

Effects of rice straw, biochar and mineral fertiliser on methane (CH₄) and nitrous oxide (N₂O) emissions from rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) grown in a rain-fed lowland rice soil of Cambodia: a pot experiment

Proyuth Ly · Quynh Duong Vu · Lars Stoumann Jensen · Arjun Pandey · Andreas de Neergaard

Received: 5 April 2014/Revised: 24 September 2014/Accepted: 15 October 2014/Published online: 28 October 2014
© The International Society of Paddy and Water Environment Engineering and Springer Japan 2014

Abstract We studied the effects of water regimes and nutrient amendments on CH₄ and N₂O emissions in a 2 × 3 factorial, completely randomised growth chamber experiment. Treatments included continuously flooded (CF) and alternate wetting and drying (AWD), and three organic amendments: no amendment-control, rice straw (RS) and biochar (BC). Compound fertiliser was applied to all treatments. Rice was grown in columns packed with a paddy soil from Cambodia. Results revealed faster mineralisation of organic carbon (RS and BC) when applied in water-saturated conditions lasting for 2 weeks instead of flooding. This resulted in lower total CH₄ emissions in treatments under AWD than those under the CF water regime, namely 44 % in RS treatments and 29 % in BC treatments. Nitrous oxide fluxes were generally non-detectable during the experimental period except after fertilisation events, and the total N₂O–N emissions accounted for on average 1.7 % of the total applied mineral fertiliser N. Overall, the global warming potentials (GWPs) were lower in treatments under AWD than those under the CF water regime except for the control treatment with only mineral fertiliser application. Grain yields were slightly higher in treatments under AWD than the CF water regime. Hence, the yield-scaled GWP was also lower in the treatments under the AWD water regime, namely 51 % in RS, 59 % in BC and 17 % in control treatments. Control treatments had the lowest GWP, but provided the highest

yield. The yield-scaled GWP under these treatments was therefore lower than under the other treatments.

Keywords Greenhouse gas emissions · Methane · Nitrous oxide · Nutrient and water management · Rice

Introduction

Rice cultivation has been identified as a major source of atmospheric greenhouse gas emissions (GHGs), mainly in the form of methane (CH₄), globally releasing approximately 31–83 Tg year⁻¹ (Reay et al. 2010). With a projected increase in global rice consumption of around 45 % by 2050 (Rejesus et al. 2012), efforts to intensify and boost rice production to meet the increasingly global demand will lead to a further rise in CH₄ emissions into the atmosphere. Increasing rice production without increasing the release of GHG emissions therefore presents a major scientific and societal challenge.

In rice cultivation, rice straw (RS) is either removed from the fields for use as animal fodder, left in the fields or burned in situ. The burning of RS is generally not recommended as it causes nutrient loss, air pollution and damage to human health (Dobermann and Fairhurst 2002; Knoblauch et al. 2011). The incorporation of crop residues into the fields has therefore been widely promoted to preserve organic matter and nutrients in the soil. However, it has been reported that the incorporation of crop residues into the rice field increases CH₄ emissions significantly (Naser et al. 2007; Wang et al. 2012b; Wassmann et al. 1996) as CH₄ production in rice fields largely depends on the availability of readily decomposable organic materials that serve as a source of both carbon (C) and energy for microorganisms (Wassmann and Aulakh 2000). The effect

P. Ly (✉) · Q. Duong Vu · L. S. Jensen · A. Pandey · A. de Neergaard
Department of Plant and Environmental Sciences, Faculty of Science, University of Copenhagen, Thorvaldsensvej 40, 1871 Frederiksberg C, Denmark
e-mail: proyuth.ly@gmail.com

of organic matter application depends on the amounts of the labile fraction in the applied and inherent soil organic matter at flooding (Yagi and Minami 1990). Therefore, the application of fresh organic materials will lead to considerable CH₄ emissions. Various mitigation options have been proposed to reduce CH₄ emissions from rice cultivation. These include modified water management, i.e. alternating wetting and drying (Itoh et al. 2011; Lu et al. 2000; Ly et al. 2013; Towprayoon et al. 2005), off-season application of organic materials and application of organic materials with more stabilised carbon, i.e. well-decomposed organic materials or biochar (incomplete combustion of organic materials) (Knoblauch et al. 2011; Shin et al. 1996).

