ORIGINAL PAPER



Laboratory evaluation of five novel pyrrole derivatives as grain protectants against *Tribolium confusum* and *Ephestia kuehniella* larvae

Maria C. Boukouvala^{1,2,3} · Nickolas G. Kavallieratos¹ · Christos G. Athanassiou⁴ · Dusan Losic⁵ · Lazaros P. Hadjiarapoglou³ · Yiannis Elemes³

Received: 5 July 2016/Revised: 1 September 2016/Accepted: 7 September 2016/Published online: 27 September 2016 © Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2016

Abstract Several naturally discovered or laboratory-synthesized pyrrole compounds have insecticidal, acaricidal and microbial properties. The novel sulfanyl 5*H*-dihydropyrrole derivatives exhibit certain antioxidant activities. However, there is a knowledge gap whether these substances are potent grain protectants against stored-product insect pest species. In this context, we evaluated the insecticidal activity of five novel pyrrole derivatives (under the trivial names 3a, 3g, 3l, 3m, 3h), against larvae of *Tribolium confusum* Jaquelin du Val and *Ephestia kuehniella* Zeller at different doses (0.1, 1 and 10 ppm), exposure intervals (7, 14 and 21 days or 1, 2, 7, 14,

Communicated by M. Traugott.

Yiannis Elemes: Deceased.

Nickolas G. Kavallieratos nick_kaval@hotmail.com

- ¹ Laboratory of Agricultural Zoology and Entomology, Department of Crop Science, Agricultural University of Athens, 75 Iera Odos str., 11855 Athens, Attica, Greece
- ² Laboratory of Agricultural Entomology, Department of Entomology and Agricultural Zoology, Benaki Phytopathological Institute, 8 Stefanou Delta str., 14561 Kifissia, Attica, Greece
- ³ Laboratory of Organic Chemistry, Department of Chemistry, University of Ioannina, Panepistimioupolis, 45110 Ioannina, Greece
- ⁴ Laboratory of Entomology and Agricultural Zoology, Department of Agriculture Crop Production and Rural Environment, University of Thessaly, Phytokou str., 38446 Nea Ionia, Magnesia, Greece
- ⁵ School of Chemical Engineering, The University of Adelaide, North Engineering Building, N206, Adelaide, SA 5005, Australia

21 days), temperatures (20, 25 and 30 °C), relative humidity (RH) (55 and 75 %) levels and commodities (wheat, maize, barley). The pyrrole derivative 3a exhibited the highest insecticidal activity, while 3g, 3l, 3m and 3h caused similar mortality against larvae of T. confusum. Apart of the level of efficacy, all tested pyrrole derivatives performed similarly according temperature. We found that increase in temperature increased mortality in the majority of the tested combinations. Generally, the pyrrole derivatives caused the highest mortality levels at 30 °C. The pyrrole derivatives 3a, 3g, 3l and 3m were affected by relative humidity at almost all combinations tested. The 75 % level of RH moderated the efficacy of the pyrrole derivatives, while the 55 % enhanced it. Mortality of T. confusum and E. kuehniella on maize was much lower on treated maize than barley or wheat. However, 100 % control of both species was recorded only on treated barley. The results of the present study indicate that the pyrrole derivatives tested could serve as grain protectants against noxious stored-product insects under certain biotic and abiotic conditions.

Keywords Pyrrole derivatives \cdot Stored-product insects \cdot Dose \cdot Exposure \cdot RH \cdot Temperature \cdot Commodity

Key message

- There is a gap of knowledge whether novel sulfanyl 5*H*-dihydro-pyrrole derivatives are potent grain protectants.
- We evaluated five pyrrole derivatives against *T. confusum* and *E. kuehniella* larvae at different doses, exposure intervals, temperatures, RH levels and commodities.

- Insect mortality was favored at 30 °C and 55 % RH.
- Insects were harder to be controlled on maize than on barley or wheat.
- Insecticidal performance of the pyrrole derivatives is elevated under certain biotic and abiotic conditions.

Introduction

One of the most critical issues on the management of stored-product pests is the development of resistance to synthetic pesticides (Pimentel et al. 2007, 2009; Kumar et al. 2010). Thus, there is an ongoing interest on finding novel insecticidal or repellent active ingredients that will enhance stored-product protection (Bedini et al. 2015; Germinara et al. 2015; Abdelgaleil et al. 2016; Boukouvala et al. 2016a, b). Pyrrole is a five-member heterocyclic aromatic organic substance that corresponds to the chemical formula C₄H₅N. In the last two decades, research has documented different types of biological activities that numerous pyrrole derivatives exhibit, either synthesized in the laboratory or discovered from natural resources. Thus, pyrrole derivatives can serve as enzyme inhibitors or anticancer, antimicrobial, antitubercular, anti-inflammatory, antioxidant, cytotoxic, insecticidal and acaricidal agents (Gholap 2016) or mimicking natural products (Zaidi et al. 2006; Lucas et al. 2013).

A critical examination of the international bibliography reveals several studies showing that certain pyrrole derivatives are capable to kill a wide spectrum of insect and mite species of both agricultural and public health importance. For example, Ito et al. (2003) reported high toxicity of a series synthesized of N-sulfanyl-, N-sulfinyland N-sulfonyldihydropyrrole derivatives against Nilaparvata lugens (Stål) (Hemiptera: Delphacidae) and Nephotettix cincticeps (Uhler) (Hemiptera: Cicadellidae) at 1 ppm after 5 days of exposure to the material. Similarly, Zhao et al. (2008a, b) found that several 2-aryl-pyrrole derivatives caused 100 % mortality of larvae of Mythimna separata Walker (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), larvae of Culex pipiens L. ssp. pallens Coquillett (Diptera: Culicidae) and adults of Tetranychus cinnabarinus (Boisduval) (Acari: Tetranychidae) after 2 days of exposure at 10-20, 0.1-0.5 and 50-200 ppm, respectively. The pure compound, 5-(2, 4-dimethylbenzyl)pyrrolidin-2-one, that was extracted by the marine actinobacteria Streptomyces VITSVK5 sp., caused 100 % mortality to larvae of Rhipicephalus microplus (Canestrini) (Ixodida: Ixodidae), Anopheles stephensi Liston (Diptera: Culicidae) and Culex tritaeniorhynchus Giles (Diptera: Culicidae) at 500 ppm after 1 day of exposure (Saurav et al. 2013).

Also, pyrrole derivatives from natural resources such as the compound 5-azidomethyl-3-(2-ethoxy carbonyl-ethyl)-

4-ethoxycarbonylmethyl-1H-pyrrole-2-carboxylic acid, ethyl ester, extracted from the marine *Streptomyces* VITSVK7 sp. exhibited 61, 69, 57 and 52 % mortality to *Haemaphysalis bispinosa* Neumann (Ixodida: Ixodidae), *R. microplus, Anopheles subpictus* Meigen (Diptera: Culicidae) and *Culex quinquefasciatus* Say (Diptera: Culicidae), respectively, after 1 day of exposure (Thenmozhi et al. 2013).

All above pyrrole derivatives can be potential insecticides that merit commercialization as in the case of chlorfenapyr, i.e., 4-bromo-2-(4-chlorophenyl)-1-ethoxvmethyl-5-(trifluoromethyl)pyrrole-3-carbonitrile (Zhao et al. 2008a, b). Chlorfenapyr causes oxidative phosphorylation in the mitochondria, which disrupts the synthesis of ATP and has low mammalian toxicity (Hunt 1996; Tomlin 2000; McLeod et al. 2002). Chlorfenapyr exhibits elevated toxicity against several stored-product insects either as surface treatment, i.e., Liposcelis bostrychophila Badonnel (Psocoptera: Liposcelididae), Liposcelis entomophila (Enderlein) (Psocoptera: Liposcelididae), Liposcelis paeta Pearman (Psocoptera: Liposcelididae), Tribolium castaneum (Hertz) and T. confusum (Guedes et al. 2008; Arthur 2013, 2015; Athanassiou et al. 2014a) or as grain protectant, i.e., Prostephanus truncatus (Horn) (Coleoptera: Bostrychidae), Rhyzopertha dominica (F.) (Coleoptera: Bostrychidae), Sitophilus oryzae (L.) (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) and Tribolium confusum Jaquelin du Val (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae) (Kavallieratos et al. 2011). However, so far it has been registered in the USA for application in cracks and crevices against urban pest species including stored-product insects associated with food processing or storage (Arthur 2013; Athanassiou et al. 2014a).

It has been previously documented that novel sulfanyl 5H-dihydro-pyrrole derivatives exhibit strong antioxidant activity (Georgiou et al. 2012; Oikonomou et al. 2015). Furthermore, Boukouvala et al. (2016a, b) examined two of these compounds, the ethyl 3-(benzylthio)-4,6-dioxo-5phenyl-2,4,5,6-tetrahydropyrrolo[3,4-c]pyrrole-carboxylate (under the trivial name 3i) and the isopropyl 3-(benzylthio)-4,6-dioxo-5-phenyl-2,4,5,6-tetrahydropyrrolo[3,4c]pyrrole-carboxylate (under the trivial name 3k) against adults of T. confusum and larvae of Ephestia kuehniella Zeller (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae) and found that under certain combinations of biotic and abiotic factors mortality of the exposed individuals can be complete. It is well known that food sources favor larval development (Loschiavo and Okumura 1979; Cuperus et al. 1990; Platt et al. 1998; Arthur and Phillips 2003; Arthur and Campbell 2008) and that immature instars predominate in insect resident infestations in storage facilities (Campbell et al. 2010a, b). Thus, Wolly Wijayaratne et al. (2012) suggested the enhancement of insecticidal treatments against immature individuals to be considered in insect management programs. Considering also the fact that the activity of several other pyrrole derivatives is not known against any insect species and based on the need of development of new insecticides, the objective of the current study was to examine the insecticidal effect of five novel pyrrole derivatives against larvae of the highly destructive storedproduct insects *T. confusum* and *E. kuehniella* (Aitken 1975; Hill 2003; Mahroof and Hagstrum 2012) under different combinations of temperature, relative humidity, commodity, dose and exposure interval.

Materials and methods

Insects

The insects used in tests were reared at the Laboratory of Agricultural Zoology and Entomology, Agricultural University of Athens, at continuous darkness. The cultures, initially collected from Greek storage facilities, have been kept at Agricultural University of Athens since 2014. *T. confusum* was reared in wheat flour including 5 % brewer's yeast (w/w) at 30 °C and 60 % RH. *E. kuehniella* was reared in wheat flour at 25 °C and 60 % RH. First instar larvae of *T. confusum* or *E. kuehniella* were used in the tests. For that purpose, *T. confusum* or *E. kuehniella* eggs were collected from flour by using a sieve of 60 mesh (250 micron, WS Tyler, Mentor, OH, USA), then placed in incubators at 25 °C and 60 % RH, or 30 °C and 60 % RH, respectively, and first instar larvae were collected after hatching.

Commodities

Untreated, clean and free of infestation and pesticides, hard wheat, *Triticum durum* Desf. (var. Mexa), barley *Hordeum vulgare* L. (var. Persephone) and maize, *Zea mays* L. (var. Dias) were used for the experimentation. The moisture content of the tested grain commodities was 11, 11.3 and 10.9 % for wheat, barley and maize, respectively, as determined by a Dickey-John moisture meter (mini GAC plus, Dickey-John Europe SAS., Colombes, France) at the beginning of the tests.

