

# Estimated Brain Tissue Response Following Impacts Associated With and Without Diagnosed Concussion

Jonathan G. Beckwith,<sup>1</sup> Wei Zhao,<sup>2</sup> Songbai Ji,<sup>2,3</sup> Amaris G. Ajamil,<sup>1</sup> Richard P. Bolander,<sup>1</sup> Jeffrey J. Chu,<sup>1</sup> Thomas W. McAllister,<sup>4</sup> Joseph J. Crisco,<sup>5</sup> Stefan M. Duma,<sup>6</sup> Steven Rowson,<sup>6</sup> Steven P. Broglio,<sup>7</sup> Kevin M. Guskiewicz,<sup>8</sup> Jason P. Mihalik,<sup>8</sup> Scott Anderson,<sup>9</sup> Brock Schnebel,<sup>10</sup> P. Gunnar Brolinson,<sup>11</sup> Michael W. Collins,<sup>12</sup> and Richard M. Greenwald<sup>1,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Simbex, 10 Water Street Suite 410, Lebanon, NH 03748, USA; <sup>2</sup>Department of Biomedical Engineering, Worcester Polytechnic Institute, Worcester, MA, USA; <sup>3</sup>Thayer School of Engineering, Dartmouth College, Hanover, NH, USA; <sup>4</sup>Department of Psychiatry, Indiana School of Medicine, Indianapolis, IA, USA; <sup>5</sup>Department of Orthopaedics, Alpert Medical School of Brown University and Rhode Island Hospital, Providence, RI, USA; <sup>6</sup>Department of Biomedical Engineering and Mechanics, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, VA, USA; <sup>7</sup>NeuroTrauma Research Laboratory, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA; <sup>8</sup>Matthew Gfeller Sport-Related Traumatic Brain Injury Research Center, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, USA; <sup>9</sup>Department of Intercollegiate Athletics, University of Oklahoma, Norman, OK, USA; <sup>10</sup>Departments of Orthopedics and Athletics, University of Oklahoma, Norman, OK, USA; <sup>11</sup>Edward Via College of Osteopathic Medicine, Blacksburg, VA, USA; and <sup>12</sup>Departments of Orthopaedic Surgery and Neurological Surgery, University of Pittsburgh Medical Center, Pittsburgh, PA, USA

(Received 22 July 2017; accepted 15 February 2018; published online 22 February 2018)

Associate Editor Elena S. Di Martino oversaw the review of this article.

Abstract-Kinematic measurements of head impacts are sensitive to sports concussion, but not highly specific. One potential reason is these measures reflect input conditions only and may have varying degrees of correlation to regional brain tissue deformation. In this study, previously reported head impact data recorded in the field from high school and collegiate football players were analyzed using two finite element head models (FEHM). Forty-five impacts associated with immediately diagnosed concussion were simulated along with 532 control impacts without identified concussion obtained from the same players. For each simulation, intracranial response measures (max principal strain, strain rate, von Mises stress, and pressure) were obtained for the whole brain and within four regions of interest (ROI; cerebrum, cerebellum, brain stem, corpus callosum). All response measures were sensitive to diagnosed concussion; however, large inter-athlete variability was observed and sensitivity strength depended on measure, ROI, and FEHM. Interestingly, peak linear acceleration was more sensitive to diagnosed concussion than all intracranial response measures except pressure. These findings suggest FEHM may provide unique and potentially important information on brain injury mechanisms, but estimations of concussion risk based

Address correspondence to Jonathan G. Beckwith, Simbex, 10 Water Street Suite 410, Lebanon, NH 03748, USA. Electronic mail: jbeckwith@simbex.com on individual intracranial response measures evaluated in this study did not improve upon those derived from input kinematics alone.

**Keywords**—Finite element model, Sports concussion, Mild traumatic brain injury, Head impact, Brain tissue response, HIT System.

## **INTRODUCTION**

Great effort has been made to better comprehend the head impact biomechanics linked to mild traumatic brain injury (TBI) and, more specifically, sport-related concussion (SRC). Studies conducted on animals and human cadavers first linked TBI, ranging from moderate brain injury to skull fracture, to intracranial pressure. Intracranial pressure recorded from these controlled translational impacts was then correlated to linear acceleration of the head, which was, at the time, a more robust measure.<sup>15,16</sup> Correspondingly, a wide variety of physical models have also been used to study the relationship between inertial loading and brain response.<sup>12,28,37</sup> Through the use of computational modeling, rotational kinematics have been shown to have strong correlation to relative brain strain response,<sup>23,35</sup> and it is theorized that rotational velocity and acceleration during a head impact causes diffuse axonal injury (DAI) at the brain tissue level,<sup>28</sup> leading to altered neuronal function. These studies, along with countless others over the past 70 years, have established sound biomechanical principals underlying the mechanisms of TBI and DAI; however, the theories resulting from these studies may not be fully translatable to the mechanism of sports concussion, *which presents in a wide spectrum of clinical signs and symptoms* that likely relates to diverse pathophysiology.<sup>10</sup>

On-field measurements of head impact exposure (HIE; frequency, location, and kinematic response to head impacts) have provided key insights on the kinematics involved with diagnosed sports concussion.<sup>2,3,8,9</sup> Previously, we reported that peak linear and rotational acceleration from head impacts associated with diagnosed concussion are typically in the highest percentile of all impacts sustained in contact sports, but it was also common for head impacts to occur with equivalent kinematic composition without any identifiable injury.<sup>2</sup> Additionally, large inter-player variability was observed for concussion-associated impact severity even though mean severity levels were consistent with historically estimated injury thresholds.<sup>2</sup> Ultimately, these studies demonstrated that traditional measures of acceleration are sensitive to diagnosed sports concussion, but not highly specific. One potential explanation for this lack of specificity is head kinematic measures reflect input conditions only, and, depending on several personal and environmental variables, have varying degrees of correlation to regional brain motion and deformation.