Over the past few years, there has been increasing interest in biochar (BC) owing to its potential for carbon sequestration in soils, nutrient retention and GHG mitigations while simultaneously increasing crop yields (Feng et al. 2012; Ippolito et al. 2012; Sarkhot et al. 2012; Zhang et al. 2010). Woolf et al. (2010) estimated that annual net emissions of CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O could be reduced by about 12 % of current anthropogenic CO₂-eq emissions due to global sustainable implementation of BC, without compromising food security, habitat or soil conservation. Nevertheless, studies showed inconsistent results about the responses of CH₄ emissions following BC amendment. Some studies (Knoblauch et al. 2011; Singla and Inubushi 2014; Wang et al. 2012a; Zhang et al. 2010; Zhang et al. 2012) reported a remarkable increase in CH₄ emissions following BC amendment in paddy soils. The increase in CH₄ emissions in BC-amended soils could be due to the labile C from BC as a predominant source of methanogenic substrates (Knoblauch et al. 2011). Other studies meanwhile found that CH₄ emissions decreased with the addition of BC (Feng et al. 2012; Liu et al. 2011), and this can be explained by the inhibition of methanogenic activity, stimulation of methanotrophic activity and enhancement of CH₄ adsorption in soil (Liu et al. 2011). Indeed, the underlying mechanisms of the effects of BC addition on CH₄ emissions may vary with soil type, water regime, type of biomass feedstock and charring condition (temperature and heating time) (Spokas et al. 2009; Yu et al. 2013). Nevertheless, there have been few investigations into the rate of C mineralisation from BC compared to fresh organic material following application in two contrasting water management regimes, namely saturated conditions and flooded conditions. The objectives of this present study were to examine the effects of water regimes (continuously flooded and alternating saturated-flooded) and RS, BC and mineral fertilisers on GHGs, primarily CH₄ and N₂O. It was hypothesised that (1) alternating saturated-flooded conditions will increase the speed of RS decomposition and thus result in significantly lower CH₄ emissions at the later

stage compared to continuously flooded treatments; (2) due to C stability in BC, the effects of water regimes on CH₄ emissions from BC will be less pronounced compared to RS and (3) N₂O emissions rise as the rate of mineral fertiliser application increases.

Materials and methods

The trial was carried out in a controlled-environment growth chamber at the Faculty of Science, University of Copenhagen, Denmark. The temperature was maintained at 28 °C during the first month of the experiment, but was reduced to 25 °C during the day and 22 °C during the night. The light regime was 12 h a day (from 5 to 17 h), and the light intensity was 400 µE.

Soil column and gas sampling chamber design

Cultivation of rice and measurement of GHG emissions from the soil and rice system were conducted in cylindrical soil columns on which cylindrical headspace chambers could be mounted for gas sampling. The soil columns and gas sampling chambers were made of transparent Plexiglas (diameter 14 cm and height 30 cm for soil column; 60 cm high for gas sampling chamber). A ring frame with a water seal was placed on top of the soil column to ensure the headspace was air-tight. Inside the gas sampling chamber, two mini electric fans (4 cm, 12 V DC) were installed at the top and bottom, facing opposite directions, to ensure homogenous air mixture. Gas samples were collected through a rubber septum placed on the top of the chamber. The temperature inside the chamber was measured via a thermometer probe inserted through another rubber septum. The air-tightness of the chamber was tested using infrared gas analysis (IRGA) (WMA-2 CO₂ analyser, PP Systems, UK), and CO₂ leakage was found to be minimal (<1 %) over a deployment time of 2 h.

Experimental set-up, plant establishment and management

The experiment was a 2 × 3 factorial, completely randomised design, and the treatments included combinations of two irrigation regimes: continuously flooded (CF) and alternate wetting and drying (AWD), and three organic amendments (no amendment-control, RS and BC).

The soil used in this experiment is a rain-fed lowland rice soil from Cambodia, collected from the plough-layer (25 cm) on a farmer's plot (11°3'38.69"N, 104°34'52.38"E) in Ta Suon village, Trapeang Thom Khang Cheung commune, Tramkak district, Takeo province. It has a sandy texture (70 % sand), is very acidic in reaction (pH 3.82) and

Table 1 Soil, rice straw and biochar properties

Properties	Value
Soil	
Coarse sand (%)	14
Fine sand (%)	56
Silt (%)	21
Clay (%)	9
pH (KCl)	3.82
Cation exchange capacity, CEC (cmol kg ⁻¹)	2.92
Total N (g kg ⁻¹)	0.53
Total C (g kg ⁻¹)	5.25
Extractable P (mg kg ⁻¹)	1.94
Extractable K (mg kg ⁻¹)	1.25
Rice straw	
Total C (% of dry matter)	39.85
Total N (% of dry matter)	0.85
Biochar	
Total C (% of dry matter)	50.34
Total N (% of dry matter)	1.51

Table 2 Treatment details

Water regime	Nutrient amendment	Amount of organic materials and mineral fertiliser applied per column	
		Organic material (g)	N from mineral fertiliser (g)
Continuously flooded (CF)	Rice straw (RS) + mineral fertiliser	18.75 (15,000)	0.29 (232)
	Biochar (BC) + mineral fertiliser	6.25 (5,000)	0.36 (284)
	No organic amendment (control), only mineral fertiliser	–	0.45 (360)
Alternate wetting and drying (AWD)	Rice straw (RS) + mineral fertiliser	18.75 (15,000)	0.29 (232)
	Biochar (BC) + mineral fertiliser	6.25 (5,000)	0.36 (284)
	No organic amendment (control), only mineral fertiliser	–	0.45 (360)

Numbers in parentheses indicate equivalent amount to kg ha⁻¹

has a low organic carbon content (5.2 g kg⁻¹), low cation exchange capacity (CEC) and low nutrient availability (Table 1). The soil was classified as belonging to the Prateah

Lang group (local classification) or Plinthustalfs (Bell and Seng 2004; Hin et al. 2005). This soil type accounts for about 28 % of soil used for rice production in Cambodia (Bell and Seng 2004).

