ORIGINAL PAPER

Theoretical analysis of the interrelationships between self-thinning, biomass density, and plant form in dense populations of hinoki cypress (Chamaecyparis obtusa) seedlings

Kazuharu Ogawa

Received: 25 June 2008 / Revised: 9 February 2009 / Accepted: 23 May 2009 / Published online: 10 July 2009 Springer-Verlag 2009

Abstract Interrelationships between self-thinning, biomass density, and plant form were mathematically modeled in relation to stand development in which self-thinning is either not occurring or is occurring. The relationship between biomass density and mean shoot mass is derived as a simple power function at the stage when self-thinning does not occur. When self-thinning occurs, constant biomass density is attained when the 3/2 power law of selfthinning applies and the allometric coefficient is assumed to be 1/3 in the allometry between mean plant height and aboveground mass. The applicability of this mathematical model and the allometric reformulations of the self-thinning exponent were tested using experimental data for dense populations of Chamaecyparis obtusa seedlings during the first 2 years of growth. On the basis of the results of the present model and experimental data, the dependence on competition of the mean height:diameter ratio, mean stem diameter, and leaf biomass density are discussed. As a result, the mean height:diameter ratio was almost asymptotically constant at the latter growth stage in the second-year seedlings, so that the 3/2 power law of selfthinning was held in the present analysis. However, the value of height:diameter ratio will become smaller in older stands, because tree height is considered to be asymptotic with respect to tree age due to hydraulic and other limits. Therefore, the present modeling implies that one of the

Communicated by K. Puettmann.

K. Ogawa (\boxtimes) Laboratory of Forest Ecology and Physiology, Graduate School of Bioagricultural Sciences,

Nagoya University, Nagoya 464-8601, Japan e-mail: kazogawa@agr.nagoya-u.ac.jp

reasons why the 3/2 power law from a geometric basis has been recently rejected depends on whether or not the height:diamter ratio is constant in older trees.

Keywords Biomass density · Chamaecyparis obtusa · Height: diameter ratio \cdot Plant form \cdot Self-thinning \cdot 3/2 power law

Introduction

As trees in a stand grow, they occupy an increasing amount of space, meaning that the gaps between trees are eventually filled and individuals begin to interfere with each other's access to resources, such as light, water, and nutrients (Silvertown and Doust [1993](#page-6-0); Silvertown and Charlesworth [2001](#page-6-0)). Such interference or competition within the stand alters both the patterns of biomass allocation and the yield and reproductive output of plants, inducing density-dependent mortality or self-thinning (Harper [1977;](#page-5-0) Weiner et al. [1990](#page-6-0); Weiner and Thomas [1992](#page-6-0)).

Yoda et al. [\(1963](#page-6-0)) found that once a stand had reached its maximum or full density, mortality occurred in such a way that

$$
m = K\rho^{-\alpha} \tag{1}
$$

where *m* is the mean dry mass per plant (g), ρ is the stand density (m^{-2}) (i.e., the number of plants per unit area), K is a constant (g m^{-2 α}) that varies among species, and α has been reported in various studies as having a value of approximately 3/2 for a wide range of species (e.g., White [1980](#page-6-0); Westoby [1984](#page-6-0); Zeide [1987\)](#page-6-0). Having reached its maximum density, a stand is considered to follow the 3/2 power law of self-thinning (Yoda et al. [1963;](#page-6-0) White and Harper [1970](#page-6-0)), otherwise known as the self-thinning rule

(Westoby [1984\)](#page-6-0), although controversy exists regarding its degree of generality (Weller [1987a](#page-6-0), [b](#page-6-0); Zeide [1987](#page-6-0); Lonsdale [1990](#page-5-0)).