Direct in situ measurement of brain tissue deformation under conditions typically associated with brain injury is currently impractical, leaving finite element modeling of the brain as a primary means for obtaining this information.<sup>33</sup> Finite element head models (FEHM) have the potential to transform measures of head impact kinematics (i.e. magnitude, direction, and duration of head translation and rotation) into intracranial response metrics that are directly linked to brain injury. A number of FEHMs with varying levels of complexity and validation have been developed to simulate the brain response to impact.<sup>17,21,23,26,35–37</sup> The Wayne State University Brain Injury Model (WSUBIM),<sup>36,37</sup> the KTH FE Human Head Model,<sup>23,31</sup> the Total Human Model for Safety,<sup>21</sup> and the Worcester Head Injury Model (WHIM) <sup>38</sup> have been used to investigate brain tissue deformation linked to concussion by modeling estimated head accelerations obtained from reconstructing videos of 58 professional American football players in the laboratory.<sup>29,30</sup> On-field head impact measurements offer an opportunity to evaluate the nuances of



concussion events that are difficult to simulate in laboratory reconstructions, and several studies have successfully demonstrated proof-of-concept viability. With the SIMon FEHM, Takhounts *et al.*<sup>35</sup> simulated 24 high-magnitude, on-field head impacts that were recorded with instrumented football helmets; however, none of these events were linked to injury. Hernandez *et al.*<sup>17</sup> used the KTH model to simulate two football head impact events associated with self-reported and diagnosed concussion that were measured with an instrumented mouth guard. Finally, McAllister *et al.*<sup>26</sup> simulated 10 on-field measured concussion cases from high school and collegiate football players using the Dartmouth Subject-Specific FE Human Head Model (SSM).

In addition to limitations related to applicability and/or size of their kinematic data sets, a significant drawback of all these studies is their focus on output from a single FEHM to report injury thresholds. Unfortunately, even head models that have undergone validation testing will likely produce significantly different brain response estimates due to discrepancies in material properties, geometries, and processing methods.<sup>18</sup> These uncertainties, combined with each study using varied processing and analytical methods, have led to a wide range of postulated injury thresholds for concussive injury that cannot be easily or directly compared.

In this study, intracranial response measures were computed from an existing data set of directly measured head impacts sustained during sessions of high school and collegiate football by two FEHM, WHIM and SIMon, which have been previously compared over a range of kinematic conditions representing helmeted head impacts.<sup>18</sup> The overarching aim of this study was to quantify common measures of brain tissue response, both regionally and globally, following head impacts associated with diagnosed concussion. We tested the hypotheses that: (1) model estimated intracranial response will be dependent on brain region of interest for head impacts sustained prior to concussion diagnosis and (2) individual model estimated intracranial response measures will be more sensitive and specific to diagnosed concussion than peak kinematic measures alone.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

From 2005 to 2010, football players from eight collegiate and six high school teams were outfitted with instrumented helmets (Head Impact Telemetry (HIT) System; Simbex, Lebanon, NH; Riddell Inc., Chicago, IL) to record measures of HIE. During that time, medical personnel at each institution diagnosed 105

concussions sustained by 95 individuals.<sup>3</sup> Through a multi-institutional, collaborative agreement, these data were consolidated to provide the most comprehensive HIE dataset available to study the biomechanical basis of mild TBI.<sup>2</sup> Detailed descriptions of the overall study methodology pertaining to subject participation, head impact exposure instrumentation and monitoring, and concussion diagnosis has been previously reported along with analyses of the HIE data.<sup>2,3</sup> For completeness, a brief description of these study components is provided below along with more detailed discussion of modeling methods and subsequent data analysis pertinent to this report. Approval for data collection and reduction was received from the Institutional Review Board at each participating institution.

# Head Impact Exposure

#### Helmet Instrumentation

Each helmet was equipped with a wireless headmeasurement unit containing six single-axis accelerometers, data acquisition hardware, and a rechargeable battery. The unit's foam accelerometer mounting system is specifically tuned and positioned to isolate head acceleration from helmet acceleration.<sup>24</sup> When any one of the six accelerometers exceeded a threshold of 14.4 g, 40 ms of data (8 ms pre-trigger and 32 ms post-trigger) from all accelerometers were recorded, time stamped, and transmitted to a sideline computer for processing, assessment of data quality, and storage. To date, this instrument has been used to record in situ head impacts from a large cross section of male and female athletes participating in several sports (e.g. football, ice hockey, soccer, and boxing) and skill classifications (i.e. youth, high school, college, and professional). Multiple assessments of the on-field data collection, processing, and data reduction techniques have been conducted as part of a multi-phase validation process that has included laboratory testing,<sup>1,7,11,24</sup> video correlation of on-field events,<sup>5,11</sup> and multi-site field trials.4,8,9,11,34

## Clinical Diagnosis

Concussion in this study was defined as an alteration in mental status, as reported or observed by the player or team's medical staff, resulting from a blow to the head which may or may not have involved loss of consciousness.<sup>2,3</sup> A certified athletic trainer or team physician at each participating institution was responsible for medical care, and concussions were diagnosed and treated independent of study protocol. When a concussion was diagnosed, the medical staff provided anecdotal descriptions of the events surrounding injury (e.g., description of the impact, method of identifying the injury, and on-field observations regarding clinical presentation) along with the date of injury, the suspected time of injury, the approximate time of diagnosis, day of symptom resolution, and player anthropometrics (age, height, and weight).

As previously reported, not all cases of concussion were preceded by a single, observable impact that could be directly associated with the injury.<sup>3</sup> In many cases, symptoms were either observed or reported outside of play, thus bringing into question the role of cumulative impact exposure on brain injury. Because available FEHM have not been validated for modeling a series of impacts over time, this analysis focuses solely on 45 of the 105 injury cases classified as *immediately diagnosed concussion* (i.e. a single identifiable head impact preceded onset of symptoms that led to the player being immediately removed from play without re-entry).

## Control Impact Selection

Due to the significant computational cost of FEHM (each impact required approximately 60 and 42 min for simulation using the WHIM and SIMon, respectively), a representative dataset of *control* impacts was selected from the 161,732 impacts sustained by the same players on days when no concussion was identified. Control impacts were selected in a semi-randomized process by first identifying the percentage of impacts by impact location (front: 37%; back: 27%; top: 19%; side: 17%) and peak acceleration (62% < 25 g; 28% from 25 to 50 g; 7% from 50 to75 g; 2% from 75 to 100 g; 1% > 100 g) for the entire distribution. The control data set (n = 532) was then randomly selected in proportions that replicated the location and acceleration characteristics of the entire impact distribution (Table 1).

