# RESEARCH ARTICLE

# **Magnetic field dependence of the distribution of NMR relaxation times in the living human brain**

**A. M. Oros-Peusquens · M. Laurila · N. J. Shah**

Received: 3 October 2007 / Revised: 8 February 2008 / Accepted: 8 February 2008 / Published online: 13 March 2008 © ESMRMB 2008

# **Abstract**

*Objective* This study investigates the field dependence of the distribution of in vivo, whole-brain  $T_1$  values, and its usefulness for white matter/grey matter segmentation. Results on T<sub>1</sub> values are presented on 12 healthy volunteers. T<sub>2</sub> and  $T_2^*$ distributions and their field dependence have been measured on the same cohort of volunteers. In this paper, however, only the  $T_2$  and  $T_2^*$  results on a single volunteer are presented. The reported field dependence of  $T_2$  and  $T_2^*$  values should, therefore, be given less weight than that of  $T_1$  times.

*Materials and methods* Relaxation times were measured in vivo on 12 healthy volunteers, using three nearly identical whole-body scanners, operating at field strengths of 1.5, 3, and 4 T and employing nearly identical software platforms and very similar hardware.  $T_1$  mapping was performed using TAPIR, a sequence based on the Look–Locker method.  $T_2^*$ mapping was performed with a multi-slice, multi-echo, gradient echo sequence. A multi-slice, multi-echo  $T_2$  mapping sequence based on the Carr–Purcell–Meiboom–Gill (CPMG) method was used to map  $T_2$ . For each volunteer, the global distribution of  $T_1$  relaxation times was described as the superposition of three Gaussian distributions. The field and age-dependence of the centroids and widths of the three Gaussians was investigated. The segmentation of the brain in white and grey matter was performed separately for each field strength. Using the  $T_1$  segmentation and the fact that all maps were coregistered, we investigated the distribution of

A. M. Oros-Peusquens ( $\boxtimes$ ) · M. Laurila · N. J. Shah Institute of Medicine, MR Group, Research Centre Jülich, 52425 Jülich, Germany e-mail: a.m.oros-peusquens@fz-juelich.de

N. J. Shah Institute of Physics, University of Dortmund, 44221 Dortmund, Germany

 $T_2$  and  $T_2^*$  values separately for the white and grey matter and described them with a Gaussian distribution in each case.

*Results* Multi-slice quantitative maps were produced for the relaxation parameters  $T_1$  (near whole-brain coverage with 41 slices),  $T_2^*$  (whole-brain coverage, 55 slices), and  $T_2$  (27 slices). A clear age dependence was identified for grey matter  $T_1$  values and correlated with similar behaviour observed in a separate study of the brain water content. The increase with field strength of the bulk white and grey matter  $T_1$  values was well reproduced by both Bottomley's [1] and Fischer's [2] formulae, with parameters taken from the literature. The separation between the centroids was, however, either overestimated or underestimated by the two formulae. The width of the  $T_1$  distributions was found to increase with increasing field.

*Conclusions* The study of the field dependence of the NMR relaxation times is expected to allow for better differentiation between regions which are structurally different, provide a better insight into the microscopic structure of the brain and the molecular substrate of its function.

**Keywords** Relaxation times  $\cdot$  T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>2</sub><sup>\*</sup>  $\cdot$ 

Whole-brain distribution of relaxation times ·

Field dependence of relaxation times ·

Age dependence of  $T_1 \cdot 1.5 T \cdot 3 T \cdot 4 T \cdot T$  APIR  $\cdot$  QUTE  $\cdot$ SE\_MC · Volume coverage · Quantitative MRI

# **Introduction**

The exquisite and versatile tissue contrast of MRI is largely based on the variability of tissue relaxation times. Among the three relaxation times used most frequently (longitudinal T<sub>1</sub> and transverse T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>2</sub><sup>\*</sup>), the longitudinal relaxation

time,  $T_1$ , has proven to be very useful for generating good anatomical contrast. NMR and MRI, being low sensitivity methods, greatly profit from the use of high static magnetic fields. Understandably, early predictions of  $T_1$  convergence with increasing field [\[2\]](#page-15-0) created doubt regarding the usefulness of high fields for in vivo imaging of the human brain. Indeed, all MR relaxation times show field dependence, but given the complexity of tissue this dependence cannot currently be calculated from first principles.

The advent of ultra-high field scanners (7 T and above) has made well-known contrast mechanisms such as  $T_2^*$  [\[3](#page-15-1)] and phase contrast [\[4\]](#page-15-2) increasingly useful. However, the usefulness of, and the need for  $T_1$  contrast does not appear to have been significantly jeopardised even at the highest fields at which in vivo human data have been acquired. Furthermore, given the increasing number of centres disposing of multiscanner, multi-field environments, the investigation of the exact behaviour of the relaxation times in vivo, for normal as well as pathological tissue, has become more important than ever.

The microscopic origin of the NMR relaxation times [\[5\]](#page-15-3) can, in principle, be used to investigate the environment of the water protons on a microscopic scale. In complex environments, such as living tissue, it seems difficult to assign relaxation rates to a single relaxation mechanism. The study of the field dependence of the relaxation rates  $(T_1$  usually), however, has led to significant progress in the understanding of the molecular information conveyed by these NMR parameters [\[6](#page-16-0)[–8](#page-16-1)]. The behaviour with field strength of the transverse relaxation rates  $R_2$  and  $R_2^*$  and of their difference, R2', provides equally interesting information regarding field inhomogeneities, paramagnetic impurities, and the relaxation mechanisms.

In the present paper we report on the results of a 12-volunteer study on the mapping of relaxation times at 1.5, 3 and 4 T and their field dependence. In order to achieve this aim, we employed three nearly identical whole-body scanners that differed largely only in the strength of the static magnetic field and the radio frequency (RF) coils employed. Longitudinal and transversal relaxation times were mapped in all 12 volunteers using sequences with brain coverage varying from whole-brain  $(T_2^*$  mapping, 55 slices), near whole-brain  $(T_1$  mapping, 41 slices), and approximately halfbrain coverage  $(T_2, 27$  slices). For each volunteer, the scans at all three field strengths were performed during a restricted time interval (17 days on average, ranging between 3 days and 3 weeks), in order to minimise possible physiological variations in the relaxation times and water content of the brain tissue.

Since age-dependent accumulation of iron, as well as the age and sex influence relaxation times and brain tissue water content in healthy volunteers, it is very important to investigate relaxation times in a large enough number of volunteers so that different contributions can be discriminated from their field dependence.

This study builds upon a number of previous studies from our laboratory which concentrated on fast and accurate methods for  $T_1$  and  $T_2^*$  mapping [\[9](#page-16-2)[–15\]](#page-16-3), as well as precise measurements of the quantitative water content in healthy and diseased brain tissue. In subsequent studies the influence of factors such as age, sex, and severity of disease (hepatic encephalopathy) has been investigated. The previous studies were carried out at 1.5 T, achieving a precision of 2% or better in the determination of water content and 1.5% or better for  $T_1$  [\[12,](#page-16-4)[13\]](#page-16-5). The methodology can, therefore, be considered as well-established, and thus only a short subsection of this paper is dedicated to validation at 3 and 4 T.

The paper concentrates on  $T_1$ , the characteristics of its distribution in the near whole-brain white and grey matter, and the way these characteristics change with the field strength. Similar features of the transversal relaxation times  $T_2$  and  $T_2^*$ have been measured on all volunteers but are exemplified in this paper for one volunteer only. The full results regarding transversal relaxation times will be the subject of a forthcoming publication. The age dependence of the longitudinal relaxation time is investigated at different fields.

# **Materials and methods**

## Subjects

Twelve healthy volunteers were enrolled in this study. The group included both male (8) and female (4) volunteers, with ages ranging between 23 and 54 years (average age 34 (SD 9) years). The applicability of the method in vivo was checked using data acquired on one volunteer, who was scanned at all three fields over a period of 2 days. Following phantom validation studies and parameter optimisation, the group of 12 volunteers was scanned at all three fields over a period of one month. The average interval during which the three scans were performed on any given volunteer amounted to 17 (SD 10) days. During each scanning session, the subjects were instructed to keep head movements to a minimum. Ear restraints and foam pads, placed tightly between the forehead and the RF coil contributed further to suppress head motion.

#### Hardware

Measurements were performed using three nearly identical whole-body scanners, operating at field strengths of 1.5 T (Siemens Avanto), 3T (Siemens Trio) and 4T (Siemens/ Bruker MedSpec). The scanners have identical software platforms except for different SYNGO version numbers and very similar hardware. All three gradient coils had maximum field strengths of 40 mT/m on each axis. At 1.5 and 3 T, an RF body coil with very homogeneous  $B_1$ -field distribution over the head was used for RF transmit and 12-element, phasedarray head coils for signal detection. At 4 T, a composite transmit/receive head coil was used, consisting of a birdcage coil for transmit and an eight-element phased-array coil for signal detection.

