

Characters of Fundamental Representations of Quantum Affine Algebras

Vyjayanthi Chari · Adriano A. Moura

Accepted: 15 February 2006
© Springer Science + Business Media B.V. 2006

Abstract We give closed formulae for the q -characters of the fundamental representations of the quantum loop algebra of a classical Lie algebra, in terms of a family of partitions satisfying some simple properties. We also give the multiplicities of the eigenvalues of the imaginary subalgebra in terms of these partitions.

Key words q -characters · quantum affine algebra · braid group action

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000) 20G42

1. Introduction

In this paper we study the q -characters of the fundamental finite-dimensional representations of the quantum loop algebra \mathbf{U}_q associated to a classical simple Lie algebra. The notion of q -characters defined in [7] is analogous to the usual notion of a character of a finite-dimensional representation of a simple Lie algebra. These characters and their generalizations have been studied extensively [6, 9, 11] using combinatorial and geometric methods. A more representation theoretic approach was developed in [4]. In particular, that paper approached the problem of studying whether the q -characters admitted a Weyl group invariance which was analogous to the invariance of characters of finite-dimensional representations of simple Lie algebras. In the quantum case, it is reasonable to expect that the Weyl group be replaced by the braid group, [2, 3, 7] but it is easy to see that this is false even for sl_2 . However, it was shown in [4] that in a suitably modified way, the q -characters of the fundamental representations of the quantum loop algebra of a classical Lie algebra do admit an invariance under the braid group action. It was also shown that the q -character of such representations could then be calculated in a certain inductive way.

V. Chari (✉) · A.A. Moura
Department of Mathematics,
University of California, Riverside, CA 92521, USA
e-mail: chari@math.ucr.edu

A.A. Moura
e-mail: adrianoam@math.ucr.edu

In this paper, we use that inductive method to give closed formulas for the q -characters of all the fundamental representations of the quantum loop algebras of a classical simple Lie algebra. To describe the results a bit further, recall that the quantum loop algebra admits a commutative subalgebra $\mathbf{U}_q(0)$ corresponding to the imaginary root vectors. Any finite-dimensional representation V of the quantum loop algebra, breaks up as a direct sum of generalized eigenspaces for the action of $\mathbf{U}_q(0)$. These are called the ℓ -weight spaces and the eigenvalues corresponding to the non-zero eigenspaces are called the ℓ -weights of the representation. The ℓ -weights lie in a free abelian multiplicative group \mathcal{P}_q . Let $\mathbf{Z}[\mathcal{P}_q]$ be the integral group ring over \mathcal{P}_q and for $\varpi \in \mathcal{P}_q$, let V_ϖ be the corresponding eigenspace of V . The element of $\mathbf{Z}[\mathcal{P}_q]$ defined by,

$$\text{ch}_\ell(V) = \sum_{\varpi \in \mathcal{P}_q} \dim(V_\varpi) e(\varpi),$$

is called the q -character of V . If P is the usual weight lattice of the simple Lie algebra, then it was shown in [4] that there exists a canonical group homomorphism $\text{wt} : \mathcal{P}_q \rightarrow P$.

Assume now that V is a fundamental representation of the quantum loop algebra. Roughly speaking, this means that V corresponds to a canonical generator of \mathcal{P}_q . It was shown in [4] that the problem of determining the ℓ -weights of V is reduced to determining V_ϖ where $\text{wt}(\varpi)$ is in the dominant chamber P^+ of P . Assume from now on that $\text{wt}(\varpi) \in P^+$. We give explicit formulas for ϖ with $V_\varpi \neq 0$. In the case of B_n , C_n , we see as a consequence that $\dim V_\varpi = 1$ (this was proved by different methods in [9]). In the case of D_n it can happen that $\dim(V_\varpi) > 1$ and we compute this dimension in Section 5. The idea is to show that every ℓ -weight ϖ comes from a partition \mathbf{j} with certain properties and we find that $\dim V_\varpi = 2^{M_j}$ where M_j is defined in a canonical way in Section 5.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Let \mathfrak{g} be a complex finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra of rank n and let \mathfrak{h} be a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} . Set $I = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and let $\{\alpha_i : i \in I\}$ (resp. $\{\omega_i : i \in I\}$) be the set of simple roots (resp. fundamental weights) of \mathfrak{g} with respect to \mathfrak{h} . Let also $\check{\alpha}_i$ denote the simple co-roots. As usual, Q , (resp. P) denotes the root (resp. weight) lattice of \mathfrak{g} , $Q^+ = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{N}\alpha_i$, and $P^+ = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{N}\omega_i$. Let W be the Weyl group of \mathfrak{g} generated by simple reflections $\{s_i : i \in I\}$. For $w \in W$, let $\ell(w)$ denote the length of a reduced expression for w . Given $\lambda = \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i \omega_i \in P^+$ let $W(\lambda)$ be the subgroup of W generated by $\{s_i : i \in I, \lambda_i = 0\}$ and let W_λ be the set of left coset representatives of $W/W(\lambda)$ of minimal length. The braid group \mathcal{B} associated to \mathfrak{g} is generated by elements T_i , $i \in I$ and relations

$$\begin{aligned} T_i T_j &= T_j T_i, \quad \text{if } a_{ij} = 0, \\ T_i T_j T_i &= T_j T_i T_j, \quad \text{if } a_{ij} a_{ji} = 1, \\ (T_i T_j)^2 &= (T_j T_i)^2, \quad \text{if } a_{ij} a_{ji} = 2, \\ (T_i T_j)^3 &= (T_j T_i)^3, \quad \text{if } a_{ij} a_{ji} = 3, \end{aligned}$$

where $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $A = (a_{ij})$ $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ is the Cartan matrix of \mathfrak{g} . For $i \in I$, fix integers $d_i \in \mathbf{N}$ minimal such that $d_i a_{ij} = d_j a_{ji}$ for all $j \in I$. Given $w \in W$ and a

reduced expression $w = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_k}$ let $T_w = T_{i_1} \cdots T_{i_k}$ be the corresponding element of \mathcal{B} . It is well-known that T_w is independent of the choice of the reduced expression.

2.2. Let $q \in \mathbf{C}^\times$ and assume that q is not a root of unity. For $r, m \in \mathbf{N}$, $m \geq r$, define complex numbers,

$$[m]_q = \frac{q^m - q^{-m}}{q - q^{-1}}, \quad [m]_q! = [m]_q [m-1]_q \cdots [2]_q [1]_q, \quad \begin{bmatrix} m \\ r \end{bmatrix}_q = \frac{[m]_q!}{[r]_q! [m-r]_q!}.$$

Set $q_i = q^{d_i}$ and $[m]_i = [m]_{q_i}$.

Let \mathcal{P}_q be the (multiplicative) subgroup of $\mathbf{C}(u)^n$ generated by the elements, $\omega_{i,a}$, $i \in I$, $a \in \mathbf{C}^\times$, where $\omega_{i,a}$ is the n -tuple of elements in $\mathbf{C}(u)$ whose i^{th} entry is $1 - au$ and all other entries 1. The elements $\omega_{i,a}$ are called ℓ -fundamental weights. It is obvious that \mathcal{P}_q is generated freely as an abelian group by the fundamental ℓ -weights. \mathcal{P}_q is called the ℓ -weight lattice. Given any element $\varpi \in \mathcal{P}_q$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$, let ϖ_j be the j^{th} entry of ϖ .

Let \mathcal{P}_q^+ be the monoid generated by 1 and the elements $\omega_{i,a}$, $i \in I$, $a \in \mathbf{C}^\times$, clearly \mathcal{P}_q^+ consists of n -tuples of polynomials with constant term one and an element of \mathcal{P}_q^+ is called an ℓ -dominant weight. Let $\text{wt} : \mathcal{P}_q \rightarrow P$ be the group homomorphism defined by extending, $\text{wt}(\omega_{i,a}) = \omega_i$.

The group \mathcal{B} acts on \mathcal{P}_q as follows [2, 3, 7]: For $i \in I$ and $\varpi = (\varpi_1, \dots, \varpi_n) \in \mathcal{P}_q$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (T_i \varpi)_j &= \varpi_j, \quad \text{if } a_{ji} = 0, \\ (T_i \varpi)_j &= \varpi_j(u) \varpi_i(q_i u), \quad \text{if } a_{ji} = -1, \\ (T_i \varpi)_j &= \varpi_j(u) \varpi_i(q^3 u) \varpi_i(qu), \quad \text{if } a_{ji} = -2, \\ (T_i \varpi)_j &= \varpi_j(u) \varpi_i(q^5 u) \varpi_i(q^3 u) \varpi_i(qu), \quad \text{if } a_{ji} = -3, \\ (T_i \varpi)_i &= \frac{1}{\varpi_i(q_i^2 u)}. \end{aligned}$$

For $i \in I$, set

$$\alpha_{i,a} = (T_i(\omega_{i,a}))^{-1} \omega_{i,a},$$

and let \mathcal{Q}_q be the subgroup of \mathcal{P}_q generated by the $\alpha_{i,a}$. Let \mathcal{Q}_q^+ the monoid generated by 1 and $\alpha_{i,a}$, $i \in I$, $a \in \mathbf{C}^\times$, and $\mathcal{Q}_q^- = (\mathcal{Q}_q^+)^{-1}$.