Pyrrole derivatives

Five pyrrole derivatives, i.e., methyl 3-(methylthio)-4,6-dioxo-5-phenyl-2,4,5,6-tetrahydropyrrolo[3,4-*c*]pyrrole-1-carboxylate [molecular weight (mw) = 316.33 g/mol, melting point (mp) = 171–172 °C], methyl 3-(benzylthio)-4,6-dioxo-5phenyl-2,4,5,6-tetrahydropyrrolo[3,4-*c*]pyrrole-1-carboxylate (mw = 392.43, mp = 185–186 °C), *tert*-Butyl 3-(methylthio) 4,6-dioxo-5-phenyl-2,4,5,6-tetrahydropyrrolo[3,4-*c*]pyrrole-1-carboxylate (mw = 358.41, mp = 173–174 °C), benzyl 3-(methylthio)-4,6-dioxo-5-phenyl-2,4,5,6-tetrahydropyrrolo[3,4-*c*]pyrrole-1-carboxylate (mw = 392.43, mp = 186–187 °C) and ethyl 3-(methylthio)-4,6-dioxo-5-phenyl-2,4,5,6-tetrahydropyrrolo[3,4-c]pyrrole-1-carboxylate (mw = 330.36, mp = 161–162 °C), given the trivial names 3a, 3g, 3l, 3m and 3h, respectively (Fig. 1), were used for experimentation. These substances were synthesized by Oikonomou et al. (2015) at the Laboratory of Organic Chemistry, Department of Chemistry, University of Ioannina and used as powders in the tests. Details on their synthesis can be found in Oikonomou et al. (2015).

Bioassays series 1

One-kg lots of wheat were placed in cylindrical glass jars and treated with the pyrrole derivatives separately at three doses: 0.1, 1 and 10 ppm. The jars were shaken manually for 5 min to achieve the equal distribution of the particles of the pyrrole derivatives in the entire wheat mass. An additional 1 kg of untreated wheat was served as control. From each lot, three samples, of 10 g each, were taken and placed in small cylindrical glass vials (7 cm in diameter, 12 cm in height) with a different scoop that was inside each jar. The quantity of 10 g was weighed with a Precisa XB3200D compact balance (Alpha Analytical Instruments, Gerakas, Greece). The closure of the vials had a 1.5-cmdiameter hole in the middle, which was covered by gauze, to allow sufficient aeration inside the vial. The T. confusum larvae were tested on wheat treated with all pyrrole derivatives, while E. kuehniella larvae were tested on wheat treated with 3h. Ten larvae of T. confusum or E. kuehniella were separately placed inside each vial. The internal "necks" of the vials were covered by Fluon (Northern Products Inc., Woonsocket, USA), to prevent insects from escaping. Subsequently, six series of bioassays of each compound were placed in controlled chambers under the following conditions: 20 °C and 55 % RH, 25 °C and 55 % RH, 30 °C and 55 % RH, 20 °C and 75 % RH, 25 °C and 75 % RH and 30 °C and 75 % RH for the duration of the experimental period. Mortality of the treated individuals was assessed after 7, 14 and 21 days of exposure. Dead larvae were determined by prodding with a brush to detect movement under an Olympus stereomicroscope (Olympus SZX9, Bacacos SA, Athens, Greece). The brush was carefully washed after the examination of each vial. All tests were repeated three times for each species, by preparing new lots each time. Control mortality was very low (<5 %), and thus, no correction was necessary for the mortality data. Data were analyzed separately for each of the tested species according to the repeatedmeasures model (Sall et al. 2001). The repeated factor was





benzyl 3-(methylthio)-4,6-dioxo-5-phenyl-2,4,5,6-tetrahydropyrrolo[3,4-c]pyrrole-1-carboxylate (3m)

exposure interval, while mortality was the response variable. Temperature, RH, pyrrole derivative and dose were the main effects in the case of *T. confusum* larvae, whereas temperature, RH and dose were the main effects in the case of *E. kuehniella* larvae. The associated interactions of the main effects were incorporated in the analysis. All analyses were conducted using the JMP 11 software (SAS Institute 2013). Means were separated by the Tukey–Kramer (HSD) test at 0.05 probability (Sokal and Rohlf 1995).

Bioassays series 2

One-kg lots of barley or maize were treated and proceeded with the same pyrrole derivatives as in Bioassays series 1. The *T. confusum* larvae were tested on maize or barley treated with all pyrrole derivatives, while *E. kuehniella* larvae were tested on maize or barley treated with 3h. The tests were conducted in incubators set at 25 °C and 60 % RH during the entire experimental period. Mortality of the treated individuals was assessed after 1, 2, 7, 14 and 21 days of exposure. Dead larvae were determined as above. Control mortality was very low (<5 %), and thus, no correction was necessary for the mortality data. Data were analyzed separately for each of the tested species according to the repeated-measures model as in Bioassays series 1. Pyrrole derivative, commodity and dose were the main effects in the case of *T. confusum* larvae, whereas commodity and dose were the main effects in the case of *E. kuehniella* larvae.

Results

Bioassays series 1

Mortality of T. confusum larvae

Between exposure intervals, all main effects and the associated interactions temperature \times RH, pyrrole derivative \times dose, temperature \times pyrrole derivative, RH \times pyrrole derivative, RH \times dose and temperature \times RH \times pyrrole derivative were significant (Table 1). Within exposure intervals, all main effects and associated interactions were significant except exposure \times temperature \times dose, exposure \times temperature \times RH \times dose and exposure \times temperature \times RH \times ryrrole derivative \times dose.

After 7 days of exposure, mortality on wheat treated with 3a remained low at 0.1 and 1 ppm in all tested combinations (Table 2). However, mortality reached almost 79 % on wheat treated with 10 ppm 3a at 30 °C and 55 % RH while it did not exceed 55.6 % at 30 °C and 75 % RH. After 14 days post-exposure, on wheat treated with 1 ppm at 30 °C, mortality was 70 and 64.4 % at 55 and 75 % RH, respectively. In 10 ppm mortality was >94 % at 30 °C and 55 % RH, whereas it ranged from 57.8 to 72.2 % at the same temperature and 75 % RH. Seven days later mortality notably increased at all tested combinations. Thus, even in 0.1 ppm, mortality was 80 % but it reached 98.9 % in 10 ppm at 30 °C and 55 % RH. The increase in temperature from 20 or 25 °C to 30 °C significantly increased mortality at all tested combinations at 55 % RH.

Regarding pyrrole derivative 3g, after 7 or 14 days of exposure the overall mortality was negligible to average (Table 3). However, after 21 days of exposure, at 25 and 30 °C mortality was 90 and 97.8 % at 55 % RH. In contrast, mortality was average at 75 % RH and did not exceed 56.7 % at 30 °C.

Mortality of *T. confusum* larvae was low or average on wheat treated with the pyrrole derivative 31 after 7 and 14 days of exposure and did not exceed 56.7 % in 10 ppm at 30 °C and 75 % RH (Table 4). However, after 21 days

of exposure, mortality was 84.4 and 95.6 % in 10 ppm of 31 at 25 and 30 °C and 55 % RH. In contrast, at 75 %, mortality was average and did not exceed 67.8 % at 30 °C. Generally, the mortality values at 55 % RH were higher that at 75 % RH.

For both tested RH levels, after 7 days of exposure, mortality of *T. confusum* larvae was little to average in the case of the pyrrole derivative 3m (Table 5). Seven days later, mortality further increased and reached 76.7 % in 10 ppm at 30 °C and 55 % RH. At 21 days post-exposure, mortality was >84 and >95 % in 10 ppm at 25 °C and 30 °C and 55 % RH.

Mortality of *T. confusum* larvae was similarly ranged from 12.2 to 46.7 % and from 10 to 42.2 % at 55 and 75 % RH, respectively, on wheat treated with the pyrrole derivative 3h after 7 days of exposure (Table 6). Seven days later, mortality was still average for both RH levels tested. After 21 days of exposure, in 1 ppm mortality was >80 % at 30 °C and 55 % RH. However, at temperatures \geq 25 °C, mortality was >88 %. At 75 % RH, mortality was generally similar to 55 % RH.

Mortality of E. kuehniella larvae

Between exposure intervals, all main effects and the associated interactions temperature \times RH and temperature \times RH \times dose were significant (Table 1). Within exposure intervals, the main effects exposure \times temperature and exposure \times dose were significant. All associated interactions were significant except exposure \times RH \times dose.

After 7 days of exposure, mortality of *E. kuehniella* on wheat treated with 3h exhibited great range for both RH levels among temperatures (Table 7). Similar trend was recorded at 14 and 21 days of exposure. The highest mortality values were noted in 10 ppm at 30 °C, i.e., 87.9 % (at 55 % RH) and 90 % (at 75 % RH). Similarly, after 14 days of exposure, mortality still greatly ranged as previously described. At this exposure, mortality was almost complete (98.9 %) at 30 °C and 55 % RH while it reached 95.6 % at 30 °C and 75 % RH.

Bioassays series 2

Mortality of T. confusum larvae

Between exposure intervals, all main effects and the associated interaction pyrrole derivative \times commodity were significant (Table 8). Within exposure intervals, all main effects and associated interactions were significant.

No mortality was noted on maize treated with 0.1 ppm of any the tested pyrrole derivatives after 1 and 2 days of exposure. Yet, mortality was low in 1 or 10 ppm of all pyrrole derivatives (Table 9). After 7 days of exposure, no

Table 1 MANOVA parameters for main effects and associated interactions for mortality levels of *T. confusum* larvae and *E. kuehniella* larvae between and within exposure intervals (error df = 720 for *T. confusum* larvae and error df = 76 for *E. kuehniella* larvae)