#### Sequences

Quantitative methods were used for the mapping of three relaxation times  $(T_1, T_2, T_2^*)$  and the magnetisation density; the latter can be normalised to represent the water content by insertion of a water probe in the FOV. Since the inhomogeneity of the RF field is one of the limiting factors for the accuracy of quantitative methods,  $B_1$  mapping was also performed. The method employed for  $T_1$ ,  $T_2^*$  and water mapping follows the one described in Ref. [\[12](#page-16-4)].

Briefly,  $T_1$  mapping was performed using TAPIR, a sequence based on the Look–Locker method. Schematically, the sequence can be depicted as:

 $90^\circ - \tau$  (delay) – 180<sup>°</sup> – spoil – {{{ $\alpha$ -module multi-echo readout} multi-slice acquisition} − multi-time points acquisition} − spoil.

The spin system is prepared by the sequence of two nonselective (square) pulses with flip angles 90° and 180° respectively, separated by a delay  $\tau$ . The delay  $\tau$  is sufficiently large to allow full dephasing of transverse magnetisation created by the 90◦ pulse. Any transverse magnetisation left after the inversion pulse is removed by means of spoiler gradients in all three directions. Following the inversion pulse, the spin system is repeatedly sampled in a Look–Locker type acquisition scheme by the application of the slice selective (3-lobed sinc)  $\alpha$ -pulses, interleaving readout of different slices and time points. In order to accelerate the filling of *k*-space and, therefore, reduce the acquisition time of the sequence, multiple echoes (number given by the EPI-factor) are read after each  $\alpha$ -pulse. The acquired echoes are used to fill different segments of *k*-space by interleaving blip gradients in phaseencode direction resulting in a *k*-space with, in our case, five segments. The duration of an  $\alpha$ -pulse module is TR, and the repetition time for each slice is  $TR \times$  number of slices. After the acquisition of the last gradient echo for each slice and each time point, the remaining transverse magnetisation is dephased by means of spoiler gradients. After the acquisition of all time points and all slices, the whole process in then repeated for the next set of lines in *k*-space.

Detailed information on the sequence and fitting procedure can be found in Refs. [\[9](#page-16-2)[–13\]](#page-16-5).

Inversion efficiency mapping was performed using exactly the same signal readout module as for TAPIR but without looping over time points. The sequence, called TAPIRIE (TAPIR inversion efficiency) can be depicted as:

$$
90^{\circ} - \tau(\text{delay}) - \{180^{\circ} - \text{spoil} - 180^{\circ}\} - \text{spoil}
$$
  
-**TAPIR multi-slice acquisition.**

The sequence was repeated twice for each phase-encode line, once with and once without the combination of two inversion pulses enclosed in parentheses. During reconstruction, data were reordered to produce two separate images for each slice. The ratios of the pixel intensities of these two sets of images reflect the (in)efficiency of the inversion pulse, and were subsequently used during fitting of the dataset (see Sect. Data processing). The same slices and acquisition parameters were used as for TAPIR, with the difference that only one time point was acquired, and the matrix size was 64 instead of 256 in the read-out direction (same phase encode reduction factor) resulting in a 16-times larger voxel size.

 $T_2^*$  mapping was performed with a multi-slice, multi-echo, gradient echo sequence in a variant named QUTE [\[14](#page-16-6)[,15](#page-16-3)]. A number of equidistant echoes (no. of time points) were acquired, starting at TE and separated by echo space (notations of Table [1\)](#page-3-0). Separate *k*-spaces were acquired for each echo, and images corresponding to each echo time were reconstructed.

A multi-slice, multi-echo  $T_2$  mapping sequence based on the CPMG method was used for the study of the distribution of transversal relaxation times. A number of equidistant echoes (no. of time points) were acquired starting at TE and separated by TE (notations of Table [1\)](#page-3-0). The sequence (Siemens nomenclature: SE\_MC) was included in the standard software distribution provided by the manufacturer (Siemens Medical Solutions, Erlangen, Germany).

A cylindrical probe of 18 mm inner diameter and 12 cm length containing MnCl2-doped water was included in the FOV for calibration of the water content; the  $MnCl<sub>2</sub>$  content was adjusted such that the relaxation time of the probe was close to the  $T_1$  values found in the brain. The temperature of this probe was measured with an optical sensor.

In addition to the quantitative protocols, a  $3D$ ,  $T_1$ -weighted sequence (MP-RAGE) was used for anatomical characterisation of the volunteers.

### Sequence parameters

In order to attain comparable accuracy at all three fields, the parameters of the sequences were varied at each field. An additional constraint was the demand to keep the total measurement time to around 1 h. The total acquisition time for each field amounted to 1 h, 15 min. Table [1](#page-3-0) summarises the main parameters of the quantitative sequences. The pertinent parameters of the MP-RAGE sequence included:  $TI = 900 \text{ ms}$ ,  $TR = 1,670 \text{ ms}$ ,  $TE = 2.72 \text{ ms}$ ,  $9^{\circ}$  flip angle, field-of-view

<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Table 1** Sequence parameters of the quantitative sequences used in the present study, for each field strength

	1.5T	3T	4T
<b>TAPIR<sup>a</sup></b>			
$TR \,$ (ms)	20	15	15
$TE$ (ms)	3.59	3.20	2.50
$TI$ (ms)	10	8	10
$\tau$ (ms)	2,000	2,000	2,000
Time points	16	16	20
<b>EPI</b> factor	5	5	5
$\alpha$ (°)	$50^\circ$	$50^\circ$	$40^{\circ}$
BW (Hz/pixel)	455	815	700
QUTE <sup>b</sup>			
$TR \, (ms)$	112	112	100
$TE$ (ms)	4.58	4.58	4.30
Echo space (ms)	3.4	3.4	2.8
Time points	30	30	32
Flip angle $(°)$	$90^\circ$	$90^\circ$	$90^\circ$
BW (Hz/pixel)	320	320	399
SE MC <sup>c</sup>			
$TR \,$ (ms)	5,200	4,000	4,240
$TE$ (ms)	13.5	13.5	17.5
Time points	20	20	16
Flip angle $(°)$	$90^{\circ}/180^{\circ}$	$90^{\circ}/180^{\circ}$	$90^{\circ}/180^{\circ}$
BW (Hz/pixel)	160	160	355

<sup>a</sup> TAPIR: volume comprises 41 slices of 2mm thickness, acquired in three separate measurements with: 14 slices with 300% slice thickness gap, 13 slices with 300% gap, 14 slices with 100% gap between slices, respectively. The matrix size was  $256 \times 192$ , the FOV 256 mm  $\times$ 192 mm, and the spatial resolution  $1 \text{ mm} \times 1 \text{ mm} \times 2 \text{ mm}$ . The saturation recovery, occurring within a time  $\tau$  between the non-selective 90 $\degree$ pulse and the 180◦ non-selective inversion pulse ensures that the same amount of magnetisation is available for every phase encoding step. The listed TR value corresponds to the duration of an  $\alpha$ -pulse module; the TR for each slice is  $TR \times$  number of slices. TE is the echo time of the first echo of the gradient read-out. A number of "EPI factor" echoes are collected and used to fill the *k*-space for each slice with "EPI factor" segments. A number of "time points" are acquired along the inversion recovery curve for each slice

<sup>b</sup> QUTE: volume comprises 55 slices of 2mm thickness acquired in two separate measurements with: 28 slices with 100% slice thickness gap and 27 slices with 100% gap between slices. The matrix size was  $256 \times 192$ , the FOV 256 mm  $\times$  192 mm, and the spatial resolution 1 mm  $\times$  1 mm  $\times$  2 mm. The multiple echo acquisitions consisted of "time" points" number of echoes, starting at TE and spaced by echo space

<sup>c</sup> SE\_MC: volume comprises 27 slices of 2mm thickness acquired in two separate measurements with: 14 slices with 100% slice thickness gap and 13 slices with 100% gap between slices. The matrix size was  $256 \times 192$ , the FOV 256 mm  $\times$  192 mm, and the spatial resolution 1 mm  $\times$  1 mm  $\times$  2 mm. A partial Fourier factor of 5/8 was used to speed up the acquisition process. The multiple echo acquisitions consisted of "time points" number of echoes, starting at TE and spaced by TE

(FOV) =  $166 \times 256$  mm<sup>2</sup>, matrix size =  $208 \times 320$  (3) and 4 T) or  $168 \times 256$  (1.5 T), 224 coronal slices with 1 mm thickness.

## Validation of the methodology

The relaxation times obtained with TAPIR were validated against spectroscopic measurements on tubes containing solutions with different relaxation times due to varying concentrations of Gd, as described in Ref. [\[9\]](#page-16-2). Furthermore, results obtained with TAPIR and an inversion-prepared, spinecho sequence with long TR and varying TI were also compared. The T<sub>2</sub> values obtained with SE\_MC and T<sub>2</sub><sup>\*</sup> values obtained with QUTE were compared to results of singlevoxel spectroscopy on a multi-compartment spherical phantom containing solutions with different concentrations of MnCl<sub>2</sub>. The agreement between the fast mapping sequences and the other imaging or spectroscopic data was found to be very good and is shown in Fig. [1](#page-4-0) for  $T_1$  validation at 3 and 4 T; similar results were obtained for  $T_2$  and  $T_2^*$  validation.

