



Energy Use Efficiency of Mandarin Production: A Case Study from Adana Province

Mehmet Emin Bilgili¹

Received: 10 April 2019 / Accepted: 6 January 2021 / Published online: 26 January 2021
© Springer-Verlag GmbH Deutschland, ein Teil von Springer Nature 2021

Abstract

In this research was aimed to define the energy use efficiency of mandarin production for the 2017 production seasons in Adana province in Turkey. A survey data were compiled in 2017 and the farms were chosen according to the simple random sampling method and the survey were done to these farms. In order to define the energy use efficiency in the production of mandarin, a survey was done with 142 farmers in Adana province. According to results of research, human labour energy, machinery energy, chemical fertilizers energy, chemicals energy, farmyard manure energy, diesel fuel energy, irrigation water energy and lime energy were calculated as energy inputs. Mandarin fruit was calculated as output.

In mandarin production, total input energy was calculated as 38,303.09 MJ ha⁻¹ and total energy output was calculated as 59,850 MJ ha⁻¹. The energy inputs in mandarin production were calculated respectively as chemical fertilizers energy 15,568.80 MJ ha⁻¹ (40.65%), farmyard manure energy 5781 MJ ha⁻¹ (15.09%), diesel fuel energy 5034.11 MJ ha⁻¹ (13.14%), irrigation water energy 4095 MJ ha⁻¹ (10.69%), machinery energy 3207.60 MJ ha⁻¹ (8.37%), human labour energy 3039.18 MJ ha⁻¹ (7.93%), chemicals energy 1518 MJ ha⁻¹ (3.96%) and lime energy 59.40 MJ ha⁻¹ (0.16%). The energy use efficiency, specific energy, energy productivity and net energy calculations were calculated in mandarin production respectively as 1.56, 1.22 MJ kg⁻¹, 0.82 kg MJ⁻¹ and 21,546.91 MJ ha⁻¹.

Keywords Energy use efficiency · Energy productivity · Mandarin · Turkey

Effizienz der Energienutzung in der Mandarinenproduktion: Eine Fallstudie aus der Provinz Adana

Schlüsselwörter Energienutzungseffizienz · Energieproduktivität · Mandarine · Türkei

Introduction

Citrus fruits are between the most plenty crops in the world with an annual production of over 88 million tons. Almost 33% of the crops, inclusive orange, lemons, grapefruit and mandarins are industrially processed for juice production, where about half of the processed citrus inclusive peels, segment membrane and seeds end up as wastes (Lohrasbi et al. 2010; Mohammadshirazi et al. 2012). In Adana has been produced 526,468 tons of mandarin in 2017 and 34% of Turkey production.

Energy in agriculture is significant in terms of crop production and agro processing for value addition. Human, animal and machinery is broadly used for crop production in agriculture. Energy use relies on mechanization level, the quantity of active agricultural worker and cultivable land. Energy requirements in agriculture are divided into two groups being direct and indirect. Direct energy is required to done various tasks related to crop production processes such as land preparation, irrigation, inter cultural operations; threshing, harvesting and transportation of agricultural inputs and farm produce (Singh 2000; Banaeian and Zangeneh, 2011).

In agricultural production, different energy studies were done on energy use efficiency. For example, studies were performed on energy use efficiency analysis of mandarin (Özkan et al. 2004), apricot (Gezer et al. 2003), peach (Göktoğla et al. 2006), pomegranate (Akçaöz et al. 2009),

✉ Mehmet Emin Bilgili
eminbilgili@gmail.com

¹ Eastern Mediterranean Agricultural Research Institute, Adana, Turkey

kiwi (Mohammadi et al. 2010), lemon (Bilgili 2012), plum (Tabatabaie et al. 2012), peanut (Nabavi-Pelesaraei et al. 2013), pear (Tabatabaie et al. 2013), nectarine (Qasemikordkheili et al. 2013), avocado (Astier et al. 2014), mango (Ram and Verma 2015), orange (Mohammadshirazi et al. 2015), watermelon (Nabavi-Pelesaraei et al. 2016), grape (Koçtürk and Engindeniz 2009; Baran et al. 2017a), apple (Çelen et al. 2017), strawberry (Baran et al. 2017b), olive (Gökdoğan and Erdoğan 2018) etc. In this study, it was aimed to define the energy use efficiency of mandarin production.