### Head Impact Processing

Historically, commercially available HIT System hardware has been paired with a simulated-annealing optimization algorithm that solves directly for linear acceleration magnitude.<sup>7</sup> Rotational acceleration is then calculated about two axes of rotation using the modeled equations of motion for force acting on the head, the anterior-posterior and medial-lateral components of the peak linear acceleration vector, and the directly measured relationship between linear and rotational acceleration for on-field head impacts.<sup>32</sup> For this analysis, recorded acceleration data for each head impact was post-processed using a custom, six degree of freedom Expanded Processing Algorithm (6DOF-EPA),<sup>6</sup> which solved for X, Y, and Z components of both linear and rotational acceleration required as input to the FEHM. Acceleration was defined in a fixed



TABLE 1.	Kinematic measures for head impacts associated with and without diagnosed concussion recorded from collegiate and
	high school football players.

	Immediate Dx concussion impacts $(n = 45)$		Control impacts ( $n = 532$ )	
Post-impact kinematics	Median	25-75% interquartile range	Median	25–75% interquartile range
Peak linear acceleration (g) Peak rotational acceleration (rad s <sup>-2</sup> )	108.6 4364	92.2–136.8 3210–6022	24.5 1274	16.01–43.5 850–2178

Cartesian coordinate system at the head center of gravity following right-hand rule with the X direction towards the front of the head, the Y direction towards the left ear, and the Z direction towards the top of the head. Biofidelity of this approach was confirmed through laboratory verification experiments similar to those previously reported for other HIT System variants (see Supplementary Material).

Measures of impact location were obtained using commercial HIT System processing techniques that optimize the direction of measured acceleration to discern the contact site directional vector in spherical coordinates. Impacts were then discretized into one of four general locations—front, back, side, and top.<sup>8,14</sup>

In addition to standard HIT System verification methods for data quality that were applied at the time of collection,<sup>1,9</sup> all kinematic data used in this study were visually reviewed post-processing to verify time series data matched theoretical patterns for rigid body head acceleration.

#### Finite Element Brain Models

The differences in available FEHM are vast, varying widely in anatomical complexity, material properties, boundary conditions, and computational methods for extracting and calculating tissue response metrics. In this study, the Worcester Head Injury Model (WHIM) and Simulated Injury Monitor (SIMon) were selected as representative models to provide a range of potential intracranial response measures. These models were identified because they have been previously used in brain injury studies focused on sports concussion,<sup>19,35</sup> they were derived from differing anatomical source data, provide direct output that could be compared without model modification, and, importantly, their output has been previously parametrically compared over a spectrum of kinematic conditions representing helmeted head impacts.<sup>18</sup>

#### The Worcester Head Injury Model (WHIM)

Details of the WHIM (formerly known as the Dartmouth Head Injury Model) used in this study (Fig. 1) have previously been reported, including



description of model development, mesh quality, assignment of material properties and boundary conditions.<sup>19,38</sup> The model was created from high-resolution T1-weighted magnetic resonance images of concussed collegiate football and hockey players. WHIM-estimated response has been verified against relative brain-skull displacement and intracranial pressure responses from cadaveric experiments, as well as strain responses in a live human volunteer, with an overall biofidelity rating of "good" to "excellent".<sup>19,20</sup>

#### Simulated Injury Monitor (SIMon)

Details of the development and verification of the second-generation SIMon, which is geometrically more detailed than its predecessor, have also been reported.<sup>35</sup> The model topology was derived from CT scans, which included major parts of the brain such as cerebrum, cerebellum, brainstem, ventricles, combined cerebrospinal fluid and pia arachnoid complex (PAC) layer, falx, tentorium, and parasagittal blood vessels (Fig. 1). The model was then uniformly scaled to represent a 50th percentile male head. Kelvin–Maxwell viscoelastic properties were used for the brain. SIMonestimated responses have been verified against brainskull relative displacement and pressure responses in cadaveric head impacts, as reported.<sup>35</sup>

#### Intracranial Response Measures and Data Reduction

Impacts were simulated using the WHIM and SI-Mon *via* Abaqus/Explicit (Version 2016; Dassault Systèmes, France) and LS-Dyna (Livermore Software Technology Corp., Livermore. CA), respectively, on a multi-core Linux cluster (Intel Xeon X5560, 2.80 GHz, 126 GB memory, using 8 CPUs) with a temporal resolution of 1 ms. For each impact, element-wise maximum principal strain, strain rate, von Mises stress, and pressure were obtained. Element-wise product of maximum principal strain and its rate was computed at every temporal point.<sup>22</sup> For all response variables, peak magnitudes at each element, regardless of the time of occurrence, were extracted. For strain-related responses, we computed their volume-weighted regional averages for the whole-brain, cerebrum, cere-



FIGURE 1. The WHIM (a, b) and SIMon (c, d) FEHM employed in this study with color-coded regions of interest (ROIs; cerebrum, cerebellum, and brainstem). The *x*-, *y*- and *z*-axis of the model coordinate system corresponds to the posterior–anterior, right–left, and inferior–superior direction, respectively.

bellum, brainstem, and corpus callosum.<sup>20</sup> For pressure, the 95th percentile maximum positive (coup) and negative pressure (contrecoup) magnitudes were determined to avoid potential numerical issues.

#### Data Analysis

To characterize brain tissue response associated with concussion, descriptive statistics were calculated-including minimum, maximum, median, and 25-75% interquartile range-for impacts preceding immediately diagnosed concussion. Data were reduced for each intracranial response measure generated globally for both FEHM (i.e. whole brain) and within each available, model-specified ROI. Distributions of whole brain response measures obtained from each model were then compared to assess whether outcomes were dependent on the model employed. Because all response measures except for max principal strain (WHIM), coup pressure (WHIM, SIMon), and contrecoup pressure (SIMon) failed tests for normality (Lilliefors test; p < 0.05), Kruskal–Wallis nonparametric analysis of variance tests were employed. The same statistical test was used within model to determine if peak tissue response is concentrated within a specific ROI for diagnosed concussions. When appropriate, a post hoc Dunn's multiple comparison test was performed to determine where concentrations of strain, stress, and pressure exist within the brain.

