

Comparing Pre- and Post-operative Fontan Hemodynamic Simulations: Implications for the Reliability of Surgical Planning

Christopher M. Haggerty,¹ Diane A. de Zélicourt,¹ Maria Restrepo,¹ Jarek Rossignac,² Thomas L. Spray,³ Kirk R. Kanter,⁴ Mark A. Fogel,⁵ and Ajit P. Yoganathan¹

¹Wallace H. Coulter Department of Biomedical Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology and Emory University, 313 Ferst Drive, Atlanta, GA 30332, USA; ²College of Computing, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA, USA; ³Division of Cardiothoracic Surgery, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, PA, USA; ⁴Division of Cardiothoracic Surgery, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA, USA; and ⁵Division of Cardiology, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, PA, USA

(Received 21 December 2011; accepted 27 June 2012; published online 10 July 2012)

Associate Editor Peter E. McHugh oversaw the review of this article.

Abstract-Virtual modeling of cardiothoracic surgery is a new paradigm that allows for systematic exploration of various operative strategies and uses engineering principles to predict the optimal patient-specific plan. This study investigates the predictive accuracy of such methods for the surgical palliation of single ventricle heart defects. Computational fluid dynamics (CFD)-based surgical planning was used to model the Fontan procedure for four patients prior to surgery. The objective for each was to identify the operative strategy that best distributed hepatic blood flow to the pulmonary arteries. Post-operative magnetic resonance data were acquired to compare (via CFD) the post-operative hemodynamics with predictions. Despite variations in physiologic boundary conditions (e.g., cardiac output, venous flows) and the exact geometry of the surgical baffle, sufficient agreement was observed with respect to hepatic flow distribution (90% confidence interval— $14 \pm 4.3\%$ difference). There was also good agreement of flow-normalized energetic efficiency predictions (19 \pm 4.8% error). The hemodynamic outcomes of prospective patient-specific surgical planning of the Fontan procedure are described for the first time with good quantitative comparisons between preoperatively predicted and postoperative simulations. These results demonstrate that surgical planning can be a useful tool for single ventricle cardiothoracic surgery with the ability to deliver significant clinical impact.

Keywords—Computational fluid dynamics, Total cavopulmonary connection, Fontan procedure, Patient-specific modeling, Virtual surgery.

INTRODUCTION

The total cavopulmonary connection (TCPC), a variation of Fontan's procedure,¹¹ is the current surgical method of choice for the palliation of single ventricle congenital heart defects.⁴ This staged series of operations ultimately creates a right-heart bypass *via* the direct connection of the superior and inferior vena cavae (SVC, IVC) to the pulmonary arteries (PA). While this intervention successfully restores a series configuration to the cardiovascular circuits, the resulting hemodynamics are severely altered given the need for systemic venous pressure to passively drive pulmonary flow. Therefore, despite improved surgical outcomes, numerous long-term complications have been documented.^{17,19}

For a handful of these complications, there is strong evidence suggesting a link between the specific characteristics of blood flow through the TCPC and the cause or exacerbation of the complication. The most prominent example of such relationships is the development of pulmonary arteriovenous malformations (PAVM) (causing progressive hypoxia) because of the maldistribution of hepatic factors produced by the liver to the pulmonary vasculature.⁹ Another example is impaired exercise capacity^{23,26} due in part to non-linear increases in the energy dissipated through the TCPC pathway with increased cardiac output.^{25,31,33} Therefore, ensuring an efficient TCPC design with a balanced hepatic flow distribution (HFD) may yield long-term benefits for patient health and quality of life.

Virtual surgical planning of the Fontan procedure has been proposed to help achieve desired flow

Address correspondence to Ajit P. Yoganathan, Wallace H. Coulter Department of Biomedical Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology and Emory University, 313 Ferst Drive, Atlanta, GA 30332, USA. Electronic mail: ajit.yoganathan@bme.gatech.edu

characteristics on a patient-specific basis.²¹ Through this truly translational technique, the surgeon and clinical team are able to virtually mimic various surgical options on the medical imaging-derived patient anatomy, and then evaluate the hemodynamic consequences of each design using computational fluid dynamics (CFD). Previous reports have detailed the prospective use of such techniques for single ventricle patients and based the estimation of their effectiveness on measures such as improving arterial oxygen saturations, which are clinically meaningful yet inconclusive for confirming modeled predictions.^{7,28} Thus, while a key assumption of these methods is the accuracy of preoperative predictions with respect to postoperative hemodynamic outcomes, detailed quantitative comparisons between pre- and post-operative hemodynamic metrics have not yet been reported.

The objective of this study is to quantify the impact of the numerous unknown variables inherent to prospective surgical modeling (e.g., geometric agreement, adaptation of input and output boundary conditions) to assess the predictive power of the virtual surgery paradigm. We present findings from a consecutive series of single ventricle patients for whom these techniques were employed and post-operative cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) images were acquired.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients

The study was approved by the Institutional Review Boards at Georgia Tech, the Children's Hospital of Philadelphia (CHOP), and Children's Healthcare of Atlanta (CHOA). The characteristics of 4 single ventricle patients who underwent both preoperative surgical planning and postoperative CMR are provided in Table 1. Patient 1 was treated at CHOA, while patients 2-4 were treated at CHOP. PAVMs were present in each case and represented the primary reason for clinical referral for surgical planning. Patients 1-3 had an interrupted IVC, in which all inferior blood flow (except for the hepatic flow from the liver) was carried by an enlarged azygos vein, while the hepatic veins natively drained into the right atrium. Patient 4 had an intact IVC pathway and bilateral superior venae cavae (SVC). Patient 1 was recommended for surgical planning for the revision of a previous Fontan connection because of unilateral hepatic flow streaming, while for the three other cases (patients 2-4), the planned procedure was the initial Fontan.