Weller ([1987a](#page-6-0)) argued that the slope of the self-thinning line can depart from $-3/2$, although many data sets are consistent with the form of Eq. [1](#page-0-0). Furthermore, Weller [\(1987b](#page-6-0)) proposed an allometric model that predicts that the slope of the self-thinning line varies with plant shape and biomass density, reflecting the degree of biomass packing within a stand of plants (Duarte and Kalff [1987](#page-5-0), [1990](#page-5-0); Lillie et al. [1997;](#page-5-0) Scrosati [2000](#page-6-0); Verkerk [2005](#page-6-0)). Plant shape and biomass density have been reported to have an important influence on the slope of the self-thinning line (Lonsdale and Watkinson [1983;](#page-5-0) Norberg [1988](#page-5-0); Weller [1989\)](#page-6-0); however, few of the reports that consider plant shape and biomass density are based on experimental data. Although Osawa and Allen [\(1993](#page-5-0)), Kikuzawa ([1999\)](#page-5-0), and Xue et al. [\(1999](#page-6-0)) demonstrated the relationship between biomass density and tree size (or stand density) based on observations of forest stands, their results have no theoretical basis and the obtained relationships vary among different forest stands.

Therefore, the aim of the present paper is to theoretically model changes in biomass density with stand development and to analyze mathematically the interrelationships among biomass density, plant shape, such as the ratio of stem height to diameter, and self-thinning using experimental data for dense populations of hinoki cypress (Chamaecyparis obtusa (Sieb. et Zucc.) Endl.) seedlings (Ogawa et al. [1989,](#page-5-0) [1990](#page-5-0); Ogawa [2001](#page-5-0), [2003,](#page-5-0) [2005a](#page-5-0)).

Materials and methods

Data sources

This study makes use of data for first- (Ogawa et al. [1989,](#page-5-0) [1990\)](#page-5-0) and second-year (Ogawa [2001](#page-5-0), [2003](#page-5-0), [2005a](#page-5-0)) hinoki cypress [Chamaecyparis obtusa (Sieb. et Zucc.) Endl.] seedlings grown in the Midorigaoka Nursery of the Gifu District Forest Office at Minokamo, Gifu Prefecture, Central Japan. The following experiments were conducted for the first- and second-year seedlings.

For the first-year seedlings, seeds were sown in March 1984 and germination was largely complete by June 1984. The first sampling was made on April 1984 from a seedbed 1 m wide and 80 m long. Twelve samplings were made at semi-monthly intervals from May through October 1984, and five samplings were made at monthly intervals from November 1984 through March 1985. For the second-year seedlings, seeds were sown in March 1989, and seedlings were sampled monthly from April 1990 to March 1991, giving 12 sample measurements.

At each sampling time, 100 (for the first-year) or 50 seedlings (for the second-year) were randomly harvested from the seedbed. To avoid edge effects in the crowded population, no seedlings near the periphery of the seedbed (within approximately 15 cm of the edge) were sampled.

At each harvest, the seedling height and clear bole length of the sampled seedlings were measured to determine the crown depth. For only the second-year seedlings, the stem diameter at the crown base was also measured. The seedlings were then divided into leaves, stems, and roots. The aboveground parts (i.e., leaves and stems) were used for measurements. The leaves and stems of the sampled seedlings were oven-dried at 85° C for 24 h, transferred to desiccators, cooled, and then weighed.

To examine the change in seedling density over time, a 0.5 m \times 2 m (for the first-year) or 0.5 m \times 1 m (for the second-year) subplot was established within the seedbed. The initial number of live seedlings in the subplot was counted, and at each harvest period the dead seedlings were counted and removed.

Modeling of the changes in biomass density with stand development

Following Kira and Shidei ([1967\)](#page-5-0), the biomass density d $(g m^{-3})$ is defined as

$$
d = \frac{y}{h} = \frac{m\rho}{h} \tag{2}
$$

where y, h, m, and ρ are shoot biomass per unit area $(g m⁻²)$, average seedling height (m), average shoot mass (g), and stand density (m^{-2}) , respectively. It is assumed that

$$
h \propto m^{\theta} \tag{3}
$$

where the allometric exponent θ represents the degree of allocation of resources to height growth (Weller [1987b\)](#page-6-0). If θ is equal to 1/3, growth is isotropic with increasing shoot mass because the dimensions of the units on both sides of Eq. 3 are equal to (length).

The following relationship is derived from Eqs. 2 and 3:

$$
d \propto \frac{m\rho}{m^{\theta}} = m^{1-\theta}\rho
$$
 (4)

Consider the following cases of stand development and the associated expressions of biomass density d .

Case 1 Early stage of stand development during which self-thinning does not occur; that is, $\rho = \text{const.}$

In this case, Eq. 4 is rewritten as

$$
d \propto m^{1-\theta} \tag{5}
$$

because d is able to increase with stand development, θ < 1.