The soil was homogenously mixed and packed into 18 columns (3 kg each, dry weight basis). Rice straw and BC were applied as a basal amendment at a rate of 18.75 g dry weight per column (0.16 g total N) for RS and 6.25 g per column (0.09 g total N) for BC (Table 2). The application rate of RS is equivalent to 15 t ha⁻¹ (soil bulk density 1.2 g cm⁻³ at 20 cm depth) (Table 2). The application rate of BC is 5 t ha⁻¹, equivalent to 15 t of RS (based on a conversion factor of 3 kg straw to 1 kg biochar) (Table 2). Rice straw and BC were homogenously mixed with soil before being packed into the column. The RS used in this experiment was collected after the spring rice harvest in 2012 in Vietnam. Biochar was produced from RS by a pyrolysis process of dry RS (at 450 °C for 45 min in a closed iron container, externally heated by RS burning), with a char yield of 30 %. Then RS and BC materials were collected from Vietnam and transported to the laboratory at Copenhagen University for the experiment. Their properties are given in Table 1.

After packing the soil, tap-water (0.014 mg ammonium, 2.4 mg nitrate, 76 mg sulphate, 113 mg Ca, 4 mg K and 0.007 mg P L⁻¹, pH 7.5, electrical conductivity 66 mS m⁻¹) was added to each column, at saturated level in the AWD treatments and flooding (2 cm depth) in the CF treatments. During the first 2 weeks, the CF treatments received additional watering twice to keep them flooded, however no water was added to the AWD treatments during this period. Details on water regimes in both treatments, CF and AWD, are indicated in Fig. 1. Two weeks after watering, a single 14-day-old seedling of a Cambodia's premium fragrant rice cultivar Phka Rumduol (*Oryza sativa* L.) was transplanted in each column of all treatments. This rice cultivar is a medium maturity variety, and it was awarded the "World's Best Rice" for two consecutive years, during the World Rice Conference in Bali in 2012 and in Hong Kong in 2013. On the transplanting day, NPK mineral fertilisers (11–5–18) were applied (deep application) to all treatments. Mineral fertiliser was applied at a rate equivalent to 360 kg N ha⁻¹ (0.45 g N per column) split into three doses: 50 % on the first application (during transplanting), 25 % on the second application (20 days after transplanting) and 25 % on the third application (37 days after transplanting). In the RS and BC-amended treatments, the amount of mineral nitrogen fertiliser was adjusted according to the level of N content in the RS, and BC applied to these treatments to ensure that the nitrogen amount of all treatments was similar. The total amount of mineral fertiliser was 232 kg N ha⁻¹ (0.29 g N per column) for the RS treatment and 284 kg N ha⁻¹




Fig. 1 Variations in CH₄ fluxes for the six treatments (*top panel*) and changes in redox potentials (*bottom panel*). The water regime is indicated in the middle, with saturated soil (*hatched rectangle*), flooding (*grey rectangle*) and drying (*white rectangle*), and

(*downwards arrow*) indicates the mineral fertiliser application day. Error bars were removed for better visibility. *CF* continuously flooded, *AWD* alternating wetting and drying, *RS* rice straw, *BC* biochar, *MF* mineral fertiliser

(0.36 g N per column) for the BC (Table 2). In terms of water regimes, after transplanting and fertilisation, the AWD treatments were flooded to the same level as the CF treatments. The AWD treatments were dried once (32 days after transplanting), which lasted for 5 days. Drying was via evapotranspiration, eliminating nutrient losses by drainage.

Gas sampling, analysis and calculation

Gas samples were collected 28 times over the growth period, mostly once every 2–3 days during the first 2 months. The chamber deployment time was 40 min, during which three gas samples were collected (at time 0 and after 20 and 40 min). Gas samples were taken using a 60-ml syringe. Immediately after sampling, a used 3-ml

vial (ExetainerLabco, UK) was flushed with 45–50 ml of the gas sample through two injection needles inserted in the septum. Samples were collected first from replicate 1 of each treatment (9 am), followed by replicates 2 (10 am) and 3 (11 am).

The concentrations of CH₄, N₂O and CO₂ were simultaneously measured using a gas chromatograph (Bruker 450-GC 2011) equipped with detectors for CH₄, N₂O and CO₂. Methane was determined using a flame ionisation detector (FID) at 300 °C, N₂O was determined by electron capture detector (ECD) at 350 °C and CO₂ was determined by thermal conductivity detector (TCD) at a temperature of 200 °C. The oven temperature was set at 50 °C. The carrier gas for CO₂ and CH₄ is He (99.999 %), and for N₂O it is argon with 5 % CH₄ at a flow rate of 60 ml min⁻¹.

The gas fluxes were calculated using the following equation given by (Smith and Conen 2004):

$$F = \left(\frac{\Delta C}{\Delta t}\right) * \left(\frac{v}{A}\right) * \left(\frac{M}{V}\right) * \left(\frac{P}{P_0}\right) * \left(\frac{273}{T}\right), \quad (1)$$

where ΔC is the change in concentration of the gas of interest in time interval Δt , v and A are the chamber volume and soil surface area, respectively, M is the molecular weight of the gas of interest, V is the volume occupied by 1 mol of the gas at standard temperature and pressure (22.4 L), P is the barometric pressure (mbar), P_0 is the standard pressure (1,013 mbar), and T is the average temperature inside the chamber during the deployment time (K).

