REVIEW

Bacterial cellulose biotextiles for the future of sustainable fashion: a review

Claudio José Galdino da Silva Jr^{1,2,3} · Alexandre D'Lamare Maia de Medeiros^{1,2,3} · Julia Didier Pedrosa de Amorim^{1,2,3} · Helenise Almeida do Nascimento^{2,4} · Attilio Converti^{2,6} · **Andrea Fernanda Santana Costa2,5 · Leonie Asfora Sarubbo1,2,[3](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4746-0560)**

Received: 8 February 2021 / Accepted: 26 February 2021 / Published online: 13 March 2021 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2021

Abstract

Fashion is one of the most polluting world industries, surpassed only by the petroleum industry. Environmental damages originate from the production, manufacture and dyeing of fabrics, calling for alternative feedstock such as bacterial cellulose. Bacterial cellulose is attracting industrial interest from the textile sector due to advanced properties of bacterial cellulose compared to plant cellulose. For instance, bacterial cellulose is produced by microorganisms in a sustainable way, is biodegradable and does not pollute the environment. Moreover, bacterial cellulose can be dyed, resulting in an attractive textile surface that meets the actual socio-environmental awareness of the industry. Here, we review properties and production methods of bacterial cellulose and applications, focusing on the textile industry. We also discuss the main features of the dyeing process using natural dyes, as well as the registration of patents related to the textile industry, in order to demonstrate the growing application potential in the fashion market. This is the frst review that explores the applications of bacterial cellulose related to the textile industry.

Keywords Biotechnology · Bacterial cellulose · Natural dyes · Fashion · Industrial waste · Sustainability

 \boxtimes Leonie Asfora Sarubbo leonie.sarubbo@unicap.br

- ¹ Rede Nordeste de Biotecnologia (RENORBIO), Universidade Federal Rural de Pernambuco, Rua Dom Manuel de Medeiros, Dois Irmãos, Recife, Pernambuco CEP 52171-900, Brazil
- ² Instituto Avançado de Tecnologia E Inovação (IATI), Rua Potyra, n. 31, Prado, Recife, Pernambuco CEP 50751-310, Brazil
- ³ Centro de Ciências e Tecnologia, Universidade Católica de Pernambuco (UNICAP), Rua do Príncipe, n. 526, Boa Vista, Recife, Pernambuco CEP 50050-900, Brazil
- Centro de Tecnologia e Geociências, Universidade Federal de Pernambuco (UFPE), Cidade Universitária, s/n, Recife CEP 50740-540, Brazil
- ⁵ Centro de Comunicação e Design, Centro Acadêmico da Região Agreste, Universidade Federal de Pernambuco (UFPE), Km 59, s/n - Nova Caruaru, BR 104, Caruaru, Pernambuco CEP 50670-90, Brazil
- ⁶ Department of Civil, Chemical and Environmental Engineering, Pole of Chemical Engineering, Università Degli Studi Di Genova (UNIGE), Via Opera Pia 15, 16145 Genoa, Italy

Introduction

Sustainability can be seen as a way to preserve nature without giving up economic and social growth. Such an approach is associated with less impactful production and more conscious consumption to ensure the protection of the planet's resources and the quality of life for future generations. It can and must be used by society and all industries, especially in the food and beverage, civil construction, textile and fashion sectors (Brenot et al. [2019](#page-11-0)).

However, to achieve the proposed sustainable development goals, it is necessary to use new technologies that aim to discover new raw materials, production processes, transformation, distribution and marketing. Biotechnology stands out for being a science capable of revolutionizing the production and supply of materials with the capacity for large-scale industrial applications, particularly to produce beverages, cosmetics, textiles and building materials (Rizwan et al. [2018\)](#page-13-0).