Clinical Imaging and Reconstruction

The image resolution details are provided in Table 1. An axial stack of steady-state free precession images was acquired through the thorax to allow for anatomic segmentation and reconstruction.¹² In addition, phase velocity measurements were acquired in a single plane perpendicular to all systemic venous pathway vessels (SVC and IVC (when present), azygos vein, branch pulmonary arteries), plus the aorta, to provide boundary conditions for the simulations.²⁹ In all cases, post-operative imaging occurred in the hospital prior to discharge (5–10 days following surgery).

Virtual Surgery

The pre-operative patient reconstructions, inclusive of the ventricle, aorta, and pulmonary veins, were imported into the SURGEM virtual surgery interface.²¹ At the guidance of the surgeon, and based on patient-specific anatomic landmarks and limitations, various surgical options were created for each patient (on average 4–5).

Numerical Methods

Numerical simulations were conducted using a validated,^{5,6,14,28} unstructured sharp-interface immersedboundary method⁶ with a 2nd order, unsteady fractional step implementation.¹³ This approach is

| Pt | | | | Ima | ge detail | | |
|----|----------------|-----------|------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Age (years) | BSA (m²)ª | PAVM SA (m ²) ^a side | Pixel size (mm ²) (scan 1/2) | Slice thickness (mm) (scan 1/2) | Pre-op O ₂ saturation (%) | Post-op O ₂ saturation (follow-up duration in months) |
| 1 | 18 | 1.49 | Right | 1.33/1.41 | 9/5 | N/A | N/A ^b |
| 2 | 2 | 0.59 | Right | 0.86 | 3 | 85 | 95 (16.5) |
| 3 | 2.8 | 0.61 | Left | 0.7/0.86 | 3 | 61 | 72 (1) |
| 4 | 2.3 | 0.46 | Left | 0.78 | 3 | 86 | 96 (8) |

TABLE 1. Patient characteristics and imaging details.

^aBody Surface Area (at pre-operative CMR).

^bN/A—Not available: post-operative saturations not acquired under room air, less than 5 days post-operatively.



advantageous for surgical planning because it simplifies the meshing process required for simulating the various surgical options. Rather than creating a volume mesh for each case, only a triangular surface mesh (created in GAMBIT; Fluent, Inc., Lebanon, NH) was required before registration within the Cartesian grid. Grid spacing was set at 2% of IVC/hepatic vein diameter for each model, which has previously been shown to yield mesh independent results.⁶ Resulting model element numbers ranged from 500 k to 3.3 million. Blood was assumed to be incompressible (density = 1060 kg/m³) and Newtonian (kinematic viscosity = 3.5 cSt). In all cases, the boundary conditions (BCs) were imposed as flow rates at the inlets and outlets.

Simulations were conducted on a 64-bit Linux workstation with 2 Intel Xeon six core 3.33 GHz processors. Results were considered converged when the residual of the Poisson pressure solver at each time step reduced by 10^{-8} and either the running average of velocities at numerous monitor points in the domain converged to the instantaneous values (time-averaged simulations) or cycle-to-cycle consistency of instantaneous velocities at the monitor points was achieved (pulsatile simulations- usually requiring 4–5 cardiac cycles).

Surgical Planning Evaluation

BCs for the surgical planning analyses were all timeaveraged because the IVC/hepatic vein connection to the contracting atrium pre-operatively (before surgical re-routing to the PAs) creates significant variations in pulsatile amplitude (as high as ± 2 L/min) that are not present in the post-operative measurements, once the IVC/hepatic vein is re-routed to the PAs. It was thus assumed that the time-averaged pre-operative flow conditions would better approximate the post-operative flows than the measured time-varying conditions. Figure 1 supports this rationale as the averaged preoperative value (dashed line) is a closer match to the time-varying post-operative flows than are the pulsatile pre-operative measures.

Because the use of flow boundary conditions at the model outlets is not responsive to either physiologic changes in downstream pulmonary vascular resistance or small potential variations in the internal resistance of the TCPC as a function of connection design, each connection was parametrically evaluated at multiple outflow split conditions. This practice provides insight into the performance of the various connections under both the expected post-operative physiologic conditions, as well as potential variations thereof. That is one way in which unstable designs (i.e., those that only have desirable performance characteristics under a



FIGURE 1. CMR phase velocity-derived flow rates for the hepatic vein in patient 2 both pre- and post-operatively. The time-average pre-operative value for this patient is clearly a better approximation of the post-operative conditions, thus justifying the use of time-averaged flow conditions for the pre-operative simulations.

narrow range of operating characteristics) can be identified and discarded.

HFD and hemodynamic power loss are the most commonly used metrics for surgical planning studies, and TCPC modeling studies in general.^{3,8} Per standard practice, the power loss (\dot{E}_{loss}) was calculated using principles of control volume energy balance according to:

$$\dot{E}_{\text{loss}} = \sum_{\text{inlets}} \int_{A} \left(p + \frac{1}{2} \rho v^2 \right) v \cdot dA$$
$$- \sum_{\text{outlets}} \int_{A} \left(p + \frac{1}{2} \rho v^2 \right) v \cdot dA$$

where *p* is the static pressure, ρ is the blood density, *A* is area of the inlet/outlet, and *v* the velocity. When reported as connection resistance (*R*), an energy loss-based pressure drop $\left(\Delta P_{\text{TCPC}} = \frac{\dot{E}_{\text{loss}}}{Q_{\text{VC}}}\right)$ was normalized by the flow rate through the connection (Q_{VC}) and body surface area (BSA) as: $R = \frac{\Delta P_{\text{TCPC}}}{Q_{\text{VC}}/\text{BSA}}$.³¹ HFD was assessed by uniformly seeding velocity streamlines across the IVC or hepatic venous (HepV) cross-section and quantifying the percentage of blood flux through the LPA and RPA.

