RESEARCH PAPER



# **Analysis of the geometrical dependence of auxetic behavior in reentrant structures by finite elements**

**V. H. Carneiro<sup>1</sup> · <b>H. Puga<sup>1</sup>** · **J. Meireles**<sup>1</sup>

Received: 28 September 2014 / Revised: 15 March 2015 / Accepted: 16 April 2015 / Published online: 17 November 2015 © The Chinese Society of Theoretical and Applied Mechanics; Institute of Mechanics, Chinese Academy of Sciences and Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2015

**Abstract** Materials with a negative Poisson's ratio (PR) are called *auxetics*; they are characterized by expansion/ contraction when tensioned/compressed. Given this counterintuitive behavior, they present very particular characteristics and mechanical behavior. Geometrical models have been developed to justify and artificially reproduce such materials' auxetic behavior. The focus of this study is the exploration of a reentrant model by analyzing the variation in the PR of reentrant structures as a function of geometrical and base material parameters. It is shown that, even in the presence of protruding ribs, there may not be auxetic behavior, and this depends on the geometry of each reentrant structure. Values determined for these parameters can be helpful as approximate reference data in the design and fabrication of auxetic lattices using reentrant geometries.

**Keywords** Auxetic · Poisson's ratio · Reentrant · Finite element analysis · Elasticity

## **1 Introduction**

Poisson's ratio (PR) is a dimensionless constant that describes the negative ratio of lateral strain to the longitudinal strain on a tensioned body. As common sense dictates, the cross section of a body becomes larger/thinner in compression/tension, so the value of this constant is assumed to

B V. H. Carneiro vitorhcarneiro@hotmail.com be positive [\[1\]](#page-5-0). However, considering the classical theory of elasticity, it is possible to observe that this constant may assume values from −1 to 0.5 in three-dimensional isotropic bodies  $\lceil 2 \rceil$  and between  $-1$  and 1 in two-dimensional isotropic materials [\[3\]](#page-5-2). For anisotropic materials, this constant depends on the stretch and transverse direction and may assume values other than the previously established ones [\[4](#page-5-3)].

Materials that present a generalized negative PR are called *auxetics* [\[5\]](#page-5-4). Anisotropic materials that reveal only negative values of PR along certain directions are called *partial auxetics* [\[6](#page-5-5)].

Owing to the negative value of this constant, these materials are endowed with very particular characteristics and peculiar mechanical behavior [\[7](#page-5-6)]. Some examples are their superior resistance to indentation, shear and fracture, enhanced acoustic absorption, synclastic behavior, variable permeability, and shape memory characteristics [\[1](#page-5-0)].

Some geometric models have been developed to justify this unusual behavior; among them, the most common are the reentrant, chiral, and semirigid rotating models (e.g., Ref. [\[7\]](#page-5-6)). It is commonly accepted that these models always demonstrate auxetic behavior; however, this may not always be true [\[8\]](#page-5-7). This study analyzes whether the presence of protruding ribs in a reentrant structure is enough to produce auxetic behavior in reentrant structures.

The experimental study of such models, by considering how they are made and conducting experiments on them, is an elaborate and complex task. It is convenient to resort to finite-element (FE) analysis to analyze them (e.g., Refs. [\[3](#page-5-2),[9\]](#page-5-8)). The use of such techniques is a very efficient and a quick way to manipulate and determine the behavior of different reentrant models [\[10](#page-5-9)]. Consequently, these routines make it possible to determine the parameters that influence the auxetic behavior of these structures.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Minho, Campus de Azurem, 4804-533 Guimarães, Portugal



<span id="page-1-0"></span>**Fig. 1 a** Individual cell in reentrant lattice. **b** Base analyzed reentrant structure

The objective of the present article is the study of the parameters that influence the auxetic behavior of reentrant structures using FE analysis, considering the variation in the geometrical and base material characteristics. This study may lead to the tailoring of these structures and permit the application of lattices (periodic structures composed by struts [\[11\]](#page-5-10)) with a projected PR in practical applications. Additionally, the relative densities of the simulated reentrant structures will be determined considering different geometries so as to generate values of critical relative density in the transition between auxetic and nonauxetic behavior.