Cellulose, a polymer found in plants, is widely used as a raw material to provide new biomaterials; however, the growing demand for plant-based cellulose derivatives has led to an increase in the consumption of wood as a raw material, exacerbating global environmental issues, including deforestation. Although plants are the largest source of cellulose on Earth, several types of microorganisms belonging to diferent genera are also capable of producing cellulose, which in this case is called bacterial cellulose or biocellulose (Gomes et al. [2013;](#page-11-1) Albuquerque et al. [2020](#page-10-0)). Biocellulose has renewable characteristics, including biodegradability and biocompatibility, which make it unique in a wide range of applications in the textile sector as a basis for sustainable clothing (Costa et al. [2017b](#page-11-2)). Biocellulose also shows applications in several other technological domains such as active packaging, flter membranes, medicines and cosmetics (Costa et al. [2017b;](#page-11-2) Albuquerque et al. [2020](#page-10-0); Amorim et al. [2020](#page-10-1); Qasim et al. [2021](#page-12-0)).

As for the textile industry, eco-fashion is a global concern for both producers and consumers. The development of conscious, viable processes and the search for raw materials with reduced environmental impact and competitive advantages represent a great challenge for the textile industry. Therefore, the use of natural dyes, extracted from diferent parts of the plant (leaves, fowers, fruit, stem and roots) to obtain special colors, gives the fashion product an esthetic, symbolic and sustainable value. Natural plant dyes are considered safe because they are non-toxic, non-carcinogenic and biodegradable (Boutrup and Ellis [2019;](#page-11-3) Sharma [2019](#page-13-1)). The combination of bacterial cellulose, used as a textile matrix, with a natural dyeing process has great prospects in this segment.

This review describes the concepts, trends and perspectives of bacterial cellulose and natural dyes for the textile industry, seeking the applicability of dyed biomaterials for the development of new textile products with fashion value, with a design concept for garments and, above all, wearability for consumers.

Textile industry and sustainability

Consumerism has been putting pressure on industries and supply chains, resulting in major negative impacts for both nature and society. The increase in pollution levels caused by industrial production is causing several researchers and experts to worry about production and consumption issues, based on a sustainable management of supply chain (Islam [2020](#page-12-1)).

Sustainable development is a dynamic process that allows to preserve and improve Earth's life support systems. In the textile industries, the search for eco-friendly solutions is justifed by the discussions presented on environmental, cultural, social and economic parameters, which involve raw materials, their processing and the generation and disposal of efuents (liquids, solids and gases). To minimize the impacts caused by the textile and fashion industries, the production and processing of products must use sustainable materials in the collections in order to preserve the environment (Costa et al. [2017b](#page-11-2); Kumar et al. [2020](#page-12-2)). Fuels and/or electricity are used, which cause the generation of steam and the elimination of many gases that affect the ozone layer. A large volume of quality water is also disposed of as a contaminated effluent with a high chemical load from these processes (Brenot et al. [2019\)](#page-11-0).

The large number of textiles produced and discarded in the world is quite alarming, especially if linked to the development of fashion items, be they accessories, footwear, or clothing, which are characterized by a short life cycle. On the other hands, it is known that there is a growth in the production of synthetic flaments and threads, as well as in all the processes involved in textile processing, including fnishes that seek to meet the trends of the fashion industry to dress the world society (Thiyagarajan and Hari [2014](#page-13-2); Jain and Gupta [2016;](#page-12-3) Kaur and Chanchal [2016](#page-12-4)).

The increase in the unsustainable consumption rate attributed to the fashion industry is evident. Approximately \$ 172 million worth of garments is estimated to be disposed of in landflls each year, with large quantities of them being discarded after simple wear (Wood [2019](#page-13-3)). In addition, despite the relevance of synthetic fbers as a raw material for modern life, their production, use, washing and disposal have caused environmental damage of enormous proportions. Studies have revealed that microplastics released from these synthetic textiles, as well as the incorrect disposal of fashion items and artifacts, cause irreversible damage to the ecosystem and its inhabitants (Jemec et al. [2016](#page-12-5); Islam [2020\)](#page-12-1).

Due to growing global concern, the textile industry has proven to be very engaged in the research and production of wearable artifacts produced with high quality and environmentally friendly flaments, fbers and fabrics. The discovery of diferent manufacturing processes and materials has made possible the development of new fabrics and, consequently, a textile revolution benefcial to the environment (Costa et al. [2017b](#page-11-2)).