Regression analysis was used to assess relationships between peak resultant linear and rotational acceleration and whole brain intracranial response measures from each model. The coefficient of determination  $(r^2)$ was calculated for each regression as a measure of goodness of fit.

Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves were generated from the concussion and control datasets to evaluate the sensitivity and specificity of each global response measure to the diagnosis of concussion. For each ROC curve, the null hypothesis of the true area under the curve (AUC), equaling 0.5 (same as guessing), was tested. Hanley's method for comparing area under ROC curves were used to test if any single intracranial response measure is more sensitive to diagnosed concussion than the others.

Statistical analyses were performed with custom Matlab scripts (2015a, MathWorks, Natick, MA) in combination with built-in statistical toolbox functions. A significance level of  $\alpha = 0.05$  was set *a priori* for each statistical test.

#### RESULTS

Large inter-athlete variation in FEHM estimated intracranial response was observed for athletes diagnosed with concussion (Fig. 2). Measures obtained from WHIM were significantly higher for maximum principal strain (p < 0.001), maximum principal strain x max principal strain rate (p = 0.035), and contrecoup pressure (p < 0.003) with estimations ranging between 0.06-0.32 (WHIM) and 0.05-0.33 (SIMon), 0.58-24.42 (WHIM) and 0.42-21.82 (SIMon), and - 28.83 to - 115.81 kPa (WHIM) and - 23.08 to - 82.00 kPa (SIMon) respectively. WHIM estimated maximum principal strain rate (WHIM: 12.88-103.06, SIMon: 10.65–75.93; p = 0.066), von Mises stress (WHIM: 0.31-10.38, SIMon: 0.49-3.57; p = 0.082), and coup pressure (WHIM: 24.75-169.73, SIMon: 23.28–98.50; p = 0.075) were also higher than SIMon. but differences did not reach significance.

Peak impact kinematics significantly (p < 0.001) predicted linear increases in FEHM estimated intracranial response measures (Fig. 3). For both FEHM, increasing peak rotational acceleration was a strong predictor of each response measure except coup (WHIM:  $r^2 = 0.331$ ; SIMon:  $r^2 = 0.363$ ) and contrecoup pressure (WHIM:  $r^2 = 0.312$ ; SIMon:





FIGURE 2. FEHM estimated intracranial response (whole brain) for head impacts sustained prior to immediately diagnosed concussion.

 $r^2 = 0.336$ ). The coefficients of determination were slightly higher for SIMon ( $r^2 = 0.871-0.920$ ) than WHIM ( $r^2 = 0.791-0.923$ ), with the strongest correlation found between maximum principal strain rate and peak rotational acceleration. Peak linear acceleration was highly correlated with SIMon estimated coup pressure ( $r^2 = 0.881$ ) and modestly correlated with WHIM estimated coup pressure ( $r^2 = 0.658$ ) and SIMon estimated countercoup pressure ( $r^2 = 0.606$ ). Correlation was weak for all other interactions between peak linear acceleration and intracranial response measures (WHIM:  $r^2 = 0.263-0.386$ ); SI-Mon:  $r^2 = 0.248-0.363$ ).

For impacts associated with diagnosed concussion, both FEHM calculated intracranial response measures that were significantly different among ROIs with the exception of SIMon estimated contrecoup pressure (p = 0.361). Maximum principal strain, maximum principal strain rate, and maximum principal strain x max principal strain rate were highest in the cerebrum,



followed by the brainstem, corpus callosum (WHIM

only), and cerebellum (Table 2). Von Mises stress

regional intracranial stress and strain response measures were grouped by impact location. In the cerebrum, the highest stress and strain measures were associated with impacts to the back of the head, followed by front, top, and side. The same trend was observed for the cerebellum and corpus callosum (WHIM only), albeit at lower magnitudes. Higher concentrations of stress and strain in the brainstem were associated with impacts to the front of the head, followed by back, side, and top. Rank ordering of both





FIGURE 3. Correlation between peak impact kinematics (top—linear acceleration; bottom—rotational acceleration) and FEHM estimated intracranial response for head impacts sustained prior to diagnosed concussion.



TABLE 2.	Median and interqu	uartile range (25–75%) of	f FEHM estimated intracr	anial response for head	impacts preceding diag	nosed concussior	Ċ.
-			FEHM—regions of interest (R	OI)			
Intracranial response of Dx concussion $(n = 45)$	Whole brain	Cerebrum (C)	Cerebellum (CB)	Brain stem (BS)	Corpus callosum (CC)	P value F	ost hoc
WIHM							
Max. principal strain	0.16 [0.13–0.20]	0.18 [0.14–0.21]	0.09 [0.06–0.11]	0.14 [0.12-0.22]	0.13 [0.09–0.16]	< 0.001 C, BS, CC	) > CB; C > CC
Max. principal strain rate	28.82 [19.76–37.17]	30.53 [20.48–37.33]	19.23 [13.94–26.72]	30.18 [24.21–49.56]	25.68 [16.51–33.65]	< 0.001 C, BS > 0	CB
MPS $\times$ MPSR	3.55 [2.06–5.45]	3.89 [2.25–5.78]	1.13 [0.63–2.15]	3.03 [1.95–7.78]	2.53 [1.25–3.70]	< 0.001 C, BS, CC	C > CB
Von Mises stress (kPa)	1.59 [0.98–2.45]	1.79 [1.06–2.56]	0.59 [0.35–0.98]	1.25 [0.77–3.11]	1.15 [0.67–1.66]	< 0.001 C, BS, CC	C > CB
Coup pressure (kPa)	67.12 [52.41–79.30]	69.69 [48.27–81.48]	17.51 [4.7–36.85]	11.58 [3.20–31.56]	28.53 [21.38-40.99]	< 0.001 C > CB,	BS, CC
Contrecoup pressure	- 58.27	- 48.61 [- 75.19 to 35.85]	- 47.57 [- 63.37 to 28.93]	- 50.12 [- 59.47 to 28.32]	- 25.97 [- 36.82 to 12.53]	< 0.001 CC > CB	, BS > C
(kPa)	[- 76.14 to 45.62]						
SIMon							
Max. principal strain	0.12 [0.09–0.16]	0.14 [0.1–0.18]	0.06 [0.04–0.07]	0.1 [0.07-0.14]	1	< 0.001 C > CB,	BS; BS > CB
Max. principal strain rate	24.78 [16.27–29.63]	29.45 [19.77–38.05]	16 [10.72–22.85]	20.98 [16.08–38.39]	I	< 0.001 C > CB;	BS > CB
MPS $\times$ MPSR	2.42 [1.23–3.92]	3.25 [1.53-6.25]	0.7 [0.28–1.03]	1.52 [0.80–3.88]	1	< 0.001 C > CB,	BS; BS > CB
Von Mises stress (kPa)	1.27 [0.93–1.66]	2.37 [1.66–3.13]	1.97 [1.24–2.49]	1.44 [1.01–2.12]	I	< 0.001 C > BS	
Coup pressure (kPa)	56.39 [47.97-68.35]	58.09 [46.64 - 74.15]	13.07 [2.99–35.00]	8.44 [2.21–29.14]	1	< 0.001 C > CB,	BS
Contrecoup pressure	- 46.14	- 34.32 [- 52.85 to 26.61]	- 38.43 [- 50.59 to 22.27]	- 49.11 [- 60.70 to 18.24]	1	0.361 –	
(kPa)	[- 62.88 to 36.79]						