The cumulative emission of CH_4 , N_2O or CO_2 over the rice-growing cycle was calculated from the integration of the area under the curve of each measurement point. The area between two adjacent intervals of the measurement days was calculated using the trapezoid formula as follows:

$$A_{t(ab)} = \frac{(t_b - t_a) \cdot (F_{ta} - F_{tb})}{2}, \quad (2)$$

where $A_{t(ab)}$ is the area of the two adjacent intervals of the measurement days (between t_a and t_b), t_a and t_b are the dates of the two measurements, respectively, and F_{ta} and F_{tb} are the fluxes of the gas of interest at the two measurement dates, respectively.

Therefore, the cumulative emission of CH_4 , N_2O or CO_2 over the rice-growing cycle can be calculated using the following formula:

$$\text{Cumulative emission of } \text{CH}_4, \text{N}_2\text{O or } \text{CO}_2 = \sum A_{t(ab)}. \quad (3)$$

Global warming potential (GWP) over a hundred-year period was calculated by multiplying seasonal CH_4 emissions by a factor of 25 and seasonal N_2O emissions by a factor of 298 to convert them into CO_2 -equivalents. Yield-scaled GWP (CO_2 -equivalents per unit of paddy grain produced) was calculated by dividing CO_2 -equivalents by paddy grain produced.

Additional measurements

Four platinum electrodes were permanently installed (CF-RS, AWD-RS, AWD-BC and AWD-Control) to monitor the redox potentials under both water treatments. The redox measurements were taken on each of the sampling days. At the end of the experiment (124 days after transplanting), paddy grain at harvest maturity was harvested; the above-ground plant biomass was also collected, oven-dried and weighed.

Statistical analysis

Statistical tests were performed using SPSS 16 for Windows. The interaction effects of water and nutrient management were tested using two-way ANOVA. The simple main effect analysis was conducted to test the effects of water within each treatment (pair-wise comparison of treatments with the same nutrient amendment under different water managements, i.e. CF-RS vs. AWD-RS, CF-BC vs. AWD-BC and CF-Control vs. AWD-Control). One-way ANOVA was also performed to examine the effect of nutrient amendment under each water regime. Differences between treatment means under each regime were analysed using the least significant difference (LSD) method.

Results

Methane emissions and redox potentials

Daily fluxes of CH_4 and the changes in redox potentials are depicted in Fig. 1. Methane emissions from all treatments were observed just 2 days after watering, when the redox potentials ranged from -18 to -133 mV. The first peak of CH_4 emissions occurred at 5–9 days after watering soil for RS-amended treatments in both water regimes. Redox potentials during the peak emission were in an oxidised state (109 mV) for the AWD-RS treatment, but in a reduced state (-127 mV) in CF-RS. A significantly high CH_4 emission from CF-RS at 9 days after watering was due to an extremely high CH_4 emission from a replicate (replicate 1), which was up to $129 \text{ mg column}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$. At 14 days after watering (1 day after rice transplanting), CH_4 emissions from all treatments were similar, ranging from $0.07 \text{ mg column}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$ to $0.50 \text{ mg column}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$. After rice transplanting, CH_4 emissions from the CF-RS treatment gradually increased, while CH_4 emissions from the AWD-RS treatment lagged behind. However, CH_4 emissions from the CF-RS and AWD-RS treatments reached the second peak at a similar period, which was at about 35 days after watering (22 days after rice transplanting). A significant drop in CH_4 emissions from AWD-RS was identified during the drying period, with lagged emissions again after re-flooding. The gradual increase in CH_4 emissions from CF-BC was observed only after about 65 days after watering (52 days after transplanting).

Within the first 14 days, carbon turnover was faster in treatments under the AWD water regime than those under the CF water regime (Fig. 2). Carbon mineralisation was highest in the RS treatments. Under both water regimes, C loss was mainly in the form of $\text{CO}_2\text{-C}$, accounting for more than 90 % of the total C loss except in the CF-RS treatment where C loss via $\text{CH}_4\text{-C}$ accounted for about 51 % of the





Fig. 2 C loss within 14 days. Error bars indicate standard errors of means. Small letters indicate significance of fertiliser treatments ($p < 0.05$) and capital letters indicate significance of water regime



($p < 0.05$). CF continuously flooded, AWD alternating wetting and drying, RS rice straw, BC biochar




Fig. 3 Total CH₄ fluxes. Error bars indicate standard errors of means. Small letters indicate significance of fertiliser treatments ($p < 0.05$) and capital letters indicate significance of water regime ($p < 0.05$). CF continuously flooded, AWD alternating wetting and drying, RS rice straw, BC biochar

total C mineralisation (Fig. 2). As a ratio to total C (SOC + carbon from applied organic materials), C loss ranged from 0.11 % in the CF-BC treatment to 1.9 % in the AWD-RS treatment (Fig. 2).