Natural fbers

The term "raw material" refers to the commodity used to produce goods or materials. For example, a cotton T-shirt will be made with raw cotton that will be converted into yarn to make mesh structures and fnally a standard cut, then trimmed and sewn to be sold as a retail T-shirt (Islam [2020](#page-12-1)).

A natural fber is any fber that exists as such in its natural state. Some examples are cotton, linen, wool and silk, which difer in arrangement, color, surface contour, chemical structure, as well as length and width. Natural fbers can be classifed into three groups according to their origin, namely

vegetable, animal and mineral fbers (Nayak et al. [2020\)](#page-12-6). The fbers, within each group, can come from diferent sources, some examples of which are shown in Table [1.](#page-2-0)

Fibers classifed as natural are biodegradable and renewable and have a greater added value than chemical fbers due to slow processing (Costa et al. [2017b\)](#page-11-2). Animal fbers are called protein fbers, while vegetable ones are called cellulosic fbers, both of which can be used as raw material to produce diferent types of textiles (Nayak et al. [2020](#page-12-6)). Although fabrics of natural origin are often considered ecologically sustainable, with the evolution of agriculture and animal husbandry for their production, serious damage to the soil has occurred, with often irreversible effects. But the increase in the production of synthetic fabrics has had an even more negative impact on the environment as many of them are not biodegradable and contribute to the growth of landflls (Wood [2019](#page-13-3)).

That said, searching diferent industrial processes and raw materials that reduce carbon emissions and waste is essential to minimize the environmental impacts. Sustainable clothing designs are structured for all stages of the life cycle, from production to disposal (Costa et al. [2017b](#page-11-2)).

Plant and bacterial cellulose

Plants are the main source of cellulose, which in this case is called vegetable cellulose. Vegetable cellulose is a sustainable, biodegradable and biocompatible polymer raw material that can be used to meet the growing demand for environmentally friendly products (Dai et al. [2019](#page-11-4); Varghese et al. [2018](#page-13-4); Hassan et al. [2020](#page-11-5)).

Cellulose is classifed as a structural carbohydrate basically made up of carbon, hydrogen and oxygen and can be described by the general molecular formula $(C_6H_{10}O_5)n$. Its molecules are formed by long unbranched linear chains of β-D-glucose joined by β-1,4-glycosidic bonds that interact with each other through intra and intermolecular hydrogen bonds (Klemm et al. [2005](#page-12-7); Lima et al. [2015\)](#page-12-8). The polymeric structure begins its formation when two glucose molecules condense and form the repeating dimeric unit of the molecule called cellobiose. This structural conformation of cellulose results in the formation of long and rigid microfbrils (Esa et al. [2014](#page-11-6)). Moreover, the large number of hydroxyl groups present in the glucose rings facilitates the chemical modifcation processes, which allow obtaining cellulose derivatives with diferent properties (Shaghaleh et al. [2018](#page-13-5)).

From an economic point of view, vegetable cellulose was the cornerstone of the wood industry in the last century. However, as this sector contributes significantly to environmental degradation, the search for alternative sources of cellulose is essential (Amorim et al. [2020\)](#page-10-1). In addition, vegetable cellulose is naturally associated with other biopolymers such as lignin, hemicellulose and pectin (Fig. [1\)](#page-2-1); therefore, for its use as a textile, or in other applications, it requires a purifcation process (Peng et al. [2020](#page-12-9)).

An alternative way to obtain cellulose is through the use of microorganisms. Bacterial cellulose is composed of cellulose nanofbers secreted extracellularly by some bacteria (Amorim et al. [2020](#page-10-1)). Even though vegetable and bacterial celluloses have the same molecular formula, they show signifcant diferences in their chemical, physical and mechanical properties, due to diferent spatial confguration and thickness of the fbers (Wang et al. [2019\)](#page-13-6). Vegetable cellulose has a fbrillar structure on a micrometer scale, while the bacterial one on a nanometer scale. Such a structural diference is also refected in its visual appearance, as vegetable cellulose is present in fbrous form, while bacterial cellulose is similar to wet/damp leather (Donini et al. [2010](#page-11-7)), as shown in Fig. [2](#page-3-0).