2.3. The quantum loop algebra \mathbf{U}_q of \mathfrak{g} is the algebra with generators $x_{i,r}^\pm$ ($i \in I$, $r \in \mathbf{Z}$), $K_i^{\pm 1}$ ($i \in I$), $h_{i,r}$ ($i \in I$, $r \in \mathbf{Z} \setminus \{0\}$) and the following defining relations:

$$\begin{aligned} K_i K_i^{-1} &= K_i^{-1} K_i = 1, \quad K_i K_j = K_j K_i, \\ K_i h_{j,r} &= h_{j,r} K_i, \\ K_i x_{j,r}^\pm K_i^{-1} &= q_i^{\pm a_{ij}} x_{j,r}^\pm, \\ [h_{i,r}, h_{j,s}] &= 0, \quad [h_{i,r}, x_{j,s}^\pm] = \pm \frac{1}{r} [ra_{ij}]_{q^r} x_{j,r+s}^\pm, \\ x_{i,r+1}^\pm x_{j,s}^\pm - q_i^{\pm a_{ij}} x_{j,s}^\pm x_{i,r+1}^\pm &= q_i^{\pm a_{ij}} x_{i,r}^\pm x_{j,s+1}^\pm - x_{j,s+1}^\pm x_{i,r}^\pm, \\ [x_{i,r}^\pm, x_{j,s}^-] &= \delta_{i,j} \frac{\psi_{i,r+s}^+ - \psi_{i,r+s}^-}{q_i - q_i^{-1}}, \\ \sum_{\pi \in \Sigma_m} \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^k \begin{bmatrix} m \\ k \end{bmatrix}_i x_{i,r_{\pi(1)}}^\pm \cdots x_{i,r_{\pi(k)}}^\pm x_{j,s}^\pm x_{i,r_{\pi(k+1)}}^\pm \cdots x_{i,r_{\pi(m)}}^\pm &= 0, \quad \text{if } i \neq j, \end{aligned}$$

for all sequences of integers r_1, \dots, r_m , where $m = 1 - a_{ij}$, Σ_m is the symmetric group on m letters, and the $\psi_{i,r}^\pm$ are determined by equating powers of u in the formal power series

$$\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \psi_{i,\pm r}^\pm u^{\pm r} = K_i^{\pm 1} \exp\left(\pm(q_i - q_i^{-1}) \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} h_{i,\pm s} u^{\pm s}\right).$$

Let $\mathbf{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ be the subalgebra of \mathbf{U}_q generated by the elements $x_{i,0}^\pm, K_i^{\pm 1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

2.4. For $i \in I$, set

$$h_i^\pm(u) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{\pm k} h_{i,\pm k}}{|k|_i} u^k,$$

and define elements $P_{i,\pm k}$, $i \in I$, $k \in \mathbf{Z}$, $k \geq 0$, by the generating series,

$$P_i^\pm(u) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_{i,\pm k} u^k = \exp(-h_i^\pm(u)). \quad (1.1)$$

Let $\mathbf{U}_q^\pm(0)$ be the subalgebra of \mathbf{U}_q generated by the elements $h_{i,\pm k}$ $i \in I$, $k \in \mathbf{Z}$, $k > 0$, or equivalently, the subalgebra generated by the elements $P_{i,\pm k}$, $i \in I$, $k \in \mathbf{Z}$, $k > 0$, and let $\mathbf{U}_q(0)$ be the subalgebra generated by $\mathbf{U}_q^\pm(0)$. An element $\varpi = (\varpi_1, \dots, \varpi_n) \in \mathcal{P}_q$ can be regarded as an element of $\text{Hom}(\mathbf{U}_q(0), \mathbf{C})$ by extending the assignment,

$$\varpi(P_i^\pm(u)) = \varpi_i^\pm(u),$$

where $\varpi_i^+(u) = \varpi_i$, $\varpi_i^- = u^{\deg \varpi_i} \varpi_i(u^{-1}) / (u^{\deg \varpi_i} \varpi_i(u^{-1}))|_{u=0}$.

2.5. Given a \mathbf{U}_q -module V and $\mu = \sum_i \mu_i \omega_i \in P$, set

$$V_\mu = \{v \in V : K_i \cdot v = q_i^{\mu_i} v, \quad \forall i \in I\}.$$

We say that V is a module of type 1 if

$$V = \bigoplus_{\mu \in P} V_\mu.$$

Set

$$\text{wt}(V) = \{\mu \in P : V_\mu \neq 0\},$$

and given $v \in V_\mu$ set $\text{wt}(v) = \mu$. An element $\varpi \in \mathcal{P}_q$ is an ℓ -weight of V if there exists a non-zero element $v \in V$ such that

$$(P_{i,\pm r} - (\varpi_i^\pm)_r)^N v = 0, \quad N \equiv N(i, r, v) \in \mathbf{Z}^+,$$

for all $i \in I$ and $r \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ and v is called an ℓ -weight vector in V with ℓ -weight ϖ . Let V_ϖ be the subspace of V spanned by ℓ -weight vectors with ℓ -weight ϖ . If V is a finite-dimensional \mathbf{U}_q -module, then,

$$V = \bigoplus_{\varpi \in \mathcal{P}_q} V_\varpi, \quad V_\mu = \bigoplus_{\varpi \in \mathcal{P}_q} V_\varpi \cap V_\mu.$$

Denote by $\text{wt}_\ell(V)$ the set of ℓ -weights of V and define $\text{wt}_\ell(v)$ in the obvious way.

2.6. Let \mathcal{C}_q be the category of finite-dimensional \mathbf{U}_q -modules of type 1. A module $V \in \mathcal{C}_q$ is ℓ -highest weight with ℓ -highest weight $\varpi \in \mathcal{P}_q$ if there exists a non-zero vector $0 \neq v \in V$ such that $V = \mathbf{U}_q v$ and,

$$x_{i,r}^+ v = 0, \quad P_i^\pm(u)v = (\varpi)_i^\pm v, \quad K_i^{\pm 1}v = q^{\pm \text{wt}(\varpi(\check{\alpha}_i))}v, \quad (x_{i,r}^-)^{\text{wt}(\varpi(\check{\alpha}_i))+1}v = 0, \quad (1.2)$$

for all $i \in I$, $r \in \mathbf{Z}$. The element v is called the ℓ -highest weight vector.

Any ℓ -highest weight module has a unique irreducible quotient which is also a highest weight module with the same highest weight. There exists a bijective correspondence between elements of \mathcal{P}_q^+ and isomorphism classes of irreducible finite-dimensional modules, [5]. Given $\omega \in \mathcal{P}_q^+$, let $V(\omega) \in \mathcal{C}_q$ be an element in the corresponding isomorphism class, and let v_ω be the ℓ -highest weight vector. Then, $V(\omega)_{\text{wt } \omega} = \mathbf{C}v_\omega$.

2.7. From now on we suppose \mathfrak{g} is of classical type, i.e., \mathfrak{g} is of type A_n , B_n , C_n or D_n . The following result was proved in [4].

THEOREM. *Let $i \in I$, $a \in \mathbf{C}^\times$ and $V = V(\omega_{i,a})$. Assume that $\varpi \in \text{wt}_\ell(V)$ is such that $\text{wt}(\varpi) = \lambda \in P^+$.*

(i) *For all $w \in W_\lambda$ we have*

$$\dim(V_\varpi) = \dim(V_{T_w \varpi}),$$

and

$$T_w(\text{wt}_\ell(V_\lambda)) = \text{wt}_\ell(V_{w\lambda}).$$

(ii) *Suppose that $\varpi \neq \omega_{i,a}$. There exists $\varpi' \in \text{wt}_\ell(V)$, $\mu = \text{wt}(\varpi') \in P^+$, $w \in W_\mu$, $j \in I$ with $\ell(s_j w) = \ell(w) + 1$, and $c \in \mathbf{C}^\times$ such that*

$$(T_w(\varpi'))_j = (1 - cu)(1 - c'u) \quad \text{and} \quad \varpi = T_w(\varpi')(a_{j,c})^{-1}$$

for some $c' \neq cq_j^2$, and

$$\dim(V_\varpi) \geq 2 \quad \text{if} \quad c = c'.$$

Further, for all $v \in V_{T_w(\varpi')}$ and $s \in \mathbf{Z}$,

$$x_{j,s}^- v \in V_\varpi + V_{T_w(\varpi')(a_{j,c'})^{-1}}. \quad (1.3)$$

COROLLARY. *We have*

$$\text{ch}_\ell(V) = \sum_{\lambda \in P^+} \sum_{w \in W_\lambda} \sum_{\varpi \in \text{wt}_\ell(V_\lambda)} (\dim V_\varpi) e(T_w(\varpi)).$$

From now on, we will let ϖ also denote the element $e(\varpi)$ of $\mathbf{Z}[\mathcal{P}_q]$. Notice that since the group \mathcal{P}_q is multiplicative, this should cause no confusion.

2.8. It follows from the corollary that if $V(\omega_{i,a})$ is a minuscule representation of \mathfrak{g} , i.e., $V(\omega_{i,a})_\lambda = 0$ for all $\lambda \in P^+$ with $\lambda < \omega_i$, then

$$\text{ch}_\ell(V(\omega_{i,a})) = \sum_{w \in W_{\omega_i}} T_w(\omega_{i,a}).$$

It follows from [5] that this is the case for all fundamental representations of A_n , the spin nodes for the orthogonal algebras, and the natural representations of C_n and D_n . In the rest of the paper we consider the remaining cases.

2.9. We conclude this section with a stronger version of Theorem 1.7(ii). Let $\mathbf{U}_{q_j}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_j)$ be the subalgebra of \mathbf{U}_q generated by the elements $x_{j,m}^\pm, h_{j,s}, K_j^{\pm 1}$, $m, s \in \mathbf{Z}$, $s \neq 0$. It is known that $\mathbf{U}_{q_j}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_j)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{U}_{q_j}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$.