FIGURE 4. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves indicating the sensitivity and specificity of peak kinematic measures and intracranial response estimated from two FEHM. A 50% probability line is included to indicate the level of guessing (50–50 chance). Peak linear acceleration, coup pressure, and SIMon estimated contrecoup pressure are the most sensitive measures to immediately diagnosed concussion.

coup and contrecoup pressure by impact location was not consistent for the two FEHM, with undiscernible trends due to the large intra-subject variability.

The area under the ROC curves generated for peak linear acceleration (AUC = 0.968), peak rotational acceleration (AUC = 0.929), and each global intracranial response measure (WHIM: 0.915-0.948; SIMon: 0.917–0.963) were higher than 0.5 (p < 0.001), demonstrating that both impact kinematics and FEHM-estimated response were statistically better than guessing whether an impact was associated with diagnosed concussion (Fig. 4). Coup pressure was the most sensitive WHIM measure, but it was not significantly more predictive than the other WHIM global response measures (p > 0.123). Similarly, coup pressure obtained from the SIMon FEHM was the most sensitive measure (AUC = 0.963, p = 0.041) followed by contrecoup pressure (AUC = 0.958, p = 0.105) with the former reaching a level of significantly higher prediction. AUC for all ROI-specific intracranial response measures estimated by both FEHM were also > 0.5; however, greater variation was observed (WHIM: 0.672-0.938; SIMon: 0.645-0.955) and no regional measure significantly improved upon global model prediction. Of all measures, peak linear acceleration was found to be the most sensitive, with a significantly better predictive capability than all



intracranial response measures other than WHIM and SIMon estimated coup (p = 0.174 and 0.392, respectively) and contrecoup pressure (p = 0.148 and 0.213, respectively).

## DISCUSSION

The definition of concussion is continuously evolving-as is clinical perspective on the best methods for diagnosis, treatment, and recovery.<sup>27</sup> Broadly stated, concussion is caused by a direct blow to the head or body with an "impulsive force" transmitted to the head, resulting in short-lived, functional impairment of the neurologic system that manifests itself in a wide range of clinical and cognitive symptoms. The sequence of events leading up to and kinematics following head contact resulting in injury can also be extremely complex and diverse, particularly in an athletic environment.<sup>2,3</sup> This study, which sought to expound upon that complexity, used two FEHM and a robust kinematic data set of previously reported onfield measured head impacts to establish a range of brain tissue response measures associated with diagnosed concussion. Additionally, we derived the relationships between peak head acceleration, impact location, and six intracranial response measures within four regions of the brain, confirmed the hypothesis that model estimated intracranial response is dependent on brain region of interest, and rejected the hypothesis that individual intracranial response measures are more sensitive and specific to diagnosed concussion than peak kinematic measures.

For specific regions of the brain, the highest concentrations of strain, strain rate, and stress were found within the cerebrum followed by brain stem, corpus callosum, and cerebellum, respectively. Coup pressure was also highest in the cerebrum and brain stem; however, WHIM estimated higher values in the cerebellum than corpus callosum. Contrecoup pressure was distributed evenly across all ROI. Interestingly, these relationships did not differ for the selected control impacts, thus suggesting impact magnitude may be the primary single impact factor influencing concussion injury across all players, with other kinematic components such as direction playing a secondary role. When considering individual injuries, however, FEHMs have the ability to provide higher resolution for understanding mechanisms of injury at the finite tissue level than input kinematics alone (Fig. 5). For example, recent studies have investigated the clinical potential of advanced modeling measures such as white matter anisotropy, an impact-induced injury to white matter neural tracts, and strain measures of the entire white matter.<sup>13,19,38</sup> These measures, in addition to



FIGURE 5. Average peak maximum principal strain (a and c) along with standard deviation (b and d) on a representative resampled coronal plane for 45 head impacts classified as immediately diagnosed concussion using the WHIM and SI-Mon (top and bottom rows, respectively).

regionally estimated concentrations of stress, strain, and pressure, may be better predictors of an *individual's signs and symptoms* of concussion than either input kinematics or global intracranial response measures.

Previous analyses of this on-field kinematic data set developed injury risk curves from all diagnosed concussions (n = 105) and all impacts sustained by those athletes not associated with concussion (n = 161,732).<sup>3</sup> The ROC curves presented in this study were created with a control subset of non-concussive impacts sustained by these athletes, therefore the subsequent results do not represent concussion risk; however, since the control dataset is representative of all impacts not associated with concussion, the AUC stated for each metric is a true reflection of its specificity and betweenmeasure comparisons made in this analysis are valid. While all measures of model estimated tissue were significant predictors of immediately diagnosed concussion, global intracranial response measures were not found to be more predictive than input kinematics alone. FEHM can provide significantly more resolution for understanding mechanisms of injury at the finite tissue level; however, higher resolution leads to more variation and less specificity. While a high resolution tool may be useful in differentiating these cases on an individual level, the diversity and complexity of these measures are less likely to be predictive of all injuries.