Depending on the desired application, bacterial cellulose has several advantages over the vegetable one, such as a high degree of purity, excellent permeability, low density, greater crystallinity, stability, high mechanical resistance, large surface area (Gao et al. [2016;](#page-11-8) Costa et al. [2019](#page-11-9)). Table [2](#page-3-1) shows

Fig. 1 Hierarchical structure of vegetable fbers. Cellulose chains are arranged in the form of microfbrils that aggregate, forming structures that in turn are held together by the amorphous matrix of lignin and hemicellulose. Microfbrils are composed of highly ordered crystalline regions and amorphous and disordered regions

Fig. 2 Bacterial cellulose produced by a bacterium belonging to the genus *Gluconacetobacter* sp*.* Note that the appearance resembles a wet/damp leather

comparative data for some of the main properties of the two types of cellulose.

Bacterial cellulose production

Bacterial cellulose is produced extracellularly by many species of Gram-negative bacteria of the genera *Acetobacter*, *Aerobacter*, *Gluconacetobacter*, *Azobacter, Agrobacterium, Achromobacter*, *Sarcina*, *Rhizobium*, *Pseudomonas*, *Salmonella* and *Alcaligenes*. Among these, those belonging to the genus *Gluconacetobacter* are extensively studied for their ease of maintenance and for being able to use a wide variety of carbon sources (Rajwade et al. [2015\)](#page-12-10). During biosynthesis, the bacteria, or group of microorganisms, form a flm (also known as pellicle or membrane) consisting of a random nanofbrillar network of cellulose chains (Fig. [3](#page-3-2)), interspersed between water regions that occupy 90–98% of the total volume of the material (Picheth et al. [2017\)](#page-12-11).

During the production process, protective envelopes are formed around the microbial cells, protecting them from ultraviolet radiation and drying processes. Alternative production methods are currently being investigated to decrease production costs, as well as increase the yield of microbial cellulose (Gromovykh et al. [2017](#page-11-10); Wang et al. [2019](#page-13-6)).

Current methods for obtaining bacterial cellulose membranes are agitated and static cultures, the choice of which greatly infuences their macroscopic appearance (Fig. [4](#page-4-0)). Static cultures allow the formation of cellulose membranes with a uniform surface similar to a white leather, while the agitated ones result in irregular masses with the appearance of spheres or pellets. The choice of the method depends on the fnal application of the bacterial cellulose, since the two products have diferent physical, morphological and mechanical characteristics. Other essential factors that must be considered in the production process are the environmental conditions of the culture, including the bacterial strain, nutrients, pH, oxygen supply, composition of the culture medium and fermentation time (Wang et al. [2019\)](#page-13-6).

Bacterial cellulose can be produced in diferent sizes and shapes, with applications in the engineering feld, paper production, textile and optical industries, acoustics, packaging, cosmetics, pharmaceutical and biomedical products (temporary artifcial skin for wounds and burns, dental products, artifcial blood vessels), separation of DNA, electronic paper, paint additives, coatings, reinforcement for optically transparent flms, nutrition (Iguchi et al. [2000;](#page-12-12) Klemm et al.

Table 2 Comparison of properties of bacterial cellulose (BC) and vegetable cellulose (VC)

Fig. 4 Scheme of bacterial cellulose (BC) production in agitated and static cultures and their respective appearance

[2001;](#page-12-14) Svensson et al. [2005](#page-13-7); Bäckdahl et al. [2008](#page-11-12); Wang et al. [2011;](#page-13-8) Cavka et al. [2013;](#page-11-13) Li et al. [2015](#page-12-15); Albuquerque et al. [2020](#page-10-0); Amorim et al. [2020;](#page-10-1) Galdino et al. [2020;](#page-11-14) Pandey [2021](#page-12-16)).