PROPOSITION. *Let $i \in I$, $a \in \mathbf{C}^\times$, $V = V(\omega_{i,a})$. Let $\varpi' \in \text{wt}_\ell(V)$ satisfy the following: $\mu = \text{wt}(\varpi') \in P^+$,*

$$(T_w(\varpi'))_j = (1 - cu)(1 - c'u),$$

for some $c' \neq cq_j^{-2}$ and $w \in W_\mu$, $j \in I$ satisfying $(w(\mu) - \alpha_j) \in P^+$. Set, $\varpi = T_w(\varpi') \times (\alpha_{j,c})^{-1}$. Then

$$\dim(V_\varpi) \geq \dim(V_{\varpi'}).$$

Moreover, if $c = c'$, then

$$\dim(V_\varpi) \geq 2 \dim(V_{\varpi'}).$$

Proof. First note that if $\mu = \text{wt}(\varpi') \in P^+$ then $\mu = \omega_r$ for some $r \leq i$, (see [4, Section 1] for instance). Further, since $w \in W$ and $j \in I$ are such that $\ell(s_j w) = \ell(w) + 1$ it follows that $w\omega_r + \alpha_j \notin \text{wt}(V)$. Since $\text{wt}(T_w(\varpi')) = w\omega_r$, it follows that

$$x_{j,m}^+ V_{T_w(\varpi')} = 0, \quad \forall m \in \mathbf{Z}.$$

Choose a basis $\{v_1, \dots, v_p\}$ of $V_{T_w(\varpi')}$ such that

$$\mathbf{U}_q(0)v_m \in \sum_{s \leq m} \mathbf{C}(q)v_s.$$

Let $U_m = \mathbf{U}_{q_j}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_j)v_m$. Then U_m/U_{m-1} is an ℓ -highest weight module for $\mathbf{U}_{q_j}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_j)v_m$ with highest weight $(1 - cu)(1 - c'u)$ and hence by [4, 7] there exists a unique (up to scalar multiple) non-zero element in the span of $\{x_{j,r}^- v_m : r \in \mathbf{Z}\}$ which is an eigenvector (modulo U_{m-1}) for the $P_{j,r}$ with eigenvalue ϖ_j if $c \neq c'$ and two linearly independent elements if $c = c'$. Equation (1.3) of Theorem 1.7(ii) now implies that such vectors are in V_ϖ and hence the proposition is proved. \square

Remark. It will actually follow from Theorem 2.3 and its proof that equality holds in Proposition 1.9.

3. Closed Formulae for q -Characters

In this section we state the main theorem which gives closed formulas for the ℓ -weights ϖ with $\text{wt}(\varpi) \in P^+$ of the fundamental representations of quantum affine algebras.

3.1. Assume that the Dynkin diagram of \mathfrak{g} is labeled as in [1]. Throughout this section we shall assume that we have fixed an integer i such that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 < i \leq n &\quad \text{if } \mathfrak{g} = C_n, \\ 1 \leq i < n &\quad \text{if } \mathfrak{g} = B_n, \\ 1 < i \leq n-2 &\quad \text{if } \mathfrak{g} = D_n. \end{aligned}$$

Define a subset I_i of I by,

$$I_i = \{r : 0 \leq r \leq i\}, \quad \text{if } \mathfrak{g} = B_n, \quad (2.1)$$

$$= \{r : 0 \leq r \leq i, r \equiv i \pmod{2}\}, \quad \text{if } \mathfrak{g} = C_n, D_n. \quad (2.2)$$

From now on, given $r \in I_i$, we shall denote by M the greatest integer less than or equal to $(i-r)/2$.

3.2. For $r \in I_i$, let $\mathbf{J}_{k,r}$ be the set of partitions $r < j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_k \leq n$ of length k and satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} j_s \leq n - i + r + 2s - 1, \quad 1 \leq s \leq k, &\quad \text{if } \mathfrak{g} = C_n, \\ j_k < n, &\quad \text{if } \mathfrak{g} = D_n. \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$\mathbf{J}_r = \mathbf{J}_{M,r} \quad \text{if } \mathfrak{g} = C_n, \quad (2.3)$$

$$= \cup_{0 \leq k \leq M} \mathbf{J}_{k,r} \quad \text{if } \mathfrak{g} = B_n, D_n, \quad (2.4)$$

where $\mathbf{J}_{0,r}$ consists of the empty partition.

3.3. Given $j \in I$, $r \in I_i$ and an integer $2d_1s \in \mathbb{N}$, define elements $\pi_r(j, s) \in \mathcal{P}_q$ by

$$\pi_r(j, s) = \omega_{j, q_1^{i+j-2s-2r}}^{-1} \omega_{j, q_1^{h-i-j+2s}},$$

where h is the dual Coxeter number of \mathfrak{g} if \mathfrak{g} is of type B_n or D_n and is twice the dual Coxeter number if \mathfrak{g} is of type C_n . Given $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbf{J}_r$, set

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_r(\mathbf{j}) &= \omega_{r, q_1^{r-i}} \prod_{s=1}^k \pi_r(j_s - 1, s - 1) \pi_r^{-1}(j_s, s), && \text{if either } \mathfrak{g} = C_n \text{ or } j_k < \bar{n}, \\ &= \omega_{r, q_1^{r-i}} \left(\prod_{s=1}^{k-1} \pi_r(j_s - 1, s - 1) \pi_r^{-1}(j_s, s) \right) \pi_r(n - 1, k - 1) \\ &\quad \times \pi_r^{-1}(n, k - 1/4), && \text{if } \mathfrak{g} = B_n \text{ and } j_k = n, \\ &= \omega_{r, q_1^{r-i}} \left(\prod_{s=1}^k \pi_r(j_s - 1, s - 1) \pi_r^{-1}(j_s, s) \right) \pi_r^{-1}(n, k + 1/2), \\ &&& \text{if } \mathfrak{g} = D_n \text{ and } j_k = n - 1. \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{n} = n$ (resp. $\bar{n} = n - 1$) if $\mathfrak{g} = B_n$ (resp. if $\mathfrak{g} = D_n$). We understand that if \mathbf{j} is the empty partition, then $\pi_r(\mathbf{j}) = \omega_{r,q^{j_r-i}}$ and, if $r = 0$, that $\omega_{0,a} = 1$ and $\omega_0 = 0$.

If \mathfrak{g} is of type B_n , define $\pi_r(\mathbf{j}, *) \in \mathcal{P}_q$ by

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_r(\mathbf{j}, *) &= \omega_{n,q^{2(n-i+2k)-1}} \omega_{n,q^{2(n+i-2k-2r)-1}}^{-1}, \text{ if } k \neq M \text{ and } j_k \neq n, \\ &= 1, \quad \text{otherwise.}\end{aligned}$$

If \mathfrak{g} is of type D_n , define elements $\pi_r(\mathbf{j}, \pm) \in \mathcal{P}_q$ by

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_r(\mathbf{j}, +) &= \omega_{n,q^{n-i+2k-1}} \omega_{n,q^{n+i-2r-2k-1}}^{-1}, \\ \pi_r(\mathbf{j}, -) &= \omega_{n-1,q^{n-i+2k-1}} \omega_{n-1,q^{n+i-2r-2k-1}}^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

THEOREM. Let $V = V(\omega_{i,1})$.

- (i) If \mathfrak{g} is of type C_n , the assignment $\mathbf{J}_r \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_q$ defined by $\mathbf{j} \mapsto \pi_r(\mathbf{j})$ is injective and the image is $\text{wt}_\ell(V_{\omega_r})$. In particular,

$$\text{ch}_\ell(V) = \sum_{r \in I_i} \sum_{w \in W_{\omega_r}} \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathbf{J}_r} T_w(\pi_r(\mathbf{j})).$$

- (ii) If \mathfrak{g} is of type B_n , the assignment $\mathbf{J}_r \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_q$ defined by $\mathbf{j} \mapsto \pi_r(\mathbf{j})\pi_r(\mathbf{j}, *)$ is injective and the image is $\text{wt}_\ell(V_{\omega_r})$. In particular,

$$\text{ch}_\ell(V) = \sum_{r \in I_i} \sum_{w \in W_{\omega_r}} \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathbf{J}_r} T_w(\pi_r(\mathbf{j})\pi_r(\mathbf{j}, *)).$$

- (iii) If \mathfrak{g} is of type D_n , then

$$\text{wt}_\ell(V_{\omega_r}) = \{\pi_r(\mathbf{j})\pi_r(\mathbf{j}, \pm) : \mathbf{j} \in \mathbf{J}_{k,r}, 0 \leq k < M\} \cup \{\pi_r(\mathbf{j}) : \mathbf{j} \in \mathbf{J}_{M,r}\}.$$

Moreover

$$\text{ch}_\ell(V) = \sum_{r \in I_i} \sum_{w \in W_{\omega_r}} \left(\sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathbf{J}_r \setminus \mathbf{J}_{M,r}} (T_w(\pi_r(\mathbf{j})\pi_r(\mathbf{j}, +)) + T_w(\pi_r(\mathbf{j})\pi_r(\mathbf{j}, -))) + \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathbf{J}_{M,r}} T_w(\pi_r(\mathbf{j})) \right).$$

We prove the theorem in the next three sections using Theorem 1.7 in an inductive way.

4. The Case of C_n

4.1. Observe that the set \mathbf{J}_r depends on n, i, r and it will be necessary for the proofs to write \mathbf{J}_r as $\mathbf{J}_r(i)$. Notice moreover that

$$(j_1, \dots, j_M) \in \mathbf{J}_r(i) \Leftrightarrow (j_2, \dots, j_M) \in \mathbf{J}_{j_1}(i - r + j_1 - 2), \quad (3.1)$$

and also that

$$(j_1, \dots, j_{M-1}) \in \mathbf{J}_{r+2}(i) \Leftrightarrow (j_1 - 2, j_2 - 2, \dots, j_{M-1} - 2, j_M) \in \mathbf{J}_r(i) \quad \forall \quad j_{M-1} - 2 < j_M < n. \quad (3.2)$$

LEMMA. *We have*

$$|\mathbf{J}_r(i)| = \binom{n-r}{M} - \binom{n-r}{M-1} = \dim V(\omega_i)_{\omega_r}.$$

Proof. It suffices to prove the first equality, the second being well-known (see [8] for instance). If $M = 0, 1$ the result clearly holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$. Assume now that we know the result for all $M' < M$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $1 \leq i \leq n$. By (3.1) we get,

$$|\mathbf{J}_r(i)| = \sum_{j=r+1}^{n-2M+1} |\mathbf{J}_{j_1}(i-r+j_1-2)| = \sum_{j=r+1}^{n-2M+1} \left(\binom{n-j}{M-1} - \binom{n-j}{M-2} \right),$$

where in the last equality we used the induction hypothesis. The identity

$$\binom{m}{l} = \binom{m-1}{l} + \binom{m-1}{l-1}, \quad 1 \leq l \leq m-1,$$

now gives the result. \square

4.2.