Post-operative Comparison

Because both patient-specific anatomy and flow conditions were variables in this analysis, multiple simulations were performed for each patient to isolate their effects. Specifically, in addition to the time-aver-



aged pre-operative analysis, which is simulation (1a), four simulations were run for each patient after the surgery:

- (1) The retained surgical planning model under:
 - (a) time-averaged pre-operative BCs
 - (b) pulsatile post-operative BCs
 - (c) time-averaged pre-operative inflows and time-averaged post-operative PA outflow split BCs
- (2) In vivo post-operative anatomy under:
 - (a) time-averaged pre-op BCs
 - (b) pulsatile post-op BCs (i.e., actual outcome)

Comparison of 1a vs. 2b provides an assessment of the surgical planning predictive power with respect the primary planning endpoint of hepatic flow distribution. A comparison of 1c vs. 2b is instead used to assess connection resistance in order to remove the confounding effects of varying flow through a stenotic vessel (e.g., the LPA of patient 2). Comparing 1a vs. 2a and 1b vs. 2b provides insights into the impact of geometric variation; 1a vs. 1b vs. 1c and 2a vs. 2b was used to isolate the impact of the varying inflow and outflow BCs. Rigid vessel walls were assumed in all cases.

Unlike the time-averaged analyses, HFD for pulsatile simulations was assessed by uniformly seeding massless particles across an IVC/HepV cross-section at 50 evenly spaced time intervals throughout one cardiac cycle and advected through the time-varying velocity field using a fourth order Runge–Kutta scheme.²⁰ The percentage distribution of the particles to the LPA and RPA were quantified once all particles had been completely washed through the connection during the subsequent cardiac cycles.

Geometric Analysis

TCPC geometric analysis was performed using the vascular modeling toolkit (VMTK; vmtk.org) to quantitatively compare anatomic features of the surgical planning and actual post-operative connections for each patient, and help explain hemodynamic differences. The centerlines of each vessel were automatically extracted from the geometry and used to calculate the mean and minimum radii.

RESULTS

Pre-operative Analysis

Representative pre-operative flow streaming, HFD, and power loss results for three different surgical



options for patients 2 and 3 are shown in Fig. 2. The envisioned options represented (a) traditional extracardiac, (b) bifurcated (Y-graft),^{15,16,27} and (c) hepaticto-azygos connections. The arrows in the HFD plots denote the pre-operatively measured flow split condition from which the included streamline and power loss results were derived. The additional points represent different post-operative evolutionary scenarios related to the effect of PAVM regression⁷ or growthrelated factors on the total pulmonary distribution.

Because of relatively low flow momentum through the hepatic venous baffle (blue streamlines), the distribution characteristics of extracardiac connections (Fig. 2a) are known to be extremely sensitive to baffle placement and offset in these patients,⁷ which made them poorly suited, unrobust options for both cases. The impinging of SVC flow (red streamlines) into the hepatic baffle in pt. 3 demonstrates this point- the exact nature of the interaction between the colliding flows is tightly coupled to their relative geometric position. Conversely, the HFD results for the other two options were positive based on: (1) the majority of hepatic flow perfusing the diseased lung over the range of conditions investigated, and (2) relatively small distribution changes with varying outflow conditions. The distinguishing factors were therefore determined to be the relative insensitivity of the Y-graft distribution to changes in PA split, and the lower power loss of the Y-graft compared to the Hepatic-to-Azygos. Thus, the Y-graft option was recommended for use in both of these patients.

Post-operative TCPC Geometry

To demonstrate the geometric agreement between the virtual surgery model and post-operative TCPC reconstructions, Fig. 3 provides a qualitative comparison of the virtual geometry (in blue) to the real anatomy (in red). In three cases, the Fontan procedure was performed using commercially available bifurcated aorto-iliac polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) grafts (Gore-Tex; Flagstaff, AZ) sized at 18–9–9 mm (patient 1) and 14–7–7 mm (patients 2 and 3).¹⁶ The 4th patient received a 20 mm extracardiac conduit connection to the right SVC.

There were significant vessel diameter differences measured in all cases (average difference was 1.2 mm) (Table 2). Many of these changes were unrelated to the surgical baffle/intervention given the physical separation involved, instead demonstrating an effect of both imaging (Table

1) and image processing related parameters. The observed size difference in the SVC-azygos "pouch" region for patient 1, for example, is related to the large and differing slice thicknesses used for the image acquisitions.



FIGURE 2. Results from pre-operative modeling of patients 2 and 3. Far left: pre-operative Kawashima connections (azygos vein and SVC connected to PAs); Middle panels: Flow streaming results for three of the surgical options investigated representing (a) extracardiac, (b) bifurcated (Y-Graft), and (c) hepatic-to-azygos approaches; Far right: HFD curves for each model over the range of outflow splits investigated. Arrow on HFD plot denotes the pre-operatively measured PA split, which correspond to the flow stream and power loss results shown. Streamlines are color-coded by vessel of origin (red—RSVC; blue—HepV/IVC; green—AZ).

Other than the differences in pre/post vessel dimensions, minor variations with respect to the exact angle and positioning of the surgical baffle (IVC/HepV) were observed. The largest discrepancies are apparent in patients 3 (medial placement of right Y branch) and 4 (varied IVC offset).

Hemodynamic Changes from Geometric Variation

To isolate the hemodynamic impact of the observed surgical variations and image reconstruction differences, both the surgical planning and post-operative geometries were simulated under time-averaged, preoperative boundary conditions (simulations 1a and 2a). The results (Fig. 4, Table 3) demonstrated that the effects of geometric mismatch (both surgically-mediated and image-processing related) can be significant. Previous studies have shown strong correlations between minimum PA size and power loss across the TCPC,² and the present changes in power loss directly corresponded to variations in the minimum reconstructed PA sizes in each case. As a result, the geometric errors in power loss values ranged between 18 and 68% with an average of 39%. For HFD, differences ranged between 2 and 18%, with surgical baffle mismatch (Fig. 3) mediating the largest discrepancies (pts. 3, 4).

Post-operative Data

The pre- and post-operative arterial oxygen saturation percentages (breathing room air) for 3 of the 4 patients are listed in Table 1. In the 4th case (pt. 1), the only post-operative saturation data were acquired within 5 days of surgery while the patient was still receiving high flow oxygen *via* nasal cannula, and the values are therefore not comparable. Oxygen saturations improved in the remaining three cases, including two that were in the mid-90 s. Saturations for patient 3 had only improved to 72% (from 61%) at last report, but that was still within 1 month of surgery.