Total CH₄ emissions during the experimental period are shown in Fig. 3. Total CH₄ emissions were highest in the straw-amended treatments followed by BC, while the treatments without organic amendments had the lowest CH₄ emissions. By comparing treatments with the same nutrient amendments in both water regimes, CH₄ emissions in organic amendment treatments were lower in AWD than in the CF water regime, namely 44 % lower in the RS

treatments ($p < 0.01$) and 29 % lower in BC treatments ($p > 0.05$). However, total CH₄ emissions were higher under the AWD water regime for the treatments without organic amendment (Control).

Nitrous oxide emissions

Except after fertilisation events, N₂O fluxes were generally non-detectable during the experimental period (Fig. 4). After the first application of mineral fertiliser, the highest emission was found in CF-Control (about 0.48 mg N₂O per column day⁻¹). After the second and third applications, CF-BC had the highest emissions, ranging from 2.84 to 4 mg N₂O per column day⁻¹, respectively (Fig. 4).

The cumulative emission of N₂O is depicted in Fig. 5. In all cases, the treatments under the CF water regime had higher total N₂O emissions than the treatments under the AWD water regime. Under the CF water regime, the BC treatment had the highest total N₂O emissions, which are 28 and 121 % higher than those of control and RS, respectively. Under the AWD water regime, the highest emissions were found in the control treatments, followed by the BC treatments.

As a percentage of the N from mineral fertilisers, the total N₂O–N emissions represented about 1.72 % on average, ranging from 0.75 % in AWD-RS to 3.41 % in CF-BC (Fig. 6).

Yield and yield-scaled global warming potential

Grain yields were slightly higher in treatments under AWD than under the CF water regime ($p > 0.05$). However, grain yields were very responsive to the mineral fertiliser




Fig. 4 Variations in N₂O fluxes for the six treatments. Water regime is indicated at the bottom, with saturated soil (*hatched rectangle*), flooding (*grey rectangle*) and drying (*white rectangle*), and (*downwards arrow*) indicates mineral fertiliser application day. *Error bars*

were removed for better visibility. *CF* continuously flooded, *AWD* alternating wetting and drying, *RS* rice straw, *BC* biochar, *MF* mineral fertiliser




Fig. 5 Total N₂O fluxes. *Error bars* indicate standard errors of means. *Small letters* indicate significance of fertiliser treatments ($p < 0.05$), *capital letters* indicate significance of water regime ($p < 0.05$). *CF* continuously flooded, *AWD* alternating wetting and drying, *RS* rice straw, *BC* biochar




Fig. 6 N loss via N₂O emissions, proportional to N from mineral fertiliser. *Error bars* indicate standard errors of means. *Small letters* indicate significance of fertiliser treatments ($p < 0.05$) and *capital letters* indicate significance of water regime ($p < 0.05$). *CF* continuously flooded, *AWD* alternating wetting and drying, *RS* rice straw, *BC* biochar

application rates, most likely due to N limitation in the nutrient-poor soil used in the experiment. Under both water regimes, 87–99 % of the yield variation can be explained

by an increased rate of mineral fertiliser application (Fig. 7). The lowest yield under RS-amended treatment could be attributed to two factors: the low amount of




Fig. 7 Grain yield by treatment and yield response to N fertiliser application rates. Error bars indicate standard errors of means. Small letters indicate significance of fertiliser treatments ($p < 0.05$) and capital letters indicate significance of water regime ($p < 0.05$). CF continuously flooded, AWD alternating wetting and drying, RS rice straw, BC biochar




Fig. 9 Yield-scaled global warming potentials. Error bars indicate standard errors of means. Small letters indicate significance of fertiliser treatments ($p < 0.05$) and capital letters indicate significance of water regime ($p < 0.05$). CF continuously flooded, AWD alternating wetting and drying, RS rice straw, BC biochar




Fig. 8 Global warming potentials. Error bars indicate standard errors of means. Small letters indicate significance of fertiliser treatments ($p < 0.05$) and capital letters indicate significance of water regime ($p < 0.05$). CF continuously flooded, AWD alternating wetting and drying, RS rice straw, BC biochar

mineral N applied compared to the two other treatments, and N immobilisation leading to N limitation for crops. The BC-amended treatment received the same amount of total N (biochar + mineral N) as the control treatment (mineral N only) and BC, being a relatively inert material, is not expected to immobilise N. The lower BC yield can be explained by the low bioavailability of N in BC compared to the N applied through mineral fertiliser. The lower yield in the BC-amended treatment is therefore not due to

the reduction effect of BC but due to lower plant available N compared to control treatment.

The GWPs were lower in treatments under AWD than in those under the CF water regime except in the control treatment (Fig. 8), and therefore the yield-scaled GWP was also lower in the treatments under the AWD water regime, namely 51 % in RS, 59 % in BC and 17 % in the control treatments (Fig. 9). While control treatments had the lowest GWPs (Fig. 8) but provided the highest yield (Fig. 7), the yield-scaled GWP under these treatments was also lower than the other treatments (Fig. 9). Under the CF water regime, the yield-scaled GWPs in the control treatment were 55 and 83 % lower than those in the BC and RS treatments, respectively. The yield-scaled GWPs in the control treatment under the AWD water regime were reduced by 9 % compared to the BC treatment and by 70 % compared to the RS treatment.