Case 2 Stage during which self-thinning occurs; that is, $\rho = \rho(t) \neq$ const.

In this case, Eq. 4 is rewritten as
\n
$$
d \propto m^{1-\theta} \rho(t)
$$
\n(6)

In the special case that the 3/2 power law of self-thinning (Yoda et al. [1963\)](#page-6-0) is held, we have $m \propto \rho(t)^{-\frac{3}{2}}$; therefore, d is expressed as

$$
d \propto \rho(t)^{-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{3}{2}\theta} \tag{7}
$$

For $\theta = \frac{1}{3}$, Eq. 7 dictates that $d = \text{const.}$

In the present analysis, the coefficients of curvilinear equations were determined by the least-square method on log-transformed data, whereas the coefficients of nonlinear equations were determined using a statistical analysis software package (KaleidaGraph Version 4.02, Synergy Software, Pennsylvania, USA).

Results

Application of the model

3/2 power law

When density-dependent mortality starts to occur, the trajectories of shoot biomass and stand density begin to curve to the left in Fig. 1a (Perry [1994](#page-5-0)); therefore, the following mathematical model (Ogawa [2005a](#page-5-0), [b](#page-5-0)) was applied to the time-trajectory shoot biomass y (g m⁻²) and stand density ρ (m⁻²) using logarithmic coordinates:

Fig. 1 a Relationship between shoot biomass y and stand density ρ . Circles first-year seedlings, squares second-year seedlings. The regression curve is given by Eq. 8, where the coefficient of determination (r^2) is 0.869. b Relationship between mean seedling height h and mean shoot mass m. Symbols are the same as those in Fig. 1a. The linear line and smooth curve are given by Eqs. [3](#page-1-0) ($r^2 = 0.982$) and [10](#page-3-0) $(r^2 = 0.988)$, respectively. c Relationship between shoot biomass density d and mean shoot mass m. Symbols are the same as those in Fig. 1a. The regression lines are given by Eq. [5](#page-1-0) for the first-year seedlings and $d =$ const for the secondyear seedlings

$$
y = K\rho^{-\alpha+1} \left(1 - \left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_0} \right)^n \right)^l \tag{8}
$$

where K, ρ_0 , α , n, and l are coefficients whose values were calculated for the second year as $80,711 \text{ g m}^{-2\alpha}$, 1504.1 m⁻², 1.515, 0.0741, and 0.0105, respectively.

The y- ρ trajectory produced by Eq. 8, when plotted on a log y:log ρ graph, indicates that shoot biomass increases during the early stages of stand development but that no change in density occurs, as indicated by the vertical line at $\rho = \rho_0$ (Case 1). The trajectory then begins to curve towards the left-hand side of the graph, indicating a reduction in stand density (Case 2). Following this decreasing trend, the time trajectory becomes asymptotic, approaching the following power law relationship at the stand's maximum or full density:

$$
y = K\rho^{-\alpha+1} \tag{9}
$$

Dividing both sides of Eq. 9 by ρ leads to the relationship between mean shoot mass and density described in Eq. [1.](#page-0-0) The value of 1.515, which is close to 3/2, can be regarded as evidence that the 3/2 power law of self-thinning is applicable in this case (Yoda et al. [1963\)](#page-6-0).

Allometric relationship between mean seedling height and mean shoot mass

In the first year, when self-thinning did not occur (Case 1), the allometric relationship between mean seedling height h and mean shoot mass m in Eq. [3](#page-1-0) could be fitted by a single straight line on logarithmic coordinates (Fig. 1b). In the second year, however, when self-thinning occurred

(Case 2), the h –m relationship was approximated by the following equation:

$$
\frac{1}{h} = \frac{1}{a_1 m^{b_1}} + \frac{1}{a_2 m^{b_2}}\tag{10}
$$

where a_1 , b_1 , a_2 , and b_2 are coefficients whose values were estimated to be 17.11 m g^{-b_1} , 3.954, 0.3529 m g^{-b_2} , and 0.3249, the curve in Eq. 10 gradually approaches the two allometries of $h = a_1 m^{-b_1}$ and $h = a_2 m^{-b_2}$ for small and large values of m, respectively. The estimation of b_1 and b_2 values leads to the interpretation that during the period when small m values were recorded, when b_1 nearly equals to 4.0, the mean seedling height shows a rapid increase with mean shoot mass, whereas during period with large m values, when b_2 nearly equals to 1/3, growth is isotropic with increasing shoot mass. The assumption of h –m allometry in Eq. [3](#page-1-0) is therefore reasonable for the latter part of the second year as well as the first year. The value of the allometric coefficient b_2 is close to 1/3 in Eq. 10, indicating that the value of θ in the h –*m* allometry of Eq. [3](#page-1-0) approached 1/3 with ongoing stand development.