Table 4 shows the phase velocity CMR-derived flows by vessel, plus the cardiac output (measured in the aorta) for each patient. Cardiac output decreased





FIGURE 3. Comparison of pre-operative surgical models (in blue) with post-operative connection design (in red), including a registered overlay of the two, for all four investigated patients.

TABLE 2. TCPC vessel diameter comparison (all in mm).

| | | IV He | C/ pV | Rig S\ | ght /C | Le S\ | eft /C | A | Z ^a | LF | PA | RF | PA |
|----|-------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|----------|-----------|--------------|----------------|------------|------------|--------------|--------------|
| Pt | | avel | min# | ave | min | ave | min | ave | min | ave | min | ave | min |
| 1 | Pre Post | 17.5 16.4 | 16.0 15.7 | 17.7 15.3 | 15.7 14.2 | | | 15.4 11.7 | 12.7 9.9 | 11.8 | 6.9 6.1 | 13.6 12.2 | 12.7 11.7 |
| 2 | Pre Post | 13.1 11.7 | 10.3 | 12.1 11.2 | 10.0 10.3 | | | 9.5 7.5 | 8.0 6.7 | 5.5 5.7 | 3.9 4.4 | 9.3 8.9 | 8.7 6.9 |
| 3 | Pre | 13.2 | 10.9 | 13.3 | 12.1 | | | 7.8 | 3.0 | 11.5 | 10.8 | 9.6 | 8.7 |
| | Post | 11.9 | 10.8 | 13.8 | 12.7 | | | 6.6 | 4.1 | 10.6 | 8.9 | 8.3 | 7.7 |
| 4 | Pre | 18.3 | 15.4 | 8.2 | 7.3 | 7.6 | 6.8 | | | 9.5 | 7.6 | 7.7 | 6.0 |
| | Post | 17 | 15.6 | 7.5 | 7.0 | 6.0 | 5.7 | | | 8.9 | 7.7 | 9.2 | 6.5 |

^aAZ = azygos vein; lave = average; #min = Minimum.

after surgery in 3 of the 4 cases. With respect to TCPC flows, the relative percentage distributions of each vessel were different following surgery; the magnitude of those changes ranged anywhere from 2 to 22%. Notably, the PA changes were all in favor of higher flow percentage toward the lung with PAVM.



Hemodynamic Changes from Flow Rate Variation

Figure 5 shows representative, instantaneous streamlines for the CFD-derived post-operative flows (simulation 2b) for each patient. Despite the noted quantitative flow differences, the results for patients 2 and 3 are qualitatively very similar to their corresponding steady flow results (simulation 2a) from Fig. 4. For patients 1 and 4, the impact of (decreased) azygos and (increased) IVC flow changes, respectively, are more evident in the 3D hemodynamic differences.

The hemodynamic results as a function of imposed flow conditions are reported for the surgical planning models and the post-operative anatomies in Tables 5 and 6, respectively. To isolate the effect of varied PA splits from the total change in TCPC flow conditions, Table 5 also provides the results of combining post-operative outflow splits with pre-operative inflow conditions (simulation 1c). The HFD differences ranged between 3– 19% (mean of 13%) and 8–21% (mean of 17%) for the virtual models and post-op anatomies, respectively.

Connection energetics in Tables 5 and 6 are reported as resistance to partially correct for the noted changes in bulk flow rates. Generally minor variations



FIGURE 4. Comparison of pre- and post-operative connections under steady, pre-operative flow conditions. Streamlines are color-coded by vessel of origin (red—RSVC; blue—HepV/IVC; green—AZ; yellow—LSVC).

| 4a), |
|--------------|
|--------------|

| Patient | HFD (% LPA) (surg. planning model/post-op connection) | Hemodynamic explanation | Power loss (mW) (surg. planning model/post-op connection) | Hemodynamic explanation |
|---------|-------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1 | 23/14 | AZ flow impinging on Lt branch outlet | 1.9/3.2 | Pouch and PA size differences |
| 2 | 25/27 | | 7.7/5.1 | Different PA size |
| 3 | 58/72 | Medial placement of right Y-branch | 1.1/1.3 | Different PA size |
| 4 | 52/34 | IVC offset variation | 2.3/1.5 | Different PA size |

| TABLE 4. | CMR-derived | flow | rates. |
|----------|---------------|--------|--------|
| | Own - acrived | 110 11 | rates. |

| | | | | Perc | centage distributi | ons | | |
|---------|------------------|-------------------------|----------|-----------|--------------------|-----|-----|-----|
| Patient | Measurement time | Ao ^a (L/min) | HepV/IVC | Right SVC | Left SVC | AZ | LPA | RPA |
| Pt. 1 | Pre | 3.3 | 17 | 34 | | 49 | -23 | -77 |
| | Post | 2.4 | 21 | 52 | | 27 | -18 | -82 |
| Pt. 2 | Pre | 2.9 | 18 | 54 | | 28 | -34 | -66 |
| | Post | 1.8 | 30 | 47 | | 23 | -13 | -87 |
| Pt. 3 | Pre | 3.2 | 18 | 56 | | 26 | -76 | -24 |
| | Post | 3.7 | 16 | 72 | | 12 | -85 | -15 |
| Pt. 4 | Pre | 2.6 | 56 | 25 | 19 | | -62 | -38 |
| | Post | 1.8 | 58 | 20 | 22 | | -69 | -31 |

^aAorta.





FIGURE 5. Representative instantaneous velocity streamlines (color-coded by vessel of origin) from the time-varying postoperative flow simulations on the actual post-operative connections for all four patients.