LEMMA. *The map $\mathbf{j} \mapsto \pi_r(\mathbf{j})$ from $\mathbf{J}_r(i) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_q$ is injective.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on M . Suppose that $\pi_r(\mathbf{j}) = \pi_r(\mathbf{j}')$ for some $\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{j}' \in \mathbf{J}_r(i)$. Writing $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, \dots, j_M)$ and $\mathbf{j}' = (j'_1, \dots, j'_M)$ and comparing the $(j_1 - 1)^{\text{th}}$ entries in $\pi_r(\mathbf{j})$ and $\pi_r(\mathbf{j}')$ we find that $j_1 = j'_1$. This proves that induction starts at $M = 1$. The inductive step follows by (3.1). \square

4.3. For $r > 1$ and $r - 1 \leq j < n$ define elements $w_{r,j} \in W$ and $T_{r,j} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{g})$ by

$$w_{r,j} = s_{j-1}s_{j-2} \cdots s_{r-1}s_{j+1}s_{j+2} \cdots s_{n-1}s_n \cdots s_r, \\ T_{r,j} = T_{w_{r,j}}.$$

It is not hard to check (see [10] for instance) that $w_{r,j} \in W_{\omega_r}$.

The next proposition is a straightforward if a somewhat tedious computation.

PROPOSITION.

(i) *For all $r \in I$, and $r - 1 \leq j < n$, we have*

$$w_{r,j}\omega_r = \omega_{r-2} + \alpha_j.$$

$$(ii) \quad T_{r,j}(\omega_{l,a}) = \begin{cases} \omega_{l-2,aq^2}\omega_{j-1,aq^{j-l+3}}^{-1}\omega_{j,aq^{l-t+2}}\omega_{j,aq^{2n-j-l+2}}\omega_{j+1,aq^{2n-j-l+3}}^{-1}, & \text{if } r \leq l \leq j, \\ \omega_{l,aq^2}\omega_{j,aq^{l-j}}\omega_{j,aq^{2n-j-l+2}}\omega_{j+1,aq^{l-j+1}}^{-1}\omega_{j+1,aq^{2n-j-l+3}}^{-1}, & \text{if } l > j. \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

4.4. Part (i) of Theorem 2.3 now follows from Lemma 3.2 and the next proposition.

PROPOSITION. *We have*

$$\text{wt}_\ell(V_{\omega_r}) = \{\pi_r(\mathbf{j}) : \mathbf{j} \in \mathbf{J}_r\}, \quad (3.4)$$

and

$$\dim V_{\pi_r(\mathbf{j})} = 1 \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{j} \in \mathbf{J}_r. \quad (3.5)$$

Proof. Recall from [5] that

$$V \cong V(\omega_i)$$

as $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules. It suffices to prove that,

$$\{\pi_r(\mathbf{j}) : \mathbf{j} \in \mathbf{J}_r\} \subset \text{wt}_\ell(V_{\omega_r}), \quad (3.6)$$

since Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2 then imply both (3.4) and (3.5).

To prove (3.6) we proceed by induction on M with induction beginning at $M = 0$. Assume that we know the result for $M - 1$. To prove the inductive step it follows from (3.2) that if $\mathbf{j} = (\mathbf{j}_1, \dots, \mathbf{j}_M) \in \mathbf{J}_r$ then $\mathbf{j}' = (\mathbf{j}_1 + 2, \dots, \mathbf{j}_{M-1} + 2) \in \mathbf{J}_{r+2}$. The induction hypothesis implies that $\pi_{r+2}(\mathbf{j}') \in \text{wt}_\ell(V)$ and hence by Theorem 1.7 we see that $T_{r+2, j}(\pi_{r+2}(\mathbf{j}')) \in \text{wt}_\ell(V)$ for all $r < j < n$.

Using Proposition 3.3(ii) we find that

$$T_{r+2, j}(\pi_{r+2}(\mathbf{j}')) = \pi_r(\mathbf{j}) \alpha_{j, q^{2n+i-j-2r-2M}},$$

and also that the j^{th} -coordinate of $T_{r+2, j}(\pi_{r+2}(\mathbf{j}'))$ is

$$(1 - q^{i+j-2r-2M} u)(1 - q^{2n+i-j-2r-2M} u).$$

Hence by Theorem 1.7(ii) we see that

$$\pi_r(\mathbf{j}) = T_{r+2, j}(\pi_{r+2}(\mathbf{j}')) \alpha_{j, q^{2n+i-j-2r-2M}}^{-1} \in \text{wt}_\ell(V_{\omega_r}). \quad \square$$

5. The Case of B_n

5.1.

LEMMA. *We have*

$$|\mathbf{J}_r| = \sum_{k=0}^M \binom{n-r}{k} = \dim V_{\omega_r}.$$

Proof. The first equality is clear. For the second, recall that it was proved in [5] that $V \cong \bigoplus_{l=0}^{\lfloor i/2 \rfloor} V(\omega_{i-2l})$ as $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules. Since

$$\dim V(\omega_j)_{\omega_r} = \binom{n-r}{\lfloor \frac{j-r}{2} \rfloor}, \quad j < n,$$

it follows that

$$\dim V_{\omega_r} = \sum_{l=0}^M \binom{n-r}{\left[\frac{i-2l-r}{2}\right]} = \sum_{k=0}^M \binom{n-r}{k}. \quad \square$$

5.2.

LEMMA. *The map $\mathbf{j} \mapsto \pi_r(\mathbf{j})\pi_r(\mathbf{j}, *)$ from \mathbf{J}_r to \mathcal{P}_q is injective.*

Proof. Suppose that $0 \leq k, k' \leq M$, $\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{j}' \in \mathbf{J}_r$, $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, \dots, j_k)$, $\mathbf{j}' = (j'_1, \dots, j'_{k'})$ are such that

$$\pi_r(\mathbf{j})\pi_r(\mathbf{j}, *) = \pi_r(\mathbf{j}')\pi_r(\mathbf{j}', *). \quad (4.1)$$

We first show that $k = k'$. For this, notice that for any $\mathbf{j}'' = (j''_1, \dots, j''_{k''}) \in \mathbf{J}_r$ we have

$$(\pi_r(\mathbf{j}'')\pi_r(\mathbf{j}'', *))_n = 1 \iff k'' = M \text{ and } j''_{k''} < n.$$

Hence, $(\pi_r(\mathbf{j})\pi_r(\mathbf{j}, *))_n = 1$ implies $k = k' = M$. Otherwise, the equation

$$(\pi_r(\mathbf{j})\pi_r(\mathbf{j}, *))_n = (\pi_r(\mathbf{j}')\pi_r(\mathbf{j}', *))_n$$

gives that either $j_k, j'_{k'} < n$ or $j_k = j'_{k'} = n$. In the first case we get $\pi_r(\mathbf{j}, *)_n = \pi_r(\mathbf{j}', *)_n$ and in the second case we get $\pi_r(\mathbf{j})_n = \pi_r(\mathbf{j}')_n$. In any case it follows that $k = k'$.

Now, suppose $\mathbf{j} \neq \mathbf{j}'$ and let $1 \leq s_0 \leq n$ be minimal such that $j_{s_0} \neq j'_{s_0}$. Assume without loss of generality that $j'_{s_0} > j_{s_0}$. This means that $j'_{s_0-1} = j_{s_0-1} < j'_{s_0} - 1$ and hence

$$\pi_r(\mathbf{j}')_{j'_{s_0}-1} = (1 - q_1^{2n-i-j'_{s_0}+2s_0-2} u)(1 - q_1^{i+j'_{s_0}-2s_0-2r+1} u)^{-1} \neq 1. \quad (4.2)$$

We claim now that there exists $s_1 \geq s_0$ such that $j_{s_1} = j'_{s_0} - 1$. Assuming the claim, we get a contradiction to the fact that $\mathbf{j} \neq \mathbf{j}'$ as follows. Since

$$\pi_r(\mathbf{j}')_{j'_{s_0}-1} = (1 - q_1^{i+j'_{s_0}-2s_1-2r-1} u)(1 - q_1^{2n-i-j'_{s_0}+2s_1} u)^{-1} = \pi_r(\mathbf{j})_{j_{s_1}},$$

comparing with (4.2) gives

$$2n - i - j'_{s_0} + 2s_0 - 2 = i + j'_{s_0} - 2s_1 - 2r - 1,$$

which is obviously impossible. To prove the claim, set

$$s_1 = \max\{1 \leq s \leq k : j_s < j'_{s_0}\}.$$

The claim follows if we prove that $j_{s_1+1} > j'_{s_0}$. The maximality of s_1 implies that $j_{s_1+1} \geq j'_{s_0}$ and hence it suffices to prove that $j_{s_1+1} \neq j'_{s_0}$. If $j_{s_1+1} = j'_{s_0}$ then the same argument that gave (4.2) gives,

$$\pi_r(\mathbf{j})_{j_{s_1+1}-1} = (1 - q_1^{2n-i-j_{s_1+1}+2(s_1+1)-2} u)(1 - q_1^{i+j'_{s_1+1}-2(s_1+1)-2r+1} u)^{-1} \neq 1,$$

which implies that $s_1 + 1 = s_0$ contradicting $s_1 \geq s_0$. \square

5.3. For $r > 0$ and $r \leq j < n$ define elements $w_{r,j} \in W_{\omega_r}$ by,

$$\begin{aligned} w_{r+1,j} &= s_{j-1}s_{j-2} \cdots s_r s_{j+1}s_{j+2} \cdots s_{n-1}s_n \cdots s_{r+1}, \\ w_{r,n} &= s_{n-1} \cdots s_r, \\ T_{r,j} &= T_{w_{r,j}}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of the next proposition is along the same lines as the proof of Proposition 3.3 and we omit the details.