| Source | df | T. confusur | n (larvae) | E. kuehniel | la (larvae) |
|---|----|-------------|------------|----------------|-------------|
| | | F | Р | \overline{F} | Р |
| Between exposure intervals | | | | | |
| Temperature | 2 | 110.4 | < 0.01 | 29.5 | < 0.01 |
| RH | 1 | 240.3 | < 0.01 | 13.9 | 0.01 |
| Pyrrole derivative | 4 | 88.6 | < 0.01 | _ | _ |
| Dose | 2 | 210.8 | < 0.01 | 268.7 | < 0.01 |
| Temperature \times RH | 2 | 11.6 | < 0.01 | 3.7 | 0.03 |
| Pyrrole derivative \times dose | 8 | 3.7 | 0.01 | _ | _ |
| Temperature \times pyrrole derivative | 8 | 22.8 | < 0.01 | _ | - |
| $RH \times pyrrole derivative$ | 4 | 34.0 | < 0.01 | _ | _ |
| Temperature \times dose | 4 | 0.5 | 0.76 | 0.9 | 0.48 |
| $RH \times dose$ | 2 | 7.8 | 0.01 | 2.2 | 0.11 |
| Temperature \times RH \times pyrrole derivative | 8 | 19.3 | < 0.01 | _ | _ |
| Temperature \times RH \times dose | 4 | 0.9 | 0.45 | 2.5 | 0.05 |
| Temperature \times pyrrole derivative \times dose | 16 | 1.1 | 0.31 | _ | _ |
| RH \times pyrrole derivative \times dose | 8 | 1.1 | 0.35 | _ | _ |
| Temperature \times RH \times pyrrole derivative \times dose | 16 | 0.7 | 0.75 | _ | _ |
| Within exposure intervals | | | | | |
| Exposure \times temperature | 4 | 4.2 | 0.01 | 10.3 | < 0.01 |
| Exposure \times RH | 2 | 87.7 | < 0.01 | 0.9 | 0.40 |
| Exposure \times dose | 4 | 20.4 | < 0.01 | 15.5 | < 0.01 |
| Exposure \times pyrrole derivative | 8 | 19.6 | < 0.01 | _ | - |
| Exposure \times RH \times dose | 4 | 9.1 | < 0.01 | 2.1 | 0.09 |
| Exposure \times temperature \times RH | 4 | 7.1 | < 0.01 | 4.3 | 0.01 |
| Exposure \times pyrrole derivative \times dose | 16 | 6.2 | < 0.01 | _ | _ |
| Exposure \times temperature \times dose | 8 | 1.8 | 0.08 | 7.2 | < 0.01 |
| Exposure \times temperature \times pyrrole derivative | 16 | 8.0 | < 0.01 | _ | _ |
| Exposure \times RH \times pyrrole derivative | 8 | 4.0 | 0.01 | _ | _ |
| Exposure \times temperature \times pyrrole derivative \times dose | 32 | 1.9 | 0.01 | _ | _ |
| Exposure \times RH \times pyrrole derivative \times dose | 16 | 3.2 | < 0.01 | _ | _ |
| Exposure \times temperature \times RH \times dose | 8 | 0.8 | 0.62 | 2.3 | 0.02 |
| Exposure \times temperature \times RH \times pyrrole derivative | 32 | 1.9 | 0.01 | _ | _ |
| Exposure \times temperature \times RH \times pyrrole derivative \times dose | 32 | 1.1 | 0.37 | - | - |

mortality was recorded in 0.1 ppm of 3h, while it was still low for all other pyrrole derivatives. In 1 and 10 ppm, mortality was average. After 14 days of exposure, mortality further increased but it did not exceed 74.4 % (3a at 10 ppm). Interestingly, mortality reached 91.1 % on maize treated with 10 ppm 3a, followed by pyrrole derivative 3h which provided mortality almost 80 % at 10 ppm. Regarding barley, after 1 day of exposure, low or no mortality was recorded for any of the tested substances. Yet, after 2 days of exposure, despite mortality increased in all combinations it was low or average. At 7 days postexposure, the pyrrole derivative 3a caused 82.2 % mortality to *T. confusum* larvae. Seven days later, complete mortality was recorded in 10 ppm of 3a and 3m followed by 3g at 10 ppm that provided 97.8 % mortality. After 21 days of exposure, complete mortality was also recorded in 1 ppm of 3a and 10 ppm of 3g, 31 and 3h.

Mortality of E. kuehniella larvae

Between and within exposure intervals, all main effects were significant while the associated interactions were not (Table 8). After 1, 2 and 7 days of exposure on maize, the 3h pyrrole derivative caused average or no mortality to E.

| RH | 55 % | | | F | Р | 75 % | | | F | Р |
|---------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|-----|------|-----------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|------|--------|
| Temperature Dose | 20 °C | 25 °C | 30 °C | | | 20 °C | 25 °C | 30 °C | | |
| 7 days | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0.1 ppm | $15.6 \pm 4.4b$ | $17.8\pm6.2b$ | $18.9\pm3.5b$ | 0.1 | 0.89 | $12.2\pm4.0\text{Bb}$ | $11.0 \pm 3.1 \text{Bb}$ | $32.2\pm7.2A$ | 5.4 | 0.01 |
| 1 ppm | $28.9\pm5.1b$ | $25.6\pm7.7b$ | $32.2\pm3.2b$ | 0.3 | 0.71 | $16.6\pm5.5Bb$ | $26.6\pm5.0Bb$ | $43.3\pm4.1A$ | 7.6 | 0.01 |
| 10 ppm | $50.0\pm4.4\mathrm{Ba}$ | $64.4\pm8.4\text{ABa}$ | $78.9\pm4.8 \mathrm{Aa}$ | 5.6 | 0.01 | $43.3\pm6.2a$ | $46.7\pm5.0a$ | 55.6 ± 7.8 | 1.0 | 0.39 |
| F | 13.8 | 11.3 | 64.3 | | | 9.9 | 16.0 | 3.2 | | |
| Р | 0.01 | 0.01 | < 0.01 | | | 0.01 | < 0.01 | 0.06 | | |
| 14 days | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0.1 ppm | $33.3 \pm 6.0 \text{Bb}$ | $30.0\pm7.3\text{Bb}$ | $62.2\pm4.3Ab$ | 8.7 | 0.01 | $16.6\pm5.0\text{Bb}$ | $26.7\pm4.1Bb$ | $58.9\pm7.0\mathrm{A}$ | 16.2 | < 0.01 |
| 1 ppm | $48.9\pm4.2ABb$ | $45.6\pm10.2Bb$ | $70.0\pm4.7 \mathrm{Ab}$ | 3.7 | 0.04 | $31.1\pm7.0\text{Bb}$ | $43.3\pm5.7Bab$ | $64.4\pm4.1A$ | 8.6 | 0.01 |
| 10 ppm | $68.9\pm5.9\mathrm{Ba}$ | $76.7\pm6.9\mathrm{ABa}$ | $94.4\pm2.9 \mathrm{Aa}$ | 5.7 | 0.01 | $57.8\pm6.6a$ | $58.9\pm5.1a$ | 72.2 ± 6.0 | 1.8 | 0.18 |
| F | 10.8 | 8.3 | 17.1 | | | 11.1 | 10.2 | 1.3 | | |
| Р | 0.01 | 0.01 | < 0.01 | | | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.28 | | |
| 21 days | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0.1 ppm | $47.8\pm4.6Bc$ | $50.0\pm10.4\text{Bb}$ | $80.0\pm3.3 \text{Ab}$ | 6.8 | 0.01 | 31.1 ± 7.3 Bb | $33.3 \pm 4.1 \text{Bb}$ | $67.8\pm5.5A$ | 12.6 | 0.01 |
| 1 ppm | $63.3 \pm 4.4 \text{Bb}$ | $64.4\pm7.5Bab$ | $86.7\pm2.9 \text{Ab}$ | 6.2 | 0.01 | $46.7\pm7.6Bab$ | $54.4\pm4.4 \text{ABa}$ | $73.3\pm4.4A$ | 5.8 | 0.01 |
| 10 ppm | $81.1 \pm 3.5 Ba$ | $86.7\pm4.4\mathrm{Ba}$ | $98.9 \pm 1.1 \mathrm{Aa}$ | 7.5 | 0.01 | $66.7\pm6.2a$ | $66.7\pm 6.2a$ | 78.9 ± 6.5 | 1.2 | 0.31 |
| F | 15.6 | 5.6 | 13.3 | | | 6.3 | 11.3 | 1.0 | | |
| Р | < 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | | | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.38 | | |

Within each column, exposure and RH, means followed by the same lowercase letter are not significantly different; df = 2, 26. Within each row, exposure and RH, means followed by the same uppercase letter are not significantly different; df = 2, 26, Tukey–Kramer (HSD) test at P = 0.05. Where no letters exist, no significant differences were recorded

kuehniella. Mortality arose to 71.1 % after 14 days of exposure in 10 ppm and finally to 82.2 % seven days later (Table 10). On barley, after 1, 2 and 7 days, mortality was low or average. However, 7 days later it arose to 91.1 % and became 100 % after 21 days of exposure at the same dose.

Discussion

The findings of the present study indicate that the efficacy of the tested pyrrole derivatives varied according the pyrrole derivative, dose, exposure interval, temperature, RH and commodity. Considering the overall data, the pyrrole derivative 3a exhibited the highest insecticidal activity, while 3g, 3l, 3m and 3h caused similar mortality against larvae of *T. confusum*. Apart of the level of efficacy, all tested pyrrole derivatives performed similarly according temperature. Actually, temperature seemed to be a key factor that significantly regulated mortality. Thus, increase in temperature increased mortality in the majority of combinations tested. Generally, the pyrrole derivatives caused the highest mortality levels at 30 °C and 55 % RH. It has been previously

documented that increase in temperature increases the loss of water, through increased insect respiration, as well as the mobility of insects and therefore their ability to have elevated contact with substrates that have been treated with insecticides, i.e., diatomaceous earths, organophosphates, abamectin (Turnbull and Harris 1986; Arthur 2000; Kavallieratos et al. 2009; Athanassiou et al. 2014b). In a recent study, however, Boukouvala et al. (2016a) reported that the pyrrole derivatives 3i and 3k were more efficacious at 25 than at 20 or 30 °C against the same target species. This interesting finding shows that compounds with close chemical structure can perform differently under the change of temperature. For two insecticides both of which are based on metabolites (spinosyns) of the actinomycete Saccharopolyspora spinosa Mertz and Yao (Actinomycetales: Pseudonocardiaceae), i.e., spinosad that contains the spinosyn A and spinosyn D and spinetoram that contains spinosyn L and spinosyn J (Dripps et al. 2011), it is evident that temperature may or may not play a role in their efficacy against stored-product insects. For example, Athanassiou et al. (2008) reported that S. oryzae mortality on wheat treated with a liquid formulation of spinosad was positively affected at temperatures ranging from 20 to 30 °C. In contrast, mortality of the same species was not much affected