TABLE 5. Effect of boundary condition variations: surgical planning models (comparison of simulations 1a, 1b, 1c).

| Pt | | HFD (% LPA) | | Resistance (mmHg * min/L) | | | |
|----|-----------|-----------------------------|------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| | Pre-op BC | Pre inflow; post outflow | Post-op BC | Pre-op BC | Pre inflow; post outflow | Pulsatile post-op BC | |
| 1 | 23 | 19 | 40 | 0.26 | 0.28 | 0.19 | |
| 2 | 25 | 17 | 28 | 0.77 | 0.27 | 0.2 | |
| 3 | 58 | 58 | 77 | 0.18 | 0.21 | 0.24 | |
| 4 | 52 | 61 | 66 | 0.2 | 0.18 | 0.14 | |

were seen as a function of PA split (Table 5) owing to differences in vessel size. This effect was substantial for pt. 2 because of the relatively small size of the LPA. Neglecting PA size effects and comparing only the 5th and 6th columns, the efficiency errors as a function of systemic flow variations ranged from 14 to 32% with an average of 24%.

Efficiency Rankings

To further evaluate the impact of power loss variations with physiologic changes, Table 7 compares the connection resistances and associated relative rankings for the 3 potential options pre-operatively analyzed for patients 2 and 3 (Fig. 2). For pt. 2, despite the change



| TABLE 6. | Effect of boundary | condition variations: | post-operative anatomy | (comparison of | f simulations 2a and 2b). |
|----------|--------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|----------------|---------------------------|
|----------|--------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|----------------|---------------------------|

| Patient | HFD (% LPA) (pre BC/post BC) | Hemodynamic explanation | Connection resistance (mmHg * min/L) (pre BC/pulsatile post BC) |
|---------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1 | 14/35 | Varied venous flow distributions | 0.44/0.22 |
| 2 | 27/35 | Varied venous flow distributions | 0.51/0.32 |
| 3 | 72/91 | Varied venous flow distributions | 0.22/0.35 |
| 4 | 34/54 | Increased total LPA Flow | 0.14/0.18 |

TABLE 7. Relative efficiency rankings (pre- vs. post-operative) for selected surgical options.

| | Pre-operative resistance | Pre-operative rank | Post-operative resistance | Post-operative rank |
|----|--------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|
| 2a | 0.76 | 1 | 0.12 | 1 |
| 2b | 0.77 | 2 | 0.19 | 2 |
| 2c | 1.21 | 3 | 0.28 | 3 |
| 3a | 0.17 | 1 | 0.41 | 3 |
| 3b | 0.18 | 2 | 0.24 | 1 |
| 3c | 0.34 | 3 | 0.33 | 2 |

| | | | | liacyi | | | |
|------------|-------------------------|--------------------|--------------|---------------------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------|--|
| | F | IFD (% LPA) | | Connection resistance (mmHg * min/L) ^a | | | |
| Pt | Surgical planning model | Post-op anatomy | Difference | Surgical planning model | Post-op anatomy | % Error | |
| 1 | 23 | 35 | 12 | 0.28 | 0.22 | 22 | |
| 2 | 25 | 35 | 10 | 0.27 | 0.32 | 16 | |
| 3 | 58 | 91 | 33 | 0.21 | 0.35 | 40 | |
| 4 | 52 | 54 | 2 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0 | |
| 90% #CInt. | | | 14.3 ± 4.3 | | | 19.5 ± 4.8 | |

TABLE 8. Predictive accuracy

^aPre-operative values using post-operative outflow splits; #CInt. = Confidence Interval.

in the absolute resistance values, the associated rankings were unchanged. For pt. 3, the order did vary because of the large variation in SVC and azygos flow contributions. The increased SVC flow post-operatively led to much greater dissipation and resistance through collision with the 't-junction' extracardiac model, while decreased azygos flow meant lower resistance for the hepatic-to-azygos connection.

Predictive Accuracy

The explicit comparison of surgical planning predictions to simulated post-operative hemodynamics is shown in Table 8. For HFD, the predictive difference (pre-operative value vs. post-operative result) ranged between 2 and 33%, with a 90% confidence interval of $14.3 \pm 4.3\%$. For connection resistances, the predictive comparisons (pre-operative inflows with postoperative PA splits vs. post-operative simulation) ranged between 0 and 40% error with a 90% confidence interval of 19.5 \pm 4.8%.

DISCUSSION

This study compared the results from pre-operative virtual surgery modeling of the Fontan procedure to the simulated hemodynamic outcomes following the surgical implementation of those models in four patients. While the agreement was not exact, it is important to note that the hemodynamic outcomes with respect to what the patients ultimately needed (i.e., hepatic perfusion of the diseased lung) were achieved in each case, which is not a guarantee in such complex anatomical configurations. The improved/ improving arterial oxygen saturation values further support this observation as they suggest the PAVMs are regressing and more blood is being properly routed through the lungs. Ultimately, that is the desired clinical endpoint.

These results suggest that the virtual models mimicked the interventions with sufficient realism to provide relevant insights to the cardiovascular surgeon and help in the decision-making process. The fact that these Y-graft patients were the first for either surgeon



in this study provides strong testament that the modeling results were taken into account in their surgical strategy. Furthermore, providing the first assessment of prospective modeling comparisons is a milestone in the development of tools for engineering-based modeling of cardiothoracic surgery because it demonstrates the ability of the modeling to approximate the acute surgical result. Of equal importance, however, was the identification of key variables that dictate the error margins of the approximations. Such information will be critical to both prioritize and further motivate future developments to address these limitations.

HFD

As seen in Table 8, the confidence interval of preoperative HFD predictions to post-operative results was $14.3 \pm 4.3\%$. This finding is positive for several reasons. HFD is a primary consideration in patients with PAVM diagnoses based on the assumption that achieving desired flow streaming would successfully alleviate the immediate disease state. However, there is a poor clinical understanding with regard to the critical values of HFD that mediate PAVM formation or regression, with the only clearly negative outcome being complete exclusion of hepatic flow from one lung. Interventional target values are therefore broad, ill-defined, and nearly impossible to dictate a priori. Providing a means to achieve a specific outcome, even with up to 19% uncertainty, thus represents a significant refinement of the current approach.