### **2 Methodology**

The fundamental objective of this study is the definition of the variables that determine the presence of auxetic behavior in a reentrant two-dimensional structure (Fig. [1a](#page-1-0)). To this end, numerical simulations (using FE analysis) were conducted to model a base reentrant structure (Fig. [1b](#page-1-0)), varying some structural characteristics and applying a quasistatic tensile load  $(F_1)$  on the *XX*-axis (the value of the load assured that the structures never experienced plastic deformation). This method was adopted so the inertial terms would be negligible. The resultant deformations made it possible to determine the PR of the structure and, consequently, the presence/absence of auxetic behavior.

## **2.1 Structural characteristics: geometry and base material properties**

The modified characteristics were divided into two main categories: the geometrical and the base material parameters. In the first category, the variation consisted in changing the length (*a*), width (*c*), and initial angle ( $\beta$ ) of the ribs of the initial reentrant structures (Fig. [1,](#page-1-0) Table [1\)](#page-1-1).

The base material of the structure was defined as linear elastic and isotropic. This implies that its elastic behavior can be defined by only two of the four basic isotropic elastic

<span id="page-1-1"></span>**Table 1** Initial structural and modified structural characteristics

Parameter	Initial	Modified	Units	
$E$ (Young's modulus: base material)	200	$1 - 1000$	GPa	
$\nu$ (Poisson's ratio: base material)	0.3	$0.0 - 0.5$		
a (Length)	40	$30 - 70$	mm	
$c$ (Width)	6	$0.25 - 12$	mm	
$\beta$ (Angle)	45	$25 - 90$	$(^\circ)$	
$F$ (Load)	20		N	

constants [\[12](#page-5-11)]. In this approach, the selected elastic constants were the Young's modulus and the PR. These constants completely characterize the elastic behavior of the structures.

Given that the reentrant structures are represented in two dimensions, they were simplified in the simulations using plane stress conditions. The other elastic constants, the bulk (*K*) and shear (*G*) moduli, can be determined considering the adopted simplification by Eqs.  $(1)$  and  $(2)$  [\[13\]](#page-5-12):

<span id="page-1-2"></span>
$$
K = \frac{E}{2(1 - \nu)},\tag{1}
$$

$$
G = \frac{E}{2(1+\nu)}.\tag{2}
$$

# **2.2 Structure simulation: finite-element analysis characteristics**

To determine the PR of the structures, whose parameters were varied, a simulation was conducted using FE analysis. The type of simulation used was a linear elastic plane stress model, considering that the base material is isotropic. Thus, the deformation behavior of the body was regulated by Hooke's law  $(Eq. (3))$  $(Eq. (3))$  $(Eq. (3))$ :

<span id="page-1-3"></span>
$$
\{\sigma_{ij}\} = \mathbf{D} \times \{\varepsilon_{ij}\} \,. \tag{3}
$$

The stress  $(\sigma)$  in the structure is generated by the quasistatic unitary force  $(F_1: Fig. 1a)$  $(F_1: Fig. 1a)$  $(F_1: Fig. 1a)$ , applied on the *XX*-axis to

**Table 2** Mesh characteristics

<span id="page-2-2"></span>

Mesh settings	Value	
Maximum element size	2.870	
Minimum element size	0.123	
Maximum element growth rate	1.350	
Resolution of curvature	0.300	
Resolution of narrow regions	0.850	

the structure (initially in a free body). In isotropic materials, the two-dimensional stiffness matrix  $\boldsymbol{D}$  is defined by Eq. [\(4\)](#page-2-0):

$$
D = \frac{E}{(1 - \nu^2)} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \nu & 0 \\ \nu & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 + \nu \end{bmatrix}.
$$
 (4)

This relation implies that there are deformations imposed on the structure. The observed deformation may also be generally expressed on a given axis, in the form of strain, by Eq. [\(5\)](#page-2-1):

<span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2} \left( \nabla u + \nabla u^{\mathrm{T}} \right). \tag{5}
$$

Using FE analysis, the previously defined equations may be used to determine the strain of the nodes that compose the mesh in which the analyzed body is subdivided. The numerical computation was performed using COMSOLMultiphysics. The mesh created by that software was built using the preset parameter values in the "Extra fine mesh" function (see Table [2](#page-2-2) for settings). To generate the desired results, the software used the MUltifrontal Massively Parallel sparse direct Solver (MUMPS solver).