| RH | 55 % | | | F | Ρ | 75 % | | | F | Ρ |
|---------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|------|-------|-----------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|
| Temperature Dose | 20 °C | 25 °C | 30 °C | | | 20 °C | 25 °C | 30 °C | | |
| 7 days | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0.1 ppm | $5.6\pm1.8\mathrm{Bc}$ | $25.6 \pm 2.9 \mathrm{Ab}$ | $26.7 \pm 4.7 \mathrm{Ab}$ | 12.2 | 0.01 | $0.0\pm0.0\mathrm{B}$ | $0.0\pm0.0\mathrm{B}$ | $3.3 \pm 1.7 \mathrm{Ab}$ | 4.0 | 0.03 |
| 1 ppm | $15.6\pm1.8Bb$ | $30.0 \pm 4.4 \mathrm{Ab}$ | $40.0 \pm 2.4 \mathrm{Aa}$ | 16.1 | <0.01 | $0.0\pm0.0\mathrm{B}$ | $0.0\pm0.0\mathrm{B}$ | $11.1 \pm 2.6 \text{Aab}$ | 18.2 | <0.01 |
| 10 ppm | 25.6 ± 2.9 Ba | $52.2 \pm 3.6 \mathrm{Aa}$ | $45.6\pm1.8\mathrm{Aa}$ | 23.1 | <0.01 | $0.0\pm0.0\mathrm{B}$ | $0.0\pm0.0\mathrm{B}$ | $17.8\pm2.8\mathrm{Aa}$ | 41.0 | <0.01 |
| F | 20.3 | 14.8 | 9.2 | | | I | I | 9.1 | | |
| Ρ | <0.01 | <0.01 | 0.01 | | | I | I | 0.01 | | |
| 14 days | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0.1 ppm | $7.8 \pm 1.5 Bc$ | $44.4 \pm 4.4 \mathrm{Ab}$ | $46.7 \pm 3.7 \mathrm{Ab}$ | 40.0 | <0.01 | $0.0\pm0.0\mathrm{B}$ | $10.0 \pm 2.9 \mathrm{A}$ | $10.0 \pm 2.4 \mathrm{Ab}$ | 7.2 | 0.01 |
| 1 ppm | $20.0 \pm 1.7 \mathrm{Bb}$ | $52.2 \pm 4.7 \text{Aab}$ | $57.8\pm2.8\mathrm{Aab}$ | 38.9 | <0.01 | $1.1 \pm 1.1B$ | $15.6 \pm 3.4 \mathrm{A}$ | $15.6 \pm 3.4 \mathrm{Aab}$ | 8.7 | 0.01 |
| 10 ppm | $34.4 \pm 2.4 Ba$ | 64.4 ± 3.8 Aa | $66.7 \pm 2.9 \text{Aa}$ | 34.2 | <0.01 | $4.4 \pm 2.4B$ | $20.0 \pm 4.4 \text{A}$ | 23.3 ± 3.3 Aa | 8.4 | 0.01 |
| F | 49.5 | 5.5 | 10.1 | | | 2.3 | 1.9 | 4.8 | | |
| Ρ | <0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | | | 0.13 | 0.17 | 0.02 | | |
| 21 days | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0.1 ppm | $8.9\pm2.0 \mathrm{Bc}$ | $57.8\pm6.8\mathrm{Ab}$ | $64.4\pm5.0\mathrm{Ac}$ | 36.4 | <0.01 | 8.9 ± 2.0 | $10.0 \pm 2.9b$ | $17.8 \pm 4.7c$ | 2.1 | 0.15 |
| 1 ppm | $28.9 \pm 3.5 \mathrm{Bb}$ | $73.3 \pm 4.1 \text{Aab}$ | $77.8\pm2.8\mathrm{Ab}$ | 59.7 | <0.01 | $11.1 \pm 3.1B$ | $20.0 \pm 4.1 \mathrm{ABab}$ | 35.6 ± 6.3 Ab | 7.0 | 0.01 |
| 10 ppm | 55.6 ± 3.8 Ba | 90.0 ± 2.4 Aa | $97.8\pm1.5\mathrm{Aa}$ | 69.1 | <0.01 | $12.2 \pm 3.2 C$ | $24.4 \pm 4.1 Ba$ | $56.7 \pm 2.4 \mathrm{Aa}$ | 47.9 | <0.01 |
| F | 53.8 | 11.3 | 24.0 | | | 0.4 | 3.9 | 17.1 | | |
| Ρ | <0.01 | 0.01 | <0.01 | | | 0.70 | 0.03 | <0.01 | | |

| RH | 55 % | | | F | Ρ | 75 % | | | F | Ρ |
|---------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|------|-------|---------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|------|-------|
| Temperature Dose | 20 °C | 25 °C | 30 °C | | | 20 °C | 25 °C | 30 °C | | |
| 7 days | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0.1 ppm | 1.1 ± 4.2 | $12.2 \pm 2.2b$ | $20.0\pm5.5\mathrm{b}$ | 1.3 | 0.29 | $0.0\pm0.0\mathrm{Bb}$ | $2.2 \pm 1.5B$ | $20.0 \pm 4.4 \mathrm{A}$ | 16.7 | <0.01 |
| 1 ppm | $4.4 \pm 2.4B$ | $16.7 \pm 3.7 Bb$ | 32.2 ± 5.7 Aab | 11.1 | 0.01 | $3.3 \pm 1.7 Bab$ | $12.2 \pm 4.3B$ | $26.7 \pm 4.7 \mathrm{A}$ | 9.5 | 0.01 |
| 10 ppm | $7.7 \pm 2.8 \mathrm{B}$ | $32.2 \pm 5.2 Aa$ | 37.8 ± 3.2 Aa | 16.8 | <0.01 | $6.7 \pm 1.7 Ba$ | $13.3 \pm 5.8B$ | $28.9 \pm 4.5 \mathrm{A}$ | 6.9 | 0.01 |
| F | 1.1 | 7.1 | 3.4 | | | 6.0 | 2.2 | 1.0 | | |
| Ρ | 0.36 | 0.01 | 0.05 | | | 0.01 | 0.15 | 0.37 | | |
| 14 days | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0.1 ppm | 24.4 ± 6.3 | $26.7 \pm 3.3 \mathrm{Bb}$ | $45.6\pm5.6\mathrm{A}$ | 5.0 | 0.02 | $13.3 \pm 4.4B$ | $14.4 \pm 4.1B$ | $35.6 \pm 7.7 \text{A}$ | 4.9 | 0.02 |
| 1 ppm | $31.1 \pm 2.6B$ | $38.9 \pm 5.4 \text{ABab}$ | $54.4\pm6.5\mathrm{A}$ | 5.4 | 0.01 | $15.6 \pm 2.4B$ | $24.4 \pm 7.8B$ | $47.8 \pm 7.8 \text{A}$ | 6.5 | 0.01 |
| 10 ppm | $33.3\pm6.2B$ | $50.0\pm 6.0\mathrm{ABa}$ | $55.6 \pm 4.1 \mathrm{A}$ | 4.4 | 0.02 | $25.6 \pm 3.8B$ | $34.4 \pm 4.4B$ | $56.7 \pm 3.7 \text{A}$ | 16.1 | <0.01 |
| F | 0.8 | 5.4 | 1.0 | | | 3.2 | 3.1 | 2.5 | | |
| Ρ | 0.48 | 0.01 | 0.38 | | | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.10 | | |
| 21 days | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0.1 ppm | $30.0\pm5.8\mathrm{Ba}$ | $40.0\pm5.3\mathrm{ABb}$ | $54.4 \pm 4.1 \mathrm{Ab}$ | 5.8 | 0.01 | $17.7 \pm 4.6B$ | $21.1 \pm 4.5 Bb$ | $44.4 \pm 6.5 \mathrm{Ab}$ | 7.5 | 0.01 |
| 1 ppm | $46.7 \pm 6.5 \mathrm{ab}$ | $53.3 \pm 5.5b$ | $62.2 \pm 5.5b$ | 1.8 | 0.19 | $27.8 \pm 4.0B$ | $35.6 \pm 7.3 Bab$ | $58.9\pm 6.0\mathrm{Aab}$ | 6.9 | 0.01 |
| 10 ppm | $60.0\pm 6.5\mathrm{Ba}$ | $84.4\pm1.8\mathrm{Aa}$ | $95.6\pm1.8\mathrm{Aa}$ | 20.8 | <0.01 | $30.0 \pm 3.3 \mathrm{B}$ | $40.0 \pm 3.3 Ba$ | $67.8\pm5.7\mathrm{Aa}$ | 20.9 | <0.01 |
| F | 5.8 | 25.4 | 28.6 | | | 2.6 | 3.4 | 3.5 | | |
| Ρ | 0.01 | <0.01 | <0.01 | | | 0.10 | 0.05 | 0.05 | | |

| RH | 55 % | | | F | Ρ | 75 % | | | F | Ρ |
|---------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|------|-------|--------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|------|-------|
| Temperature Dose | 20 °C | 25 °C | 30 °C | | | 20 °C | 25 °C | 30 °C | | |
| 7 days | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0.1 ppm | $4.4\pm1.8\mathrm{B}$ | $22.2 \pm 8.6 \mathrm{AB}$ | $31.1 \pm 5.4 \mathrm{Ab}$ | 5.2 | 0.01 | $8.8 \pm 4.6B$ | $3.3\pm1.7\mathrm{Bb}$ | $30.0 \pm 4.7 \mathrm{Ab}$ | 13.0 | 0.01 |
| 1 ppm | $8.9 \pm 2.6B$ | $28.9 \pm 8.7 \mathrm{AB}$ | $46.7 \pm 6.0 \text{Aab}$ | 8.9 | 0.01 | $12.2 \pm 2.2B$ | $4.4 \pm 1.8 Bab$ | $44.4 \pm 6.0 \mathrm{A}$ | 30.4 | <0.01 |
| 10 ppm | $13.3 \pm 4.1B$ | $40.0 \pm 7.8 \text{A}$ | $50.0 \pm 4.1 \mathrm{Aa}$ | 11.4 | 0.01 | $15.6 \pm 4.1B$ | $11.1 \pm 2.6 Ba$ | $48.9 \pm 2.6 \mathrm{Aa}$ | 48.9 | <0.01 |
| F | 2.2 | 1.1 | 3.7 | | | 0.8 | 4.2 | 4.5 | | |
| Ρ | 0.13 | 0.34 | 0.01 | | | 0.47 | 0.03 | 0.02 | | |
| 14 days | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0.1 ppm | $15.6 \pm 3.4B$ | $30.0\pm9.1\mathrm{AB}$ | $40.0\pm5.8\mathrm{Aa}$ | 3.5 | 0.05 | $20.0 \pm 6.5 B$ | $17.8 \pm 2.8B$ | $40.0\pm8.5\mathrm{A}$ | 3.4 | 0.05 |
| 1 ppm | $26.7 \pm 2.4 Bab$ | $45.6 \pm 8.7 \mathrm{AB}$ | $67.8\pm7.8\mathrm{Aa}$ | 9.0 | 0.01 | $28.9 \pm 5.9B$ | $21.1 \pm 3.1B$ | $50.0\pm 6.2\mathrm{A}$ | 8.1 | 0.01 |
| 10 ppm | $31.1 \pm 5.1 Ba$ | $56.7 \pm 9.1 \mathrm{A}$ | 76.7 ± 2.9 Aa | 13.3 | 0.01 | $32.2 \pm 4.0B$ | $24.4 \pm 1.8B$ | $63.3 \pm 5.8 \mathrm{A}$ | 24.2 | <0.01 |
| F | 4.5 | 2.2 | 10.7 | | | 1.3 | 1.6 | 2.9 | | |
| Ρ | 0.02 | 0.13 | 0.01 | | | 0.29 | 0.22 | 0.08 | | |
| 21 days | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0.1 ppm | $32.2 \pm 2.2 Bc$ | $51.1 \pm 7.7 Ab$ | $54.4 \pm 3.4 \mathrm{Ac}$ | 5.7 | 0.01 | 26.7 ± 6.7 | 24.4 ± 2.4 | 43.3 ± 8.0 | 2.8 | 0.08 |
| 1 ppm | $50.0 \pm 3.3 \text{Bb}$ | $66.7 \pm 5.8 \text{ABb}$ | $78.9 \pm 6.1 \mathrm{Ab}$ | 7.7 | 0.01 | 36.7 ± 7.1 | $27.8 \pm 2.8B$ | $53.3\pm6.5\mathrm{A}$ | 5.1 | 0.01 |
| 10 ppm | 65.6 ± 1.8 Ca | $84.4\pm4.1\mathrm{Ba}$ | $95.6\pm1.8\mathrm{Aa}$ | 29.8 | <0.01 | $46.7 \pm 6.7 \text{AB}$ | $33.3 \pm 2.9B$ | $65.6\pm5.0\mathrm{A}$ | 10.1 | 0.01 |
| F | 43.6 | 7.6 | 24.7 | | | 2.2 | 2.8 | 2.8 | | |
| Ρ | <0.01 | 0.01 | <0.01 | | | 0.14 | 0.08 | 0.08 | | |