To further improve on these results, Table 3 suggests that surgical/model agreement is a critical mediator of flow streaming predictions. Unfortunately, surgical mismatch is currently an unavoidable factor of the process as consistently exact agreement would require: (a) registered, simultaneous visualization of both the patient anatomy and virtual model in the operating room; (b) a lack of intra-operative adjustments from the pre-determined plan by the surgeon; and (c) simulation of the geometric changes that occur postoperatively (e.g., from chest closure or restoration of normal thoracic pressures). While none of these is impossible for future work to incorporate, they are not currently feasible. Rather than addressing this limitation on the surgical side, however, it would be easier to take preventative measures on the virtual design-side to minimize its impact. Such considerations were subjectively included in these present cases, as in the case of the extracardiac hepatic baffle evaluation (Fig. 2), but only on an ad hoc basis. Low sensitivity of desirable hemodynamic values to possible variations in exact implementation (i.e., option robustness) can also be mathematically formalized into a separate evaluation criterion to strengthen the analysis.²⁴



Connection Resistance

Prospective resistance predictions were not as strong as for flow distribution $(19.5 \pm 4.8\%)$. Yet, even though the clinically relevant thresholds of TCPC power loss are currently unknown, it is assumed that the resistance values found in all cases were acceptably small. It is unlikely that 10-20% differences at such low levels would mediate significant clinical differences given that, from the work of Sundareswaran et al.,³¹ a 3- or 4-fold increase in resistance would be required to drop cardiac output by ~12%. Furthermore, power loss was only a secondary consideration in the decision making process for these patients (as seen from the pre-operative analyses) and only came into play when two options had similar flow distribution characteristics. In fact, the efficiency differences between the options for which power loss was a discriminator exceeded the 24% mean error margin, so it was still a useful metric in those pre-operative analyses. That said, the secondary importance of connection efficiency is not a permanent fixture and there are likely patients and disease states (e.g., exercise intolerance, high central venous pressure) for which such metrics are of primary concern. Thus, while the present findings from this initial series provide a viable starting point, they are not sufficient for the ultimate promise of such analyses.

Based on the present data, one focal point for making improvements is minimizing the deviation of reconstructed vessel sizes, particularly of the small PAs, which were a primary contributor to predictive errors of efficiency (Table 3). Uncertainties in vessel sizes were related to three primary sources: (a) the reconstruction protocol itself (smoothing and surface fitting algorithms tend to shrink the segmented volume); (b) the possibility of actual changes occurring in the vessel sizes, as in response to changing flow conditions or surgical manipulation; and (c) finite sampling of medical images. Continuous advancements in imaging (particularly the growing use of 3D anatomic sequences) and image processing techniques will help address points (a) and (c); the effects of (b) will need to be studied through future work with larger sample sizes, and may require fluid-structure interaction models to resolve if deemed critical to the result.

Flow Boundary Condition Uncertainty

While surgical agreement and reconstruction errors were each found to impact pre-operative predictions in an isolated fashion (i.e., either HFD or power loss), changes in flow conditions was seen to mediate changes in both metrics of interest and thus deserves particular attention. Decreases in cardiac output post-Fontan have previously been noted by Fogel et al.,¹⁰ although no similar report exists with respect to post-operative physiology of interrupted IVC patients. In this limited series, output decreased in 3 of 4 patient cases by as much as 1.1 L/min. Power losses are directly dependent on the total flow rate, so normalizing to express as a resistance better accounted for most of these changes, as evidenced by the similar orders of magnitude in the comparisons of Tables 5, 6, and 8. Dynamic interactions among the various inlets, dictated by their relative flow rates, would have also contributed to the hemodynamic efficiency of the connection. The differences in connection resistances for the surgical planning models of patient 3 (Table 7) demonstrate such dynamic effects. There, the shift to higher SVC and lower azygos flows made the hepatic-to-azygos connection appear more efficient under post-operative flow conditions, while increasing the energy dissipated by the direct collision of hepatic and SVC flows in the extracardiac model. Without this significant physiologic shift, the relative connection rankings would likely have remained more consistent, as with patient 2.

Relative vessel flow rates also impacted HFD. It is known that HFD is generally positively correlated to the pulmonary outflow split,³ so this finding came as little surprise. In patient 4, for example, pre-operatively varying the outflow split (Table 5) improved agreement with the result of the post-operative BC simulation. Patients 1 and 2 (both with Y-graft conduits) diverged from this trend, which suggests that the inlet fluid momentum in bifurcated grafts may supersede the outlet conditions under certain conditions. More importantly though, the physiologic factors governing post-operative adaptation of pulmonary splits are not well characterized, and so parametric sensitivity studies should be a critical component of any surgical planning analysis.

Coupling lumped parameter models to 3D fluid solvers has been proposed and evaluated in hypothetical surgical planning studies as a means to mathematically predict physiologic changes and better account for such effects.^{1,22,32} This is potentially a very powerful paradigm and certainly represents the future for these techniques. However, there is currently a lack of data in the literature characterizing patient-specific pre- and post-operative physiology for the models to be properly validated. Furthermore, the difficulty in obtaining the data needed to make such models truly patient-specific (e.g., explicit compliance characteristics throughout the various vascular beds) can be challenging. Perhaps the best approach for future protocols would be for these multi-modality approaches to be complemented by clinical experience of serial patient changes (both acute and chronic) to ensure the models have simulated all the relevant conditions. While this development continues, the controlled and hypothesis-driven deviation of the investigated outflow splits as part of our surgical planning analysis is worthwhile approach that, based on the presented findings, should be augmented and complemented with similar variations of the inlet BCs to ensure robust solutions with respect to physiologic changes.