A significant relationship was found between all kinematic and model response interactions. Linear acceleration had the strongest correlation with coup and contrecoup pressure while rotational acceleration had the strongest correlation with strain-related mea-



sures. These relationships between input conditions and estimated tissue responses, which are in close agreement with prior work by Zhang<sup>37</sup> and Ji,<sup>18</sup> are deterministic based on model architecture, selected tissue parameters, and assumed boundary conditions of human anatomy. For example, WHIM was found to produce higher absolute values for all intracranial response measures than SIMon, most likely due to differences in material properties chosen for the brain tissue and its larger anatomical size as compared to SIMon. It remains unknown, however, which model output better reflects in vivo response. Availability of empirically obtained brain tissue properties is extremely limited, and assumptions are required when creating models. Because of this, due diligence is necessary when relating inter-model results across studies, and, as previously reported by Ji, incorporating multiple models is recommended when feasible.<sup>20</sup>

Large variation was found between athletes for each obtained intracranial response measure; however, median values for concussion were not always consistent with preceding laboratory and pilot studies. For example, using the WSUBIM and nine simulated injury cases, Zhang predicted a coup and contrecoup pressure range of 53-130 and - 48 to - 128 kPa, respectively, which is encompassing of the median values obtained from WHIM (67.12 and - 58.27 kPa) and SIMon (56.39 and - 46.14 kPa), but distributionally higher.<sup>37</sup> With the same FEHM and an expanded data set (n = 22), Viano reported median values for strain (0.448) and strain rate (81.5) in the midbrain which were well in excess of the median estimated by WHIM (0.16 and 28.82) and SIMon (0.12 and 24.78).<sup>36</sup> Similarly, when Takhounts employed the SIMon FEHM to simulate 24 on-field impacts not



FIGURE 6. Kinematic measures for head impacts associated with and without diagnosed concussion sustained by 45 collegiate and high school football players.



linked to concussion, the median strain obtained was magnitudes higher at 0.675 (range of 0.076–0.956).<sup>35</sup> Several practical reasons for intraplayer variation in this study exist, such as the wide range of peak linear and rotational accelerations preceding concussion (Fig. 6), location of contact distributed over the entire head, and the diverse clinical presentation of athletes included in this study. It is not as clear, however, why the discrepancy exists between median tissue response measures reported in recent sports-impact modeling studies, but there are many potential sources such as differences in model construction, input kinematics, and varying methods for calculating each measure of interest.

Several limitations in this study are notable. Diagnosis of concussion is a clinical determination based on an interpretation of signs and symptoms. For this multi-institutional, multi-year study, it was not feasible to control for inherent clinical variation. Additionally, there is potential for cumulative impact history contributing to the manifestation of symptoms; however, potential effects were mitigated in this study by restricting the analysis to only immediately diagnosed concussions. Another limitation was that multivariate models were not developed. For this analysis we intentionally focused on independent measures to establish a baseline understanding of injury biomechanics. As has been shown with kinematic measures, though, FE model predictions may improve if composite metrics were created, both globally and between ROI. Other potential limitations surrounding modeling of on-field head impacts include differences in segmentation of ROI between FEHM; material properties and model features relative to subject population of high school and collegiate male football players (i.e. FEHM scaled to 50th percentile male head); biological variance within the athlete cohort and unknown deviation from FEHM-assumed brain properties; and differences in processing methods for intracranial response measures between studies (e.g. calculation of coup and contrecoup pressure).

These findings suggest that FEHM have the ability to provide unique and potentially important information relative to the mechanisms of brain injury; however, FEHM estimated intracranial response measures evaluated in this study did not provide more sensitivity and specificity to diagnosed concussion than input kinematics. It has been shown that concussion is a clinical syndrome with a wide range of functional and symptomatic changes including cognitive impairment in the absence of specific somatic complaints.<sup>10,25</sup> Contact sport athletes are exposed to a wide range of impact conditions, and it is unlikely that any single pathophysiological process is associated with the entire spectrum of injury as it is currently defined. We recommend further research should be conducted to: (1) Expand on-field kinematic datasets and clinical outcome measures to enable correlation of regional intracranial response measures with specific and quantifiable signs and symptoms of injury; (2) Develop more advanced injury metrics from regional intracranial response measures and determine if these metrics increase sensitivity and specificity to concussion; and (3) Expand approach for model development to include additional verification data that is more relevant to impacts sustained in sporting environments, as most models are verified with high-severity cadaveric impacts or low-severity inertial events.

## ELECTRONIC SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The online version of this article (https://doi.org/10. 1007/s10439-018-1999-5) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported in part by awards R01NS094410, R01HD048638, R01NS055020, R01NS092853, and R21NS088781 from the National of Institutes Health, R01CE001254 and 5R49CE000196 from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, and NOCSAE (07-04, 14-19). HIT System technology was developed in part under NIH R44HD40473 and research and development support from Riddell, Inc. (Chicago, IL). We thank the researchers and institutions from which the data were collected: Mike Goforth MS, ATC, Virginia Tech Sports Medicine; Dave Dieter MS, ATC, Virginia Tech Sports Medicine; Russell Fiore ATC, Brown University Sports Medicine; Bethany Wilcox PhD, Brown University; Ron Gatlin ATC, Casady HS; Jeff Frechette ATC and Scott Roy ATC, Dartmouth College Sports Medicine; Dean Kleinschmidt ATC and Brian Lund, University of Indiana Sports Medicine; Jesse Townsend ATC, Greensburg Salam HS; Jeff Cienick ATC, Blackhawk HS; John Burnett ATC, Karns City HS; Chris Ashton ATC, University of Minnesota Sports Medicine; Scott Hamilton, Unity HS; Scott Oliaro, Scott Trulock, and Doug Halverson, UNC-Chapel Hill Sports Medicine. Additionally, we would like to especially thank Lindley Brainard and Wendy Chamberlin, Simbex for coordination of data collection from Dartmouth College, Brown University, and Virginia Tech, and Rema Raman PhD and Sonia Jain PhD, University of California San Diego, for review of the statistical analysis.