Relationship between biomass density and mean shoot mass

In the first year, when self-thinning did not occur (Case 1), the relationship between biomass density d (g m⁻³) and mean shoot mass m (g) was formulated according to Eq. [5](#page-1-0) (Fig. [1](#page-2-0)c). In the second year, when self-thinning occurred (Case 2), the value of b_2 (Eq. 10) or θ (Eq. [3](#page-1-0)) in h–m allometry approached 1/3 with continued stand development, and the self-thinning exponent α in Eqs. [8](#page-2-0) or [9](#page-2-0) was close to 3/2. Consequently, biomass density d was constant irrespective of mean shoot mass m (Fig. [1c](#page-2-0)).

The combined results obtained for the first and second years indicate that biomass density increases according to a power function with mean shoot mass, reaching a constant level when self-thinning occurs. This trend implies the existence of an upper limit at which organic matter is fully packed within a given unit volume of forest (Kikuzawa [1999\)](#page-5-0).

Leaf biomass density

By dividing shoots into leaf and stem components, their changing biomass densities with ongoing stand development were examined for the second-year seedlings (Fig. 2a). The stem biomass density increased with increasing mean shoot mass ($r = 0.9647$, $P < 0.001$). This fact indicates the process of wood accumulation specific to woody species. In contrast, the leaf biomass density was largely constant ($r = 0.06138$, $P = 0.801$).

Fig. 2 a Relationships between mean shoot mass and leaf (circles) and stem (squares) biomasses for second-year seedlings. b Relationship between leaf biomass on a crown depth basis and mean shoot mass for second-year seedlings. c Dependence of crown depth on mean shoot mass for second-year seedlings

Because leaves are attached to that part of the stem above the crown base, the leaf biomass density on a crown depth basis (defined as the ratio of leaf mass to crown depth) is shown in relation to stand development (Fig. 2b). The leaf biomass density on a crown depth basis showed a significant increase with increasing mean shoot mass. Because the relationship between crown depth and mean shoot mass is asymptotic (Fig. 2c), it is concluded that the crowns of individuals overlap to a greater degree and aboveground competition is more intense with continued stand development.

Plant form

Figure [3](#page-4-0)a shows the allometric relationship between mean shoot mass m (g) and mean stem diameter D (cm) for the

Fig. 3 a Allometry of mean shoot mass m to mean stem diameter at the crown base D for second-year seedlings. The regression line represents Eq. 11 ($r^2 = 0.966$). **b** Relationship between the height:diameter ratio h/D and mean stem diameter at the crown base D for second-year seedlings. The smooth curve represents eq. 13

second-year seedlings. The allometry was fitted by a power function:

 $m = \eta D^q$ (11)

where η and q are coefficients with values of 237.7 g cm^{-q} and 3.086 for the stem diameter at the crown base, respectively, and 67.47 g cm^{-q} and 2.446 for the stem diameter at 10% of seedling height.

Combining this allometry (Eq. 11) and the h –m rela-tionship (Eq. [10\)](#page-3-0) leads to an h –D relation of

$$
\frac{1}{h} = \frac{1}{a_1 \eta^{b_1} D^{b_1 q}} + \frac{1}{a_2 \eta^{b_2} D^{b_2 q}}
$$
(12)

The following equation indicates that h/D (m cm⁻¹), a simple measure of plant shape or slenderness index (Weiner and Thomas [1992\)](#page-6-0), is dependent on the seedling size:

$$
\frac{1}{h/D} = \frac{1}{a_1 \eta^{b_1} D^{b_1 q - 1}} + \frac{1}{a_2 \eta^{b_2} D^{b_2 q - 1}}\tag{13}
$$

As shown in Fig. 3b, the relationship between h/D and D in Eq. 13 describes an asymptotic or slightly convex curve, indicating that the seedlings became thinner to obtain more light in the face of overcrowding; however, seedling thickness was not as variable at the stage where the 3/2 power law of self-thinning applied. The degree of similarity in the shapes of different seedlings is therefore maintained under the 3/2 power law because the h/D ratio is largely constant.