Table 6 Mean mortality ($\% \pm SE$) of *T. confusum* larvae after 7, 14 and 21 days on wheat treated with 3h at three doses under three temperatures and two RH levels

| RH | 55 % | | | F | Р | 75 % | | | F | Р |
|---------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|------|--------|-----------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|-----|------|
| Temperature Dose | 20 °C | 25 °C | 30 °C | | | 20 °C | 25 °C | 30 °C | | |
| 7 days | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0.1 ppm | $14.4 \pm 4.4B$ | $12.2\pm3.6\mathrm{B}$ | $30.0 \pm 4.4 \text{Ab}$ | 5.4 | 0.01 | $10.0\pm2.9\text{Bb}$ | $17.8 \pm 3.2 \text{ABb}$ | $24.4\pm5.0 \text{Ab}$ | 3.6 | 0.04 |
| 1 ppm | $16.7\pm3.7B$ | $18.9\pm4.2B$ | $35.6\pm3.8Aab$ | 7.0 | 0.01 | $17.8\pm3.6ab$ | 34.4 ± 7.1 ab | $31.1\pm3.5ab$ | 3.1 | 0.07 |
| 10 ppm | $26.7\pm8.0AB$ | $20.0\pm6.7\mathrm{B}$ | $46.7\pm5.3\mathrm{Aa}$ | 4.2 | 0.03 | $26.7\pm5.5a$ | $36.7\pm3.7a$ | $42.2\pm5.2a$ | 2.6 | 0.10 |
| F | 1.3 | 0.7 | 3.5 | | | 4.0 | 4.3 | 3.7 | | |
| Р | 0.29 | 0.51 | 0.05 | | | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.04 | | |
| 14 days | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0.1 ppm | $27.8\pm4.0Bb$ | $30.0 \pm 4.1 \text{Bb}$ | $62.2\pm4.6A$ | 20.5 | < 0.01 | $37.8\pm4.0b$ | $35.6\pm2.4b$ | $43.3\pm3.3b$ | 1.5 | 0.25 |
| 1 ppm | $35.6\pm4.1Bab$ | 55.6 ± 4.7 Aa | $68.9\pm4.8A$ | 13.4 | 0.01 | $45.6\pm7.8ab$ | $56.7\pm6.7a$ | $52.2\pm3.6b$ | 0.8 | 0.47 |
| 10 ppm | $50.0\pm6.5\mathrm{Ba}$ | $58.9\pm5.9ABa$ | $74.4\pm3.8A$ | 5.1 | 0.01 | $65.6\pm6.7a$ | $63.3\pm4.1a$ | $70.0\pm3.7a$ | 0.5 | 0.64 |
| F | 5.1 | 10.2 | 1.9 | | | 5.0 | 9.4 | 14.5 | | |
| Р | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.17 | | | 0.01 | 0.01 | < 0.01 | | |
| 21 days | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0.1 ppm | $41.1\pm3.5\text{Bb}$ | $42.2\pm4.9\text{Bb}$ | $66.7\pm 6.0 \mathrm{Ab}$ | 8.6 | 0.01 | $51.1\pm6.5b$ | $44.4\pm3.8b$ | $54.4\pm4.4b$ | 1.0 | 0.38 |
| 1 ppm | $47.8\pm5.2Bb$ | 75.6 ± 4.4 Aa | $81.1 \pm 4.8 \text{Aab}$ | 13.6 | 0.01 | 64.4 ± 5.3 ab | $68.9\pm6.8a$ | $61.1 \pm 4.5 ab$ | 0.5 | 0.62 |
| 10 ppm | $73.3\pm7.3\mathrm{Ba}$ | $88.9\pm2.6 \text{ABa}$ | $91.1\pm2.0 \mathrm{Aa}$ | 4.4 | 0.02 | $81.1\pm5.9a$ | $76.7\pm5.0a$ | $72.2\pm3.2a$ | 0.9 | 0.44 |
| F | 9.4 | 34.0 | 7.1 | | | 6.4 | 10.0 | 4.6 | | |
| Р | 0.01 | < 0.01 | 0.01 | | | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | | |

Within each column, exposure and RH, means followed by the same lowercase letter are not significantly different; df = 2, 26. Within each row, exposure and RH, means followed by the same uppercase letter are not significantly different; df = 2, 26, Tukey–Kramer (HSD) test at P = 0.05. Where no letters exist, no significant differences were recorded

by temperature on wheat treated with spinetoram at certain combinations (Vassilakos and Athanassiou 2013). From practical point of view, a potential application of the tested pyrrole derivatives, especially 3a, on wheat could optimize the control measures at elevated temperatures (30 $^{\circ}$ C) against T. confusum given that the developmental period from egg to adult and the fecundity of females are favored at temperatures ranging between 29 and 34 °C (Park and Burton Frank 1948; Aitken 1975). In contrast, temperatures ≥ 31 °C inhibit the development of E. kuehniella from egg to adult but 25 °C fastens it (Jacob and Cox 1977). Thus, a combined treatment of the pyrrole derivatives 3i or 3k with the pyrrole derivatives tested here, as grain protectants, could offer substantial control of both species by limiting the influence of variation of temperature at least between 25 and 30 °C. The concept of the combined treatments could be extended with other insecticides that already used as grain protectants (e.g., spinosad, pyrethroids, diatomaceous earths). These assumptions, however, merit further experimental work on the same or other stored-product insects on different commodities given that previous studies have shown that combinations of insecticides could be or could not be more effective than the applications of the same insecticides alone. For example, Nayak and Daglish (2007) found that the combination of 1 ppm spinosad with 10 ppm chlorpyrifos-methyl was able to provide complete control of *L. bostrychophila, Liposcelis decolor* (Pearman) (Psocoptera: Liposcelididae), *L. entomophila* and *L. paeta* for 3 months on wheat contrary to spinosad or chlorpyrifos-methyl alone. However, Daglish (2008) did not find significant differences in the mortality of *S. oryzae* on wheat treated with chlorpyrifos-methyl or combinations of chlorpyrifos-methyl with spinosad or *s*-methoprene. Similar results were reported by Athanassiou and Kavallieratos (2014) for the combination of spinosad and spinetoram on wheat against *P. truncatus, R. dominica, S. oryzae* and *T. confusum*.

The pyrrole derivatives 3a, 3g, 3l and 3m were affected by RH at almost all combinations tested. The 75 % level of RH moderated the efficacy of the pyrrole derivatives, while the 55 % enhanced it. This observation was also evident for the pyrrole derivatives 3i and 3k (Boukouvala et al. 2016a). Despite the fact that the mode of actions of these novel substances are not known, based on the fact that we applied the pyrrole derivatives as dusts and having the humidity and temperature dependence of their efficacy

| RH | 55 % | | | F | Р | 75 % | | | F | Р |
|---------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|------|------|-----------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|------|--------|
| Temperature Dose | 20 °C | 25 °C | 30 °C | | | 20 °C | 25 °C | 30 °C | | |
| 7 days | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0.1 ppm | $8.8\pm2.9b$ | $14.4\pm4.4b$ | $18.9\pm4.5b$ | 1.5 | 0.22 | $5.6\pm2.4b$ | $7.8\pm2.2b$ | $7.8\pm3.2b$ | 0.2 | 0.79 |
| 1 ppm | $11.1 \pm 3.5 Bb$ | $20.0\pm3.3ABb$ | $26.7\pm5.5 Ab$ | 3.4 | 0.05 | $14.4\pm1.8b$ | $14.4\pm2.9b$ | $15.6 \pm 4.1b$ | 0.1 | 0.96 |
| 10 ppm | $42.2\pm4.3Ba$ | $54.4\pm9.1\text{ABa}$ | $72.2\pm8.3\mathrm{Aa}$ | 4.0 | 0.03 | $27.8\pm4.3Ba$ | $38.9\pm4.2Ba$ | $80.0\pm5.8\mathrm{Aa}$ | 32.4 | < 0.01 |
| F | 27.4 | 12.3 | 20.7 | | | 13.5 | 25.6 | 77.5 | | |
| Р | < 0.01 | 0.01 | < 0.01 | | | 0.01 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | | |
| 14 days | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0.1 ppm | $20.0\pm5.0\text{Bb}$ | $21.1\pm5.4Bb$ | $41.1\pm7.3Ab$ | 3.9 | 0.03 | $15.6\pm5.3b$ | $12.2\pm3.6b$ | $8.9 \pm 3.1c$ | 0.7 | 0.53 |
| 1 ppm | $24.4\pm4.4Bb$ | $33.3 \pm 4.4 \text{ABb}$ | $44.4\pm5.3Ab$ | 4.5 | 0.02 | $25.6\pm5.0Bb$ | $20.0\pm3.7\text{Bb}$ | $43.3\pm3.7 Ab$ | 8.4 | 0.01 |
| 10 ppm | $73.3\pm5.3a$ | $84.4\pm5.6a$ | $87.9\pm5.7a$ | 1.9 | 0.17 | $81.1\pm2.6ABa$ | $67.8\pm 6.2 Ba$ | $90.0\pm3.3\mathrm{Aa}$ | 6.7 | 0.01 |
| F | 36.2 | 42.7 | 17.7 | | | 62.2 | 41.5 | 143.8 | | |
| Р | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | | | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | | |
| 21 days | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0.1 ppm | $22.2\pm 6.0 Bb$ | $25.6\pm5.9Bb$ | $62.2\pm7.6\mathrm{Ab}$ | 11.6 | 0.01 | $17.8\pm4.6c$ | $15.6\pm5.3b$ | $21.1\pm3.5c$ | 0.4 | 0.69 |
| 1 ppm | $26.7\pm5.0Bb$ | $42.2\pm6.4Bb$ | $66.7\pm7.1 \text{Ab}$ | 10.5 | 0.01 | $33.3\pm5.0Bb$ | $27.8\pm5.2Bb$ | $54.4\pm 6.0 \text{Ab}$ | 6.7 | 0.01 |
| 10 ppm | $78.9\pm6.8\mathrm{Ba}$ | $88.9\pm4.8ABa$ | $98.9\pm1.1 \mathrm{Aa}$ | 4.3 | 0.03 | $88.9\pm2.6ABa$ | $75.6\pm6.0\mathrm{Ba}$ | $95.6\pm1.8 \mathrm{Aa}$ | 6.7 | 0.01 |
| F | 28.1 | 32.9 | 11.0 | | | 78.5 | 32.9 | 80.5 | | |
| Р | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | 0.01 | | | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | | |

Table 7 Mean mortality (% \pm SE) of *E. kuehniella* larvae after 7, 14 and 21 days on wheat treated with 3h at three doses under three temperatures and two RH levels

Within each column, exposure and RH, means followed by the same lowercase letter are not significantly different; df = 2, 26. Within each row, exposure and RH, means followed by the same uppercase letter are not significantly different; df = 2, 26, Tukey–Kramer (HSD) test at P = 0.05. Where no letters exist, no significant differences were recorded