Discussion

Effects of organic amendments and water regime on CH₄ emissions

The effect of organic matter application on CH₄ emissions depends on the amount of the labile fraction in the applied and inherent soil organic matter at flooding (Yagi and Minami 1990). In the present study, CH₄ emissions were found to rise with the increased rates of organic carbon under both water regimes (Fig. 10). However, organic C from fresh organic material (RS) had a greater




Fig. 10 Linear regressions between CH₄-C emissions and organic carbon. Error bars indicate standard errors of means. CF continuously flooded, AWD alternating wetting and drying

effect on CH₄ emissions than carbonised RS (BC). A unit increase in organic C from BC resulted in an increase of 0.051 units of CH₄-C in the CF water regime and 0.014 units of CH₄-C in the AWD water regime (data not shown). However, in the RS-amended treatments, CH₄-C increased by a factor of 0.078 and 0.033 at a unit increase of organic C in the CF and AWD water regimes respectively (data not shown). The smaller effect of BC on CH₄ emissions is due to its recalcitrance to microbial decomposition. Feng et al. (2012) reported that BC amendments increased methanotrophic proteobacterial abundances significantly, and decreased the ratio of methanogenic to methanotrophic abundances greatly. However, under the straw-amended treatment, they found that methanogenic archaeal growth was stimulated to a greater extent than that of methanotrophic proteobacteria, which resulted in the highest ratio of methanogenic archaeal abundance to methanotrophic proteobacterial abundance. As a result, BC amendment significantly decreased CH₄ emissions from paddy soils compared with those from the traditional straw return treatment (Feng et al. 2012). In this present study, the results revealed that with the same level of organic C applied, CH₄ emissions were reduced by 35 % in the CF water regime and 58 % in the AWD water regime by applying BC instead of RS. Knoblauch et al. (2011) documented significantly faster mineralisation of organic carbon when rice husk was applied untreated compared to charred rice husk, and estimated that field CH₄ emissions may be reduced by as much as 80 % by charring of rice residues and adding the black carbon obtained to paddy fields instead of incorporating untreated harvest residues. Dong et al. (2013) reported a reduction in CH₄ emissions by 47–86 % from applying BC instead of RS.

Comparing water treatments, there was a lesser effect of organic C on CH₄ emissions in the AWD water regime than in the CF water regime for organic amended treatments (a reduction of 44 % in RS and 29 % in the BC treatments). This lesser effect can be attributed to faster C mineralisation (Fig. 2) which could be the result of better aeration during the first 2 weeks, followed by 5 days of soil drying (at 46 days after wetting). This can also be explained by the fact that CH₄ emissions in the AWD treatments developed slowly after the soil was flooded (Fig. 1) as a result of there being less carbon available in the system to provide a substrate for methanogenesis when flooding. The same patterns were observed in both the field experiment (Ly et al. 2013) and another laboratory experiment by the authors (unpublished data). In general, the CH₄ emissions were associated with low redox potentials. During the water-saturated condition and drying period under AWD treatments, the redox potentials were generally in oxidised state, and CH₄ emissions under AWD treatments were lower than those of CF treatments, where redox potentials quickly dropped to about –130 mV after a week of flooding (Fig. 1). This Eh value is close to critical redox potentials for CH₄ production in Chinese paddy soil reported by Yu et al. (2001). After flooding, the redox potentials under AWD treatments also gradually declined, and CH₄ emissions kept increasing until the drying period during which the redox potentials were at the oxidised state. After re-flooding, the redox potentials quickly reverted to reduced condition, and CH₄ emissions started to increase (Fig. 1). The first flooding leads to a slower decline in redox levels, perhaps due to Fe or sulphate reduction, while at the second flooding, the decline is rather controlled by available C (fastest in RS). A delay in CH₄ emission after second flooding may partly be caused by a saturation phase where CH₄ concentration in soil water increased before emissions became significant.

Effects of organic amendments and water regime on N₂O emissions

Nitrous oxide can be produced in soil through several microbial processes: by aerobic nitrification of ammonium (NH₄⁺) and by anaerobic denitrification of NO₃⁻ (Smith et al. 2003; Yu et al. 2001). Availabilities of C and nitrate-N are among the predominant factors governing N₂O emissions (Baggs and Philippot 2010; Bremner 1997; Maljanen et al. 2003; Meng et al. 2005). Microorganisms require readily decomposable C before reduction of NO₃⁻ can occur, whereas limited N availability limits the availability of precursor for nitrification and subsequent denitrification ultimately suppressing N₂O emissions (Swerts et al. 1996; Weier et al. 1993). The increased N₂O emissions with the increased rate of N applied via mineral

fertiliser could be therefore stimulated by high N availability in those treatments. Although high N rate was applied, most of the NO_3^- could be likely taken up by the plants before it is denitrified into N_2O . This could explain why N_2O was observed only after fertilisation event and lasted only for few days.

