Celest. Mech. Dyn. Astron. 109, 27–43 (2011)
- Corbera, M., Cors, J., Llibre, J., Moeckel, R.: Bifurcation of relative equilibria of the 1+3 body problem. SIAM J. Math. Anal. 47(2), 1377–1404 (2015)
- 7. Cohen, A.M., Cuypers, H., Sterk, H. (eds.): Some Tapas of Computer Algebra. Springer, Berlin (1999)
- Collins, G.E., Krandick, W.: An efficient algorithm for infallible polynomial complex root isolation. In: Wang, P.S. (ed.) Proceedings of ISSAC'92, pp. 189–194 (1992)
- Casasayas, J., Llibre, J., Nunes, A.: Central configurations of the planar 1 + n body problem. Celest. Mech. Dyn. Astron. 60, 273–288 (1994)
- Cors, J.M., Llibre, J., Olle, M.: Central configurations of the planar coorbital satellite problem. Celest. Mech. Dyn. Astron. 89, 319–342 (2004)
- Cox, D., Little, J., O'Shea, D.: Ideals, Varieties and Algorithms, an Introduction to Computational Algebraic Geometry and Commutative Algebra. Undergrad. Texts Math. Springer, New York (1992)
- 12. Gantmacher, F.R.: Theory of Matrices(I). Chelsea, New York (1960)
- Gonzales-Vega, L., Traverso, C., Zanoni, A.: Hilbert stratification and parametric Gröbner bases. In: CASC 2005. LNCS, vol. 3781, pp. 220–235 (2005)
- 14. Hagihara, Y.: Celestial Mechanics, vol. 1. MIT Press, Cambridge (1970)

- Hampton, M., Jensen, A.: Finiteness of spatial central configurations in the 5 body problem. Celest. Mech. Dyn. Astron. 109, 321–332 (2011)
- Hampton, M., Moeckel, R.: Finiteness of relative equilibria of the four-body problem. Invent. Math. 163, 289–312 (2006)
- Leandro, E.: On the Dziobek configurations of the restricted (N + 1)-body problem with equal masses. Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., Ser. S 4(4), 589–595 (2008)
- 18. Moulton, F.R.: The straight line solutions of the problem of n bodies. Ann. Math. 12, 1–17 (1910)
- Michelucci, D., Foufou, S.: Using Cayley–Menger determinants for geometry constraint solving. In: ACM Symposium on Solid Modeling and Application, pp. 285–290 (2004)
- Pedersen, P.: Librationspunkte im restringierten Vierörperproblem. Danske Vid. Selsk. Math.-Fys. 21, 1–80 (1944)
- Santos, A.A.: Dziobek's configurations in restricted problems and bifurcations. Celest. Mech. Dyn. Astron. 90, 213–238 (2004)
- Simó, C.: Relative equilibrium solutions in the four body problem. Celest. Mech. Dyn. Astron. 18, 165– 184 (1978)
- 23. Saari, D.: On the role and properties of n-body central configurations. Celest. Mech. 21, 9–20 (1980)
- 24. Smale, S.: Mathematical problems for the next century. Math. Intell. **20**, 7–15 (1998)
- 25. Tsai, Y.: Real root counting for parametric polynomial systems and Applications. Ph.D. Thesis
- Tsai, Y.: Counting central configurations at the bifurcation points.nb. Mathematica notebook. Available from http://web.nchu.edu.tw/~yltsai/