Another form of bacterial cellulose cultivation is through kombucha, a common name given to fermented drinks by a consortium of microorganisms, starting from the plant *Camellia sinensis* or others rich in cafeine. This drink is consumed all over the world for its refreshing taste and its benefcial efects on human health. Research indicates that kombucha can promote digestive functions, boost the immune system, reduce infammatory responses and have many other health benefits for people who consume it (Amarasekara et al. [2020](#page-10-2)).

According to Villarreal-Soto et al. ([2018\)](#page-13-9), kombucha is microbiologically composed of bacteria and fungi, which are present in a powerful symbiosis capable of inhibiting the growth of potential contaminating bacteria, thereby making this culture medium very versatile and economical. Among the microorganisms found in the drink, there are acetic acid bacteria (*Gluconobacter* sp., *Acetobacter* sp. and *Komagataeibacter* sp.) (Roos and Vuyst [2018](#page-13-10)), lactic acid bacteria (*Lactococcus* sp. and *Lactobacillus* sp.) (Marsh et al. [2014\)](#page-12-17) and yeasts (*Zygosaccharomyces bailii*, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*, *Saccharomycodes ludwigii*, *Kloeckera apiculata*, *Torulaspora delbrueckii*, *Brettanomyces bruxellensis*) (Coton et al. [2017](#page-11-15)).

According to Sreeramulu et al. [\(2000](#page-13-11)), the cellulose produced during fermentation appears as a thin membrane on top of the tea, where a large part of the bacteria and yeasts are attached, which acts as a fotator for microorganisms. It is made up of glucose from sucrose used to sweeten tea, which is metabolized by bacteria for the synthesis of cellulose and gluconic acid. This membrane is also known as SCOBY, which is an acronym for the term "Symbiotic Culture of Bacteria and Yeast."

To reduce production costs several studies have also focused on the feasibility of using industrial waste as a source of nutrients to produce bacterial cellulose. Therefore, bacterial cellulose membranes have been produced in diferent media, based on wastes and alternative carbon and nitrogen sources, having physicochemical compositions very similar to that of the commercial Hestrin and Schramm ([1954\)](#page-11-16) medium. The results of these investigations, summarized in Table [3](#page-5-0), provide a broad idea of the potential for waste to produce sustainable and economical bacterial cellulose.

The results obtained using the culture media listed in Table [3,](#page-5-0) whose composition included diferent industrial wastes, were very promising in terms of bacterial cellulose production, especially under static conditions, allowing to obtain dry weight yields ranging from 0.66 and 12.60 g L^{-1} in the temperature range between 25 and 35 °C and at pH between 4 and 7. From a sustainable point of view, such an exploitation of industrial by-products would not only reduce the environmental and health risks associated with their discharge, but would also allow to minimize the main disadvantage of bacterial cellulose production, namely its high manufacturing cost due to use of expensive components of the culture medium (Hussain et al. [2019](#page-12-18)).

Natural dyeing

Colors are the result of the physical modifcation of light by the dyes present in objects, detected by the eye and interpreted in the brain. In other words, the refectance of light by

