**RESEARCH PAPER** 



# The squeeze-film air damping of circular and elliptical micro-torsion mirrors

Changfeng Xia<sup>1,2</sup> · Dayong Qiao<sup>1,2</sup> · Qi Zeng<sup>1,2</sup> · Weizheng Yuan<sup>1,2</sup>

Received: 12 December 2014 / Accepted: 20 April 2015 / Published online: 30 April 2015 © Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2015

Abstract This paper proposes an analytical solution to calculate the squeeze-film air damping of circular and elliptical micro-torsion mirrors. To derive the expressions of squeeze-film air-damping torque, the nonlinear Reynolds equation, which governs the air behavior of torsion mirror, is solved by the method of eigenfunction expansions in polar coordinate and elliptical coordinate, respectively. The series solutions are integrated and summed up to deduce the damping torque of circular mirror and elliptical mirror are deduced independently, and their results match when the eccentricity of the elliptical mirror approaches zero. Besides, the results of the formulas are consistent with numerical simulation. Both of them verifies the damping torque formulas in this paper.

**Keywords** Squeeze-film damping · Torsion mirror · Reynolds equation

## 1 Introduction

Air damping cannot be ignored in micro-electro-mechanical systems (MEMS) devices due to its lager surface area to volume ratio of the moving parts (Bao 2005), and it is a significant factor of the dynamic characteristics because it

Dayong Qiao dyqiao@nwpu.edu.cn

<sup>1</sup> Key Laboratory of Micro/Nano Systems for Aerospace, Ministry of Education, Northwestern Polytechnical University, Xi'an 710072, China

<sup>2</sup> Shaanxi Province Key Laboratory of Micro and Nano Electro-Mechanical Systems, Northwestern Polytechnical University, Xi'an 710072, China affects the amplitude, phase and response time. For a torsion mirror, the dynamic performance is especially important since it determines the devices performance directly. It must be taken into consideration when designing and optimizing the MEMS torsion mirror. According to the movement direction of plate, air damping can be classified into slide-film damping and squeeze-film damping, and the torsion mirror is governed by squeeze-film damping.

As the MEMS torsion mirror has been widely used in barcode reader, micro-projector, medical examination, spectrometers and so on (Yalcinkaya et al. 2007; Sprague et al. 2005; Sakai et al. 2011; Chen et al. 2013), the research of squeezefilm air damping in MEMS torsion mirror is very meaningful. Pan et al. (1998) found the expression of damping torque for a rectangular torsion mirror in the Fourier series solution and in the double sine series solution under the assumption of small displacements. Hao et al. (2002) solved the Reynolds equation which was linearized for the analytical formula describing the air-damping effect with Green's function method. Chang et al. (2002) proposed an analytical solution that is obtained from the linearized modified molecular gas film lubrication (MMGL) equation. Minikes et al. (2005) presented a squeezefilm model with artificial viscosity and the molecular dynamics model are adapted for the case of a torsion mirror under a wide range of vacuum levels. Bao et al. (2006) simplified the nonlinear Reynolds equation and deduced the expression of damping torque by solving the equation. Veijola (2007) presented simple but accurate compact models for the squeezefilm damping of rectangular mirror. Pandey et al. simulated the effect of squeeze-film damping by progressively refining the model for the boundary conditions to show how the numerically computed results get close to the experimental value (2007) and presented a squeeze-film damping model in a double-gimballed torsional mirror with two conditions-a large air gap to plate length ratio and complicated boundary

conditions (2008). Li (2008) analyzed effects of gas rarefaction and surface roughness of squeeze-film damping by using the linearized average Reynolds type equation. Li and Fang used molecular dynamics method (2010a) and wavelet interpolation Galerkin method (2010b) for the numerical simulation of torsion mirror under the effect of squeeze-film damping, respectively. Leung et al. (2011) validated the modified Reynolds equation method for the prediction of low-pressure squeeze-film damping compared with Monte Carlo simulation method. Moeenfard et al. used the extended Kantorovich method to analytically solve the problem of squeezedfilm damping of micro-mirrors (2011), and in (2012), they extended Bao's model to analytically solve the problem of squeeze-film damping in micro-mirrors considering the bending of torsion beams. Pantano et al. (2012) proposed a numerical study of both parallel and torsion plates at decreasing pressure by numerically solving a full 3D Navier-Stokes equation and compared different formulations that are solved by both analytical and numerical means to determine which is the most performing (2014). Gugat (2013) presented a transformation that allowed a fast and reliable numerical evaluation of the coefficient of damping torque for torsion mirrors. Famileh et al. (2015) solved the governing equations of squeeze-film damping numerically by the entropy generation analysis. But all of them focused on rectangular torsion mirrors, and expressions of squeeze-film damping torque of circular or elliptical torsion mirrors have not been reported.

In order to calculate the damping of circular and elliptical torsion mirrors, the nonlinear Reynolds equation is solved in polar coordinate and elliptical coordinate. First, it is solved in polar coordinate, and the expression of damping torque of circular torsion mirror is given in Sect. 2. Then, in Sect. 3, it is solved in elliptical coordinate when rotation axis is minor axis and major axis, followed by the expression of damping torque of elliptical torsion mirror. Section 4 presents the numerical simulation of squeeze air damping in comparison with the result of the formula deduced by this paper, and Sect. 5 discusses the applicable condition of the equation. In Sect. 6, conclusions are drawn.