Table 8 Repeated measuresMANOVA parameters for maineffects and associatedinteractions for mortality levelsof T. confusum larvae and E.kuehniella larvae between andwithin exposure intervals (errordf = 240 for T. confusum larvaeand error df = 76 for E.kuehniella larvae)

| Source | df | T. confu (larvae) | isum | E. kuel (larvae | hniella) |
|---|----|----------------------|--------|--------------------|--------------|
| | | F | Р | F | Р |
| Between exposure intervals | | | | | |
| Pyrrole derivative | 4 | 20.9 | < 0.01 | - | - |
| Commodity | 1 | 235.1 | < 0.01 | 20.4 | < 0.01 |
| Dose | 2 | 449.7 | < 0.01 | 54.3 | < 0.01 |
| Commodity × dose | 2 | 2.2 | 0.12 | 0.7 | 0.49 |
| Pyrrole derivative \times dose | 8 | 1.9 | 0.06 | - | - |
| Pyrrole derivative \times commodity | 4 | 2.7 | 0.03 | - | - |
| Pyrrole derivative \times commodity \times dose | 8 | 0.9 | 0.56 | - | - |
| Within exposure intervals | | | | | |
| Exposure \times dose | 8 | 60.7 | < 0.01 | 6.7 | < 0.01 |
| Exposure \times commodity | 4 | 45.9 | < 0.01 | 4.2 | 0.01 |
| Exposure \times pyrrole derivative | 16 | 5.7 | < 0.01 | _ | - |
| Exposure \times commodity \times dose | 8 | 4.8 | < 0.01 | 1.3 | 0.24 |
| Exposure \times pyrrole derivative \times dose | 32 | 3.5 | < 0.01 | - | - |
| Exposure \times pyrrole derivative \times commodity | 16 | 2.1 | 0.01 | _ | - |
| Exposure \times pyrrole derivative \times commodity \times dose | 32 | 2.2 | 0.01 | - | - |

Table 9 Mean mortality ($\% \pm SE$) of *T. confusum* larvae after 1, 2, 7, 14 and 21 days on maize or barley treated with the pyrrole derivatives 3a, 3g, 3l, 3m, 3h

| Exposure Pyrrole derivative | Dose | 1 day | 2 days | 7 days | 14 days | 21 days | F | Р |
|-----------------------------------|---------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|-------|--------|
| Commodity: M | laize | | | | | | | |
| 3a | 0.1 ppm | $0.0 \pm 0.0 \mathrm{Cc}$ | $0.0 \pm 0.0 \mathrm{Cc}$ | 11.1 ± 2.0 Befg | $15.6 \pm 2.4 \text{Bef}$ | 25.6 ± 2.4 Aef | 37.6 | < 0.01 |
| | 1 ppm | 10.0 ± 4.1 Cab | 25.6 ± 5.3 Bab | $45.6 \pm 7.8 \text{ABabcd}$ | 55.6 ± 7.5 Aabcd | 61.1 ± 6.6 Abc | 11.1 | < 0.01 |
| | 10 ppm | 14.4 ± 2.4 Ca | 32.2 ± 6.2 Ca | 64.4 ± 6.0 Ba | 74.4 ± 5.0 ABa | 91.1 ± 2.6Aa | 43.7 | < 0.01 |
| 3g | 0.1 ppm | $0.0 \pm 0.0 \mathrm{Bc}$ | $0.0 \pm 0.0 \mathrm{Bc}$ | $5.6 \pm 2.4 \mathrm{Bfg}$ | $10.0 \pm 2.4 \text{ABf}$ | 18.9 ± 4.8 Ade | 9.0 | < 0.01 |
| - | 1 ppm | 3.3 ± 1.7 Cbc | 11.1 ± 3.5Cbc | 27.8 ± 2.8 Bde | 38.9 ± 5.4 ABcde | $51.1 \pm 4.8 \mathrm{Ac}$ | 25.5 | < 0.01 |
| | 10 ppm | 7.8 ± 2.8 Cabc | 21.1 ± 4.2BCab | 40.0 ± 5.3 Bbcd | 62.2 ± 5.2 Aabc | 75.6 ± 5.6 Aab | 35.3 | < 0.01 |
| 31 | 0.1 ppm | $0.0 \pm 0.0 \mathrm{Bc}$ | $0.0 \pm 0.0 \mathrm{Bc}$ | $2.2 \pm 1.5 Bg$ | $5.6 \pm 2.4 B f$ | 14.4 ± 2.9 Ae | 10.9 | < 0.01 |
| | 1 ppm | 4.4 ± 2.4 Cbc | 18.9 ± 5.1 BCab | 31.1 ± 7.0 ABcde | 46.7 ± 6.0 Abcd | 50.0 ± 7.5 Ac | 10.6 | < 0.01 |
| | 10 ppm | $8.9 \pm 2.6 \text{Dabc}$ | 32.2 ± 4.9 Ca | $55.6 \pm 5.0 \text{Bab}$ | $68.9 \pm 5.1 \text{ABab}$ | 75.6 ± 4.8 Aab | 36.0 | < 0.01 |
| 3m | 0.1 ppm | $0.0 \pm 0.0 \mathrm{Cc}$ | $0.0 \pm 0.0 \mathrm{Cc}$ | 4.4 ± 1.8 BCfg | $8.9 \pm 2.0 \mathrm{Bf}$ | $16.7 \pm 2.4 Ae$ | 19.4 | < 0.01 |
| | 1 ppm | 3.3 ± 1.7 Cbc | 10.0 ± 2.9 CbcB | 28.9 ± 4.5 Bde | 52.2 ± 6.8 Aabcd | 58.9 ± 6.8 Abc | 24.6 | < 0.01 |
| | 10 ppm | $6.7 \pm 2.4 \text{Dabc}$ | 24.4 ± 2.4 Cab | 51.1 ± 2.6Babc | 70.0 ± 3.3 Aab | 75.6 ± 3.8 Aab | 100.1 | < 0.01 |
| 3h | 0.1 ppm | $0.0 \pm 0.0 \mathrm{Bc}$ | $0.0 \pm 0.0 \mathrm{Bc}$ | $0.0\pm0.0\mathrm{Bg}$ | $4.4 \pm 1.8 \text{ABf}$ | 7.8 ± 2.8 Ae | 5.8 | 0.01 |
| | 1 ppm | 6.7 ± 2.4 Babc | 10.0 ± 3.3 Bbc | $25.6 \pm 6.9 \text{ABdef}$ | 34.4 ± 7.3Ade | 41.1 ± 7.9 Acd | 6.3 | 0.01 |
| | 10 ppm | 12.2 ± 1.5 Eab | $28.9 \pm 2.0 \text{Da}$ | 45.6 ± 2.4 Cabcd | 60.0 ± 2.4 Babc | 78.9 ± 2.0 Aab | 156.7 | < 0.01 |
| F | 11 | 5.6 | 13.5 | 21.8 | 28.9 | 31.3 | | |
| Р | | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | | |
| Commodity: B | arley | | | | | | | |
| 3a | 0.1 ppm | 6.6 ± 2.4 Dbcde | 13.3 ± 2.9 Defg | 32.2 ± 3.2 Cefgh | 50.0 ± 2.4 Bcdef | 67.8 ± 3.2 Abc | 79.6 | < 0.01 |
| | 1 ppm | 12.2 ± 1.5 Ebcd | 31.1 ± 2.0 Dbcd | 56.7 ± 3.7 Cbcd | 86.7 ± 3.3 Bab | 100.0 ± 0.0 Aa | 216.7 | < 0.01 |
| | 10 ppm | $23.3 \pm 1.7 \text{Da}$ | 51.1 ± 2.6 Ca | 82.2 ± 1.5 Ba | 100.0 ± 0.0 Aa | 100.0 ± 0.0 Aa | 477.0 | < 0.01 |
| 3g | 0.1 ppm | 5.6 ± 2.4 Ccde | 13.3 ± 3.7 Cefg | 32.2 ± 6.0 Befgh | $41.1 \pm 4.6 \text{ABefg}$ | 56.7 ± 3.7 Acd | 23.9 | < 0.01 |
| c | 1 ppm | 11.1 ± 1.1 Cbcd | 21.1 ± 2.0 Ccdef | 51.1 ± 4.8 Bbcde | 68.9 ± 4.8 Abc | $80.0 \pm 3.7 \text{Ab}$ | 67.0 | < 0.01 |
| | 10 ppm | $16.7 \pm 1.7 Dab$ | 34.4 ± 4.1 Cbc | $66.7 \pm 4.7 Babc$ | 97.8 ± 1.5 Aa | 100.0 ± 0.0 Aa | 157.3 | < 0.01 |
| 31 | 0.1 ppm | 4.4 ± 1.8 Bde | $12.2 \pm 1.5 \mathrm{Bfg}$ | 31.1 ± 6.3 Aefgh | $38.9 \pm 5.6 \mathrm{Afg}$ | 44.4 ± 5.0Ade | 14.5 | < 0.01 |
| | 1 ppm | 10.0 ± 1.7 Dbcde | $17.8 \pm 1.5 \text{Ddefg}$ | 40.0 ± 1.7 Cdefg | 58.9 ± 2.6 Bcde | 74.4 ± 2.4 Ab | 180.2 | < 0.01 |
| | 10 ppm | 12.2 ± 3.2 Dbcd | 31.1 ± 5.1 Cbcd | $67.8 \pm 5.7 \text{Babc}$ | $87.8 \pm 4.0 \text{Aab}$ | 100.0 ± 0.0 Aa | 81.1 | < 0.01 |
| 3m | 0.1 ppm | 0.0 ± 0.0 Ce | $3.3 \pm 5.0 \mathrm{BCg}$ | $21.1 \pm 5.4 \mathrm{ABgh}$ | 33.3 ± 6.0 Afg | 35.6 ± 7.3Ae | 11.3 | < 0.01 |
| | 1 ppm | $15.0 \pm 2.7 \text{Eabc}$ | 28.0 ± 3.3 Dbcde | 46.7 ± 2.9 Ccdef | 63.3 ± 2.4 ABcd | $77.8 \pm 2.2 \text{Ab}$ | 83.7 | < 0.01 |
| | 10 ppm | $15.6 \pm 2.4 \text{Dabc}$ | 42.2 ± 3.2Cab | 68.9 ± 3.9 Bab | 100.0 ± 0.0 Aa | 100.0 ± 0.0 Aa | 204.9 | < 0.01 |
| 3h | 0.1 ppm | 4.4 ± 2.4 Bde | $8.9 \pm 3.1 \mathrm{Bfg}$ | 17.8 ± 4.3 ABh | 28.9 ± 5.9 Ag | 32.2 ± 4.7 Ae | 8.1 | < 0.01 |
| | 1 ppm | 7.8 ± 2.2 Cbcde | 13.3 ± 3.3 BCefg | 28.9 ± 3.9 Bfgh | 45.6 ± 5.0 Adefg | 52.2 ± 4.9 Acd | 23.0 | < 0.01 |
| | 10 ppm | $15.6 \pm 2.4 \text{Eabc}$ | 30.0 ± 3.3 Dbcd | 56.7 ± 4.1 Cbcd | 83.3 ± 3.3Bab | 100.0 ± 0.0 Aa | 139.4 | < 0.01 |
| F | | 8.3 | 19.0 | 19.9 | 42.2 | 55.7 | | |
| Р | | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | | |

Within each column, means followed by the same lowercase letter are not significantly different; df = 14, 134. Within each row, means followed by the same uppercase letter are not significantly different; df = 4, 44, Tukey–Kramer (HSD) test at P = 0.05. Where no letters exist, no significant differences were recorded

could suggest these compounds have both physical and biochemical mode of action. The inactivation of their particles through absorption of water from the highly humid environment as in the case of diatomaceous earths could significantly reduce their water adsorption capacity and directly impact their efficacy (Subramanyam and Roesli 2000; Korunic 1998; Fields and Korunic 2000). Improved efficacy at higher temperature is well known in case of dust and desiccant-based insecticides and can be explained by higher evaporation rate of water from insects' body and improved adsorption performance (Arthur 2000; Fields and Korunic 2000). The fact that the

| Exposure | Dose | 1 day | 2 days | 7 days | 14 days | 21 days | F | Р |
|------------|---------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|-------|--------|
| Commodity: | Maize | | | | | | | |
| | 0.1 ppm | $0.0\pm0.0\mathrm{Bb}$ | 3.3 ± 1.7 Bb | $7.8\pm2.8Bb$ | $14.4 \pm 4.1 \text{ABc}$ | $27.8\pm7.2 \mathrm{Ac}$ | 7.6 | 0.01 |
| | 1 ppm | $5.6 \pm 1.8 \text{Bab}$ | 16.7 ± 3.3 Ba | $38.9\pm4.6\mathrm{Aa}$ | 51.1 ± 6.3 Ab | $54.4\pm7.1 Ab$ | 18.4 | < 0.01 |
| | 10 ppm | 11.1 ± 3.1 Ca | $24.4\pm3.8\mathrm{Ca}$ | $47.8\pm5.5Ba$ | $71.1\pm5.6\mathrm{Aa}$ | $82.2\pm4.0\mathrm{Aa}$ | 50.0 | < 0.01 |
| F | | 7.3 | 12.2 | 22.7 | 31.9 | 18.8 | | |
| Р | | 0.01 | 0.01 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | | |
| Commodity: | Barley | | | | | | | |
| | 0.1 ppm | 2.2 ± 1.5 Cc | $8.9 \pm 2.6 \text{BCc}$ | $33.3 \pm 7.5 \text{ABb}$ | 38.9 ± 7.9 Ab | $42.2\pm8.5 Ac$ | 8.4 | < 0.01 |
| | 1 ppm | $12.2\pm1.5Bb$ | $24.4 \pm 3.8 Bb$ | $50.0 \pm 4.7 \text{Ab}$ | $58.9\pm5.6 \text{Ab}$ | $64.4\pm 6.5 \text{Ab}$ | 22.8 | < 0.01 |
| | 10 ppm | $20.0\pm2.4 \mathrm{Da}$ | $40.0\pm3.7\mathrm{Ca}$ | $72.2\pm4.0\mathrm{Ba}$ | $91.1\pm2.6\mathrm{Aa}$ | $100.0\pm0.0\mathrm{Aa}$ | 136.2 | < 0.01 |
| F | | 24.1 | 20.8 | 12.2 | 20.6 | 22.4 | | |
| Р | | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | 0.01 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | | |

Table 10 Mean mortality (% ±SE) of *E. kuehniella* larvae after 1, 2, 7, 14 and 21 days on maize or barley treated with the pyrrole derivative 3h

Within each column, means followed by the same lowercase letter are not significantly different; df = 2, 26. Within each row, means followed by the same uppercase letter are not significantly different; df = 4, 44, Tukey–Kramer (HSD) test at P = 0.05. Where no letters exist, no significant differences were recorded

tested pyrrole derivatives exhibit elevated insecticidal efficacy under low RH is important since T. confusum is tolerant at dry conditions (Aitken 1975). The efficacy of 3h was affected by RH as above under certain combinations according to species, i.e., at 30 °C, for exposures >7 days in the case of T. confusum, or at 25 °C for any exposure in the case of E. kuehniella. These findings stand in accordance with the influence of RH on the insecticidal efficacy of chlorfenapyr as wheat protectant that it varies among different species, doses, temperatures and exposure intervals (Kavallieratos et al. 2011). Furthermore, the majority of the tested pyrrole derivatives caused the maximum mortality levels against both insect species at 10 ppm. Similar dose performance has been observed for chlorfenapyr as grain protectant against L. bostrychophila, R. dominica, S. oryzae and T. confusum (Kavallieratos et al. 2011). These results strongly indicated that biochemical mode of action could additionally explain observed insecticidal performance of sulfanyl 5Hdihydropyrrole pyrrole-based compound studied in this work. The mode of action of commercially available pyrrole-based pesticide (chlorfenapyr) is based on the disruption of production of adenosine triphosphate (ATP) and cellular death, through an oxidative removal of the N-ethoxymethyl group of molecule which is not present in these compounds. All sulfanyl 5H-dihydropyrrole pyrrole compounds explored in this work are the NH derivatives with active groups that could act as binding sites to receptors related to the voltage-gated sodium channels (vgSCh) and blocking their activities. Their different insecticidal activities can be explained by influence of

linked groups to the surrounding O and S atoms (methyl, ethyl, terc-butyl, phenyl) on N–H affinity to these receptors. However, more studies compared with control compounds and different ligands are required to elucidate the proposed biochemical mode of actions.

Taking into account the results of both bioassay series, commodity was an important factor that influences the performance of the pyrrole derivatives as grain protectants. Mortality of *T. confusum* and *E. kuehniella* on maize was much lower on treated maize than barley or wheat. However, 100 % control of both species was recorded only on treated barley. Similar results have also been reported for the pyrrole derivatives 3i and 3k against adults or larvae of *T. confusum* and larvae of *E. kuehniella* (Boukouvala et al. 2016a, b). Thus, it can be concluded that all so-far-tested pyrrole derivatives exhibit unified insecticidal activity on certain commodities, at least for the grain varieties and insect species tested.

However, insecticides perform differently against the same stored-product insects, such as *R. dominica* and *S. oryzae*, among varieties of the same grain (Kavallieratos et al. 2010); further experimental work would reveal potential differentiation of the efficacy of the pyrrole derivatives examined here within grain species. Kavallieratos et al. (2005) related the high efficacy of DEs against *R. dominica* adults with the high (>82 %) retention of DEs on the surface of whole (rough) barley kernels vs. lower DE efficacy corresponding to lower DE adherence (<52 %) on the surface of peeled (smooth) barley kernels. Given that maize kernels are much smoother than barley or wheat kernels, we assume that the lower efficacy of the pyrrole derivatives could also be related to the reduced

adherence of their particles on maize. The use of highperformance liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry method (LC/MS) showed that a dust formulation of spinosad was degraded >80 % on maize kernels contrary to its limited degradation on barley and wheat. Consequently, the low and high efficacy of spinosad on maize and barley or wheat, respectively, against R. dominica and S. oryzae could be attributed on this phenomenon (Chintzoglou et al. 2008). Further experimentation in this direction could confirm or not a similar assumption for the pyrrole derivatives. It is well known that T. confusum and E. kuehniella are resistant to various insecticides (Attia et al. 1979; Zettler 1991; Arthur and Zettler 1992; Zettler and Arthur 1997; Rossi et al. 2010). Furthermore, T. confusum is tolerant against several insecticides (Athanassiou et al. 2008; Athanassiou and Kavallieratos 2014; Rumbos et al. 2013; Kavallieratos et al. 2015).

In conclusion five novel sulfanyl 5H-dihydropyrrole pyrrole-based compounds were synthesized and their insecticidal performance against T. confusum and E. kuehniella were evaluated for the first time. Their activities were found to be influenced by temperature, humidity, commodity and dosage and indicate their combined physical and biochemical mode of the action. More studies are required to further evaluate the performance of these novel active ingredients for the protection of grains against other noxious insects at the post-harvest stage given that different species exhibit variant susceptibility to insecticides (Kavallieratos et al. 2011). The present study documented that sulfanyl 5H-dihydropyrrole pyrrole compounds can work as promising grain protectants under certain biotic and abiotic factors, a fact that may help in promoting them toward the formation of new class of insecticides. The involvement of quantitative structure-activity relationship (QSAR) modeling experts in future work to assist the synthesis of pyrrole compound with optimized performance should be considered.

Author contribution statement

MCB, NGK, CGA, LPH and YE conceived and designed research. MCB conducted experiments. MCB, NGK and CGA analyzed data. MCB, NGK, CGA and DL wrote the manuscript.

Acknowledgments We would like to thank D. Markoyiannaki Printziou for her technical assistance.

Funding This study was partially supported by the scholarship "Athanassios Sotiroudas" provided by the Hellenic Entomological Society. Funders had no role in the study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare no competing interests.

Ethical approval This article does not contain any studies with human participants performed by any of the authors.

References

- Abdelgaleil SAM, Mohamed MIE, Shawir MS, Abou Taleb HK (2016) Chemical composition, insecticidal and biochemical effects of essential oils of different plant species from northern Egypt on the rice weevil, *Sitophilus oryzae* L. J Pest Sci 89:219–229
- Aitken AD (1975) Insect travelers. I: Coleoptera. Technical Bulletin 31, HMSO, London, UK
- Arthur FH (2000) Toxicity of diatomaceous earth to red flour beetles and confused flour beetles (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae): effects of temperature and relative humidity. J Econ Entomol 93:526–532
- Arthur FH (2013) Dosage rate, temperature, and food source provisioning affect susceptibility of *Tribolium castaneum* and *Tribolium confusum* to chlorfenapyr. J Pest Sci 86:507–513
- Arthur FH (2015) Food source effect and residual efficacy of chlorfenapyr as a surface treatment on sealed and unsealed concrete. J Stored Prod Res 64:65–71
- Arthur FH, Campbell JF (2008) Distribution and efficacy of pyrethrin aerosol to control *Tribolium confusum* (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae) in food storage facilities. J Stored Prod Res 44:58–64
- Arthur FH, Phillips TW (2003) Stored-product insect pest management and control. In: Hui YH, Bruinsma BL, Gorham JR, Nip WK, Tong PS, Ventresca P (eds) Food plant sanitation. Marcel Dekker, New York, pp 341–358
- Arthur FH, Zettler LJ (1992) Malathion resistance in *Tribolium* confusum Du Val (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae): correlating results from topical applications with residual mortality on treated surfaces. J Stored Prod Res 28:55–58
- Athanassiou CG, Kavallieratos NG (2014) Evaluation of spinetoram and spinosad for control of *Prostephanus truncatus*, *Rhyzopertha dominica*, *Sitophilus oryzae*, and *Tribolium confusum* in stored grains under laboratory tests. J Pest Sci 87:469–483
- Athanassiou CG, Kavallieratos NG, Yiatilis AE, Vayias BJ, Mavrotas CS, Tomanović Ž (2008) Influence of temperature and humidity on the efficacy of spinosad against four stored grain beetle species. J Insect Sci 8:60
- Athanassiou CG, Kavallieratos NG, Arthur FH, Throne JE (2014a) Residual efficacy of chlorfenapyr for control of stored-product psocids (Psocoptera). J Econ Entomol 10:854–859
- Athanassiou CG, Kavallieratos NG, Lazzari FA (2014b) Insecticidal effect of Keepdry[®] for the control of *Sitophilus oryzae* (L.) (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) and *Rhyzopertha dominica* (F.) (Coleoptera: Bostrychidae) on wheat under laboratory conditions. J Stored Prod Res 59:133–139
- Attia FI, Shipp E, Shanahan GJ (1979) Survey of insecticide resistance in *Plodia interpunctella* (Hübner), *Ephestia cautella* (Walker) and *E. kuehniella* Zeller (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae). J Aust Entomol Soc 18:67–70
- Bedini S, Flamini G, Girardi J, Cosci F, Conti B (2015) Not just for beer: evaluation of spent hops (*Humulus lupulus* L.) as a source of eco-friendly repellents for insect pests of stored foods. J Pest Sci 88:583–592
- Boukouvala MC, Kavallieratos NG, Athanassiou CG, Hadjiarapoglou LP (2016a) Biological activity of two new pyrrole derivatives

against stored-product species: influence of temperature and relative humidity. Bull Entomol Res 106:446-456