Wastes and alternative carbon and Microorganism nitrogen sources		Dry weight yield $(g L^{-1})$	Time (days)	References
Lipid fermentation wastewater	Gluconacetobacter xylinus CH001	0.66	5	Huang et al. (2016)
Sugarcane molasses	Gluconacetobacter intermedius SNT-1	12.60	6	Tyagi and Suresh (2016)
Corn steep liquor	Gluconacetobacter hansenii UCP1619	9.63	10	Costa et al. $(2017a)$
Durian shell hydrolysate	Gluconacetobacter xylinus CH001	2.67	10	Luo et al. (2017)
Cashew tree residues	Komagataeibacter rhaeticus	6.00		Pacheco et al. (2017)
Mature black spear grass (Heter- <i>opogon contortus</i>) hydrolysate	Gluconacetobacter xylinus isolated from rot- ten banana juice	4.88	15	Dirisu and Braide (2018)
Distillery effluent	Gluconacetobacter oboediens MTCC 5610	8.50	8	Jahan et al. (2018)
Cheese whey	Komagataeibacter medellinensis NBRC 3288	2.37	10	Molina-Ramírez et al. (2018)
Rotten banana juice	Komagataeibacter medellinensis NBRC 3288	4.81	10	Molina-Ramírez et al. (2018)
Rotten mango juice	Komagataeibacter medellinensis NBRC 3288	1.95	10	Molina-Ramírez et al. (2018)
Pullulan fermentation wastewater	Gluconacetobacter xylinus BC-11	1.18	10	Zhao et al. (2018)
Potato peel wastes	Gluconacetobacter xylinus ATCC 10,245	4.70	6	Abdelraof et al. (2019)
Tomato juice	Acetobacter pasteurianus MTCC 25117	7.80	7	Kumar et al. (2019)
Tobacco waste extract	Acetobacter xylinum ATCC 23767	5.20	16	Ye et al. (2019)
Fruit residues	Gluconacetobacter hansenii, (ATCC 53582	6.98	10	Amorim et al. (2019)
Corn steep liquor	Gluconacetobacter hansenii UCP1619	2.69	6	Galdino et al. (2020)

Table 3 Diferent media based on wastes and alternative carbon and nitrogen sources for bacterial cellulose production

an object as a function of wavelength determines the color of the object. The coloring of a textile is cited as one of its most important characteristics (Becerir [2017](#page-11-17)).

The change of color of a given textile can be done using pigments and/or dyes, which difer according to their specifc characteristics in contact with the textile to be colored (Boutrup and Ellis [2019](#page-11-3)). Their main diferences are the solubility and the modifcations they cause on the characteristics of the textile. Dyes used for dyeing are hydrophilic, penetrate inside the fibers having affinity for the textile surface and do not mask the basic coloring of the fbers. On the other hands, pigments are not soluble in water and adhere to the fber surface with the help of an adhesion facilitator. Pigments can hide defects in the lower layers and can also change the texture and appearance of textiles, as their presence on the surface can change their luster and other characteristics (Boutrup and Ellis [2019\)](#page-11-3).

The replacement of natural dyes with synthetic ones by industries occurred due to the numerous advantages of using the latter, such as an easier availability for industrial use and the attribution of specifc characteristics to treated textiles, including shine, resistance to prolonged washing and a wide variety of characteristic tones that provide sensory and esthetic comfort (Kasiri and Safapour [2014](#page-12-19); Sharma [2019\)](#page-13-1). However, despite all these advantages, the use of synthetic dyes has been subject to intense monitoring due to the environmental problems generated by the processing phases, which need large volumes of water and release wastewater into water bodies, thereby affecting the reproduction of fauna and fora, as well as the health of the

population depending on these water sources (Costa et al. [2017b](#page-11-2); Dsikowitzky and Schwarzbauer [2014\)](#page-11-18). In addition, the precursors used in their synthesis have many dangerous and carcinogenic efects for workers, are extremely harmful to the environment and, above all, are not biodegradable, thus making the environmental contamination even greater (Kumbhar et al. [2019](#page-12-20); Sharma [2019](#page-13-1)).

The main chemical pollutants found in synthetic dyes are carcinogenic amines, heavy metals, pentachlorophenol, bleaching chlorine, free formaldehyde, biocides, fre retardants and softeners (Rovira and Domingo [2019\)](#page-13-12). Heavy metals and their derivatives present in synthetic dyes as pigments, such as titanium oxide, chromates, iron and others, have been signifcantly reduced in the last two decades, because they pose serious health risks and cause environmental damage also due to their ability to pollute groundwater (Mia et al. [2019\)](#page-12-21). In this context, natural dyes have been regaining space on the market, as they are biodegradable, do not cause health risks and, therefore, can be easily used without many environmental concerns (Arora et al. [2017](#page-11-19); Alebeid et al. [2020\)](#page-10-3).