Discussion

Because the 3/2 power law of Yoda et al. [\(1963](#page-6-0)) has been partially rejected on allometric grounds, several alternative reformulations have been proposed in which the thinning exponent is expressed as a function of species-specific allometric or geometric exponents that describe the relationships between commonly measured components (Mohler et al. [1978;](#page-5-0) White [1981;](#page-6-0) Weller [1987b;](#page-6-0) Newton and Smith [1990](#page-5-0)).

According to the reformulations proposed by Mohler et al. [\(1978](#page-5-0)) and White [\(1981](#page-6-0)), the self-thinning exponent α in Eq. [1](#page-0-0) is derived (on the assumption of $w \propto D^2 h$) as $(2 + \gamma)$ / 2β , where γ and β are species-specific allometric exponents for the relationships between tree height h and stem diameter at breast height $D(h \propto D^{\gamma})$ and between crown basal area C_d and D ($C_d \propto D^{\beta}$), respectively. If γ and β are assumed to be equal to 1.0, the value of $(2 + \gamma)/2\beta$ becomes 3/2, indicating the 3/2 power law of self-thinning. In the present analysis, because the h/D ratio is asymptotically constant at the latter growth stage of the second year (Fig. 3b), it is considered that the 3/2 power law applied at this stage.

Based on a reformulation of 3/2 power law by Yoda et al. [\(1963](#page-6-0)), Weller [\(1987b](#page-6-0)) represented the allometric relationships between mean above ground mass m and mean plant height h, biomass density in occupied space d, or radius of the area occupied by a plant R, as $h \propto m^{\theta}$, $d \propto m^{\delta}$, and $R \propto m^{\phi}$, respectively, where θ , δ , and ϕ are parameters; the author formulated the relationship among parameters for self-thinning populations as $\phi = (1-\theta-\delta)/2$. Assuming the 3/2 power law of self-thinning, $\phi = 1/3$ because $m = K \rho^{-\alpha} = K \rho^{-\frac{1}{2\phi}}$, indicating that $\delta = 0$ when $\phi = 1/3$; that is, $d = \text{const}$, meaning in turn that the present model in Case 2 is consistent with Weller's model.

Newton and Smith (1990) proposed a geometric derivation for excurrent coniferous tree species in which the self-thinning exponent α in Eq. [1](#page-0-0) is given as $(\epsilon + 1)/\zeta$ based on the assumption that $w \propto v$ (White [1981](#page-6-0)). ε and ζ are the stem form and crown form exponents, respectively, raging in value from 1.0 (paraboloid) to 2.0 (cone). If the values of ε and ζ are equal to 2.0, α becomes 3/2, indicating the 3/2 power law of self-thinning. Since $v \propto h^{\epsilon+1}$, $\epsilon = 2.0$ leads to $h \propto w^{1/3}$ because $v \propto h^{3.0} \propto w$. In the present analysis, because the value of θ in the equation $h \propto w^{\theta}$ is approximately 1/3 at the latter growth stage of the second year (Eq. [10;](#page-3-0) Fig. [2\)](#page-3-0), it is considered that the 3/2 power law applied at this stage.

The importance of the self-thinning rule in forest management means that further analyses are required to determine if these reformulated rules provide viable alternatives. Because the above reformulation of the self-thinning exponent in mature forest is applied to the present seedling population, the results obtained in this study provide guidance in forest management practices and the modeling of growth and yield. Ogawa (2008) also demonstrated constant leaf biomass density in mature forest stands after canopy closure. Constant leaf biomass density might therefore be a common feature of both seedling (Fig. [2](#page-3-0)a) and forest stands irrespective of differences in stand stage. Although the tree slenderness h/D was almost asymptotically constant at the latter growth stage in the second-year seedlings (Fig. [3](#page-4-0)b), the value of h/D will become smaller in older stands, because tree height is considered to be asymptotic with respect to tree age due to hydraulic and other limits (Ryan and Yoder 1997). Therefore, if the constancy of h/D is not realized, the 3/2 power law of self-thinning cannot be held in the present analysis. Recently, the value of self-thinning exponent (x) is theoretically considered to be 4/3 from a resource-allocation basis in the older trees (West et al. [1997](#page-6-0); Enquist et al. 1998). The present modeling implies that one of the reasons why the 3/2 power law from a geometric basis (Yoda et al. [1963;](#page-6-0) Hutchings 1983) has been rejected depends on whether or not the h/D value is constant in older trees.