PROPOSITION.

(i) For all $r \in I \setminus \{n\}$ and $r-1 \leq j < n$ we have

$$w_{r,j}\omega_r = \omega_{r-2} + \alpha_j \quad \text{and} \quad w_{r,n}\omega_r = \omega_{r-1} + \alpha_n.$$

(ii) For $r-1 \leq j < n-1$ and $r \leq l < n$ we have:

$$T_{r,j}(\omega_{l,1}) = \begin{cases} \omega_{l-2,q_1^2} \omega_{j-1,q_1^{j-l+3}}^{-1} \omega_{j,q_1^{j-l+2}} \omega_{j,q_1^{2n-j-l-1}} \omega_{j+1,q_1^{2n-j-l}}^{-1}, & \text{if } l \leq j, \\ \omega_{l,q_1^2} \omega_{j,q_1^{l-j}} \omega_{j,q_1^{2n-j-l-1}} \omega_{j+1,q_1^{l-j+1}}^{-1} \omega_{j+1,q_1^{2n-j-l}}^{-1}, & \text{if } l > j. \end{cases} \quad (4.3)$$

Further,

$$\begin{aligned} T_{r,j}(\omega_{n,q^{-1}}) &= \omega_{j,q_1^{n-j-1}} \omega_{j+1,q_1^{n-j}}^{-1} \omega_{n,q^3}, \\ T_{r,n-1}(\omega_{l,1}) &= \omega_{l-2,q_1^2} \omega_{n-2,q_1^{n-l+2}}^{-1} \omega_{n-1,q_1^{n-l+1}} \omega_{n-1,q_1^{n-l}} \omega_{n,q^{2(n-l)+1}}^{-1} \omega_{n,q^{2(n-l)+3}}^{-1}, \\ T_{r,n-1}(\omega_{n,q^{-1}}) &= \omega_{n-1,1} \omega_{n,q}^{-1}, \\ T_{r,n}(\omega_{l,1}) &= \omega_{l-1,q_1} \omega_{n-1,q_1^{n-l+1}}^{-1} \omega_{n,q^{2(n-l)-1}} \omega_{n,q^{2(n-l)+1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

5.4. To prove Theorem 2.3(ii) we proceed by induction on M . Induction clearly begins when $M = 0$. The inductive step is immediate from the following proposition, Lemma 4.1, and Lemma 4.2.

PROPOSITION. Assume that $M > 0$ and let $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, \dots, j_k) \in \mathbf{J}_r$.

(i) If $k < M$ and $j_k < n$ we have:

$$\pi_r(\mathbf{j})\pi_r(\mathbf{j}, *) = T_{r+1,n}(\pi_{r+1}(\mathbf{j}')\pi_{r+1}(\mathbf{j}', *))\alpha_{n,a}^{-1} \in \text{wt}_\ell(V_{\omega_r}),$$

where $\mathbf{j}' = (j_1 + 1, \dots, j_k + 1) \in \mathbf{J}_{r+1}$ and $a = q^{2(n+i-2r-2k)-3}$.

(ii) If $j_k = n$, we have

$$\pi_r(\mathbf{j})\pi_r(\mathbf{j}, *) = T_{r+1,n}(\pi_{r+1}(\mathbf{j}')\pi_{r+1}(\mathbf{j}', *))\alpha_{n,a}^{-1} \in \text{wt}_\ell(V_{\omega_r}),$$

where $\mathbf{j}' = (j_1 + 1, \dots, j_{k-1} + 1) \in \mathbf{J}_{r+1}$ and $a = q^{2(n-i+2k)-5}$.

(iii) If $k = M$ and $j_k < n$, then

$$\pi_r(\mathbf{j})\pi_r(\mathbf{j}, *) = T_{r+2,j}(\pi_{r+2}(\mathbf{j}')\pi_{r+2}(\mathbf{j}', *))\alpha_{j,a}^{-1} \in \text{wt}_\ell(V_{\omega_r}),$$

where $\mathbf{j}' = (j_1 + 2, \dots, j_{k-1} + 2) \in \mathbf{J}_{r+2}$, $a = q_1^{2n-j-r-3}$, and $j = j_k$.

Proof. Observe first that it is clear that the elements \mathbf{j}' defined in the proposition are in \mathbf{J}_{r+1} in the first two cases and in \mathbf{J}_{r+2} in the third case. The fact that $\pi_r(\mathbf{j})\pi_r(\mathbf{j}, *)$ and $\pi_{r+1}(\mathbf{j}')\pi_{r+1}(\mathbf{j}', *)$ (resp. $\pi'_{r+2}(\mathbf{j}')\pi_{r+2}(\mathbf{j}', *)$) are related as in the proposition is again a tedious but simple checking using the formulas in Proposition 4.3(ii). The main point is to notice that this implies $\pi_r(\mathbf{j})\pi_r(\mathbf{j}, *) \in \text{wt}_\ell(V_{\omega_r})$. For that, one observes that the calculation gives, respectively:

$$(i) (T_{r+1,n}(\pi_{r+1}(\mathbf{j}')\pi_{r+1}(\mathbf{j}', *)))_n = (1 - q^{2(n+i-2r-2k)-3}u)(1 - q^{2(n-i+2k)-1}u), \text{ if } j_k = n-1 \text{ or } k < M-1/2, \\ = (1 - q^{2(n+i-2r-2k)-3}u)(1 - q^{2(n+i-2r-2k)-5}u) \text{ if } j_k < n-1 \text{ and } k = M-1/2.$$

$$(ii) (T_{r+1,n}(\pi_{r+1}(\mathbf{j}')\pi_{r+1}(\mathbf{j}', *)))_n = (1 - q^{2(n-i+2k)-5}u)(1 - q^{2(n+i-2r-2k)+1}u). \\ (iii) (T_{r+2,j}(\pi_{r+2}(\mathbf{j}')\pi_{r+2}(\mathbf{j}', *)))_j = (1 - q_1^{j-r}u)(1 - q_1^{2n-j-r-3}u).$$

The result then follows from Theorem 1.7. \square

6. The Case of D_n

6.1.

LEMMA. *We have:*

$$|\mathbf{J}_{M,r}| + 2 \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} |\mathbf{J}_{k,r}| = \sum_{l=0}^M \binom{n-r}{l} = \dim V_{\omega_r}.$$

Proof. It follows from the definition of $\mathbf{J}_{k,r}$ that

$$|\mathbf{J}_{k,r}| = \binom{n-r-1}{k},$$

and hence to prove the the first equality we must show that

$$\sum_{l=0}^M \binom{n-r}{l} = \binom{n-r-1}{M} + 2 \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} \binom{n-r-1}{k}.$$

Using the binomial identity

$$\binom{n-r}{l} = \binom{n-r-1}{l} + \binom{n-r-1}{l-1},$$

we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{l=0}^M \binom{n-r}{l} &= 1 + \sum_{l=1}^M \left(\binom{n-r-1}{l} + \binom{n-r-1}{l-1} \right) \\ &= 1 + \binom{n-r-1}{M} + \sum_{l=1}^{M-1} \binom{n-r-1}{l} + \sum_{l=0}^{M-1} \binom{n-r-1}{l} \\ &= \binom{n-r-1}{M} + 2 \sum_{l=0}^{M-1} \binom{n-r-1}{l}. \end{aligned}$$

For the second equality, recall that it was proved in [5] that as $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules

$$V \cong \bigoplus_{l=0}^{[i/2]} V(\omega_{i-2l}).$$

The result now follows since

$$\dim V(\omega_j)_{\omega_r} = \binom{n-r}{(j-r)/2}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n-2.$$

6.2. Given $r > 1$ and $r-1 \leq j \leq n$, define elements $w_{r,j} \in W_{\omega_r}$ by,

$$\begin{aligned} w_{r,j} &= s_{j-1}s_{j-2} \cdots s_{r-1}s_{j+1} \cdots s_{n-2}s_n s_{n-1} \cdots s_r, \quad j \leq n-2, \\ &= s_{n-2} \cdots s_{r-1}s_{j'}s_{n-2} \cdots s_r, \quad j, j' \in \{n-1, n\}, \quad j' \neq j, \\ T_{r,j} &= T_{w_{r,j}}. \end{aligned}$$

PROPOSITION. *For all $1 < r \leq n-2$ and $r-1 \leq j \leq n$ we have:*

$$(i) \quad w_{r,j}\omega_r = \omega_{r-2} + \alpha_j.$$

$$(ii) \quad T_{r,j}(\omega_{l,a}) = \begin{cases} \omega_{l,aq^2}\omega_{j,aq^{l-j}}\omega_{j,aq^{2n-l-2-j}}\omega_{j+1,aq^{l-j+1}}^{-1}\omega_{j+1,aq^{2n-l-j-1}}^{-1}, & \text{if } j < l, \\ \omega_{l-2,aq^2}\omega_{j-1,aq^{l-t+3}}^{-1}\omega_{j,aq^{l-t+2}}\omega_{j,aq^{2n-l-j-2}}\omega_{j+1,aq^{2n-l-j-1}}^{-1}, & \text{if } l \leq j \leq n-2, \\ \omega_{l-2,aq^2}\omega_{n-2,aq^{n-l+2}}^{-1}\omega_{j,aq^{n-l-1}}\omega_{j,aq^{n-l+1}}, & \text{if } j = n-1, n, \end{cases} \quad (5.1)$$

if $1 \leq l \leq n-2$ and

$$T_{r,j}(\omega_{l,a}) = \begin{cases} \omega_{j,aq^{n-1-j}}\omega_{j+1,aq^{n-j}}^{-1}\omega_{l',aq^2}, & \text{if } j < n-2, \\ \omega_{n-2,aq}\omega_{l,aq^2}^{-1}, & \text{if } j = n-2, \\ \omega_{l,a}, & \text{if } j = l, \\ \omega_{n-3,aq^2}\omega_{n-2,aq^3}^{-1}\omega_{l',aq^2}, & \text{if } j = l', \end{cases} \quad (5.2)$$

if $l = n-1, n$, where $l' \in \{n-1, n\} \setminus \{l\}$.