## Brain coverage

In so far as other constraints such as measurement time allowed, this study was designed to achieve nearly complete brain coverage with as high a spatial resolution as possible. Since the different mapping sequences were not equally fast and were subject to different constraints regarding brain coverage (temporal accuracy for TAPIR, SAR limitations for SE\_MC), a compromise had to be found between brain coverage, measurement time, and the quantitative power of the methodology for each sequence.

Using the AutoAlign facility of the SYNGO software and the possibility to transfer parameters between the 3 T Trio and 1.5 T Avanto systems using a common database, we acquired the slices in very nearly identical positions with respect to the volunteer's head at 1.5 and 3 T. The replication of this positioning at 4 T using AutoAlign was reasonably close, but not better than manual repositioning of the slices. The different performance of the AutoAlign on the different scanners was probably due to the different software versions (3 T—most up-to-date, 4 T—oldest software version).

The spatial resolution of all acquisitions was  $1 \text{ mm} \times 1 \text{ mm}$ in-plane with a 2 mm slice thickness. In order to avoid crosstalk effects, a gap of 100% of the slice thickness (2 mm) was kept between slices of any single acquisition. Practically whole brain coverage was achieved with QUTE using two sets of interleaved slices, resulting in a total of  $55 (28 + 27)$ slices. From this volume, a subset of 41 slices was measured with TAPIR, using three sets of slices  $(14+13+14)$ . A subset of 27 slices, of those covered by both TAPIR and QUTE, was also measured with SE\_MC using two interleaved sets of slices  $(14 + 13)$ . Thus, the largest contiguous volume simultaneously covered by all three sequences consisted of 27 slices of 2 mm thickness and included the basal ganglia. The largest volume covered by any quantitative sequence amounted to 55 slices of 2 mm thickness and included practically the

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

<span id="page-4-1"></span>**Fig. 2** Coronal reslice of the volumes acquired with QUTE, TAPIR and SE\_MC, showing the extent of brain coverage with each sequence. The slice thickness was 2mm; the gaps between slices, seen in the volume covered by TAPIR, are also 2mm

whole cerebrum and about a quarter of the cerebellum. The data were acquired in an axial orientation. In Fig. [2](#page-4-1) a coronal reslice of the volumes acquired with the three different quantitative sequences is shown illustrating the different extents of brain coverage achieved. A 3D whole-brain acquisition with higher spatial resolution of either 1 mm isotropic (at 1.5 T) or  $0.8 \times 0.8 \times 1$  mm (at 3 and 4 T) was performed with MP-RAGE.

#### Data processing

the data

A Java-based software package developed in-house was used for the computation of the relaxation and magnetisation density maps. Mono-exponential fits were performed to the experimental  $T_1, T_2$  and  $T_2^*$  inversion recovery or attenuation curves, respectively. All fits were performed on magnitude data. In order to account for the possibility of having nonexponential  $T_2^*$  decays in the regions affected by significant field inhomogeneities [\[16\]](#page-16-7), a polynomial extrapolation to the zero of time was performed for the voxels where the exponential fit was detected to be poor [\[12\]](#page-16-4). For these voxels, the T∗ <sup>2</sup> parameter was fitted to the later time points, where the decay was well approximated by an exponential [\[12](#page-16-4)].

The multiple-echo spin-echo data were fit to the expression  $S(t) = A \exp(-t/T_2) + B$ , where *A* is a function of M<sub>0</sub>, the equilibrium magnetisation density and *B* is a constant. For QUTE and SE\_MC, the effective value of  $M_0$  extracted from the fits includes  $T_1$  effects due to finite TR as well as  $B_1$  inhomogeneity effects.

In the case of inversion recovery, despite the presence of a large number of voxels where partial volume effects might be expected, and the large number of time points acquired (16), a mono-exponential fit proved to be more robust than a fit using the sum of two exponentials; a mono-exponential fit was consequently used in all cases.

The signal equations and the method used to fit the TAPIR data are the ones given in Ref. [\[11](#page-16-8)]. Briefly, one can describe the evolution of the signal with time after inversion as

$$
S(t) = A + B \exp(-t/T_1^*),
$$

where  $1/T_1^* = 1/T_1 - \ln(\cos \alpha)/TR$ , *A* is a function of M<sub>0</sub>, T<sub>1</sub>, TR and  $\alpha$  and *B* is a function of M<sub>0</sub>, T<sub>1</sub>, TR,  $\alpha$  and *K* the efficiency of the inversion pulse.  $K$  is determined experimentally using the inversion efficiency mapping described in Sect. "Sequences", and the signal is fitted to the above formula with  $M_0$ ,  $T_1$  and  $\alpha$  as fit parameters. Due to the finite value of the echo time of the gradient echo read-out, the effective  $M_0$  extracted by the fit contains a  $T_2^*$  contribution, but the  $B_1$  inhomogeneity effects should be eliminated if the fit converged properly.

As described in Ref. [\[12](#page-16-4)], by combining the effective magnetisation densities extracted with QUTE and TAPIR with the appropriate  $T_1, T_2^*$  and  $B_1$  corrections, and using the water probe for normalisation, the absolute water content of the brain can be calculated.

Based on the 55-slice contiguous volume acquired with QUTE at the shortest echo time, skull removal was performed using the brain extraction tool of FSL [\(http://www.fmrib.](http://www.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/) [ox.ac.uk/fsl/\)](http://www.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/) [\[17](#page-16-9)[,18](#page-16-10)] and a brain mask was created. All the remaining data processing was performed using Matlab (MATLAB V7.0, Release 14. The Mathworks Inc., Natick/ MA, USA) and ImageJ (ImageJ 1.36b, National Institutes of Health, USA).

<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Fig. 3** Maps of the three relaxation times,  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$  and  $T_2^*$ , obtained from a volunteer at 1.5, 3 and 4 T. For each relaxation parameter, the scale is the same across fields and is: 0–2,500ms for  $T_1$  and 0–150 ms for  $T_2$  and T<sup>∗</sup><sub>2</sub>. The selected slices are nearly identical at 1.5 and 3 T, and the closest match at 4 T



The fitted relaxation times and magnetisation density maps for each relaxation parameter were separately assembled in volumes of 55 slices. For the  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  acquisitions, the elements of the 3D matrix for which no data were acquired were assigned a value of zero. The brain mask was applied to all these volumes.

Histograms of the  $T_1$  distribution were created for the 41 slices for which  $T_1$  maps were produced, separately for each slice as well as for the whole volume. The summed histogram for each volunteer is thus representative for the whole brain. The number of bins was 256 in all cases. A multi-component fit of the summed distribution was performed, using a superposition of two, three or four Gaussians. Each Gaussian was characterised by its centroid, full-width at half-maximum (FWHM), and maximum value. Segmentation of the brain in white and grey matter was performed based on the  $T_1$  properties of the two types of tissue. Using the  $T_1$ -based segmentation, we investigated  $M_0$ ,  $T_2$  and  $T_2^*$  properties separately for white and grey matter.

## **Results**

# Validation

The comparison between  $T_1$  values obtained with TAPIR and values obtained with an inversion recovery (IR)-prepared spin-echo sequence with TR of 5 s is shown in Fig. [1.](#page-4-0) The data were obtained on a multi-compartment phantom filled with water doped with different concentrations of Gd. The agreement is very good, especially for the range of  $T_1$  values relevant for in vivo brain tissue; the coefficients of proportionality are  $1.014 \pm 0.007$  at 3 T and  $1.002 \pm 0.011$  at 4 T. The validation of the methodology at 1.5 T has already been described in previous publications [\[9](#page-16-2)[–13](#page-16-5)].

# Maps

Longitudinal (T<sub>1</sub>) and transversal (T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>2</sub><sup>\*</sup>) relaxation maps for a representative volunteer and slice are shown in Fig. [3](#page-5-0) for all three field strengths. In addition to the relaxation

<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Fig. 4** Maps of the uncorrected magnetisation density (signal intensity at  $TE = 0$ ), obtained from a voxel-by-voxel mono-exponential fit to the  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$  and  $T_2^*$  data. The maps were all acquired at 3 T and correspond to the same volunteer and slices as the ones of Fig. [3.](#page-5-0) M0 is given in arbitrary units

<span id="page-6-1"></span>**Fig. 5** Whole-brain T1 histograms for a single volunteer. Data were acquired at 1.5 T. Segments **a**–**d** show multi-Gaussian fits to the data using either 2 (part a:  $WM + GM$ ), 3 (part b:  $WM + WM/GM + GM$ , 3  $part c: WM + GM + GM/CSF$ or  $4$  (WM + WM/GM + GM + GM/CSF) Gaussian distributions. The *red line* represents the best fit to the data, and the *green lines* correspond to each different Gaussian distribution



times, "magnetisation density" maps were produced from each type of relaxation experiment by extrapolating the fitted signal intensity  $TE = 0$  ms (for  $SE_MC$  and QUTE) and from the three-parameter (M0,  $T_1$  and  $\alpha$ ) fit for TAPIR. These maps are shown in Fig. [4.](#page-6-0)

## $T_1$  distribution

The histogram of  $T_1$  values, including all measured slices, is exemplified in Fig. [5](#page-6-1) for one volunteer, with data acquired at 1.5 T. Different decompositions of the histogram are compared: two peaks only, corresponding to the two main "humps"; three peaks including an additional Gaussian between the two main structures; three peaks including an additional Gaussian at  $T_1$  values larger than the ones for the second Gaussian; and four peaks, including all the previous structures. The results of a three-Gaussian decomposition of the  $T_1$  histo-

gram, including the two main "humps" and an additional structure between them, are presented in Fig. [6](#page-7-0) for all three fields. The data of Figs. [5](#page-6-1) and [6](#page-7-0) were acquired on the same volunteer. Table [2](#page-8-0) lists values of  $T_1$  in selected anatomical structures.