Acknowledgments Special thanks are offered to Donald Weller for helpful discussions regarding the results; to the staff of the Nagoya Regional Forest Office, Forest Agency, and the Midorigaoka Nursery of the Gifu District Forest Office for access to their facilities; and to my colleagues for their help with fieldwork. This study was supported in part by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 19580170) from the Ministry of Education, Science, Sport and Culture, Japan, and a grant from the Sumitomo Foundation (No. 073010).

References

Duarte CM, Kalff J (1987) Weight-density relationships in submerged macrophytes. Oecologia 72:612–617. doi:[10.1007/BF00378990](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00378990)

- Duarte CM, Kalff J (1990) Biomass density and the relationship between submerged macrophyte biomass and plant growth form. Hydrobiology 196:17–23. doi:[10.1007/BF00008889](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00008889)
- Enquist BJ, Brown JH, West GB (1998) Allometric scaling of plant energetics and population density. Nature 395:163–165. doi: [10.1038/25977](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/25977)
- Harper JL (1977) Population biology of plants. Academic Press, London
- Hutchings M (1983) Ecology's law in search of a theory. New Sci 16:765–767
- Kikuzawa K (1999) Theoretical relationships between mean plant size, size distribution and self-thinning under one-sided competition. Ann Bot (Lond) 83:11–18. doi:[10.1006/anbo.1998.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/anbo.1998.0782) [0782](http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/anbo.1998.0782)
- Kira T, Shidei T (1967) Primary production and turnover of organic matter in different forest ecosystems of the western Pacific. Jpn J Ecol 17:70–87
- Lillie RA, Budd J, Rasmussen PW (1997) Spatial and temporal variability in biomass density of Myriophyllum spicatum L. in a northern temperate lake. Hydrobiology 347:69–74. doi[:10.1023/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1003059000277) [A:1003059000277](http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1003059000277)
- Lonsdale WM (1990) The self-thinning rule: dead or alive? Ecology 71:1373–1388. doi[:10.2307/1938275](http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/1938275)
- Lonsdale WM, Watkinson AR (1983) Plant geometry and selfthinning. J Ecol 71:285–297. doi:[10.2307/2259977](http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/2259977)
- Mohler CL, Marks PL, Sprugel DG (1978) Stand structure and allometry of trees during self-thinning of pure stands. J Ecol 66:599–614. doi:[10.2307/2259153](http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/2259153)
- Newton PF, Smith VG (1990) Reformulated self-thinning exponents as applied to black spruce. Can J Res 20:887–893. doi[:10.1139/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/x90-119) [x90-119](http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/x90-119)
- Norberg RA (1988) Theory of growth geometry of plants and selfthinning of plant populations: geometric similarity, elastic similarity, and different growth models of plant parts. Am Nat 131:220–256. doi[:10.1086/284787](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/284787)
- Ogawa K (2001) Time trajectories of mass and density in a Chamaecyparis obtusa seedling population. For Ecol Manage 142:291–296
- Ogawa K (2003) Size dependence of leaf area and the mass of component organs during a course of self-thinning in a hinoki (Chamaecyparis obtusa) seedling population. Ecol Res 18:611– 618. doi[:10.1046/j.1440-1703.2003.00582.x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1440-1703.2003.00582.x)
- Ogawa K (2005a) Relationships between mean shoot and root masses and density in an overcrowded population of hinoki (Chamaecyparis obtusa (Sieb. et Zucc.) Endl.) seedlings. For Ecol Manage 213:391–398
- Ogawa K (2005b) Time-trajectory of mean phytomass and density during a course of self-thinning in a sugi (Cryptomeria japonica D.Don) plantation. For Ecol Manage 214:104–110
- Ogawa K (2008) The leaf mass/number trade-off of Kleiman and Aarssen implies constancy of leaf biomass, its density and carbon uptake in forest stands: scaling up from shoot to stand level. J Ecol 96:188–191