6.3. The next proposition is proved in a similar manner to the corresponding one for B_n and C_n . We omit the details this time.

PROPOSITION. *For $M \geq 0$, we have*

$$\{\pi_r(\mathbf{j}) : \mathbf{j} \in \mathbf{J}_{M,r}\} \cup \{\pi_r(\mathbf{j})\pi_r(\mathbf{j}, \pm) : \mathbf{j} \in \mathbf{J}_{k,r}, 0 \leq k < M\} \subset \text{wt}_\ell(V_{\omega_r}).$$

6.4. To complete the proof of Theorem 2.3(iii), we must prove that in fact

$$\{\pi_r(\mathbf{j}) : \mathbf{j} \in \mathbf{J}_{M,r}\} \cup \{\pi_r(\mathbf{j})\pi_r(\mathbf{j}, \pm) : \mathbf{j} \in \mathbf{J}_{k,r}, 0 \leq k < M\} = \text{wt}_\ell(V_{\omega_r}).$$

For D_n this is more difficult, since it is no longer true that the maps $\mathbf{j} \mapsto \pi_r(\mathbf{j})\pi_r(\mathbf{j}, \pm)$ are injective.

The next lemma is a simple checking.

LEMMA. *Let $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbf{J}_{k,r}$ and $\mathbf{j}' \in \mathbf{J}_{k',r}$.*

- (i) *We have $\pi_r(\mathbf{j}, \pm) = \pi_r(\mathbf{j}', \pm)$ iff $k = k'$. Moreover, if $k = M$, then $\pi_r(\mathbf{j}, \pm) = 1$.*
- (ii) *If $\pi_r(\mathbf{j})\pi_r(\mathbf{j}, +) = \pi_r(\mathbf{j}')\pi_r(\mathbf{j}', \pm)$, then $k = k'$. Moreover, if $k = k' < M$, then $\pi_r(\mathbf{j})\pi_r(\mathbf{j}, +) \neq \pi_r(\mathbf{j}')\pi_r(\mathbf{j}', -)$.*

6.5. Define an equivalence relation \sim on $\mathbf{J}_{k,r}$ by

$$\mathbf{j} \sim \mathbf{j}' \iff \pi_r(\mathbf{j}) = \pi_r(\mathbf{j}').$$

Let $\bar{\mathbf{j}}$ be the equivalence class of \mathbf{j} .

PROPOSITION. *Let $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbf{J}_{k,r}$. Then*

$$\dim V_{\pi_r(\mathbf{j})\pi_r(\mathbf{j}, \pm)} \geq |\bar{\mathbf{j}}|.$$

Assuming this proposition the proof of Theorem 2.3(iii) is completed as follows. For each $0 \leq k \leq M$, fix a set $\mathbf{S}_{k,r} \subset \mathbf{J}_{k,r}$ of representatives of the distinct equivalence classes with respect to \sim . Proposition 5.5 and Lemma 5.4 imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathbf{S}_{M,r}} \dim(V_{\pi_r(\mathbf{j})}) &+ \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathbf{S}_{k,r}} (\dim(V_{\pi_r(\mathbf{j})\pi_r(\mathbf{j},+)}) + \dim(V_{\pi_r(\mathbf{j})\pi_r(\mathbf{j},-)})) \\ &\geq \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathbf{S}_{M,r}} |\bar{\mathbf{j}}| + 2 \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathbf{S}_{k,r}} |\bar{\mathbf{j}}| = |\mathbf{J}_{M,r}| + 2 \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} |\mathbf{J}_{k,r}|. \end{aligned}$$

Since $V_{\pi_r(\mathbf{j})\pi_r(\mathbf{j}, \pm)} \subset V_{\omega_r}$ it follows from Lemma 5.1 that

$$V_{\omega_r} = \left(\bigoplus_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathbf{S}_{M,r}} (V_{\pi_r(\mathbf{j})}) \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{k=0}^{M-1} \bigoplus_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathbf{S}_{k,r}} (V_{\pi_r(\mathbf{j})\pi_r(\mathbf{j},+)} \oplus V_{\pi_r(\mathbf{j})\pi_r(\mathbf{j},-)}) \right),$$

which proves Theorem 2.3(iii).

6.6. It remains to prove Proposition 5.5. This requires some combinatorial definitions and results which we now establish and which allow us to actually compute $|\bar{\mathbf{j}}|$ in terms of $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbf{J}_{k,r}$. Thus we shall see that

$$|\bar{\mathbf{j}}| = 2^{M_{\mathbf{j}}}, \quad (5.3)$$

where $M_{\mathbf{j}} = |\{s : 1 \leq s \leq k, j_s \in \{n-i+r+2s-2, n-i+r+2s-1\}\}|$.

6.7. Recall that a strictly increasing partition \mathbf{n} of positive integers of length k is an increasing sequence $0 < j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_k$ of natural numbers. Let

$$\text{supp}(\mathbf{n}) = \{j_s : 1 \leq s \leq k\},$$

and let $\iota_{\mathbf{n}} : \text{supp}(\mathbf{n}) \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$ be defined by $\iota_{\mathbf{n}}(j) = s$, if $j = j_s$ for $1 \leq s \leq k$. Clearly any finite subset of \mathbf{N} defines a strictly increasing partition.

Given a finite subset $S \subset \mathbf{N}$ and a partition \mathbf{n} of length k , let \mathbf{n}_S be the partition corresponding to the set,

$$(\text{supp}(\mathbf{n}) \setminus S) \cup (S \setminus \text{supp}(\mathbf{n})).$$

Clearly,

$$\mathbf{n}_S \neq \mathbf{n}_{S'} \text{ if } S \neq S',$$

and

$$(\mathbf{n}_S)_S = \mathbf{n}, \quad (\mathbf{n}_S)_{S'} = (\mathbf{n}_{S'})_S = (\mathbf{n})_{S \cup S'}, \quad \text{if } S \cap S' = \emptyset. \quad (5.4)$$

We shall adopt the convention that if $k, k' \in \mathbf{Z}$, then $[k, k'] = \{\min\{k, k'\}, \min\{k, k'\} + 1, \dots, \max\{k, k'\}\}$. Define also $(k, k']$ and $[k', k)$ in the obvious way.

Associated with a partition \mathbf{n} , define functions $\sigma_{\mathbf{n}}^{\pm}, \tau_{\mathbf{n}}^{\pm} : \text{supp}(\mathbf{n}) \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$ by,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{\mathbf{n}}^+(j) &= \max\{j' \in \text{supp}(\mathbf{j}) : j' \geq j \text{ and } j'' - j < 2(\iota_{\mathbf{n}}(j'') - \iota_{\mathbf{n}}(j)) \forall j'' \in \text{supp}(\mathbf{j}) \cap (j, j']\}, \\ \sigma_{\mathbf{n}}^-(j) &= \min\{j' \in \text{supp}(\mathbf{j}) : j' \leq j \text{ and } j - j'' < 2(\iota_{\mathbf{n}}(j) - \iota_{\mathbf{n}}(j'')) \forall j'' \in \text{supp}(\mathbf{j}) \cap [j', j)\}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\tau_{\mathbf{n}}^{\pm}(j) = j + 2(\iota_{\mathbf{n}}(\sigma_{\mathbf{n}}^{\pm}(j)) - \iota_{\mathbf{n}}(j)) \pm 1.$$

The following lemma is easy.

LEMMA. *Let $j \in \text{supp}(\mathbf{n})$. Then,*

$$|\text{supp}(\mathbf{n}_{[j, \tau_{\mathbf{n}}^{\pm}(j)]})| = |\text{supp}(\mathbf{n})|.$$

From now on we set

$$\mathbf{n}^{\pm}(j) = \mathbf{n}_{[j, \tau_{\mathbf{n}}^{\pm}(j)]}, \quad j \in \text{supp}(\mathbf{n}). \quad (5.5)$$

Moreover, if $S \subset \text{supp}(\mathbf{n})$ is such that

$$[j, \tau_{\mathbf{n}}^{\pm}(j)] \cap [j', \tau_{\mathbf{n}}^{\pm}(j')] = \emptyset, \quad \forall j \neq j', j, j' \in S, \quad (5.6)$$

then set

$$\mathbf{n}^{\pm}(S) = (\mathbf{n}^{\pm}(j))^{\pm}(S \setminus \{j\}), \quad j \in S \subset \text{supp}(\mathbf{n}). \quad (5.7)$$

Notice that $\mathbf{n}^{\pm}(S)$ is well-defined by (5.4).

6.8. For a partition \mathbf{n} and an integer $m > 0$, define

$$\text{supp}_m(\mathbf{n}) = \{j \in \text{supp}(\mathbf{n}) : 2\iota_{\mathbf{n}}(j) = j - m\}.$$

The following lemma is easy.

LEMMA. *Let $j \in \text{supp}_m(\mathbf{n})$ for some $m > 1$. Then $\tau_{\mathbf{n}}^{\pm}(j) \in \text{supp}_{m \pm 1}(\mathbf{n}^{\pm}(j))$, and*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{supp}_{m \pm 1}(\mathbf{n}^{\pm}(j)) &= \text{supp}_{m \pm 1}(\mathbf{n}) \cup \{\tau_{\mathbf{n}}^{\pm}(j)\}, \\ \text{supp}_m(\mathbf{n}^{\pm}(j)) &= \text{supp}_m(\mathbf{n}) \setminus \{j\}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular $\tau_{\mathbf{n}^{\pm}(j)}^{\mp}(\tau_{\mathbf{n}}^{\pm}(j)) = j$.