#### Segmentation

Segmentation of the white and grey matter was performed following visual inspection of the  $T_1$  maps, separately for every field. The limits chosen for white matter were: 450– 875 ms (1.5 T); 550–950 ms (3 T); and 600–1,150 ms (4 T). Similarly, the limits for grey matter were: 876–1800 ms (1.5 T); 951–2,100 ms (3 T); and 1151–2,200 ms (4 T). Based on the  $T_1$  segmentation, it was possible to investigate the characteristic behaviour of the  $T_2^*$ ,  $T_2$  and  $M_0$  values for the white and grey matter. The whole-brain histograms of these



<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Fig. 6** Decomposition of  $T_1$  histograms at 1.5 T (a), 3 T (b) and 4 T (**c**) using three Gaussian distributions. The two most intense components correspond largely to white matter (1, WM) and grey matter (3, GM + GM/CSF), whilst the additional component contains a mixture of contributions from white matter voxels, voxels at the border between white and grey matter, and voxels from the deep grey matter. The *red line* represents the best fit to the data, and the *green lines* correspond to each different Gaussian distribution

quantities do not show such distinctive features as the  $T_1$ histogram, and segmentation in white and grey matter would

not be possible based on  $T_2$  and  $T_2^*$  information only. This point is exemplified in Fig. [7,](#page-9-0) which shows the  $T_2^*$  and  $T_2$ histograms as a function of field strength for a representative volunteer.

# **Discussion**

At the time when this study was initiated (October 2006), in vivo relaxation times obtained at more than two different field strengths on the same volunteer and measured with the same method (sequence, manufacturer) were not available in the literature. In the meantime, results on the field dependence of  $T_1$  values of the in vivo brain, at five different field strengths  $(0.2, 1, 1.5, 4$  and  $7T$ ), have been published  $[19]$  $[19]$ . Rooney et al. [\[19](#page-16-11)] used a single, 5 mm thick slice that was measured for three volunteers using different scanners (hardware and software) at different MR centres. Systematic differences in relaxation times can arise because of differences in the acquisition sequence and/or processing techniques. However, most modernMRI instruments have comparable performances and identical acquisition sequences can be coded across different manufacturers (and can be verified by oscilloscope). Exact details regarding hardware and operating software can be made largely irrelevant as long as appropriate care is taken in sequence coding. Rooney et al. [\[19](#page-16-11)] used different scanners (hardware and software), but made an impressive effort to implement identical pulse sequences (and processing code) across all magnetic field strengths.

The work presented here distinguishes itself from the above-mentioned study in a number of important ways: first, we have measured  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$ , and  $T_2^*$  on 12 volunteers; second, by employing multi-slice acquisitions, we have covered nearly the whole brain for  $T_1$  and overlapping slabs for the other two maps; third, the implementation of identical methods was facilitated by the use of nearly the same scanner software; and finally, our slice thickness is 2 mm which offers significantly more immunity from the deleteriousness of partial volume effects. The different RF setup at 4 T constituted the biggest hardware difference between the three scanners, and the different performance of the AutoAlign at 4 T the biggest software difference. The 1.5 and 3 T scanners can be considered as differing only in the field strength and being otherwise identical.

A disadvantage of this study is that we have measured at 3 field strengths and not 5 as have Rooney et al. [\[19](#page-16-11)]. Furthermore, the range of the magnetic fields used here is modest (1.5–4 T; a factor of 2.7) compared to the quoted study (0.2–7 T; a factor of 35).

The  $T_1$  values determined in this work compare well with values published by other groups at the field strengths we have used (see Table [2\)](#page-8-0). The comparison with the study by Rooney et al. [\[19\]](#page-16-11), in which  $T_1$  values were measured with

<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Table 2** anatomic

brackets <sup>a</sup> Case st



the same method at several fields, shows better agreement for the values acquired at 1.5 T than for those measured at 4 T. The latter are approximately 150 ms lower in our work than those of Ref. [\[19\]](#page-16-11).

A possible explanation of differences between  $T_1$  values determined with sequences based on the same method (Look– Locker) and employing similar corrections and fit methods might arise from different magnetisation transfer (MT) effects and thus different influences on the  $T_1$  times. As has been noted very recently  $[28, 29]$  $[28, 29]$  $[28, 29]$ ,  $T_1$  values determined with an inversion-prepared turbo spin echo (TSE) sequence with a moderate number of slabs (5 or 6) show substantial (20– 30%) shortening compared, for example, with values obtained with TAPIR. This is most probably due to magnetisation transfer effects in a sequence (TSE) which employs many 180 $\degree$  pulses. In our case, besides using pulses with a much smaller flip angle, the number of slices used in any TAPIR measurement is rather small (13 or 14), but larger than the single slice acquired by Rooney et al. [\[19](#page-16-11)]. However, pronounced magnetisation transfer effects on  $T_1$  values would also lead to a slice dependence of the relaxation times even in a homogeneous phantom, if its structure allows for MT effects, and we have not noticed this in TAPIR acquisitions.

In contrast with the comparison of  $T_1$  values for selected regions, the mean  $T_1$  values for the whole of the GM extracted in this work, 1,127 (27) ms at 1.5 T and 1,466 (29) ms at 4 T, are higher that the value reported in Fig. 6 of Ref. [\[19](#page-16-11)] of 1,000 ms at 1.5 T and 1,400 ms at 4 T. This is most probably due to the fact that the discussion in Rooney et al. [\[19\]](#page-16-11) is based on data from a single slice, where a significant fraction of the grey matter was concentrated in the basal ganglia, with values lower than those of the cortical grey matter. It is to be noted that whilst there is usually high consistency between  $T_1$  values measured in different volunteers in a given study, the differences between  $T_1$  values measured with different methods, using different hardware and manufacturers, are usually quite large. This makes it difficult to study the field dependence of relaxation times using values published by

<span id="page-9-0"></span>**Fig. 7** Histograms of  $T_2$  (a) and  $T_2^*$  (**b**) distributions at three different fields for the whole volume acquired on one of the volunteers. The decomposition in white and grey matter is based on the corresponding  $T_1$ segmentation at the given field strength. The curves correspond to Gaussian distributions



different groups. Additionally, values across studies are often reported from selected, but non-identical regions only.

A clear strength of our study lies in the fact that besides the use of the same mapping method and data evaluation software, we have employed scanners which are practically identical except for the field strength and the RF coil. The volunteers were measured at all three field strengths within a very short time (2 weeks on average), making any significant changes in the intrinsic properties of the brain unlikely. Furthermore, we have achieved extensive brain coverage (41 slices for  $T_1$  mapping) with a high spatial resolution for a quantitative method  $(1 \times 1 \times 2 \text{ mm})$ . The former aspect ensures that the conclusions regarding the behaviour of the  $T_1$  distribution in the brain is not biased by the use of a single slice, with very specific anatomy. To exemplify this point, we show in Fig. [8](#page-9-1) the comparison between the histogram obtained from one slice through the basal ganglia and the histogram from the whole data set acquired at 1.5 T for a single volunteer. The latter aspect minimises the partial volume effects and allows for a better study of the properties of distinct tissue types.