#### 2 Circular torsion mirror

The simplified nonlinear Reynolds equation was deduced by Bao et al. (2006), and the equation is:

$$\frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial y^2} = -\frac{12\mu}{(h-\varphi x)^3} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} x \tag{1}$$

where p is a deviatory pressure caused by the squeeze-film air damping,  $\mu$  the viscosity, h the height between mirror and bottom,  $\varphi$  the torsion angle. And this equation is established under the condition:

$$\phi \ll \frac{4\mu a_{\rm m}^2}{h^2 p_{\rm max}} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} \tag{2}$$

where  $\phi = \varphi a_{\rm m} h^{-1}$ ,  $a_{\rm m}$  is half length of the mirror and  $\phi$  normalized angle. The right part of Eq. (1) can be expanded into a Taylor series, and this is the basic equation of this paper:

$$\frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial y^2} = -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{6\mu n(n+1)}{h^{n+2}} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \varphi^{n-1} x^n$$
(3)

The schematic diagram of circular torsion mirror is shown in Fig. 1a. The boundary condition of basic equation in this situation is  $p(x, y)|_{x^2+y^2=r_0^2} = 0$ , where  $r_0$  is radius of the mirror. It is much easier to solve it in polar coordinate than in Cartesian coordinate. The boundary condition in polar coordinate is  $p(r = r_0) = 0$ , and the basic equation is:

$$\frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r\frac{\partial p}{\partial r}\right) + \frac{1}{r^2}\frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial \theta^2} = -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{6\mu n(n+1)}{h^{n+2}}\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}\varphi^{n-1}(r\cos\theta)^n$$
(4)

Equation (4) can be solved by the method of eigenfunction expansions (Asmar 2005). The eigenfunction of this equation is (Liang et al. 2010):

$$p = r^m (C_m \cos m\theta + D_m \sin m\theta)$$
<sup>(5)</sup>

With the method of eigenfunction expansions, p can be assumed as:

$$p = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} r^l (C_{lk} \cos k\theta + D_{lk} \sin k\theta)$$
(6)

According to the characteristic of trigonometric functions,  $\cos^n \theta$  can be expanded to:

$$\cos^{n}\theta = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \sum_{\text{odd } k}^{n} C_{n}^{\frac{n-k}{2}} \cos k\theta & n \in \text{odd} \\ \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \sum_{\text{even } k}^{n} C_{n}^{\frac{n-k}{2}} \cos k\theta + \frac{1}{2^{n}} C_{n}^{\frac{n}{2}} & n \in \text{even} \end{cases}$$
(7)

With Eqs. (6) and (7), Eq. (4) can be expanded:

21

$$\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (l^2 - k^2) r^{l-2} (C_{lk} \cos k\theta + D_{lk} \sin k\theta)$$
  
=  $-\sum_{\text{odd } n}^{\infty} \frac{6\mu n(n+1)}{2^{n-1}h^{n+2}} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \varphi^{n-1} r^n \sum_{\text{odd } k}^n C_n^{\frac{n-k}{2}} \cos k\theta$   
=  $\sum_{\text{even } n}^{\infty} \frac{6\mu n(n+1)}{2^{n-1}h^{n+2}} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \varphi^{n-1} r^n \sum_{\text{even } k}^n C_n^{\frac{n-k}{2}} \cos k\theta$   
=  $\sum_{\text{even } n}^{\infty} \frac{6\mu n(n+1)}{2^n h^{n+2}} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \varphi^{n-1} r^n C_n^{\frac{n}{2}}$  (8)

Fig. 1 The schematic diagram of circular and elliptical torsion mirror. a Circular torsion mirror with torsion axis in minor axis, c elliptical torsion mirror with torsion axis in major axis and d cross section of torsion mirror



The coefficients of the variables r and  $\theta$  in both side should equal in Eq. (8), so we have l = n + 2, and with boundary condition  $p(r = r_0) = 0$ , the coefficients are:

$$C_{l0} = -\frac{C_n^{\frac{n}{2}}\varphi^{n-1}}{2^n(n+2)^2} \frac{6\mu n(n+1)}{h^{n+2}} \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t}$$
(9a)

$$C_{lk} = -\frac{C_n^{\frac{n-k}{2}}\varphi^{n-1}}{2^{n-1}[(n+2)^2 - k^2]} \frac{6\mu n(n+1)}{h^{n+2}} \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t}$$
(9b)

$$C_{00} = \sum_{\text{even } n}^{\infty} \frac{C_n^{\frac{n}{2}} \varphi^{n-1}}{2^n (n+2)^2} \frac{6\mu n(n+1)}{h^{n+2}} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} r_0^{n+2}$$
(9c)

$$C_{kk} = \sum_{n=k,k+2\dots}^{\infty} \frac{C_n^{\frac{n-k}{2}} \varphi^{n-1}}{2^{n-1} [(n+2)^2 - k^2]} \frac{6\mu n(n+1)}{h^{n+2}} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} r_0^{n+2-k}$$
(9d)

where *l* is even and greater than zero in Eq. (9a). *l* and *k* are both odd or both even, and *l* is greater than *k* greater than zero in Eq. (9b). In Eq. (9d), *k* is greater than zero. And in other cases,  $C_{lk} = D_{lk} = 0$ . So *p* is:

$$p = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_{lk} r^l \cos k\theta$$
(10)

when torsion angle is small, the Taylor series of Eq. (4) approximates to its first order, and thus, the max value of n is 1. The expression of deviatory pressure p in this situation is shown in Eq. (11), and 3D diagram is shown in Fig. 2.