Remark. Notice that $\mathbf{n}^{\pm}(S)$ is well defined for all $S \subset \text{supp}_m(\mathbf{j})$. The Lemma then implies that $\text{supp}_m(\mathbf{n}^{\pm}(\text{supp}_m(\mathbf{n}))) = \emptyset$.

6.9. From now on we set

$$N = n - i + r - 2, \quad \text{supp}^+(\mathbf{j}) = \text{supp}_N(\mathbf{j}), \quad \text{supp}^-(\mathbf{j}) = \text{supp}_{N+1}(\mathbf{j}). \quad (5.8)$$

Let $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbf{J}_{k,r}$. The next proposition describes $\bar{\mathbf{j}}$ for $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbf{J}_{k,r}$.

PROPOSITION. *Let $\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{j}' \in \mathbf{J}_{k,r}$. Then,*

$$\mathbf{j} \sim \mathbf{j}' \iff \mathbf{j}' = (\mathbf{j}^-(S^-))^+(S^+), \quad (5.9)$$

for some $S^- \subset \text{supp}^-(\mathbf{j})$ and $S^+ \subset \text{supp}^+(\mathbf{j}^-(S^-))$. In particular,

$$|\bar{\mathbf{j}}| = 2^{|\text{supp}^+(\mathbf{j})| + |\text{supp}^-(\mathbf{j})|}. \quad (5.10)$$

COROLLARY. *Let $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbf{J}_{k,r}$ be such that $\text{supp}^-(\mathbf{j}) = \emptyset$. Then $|\mathbf{j}| = 2^{|\text{supp}^+(\mathbf{j})|}$ and*

$$|\mathbf{J}_{k,r}| = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{j} \in \mathbf{J}_{k,r}, \\ \text{supp}^+\mathbf{j} = \emptyset}} 2^{|\text{supp}^+(\mathbf{j})|}.$$

Notice that (5.10) is immediate from (5.9) and Lemma 5.8.

For the proof, it is useful to notice that,

$$\pi_r(j, s) = 1 \Leftrightarrow 2s = j - (N + 1). \quad (5.11)$$

6.10. We now see that Proposition 5.9 can be deduced from the following.

PROPOSITION. *Let $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbf{J}_{k,r}$. For any $S \subset \text{supp}^\pm(\mathbf{j})$ we have $\mathbf{j}^\pm(S) \sim \mathbf{j}$.*

If $\mathbf{j}', \mathbf{j} \in \mathbf{J}_{k,r}$ are related as in the right hand side of (5.9), we have by Proposition 5.10 that,

$$\mathbf{j} \sim \mathbf{j}^-(S^-) \sim (\mathbf{j}^-(S^-))^+(S^+) = \mathbf{j}'.$$

For the converse, let $\mathbf{j} \sim \mathbf{j}'$ and assume that

$$\mathbf{j}^-(\text{supp}^-(\mathbf{j})) = \mathbf{j}'^-(\text{supp}^-(\mathbf{j}')). \quad (5.12)$$

Set

$$S^- = \text{supp}^-(\mathbf{j}) \quad \text{and} \quad S^+ = \tau_{\mathbf{j}}^-(\text{supp}^-(\mathbf{j}')).$$

It follows from Lemma 5.8 that

$$S^+ \subset \text{supp}^+(\mathbf{j}'^-(\text{supp}^-(\mathbf{j}'))).$$

Then, using (5.4), Lemma 5.8 and (5.12) we see that

$$\mathbf{j}' = (\mathbf{j}'^-(\text{supp}^-(\mathbf{j}')))^+(S^+) = (\mathbf{j}^-(S^-))^+(S^+).$$

It remains to show that (5.12) is always satisfied if $\mathbf{j} \sim \mathbf{j}'$. Observe that Proposition 5.10 gives

$$\mathbf{j}^-(\text{supp}^-(\mathbf{j})) \sim \mathbf{j}'^-(\text{supp}^-(\mathbf{j}')),$$

and that by Remark 5.8

$$\text{supp}^-(\mathbf{j}^-(\text{supp}^-(\mathbf{j}))) = \text{supp}^-(\mathbf{j}'^-(\text{supp}^-(\mathbf{j}'))) = \emptyset.$$

In other words, to prove (5.12) it suffices to prove,

$$\mathbf{j}_1 \sim \mathbf{j}_2, \text{ and } \text{supp}^-(\mathbf{j}_1) = \text{supp}^-(\mathbf{j}_2) = \emptyset \Rightarrow \mathbf{j}_1 = \mathbf{j}_2. \quad (5.13)$$

Indeed, if $\mathbf{j}_1 \neq \mathbf{j}_2$ set

$$j = \max\{j' : j' \in \text{supp}(\mathbf{j}_1) \cup \text{supp}(\mathbf{j}_2) \text{ and } j' \notin \text{supp}(\mathbf{j}_1) \cap \text{supp}(\mathbf{j}_2)\}.$$

Assume $j \in \text{supp}(\mathbf{j}_1)$. Then, either $j+1 \in \text{supp}(\mathbf{j}_1) \cap \text{supp}(\mathbf{j}_2)$ or $j+1 \notin \text{supp}(\mathbf{j}_1) \cup \text{supp}(\mathbf{j}_2)$. In any case it follows that

$$\pi_r(\mathbf{j}_1)_j = \pi_r(\mathbf{j}_2)_j = 1.$$

If $j+1 \notin \text{supp}(\mathbf{j}_1) \cup \text{supp}(\mathbf{j}_2)$ then $\pi_r(\mathbf{j}_1)_j = \pi_r(j, \iota_{\mathbf{j}_1}(j))$ and (5.11) gives that $j \in \text{supp}^-(\mathbf{j}_1)$. Otherwise we have $\pi_r(\mathbf{j}_2)_j = \pi_r(j, \iota_{\mathbf{j}_1}(j+1)-1)$ and (5.11) shows that $j \in \text{supp}^-(\mathbf{j}_1)$ in this case as well, contradicting $\text{supp}^-(\mathbf{j}_1) = \emptyset$. This completes the proof of Proposition 5.9.

6.11. Proof of Proposition 5.10. It clearly suffices to prove the result when $S = \{j\}$ since the general case follows by transitivity. We can assume that $j \in \text{supp}^+(\mathbf{j})$ since then using Lemma 5.8 the case $j \in \text{supp}^-(\mathbf{j})$ follows. Since,

$$\text{supp}(\mathbf{j}) \cap [r, j-1] = \text{supp}(\mathbf{j}^+(S)) \cap [r, j-1] \quad \text{and}$$

$$\text{supp}(\mathbf{j}) \cap [\tau_{\mathbf{j}}^+(j)+1, n] = \text{supp}(\mathbf{j}^+(S)) \cap [\tau_{\mathbf{j}}^+(j)+1, n],$$

it follows immediately that

$$\pi_r(\mathbf{j})_l = \pi_r(\mathbf{j}^+(S))_l \quad \forall l \in [r, j-1] \cup (\tau_{\mathbf{j}}^+(j), n].$$

If $l \in [j-1, \tau_{\mathbf{j}}^+(j)]$ we proceed by induction on l . To see that induction starts at $l = j-1$, observe that

$$j \notin \text{supp}(\mathbf{j}^+(S)), \quad 2(\iota_{\mathbf{j}}(j)-1) = (j-1) - (N+1).$$

The conclusion follows from (5.11). For the inductive step, assume that

$$\pi_r(\mathbf{j})_l = \pi_r(\mathbf{j}^+(S))_l, \quad \forall j-1 \leq l' < l \leq \tau_{\mathbf{j}}^+(j).$$

Assume first that $l \in \text{supp}(\mathbf{j})$, in particular $l < \tau_{\mathbf{j}}^+(j)$. If $(l+1) \in \text{supp}(\mathbf{j})$ then,

$$\pi_r(\mathbf{j})_l = \pi_r(\mathbf{j}^+(S))_l = 1$$

and we are done. If $(l+1) \notin \text{supp}(\mathbf{j})$, then $(l+1) \in \text{supp}(\mathbf{j}^+(j))$ and we have from the definition of π_r that,

$$\pi_r(\mathbf{j})_l = \pi_r(l, \iota_{\mathbf{j}}(l))_l \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_r(\mathbf{j}^+(j))_l = \pi_r(l, \iota_{\mathbf{j}^+(j)}(l+1)-1)_l,$$

which gives,

$$\pi_r(\mathbf{j})_l = (1 - q^{i-2r+l-2\iota_{\mathbf{j}}(l)} u)(1 - q^{2n-i-l+2\iota_{\mathbf{j}}(l)-2} u)^{-1},$$

and

$$\pi_r(\mathbf{j}^+(j))_l = (1 - q^{2n-i-l+2\iota_{\mathbf{j}^+(j)}(l+1)-4} u)(1 - q^{i+l-2r-2\iota_{\mathbf{j}^+(j)}(l+1)+2} u)^{-1}.$$

Let

$$l_0 = \min\{m \geq j : m \leq l'' \leq l \Rightarrow l'' \in \text{supp}(\mathbf{j})\}.$$

Then, either $l_0 = j$, in which case we have

$$\iota_{\mathbf{j}}(l) = \iota_{\mathbf{j}}(j) + l - j = (i - r - n - j + 2l + 2)/2, \quad \iota_{\mathbf{j}^+(j)}(l+1) = \iota_{\mathbf{j}}(j),$$

which implies

$$\pi_r(\mathbf{j})_l = \pi_r(\mathbf{j}^+(j))_l,$$

and we are done, or $l_0 > j$. In that case $(l_0 - 1) \in \text{supp}(\mathbf{j}^+(j))$, $\iota_{\mathbf{j}}(l) = \iota_{\mathbf{j}}(l_0) + l - l_0$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_r(\mathbf{j})_{l_0-1} &= \pi_r(l_0 - 1, \iota_{\mathbf{j}}(l_0) - 1)_{l_0-1}, & \text{and} \\ \pi_r(\mathbf{j}^+(j))_{l_0-1} &= \pi_r(l_0 - 1, \iota_{\mathbf{j}^+(j)}(l_0 - 1))_{l_0-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $l_0 - 1 < l$, the induction hypothesis gives $\pi_r(\mathbf{j})_{l_0-1} = \pi_r(\mathbf{j}^+(j))_{l_0-1}$, which implies that

$$\iota_{\mathbf{j}^+(j)}(l_0 - 1) = i + l_0 - n - r - \iota_{\mathbf{j}}(l_0) + 1$$

and, therefore,

$$\iota_{\mathbf{j}^+(j)}(l+1) = i + l_0 - n - r - \iota_{\mathbf{j}}(l_0) + 2.$$

The conclusion follows.