The exceptionally high total N_2O emissions in CF-BC could be attributed to the sampling time. After the second and third applications of mineral fertilisers, N_2O emissions were highest with the CF-BC treatment. It is suspected that peak N_2O emissions after N fertilisations may have been missed in treatments other than CF-BC. Had sampling been performed half a day earlier, the dynamics might have been different. It appears that the N_2O emissions were lower in the RS treatments in both water regimes. This could be explained by the high C/N ratio in this treatment, resulting in greater immobilisation instead of mineralisation.

While N_2O was detected after fertilisation events after which soil was flooded (first and third application of mineral fertiliser) and during flooding (second application of mineral fertiliser), the redox potentials during the N_2O emission detection were generally in reduced conditions, but it ranged from +329 to -210 mV (Figs. 1 and 4). Yu et al. (2001) reported that maximum N_2O emission was at the redox potentials ranging between +120 and +250 mV, and that little N_2O emission occurred at redox potential values higher than +250 mV or lower than +120 mV. In this present study, significant N_2O emissions (>1 mg N_2O per column per day) were found when soil redox potentials were between -123 and -210 mV (Figs. 1 and 4). The result is contradictory to the general assumption that N_2O emission is negligible when soil is flooded (Xing 1998). However, Xing et al. (2002) also reported that N_2O emissions were observed under continuous flooding where the redox potentials were in reduced condition.

Conclusions

This study showed that total CH_4 emissions rose with the increased rates of organic carbon under both water regimes. However, the effects of organic C from fresh organic material (RS) on CH_4 emissions were more pronounced than that from carbonised RS (BC), which therefore revealed the benefits of applying BC instead of RS. The water regime also had a remarkable effect on carbon mineralisation. The mineralisation of organic carbon (RS and BC) was much faster when applied in saturated conditions instead of flooding. This resulted in lower total CH_4 emissions in treatments under AWD than those under the CF water regime, namely 44 % lower in the RS treatments and 29 % lower in the BC treatments. Nitrous oxide fluxes were generally non-detectable during the experimental period

except after fertilisation events. Global warming potentials are lower in the treatments under AWD than those under the CF water regime except in the control treatment with only mineral fertiliser application. Grain yields were slightly higher in treatments under AWD than under the CF water regime, and hence the yield-scaled GWP was also lower in the treatments under the AWD water regime. These results have revealed the potential benefits of using carbonised RS in combination with mineral fertiliser and a modified water regime to reduce GHG emissions without compromising rice yield. However, the potential economic and environmental benefits from using carbonised RS in combination with mineral fertiliser and a modified water regime should be tested at the field scale considering farmers' specific economic and agro-ecological setting.

References

- Baggs E, Philippot L (2010) Microbial terrestrial pathways to nitrous oxide. In: Smith K (ed) Nitrous oxide and climate change. Earthscan, London, pp 4–35
- Bell RW, Seng V (2004) Rainfed lowland rice-growing soils of Cambodia, Laos, and North-east Thailand. In: Seng V, Craswell E, Fukai S, Fischer K (eds) Proceedings of the water in agriculture. ACIAR proceeding No. 116e, 25 November 2003–28 November 2003, p 161–173
- Bremner J (1997) Sources of nitrous oxide in soils. *Nutr Cycl Agroecosyst* 49(1):7–16
- Dobermann A, Fairhurst TH (2002) Rice straw management. *Better Crops Int* 16:7–11
- Dong D, Yang M, Wang C et al (2013) Responses of methane emissions and rice yield to applications of biochar and straw in a paddy field. *J Soils Sediments* 13(8):1450–1460
- Feng Y, Xu Y, Yu Y et al (2012) Mechanisms of biochar decreasing methane emission from Chinese paddy soils. *Soil Biol Biochem* 46:80–88
- Hin S, Schoknecht N, Seng V et al (2005) Soils of Tram Kak District. Takeo Province, Cambodia
- Ippolito JA, Laird DA, Busscher WJ (2012) Environmental Benefits of Biochar. *J Environ Qual* 41:967–972
- Itoh M, Sudo S, Mori S et al (2011) Mitigation of methane emissions from paddy fields by prolonging midseason drainage. *Agric Ecosyst Environ* 141(3–4):359–372
- Knoblauch C, Maarifat AA, Pfeiffer EM et al (2011) Degradability of black carbon and its impact on trace gas fluxes and carbon turnover in paddy soils. *Soil Biol Biochem* 43(9):1768–1778
- Liu Y, Yang M, Wu Y et al (2011) Reducing CH_4 and CO_2 emissions from waterlogged paddy soil with biochar. *J Soils Sediments* 11(6):930–939
- Lu WF, Chen W, Duan BW et al (2000) Methane emissions and mitigation options in irrigated rice fields in southeast China. *Nutr Cycl Agroecosyst* 58(1):65–73
- Ly P, Jensen L, Bruun T et al (2013) Methane (CH_4) and nitrous oxide (N_2O) emissions from the system of rice intensification (SRI) under a rain-fed lowland rice ecosystem in Cambodia. *Nutr Cycl Agroecosyst* 97(1–3):13–27
- Maljanen M, Liikanen A, Silvola J et al (2003) Nitrous oxide emissions from boreal organic soil under different land-use. *Soil Biol Biochem* 35(5):689–700