$$p = \frac{3\mu}{2h_0^3} \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t} \left( r_0^2 r - r^3 \right) \cos\theta \tag{11}$$

The damping torque is  $T_{cir} = \int px dx dy = \int pr \cos \theta r dr d\theta$ . Because of the orthogonality of trigonometric functions,



Fig. 2 The deviatory pressure of circular mirror when torsion angle is small



**Fig. 3** The function  $\gamma(\phi)$  versus  $\phi$ 

the result of integration is not zero only when k equals 1. So, we arrive at:

$$T_{\rm cir} = \sum_{\rm odd\,n}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{r_0} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{C_n^{\frac{n-k}{2}} \varphi^{n-1}}{2^{n-1}[(n+2)^2 - k^2]} \\ \times \frac{6\mu n(n+1)}{h^{n+2}} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} (r_0^{n+1}r - r^{n+2})r^2 \cos^2 \theta \, dr d\theta \\ = \sum_{\rm odd\,n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \frac{3\mu n(n+1)}{(n+3)(n+5)} C_n^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \frac{\pi r_0^{n+5}}{h^{n+2}} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \varphi^{n-1} \\ = \frac{\mu \pi r_0^6}{8h^3} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \sum_{\rm odd\,n}^{\infty} \frac{24}{2^n} \frac{n(n+1)}{(n+3)(n+5)} C_n^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \varphi^{n-1} \\ = \frac{\mu \pi r_0^6}{8h^3} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \gamma(\phi)$$
(12)

where  $\phi = \varphi r_0 h^{-1}$ ,  $\gamma(\phi)$  can be calculated:

$$\gamma(\phi) = \frac{160 - 152\phi^2 + 16\phi^4 - 160\sqrt{1 - \phi^2} + 72\phi^2\sqrt{1 - \phi^2}}{\phi^6\sqrt{1 - \phi^2}}$$
(13)

Let the damping torque  $T_{\rm cir} = T_{\rm cir}(0)\gamma(\phi)$ , where  $T_{\rm cir}(0)$  is the damping torque when  $\phi$  equals zero. And the curve of function  $\gamma(\phi)$  is shown in Fig. 3. With  $T_{\rm cir} = c_{\rm cir} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}$ , the coefficient of damping torque is:

$$c_{\rm cir} = c_{\rm cir}(0)\gamma(\phi) = \frac{\mu\pi r_0^6}{8h^3}\gamma(\phi)$$
(14)

where  $c_{cir}(0)$  means the coefficient of damping torque when  $\phi$  equals zero.

# **3** Elliptical torsion mirror

The basic equation, which refers to the Taylor series form of simplified nonlinear Reynolds equation, Eq. (3), should be changed into elliptical coordinate form to facilitate solving. The elliptical coordinate is shown in Fig. 4 (Elliptic 2013). The relationship between Cartesian coordinate and elliptical coordinate is (Korn and Korn 2000):

$$x = c \cosh \xi \cos \eta \tag{15a}$$

$$y = c \sinh \xi \sin \eta \tag{15b}$$

where *c* is focal length of the ellipse,  $\xi$  a nonnegative real number and  $\eta \in [0, 2\pi)$ .

The major axis length of elliptical mirror is a, and the minor axis is b. Substitute  $\xi = \cosh^{-1}(a/c)$  into Eq. (15) and we have:

$$\frac{x^2}{a^2} + \frac{y^2}{b^2} = 1$$
(16)

Assuming  $\xi_0 = \cosh^{-1}(a/c)$ , it can be seen from above that  $\xi = \xi_0$  describes the outline of the elliptical mirror. So, boundary condition in elliptical coordinate is  $p(\xi = \xi_0) = 0$ . There are two cases in this situation that torsion axis is in minor axis or major axis.