Finally, suppose that $l \notin \text{supp}(\mathbf{j})$. If $l = \tau_{\mathbf{j}}^+(j)$, then (5.11) and Lemma 5.7 imply

$$\pi_r(\mathbf{j})_l = \pi_r(\mathbf{j}^+(j))_l = 1,$$

and we are done. If $l < \tau_{\mathbf{j}}^+(j)$ and $l+1 \in \text{supp}(\mathbf{j}^+(j))$ we again have

$$\pi_r(\mathbf{j})_l = \pi_r(\mathbf{j}^+(j))_l = 1$$

and we are done. Otherwise we have $(l+1) \in \text{supp}(\mathbf{j})$, and

$$\pi_r(\mathbf{j})_l = \pi_r(l, \iota_{\mathbf{j}}(l+1) - 1)_l, \quad \pi_r(\mathbf{j}^+(j))_l = \pi_r(l, \iota_{\mathbf{j}^+(j)}(l))_l,$$

which gives,

$$\pi_r(\mathbf{j})_l = (1 - q^{2n-i-l+2\iota_{\mathbf{j}}(l+1)-4}u)(1 - q^{i-2r+l-2\iota_{\mathbf{j}}(l+1)+2}u)^{-1}$$

and

$$\pi_r(\mathbf{j}^+(j))_l = (1 - q^{i+l-2r-2\iota_{\mathbf{j}^+(j)}(l)}u)(1 - q^{2n-i-l+2\iota_{\mathbf{j}^+(j)}(l)-2}u).$$

Let

$$l_0 = \max\{l' : j \leq l' < l, l' \in \text{supp}(\mathbf{j})\}.$$

Then, $l_0 + 1 \in \text{supp}(\mathbf{j}^+(j))$ and

$$\iota_{\mathbf{j}^+(j)}(l) = \iota_{\mathbf{j}^+(j)}(l_0 + 1) + l - (l_0 + 1), \quad \iota_{\mathbf{j}}(l_0) = \iota_{\mathbf{j}}(l + 1) - 1.$$

In particular,

$$\pi_r(\mathbf{j})_{l_0} = \pi_r(l_0, \iota_{\mathbf{j}}(l_0))_{l_0} \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_r(\mathbf{j}^+(j))_{l_0} = \pi_r(l_0 + 1, \iota_{\mathbf{j}^+(j)}(l_0 + 1) - 1)_{l_0}.$$

Since $l_0 < l$, the induction hypothesis gives

$$\pi_r(\mathbf{j})_{l_0} = \pi_r(\mathbf{j}^+(j))_{l_0}$$

which implies that

$$\iota_{\mathbf{j}^+(j)}(l_0 + 1) = i - r - n + l_0 + \iota_{\mathbf{j}}(l_0) + 2.$$

It follows that $\pi_r(\mathbf{j}^+(j))_l = \pi_r(\mathbf{j})_l$ and the proof of the Proposition is complete. \square

6.12 Proof of Proposition 5.5. For this proof it is necessary to indicate that $\text{supp}^\pm(\mathbf{j})$ depends on r and so from now on, if $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbf{J}_{k,r}$ we denote the set $\text{supp}^\pm(\mathbf{j})$ by $\text{supp}_r^\pm(\mathbf{j})$. We also assume that the representatives of the equivalence classes of $\mathbf{J}_{k,r}$ are chosen so that $\text{supp}_r^-(\mathbf{j}) = \emptyset$. We will show that

$$\dim V_{\pi_r(\mathbf{j})\pi_s(\mathbf{j},\pm)} \geq |\bar{\mathbf{j}}| = 2^{|\text{supp}_s^+(\mathbf{j}')|} \quad \forall \mathbf{j} \in \mathbf{J}_r \text{ satisfying } \text{supp}_r^-(\mathbf{j}) = \emptyset.$$

We proceed by induction on $M = (i - r)/2$ noting that induction starts at $M = 0$. For the inductive step, assume that we know the result for all $M' < M$, i.e., we know that for all $s \in I_i$, $s > r$ we have

$$\dim V_{\pi_s(\mathbf{j}')\pi_s(\mathbf{j}',\pm)} \geq 2^{|\text{supp}_s^+(\mathbf{j}')|} \quad \forall \mathbf{j}' \in \mathbf{J}_s \text{ satisfying } \text{supp}_s^-(\mathbf{j}') = \emptyset.$$

Given $j \in \text{supp}^+(\mathbf{j})$, let $\mathbf{j}' \in \mathbf{J}_{k-1,r+2}$ be the partition whose support is given by,

$$\text{supp}(\mathbf{j}') = \{j' + 2 : r < j' < \sigma_j^+(j), j' \in \text{supp}(\mathbf{j})\} \cup \{j' \in \text{supp}(\mathbf{j}) : \sigma_j^+(j) < j' < n\}.$$

Observe that

$$\text{supp}_{r+2}^-(\mathbf{j}') = \emptyset,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{supp}_{r+2}^+(\mathbf{j}') &= \{j' + 2 : r < j' < \sigma_j^+(j), j' \in \text{supp}_r^+(\mathbf{j})\} \cup \{j' \in \text{supp}_r^+(\mathbf{j}) : \sigma_j^+(j) < j' \\ &\quad < n\}. \end{aligned}$$

This gives,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_j^+(j) > j &\Rightarrow |\text{supp}_{r+2}^+(\mathbf{j}')| = |\text{supp}_r^+(\mathbf{j})|, \\ \sigma_j^+(j) = j &\Rightarrow |\text{supp}_{r+2}^+(\mathbf{j}')| = |\text{supp}_r^+(\mathbf{j})| - 1. \end{aligned}$$

The inductive step is proved if we show that,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_j^+(j) > j &\Rightarrow \dim V_{\pi_r(\mathbf{j})\pi_r(\mathbf{j},\pm)} \geq \dim V_{\pi_{r+2}(\mathbf{j}')\pi_{r+2}(\mathbf{j}',\pm)}, \\ \sigma_j^+(j) = j &\Rightarrow \dim V_{\pi_r(\mathbf{j})\pi_r(\mathbf{j},\pm)} \geq 2 \dim V_{\pi_{r+2}(\mathbf{j}')\pi_{r+2}(\mathbf{j}',\pm)}. \end{aligned}$$

Set $l = \sigma_j^+(j)$. Using Lemma 5.2 we find that,

$$T_{r+2,l}(\pi_{r+2}(\mathbf{j}')\pi_{r+2}(\mathbf{j}',\pm)) = \pi_r(\mathbf{j})\pi_r(\mathbf{j},\pm)\alpha_{l,q}^{2n-i-l+2\iota_{\mathbf{j}}(l)-4},$$

and that

$$(T_{r+2,l}(\pi_{r+2}(\mathbf{j}')\pi_{r+2}(\mathbf{j}',\pm)))_l = (1 - q^{2n-i-l+2\iota_{\mathbf{j}}(l)-4}u)(1 - q^{i+l-2r-2\iota_{\mathbf{j}}(l)}u).$$

It now follows from Proposition 1.9 that $\dim V_{\pi_r(\mathbf{j})\pi_r(\mathbf{j}, \pm)} \geq \dim V_{\pi_{r+2}(\mathbf{j}')\pi_{r+2}(\mathbf{j}', \pm)}$. Further,

$$\sigma_{\mathbf{j}}^+(j) = j \Rightarrow (T_{r+2,l}(\pi_{r+2}(\mathbf{j}')\pi_{r+2}(\mathbf{j}', \pm)))_l = (1 - q^{n-r-2}u)^2,$$

and we are done by using Proposition 1.9 once more. \square

References

1. Bourbaki, N.: Groupes et Algèbres de Lie, Chapitres 4, 5, 6. Hermann, Paris (1968)
2. Bouwknegt, P., Pilch, K.: On deformed W-algebras and quantum affine algebras. *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* (2), 357–397 (1998)
3. Chari, V.: Braid group actions and tensor products. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* (7), 357–382 (2002)
4. Chari, V., Moura, A.: Characters and blocks for finite-dimensional representations of quantum affine algebras. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* (5), 257–298 (2005)
5. Chari, V., Pressley, A.: Quantum affine algebras and their representations. In: *Representations of Groups*, CMS Conf. Proc (Banff, AB, 1994) **16**, 59–78 (1995)
6. Frenkel, E., Mukhin, E.: Combinatorics of q -characters of finite-dimensional representations of quantum affine algebras. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **216**(1), 23–57 (2001)
7. Frenkel, E., Reshetikhin, N.: The q -characters of representations of quantum affine algebras and deformations of \mathcal{W} -algebras. Recent developments in quantum affine algebras and related topics (Raleigh, NC, 1998), *Contemp. Math.*, 248, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, pp. 163–205 (1999)
8. Fulton, W., Harris, J.: Representation Theory—A First Course, GTM 129, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York (1991)
9. Hernandez, D.: Monomials of q and q, t -characters for non-simply-laced quantum affinizations. *Math Z.* **250**(2), 443–473 (2005)
10. Humphreys, J.E.: Reflection Groups and Coxeter Groups. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **29**, Cambridge University Press (1990)
11. Nakajima, H.: t -analogs of q -characters of quantum affine algebras of type A_n, D_n . Combinatorial and geometric representation theory (Seoul, 2001). *Contemp. Math.* **325**, 141–160 (2003)