- Meng L, Ding W, Cai Z (2005) Long-term application of organic manure and nitrogen fertilizer on N₂O emissions, soil quality and crop production in a sandy loam soil. *Soil Biol Biochem* 37(11):2037–2045
- Naser HM, Nagata O, Tamura S et al (2007) Methane emissions from five paddy fields with different amounts of rice straw application in central Hokkaido, Japan. *Soil Sci Plant Nutr* 53(1):95–101
- Reay D, Smith P, Amstel AV (2010) Methane sources and the global methane budget. In: Reay D, Smith P, Amstel AV (eds) *Methane and climate change*, 1st edn. Earthscan, Washington, pp 1–13
- Rejesus RM, Mohanty S, Balatas JV (2012) Forecasting global rice consumption. http://www.agecon.purdue.edu/staff/balagtas/rice_timeseries_v6.pdf. Accessed 01 March 2014
- Sarkhot DV, Berhe AA, Ghezzehei T (2012) Impact of biochar enriched with dairy manure effluent on carbon and nitrogen dynamics. *J Environ Qual* 41:1107–1114
- Shin YK, Yun SH, Park ME et al (1996) Mitigation options for methane emission from rice fields in Korea. *Ambio* 25(4):289–291
- Singla A, Inubushi K (2014) Effect of biochar on CH₄ and N₂O emission from soils vegetated with paddy. *Paddy Water Environ* 12(1):239–243
- Smith KA, Conen F (2004) Measurement of trace gases, I: gas analysis, chamber methods, and related procedures. In: Smith KA, Cresser MS (eds) *Soil and environmental analysis. Modern instrumental techniques*, 3rd edn. Marcel Dekker, Inc, NEW YORK
- Smith KA, Ball T, Conen F et al (2003) Exchange of greenhouse gases between soil and atmosphere: interactions of soil physical factors and biological processes. *Eur J Soil Sci* 54(4):779
- Spokas KA, Reicosky DC (2009) Impacts of sixteen different biochars on soil greenhouse gas production. *Ann Environ Sci* 3:179–193
- Swerts M, Merckx R, Vlassak K (1996) Influence of carbon availability on the production of NO, N₂O, N₂ and CO₂ by soil cores during anaerobic incubation. In: Van Cleemput O, Hofman G, Vermoesen A (eds) *Progress in nitrogen cycling studies. Developments in plant and soil sciences*, 68 edn. Springer, Netherlands, p 633–639
- Towprayoon S, Smakgahn K, Poonkaew S (2005) Mitigation of methane and nitrous oxide emissions from drained irrigated rice fields. *Chemosphere* 59(11):1547–1556
- Wang J, Pan X, Liu Y et al (2012a) Effects of biochar amendment in two soils on greenhouse gas emissions and crop production. *Plant Soil* 360(1–2):287–298
- Wang J, Zhang X, Xiong Z et al (2012b) Methane emissions from a rice agroecosystem in South China: effects of water regime, straw incorporation and nitrogen fertilizer. *Nutr Cycl Agroecosyst* 93(1):103–112
- Wassmann R, Aulakh MS (2000) The role of rice plants in regulating mechanisms of methane emissions. *Biol Fertil Soils* 31(1):20–29
- Wassmann R, Neue HU, Alberto MCR et al (1996) Fluxes and pools of methane in wetland rice soils with varying organic inputs. *Environ Monit Assess* 42(1):163–173
- Weier KL, Doran JW, Power JF et al (1993) Denitrification and the dinitrogen/nitrous oxide ratio as affected by soil water, available carbon, and nitrate. *Soil Sci Soc Am J* 57(1):66–72
- Woolf D, Amonette JE, Street-Perrott FA et al (2010) Sustainable biochar to mitigate global climate change. *Nat Commun* 1:56
- Xing GX (1998) N₂O emission from cropland in China. *Nutr Cycl Agroecosyst* 52:249–254
- Xing GX, Shi SL, Shen GY et al (2002) Nitrous oxide emissions from paddy soil in three rice-based cropping systems in China. *Nutr Cycl Agroecosyst* 64:135–143
- Yagi K, Minami K (1990) Effect of organic-matter application on methane emission from some Japanese paddy fields. *Soil Sci Plant Nutr* 36(4):599–610
- Yu K, Wang Z, Vermoesen A et al (2001) Nitrous oxide and methane emissions from different soil suspensions: effect of soil redox status. *Biol Fertil Soils* 34(1):25–30
- Yu L, Tang J, Zhang R et al (2013) Effects of biochar application on soil methane emission at different soil moisture levels. *Biol Fertil Soils* 49(2):119–128
- Zhang A, Cui L, Pan G et al (2010) Effect of biochar amendment on yield and methane and nitrous oxide emissions from a rice paddy from Tai Lake plain, China. *Agric Ecosyst Environ* 139(4):469–475
- Zhang A, Bian R, Pan G et al (2012) Effects of biochar amendment on soil quality, crop yield and greenhouse gas emission in a Chinese rice paddy: a field study of 2 consecutive rice growing cycles. *Field Crops Res* 127:153–160