## **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

Authors Crisco, Greenwald, Chu, and Beckwith and Simbex have a financial interest in the instruments [HIT System; Sideline Response System (Riddell, Inc.)] that were used to collect the data reported in this study. The remaining authors have no financial interests associated with this study.

## REFERENCES

- <sup>1</sup>Beckwith, J. G., R. M. Greenwald, and J. J. Chu. Measuring head kinematics in football: correlation between the head impact telemetry system and hybrid III headform. *Ann. Biomed. Eng.* 40(1):237–248, 2012.
- <sup>2</sup>Beckwith, J. G., R. M. Greenwald, J. J. Chu, J. J. Crisco, S. Rowson, S. M. Duma, S. P. Broglio, T. W. McAllister, K. M. Guskiewicz, J. P. Mihalik, S. Anderson, B. Schnebel, P. G. Brolinson, and M. W. Collins. Head impact exposure sustained by football players on days of diagnosed concussion. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 45(4):737–746, 2013.
- <sup>3</sup>Beckwith, J. G., R. M. Greenwald, J. J. Chu, J. J. Crisco, S. Rowson, S. M. Duma, S. P. Broglio, T. W. McAllister, K. M. Guskiewicz, J. P. Mihalik, S. Anderson, B. Schnebel, P. G. Brolinson, and M. W. Collins. Timing of concussion diagnosis is related to head impact exposure prior to injury. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 45(4):747–754, 2013.
- <sup>4</sup>Broglio, S. P., B. Schnebel, J. J. Sosnoff, S. Shin, X. Fend, X. He, and J. Zimmerman. Biomechanical properties of concussions in high school football. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 42(11):2064–2071, 2010.
- <sup>5</sup>Brolinson, P. G., S. Manoogian, D. McNeely, M. Goforth, R. M. Greenwald, and S. M. Duma. Analysis of linear head accelerations from collegiate football impacts. *Curr. Sports Med. Rep.* 5(1):23–28, 2006.
- <sup>6</sup>Chu, J., J. G. Beckwith, J. J. Crisco, and R. M. Greenwald. A novel algorithm to measure linear and rotational head acceleration using single-axis accelerometers. World Congress of Biomechanics, 5th Annual. Munich, Germany. J. Biomech. 6:S534, 2006.
- <sup>7</sup>Crisco, J. J., J. J. Chu, and R. M. Greenwald. An algorithm for estimating acceleration magnitude and impact location using multiple nonorthogonal single-axis accelerometers. *J. Biomech. Eng.* 126(6):849–854, 2004.
- <sup>8</sup>Crisco, J. J., R. Fiore, J. G. Beckwith, J. J. Chu, P. G. Brolinson, S. Duma, T. W. McAllister, A. C. Duhaime, and R. M. Greenwald. Frequency and location of head impact exposures in individual collegiate football players. *J Athl Train.* 45(6):549–559, 2010.
- <sup>9</sup>Crisco, J. J., B. J. Wilcox, J. G. Beckwith, J. J. Chu, A. C. Duhaime, S. Rowson, S. M. Duma, A. C. Maerlender, T. W. McAllister, and R. M. Greenwald. Head impact exposure in collegiate football players. *J. Biomech.* 44(15):2673–2678, 2011.
- <sup>10</sup>Duhaime, A.-C., J. G. Beckwith, A. C. Maerlender, T. W. McAllister, J. J. Crisco, S. M. Duma, P. G. Brolinson, S. Rowson, L. A. Flashman, J. J. Chu, and R. M. Greenwald. Spectrum of acute clinical characteristics of diagnosed concussions in college athletes wearing instrumented helmets. *J. Neurosurg.* 117(6):1092–1099, 2012.



- <sup>11</sup>Duma, S. M., S. J. Manoogian, W. R. Bussone, P. G. Brolinson, M. W. Goforth, J. J. Donnenwerth, R. M. Greenwald, J. J. Chu, and J. J. Crisco. Analysis of real-time head accelerations in collegiate football players. *Clin. J. Sport Med.* 15(1):3–8, 2005.
- <sup>12</sup>Gennarelli, T. A., L. E. Thibault, and A. K. Ommaya. Pathophysiological responses to rotational and transitional accelerations of the head. In: Stapp Car Crash Conference, pp. 296–308, 1972.
- pp. 296–308, 1972. <sup>13</sup>Giordano, C., and S. Kleiven. Evaluation of axonal strain as a predictor for mild traumatic brain injuries using finite element modeling. *Stapp Car Crash J*. 58:1–33, 2014.
- <sup>14</sup>Greenwald, R. M., J. T. Gwin, J. J. Chu, and J. J. Crisco. Head impact severity measures for evaluating mild traumatic brain injury risk exposure. *Neurosurgery* 62(4):789– 798, 2008.
- <sup>15</sup>Gurdjian, E. S., V. L. Roberts, and L. M. Thomas. Tolerance curves of acceleration and intracranial pressure and protective index in experimental head injury. *J. Trauma* 6(5):600–604, 1966.
- <sup>16</sup>Gurdjian, E. S., J. E. Webster, and H. R. Lissner. Observations on the mechanism of brain concussion, contusion, and laceration. *Surg. Gynecol. Obstet.* 101(6):680–690, 1955.
- <sup>17</sup>Hernandez, F., L. C. Wu, M. C. Yip, K. Laksari, A. R. Hoffman, J. R. Lopez, G. A. Grant, S. Kleiven, and D. B. Camarillo. Six degree-of-freedom measurements of human mild traumatic brain injury. *Ann. Biomed. Eng.* 43(8):1918–1934, 2015.
- <sup>18</sup>Ji, S., H. Ghadyani, R. P. Bolander, J. G. Beckwith, J. C. Ford, T. W. McAllister, L. A. Flashman, K. D. Paulsen, K. Ernstrom, S. Jain, R. Raman, L. Zhang, and R. M. Greenwald. Parametric comparisons of intracranial mechanical responses from three validated finite element models of the human head. *Ann. Biomed. Eng.* 42(1):11–24, 2014.
- <sup>19</sup>Ji, S., W. Zhao, J. C. Ford, J. G. Beckwith, R. P. Bolander, R. M. Greenwald, L. A. Flashman, K. D. Paulsen, and T. McAllister. Group-wise evaluation and comparison of white matter fiber strain and maximum principal strain in sports-related concussion. *J. Neurotrauma* 32(7):441–454, 2015.
- <sup>20</sup>Ji, S., W. Zhao, Z. Li, and T. W. McAllister. Head impact accelerations for brain strain-related responses in contact sports: a model-based investigation. *Biomech. Model. Mechanobiol.* 13(5):1121–1136, 2014.
- <sup>21</sup>Kimpara, H., and M. Iwamoto. Mild traumatic brain injury predictors based on angular accelerations during impacts. *Ann. Biomed. Eng.* 40(1):114–126, 2012.
- <sup>22</sup>King, A. I., K. H. Yang, L. Zhang, W. Hardy, and D. C. Viano. Is head injury caused by linear or angular acceleration? In: International Research Conference on the Biomechnics of Impacts (IRCOBI). Lisbon, Portugal, pp. 1–12, 2003.
- <sup>1–12</sup>, 2003.
  <sup>23</sup>Kleiven, S. Predictors for traumatic brain injuries evaluated through accident reconstructions. *Stapp Car Crash J*. 51:81–114, 2007.
- <sup>24</sup>Manoogian, S., D. McNeely, S. Duma, G. Brolinson, and R. Greenwald. Head acceleration is less than 10 percent of helmet acceleration in football impacts. *Biomed. Sci. Instrum.* 42:383–388, 2006.
- <sup>25</sup>McAllister, T. M., L. A. Flashman, A. Maerlender, R. M. Greenwald, J. G. Beckwith, T. D. Tosteson, J. J. Crisco, P. G. Brolinson, S. M. Duma, A. C. Duhaime, M. R. Grove, and J. H. Turco. Cognitive effects of one season of head impacts in a cohort of collegiate contact sport athletes. *Neurology* 78(22):1777–1784, 2012.