# **2.3 Determination of Poisson's ratio of reentrant structures**

To determine the PR of each analyzed structure and the presence/absence of auxetic behavior, the strain values were monitored in two nodes (Fig. [1\)](#page-1-0).

Node 1 is placed on the axis of the imposed tensile load and will only deform in that direction (*XX*-axis). Node 2 was placed such that it deforms only along the *YY*-axis, following the expansion/contraction of the protruding ribs. Thus, by the definition and monitoring of these two nodes, it is possible to determine the longitudinal (*XX*-axis) and transverse (*Y Y* axis) strains of each analyzed structure using Eqs. [\(6\)](#page-2-3) and [\(7\)](#page-2-3):

<span id="page-2-3"></span>
$$
\varepsilon_{(xx, \text{Structure})} = 2\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}, \text{ node } 1\right),\tag{6}
$$

$$
\varepsilon_{\text{(yy, Structure)}} = 2\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}, \text{ node } 2\right). \tag{7}
$$

<span id="page-2-4"></span>Finally, the PR of the analyzed structures can be simply determined using Eq. [\(8\)](#page-2-4):

$$
\nu_{\text{Structure}} = -\frac{\varepsilon_{(xx,\text{Structure})}}{\varepsilon_{(yy,\text{Structure})}}.\tag{8}
$$

#### **3 Results and discussion**

<span id="page-2-0"></span>The role of the rib width was verified (Fig. [2\)](#page-2-5) in the deformation mechanism of a reentrant structure. Its value was varied and numerical simulations were conducted, with the parameters mentioned previously, to calculate the PR of the individual reentrant structure.

It can be observed in Fig. [2](#page-2-5) that the rib width has a direct influence on the value of the PR of a reentrant structure. As the rib width increases, so does the general value of the PR in a reentrant structure.

The increase in the PR with the rib width can be interpreted in the following way. When there is a small rib width, there are significant deformations even for small loads. The structure itself is not very rigid and behaves like a spring, forcing a significant vertical expansion. On the other hand, increasing the rib width will generate a stiffer structure. This change will eventually culminate in the loss of auxetic behavior, as the internal space and, consequently, the protruding ribs tend to be eliminated by the increase in the rib width.

The role of the rib length (Fig. [3\)](#page-3-0) was analyzed. Its value was varied and numerical simulations were conducted to determine the resulting structure's PR.

Analyzing Fig. [3,](#page-3-0) it can be observed that the rib length is a key parameter in the determination of the PR of a reentrant structure. It is shown that when the rib length increases, the actual PR of the reentrant structure decreases. This can be justified by the spring behavior of the reentrant struc-



<span id="page-2-5"></span>**Fig. 2** Variation of structure's PR by changing the rib width  $(a =$ 40 mm,  $\beta = 45^{\circ}$ ,  $E = 200$  GPa,  $v = 0.3$ )



<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Fig. 3** Variation of structure's PR by changing the rib length  $(c = 6$  mm,  $\beta = 45^{\circ}$ ,  $E = 200$  GPa,  $\nu = 0.3$ )



<span id="page-3-1"></span>**Fig. 4** Variation of structure's PR by keeping the rib's AR at 5  $(\beta = 45^{\circ}, E = 200 \text{ GPa}, v = 0.3)$ 

ture. When the rib length is increased, the general structure stiffness decreases. This fact leads to significant deformations and to the vertical expansion that characterizes auxetic behavior.

Observing the previous results in Fig. [3,](#page-3-0) it can be concluded that the auxetic behavior of a reentrant structure depends on the rib length and width. These values are mainly related to the length and width of the ribs, which will determine the stiffness of the structure. Therefore, simulations were conducted to determine the dependence of the PR of the structure on the aspect ratio (AR) between the length and the width of a structure's ribs (Fig. [4\)](#page-3-1). The value of the rib length was varied, but the proportion of the AR was kept constant at a value of 5.

According to Fig. [4,](#page-3-1) it can be observed that when the AR is kept constant, the PR of the structure remains constant as well.