The different RF coils employed at the different fields give rise to different  $B_1$  distributions. The  $B_1$  inhomogeneity of



<span id="page-9-1"></span>**Fig. 8** Comparison between  $T_1$  histograms from: **a** a slice through the basal ganglia, and **b** the whole volume acquired with TAPIR (41 slices). Both histograms are normalised to unity. *Top* to *bottom*: 1.5–4 T

the body coils used for transmit at 1.5 and 3 T was small over the volume of the brain, but the birdcage coil used for transmit at 4T displayed a substantial RF field inhomogeneity. Figure [9](#page-10-0) compares the inversion efficiency maps extracted for selected slices with high RF inhomogeneity at 4 T (part b) and 3 T (part e). The factor displayed is the absolute value



<span id="page-10-0"></span>Fig. 9 Effect of the B<sub>1</sub> inhomogeneity on the raw data and quantitative maps with increasing field and different RF configurations. *Top row:* data acquired at 4 T; *bottom row:* data acquired at 3 T. Parts (**a**) and (**d**) show slices acquired with TAPIR (last time point on the saturation recovery curve) in a region of inhomogeneous RF distribution at 4 T (**a**) or 3 T (**d**). Parts (**b**) and (**e**) show the measured distribution of the cosine of the inversion pulse. The scale is 0.3–1.2 in part (**b**) and 0.5–1.2 in

part (**e**). Parts (**c**) and (**f**) show the calculated  $T_1$  maps. It is seen that for the regions of very high RF inhomogeneity at 4 T several cases of fit misconvergence appear, whereas at 3 T the effect of coil inhomogeneity is not noticeable in the quantitative map. However, even at 4 T, when the fit converges properly, it gives similar  $T_1$  values for the white matter in the region of high inhomogeneity as in a homogeneous region (ROIs on part (**c**)

of the cosine of the inversion pulse (nominal value is 1 for 180<sup>°</sup>), and the scale ranges from 0.3 to 1.2 in part (b) and 0.5 to 1.2 in part (e). At 4 T, besides the not very pronounced signal drop-out in the centre of the brain encountered at high fields, the left side of the frontal region was affected by large inhomogeneities, because the inversion pulse was close to 135 $^{\circ}$ . The effect of the B<sub>1</sub> inhomogeneity on the raw data acquired with TAPIR at the last time point sampled on the saturation recovery curve is exemplified in part (a) for  $4T$  and (d) for  $3T$ . It can be seen that the SNR values improve with field strength. Comparing the SNR values for a region in the genu of corpus callosum among fields gives values of 8.3 at 1.5 T, 8.9 at 3 T and 9.3 at 4 T. The errors in the  $T_1$  fit due to reduced SNR of the raw images should therefore, diminish with increasing field. However, the very strong, inhomogeneity of the RF coil gives rise to pixels of clear fit mis-convergence in the frontal region at 4 T, and a larger spread of the fitted value when the fit does converge. Regarding the absolute  $T_1$  values, the mean values over the two white matter ROIs shown on part (c) for 4 T, one in a strongly inhomogeneous, one in a homogeneous region, are practically identical.

# $T_1$  histograms

The well-known fact that  $T_1$  contrast between white and grey matter in the healthy in vivo brain is the highest of the 3 MR parameters measured here is well substantiated by the histograms. Two well separated features, a narrow peak and a broader "hump" can be easily distinguished at all fields employed in the present study (see Fig. [6\)](#page-7-0). However, a fit of the histograms using two Gaussian distributions does not deliver satisfactory results (see Fig. [5a](#page-6-1)).

The three-Gaussian decomposition of the  $T_1$  histograms (Figs. [5c](#page-6-1), [6\)](#page-7-0) reproduces the data well. A natural assignment of the peaks would be, in the order of increasing  $T_1$ : white matter, voxels at the border between white and grey matter, and grey matter. However, inspection of the  $T_1$  maps shows that the first and second peaks are both largely characteristic of the white matter. Some voxels with  $T_1$  values belonging

to the second peak originate in the deep grey matter; some others belong to regions in which CSF, grey and white matter all overlap within one voxel. For each field, the  $T_1$  values which separate the grey and white matter for the purpose of segmentation, chosen after careful inspection of the data, are close to the point of intersection between the sum of the first two Gaussian distributions and the third one.

A large number of voxels with grey matter content are affected by partial volume effects. Since our data have higher in-plane resolution than slice thickness, and the sulcal landscape varies very rapidly in the brain, most of the voxels affected by partial volume effect can be expected to be at the border between grey matter and CSF. The values of  $T_1$ for CSF was found to be practically independent of the field strength and amount to 4.3 s [\[19\]](#page-16-11), and voxels affected by partial volume effects can display  $T_1$  values ranging from the lower end of the grey matter  $T_1$  distribution up to the CSF value. This is an extremely broad interval, and the histograms show no clear structure in the region of long  $T_1$ values. Another reason for the lack of a clear CSF peak is that the  $T_1$  values for CSF measured with TAPIR are not really meaningful. The pulsatile motion of the CSF is a problem for TAPIR since the high temporal resolution with which TAPIR samples the recovery curve is at the expense of building up the images line by line over several TR intervals. Also, the time interval for which the recovery curve has been sampled (number of slices  $\times$  number of time points  $\times$ TR) was optimised for brain tissue relaxation times and is too short to allow for a good fit for components with very long  $T_1$ .

A three-Gaussian fit of  $T_1$  histograms at 1.5 T using WM, GM and GM-CSF was employed by Suzuki et al. [\[30\]](#page-16-15). However, in the region between WM and GM the fit of their data also significantly underestimates the observed distribution of  $T_1$  values.

In our case, adding another Gaussian to the 3-peak decomposition, to additionally characterise the border between grey matter and CSF, makes the fit unstable in many cases. The variation with field strength of the characteristics of different components becomes less reliable. We have, therefore, chosen the robust three-component fit (WM, WM-GM, GM) to characterise the whole-brain  $T_1$  distribution and compare its features between volunteers and fields.

The limits chosen for segmentation at  $1.5T$  are slightly different from those of Neeb et al. [\[13\]](#page-16-5), who employed the same mapping method. The main difference is in the cut-off for grey matter (1,800 ms in the present study, vs. 1,150 ms in Ref. [\[13\]](#page-16-5)). The significantly higher resolution in our study, of  $1 \times 1 \times 2$  mm compared to  $1 \times 1 \times 5$  mm in [\[13](#page-16-5)], is the main reason for this difference. The segmentation values chosen by Suzuki et al. [\[30\]](#page-16-15) agree well with our value when half the voxels corresponding to the GM-CSF border are assigned to grey matter.

We note here that because of the lack of appropriate criteria for "good segmentation", all segmentation limits are quite arbitrary. The segmentation limits need to be established not only for each field separately, but also, in principle, for each volunteer. Since age and sex play a role in the characteristics of the  $T_1$  distributions, the border between the values corresponding to WM and GM are likely to show dependence on the same factors. However, especially for studies with a large number of volunteers, manual segmentation can become prohibitive in terms of workload. In addition, its subjectivity introduces a source of errors.

With this caveat in mind, the limits chosen for segmentation of the brain in WM and GM at different fields should be considered only as a starting point. They have been determined for the volunteer whose  $T_2$  and  $T_2^*$  data are shown in Sect. "Transversal relaxation times". For different volunteers, these limits can well vary by several tens ms.

#### Field dependence of  $T_1$  values

In Fig. [10a](#page-12-0) we present the centroids of the three Gaussian peaks, which fit the  $T_1$  histograms, as a function of field strength. Individual data from four separate volunteers are shown together with the mean values and SD obtained from the full collective of 12 volunteers. Figure [10b](#page-12-0) shows similar data for the width w of the Gaussian peaks ( $w = 2\sigma$ , approximately 0.849 full width at half maximum FWHM). Peaks 1 and 2 describe the white matter, whilst peak 3 is assigned to the grey matter. Besides the clear increase in the  $T_1$  values with field, it is also noteworthy that the values for WM and GM are still diverging at 4 T, albeit slowly. The pure  $T_1$  "contrast-to-signal" ratio between white and grey matter can be calculated using ROIs in the genu of corpus callosum (GCC) and the caudate nucleus (CN). With the data listed in Table [2,](#page-8-0) the contrast-to-signal ratio  $[T_1(CN)$ −  $T_1(GCC)/[T_1(CN)+T_1(GCC)]$  is approximately constant with field and has a value of around 0.28 (0.31 at 1.5 T and  $0.26$  at both 3 and 4 T).

As seen in Fig.  $10b$ , the widths of the  $T_1$  distributions also increase with field, more prominently so for the grey matter. The distinctness of the WM and the GM distributions reduces with increasing field strength; this is partly due to the  $T_1$  values of the deep grey matter which increase less rapidly than the cortical ones. The increased  $T_1$  heterogeneity of the cortical brain tissue provides greater discrimination power of  $T_1$  contrast at high fields. We mention that part of the broadening of the  $T_1$  distribution for grey matter at 4 T might well be due to the strong RF field inhomogeneity depicted in Fig. [9.](#page-10-0) As seen in part (c), the  $T_1$  values deduced for the grey matter in regions of extremely strong field inhomogeneity are longer than those for the GM in other regions. Despite the fact that the efficiency of the inversion pulse was measured experimentally and included in the signal expression



<span id="page-12-0"></span>**Fig. 10** Field dependence of the characteristics of the three peaks corresponding to the three-Gaussian decomposition of the experimental  $T_1$ histograms. Part (**a**): centroids; part (**b**): widths. Data for four different volunteers are shown to illustrate the similarity of the field dependence between subjects. The mean value and SD calculated over the 12 volunteers are shown in *red*. The field dependence of the centroids is compared to predictions using empirical formulae from Bottomley et al. [\[1\]](#page-15-4) and Fischer et al. [\[2\]](#page-15-0)

for TAPIR, and the fit should correct for the remaining pulse inhomogeneity effects, this did not succeed in a few regions were the RF inhomogeneities were too strong. The effect is also present, but less pronounced, for regions in the centre of the brain, for example the basal ganglia. For these regions the RF inhomogeneity contributes to produce a larger spread in the fitted value and will slightly increase the width of the corresponding  $T_1$  distribution.