Fig. 4 The schematic of elliptical coordinate



**Fig. 5** The function of  $\cosh m\xi \sin m\eta$ 



**Fig. 6** The function of  $\sinh m\xi \cos m\eta$ 

#### 3.1 Torsion axis in minor axis

When torsion axis is in minor axis, as shown in Fig. 1b, the basic equation in this situation is:

$$\frac{1}{c^{2}(\sinh^{2}\xi + \sin^{2}\eta)} \left(\frac{\partial^{2}p}{\partial\xi^{2}} + \frac{\partial^{2}p}{\partial\eta^{2}}\right)$$
$$= -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{6\mu n(n+1)}{h^{n+2}} \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t} \varphi^{n-1} c^{n} \cosh^{n}\xi \cos^{n}\eta \qquad (17)$$

As in previous section, the method of eigenfunction expansions is still used. The eigenfunction of Eq. (17) is solved by separation of variables method. Let  $p(\xi, \eta) = \Xi(\xi)H(\eta)$ , and thus, we have  $\Xi(\xi) = A_{\rm m} \cosh m\xi + B_{\rm m} \sinh m\xi$  and  $H(\eta) = C_{\rm m} \cos m\eta + D_{\rm m} \sin m\eta$ , where *m* is a natural number. So, we have:

$$p(\xi, \eta) = (A_{\rm m} \cosh m\xi + B_{\rm m} \sinh m\xi)(C_{\rm m} \cos m\eta + D_{\rm m} \sin m\eta)$$
$$= A_{\rm m}C_{\rm m} \cosh m\xi \cos m\eta + B_{\rm m}D_{\rm m} \sinh m\xi \sin m\eta$$
$$+ A_{\rm m}D_{\rm m} \cosh m\xi \sin m\eta + B_{\rm m}C_{\rm m} \cosh m\xi \sin m\eta \qquad (18)$$

For  $\cosh m\xi \sin m\eta$ , it is not continuous since its left-sided limit  $\sin m\eta$  and right-sided limit  $-\sin m\eta$  are not equal in y direction of Cartesian coordinate, which is shown in Fig. 5. For  $\sinh m\xi \cos m\eta$ , it is not smooth since its left derivative  $m \cot m\eta$  and right derivative  $-m \cot m\eta$  with respect to y are not equal, which is shown in Fig. 6. For function p, which describes a natural variable, it is not reasonable to include a discontinuous or non-smooth expression. Then, the eigenfunction can be simplified to:

$$p(\xi, \eta) = A_{\rm m} \cosh m\xi \cos m\eta + B_{\rm m} \sinh m\xi \sin m\eta \qquad (19)$$

With the method of eigenfunction expansions, expression p can be assumed as:

$$p(\xi,\eta) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_{lk} \cosh l\xi \cos k\eta + B_{lk} \sinh l\xi \sin k\eta$$
(20)

and according to the characteristic of hyperbolic functions,  $\cosh^{n} \xi$  can be expanded to:

$$\cosh^{n} \xi = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \sum_{\text{odd}\,l}^{n} C_{n}^{\frac{n-l}{2}} \cosh l\xi & n \in \text{odd} \\ \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \sum_{\text{even}\,l}^{n} C_{n}^{\frac{n-l}{2}} \cosh l\xi + \frac{1}{2^{n}} C_{n}^{\frac{n}{2}} & n \in \text{even} \end{cases}$$
(21)

With Eqs. (20), (21) and (7), Eq. (17) becomes:

$$\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (l^2 - k^2) (A_{lk} \cosh l\xi \cos k\eta + B_{lk} \sinh l\xi \sin k\eta)$$

$$= -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{6\mu n(n+1)}{2^{2n}h^{n+2}} c^{n+2} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \varphi^{n-1}$$

$$\times \left[ \sum_{\text{odd } l}^{n+2} \sum_{k=0}^{n+2} f(n,l,k) \cosh l\xi \cos k\eta + \sum_{\text{even } l}^{n+2} \sum_{k=0}^{n+2} f(n,l,k) \cosh l\xi \cos k\eta + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\text{even } l}^{n+2} f(n,l,0) \cosh l\xi + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\text{even } l}^{n+2} f(n,0,k) \cos k\eta \right]$$
(22)

where  $f(n, l, k) = C_{n+2}^{\frac{n+2-l}{2}} C_n^{\frac{n-k}{2}} - C_n^{\frac{n-l}{2}} C_{n+2}^{\frac{n+2-k}{2}}$ . For both side of Eq. (22), the coefficients of variables  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  should be equal. When k and l are exchanged in Eq. (22), it can be easily found that  $A_{lk} = A_{kl}$  since  $(l^2 - k^2) = -(k^2 - l^2)$  and f(n, l, k) = -f(n, k, l). And the coefficients are:

$$A_{l0} = -\frac{1}{l^2} \sum_{\text{even } n}^{\infty} \frac{6\mu n(n+1)}{2^{2n+1}h^{n+2}} c^{n+2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} \phi^{n-1} f(n,l,0) \quad (23a)$$

$$A_{lk} = -\frac{1}{l^2 - k^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{6\mu n(n+1)}{2^{2n}h^{n+2}} c^{n+2} \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial t} \phi^{n-1} f(n,l,k)$$
(23b)

$$A_{kk} = -\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} A_{lk} \frac{\cosh l\xi_0}{\cosh k\xi_0}$$
(23c)

where *l* is even and *l* is greater than zero in Eq. (23a). In Eq. (23b), *l*, *k* and *n* are all odd or all even and *l* is greater than *k* greater than zero. In Eq. (23c), *l* is not equal to *k*. And in other cases,  $A_{lk} = B_{lk} = 0$ . So, *p* here is:

$$p = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_{lk} \cosh l\xi \cos k\eta$$
(24)

When torsion angle is small, as before, the expression of deviatory pressure p in this situation can be simplified and 3D diagram is shown in Fig. 7.