- <sup>26</sup>McAllister, T. M., J. C. Ford, S. Ji, J. G. Beckwith, L. A. Flashman, K. D. Paulsen, and R. M. Greenwald. Maximum principal strain and strain rate associated with concussion diagnosis correlates with changes in corpus callosum white matter indices. *Ann. Biomed. Eng.* 40(1):127–140, 2012.
- <sup>27</sup>McCrory, P., W. H. Meeuwisse, M. Aubry, B. Cantu, J. Dvorak, R. J. Echemendia, L. Engebretsen, K. Johnston, J. S. Kutcher, M. Raftery, A. Sills, B. W. Benson, G. A. Davis, R. G. Ellenbogen, K. Guskiewicz, S. A. Herring, G. L. Iverson, B. D. Jordan, J. Kissick, M. McCrea, A. S. McIntosh, D. Maddocks, M. Makdissi, L. Purcell, M. Putukian, K. Schneider, C. H. Tator, and M. Turner. Consensus statement on concussion in sport: The 4th international conference on concussion in sport held in Zurich, November 2012. *Br. J. Sports Med.* 47(5):250–258, 2013.
- <sup>28</sup>Meaney, D. F., D. H. Smith, D. I. Shreiber, A. C. Bain, R. T. Miller, D. T. Ross, and T. A. Gennarelli. Biomechanical analysis of experimental diffuse axonal injury. *J. Neurotrauma* 12(4):689–694, 1995.
- <sup>29</sup>Newman, J., C. Barr, M. Beusenber, E. Fournier, N. Shewchenko, E. Welbourne, and C. Withnall. A new biomechanical assessment of mild traumatic brain injury: Part 2 - results and conclusions. In: Proceedings of the International Research Conference on the Biomechanics of Impacts (IRCOBI). Montpellier, France, pp. 223–230, 2000.
- <sup>30</sup>Newman, J. A., M. C. Beusenberg, N. Shewchenko, C. Withnall, and E. Fournier. Verification of biomechanical methods employed in a comprehensive study of mild traumatic brain injury and the effectiveness of american football helmets. J. Biomech. 38(7):1469–1481, 2005.
- <sup>31</sup>Patton, D. A., A. S. McIntosh, and S. Kleiven. The biomechanical determinants of concussion: Finite element simulations to investigate brain tissue deformations during sporting impacts to the unprotected head. J. Appl. Biomech. 29(6):721–730, 2013.
- <sup>32</sup>Rowson, S., S. M. Duma, J. G. Beckwith, J. J. Chu, R. M. Greenwald, J. J. Crisco, P. G. Brolinson, A. C. Duhaime, T. W. McAllister, and A. C. Maerlender. Rotational head kinematics in football impacts: an injury risk function for concussion. *Ann. Biomed. Eng.* 40(1):1–13, 2012.
- <sup>33</sup>Sabet, A. A., E. Christoforou, B. Zatlin, G. M. Genin, and P. V. Bayly. Deformation of the human brain induced by mild angular head acceleration. *J. Biomech.* 41(2):307–315, 2008.
- <sup>34</sup>Schnebel, B., J. T. Gwin, S. Anderson, and R. Gatlin. In vivo study of head impacts in football: a comparison of national collegiate athletic association division i versus high school impacts. *Neurosurgery* 60(3):490–495, 2007.
- <sup>35</sup>Takhounts, E. G., S. A. Ridella, V. Hasija, R. E. Tannous, J. Q. Campbell, D. Malone, K. Danelson, J. Stitzel, S. Rowson, and S. Duma. Investigation of traumatic brain injuries using the next generation of simulated injury monitor (simon) finite element head model. *Stapp Car Crash J.* 52:1–31, 2008.
- <sup>36</sup>Viano, D. C., I. R. Casson, E. J. Pellman, L. Zhang, A. I. King, and K. H. Yang. Concussion in professional football: Brain responses by finite element analysis—Part 9. *Neurosurgery* 57(5):891–916, 2005.
- <sup>37</sup>Zhang, L., K. H. Yang, and A. I. King. A proposed injury threshold for mild traumatic brain injury. *J. Biomech. Eng.* 126(2):226–236, 2004.
- <sup>38</sup>Zhao, W., Y. Cai, Z. Li, and S. Ji. Injury prediction and vulnerability assessment using strain and susceptibility measures of the deep white matter. *Biomech. Model. Mechanobiol.* 16(5):1709–1727, 2017.