Materials and Method

The province of Adana has located in the Mediterranean region and mathematically the location of the province has between 35° 38' latitudes and 34° 46' east longitudes. The land area of the province is 17,253 km². The average temperature in Adana for the year (37 yearly average) has 12 °C, while average total precipitation has 625 mm (Anonymous 2019). This study was defined the energy use efficiency mandarin production for the 2017 production season in Adana province in Turkey. A survey data were compiled in 2017 and the farmers were chosen according to the simple random sampling method (Çiçek and Erkan 1996) and the survey was done (face to face) to these farmers.

Table 1 Energy equivalents in agriculture production

Inputs and outputs	Unit	Energy equivalent (MJ unit ⁻¹)	References
Human labour	h	1.96	Mani et al. (2007); Karaağaç et al. (2011)
Machinery	h	64.80	Singh (2002); Kızılaslan (2009)
N	Kg	60.60	Singh (2002)
P	Kg	11.10	Singh (2002)
K	Kg	6.70	Singh (2002)
Lime	Kg	1.32	Pimentel (1980); Ekinci et al. (2005)
Farmyard manure	Kg	0.30	Yaldız et al. (1993)
Chemicals	Kg	101.20	Yaldız et al. (1993)
Diesel fuel	l	56.31	Singh (2002); Demircan et al. (2006)
Irrigation water	m ³	0.63	Yaldız et al. (1993)
Outputs	Unit	Energy equivalent (MJ unit ⁻¹)	References
Mandarin fruit	MJ kg ⁻¹	1.90	Singh and Mittal (1992); Mohammadshirazi et al. (2012)

Table 1 was used to calculate the values of the inputs of mandarin production. Research results were tabulated in Table 2 and related to mandarin production energy use efficiency values were given in Table 3. Energy species were given in Table 4. In order to define the energy use efficiency analysis in mandarin production, “Energy use efficiency, energy productivity, specific energy and net energy were calculated by using the following formulates (Mandal et al. 2002; Mohammadi et al. 2008, 2010)”.

$$\text{Energy efficiency} = \frac{\text{Energy output (MJ ha}^{-1}\text{)}}{\text{Energy input (MJ ha}^{-1}\text{)}} \quad (1)$$

$$\text{Energy productivity} = \frac{\text{Mandarin output (kg ha}^{-1}\text{)}}{\text{Energy input (MJ ha}^{-1}\text{)}} \quad (2)$$

$$\text{Specific energy} = \frac{\text{Energy input (MJ ha}^{-1}\text{)}}{\text{Mandarin output (kg ha}^{-1}\text{)}} \quad (3)$$

$$\text{Net energy} = \text{Energy output (MJ ha}^{-1}\text{)} - \text{Energy input (MJ ha}^{-1}\text{)} \quad (4)$$

Results and Discussion

In the mandarin farmers, the average amount of mandarin produced per hectare for 2017 production seasons was calculated as 31,500 kg. According to the research results (Table 2) the energy inputs in mandarin production were calculated respectively as chemical fertilizers energy 15,568.80 MJ ha⁻¹ (40.65%), farmyard manure energy 5781 MJ ha⁻¹ (15.09%), diesel fuel energy 5034.11 MJ ha⁻¹ (13.14%), irrigation water energy 4095 MJ ha⁻¹ (10.69%), machinery energy 3207.60 MJ ha⁻¹ (8.37%), human labour energy 3039.18 MJ ha⁻¹ (7.93%), chemicals energy 1518 MJ ha⁻¹ (3.96%) and lime energy 59.40 MJ ha⁻¹ (0.16%). Similarly, in previous agricultural studies related to fruit production, Mohammadshirazi et al. (2012) calculated that the fertilizer application energy had the biggest share by 52.40% in mandarin production, Özkan et al. (2004) calculated that fertilizer application energy had the biggest share by 45.79% in mandarin production, Demircan et al. (2006) calculated that fertilizer application energy had the biggest share by 45.35% in sweet cherry production.