Another analyzed parameter was the initial angle between ribs, as shown in Fig. [5.](#page-3-2) Numerical simulations were conducted with different values of the initial angles, and the importance of a reentrant structure in the deformation mechanism was verified.



**Fig. 5** Variation of structure's PR by changing the rib angle  $(a = 40$  mm,  $c = 6$  mm,  $E = 200$  GPa,  $v = 0.3$ )

<span id="page-3-2"></span>

<span id="page-3-3"></span>**Fig. 6** Variation of structure's PR by changing the base material PR and Young's modulus ( $a = 40$  mm,  $c = 6$  mm,  $\beta = 45°$ )

Observing Fig. [5,](#page-3-2) it can be concluded that the PR of a reentrant structure is influenced by the initial angle between ribs. When the angle decreases, there is a similar decrease in the value of the structure's PR. However, for extremely low values of rib angles, the PR increases. A decrease in the rib angle generates a decrease in the free interior structural space. In extreme cases, this space ceases to exist, eliminating the reentrant structure.

Proceeding to the base material parameters, the PR and Young's modulus of the base material were analyzed to determine their influence on the deformation behavior of a reentrant structure. Geometrical equivalent base structures were simulated based on Fig. [1b](#page-1-0), varying the PR and the Young's modulus of the base material. The results are presented in Fig. [6.](#page-3-3)

It is shown the PR of the reentrant structure remains stable when the PR of the base material is changed. Note that the

<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Table 3** PR of reentrant structure for different ARs and rib angles  $(E = 200 \text{ GPa}, v = 0.3)$ 

		Angle [degree]				
		25	30	45	50	
Aspect ratio	5					
		$v = 0.151$	$v = 0.074$	$v = 0.103$	$v = 0.155$	
	7.5					
		$v = -0.212$	$v = 0.155$	$v = 0.01$	$v = 0.079$	
	$\overline{12}$					
		$v = -0.461$	$v = -0.372$	$v = -0.066$	$v = 0.027$	

two do not predominate when describing the deformation mechanism of a reentrant structure.

As may be observed, the value of the PR of the reentrant structure remained constant when the Young's modulus of the base material was changed. In this way, it is shown that the PR of a reentrant structure is independent of the value of the Young's modulus of the base material.

Having isolated all the fundamental parameters that describe the deformation mechanism of a reentrant structure, we can establish that the auxetic behavior will mainly depend on the interaction of the length, width, and angle of the ribs. Because the PR of a reentrant structure remains constant for the same rib AR, these two parameters can be analyzed using only one ratio. With the facts presented, and carrying out numerical simulations, the obtained information was used to create Table [3.](#page-4-0)

Looking at Table [3,](#page-4-0) it can be observed that there is a dependence between the auxetic behavior, low values of rib angles, and high values of AR.

According to the executed simulations and the resulting data, it was possible to elaborate Fig. [7.](#page-4-1) Boundaries relating the structure's AR and initial rib angle were established that might predict whether a reentrant structure will demonstrate auxetic behavior and show the specific values of the PR.

In terms of relative density, it can be observed in Fig. [8](#page-4-2) that the values of AR and initial rib angle generate a parabola shape function. When the values of the initial rib angle are extreme (either high or low), the values of the relative density tend to decrease. However, only low values of AR



<span id="page-4-1"></span>**Fig. 7** Variation of structure's PR using the rib angle for different ARs



<span id="page-4-2"></span>**Fig. 8** Relative density of reentrant structures as a function of rib angle and AR

tend to increase this effect. It can also be observed that each AR that demonstrates auxetic behavior has a specific value of the critical relative density where the transition between auxetic/nonauxetic behavior takes place. Considering the aforementioned plots, it is suggested that the value of the critical density is lower in the presence of a low AR. In contrast, for low initial rib angles the value of the critical relative density tends to increase. Given that a low AR implies thinner and longer ribs, the overall relative density tends to decrease. However, in the case of the initial rib angle, the structure tends to fold on itself, closing the opening in its interior and generating higher relative density values.

#### **4 Conclusions**

Considering the results of the executed numerical simulations, it was shown that a reentrant structure, even in the presence of protruding ribs, may not always demonstrate auxetic behavior. This study isolated the length, width (mainly the AR), and initial angle of the structure's ribs as the predominant factors that determine auxetic behavior. It was also observed that the characteristics of the base material that composes the structures, such as the constants that describe elastic deformation, may have less influence on the auxetic behavior of a reentrant structure.