Fig. 7 The deviatory pressure of elliptical mirror with axis in minor axis when torsion angle is small

The equation of damping torque is  $T_{\text{minor}} = \int pc \cosh \xi \cos \eta c^2 (\cosh^2 \xi - \cos^2 \eta) d\xi d\eta$ . Because of the orthogonality of trigonometric function, the result of integration is not zero only when *k* equals 1 or 3. Thus, we have:

n\_

$$T_{\text{minor}} = \sum_{\text{odd } n}^{\infty} \frac{12\pi \mu n(n+1)C_n^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2^n(n+3)(n+5)} \frac{(c\cosh\xi_0)^{n+5}}{h_0^{n+2}} \frac{\sinh^3\xi_0}{\cosh 3\xi_0} \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial t} \phi^{n-1}$$
$$= \frac{\pi \mu (c\cosh\xi_0)^6}{2h^3} \frac{\sinh^3\xi_0}{\cosh 3\xi_0} \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial t} \gamma(\phi)$$
(25)

When  $\xi_0$  approaching infinity, the elliptical mirror approaches a circular mirror. In this situation, the major axis length can be considered as the radius of mirror. And Eq. (25) becomes:

$$\lim_{\xi_0 \to \infty} T_{\text{minor}} = \lim_{\xi_0 \to \infty} \frac{\pi \mu (c \cosh \xi_0)^6}{2h^3} \frac{\sinh^3 \xi_0}{\cosh 3\xi_0} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \gamma(\phi)$$
$$= \frac{\pi \mu (r_0)^6}{2h^3} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \gamma(\phi) \lim_{\xi_0 \to \infty} \frac{\sinh^3 \xi_0}{4 \cosh^3 \xi_0 - 3 \cosh \xi_0}$$
$$= \frac{\pi \mu r_0^6}{8h^3} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \gamma(\phi)$$
(26)

This result is entirely consistent with the torque damping of circular mirror. With  $T_{\text{minor}} = c_{\text{minor}} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}$  and Eq. (25), the coefficient of damping torque is:

$$c_{\text{minor}} = \frac{\pi \mu (c \cosh \xi_0)^6}{2h^3} \frac{\sinh^3 \xi_0}{\cosh 3\xi_0} \gamma(\phi)$$
(27)

#### 3.2 Torsion axis in major axis

When torsion axis is in major axis, as shown in Fig. 1c, the torsion axis is x axis. The basic equation becomes:

$$\frac{1}{c^{2}(\sinh^{2}\xi + \sin^{2}\eta)} \left(\frac{\partial^{2}p}{\partial\xi^{2}} + \frac{\partial^{2}p}{\partial\eta^{2}}\right)$$

$$= -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{6\mu n(n+1)}{h^{n+2}} \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial t} \phi^{n-1} c^{n} \sinh^{n}\xi \sin^{n}\eta$$
(28)

With the method of eigenfunction expansions, the expression of p can be assumed as Eq. (20). And according to the characteristic of trigonometric functions and hyperbolic function,  $\sinh^n \xi$  and  $\sin^n \eta$  can be expanded to:

$$\sin^{n} \eta = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \sum_{\text{odd } k}^{n} (-1)^{\frac{k-1}{2}} C_{n}^{\frac{n-k}{2}} \sin k\eta & n \in \text{odd} \\ \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \sum_{\text{even } k}^{n} (-1)^{\frac{k}{2}} C_{n}^{\frac{n-k}{2}} \cos k\eta + \frac{1}{2^{n}} C_{n}^{\frac{n}{2}} & n \in \text{even} \end{cases}$$
(29)

$$\sinh^{n} \xi = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \sum_{\text{odd}\,l}^{n} (-1)^{\frac{n-l}{2}} C_{n}^{\frac{n-l}{2}} \sinh l\xi & n \in \text{odd} \\ \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \sum_{\text{even}\,l}^{n} (-1)^{\frac{n-l}{2}} C_{n}^{\frac{n-l}{2}} \cosh l\xi + \frac{1}{2^{n}} (-1)^{\frac{n-l}{2}} C_{n}^{\frac{n}{2}} & n \in \text{even} \end{cases}$$
(30)

With Eqs. (20), (29) and (30), Eq. (28) becomes:

$$\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (l^2 - k^2) (A_{lk} \cosh l\xi \cos k\eta + B_{lk} \sinh l\xi \sin k\eta)$$

$$= -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{6\mu n(n+1)}{2^{2n}h^{n+2}} c^{n+2} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \varphi^{n-1}$$

$$\times \left[ \sum_{\text{odd } l}^{n+2} \sum_{k=0}^{n+2} (-1)^{\frac{n-l+k+1}{2}} f(n,l,k) \sinh l\xi \sin k\eta + \sum_{\text{even } l}^{n+2} \sum_{k=0}^{n+2} (-1)^{\frac{n-l+k+2}{2}} f(n,l,k) \cosh l\xi \cos k\eta + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\text{even } l}^{n+2} (-1)^{\frac{n-l+2}{2}} f(n,l,0) \cosh l\xi + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\text{even } k}^{n+2} (-1)^{\frac{n+k+2}{2}} f(n,0,k) \cos k\eta \right]$$
(31)