- Boukouvala MC, Kavallieratos NG, Athanassiou CG, Hadjiarapoglou LP (2016b) Insecticidal effect of two novel pyrrole derivatives against two major stored product insect species. Crop Prot 75:132–138
- Campbell JF, Toews MD, Arthur FH, Arbogast RT (2010a) Long-term monitoring of *Tribolium castaneum* populations in two flour mills: rebound after fumigation. J Econ Entomol 103:1002–1011
- Campbell JF, Toews MD, Arthur FH, Arbogast RT (2010b) Longterm monitoring of *Tribolium castaneum* populations in two flour mills: seasonal patterns and impact of fumigation. J Econ Entomol 103:991–1001
- Chintzoglou GJ, Athanassiou CG, Markoglou AN, Kavallieratos NG (2008) Influence of commodity on the effect of spinosad dust against *Rhyzopertha dominica* (F.) (Coleoptera: Bostrychidae) and *Sitophilus oryzae* (L.) (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). Int J Pest Manag 54:277–285
- Cuperus GW, Noyes RT, Fargo WS, Clary BL, Arnold DC, Anderson NK (1990) Management practices in a high-risk stored wheat system in Oklahoma. Am Entomol 36:129–134
- Daglish GJ (2008) Impact of resistance on the efficacy of binary combinations of spinosad, chlorpyrifos-methyl and *s*-methoprene against five stored-grain beetles. J Stored Prod Res 44:71–76
- Dripps JE, Boucher RE, Chloridis A, Cleveland CB, DeAmicis CV, Gomez LE, Paroonagian DL, Pavan LA, Sparks TC, Watson GB (2011) The spinosyn insecticides. In: Lopez O, Fernandez Bolanos JG (eds) Trends in insect control. Royal Society of Chemistry, Cambridge, pp 163–212
- Fields P, Korunic Z (2000) The effect of grain moisture content and temperature on the efficacy of diatomaceous earths from different geographical locations against stored-product beetles. J Stored Prod Res 36:1–13
- Georgiou D, Toutountzoglou V, Muir KW, Hadjipavlou Litina D, Elemes Y (2012) Synthesis of sulfur containing dihydro-pyrrolo derivatives and their biological evaluation as antioxidants. Bioorg Med Chem 20:5103–5109
- Germinara GS, De Cristofaro A, Rotundo G (2015) Repellents effectively disrupt the olfactory orientation of *Sitophilus granarius* to wheat kernels. J Pest Sci 88:675–684
- Gholap SS (2016) Pyrrole: an emerging scaffold for construction of valuable therapeutic agents. Eur J Med Chem 110:13–31
- Guedes RNC, Campbell JF, Arthur FH, Opit GP, Zhu KY, Throne JE (2008) Acute and behavioral sublethal responses of two storedproduct psocids to surface insecticides. Pest Manag Sci 64:1314–1322
- Hill DS (2003) Pests of storage foodstuffs and their control. Kluwer Academic Publishers, New York
- Hunt DA (1996) 2-Arylpyrroles: a new class of insecticide. Structure, activity, and mode of action. Pestic Sci 47:201–202
- Ito M, Okui H, Nakagawa H, Mio S, Kinoshita A, Obayashi T, Miura T, Nagai J, Yokoi S, Ichinose R, Tanaka K, Kodama S, Iwasaki T, Miyake T, Takashio M, Iwabuchi J (2003) Synthetic and insecticidal activity of novel dihydropyrrole derivatives with *n*-sulfanyl, sulfinyl, and sulfonyl moieties. Bioorg Med Chem 11:489–494
- Jacob TA, Cox PD (1977) The influence of temperature and humidity on the life-cycle of *Ephestia kuehniella* Zeller (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae). J Stored Prod Res 13:107–119
- Kavallieratos NG, Athanassiou CG, Pashalidou FG, Andris NS, Tomanović Ž (2005) Influence of grain type on the insecticidal efficacy of two diatomaceous earth formulations against *Rhyzopertha dominica* (F.) (Coleoptera: Bostrychidae). Pest Manag Sci 61:660–666
- Kavallieratos NG, Athanassiou CG, Vayias BJ, Mihail S, Tomanović Ž (2009) Insecticidal efficacy of abamectin against three stored

product insect pests: influence of dose rate, temperature, commodity and exposure interval. J Econ Entomol 102:1352–1359

- Kavallieratos NG, Athanassiou CG, Vayias BJ, Kotzamanidis S, Synodis SD (2010) Efficacy and adherence ratio of diatomaceous earth and spinosad in three wheat varieties against three stored product insect pests. J Stored Prod Res 46:73–80
- Kavallieratos NG, Athanassiou CG, Hatzikonstantinou AN, Kavallieratou HN (2011) Abiotic and biotic factors affect efficacy of chlorfenapyr for control of stored-product insect pests. J Food Prot 74:1288–1299
- Kavallieratos NG, Athanassiou CG, Korunic Z, Mikeli NH (2015) Evaluation of three novel diatomaceous earths against three storedgrain beetle species on wheat and maize. Crop Prot 75:132–138
- Korunic Z (1998) Diatomaceous earths, a group of natural insecticides. J Stored Prod Res 34:87–97
- Kumar M, Srivastava C, Garg A (2010) In vitro selection of deltamethrin resistant strain of *Trogoderma granarium* and its susceptibility to insecticides. Ann Plant Protect Sci 18:26–30
- Loschiavo SR, Okumura GT (1979) A survey of stored product insects in Hawaii, USA. Proc Hawaii Entomol Soc 23:95–118
- Lucas X, Wohlwend D, Hügle M, Schmidtkunz Gerhardt S, Schüle R, Jung M, Einsle O, Günther S (2013) 4-Acyl pyrroles: mimicking acetylated lysines in histone code reading. Angew Chem Int Ed 52:14055–14059
- Mahroof RM, Hagstrum DW (2012) Biology, behavior, and ecology of insects in processed commodities. In: Hagstrum DW, Phillips TW, Cuperus G (eds) Stored product protection. Kansas State University, Manhattan, pp 33–44
- McLeod P, Diaz FJ, Johnson DT (2002) Toxicity, persistence, and efficacy of spinosad, chlorfenapyr, and thiamethoxam on eggplant when applied against the eggplant flea beetle (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). J Econ Entomol 95:331–335
- Nayak MK, Daglish GJ (2007) Combined treatments of spinosad and chlorpyrifos-methyl for management of resistant psocid pests (Psocoptera: Liposcelididae) of stored grain. Pest Manag Sci 63:104–109
- Oikonomou K, Georgiou D, Katsamakas S, Hadjipavlou Litina D, Elemes Y (2015) Sulfanyl 5*H*-dihydropyrrole derivatives via 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition, their further chemical manipulation and antioxidant activity. Arkivoc 16:214–231
- Park T, Burton Frank M (1948) The fecundity and development of the flour beetles, *Tribolium confusum* and *Tribolium castaneum*, at three constant temperatures. Ecology 29:368–374
- Pimentel MAG, Faroni LRDA, Tótola MR, Guedes RNC (2007) Phosphine resistance, respiration rate and fitness consequences in stored-product research. Pest Manag Sci 63:876–881
- Pimentel MAG, Faroni LRDA, Guedes RNC, Sousa AH, Tótola MR (2009) Phosphine resistance in Brazilian populations of *Sitophilus zeamais* Motschulsky (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). J Stored Prod Res 45:71–74
- Platt RR, Cuperus GW, Payton ME, Bonjour EL, Pinkston KN (1998) Integrated pest management perceptions and practices and insect populations in grocery stores in south-central United States. J Stored Prod Res 34:1–10
- Rossi E, Cosimi S, Loni A (2010) Insecticide resistance in Italian populations of *Tribolium* flour beetles. Bull Insectol 63:251–258
- Rumbos CI, Dutton AC, Athanassiou CG (2013) Comparison of two pirimiphos-methyl formulations against major stored-product insect species. J Stored Prod Res 55:106–115
- Sall J, Lehman A, Creighton L (2001) JMP start statistics. A guide to statistics and data analysis using JMP and JMP IN software. Duxbury Press, Belmont
- SAS Institute Inc (2013) Using JMP 11. SAS Institute Inc, Cary
- Saurav K, Rajakumar G, Kannabiran K, Rahuman AA, Velayutham K, Elango G, Kamaraj C, Zahir AA (2013) Larvicidal activity of

isolated compound 5-(2,4-dimethylbenzyl)pyrrolidin-2-one from marine *Streptomyces* VITSVK5 sp. against *Rhipicephalus* (*Boophilus*) microplus, Anopheles stephensi, and Culex tritaeniorhynchus. Parasitol Res 112:215–226

- Sokal RR, Rohlf FJ (1995) Biometry, 3rd edn. Freedman & Company, New York
- Subramanyam Bh, Roesli R (2000) Inert dusts. In: Subramanyam Bh, Hagstrum DW (eds) Alternatives to pesticides in stored-product IPM. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, pp 321–380
- Thenmozhi M, Gopal JV, Kannabiran K, Rajakumar G, Velayutham K, Rahuman AA (2013) Eco-friendly approach using marine actinobacteria and its compounds to control ticks and mosquitoes. Parasitol Res 112:719–729
- Tomlin CDS (2000) The pesticide manual. BCPC Publications, London
- Turnbull SA, Harris CR (1986) Influence of post-treatment temperature on the contact toxicity of ten organophosphorous and pyrethroid insecticides to onion maggot adults (Diptera: Anthomyiidae). Proc Entomol Soc Ont 117:41–44
- Vassilakos TN, Athanassiou CG (2013) Effect of temperature and relative humidity on the efficacy of spinetoram for the control of three stored product beetle species. J Stored Prod Res 55:73–77

- Wijayaratne LKW, Fields PG, Arthur FH (2012) Residual efficacy for control of *Tribolium castaneum* (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae) larvae at different temperatures on varnished wood, concrete, and wheat. J Econ Entomol 105:718–725
- Zaidi JH, Naeem F, Ambreen N, Khan KM, Pang YP, Cusack B, Richelson E, Anwar A, Voelter W (2006) Pyrrole-based partial peptidic mimic of neurotensin (8–13): design and synthesis. Lett Org Chem 3:21–24
- Zettler JL (1991) Pesticide resistance in *Tribolium castaneum* and *T. confusum* (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae) from flour mills in the United States. J Econ Entomol 84:763–767
- Zettler JL, Arthur FH (1997) Dose-response tests on red flour beetle and confused flour beetle (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae) collected from flour mills in the United States. J Econ Entomol 90:1157–1162
- Zhao Y, Li Y, Ou X, Zhang P, Huang Z, Bi F, Huang R, Wang Q (2008a) Synthesis, insecticidal, and acaricidal activities of novel 2-aryl-pyrrole derivatives containing ester groups. J Agric Food Chem 56:10176–10182
- Zhao Y, Mao C, Li Y, Zhang P, Huang Z, Bi F, Huang R, Wang Q (2008b) Synthesis, crystal structure, and insecticidal activity of novel *n*-alkyloxyoxalyl derivatives of 2-arylpyrrole. J Agric Food Chem 56:7326–7332