The field dependence of the longitudinal relaxation time has been fitted using ex vivo NMR dispersion data by Bottomley [\[1](#page-15-4)] and Fischer et al. [\[2](#page-15-0)]. Bottomley used the simple empirical formula:

$$
T_1 = A v^B,\tag{1}
$$

where ν is the NMR frequency and *A* and *B* fit parameters. The values of the two parameters have been fitted to in vivo data recently by Rooney et al. [\[19](#page-16-11)]; we use those parameters in our comparison. In Fig. [10a](#page-12-0), we plot the curve  $T_1 = Av^B$ (dotted blue line) with the parameters:  $A = 0.00071$  and  $B = 0.382$  for the white matter and  $A = 0.00116$  and  $B = 0.376$  for the grey matter [\[19](#page-16-11)]. The agreement is reasonably good. The difference in the values for the grey matter most probably arises from the fact that the fit parameters extracted in Ref. [\[19](#page-16-11)] are based on  $T_1$  values for the putamen only whereas the centroid of our distribution represents the whole of grey matter (predominantly cortical), with longer  $T_1$  values. For the white matter, the predicted values agree very well with the value of the centroid of the second peak. The majority of the white matter voxels (more than half) are nevertheless described by the first peak and their relaxation times are thus shorter than the predicted value. The shape of the field dependence of the white matter, which is the same for the centroids of peaks 1 and 2 (WM and WM-GM), is well predicted. However, given that the predicted field dependence of the grey matter is steeper than the field dependence shown by our data, the divergence of the  $T_1$  values with field seems over predicted using the parameters derived from Rooney et al. [\[19](#page-16-11)].

The continuous green line in Fig. [10a](#page-12-0) represents the formula proposed by Fischer et al. [\[2](#page-15-0)], that is:

$$
1/T_1 = 1/T_1, w + D + A/[1 + (f/f_c)^{\beta}], \tag{2}
$$

with the parameters given in Table [2](#page-8-0) of Fischer et al. [\[2\]](#page-15-0). For the sake of completeness, however, we reproduce the parameters here:  $1/T_1$ ,w, relaxation rate of pure water =  $0.23 \text{ s}^{-1}$  $(\text{at } 37^{\circ}\text{C}); D[s-1] = 521.38 \text{ (WM)}, 0.105 \text{ (GM)}; A[s-1] =$ 18.25 (WM), 11.66 (GM);  $f_c$ [MHz] = 0.165 (WM), 0.059 (GM);  $\beta = 0.291$  (WM), 0.42 (GM). This expression has the advantage over Bottomley's formula that the relaxation rate does not diverge at high fields, but converges towards a value approximately equal to that of pure water, and the relaxation times are finite at zero field strength.

Fischer's formula offers a slightly better overall agreement with our data. This is not surprising, since it contains more fit parameters than Bottomley's formula. However, the variation of the relaxation times with field strength is overestimated for the white matter and underestimated for the grey matter, leading to an extrapolated convergence of the  $T_1$  values at higher fields, which is not substantiated by our data.

Due to the small range of magnetic fields investigated in this study, it is not possible to assess based on our data which of the functions is better suited to model the  $T_1$  behaviour as a function of magnetic field over a large range of fields. However, the comparison of the predictions of formula [\[1](#page-15-4)] with our data up to 4T shows an overestimation, while formula [\[2](#page-15-0)] underestimates the behaviour of  $T_1$  with field strength. An overestimation of the difference in the  $T_1$  values of white and grey matter offered by formula [\[1](#page-15-4)] at 7 T is also present in the data of Ref. [\[19\]](#page-16-11). The discrepancy between real values



<span id="page-13-0"></span>**Fig. 11** Age dependence of the centroid of the Gaussian distribution corresponding to the grey matter. The behaviour for each field is shown separately

of  $T_1$  at 9.4 and 11.7 T and those predicted by either formula [\[1](#page-15-4)] or [\[2](#page-15-0)] might be substantial.

# Age dependence of  $T_1$  values

The age dependence of the centroids obtained from the three-Gaussian decomposition of the  $T_1$  histograms was investigated. A clear variation of the position of the GM peak with age was observed and is shown in Fig.  $11: T_1$  $11: T_1$  of GM was found to decrease linearly with age. The results were consistent across fields, and the slope of the  $T_1$  (GM) dependence on field was found to be slightly lower for  $3 T (-1.7(2))$  and  $4T$  $(-1.8(2))$  than for 1.5 T  $(-2.3(3))$ . In all cases, the probability that the correlation was due to chance was  $P < 0.05$ . No clear correlation with age was observed for the centroids attributable to WM. At the three fields, the P-value for a linear fit was between 0.16 and 0.97. The result concerning the decrease of the  $T_1$  of GM with age is in agreement with the findings of Suzuki et al. [\[30](#page-16-15)] at 1.5 T. In our case, a linear expression, instead of the quadratic one used by [\[30\]](#page-16-15), was found to perform better perhaps due to the more limited age range of the volunteers in our study (23–54 years, compared to 23–88 years  $[30]$  $[30]$ ).

A strong correlation of the  $T_1$  of WM with age was found by Suzuki et al. whereas our data, corroborated by the results of Neeb et al. [\[13](#page-16-5)] (see below), show no such behaviour. An important difference between the two studies, which might well explain the discrepancy, was that the collective included in the study of Suzuki et al. consisted of patients affected by different neurological diseases, whereas our data were acquired on healthy volunteers.

Andersen [\[31](#page-16-16)] reported a constant increase of the  $T_1$  averaged over manually chosen regions-of-interest (ROI) in the frontal and parieto-occipital white matter between 20 and 60 years. However, based on the ROI analysis in frontal white matter, Cho et al. [\[32\]](#page-16-17) found an increase in longitudinal relaxation time starting only in the 6th decade of life, but no variation for subjects aged 20–50 years. This result is similar to our findings for the white matter distribution in the whole brain. It is, however, difficult to compare regional and global results, since the WM in specific regions might show different behaviour from that averaged over the whole brain.

The main contributions to  $T_1$  relaxation in brain tissue arise from the macromolecular content of the tissue, as well as iron content [\[19](#page-16-11)]. The largest quantitative survey of the behaviour of human brain iron content with age was performed with chemical and histopathological methods by Hallgren and Sourander [\[33\]](#page-16-18). Their results show that, in addition to a substantial heterogeneity of the grey matter with respect to accumulations of non-haemin iron, the age dependence of the accumulation is also heterogeneous. For the whole brain, the most pronounced variation takes place between birth and 20 years of age. The variation of iron content thereafter is strongly reduced in magnitude. Iron content in parts of the cortex (for example, the motor cortex) increases with age up to about 50 years of age. For the putamen and caudate nucleus, iron accumulation continues until 50–60 years. For many other parts of the brain, including globus pallidus and frontal white matter, however, no notable increase is noticed after 30 years of age [\[33\]](#page-16-18). In the thalamus, a rise of iron content up to around 35 years is observed followed by a decrease. If the variation of  $T_1$  observed here with age reflects the average variation of the iron content over the whole GM, the differences noticed in the slope of the dependence of  $T_1$  with field might reflect relaxivity changes due to iron (decreases slightly with increasing field).

However, the stronger influence on the longitudinal relaxation rate is due to macromolecular content, which in turn influences the water content of the tissue [Bottomley et al. 1984]. Mansfield and Morris [\[34\]](#page-16-19) noticed a linear relationship between  $T_1$  and water content, which they describe by  $T_1 = 7.94w - 5.16$  for non-adipose tissue, with w being the fractional water content. As seen from the large slope, and as discussed by Bottomley et al. [\[1\]](#page-15-4), the changes in water content which produce significant changes in  $T_1$  are quite marginal. This led to the inference that macromolecular composition and structure is probably the determinant factor in this correlation, playing a major role in the functionality of tissue, including its water content, as well as a major role in the NMR relaxation times.