The coefficients of variables  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  in both sides should equal in Eq. (31). In the same way, it is also found that  $A_{lk} = A_{kl}$  and  $B_{lk} = B_{kl}$ , and the coefficients are:

$$A_{l0} = -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{\frac{n-l+2}{2}}}{l^2} \frac{6\mu n(n+1)}{2^{2n+1}h^{n+2}} \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t} c^{n+2} \varphi^{n-1} f(n,l,0)$$
(32a)

$$A_{lk} = -\sum_{\text{even }n}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{\frac{n-l+k+2}{2}}}{l^2 - k^2} \frac{6\mu n(n+1)}{2^{2n}h^{n+2}} \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial t} c^{n+2} \phi^{n-1} f(n,l,k)$$
(32b)

$$B_{lk} = -\sum_{\text{odd }n}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{\frac{n-l+k+1}{2}}}{l^2 - k^2} \frac{6\mu n(n+1)}{2^{2n}h^{n+2}} c^{n+2} \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial t} \phi^{n-1} f(n,l,k)$$
(32c)

$$A_{kk} = -\sum_{\text{even }l}^{\infty} A_{lk} \frac{\cosh l\xi_0}{\cosh k\xi_0}$$
(32d)

$$B_{kk} = -\sum_{\text{odd }l}^{\infty} B_{lk} \frac{\sinh l\xi_0}{\sinh k\xi_0}$$
(32e)

where *l* is even and *l* is greater than zero in Eq. (32a). And *l* and *k* are both odd in Eq. (32b), while they are both even in Eq. (32c) and *l* is greater than *k* greater than zero.



Fig. 8 The deviatory pressure of elliptical mirror with axis in major axis when torsion angle is small

In Eqs. (32d) and (32e), l is not equal to k. In other cases,  $A_{lk} = B_{lk} = 0$ . Thus, p is:

$$p = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (A_{lk} \cosh l\xi \cos k\eta + B_{lk} \sinh l\xi \sin k\eta)$$
(33)

when torsion angle is small, as before, the expression of deviatory pressure p in this situation can be simplified and 3D diagram is shown in Fig. 8.

The damping torque in this case is  $T_{\text{major}} = \int pc \sinh \xi \sin \eta c^2 (\sinh^2 \xi + \sin^2 \eta) d\xi d\eta$ . Because of the orthogonality of trigonometric function, the result of integration is not zero only when *k* equals 1 or 3. Thus, we have:

$$T_{\text{major}} = \sum_{\text{odd } n}^{\infty} \frac{12\pi \mu n(n+1)C_n^{\frac{n-1}{2}}}{2^n(n+3)(n+5)} \frac{(c\sinh\xi_0)^{n+5}}{h_0^{n+2}} \frac{\cosh^3\xi_0}{\sinh 3\xi_0} \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial t} \phi^{n-1}$$

$$= \frac{\pi \mu (c\sinh\xi_0)^6}{2h^3} \frac{\cosh^3\xi_0}{\sinh 3\xi_0} \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial t} \gamma(\phi)$$
(34)

Since  $\sinh 3\xi_0 = 4 \sinh^3 \xi + 3 \sinh \xi$ , the limit of Eq. (34) is also exactly the same with the damping torque of circular mirror when  $\xi_0$  approaching infinity. With  $T_{\text{major}} = c_{\text{major}} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}$  and Eq. (34), the coefficient of damping torque is:

$$c_{\text{major}} = \frac{\pi \mu (c \sinh \xi_0)^6}{2h^3} \frac{\cosh^3 \xi_0}{\sinh 3\xi_0} \gamma(\phi)$$
(35)

## **4** Numerical simulation

CoventorWare<sup>®</sup> is a reliable software to simulate MEMS devices, which uses finite element modelling (FEM) or boundary element method (BEM) solvers to do simulation. Consequently, its simulation results can be used to verify the accuracy of numerical solution of the formula before.

3D model of mirror is established and meshed in extruded bricks type, as shown in Fig. 9. The 3D meshed model of circular mirror whose radius is  $500 \,\mu\text{m}$  is shown in Fig. 3. In the field solvers, DampingMM module is



Fig. 9 The mesh model of micro-torsion mirror



Fig. 10 Comparison between the coefficient of damping torque calculated by CoventorWare<sup>®</sup> (*scatter*) and formula (*line*) of circular mirror

chosen to acquire the coefficient of damping torque. In the setting dialogue, squeezed or slide-film flow is selected and the 3D model is simulated with the damping coefficient ranging from 10 to  $10^6$  Hz with a 101 kPa pressure and 300 K temperature. The boundary condition is "edge", which means that the relative (to ambient) pressure at the specified edge will be set to zero. The specified edge is the intersection of the boundary of mirror and the surface of mirror which faces the air.

From the results of simulation, it is clear that the squeeze damping coefficient of rotation mirror hardly changes with the frequency and shows a good consistence with the results of formula. The comparisons of damping coefficient between formula and simulation are shown in Figs. 10, 11 and 12.