Limitations

While this study has explored factors that limit the similarity of pre-operative simulations to post-operative simulations, there are simplifications inherent to such modeling that may reduce agreement with the in vivo values. Future studies should seek to explicitly quantify such internal uncertainties and sources of error propagation,²⁴ in addition to the external error sources and uncertainty ranges elucidated through this work. For example, the use of rigid walls ignores the ability of the vessel walls to store and/or transmit pulsatile pressure wave energy or adapt to changes in bulk flow conditions. However, a recent fluid-structure interaction study showed minimal differences in HFD and time-averaged hemodynamic efficiency in comparing rigid vs. compliant simulations.¹⁸ Furthermore, agreement of 4D in vivo velocity measurements with the simulated results^{28,30} suggests that this simplification yields meaningful hemodynamic insights. The use of time-averaged flow conditions and fixed flow splits for the surgical planning simulations may have negatively impacted the agreement of the comparisons made and will be addressed in future studies.

Finally, the patient follow-up was limited to a single time point and was performed in the early post-operative period, which may not reflect the long-term baseline hemodynamic state. Longitudinal follow-up for these patients will therefore be crucial to understand the long-term hemodynamic and clinical outcomes and how they relate to the pre-operative modeling.

Summary

The results of this study support the hypothesized accuracy of prospective modeling predictions for virtual Fontan surgery; yet, there is clearly room for methodology refinements. Using the information gained from the error assessments conducted, the following steps are proposed for the future development of these planning studies. First, there is an urgent need to improve the characterization of the evolution of systemic venous flows, which had significant influence on both metrics of interest to this analysis. Such improvements can be made through both the continued collection and analysis of relevant serial patient data,



and further development and validation of coupled lumped parameter-CFD models. Second, the results communicated to the surgeon should include (a) geometric sensitivity (i.e., robustness) of the different surgical options; and (b) sensitivity to parametric boundary condition evolution. This information can then be taken into account with past clinical experience and surgical judgment to select the desired treatment strategy. Through careful use in this fashion, the benefits of virtual surgery planning for both the patient and clinician can outweigh its current limitations and provide meaningful clinical insights.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was supported by the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Grants HL67622 and HL098252, and a Pre-Doctoral Fellowship Award (10PRE3720002) from the American Heart Association.

REFERENCES

- ¹Baretta, A., C. Corsini, W. Yang, I. Vignon-Clementel, A. L. Marsden, J. A. Feinstein, T.-Y. Hsia, G. Dubini, F. Migliavacca, and G. Pennati. Virtual surgeries in patients with congenital heart disease: a multi-scale modelling test case. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. A* 369:4316–4330, 2011.
- ²Dasi, L. P., R. Krishnankutty, H. Kitajima, K. Pekkan, K. Sundareswaran, M. Fogel, S. Sharma, K. Whitehead, K. Kanter, and A. P. Yoganathan. Fontan hemodynamics: importance of pulmonary artery diameter. *J. Thorac. Cardiovasc. Surg.* 137:560–564, 2009.
- ³Dasi, L. P., K. Whitehead, K. Pekkan, D. de Zelicourt, K. Sundareswaran, K. Kanter, M. A. Fogel, and A. P. Yoganathan. Pulmonary hepatic flow distribution in total cavopulmonary connections: extracardiac versus intracardiac. *J. Thorac. Cardiovasc. Surg.* 141:207–214, 2011.
- ⁴de Leval, M. R., P. Kilner, M. Gewillig, and C. Bull. Total cavopulmonary connection: a logical alternative to atriopulmonary connection for complex fontan operations. *J. Thorac. Cardiovasc. Surg.* 96:682–695, 1988.
- ⁵de Zelicourt, D. Pulsatile fontan hemodynamics and patient-specific surgical planning: a numerical investigation. PhD. Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA, 2010.
 ⁶de Zélicourt, D., L. Ge, C. Wang, F. Sotiropoulos, A. Gilmanov, and A. P. Yoganathan. Flow simulations in arbitrarily complex cardiovascular anatomies—an unstructured Cartesian grid approach. *Comput. Fluids*
- 38:1749–1762, 2009.
 ⁷De Zelicourt, D., C. M. Haggerty, K. S. Sundareswaran, B. Whited, J. Rossignac, K. Kanter, J. W. Gaynor, T. L. Spray, F. Sotiropoulos, M. A. Fogel, and A. P. Yogana-than. Individualized computer-based surgical planning to address pulmonary ateriovenous malformations in patients

with a single ventricle with an interrupted inferior vena cava and azygous continuation. J. Thorac. Cardiovasc. Surg. 141:1170–1177, 2011.