Neeb et al. [\[13](#page-16-5)] investigated the age dependence of the water content of the white and grey matter in the whole brain, also taking into account the gender dependence. They found a clear (and quadratic) decrease in the male GM water content starting in the 6th decade of life, and a clear (and linear) decrease in the female GM water content over the whole age interval studied (20–70 years). Judging from Fig. 3 of

<span id="page-14-0"></span>**Table 3** Centroid  $(x_0)$  and width (FWHM) of the Gaussian distributions which best correspond to the WM and GM T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>2</sub><sup>\*</sup> distributions

B(T)	$1.5$ $Ta$	3T <sup>a</sup>	4T <sup>a</sup>
$T_2$ : WM: $x_0$ (ms)	87.0 (1)	83.9(2)	72.6(2)
WM: FWHM (ms)	25.8(3)	25.0(6)	22.8(5)
GM: $x_0$ (ms)	93.8(1)	85.6(2)	74.0(2)
GM: FWHM (ms)	27.8(4)	26.1(4)	25.9(5)
$T_2^*$ : WM: $x_0$ (ms)	66.5(5)	48.8(4)	39.8(4)
WM: FWHM (ms)	17.0(6)	19.8(6)	15.5(7)
GM: $x_0$ (ms)	71.5(8)	49.3(8)	41.5(8)
GM: FWHM (ms)	22.5(6)	24(1)	21.0(7)

The segmentation of the brain in white and grey matter is based on  $T_1$ segmentation. The errors given in parentheses represent the fit uncertainty. The data are for one representative volunteer

<sup>a</sup> Values from a single volunteer

Ref. [\[13\]](#page-16-5), the behaviour of male GM water content with age over the interval included in this study (23–54 years) can be considered constant. No age or gender specific changes were observed for the white matter. These findings are in very good agreement with the behaviour of  $T_1$  values found in the present study, with a mixed male and female collective, as can be expected due to the strong correlation between  $T_1$ and water content. The influence of field strength on this relationship requires further investigation.

#### Transversal relaxation times

As seen in Fig. [7,](#page-9-0) the field dependence of the transversal relaxation times is less pronounced than that of the longitudinal relaxation time, but nevertheless noticeable. The parameters of the Gaussian distributions which represent the best fit to the experimental  $T_2$  distributions for WM and GM, respectively, at different fields, are given in Table [3.](#page-14-0)

The difference in the  $T_2$  and especially  $T_2^*$  values for the bulk of white and grey matter becomes very small after 3 T. The absolute values of the bulk relaxation rates increase with field somewhat more rapidly than a linear dependence.

Whilst field independence of the  $T_2$  relaxation time can be expected theoretically [\[5](#page-15-3)], the contribution from diffusion of water molecules in inhomogeneous magnetic fields influences the measured relaxation times. This contribution introduces a field dependent component via the susceptibility gradients [\[35](#page-16-20)]. In addition, the effective transversal relaxation time,  $T_2^*$ , is also affected by dephasing produced by static field inhomogeneities. This component can also be strongly field dependent, if the effect is due to susceptibility inhomogeneities. The field dependence of the contribution to the relaxation rate caused by diffusion in susceptibility gradients is quadratic [\[36](#page-16-21)[,37](#page-16-22)].

Another field-dependent contribution arises from relaxation caused by ferritin deposits in the brain. In contrast to the diffusion-mediated mechanism, the field dependence in this case is linear [\[38](#page-16-23)].

It is difficult, using relaxation data acquired at only three field values to reliably separate linear and quadratic contributions to the relaxation rates. However, in regions where the relaxation is dominated by ferritin deposits as, for example, in the globus pallidus, the relaxation rates show a field dependence which is different from that of regions where no significant ferritin deposits are to be expected (data not shown).

Besides the shortening of relaxation times with increasing field strength, seen in Fig. [7,](#page-9-0) one notable effect is the appearance of a "shoulder" in the short relaxation times region of the  $T_2^*$  distribution. This is due predominantly to regions with strong susceptibility gradients (as in the frontal regions) or iron deposits (e.g., globus pallidus or putamen).

As mentioned in Sect. "Data processing", the  $T_2^*$  values were extracted from mono-exponential fits to the relaxation curves. It is known that the presence of intra-voxel gradients can result in a non-exponential decay of the FID from the respective voxel [\[16](#page-16-7)].

In such a case, the fitted  $T_2^*$  value is an underestimate of the relaxation time.

However, even at 4 T, the experimental decay curves were found to be close to exponential in most regions. In these regions, the relaxation rates  $R_2$ ,  $R_2^*$  and  $R'_2 = R_2^* - R_2$ , and their field dependence can give information about the iron content in brain tissue.

A limitation of the  $T_2$  and  $T_2^*$  component of this study is that results are presented for a single volunteer. Although we feel these results are representative of the  $T_2$  and  $T_2$  behavioural trends of a larger sample, the conclusions must be considered preliminary. We expect to publish a more complete study of  $T_2$  and  $T_2^*$  behaviour from the complete cohort of 12 volunteers in the near future.

# **Conclusions**

Longitudinal and transversal relaxation times have been determined at three different field strengths in the in vivo human brain, using very similar whole-body scanners and near identical sequences. The quantitative sequences were validated against spectroscopic and imaging standards, with very good agreement. In vivo results were obtained on eight male and four female volunteers, aged between 23 and 54 years. This paper focuses on the results from longitudinal relaxation time mapping, and exemplifies the results obtained for transversal relaxation times on one volunteer only. Practically whole brain coverage was obtained for the  $T_2^*$ maps (55 slices), close to whole brain for the  $T_1$  maps (a subset of 41 slices) and around half of the brain volume (27 slices) was investigated regarding its  $T_2$  properties. We have, thus, extracted information about the whole-brain

behaviour of the relaxation times, in order to avoid conclusions based on specific structures only. In addition to the extended brain coverage, high resolution was achieved for quantitative sequences:  $1 \text{ mm} \times 1 \text{ mm}$  in plane, and  $2 \text{ mm}$ slice thickness. Partial volume effects were thus kept to a minimum.

The global distribution of  $T_1$  values was investigated. A three-Gaussian decomposition was found to best reproduce the experimental  $T_1$  distributions for all volunteers at all three field strengths. The peaks correspond, in the order of increasing  $T_1$  values, largely to white matter, border between white and grey matter (with contributions from, among others, the basal ganglia), and grey matter. The latter peak partly includes voxels at the border between grey matter and CSF. Besides the well-documented increase of the  $T_1$  relaxation time with the field strength, visual inspection of the whole-brain  $T_1$  histograms shows that the separation between the peaks corresponding to white matter only (peak no. 1) and grey matter (peak no. 3) is becoming less distinct with increasing field. This is due to a large extent to the fact that the field dependence of  $T_1$  in the basal ganglia is less pronounced than that of cortical grey matter. The values corresponding to the basal ganglia increasingly fill the gap between the  $T_1$ values of white and cortical grey matter.

We have investigated the field dependence of the centroids and widths of the three Gaussians which characterise the whole-brain distribution of  $T_1$  values. The overall field dependence is quite well reproduced by both Bottomley's formula [\[1](#page-15-4)] with parameters adjusted by Rooney et al. [\[19](#page-16-11)], and by Fischer's formula [\[2\]](#page-15-0) with the original parameters. However, the separation between the experimental centroids corresponding to white and grey matter is either overestimated (Bottomley's formula with Rooney's parameters) or underestimated (Fischer's formula) towards high fields. This separation is a matter of concern regarding the usefulness of very high fields for in vivo brain imaging. Based on our data and data from the literature, it seems very unlikely that the  $T_1$ contrast between white and grey matter will disappear even at the highest fields where human in vivo imaging is planned (11.7 T). The increasing spread in the  $T_1$  values of grey matter with increasing field strength, and to a more moderate extent, those of white matter, are likely to allow for better differentiation of brain regions which are microscopically different. We note that increased RF field inhomogeneities at 4 T might also have contributed to the observed increase in the width of the  $T_1$  distributions, most pronouncedly so for the grey matter.

We have further investigated the age dependence of the centroids of the three peaks identified in the global distribution of  $T_1$  values. No correlation with age was identified for the white matter  $T_1$  values. In contrast, a clear dependence of the  $T_1$  of grey matter on age was noticed: the  $T_1$ values decrease linearly with increasing age over the interval

investigated here (23–54 years). A slight dependence of the proportionality constant on field was noticed. However, the limited size of our group of volunteers did not allow us to study the age dependence separately for males and females, whereas a study of the brain water content indicates that the behaviour might be different [\[13](#page-16-5)]. In order to investigate the field dependence of the proportionality constant which describes the age dependence of  $T_1$  values, further investigation of the  $T_1$  behaviour with age should be performed at different field strengths with larger groups of volunteers and covering a more extended age interval.

The brain tissue was segmented in white and grey matter based on  $T_1$  values. Based on this segmentation, it was possible to investigate the behaviour of the T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>2</sub><sup>\*</sup> values of white and grey matter separately. The bulk values of the relaxation rates were found to increase with field.

By combining the information obtained for all three relaxation times, the study of the field dependence of these NMR properties is expected to allow for better differentiation between regions which are structurally different, provide a better insight into the microscopic structure of the brain and the molecular substrate of its function.

Scanners with similar hardware and software as the three scanners used here are becoming available at the ultra-high fields of 7 and 9.4 T. In future work, it would be very desirable to complement our data with values of the relaxation times at these fields obtained for the whole brain with the same mapping method.

**Acknowledgments** The authors are grateful to Mrs. C. Kemper and Mrs. B. Elghahwagi for help with the selection and coordination of volunteers. Enlightening discussions with Dr. H. Neeb at various stages of this work are gratefully acknowledged. This research was supported by grants from the Bundesministerium fuer Bildung und Forschung (BMBF).