Mandarin fruit, energy input, energy output, energy output-input ratio, specific energy, energy productivity and net energy in mandarin production were calculated as 31,500 kg ha⁻¹, 38,303.09 MJ ha⁻¹, 59,850 MJ ha⁻¹, 1.56, 1.22 MJ kg⁻¹, 0.82 kg MJ⁻¹ and 21,546.91 MJ ha⁻¹, respectively (Table 3). In previous agricultural production studies, Özkan et al. (2004) calculated (mandarin) energy output-input ratio as 1.17, Yılmaz et al. (2010) calculated (apple) energy output-input ratio as 2.69, Mohammadshirazi et al. (2012) calculated (mandarin) energy output-input ratio as 0.87, Mo-

Table 2 Energy use efficiency in mandarin production

Inputs	Unit	Energy equivalent (MJ/unit)	Input used per hectare (unit ha ⁻¹)	Energy value (MJ ha ⁻¹)	Ratio (%)
Human labour	h	1.96	1550.60	3039.18	7.93
Tillage I	h	1.96	8.50	16.66	0.04
Tillage II	h	1.96	2.70	5.29	0.01
Fertilizing	h	1.96	42.80	83.39	0.22
Spraying	h	1.96	27	52.92	0.14
Hoeing	h	1.96	270.60	530.38	1.38
Irrigation	h	1.96	21.10	100.16	0.26
Pruning	h	1.96	82.30	161.31	0.42
Liming	h	1.96	7.70	15.09	0.04
Harvesting	h	1.96	680.80	1334.37	3.48
Cleaning	h	1.96	335.80	658.17	1.72
Loading	h	1.96	30	58.80	0.15
Transportation	h	1.96	11.30	22.15	0.06
Machinery	h	64.80	49.50	3207.60	8.37
Tillage I	h	64.80	8.50	550.80	1.44
Tillage II	h	64.80	2.70	174.96	0.46
Spraying	h	64.80	27	1749.60	4.57
Transportation	h	64.80	11.30	732.24	1.91
Chemical fertilizers			533	15,568.80	40.65
N	Kg	60.60	211	12,786.60	33.38
P	Kg	11.10	142	1576.20	4.12
K	Kg	6.70	180	1206	3.15
Farmyard manure	Kg	0.30	19270	5781	15.09
Chemicals	Kg	101.20	15	1518	3.96
Irrigation water	m ³	0.63	6500	4095	10.69
Lime	Kg	1.32	45	59.40	0.16
Diesel fuel	l	56.31	89.40	5034.11	13.14
Total inputs	–	–	–	38,303.09	100.00
Outputs	Unit	Energy equivalent (MJ/unit)	Output per hectare (unit ha ⁻¹)	Energy value (MJ ha ⁻¹)	Ratio (%)
Mandarin	Kg	1.90	31,500	59,850	100.00
Total output	–	–	–	59,850	100.00

Table 3 Energy use efficiency values in mandarin production

Calculations	Unit	Values
Mandarin fruit	Kg ha ⁻¹	31,500
Energy input	MJ ha ⁻¹	38,303.09
Energy output	MJ ha ⁻¹	59,850
Energy use efficiency	–	1.56
Specific energy	MJ kg ⁻¹	1.22
Energy productivity	Kg MJ ⁻¹	0.82
Net energy	MJ ha ⁻¹	21,546.91

Table 4 Energy input in the forms energy for mandarin production

Type of energy	Energy input (MJ ha ⁻¹)	Ratio (%)
Direct energy ^a	12,168.29	31.77
Indirect energy ^b	26,134.80	68.23
Total	38,303.09	100.00
Renewable energy ^c	12,915.18	33.72
Non-renewable energy ^d	25,387.91	66.28
Total	38,303.09	100.00

^aIncludes human labour, irrigation water and diesel fuel

^bIncludes farmyard manure, chemical fertilizers, chemicals, lime and machinery

^cIncludes human labour, farmyard manure and irrigation water

^dIncludes diesel, chemical fertilizers, chemicals, lime and machinery

hammad et al. (2010) calculated (kiwi) energy output-input ratio as 1.54.

The total energy forms input depleted could be classified as renewable 33.72%, non-renewable 66.28%, direct 31.77% and 68.23% indirect in mandarin production (Table 4). Renewable energy has smaller than non-renewable energy in mandarin production. Similarly, in previous studies, it have been defined that the ratio of renewable energy has smaller than the ratio of non-renewable energy in mandarin (Özkan et al. 2004), mandarin (Mohammadshirazi et al. 2012) and kiwi (Mohammadi et al. 2010).

According to research results were drawn in following conclusions.