- ⁸De Zelicourt, D., A. L. Marsden, M. Fogel, and A. P. Yoganathan. Imaging and patient-specific simulations for the fontan surgery: current methodologies and clinical applications. *Prog. Pediatr. Cardiol.* 30:31–44, 2010.
- ⁹Duncan, B., and S. Desai. Pulmonary arteriovenous malformations after cavopulmonary anastomosis. *Ann. Thorac. Surg.* 76:1759–1766, 2003.
- ¹⁰Fogel, M., P. M. Weinberg, A. J. Chin, K. E. Fellows, and E. A. Hoffman. Late ventricular geometry and performance changes of functional single ventricle throughout staged fontan reconstruction assessed by magnetic resonance imaging. J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 28:212–221, 1996.
- ¹¹Fontan, F., and E. Baudet. Surgical repair of tricuspid atresia. *Thorax* 26:240–248, 1971.
- ¹²Frakes, D. H., C. P. Conrad, T. M. Healy, J. W. Monaco, M. Fogel, S. Sharma, M. J. Smith, and A. P. Yoganathan. Application of an adaptive control grid interpolation technique to morphological vascular reconstruction. *IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng.* 50:197–206, 2003.
- ¹³Gilmanov, A., and F. Sotiropoulos. A hybrid cartesian/ immersed boundary method for simulating flows with 3d, geometrically complex, moving bodies. J. Comput. Phys. 207:457–492, 2005.
- ¹⁴Haggerty, C. M., D. De Zelicourt, J. Kanter, K. Sundareswaran, M. Fogel and A. P. Yoganathan. Pulsatile hemodynamics of the fontan connection: A tri-modal investigation (abstract). Proceedings of the ASME Summer Bioengineering Conference, 2011.
- ¹⁵Haggerty, C. M., K. R. Kanter, M. Restrepo, D. de Zelicourt, W. J. Parks, J. Rossignac, M. A. Fogel, and A. P. Yoganathan. Simulating hemodynamics of the fontan ygraft based on patient-specific *in vivo* connections. *J. Thorac. Cardiovasc. Surg.* 2012. (in press).
- ¹⁶Kanter, K. R., C. M. Haggerty, M. Restrepo, D. De Zelicourt, J. Rossignac, W. J. Parks and A. P. Yoganathan. Preliminary clinical experience with a bifurcated y-graft fontan procedure—a feasibility study. J. Thorac. Cardiovasc. Surg. 2012. (in press).
- ¹⁷Khairy, P., S. M. Fernandes, J. John, E. Mayer, J. K. Triedman, E. P. Walsh, J. E. Lock, and M. J. Landzberg. Long-term survival, modes of death, and predictors of martality in patients with fontan surgery. *Circulation* 117:85–92, 2008.
- ¹⁸Long, C. C., M.-C. Hsu, Y. Bazilevs, J. A. Feinstein and A. L. Marsden. Fluid-structure interaction simulations of the fontan procedure using variable wall properties. *Int. J. Numer. Methods Biomed. Eng.* 28:513–527, 2012.
- ¹⁹Mair, D. D., F. J. Puga, and G. K. Danielson. The fontan procedure for tricuspid atresia: early and late results of a 25-year experience with 216 patients. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 37:933–939, 2001.
- ²⁰Marsden, A. L., A. J. Bernstein, V. M. Reddy, S. C. Shadden, R. L. Spilker, F. P. Chan, C. A. Taylor, and J. A. Feinstein. Evaluation of a novel y-shaped extracardiac fontan baffle using computational fluid dynamics. *J. Thorac. Cardiovasc. Surg.* 137:394–403, 2009.
- ²¹Pekkan, K., B. Whited, K. Kanter, S. Sharma, D. de Zelicourt, K. Sundareswaran, D. Frakes, J. Rossignac, and A. P. Yoganathan. Patient-specific surgical planning and hemodynamic computational fluid dynamics optimization through free-form haptic anatomy editing tool (surgem). *Med. Biol. Eng. Comput.* 46:1139–1152, 2008.



- ²²Pennati, G., C. Corsini, D. Cosentino, T.-Y. Hsia, V. S. Luisi, G. Dubini, and F. Migliavacca. Boundary conditions of patient-specific fluid dynamics modelling of cavopul-monary connections: possible adaptation of pulmonary resistances results is a critical issue for virtual surgical planning. *Interface Focus* 1:297–307, 2011.
- ²³Rosenthal, M., A. Bush, J. Deanfield, and A. Redington. Comparison of cardiopulmonary adaptation during exercise in children after the atriopulmonary and total cavopulmonary connection fontan procedures. *Circulation* 91:372–378, 1995.
- ²⁴Sankaran, S., and A. L. Marsden. A stochastic collocation method for uncertainty quantification and propagation in cardiovascular simulations. J. Biomech. Eng. 133:031001, 2011.
- ²⁵Senzaki, H., S. Masutani, H. Ishido, M. Taketazu, T. Kobayashi, N. Saski, H. Asano, T. Katogi, S. Kyo, and Y. Yokote. Cardiac rest and reserve function in patients with fontan circulation. J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 47:2528–2535, 2006.
- ²⁶Shachar, G., B. Fuhrman, Y. Wang, R. J. Lucas, and J. Lock. Rest and exercise hemodynamics after the fontan procedure. *Circulation* 65:1043–1048, 1982.
 ²⁷Soerensen, D. D., K. Pekkan, D. de Zelicourt, S. Sharma,
- ²⁷Soerensen, D. D., K. Pekkan, D. de Zelicourt, S. Sharma, K. Kanter, M. Fogel, and A. P. Yoganathan. Introduction of a new optimized total cavopulmonary connection. *Ann. Thorac. Surg.* 83:2182–2190, 2007.
- ²⁸Sundareswaran, K., D. de Zélicourt, S. Sharma, K. Kanter, T. Spray, J. R. Rossignac, F. Sotiropoulos, M. Fogel, and

A. P. Yoganathan. Correction of pulmonary arteriovenous malformation using image based surgical planning. *JACC Imaging* 2:1024–1030, 2009.

- ²⁹Sundareswaran, K., D. Frakes, M. Fogel, D. Soerensen, J. N. Oshinski, and A. Yoganathan. Optimum fuzzy filters for phase-contrast magnetic resonance imaging segmentation. *J. Magn. Reson. Imaging* 29:155–165, 2009.
- ³⁰Sundareswaran, K. S., C. M. Haggerty, D. de Zelicourt, L. P. Dasi, K. Pekkan, D. Frakes, A. J. Powell, K. R. Kanter, M. A. Fogel, and A. P. Yoganathan. Visualization of flow structures in fontan patients using three-dimensional phase contrast magnetic resonance imaging. J. Thorac. Cardiovasc. Surg. 143:1108–1116, 2012.
- ³¹Sundareswaran, K. S., K. Pekkan, L. P. Dasi, K. Whitehead, S. Sharma, K. Kanter, M. Fogel, and A. P. Yoganathan. The total cavopulmonary connection resistance: a significant impact on single ventricle hemodynamics at rest and exercise. *Am. J. Physiol Heart Circ. Physiol.* 295:H2427–H2435, 2008.
- ³²Vignon-Clementel, I. E., C. Alberto Figueroa, K. E. Jansen, and C. A. Taylor. Outflow boundary conditions for three-dimensional finite element modeling of blood flow and pressure in arteries. *Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Eng.* 195:3776–3796, 2006.
- ³³Whitehead, K. K., K. Pekkan, H. D. Kitajima, S. M. Paridon, A. P. Yoganathan, and M. A. Fogel. Nonlinear power loss during exercise in single-ventricle patients after the fontan: Insights from computational fluid dynamics. *Circulation* 116:I165–I171, 2007.