#### <span id="page-15-4"></span>**References**

- 1. Bottomley PA, Foster TH, Argersinger RE, Pfeifer LM (1984) A review of normal tissue hydrogen NMR relaxation times and relaxation mechanisms from 1–100MHz: dependence on tissue type, NMR frequency, temperature, species, excision, and age. Med Phys 11: 425–448
- <span id="page-15-0"></span>2. Fischer HW, Rinck PA, Van Haverbeke Y, Muller RN (1990) Nuclear relaxation of human brain gray and white matter: analysis of field dependence and implications for MRI. Magn Reson Med 16: 317–334
- <span id="page-15-1"></span>3. Li TQ, van Gelderen P, Merkle H, Talagala L, Koretsky AP, Duyn J (2006) Extensive heterogeneity in white matter intensity in highresolution T<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>weighted MRI of the human brain at 7.0 T. Neuro-Image 32: 1032–1040
- <span id="page-15-2"></span>4. Duyn JH, van Gelderen P, Li TQ, de Zwart JA, Koretsky AP, Fukunaga M (2007) High-field MRI of brain cortical substructure based on signal phase. PNAS 104: 11796–11801
- <span id="page-15-3"></span>5. Bloembergen N, Purcell EM, Pound RV (1947) Relaxation effects in nuclear magnetic resonance absorption. Phys Rev 73: 679–715
- <span id="page-16-0"></span>6. Koenig S (1996) Molecular basis of magnetic relaxation of water protons of tissue. Acad Radiol 3: 597–605
- 7. Bryant RG, Mendelson DA, Coolbaugh Lester C (1991) The magnetic field dependence of proton spin relaxation in tissues. Magn Reson Med 21: 117–126
- <span id="page-16-1"></span>8. Halle B, Denisov VP, Venu K (1999) Multinuclear relaxation dispersion studies of protein hydration. Biol Magn Reson 17: 419–449
- <span id="page-16-2"></span>9. Shah NJ, Zaitsev M, Steinhoff S, Zilles K (2001) A new method for fast multislice  $T_1$  mapping. NeuroImage 14: 1175–1185
- 10. Steinhoff S, Zaitsev M, Zilles K, Shah NJ (2001) Fast  $T_1$  mapping with volume coverage. Magn Reson Med 46: 131–140
- <span id="page-16-8"></span>11. Zaitsev M, Steinhoff S, Shah NJ (2003) Error reduction and parameter optimization of the TAPIR method for fast  $T_1$  mapping. Magn Reson Med 49: 1121–1132
- <span id="page-16-4"></span>12. Neeb H, Zilles K, Shah NJ (2006) A new method for fast quantitative mapping of absolute water content in vivo. NeuroImage 31: 1156–1168
- <span id="page-16-5"></span>13. Neeb H, Zilles K, Shah NJ (2006) Fully-automated detection of cerebral water content changes: study of age- and gender-related H2O patters with quantitative MRI. NeuroImage 29: 910–922
- <span id="page-16-6"></span>14. Shah NJ, Zaitsev M, Steinhoff S, Wiese S, Zilles K (2000) Development of sequences for fMRI: keyhole imaging and relaxation time mapping. <http://eenc.uni-leipzig.de/Shah.pdf>
- <span id="page-16-3"></span>15. Dierkes T, Neeb H, Shah NJ (2004) Distortion correction in echoplanar imaging and quantitative T<sup>\*</sup><sub>2</sub> mapping. Proceedings of the international workshop on quantitation in biomedical imaging with PET and MRI. Int Congr Ser 1265: 181–185
- <span id="page-16-7"></span>16. Dahnke H and Schaeffter T (2005) Limits of detection of SPIO at 3T using T<sup>\*</sup><sub>2</sub> relaxometry. Magn Reson Med 53:1202-1206
- <span id="page-16-9"></span>17. Smith SM (2002) Fast robust automated brain extraction. Human Brain Map 17(3): 143–155
- <span id="page-16-10"></span>18. Smith SM, Jenkinson M, Woolrich MW, Beckmann CF, Behrens TEJ, Johansen-Berg H, Bannister PR, De Luca M, Drobnjak I, Flitney DE, Niazy R, Saunders J, Vickers J, Zhang Y, De Stefano N, Brady JM, Matthews PM (2004) Advances in functional and structural MR image analysis and implementation as FSL. NeuroImage 23: 208–219
- <span id="page-16-11"></span>19. Rooney WD, Johnson G, Li X, Cohen ER, Kim S-G, Ugurbil K, Springer CSJr (2007) Magnetic field and tissue dependencies of human brain longitudinal 1H2O relaxation in vivo. Magn Reson Med 57: 308–318
- 20. Schenk JF (1995) Brain iron by magnetic resonance:  $T_2$  at different field strengths. J Neurol sci 134: 10–18
- 21. Kingsley PB, Ogg RJ, Reddick WE, Steen RG (1998) Correction of errors caused by imperfect inversion pulses in MR imaging measurement of  $T_1$  relaxation times. Magn Reson Imag 16: 1049–1055
- 22. Steen RG, Reddick WE, Ogg RJ (2000) More than meets the eye: significant regional heterogeneity in human cortical  $T_1$ . Magn Reson Imag 18: 361–368
- 23. Vavasour IM, Clark CM, Li DK, Mackay AL (2006) Reproducibility and reliability of MR measurements in white matter: clinical implications. Neuroimage 32: 637–642
- 24. Gelman N, Ewing JR, Gorell JM, Spickler EM, Solomon EG (2001) Interregional variation of longitudinal relaxation rates in human brain at 3.0 T: relation to estimated iron and water contents. Magn Reson Med 45: 71–79
- 25. Jezzard P, Duewell S, Balaban RS (1996) MR relaxation times in human brain: measurement at 4 T. Radiology 199: 773–779
- 26. Lin C, Bernstein MA, Huston J, Fein SB (2001) In vivo and in vitro measurements of  $T_1$  relaxation at 3.0 T. Proc 9th Meeting of the Intl Soc Mag Reson Med 9:1391
- <span id="page-16-12"></span>27. Kim SG, Hu XP, Ugurbil K (1994) Accurate  $T_1$  determination from inversion-recovery images-application to human brain at 4-Tesla. Magn Reson Med 31: 445–449
- <span id="page-16-13"></span>28. Meara SJ, Barker GJ (2007) Impact of incidental magnetization transfer effects on inversion recovery sequences that use a fast spin-echo readout. Magn Reson Med 58(4): 825–829
- <span id="page-16-14"></span>29. Turner R, Oros-Peusquens AM, Romanzetti S, Zilles K and Shah NJ. Optimised in-vivo visualisation of cortical structures in the human brain at 3T using IR-TSE. Magn Reson Imag 2008, in print
- <span id="page-16-15"></span>30. Suzuki S, Sakai O, Jara H (2006) Combined volumetric  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$ , and secular- $T_2$  quantitative MRI of the brain: age-related changes: preliminary results. Magn Reson Imag 24: 877–887
- <span id="page-16-16"></span>31. Andersen C (1997) In vivo estimation of water content in cerebral white matter of brain tumour patients and normal individuals: towards a quantitative brain oedema definition. Acta Neurochir. (Wien) 139: 249–256
- <span id="page-16-17"></span>32. Cho S, Jones D, Reddick WE, Ogg RJ, Steen RG (1997) Establishing norms for age-related changes in proton  $T_1$  of human brain tissue in vivo. Magn Reson Imag 15: 1133–1143
- <span id="page-16-18"></span>33. Hallgren P, Sourander B (1958) The effect of age on the nonhaemian iron in the human brain. J Neurochem 3: 41–51
- <span id="page-16-19"></span>34. Mansfield P, Morris D (1982) NMR imaging in biomedicine. Academic, New York, pp 10–32
- <span id="page-16-20"></span>35. Bartha R, Michaeli S, Merkle H, Adriany G, Andersen P, Chen W, Ugurbil K, Garwood M (2002) In vivo 1H2O T2+ measurement in the human occipital lobe at 4T and 7T by Carr–Purcell MRI: detection of microscopic susceptibility contrast. Magn Reson Med 47: 742–750
- <span id="page-16-21"></span>36. Majumdar S, Gore JC (1998) Studies of diffusion in random fields produced by variations in susceptibility. J Magn Reson 78: 41–55
- <span id="page-16-22"></span>37. Kennan RP, Zhong J, Gore JC (1994) Intravascular susceptibility contrast mechanisms in tissues. Magn Reson Med 31: 9–21
- <span id="page-16-23"></span>38. Gossuin Y,Muller RN, Gillis P (2004) Relaxation induced by ferritin: a better understanding for an improved MRI iron quantification. NMR Biomed 17:427–432
- 39. RooneyWD, Li X, Springer CS, Telang FW, Coyle PK, Caparelli E, Ernst T, Chang L. Age and sex: effects on brain properties assessed by 1H2OT1 histograms. In: Proceedings of the 11th Annual Meeting of ISMRM,Toronto, Canada, 2003 (Abstract 1087)