In this research, the energy use efficiency in mandarin production was defined. According to the results, mandarin production has a profitable activity in terms of energy output-input ratio (1.56). The inputs used for mandarin production, the highest input is chemical fertilizers with a ratio of 40.65%.

Energy output-input ratio, specific energy, energy productivity and net energy in mandarin production were calculated as 1.56, 1.22 MJ kg⁻¹, 0.82 kg MJ⁻¹ and 21,546.91 MJ ha⁻¹.

The non-renewable form of energy input was 66.28% of the total energy input used in the mandarin production compared to 33.72% for the renewable form.

It is clear that the use of renewable energy in mandarin production has low, showing mandarin production relies mostly on fossil fuels.

It implies that Turkish citrus production is very sensitive to possible changes in prices and supply presence of fossil fuels. On the other hand, the consumption of fossil energy results in direct negative environmental effects through release of CO₂ and other combustion gases (Özkan et al. 2004).

Conflict of interest M. E. Bilgili declares that he/she has no competing interests.

References

- Akçaöz H, Özçatalbaş O, Kızılay H (2009) Analysis of energy use for pomegranate production in Turkey. *J Food Agric Environ* 7(2):475–480
- Anonymous (2019) Çukurova University. adana.cu.edu.tr/cografya.asp. Accessed 26 Mar 2019 (in Turkish)
- Astier M, Merlin-Urbe Y, Villamil-Echeverri L, Garciarreal A, Gavito ME, Masera OR (2014) Energy balance and greenhouse gas emissions in organic and conventional avocado orchards in Mexico. *Ecol Indic* 43:281–287
- Banaeian N, Zangeneh M (2011) Study on energy efficiency in corn production of Iran. *Energy* 36:5394–5402
- Baran MF, Lüle F, Gökdoğan O (2017a) Energy input-output analysis of organic grape production: a case study from Adıyaman province. *Erwerbs-Obstbau* 59(4):275–279
- Baran MF, Oğuz Hİ, Gökdoğan O (2017b) Determination of energy input-output analysis in organic strawberry production. *Fresenius Environ Bull* 26(3):1842–1846
- Bilgili ME (2012) Limon üretiminde enerji kullanım etkinliğinin belirlenmesi; Adana ili örneği. *Tarım Makinaları Bilimi Dergisi* 8(2):199–203 (in Turkish)
- Çelen İ, Baran MF, Önlü E, Bayhan Y (2017) Determination of energy balance of apple (*Malus domestica*) production in Turkey: a case study for Tekirdağ province. *Anadolu J Agric Sci* 32:40–45
- Çiçek A, Erkan O (1996) Agricultural economics research and sampling methods. Lecture Notes Serial, vol 6. Gaziosmanpaşa University, Agriculture Faculty Publishing, Tokat (in Turkish)
- Demircan V, Ekinci K, Keener HM, Akbolat D, Ekinci C (2006) Energy and economic analysis of sweet cherry production in Turkey: a case study from Isparta province. *Energy Convers Manag* 47:1761–1769
- Ekinci K, Akbolat D, Demircan V, Ekinci Ç (2005) Determination of energy use efficiency apple production in Isparta province. Turkey 3th Renewable Energy Sources Symposium, Mersin, pp 19–21 (in Turkish)
- Gezer I, Acaroğlu M, Haciseferoğulları H (2003) Use of energy and labor in apricot agriculture in Turkey. *Biomass Bioenergy* 24:215–219
- Gökdoğan O, Erdoğan O (2018) Evaluation of energy balance in organic olive (*Olea Europaea* L.) production in Turkey: a case study of Aydın-Karpuzlu region. *Erwerbs-Obstbau* 60:47–52
- Göktoğra ZG, Gözener B, Karkacier O (2006) Şeftali üretiminde enerji kullanımı: Tokat ili örneği. *Gaziosmanpaşa Univ Ziraat Fak Derg* 23(2):39–44 (in Turkish)
- Karaağaç MA, Aykanat S, Çakır B, Eren Ö, Turgut MM, Barut ZB, Öztürk HH (2011) Energy balance of wheat and maize crops production in Hacıali undertaking. 11th International Congress on Mechanization and Energy in Agriculture Congress, İstanbul, Turkey, 21–23. September, pp 388–391
- Koçtürk OM, Engindeniz S (2009) Energy and cost analysis of sultana grape growing: a case study of Manisa, west Turkey. *Afr J Agric Res* 4(10):938–943