Limits to the values of length, width, and angles of the ribs were defined to obtain and tailor a predetermined value of the PR of these structures. This allows for the development of structures with a defined PR, even in the project phase, using the same base geometry. According to this formulation, the obtained value of PR is directly related to the unitary reentrant structure. In the case of their application in a lattice, the obtained value of the macroscopic PR may vary slightly, given that the ribs that connect the individual reentrant structures are also elastic. However, as the present study suggests, the main deformation mechanism used to induce auxetic behavior is the bending of the protruding ribs, and this implies a low AR of the ribs. The elastic deformation of the ribs that connect each individual reentrant structure (the ones that do not protrude) is mainly the result of tensile and compressive forces. This last forces, in connection with macroscopic deformation, are negligible compared to the overall reentrant structure expansion.

Additionally, the value of the critical relative density at which the transition between auxetic and nonauxetic behavior occurs was determined. It was shown that this value tends to increase for lower initial rib angles. However, in the presence of a low AR, the value of the critical relative densities tends also to decrease. The generated values of the relative density may also be used in a project phase as an input on weight and overall structural performance when projecting an auxetic lattice.

#### <span id="page-5-0"></span>**References**

- 1. Carneiro, V.H., Puga, H., Meireles, J.: Auxetic materials—a review. Mater. Sci. Pol. **31**, 561–571 (2013)
- <span id="page-5-1"></span>2. Mott, P.H., Roland, C.M.: Limits to Poisson's ratio in isotropic materials—general results for arbitrary deformation. Phys. Scr. **87**, 055404 (2013)
- <span id="page-5-2"></span>3. Strek, T., Maruszewski, B., Narijczyk, J.W., et al.: Finite element analysis of plate deformation. J. Non-cryst. Solids **354**, 4475–4480 (2008)
- <span id="page-5-3"></span>4. Norris, A.N.: Extreme values of Poisson's ratio and other engineering moduli in anisotropic materials. J. Mech. Mater. Struct. **1**, 793–812 (2006)
- <span id="page-5-4"></span>5. Dirrenberger, J., Forest, S., Jeulin, D.: Elastoplasticity of auxetic materials. Comput. Mater. Sci. **64**, 57–61 (2012)
- <span id="page-5-5"></span>6. Brańka, A.C., Heyes, D.M.: Maćkowiak, Sz, et al.: Cubic materials in different auxetic regions–linking microscopic to macroscopic formulations. Phys. Status Solidi B **249**, 1373–1378 (2012)
- <span id="page-5-6"></span>7. Critchley, R., Corni, I., Wharton, J.A., et al.: A review of the manufacture, mechanical properties and potential applications of auxetic foams. Phys. Status Solidi B **250**, 1963–1982 (2013)
- <span id="page-5-7"></span>8. Liu, Y., Hu, H.: A review in auxetic structures and polymeric materials. Sci. Res. Essays **5**, 1052–1063 (2010)
- 9. Bückmann, T., Schittny, R., Thiel, M., et al.: On three-dimensional dilational elastic metamaterials. N. J. Phys. **16**, 033032 (2014)
- <span id="page-5-9"></span><span id="page-5-8"></span>10. Pozniak, A.A., Smardzewski, J., Wojciechowski, K.W.: Computer simulations of auxetic foams in two-dimensions. Smart Mater. Struct. **22**, 084009 (2013)
- <span id="page-5-10"></span>11. Fan, H.-L., Zeng, T., Fang, D.-N., et al.: Mechanics of advanced fiber reinforced lattice composites. Acta Mech. Sin. **26**, 825–835 (2010)
- <span id="page-5-11"></span>12. Du, D.X., Zheng, Q.S., Gao, Y.X.: Consistency between independence theorems and generalized self-consistent method. Acta Mech. Sin. **13**, 355–365 (1997)
- <span id="page-5-12"></span>13. Jasiuk, I., Chen, J., Thorpe,M.F.: Elastic moduli of two dimensional materials with polygonal and elliptical holes. Appl. Mech. Rev. **47**, 18–28 (1994)