Fig. 11 Comparison between the coefficient of damping torque calculated by CoventorWare<sup>®</sup> (*scatter*) and formula (*line*) of elliptical mirror with torsion axis in minor axis



Fig. 12 Comparison between the coefficient of damping torque calculated by CoventorWare<sup>®</sup> (*scatter*) and formula (*line*) of elliptical mirror with torsion axis in major axis

Figure 10 compares the damping coefficient results of different radius of circular mirror when the gap between substrate and mirror is 100, 200 and 300  $\mu$ m. The lines are the results of formula and the triangles; squares and dots are the results of simulation. The maximum relative error is 3.8 %, based on the results simulated.

Figures 11 and 12 compare the damping coefficient of elliptical mirror with different eccentricity when its major axis is 500, 1000 and 1500  $\mu$ m. The rotation axis is the major axis and minor axis, respectively, when the gap between substrate and mirror is 200  $\mu$ m. Similarly, the lines are the results of formula and the triangles; squares and dots are the results of simulation. It is also clear that the results of simulation and formula are well consistent

with the maximum relative error of 3.2 % for major axis as the rotation axis, while the minor axis is 3.1 %. When the eccentricity is zero, the eclipse becomes circular. The result of the formula of eclipse mirror when the eccentricity is zero is perfectly consistent with the result of simulation of circular mirror, which further proves the accuracy of the formula.

In addition, the squeeze damping coefficient getting from formula is continuous. Consequently, we can figure out the variation tendency of the damping coefficient when one of the mirror parameters changes, which means the formula will be a useful tool to optimize the structure of mirror with lower damping torque.

### **5** Discussion

We discuss the range of application of the basic equation in this section. From the expression of damping torque, the maximum damping pressure is  $p_{\text{max}} = p_{\text{max}}(0)\gamma(\phi)$ .  $p_{\text{max}}(0)$  of elliptical mirror is smaller than circular mirror when its major axis length is identical with the radius of the circular mirror, so we could use the derivation of Eq. (11), the expression of circular mirror, to get the max value of p:

$$p_{\max} = \left(\frac{r_0^2 \mu}{\sqrt{3}h^2} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}\right) \gamma(\phi) \tag{36}$$

Apply Eq. (36) to Eq. (2):  $\phi \gamma(\phi) \ll 6.96$  (37)

With Eq. (13) and Fig. 3, we have  $\phi\gamma(\phi) = 1.44$  when  $\phi$  equal 0.6 and  $\phi\gamma(\phi) = 2.45$  when  $\phi$  equal 0.7. Therefore,  $\phi$  should be <0.7, and it is same as Bao's conclusion (Bao et al. 2006).

#### 6 Conclusions

Nonlinear Reynolds equation is solved in polar coordinate and elliptical coordinate, and the squeeze-film air-damping torque of circular and elliptical torsion mirrors is deduced in this paper. The method of eigenfunction expansions is used to solve the nonlinear Reynolds equation, and then, we have the series solution of deviatory pressure. With integral, the damping torque is calculated and the expression can be generalized to  $T_{sq} = T_{sq}(0)\gamma(\phi)$ .  $T_{sq}(0)$  is the damping torque when torsion angle is zero, which is a function of mirror's parameters, viscosity of air and angular velocity.  $\gamma(\phi)$  is strongly nonlinear function of  $\phi$ . We find that when  $\xi_0$  approaching infinity, i.e., the shape of elliptical mirror approaches circular mirrors. Compared with numerical simulations, the formula calculated results meet well with the simulated results of CoventorWare<sup>®</sup>. And the expression is valid under the condition  $\phi < 0.7$ .

Acknowledgments We gratefully acknowledge Dr. Hui Fang for helpful suggestions. And this research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 51375399, 51375400), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (3102014KYJD023) and NPU Foundation for Fundamental Research (Grant No. JCY20130119).