- Ogawa K, Hagihara A, Hozumi K (1989) Periodic shoot and root growth in first-year seedlings of hinoki (Chamaecyparis obtusa). J Jpn For Soc 71:196–199
- Ogawa K, Hagihara A, Hozumi K (1990) Seasonal changes in specific leaf area of hinoki (Chamaecyparis obtusa) seedlings during the first two-years. J Jpn For Soc 72:342–344
- Osawa A, Allen RB (1993) Allometric theory explains self-thinning relationships of mountain beech and red pine. Ecology 74:1020– 1032. doi[:10.2307/1940472](http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/1940472)
- Perry DA (1994) Forest ecosystems. The Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore
- Ryan MG, Yoder BJ (1997) Hydraulic limits to tree height and tree growth. Bioscience 47:235–242. doi:[10.2307/1313077](http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/1313077)
- Scrosati R (2000) The interspecific biomass-density relationship for terrestrial plant: where do clonal red seaweeds stand and why? Ecol Lett 3:191–197. doi[:10.1046/j.1461-0248.2000.00133.x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1461-0248.2000.00133.x)
- Silvertown JW, Charlesworth D (2001) Introduction to plant population biology, 4th ed. edn. Blackwell, Oxford
- Silvertown JW, Doust JL (1993) Introduction to plant population biology. Blackwell, Oxford
- Verkerk PJ (2005) The role of crown packing on the ability of trees to compete for light in a temperate mixed natural regeneration. Master's thesis, Wageningen University, The Netherlands
- Weiner J, Thomas SC (1992) Competition and allometry in three species of annual plants. Ecology 73:648–656. doi[:10.2307/](http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/1940771) [1940771](http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/1940771)
- Weiner J, Berntson GM, Thomas SC (1990) Competition and growth form in a woodland annual. J Ecol 78:459–469. doi[:10.2307/](http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/2261124) [2261124](http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/2261124)
- Weller DE (1987a) A reevaluation of the -3/2 power rule of plant selfthinning. Ecol Monogr 57:23–43. doi[:10.2307/1942637](http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/1942637)
- Weller DE (1987b) Self-thinning exponent correlated with allometric measures of plant geometry. Ecology 68:813–821. doi: [10.2307/1938352](http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/1938352)
- Weller DE (1989) The interspecific size-density relationship among crowded plant stands and its implications for the -3/2 power rule of self-thinning. Am Nat 133:20–41. doi[:10.1086/284899](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/284899)
- West GB, Brown JH, Enquist BJ (1997) A general model for the origin of allometric scaling laws in biology. Science 276:122– 126. doi[:10.1126/science.276.5309.122](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.276.5309.122)
- Westoby M (1984) The self-thinning rule. Adv Ecol Res 14:167–225. doi[:10.1016/S0065-2504\(08\)60171-3](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2504(08)60171-3)
- White J (1980) Demographic factors in populations of plants. In: Solbrig OT (ed) Demography and evolution in plant populations. Blackwell, Oxford, pp 21–48
- White J (1981) The allometric interpretation of the self-thinning rule. J Theor Biol 89:475–500. doi[:10.1016/0022-5193\(81\)90363-5](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-5193(81)90363-5)
- White J, Harper JL (1970) Correlated changes in plant size and number in plant populations. J Ecol 58:467–485. doi[:10.2307/](http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/2258284) [2258284](http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/2258284)
- Xue L, Ogawa K, Hagihara A, Liang S, Bai J (1999) Self-thinning exponents based on the allometric model in Chinese pine (Pinus tabulaeformis Carr.) and Prince Repprecht's larch (Larix principis-rupprechtii Mayr) stands. For Ecol Manage 117:87–93
- Yoda K, Kira T, Ogawa H, Hozumi K (1963) Self-thinning in overcrowded pure stands under cultivated and natural conditions. (Intraspecific competition among higher plants XI). J Biol Osaka City Univ 14:107–129
- Zeide B (1987) Analysis of the 3/2 power law of self-thinning. For Sci 32:517–537