- Kızılaslan H (2009) Input-output energy analysis of cherries production in Tokat province of Turkey. *Appl Energy* 86:1354–1358
- Lohrasbi M, Pourbafrani M, Niklasson C, Taherzadeh MJ (2010) Process design and economic analysis of a citrus waste biorefinery with biofuels and limonene as products. *Bioresour Technol* 101:7382–7388
- Mandal KG, Saha KP, Ghosh PK, Hati KM, Bandyopadhyay KK (2002) Bioenergy and economic analysis of soybean based crop production systems in central India. *Biomass Bioenergy* 23:337–345
- Mani I, Kumar P, Panwar JS, Kant K (2007) Variation in energy consumption in production of wheat-maize with varying altitudes in hill regions of Himachal Pradesh, India. *Energy* 32:2336–2339
- Mohammadi A, Rafiee S, Mohtasebi SS, Rafiee H (2010) Energy inputs-yield relationship and cost analysis of kiwifruit production in Iran. *Renew Energy* 35:1071–1075
- Mohammadi A, Tabatabaefar A, Shahin S, Rafiee S, Keyhani A (2008) Energy use and economical analysis of potato production in Iran a case study: Ardabil province. *Energy Convers Manag* 49:3566–3570
- Mohammadshirazi A, Akram A, Rafiee S, Avval SHM, Kalhor EB (2012) An analysis of energy use and relation between energy inputs and yield in tangerine production. *Renew Sustain Energy Rev* 16:4515–4521
- Mohammadshirazi A, Akram A, Rafiee S, Kalhor EB (2015) On the study of energy and cost analyses of orange production in Mazandaran province. *Sustain Energy Technol Assess* 10:22–28
- Nabavi-Pelesaraei A, Abdi R, Rafiee S (2013) Energy use pattern and sensitivity analysis of energy inputs and economical models for peanut production in Iran. *Int J Agric Crop Sci* 5(19):2193–2202
- Nabavi-Pelesaraei A, Abdi R, Rafiee S, Bagheri I (2016) Determination of efficient and in efficient units for watermelon production—a case study: Guilan province of Iran. *J Saudi Soc Agric Sci* 15:162–170
- Özkan B, Akçaöz H, Karadeniz F (2004) Energy requirement and economic analysis of citrus production in Turkey. *Energy Convers Manag* 45:1821–1830
- Pimentel D (1980) Handbook of energy utilization in agriculture. CRC, Boca Raton
- Qasemikordkheili P, Kazemi N, Hemmati A, Taki M (2013) Energy consumption, input-output relationship and economic analysis for nectarine production in Sari region, Iran. *Int J Agric Crop Sci* 5–2:125–131
- Ram RA, Verma AK (2015) Energy input, output and economic analysis in organic production of mango (*Mangifera indica*) cv. Dashehari. *Indian J Agric Sci* 85(6):827–832
- Singh JM (2000) On farm energy use pattern in different cropping systems in Haryana, India. Sustainable Energy Systems and Management, Master of Science. International Institute of Management University of Flensburg, Flensburg
- Singh JM (2002) On garden energy use pattern in different cropping systems in Haryana, India. Sustainable Energy Systems and Management, Master of Science. International Institute of Management University of Flensburg, Flensburg
- Singh S, Mittal JP (1992) Energy in production agriculture. Mittol, New Delhi
- Tabatabaie SMH, Rafiee S, Keyhani A (2012) Energy consumption flow and econometric models of two plum cultivars productions in Tehran province of Iran. *Energy* 44:211–216
- Tabatabaie SMH, Rafiee S, Keyhani A, Heidari MD (2013) Energy use pattern and sensitivity analysis of energy inputs and input costs for pear production in Iran. *Renew Energy* 51:7–12
- Yaldız O, Öztürk HH, Zeren Y, Başçetinçelik A (1993) Energy usage in production of field crops in Turkey. 5th International Congress on Mechanization and Energy in Agriculture, Kuşadası, 11–14. October, pp 527–536 (in Turkish)
- Yılmaz İ, Özalp A, Aydoğmuş F (2010) Antalya ili bodur elma üretiminde enerji kullanım etkinliğinin belirlenmesi: Elmalı ilçesi örneği. *Akdeniz Univ Ziraat Fak Derg* 23(2):93–97 (in Turkish)