#### References

- Asmar NH (2005) Partial differential equations with Fourier series and boundary value problems. Prentice Hall, New Jersey
- Bao M (2005) Analysis and design principles of MEMS devices, vol 80. Elsevier, Amsterdam
- Bao M, Sun Y, Zhou J, Huang Y (2006) Squeeze-film air damping of a torsion mirror at a finite tilting angle. J Micromech Microeng 16(11):2330–2335. doi:10.1088/0960-1317/16/11/012
- Chang K, Lee S, Li S (2002) Squeeze film damping effect on a mems torsion mirror. J Micromech Microeng 12(5):556–561. doi:10.1088/0960-1317/12/5/307
- Chen J, Zhu Y, Liu B, Wei W, Wang N, Zhang J (2013) Experimental study of Fourier transform spectrometer based on mems micromirror. Chin Opt Lett 11(5):053003
- Elliptic Coordinate System (2013) http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/ Elliptic\_coordinate\_system
- Famileh IZ, Esfahani JA, Moeenfard H (2015) Entropy generation analysis of squeeze film air damping in torsional micromirrors. Optik-Int J Light Electr Opt 126(1):28–37. doi:10.1016/j. ijleo.2014.07.144
- Gugat M (2013) Efficient numerical evaluation of semianalytical models for squeeze film damping for torsion mirrors. J Nanomech Micromech 3(4):06013001. doi:10.1061/(ASCE) NM.2153-5477.0000075
- Hao Z, Clark R, Hammer J, Whitley M, Wingfield B (2002) Modeling air-damping effect in a bulk micromachined 2D tilt mirror. Sensors Actuators A Phys 102(12):42–48. doi:10.1016/ S0924-4247(02)00273-X
- Korn GA, Korn TM (2000) Mathematical handbook for scientists and engineers: definitions, theorems, and formulas for reference and review. Courier Dover Publications, New York
- Leung RCW, Thurber T, Ye W (2011) On the modified Reynolds equation model for the prediction of squeeze-film gas damping in a low vacuum. Microfluid Nanofluid 11(6):753–762. doi:10.1007/s10404-011-0840-3
- Li WL (2008) Squeeze film effects on dynamic performance of mems mu-mirrors-consideration of gas rarefaction and surface roughness. Microsyst Technol 14(3):315–324. doi:10.1007/ s00542-007-0479-x
- Li P, Fang Y (2010a) A molecular dynamics simulation approach for the squeeze-film damping of mems devices in the free molecular regime. J Micromech Microeng 20(3):035005. doi:10.1088/0960-1317/20/3/035005
- Li P, Fang Y (2010) A wavelet interpolation Galerkin method for the simulation of mems devices under the effect of squeeze film damping. Math Prob Eng 2010:25. doi:10.1155/2010/586718
- Liang K, Liu F, Miu G (2010) Method of mathematical physics. Higher Education Press, Beijing

- Minikes A, Bucher I, Avivi G (2005) Damping of a micro-resonator torsion mirror in rarefied gas ambient. J Micromech Microeng 15(9):1762–1769. doi:10.1088/0960-1317/15/9/019
- Moeenfard H, Ahmadian MT, Farshidianfar A (2011) Analytical modeling of squeeze film damping in micromirrors. In: Proceedings of the ASME international design engineering technical conferences and computers and information in engineering conference, vol 7, American Society of Mechanical Engineers, Design Engineering Division; American Society of Mechanical Engineers, Computer and Information Engineering Division, American Society of Mechanical Engineers, Three Park Avenue, New York, NY 10016–5990, USA, pp 79–85, 2011. doi:10.1115/ DETC2011-47125, ASME international design engineering technical conferences/computers and information in engineering conference (IDETC/CIE), Washington, DC, August 28–31
- Moeenfard H, Kaji F, Ahmadian MT (2012) Coupled bending and torsion effects on the squeezed film air damping in torsional micromirrors. In: Proceedings of the ASME international design engineering technical conferences and computers and information in engineering conference, vol 5, ASME, Design Engineering Division; ASME, Computer and Information Engineering Division, American Society of Mechanical Engineers, Three Park Avenue, New York, NY 10016–5990, USA, pp 49–55, 2012. doi:10.1115/ DETC2012-70114, ASME international design engineering technical conferences and computers and information in engineering conference, Chicago, IL, August 12–15
- Pan F, Kubby J, Peeters E, Tran A, Mukherjee S (1998) Squeeze film damping effect on the dynamic response of a mems torsion mirror. J Micromech Microeng 8(3):200–208. doi:10.1088/0960-1317/8/3/005
- Pandey AK, Pratap R, Chau FS (2007) Influence of boundary conditions on the dynamic characteristics of squeeze films in mems devices. J Microelectromech Syst 16(4):893–903. doi:10.1109/ JMEMS.2007.901135
- Pandey AK, Pratap R (2008) A semi-analytical model for squeezefilm damping including rarefaction in a mems torsion mirror with complex geometry. J Micromech Microeng 18(10):105003. doi:10.1088/0960-1317/18/10/105003
- Pantano MF, Pagnotta L, Nigro S (2012) A numerical study of squeeze-film damping in mems-based structures including rarefaction effects. Fract Struct Integr 23(23):103–113. doi:10.3221/ IGF-ESIS.23.11
- Pantano MF, Pagnotta L, Nigro S (2014) On the effective viscosity expression for modeling squeeze-film damping at low pressure. J Tribol-Trans ASME 136(3):031702. doi:10.1115/1.4026592
- Sakai T et al (2011) A high speed mems scanner for 140-khz SS-OCT. In: 16th international conference on optical MEMS and nanophotonics, pp 73–74
- Sprague RB, Montague T, Brown D (2005) Bi-axial magnetic drive for scanned beam display mirrors. In: MOEMS–MEMS micro and nanofabrication, International society for optics and photonics, pp 1–13
- Veijola T (2007) Simple but accurate models for squeeze-film dampers. In: 2007 IEEE sensors, vol 1–3, IEEE sensors council, IEEE, 345 E 47th St, New York, NY 10017, USA, IEEE sensors, pp 83–86, 2007. doi:10.1109/ICSENS.2007.4388341, 6th IEEE sensors conference, Atlanta, GA, October 28–31
- Yalcinkaya AD, Ergeneman O, Urey H (2007) Polymer magnetic scanners for bar code applications. Sensors Actuators A Phys 135(1):236–243