Natural dyes seek sustainability and are well known for producing very unusual and soft tones compared to synthetic dyes. This industrial rethinking toward natural dyes is also attributed to the stringent environmental standards imposed by many countries and consumers (Varadarajan and Venkatachalam [2016](#page-13-13); Sharma [2019\)](#page-13-1). They have been used since the beginning of civilizations to color food, leather, as well as common textile fbers, such as wool, silk and cotton. Natural dyes have properties that provide signifcant advantages, but also disadvantages over synthetic dyes, as shown in Table [4](#page-6-0).

According to İşmal and Yıldırım [\(2019\)](#page-12-27), textile fbers, especially those of cellulosic origin, do not have much afnity for most natural dyes. Therefore, before being dyed, they need to be treated with a dye fxative called mordant. Unlike fbers of animal origin, plant fbers such as cotton do not quickly fx the mordants, resulting in less brilliant colors than these obtained from wool and silk.

In addition to fxing the color to the fbers, mordants prevent color fading over time due to exposure to light or washing. Using diferent stains, designers can also achieve a variety of colors and shades of the same dyes (Arora et al. [2017\)](#page-11-19). A mordant is usually a metal salt that binds to the fber if it is a protein or is left as an insoluble compound in the fber if it is a cellulose. The quantity, quality and type of application of the mordant also infuence the color at the end of the dyeing process (Boutrup and Ellis [2019](#page-11-3)). During the dyeing process, the dye already diluted in water enters the fber, where it binds to the mordant, forming an insoluble component that makes the dyeing permanent and resistant to washing (Boutrup and Ellis [2019](#page-11-3)).

However, in contrast to the huge amount of dyes found in the environment, today only a minimal amount is applied to the coloring of textiles. Much of the data on natural dyes used by man was lost when synthetic dyes were introduced to the market and industry interest turned to them (İşmal and Yıldırım [2019](#page-12-27)). Continued research efforts are being expected in relation to agro-industrial waste biomordants, which are cheaper and environmentally benign, but require development of research and methods. In addition, functional fnishing efects such as antimicrobial and insect repellent effects are worth investigating (Ismal and Yildırım [2019\)](#page-12-27). Since much of the natural dyeing waste is made up of biomass, it can be reused in composting, for biogas production and even in animal feed, supporting the 3R principle, reduce, reuse and recycle (Elsahida et al. [2019](#page-11-23)). That said, using natural dyes can be benefcial to the environment.

According to Elsahida et al. [\(2019](#page-11-23)), sustainability in naturally dyed textiles consists of four aspects: environmental, social, economic and creativity to bring all aspects together. Several companies are able to increase production and quality of their processes, not only aiming at the economy, but considering the social and environmental aspects of production. Finally, by overhauling the dyeing processes and its mordants, it is inevitable to provide a successful and sustainable dyeing process in the near future. Furthermore, it can be expected that new ecological technologies, such as ultrasound, enzymes, dyeing of biotextiles, among other industrial applications, can lead to the reduction or even elimination of the use of non-ecological metal mordants (Arora et al. [2017\)](#page-11-19).

According to Shim and Kim [\(2018\)](#page-13-17), bacterial cellulose can be dyed not only after its production (ex situ), but also while being produced, by adding dye during cultivation (in situ). With both dyeing methods, cellulose fbril networks showed smooth surfaces and retained their inherent nanostructures during dye penetration, although the in situ method allowed for a smoother surface and a more even color than the ex situ one. Based on that, studies applying various colors to bacterial cellulose as a fabric can be performed, and various colors can be obtained using vegetable dyes, as can be seen in test samples shown in Fig. [5](#page-7-0).

Perspectives of bacterial cellulose in fashion

The natural biodegradable microbial polymer can stimulate the development of new textile and sustainable materials and manufacturing practices in the future (Chan et al. [2017\)](#page-11-24). Suzanne Lee, a British fashion designer, pioneered the use of bacterial cellulose by developing a sustainable fashion research project called BioCouture. Experimenting with kombucha, she produced a bacterial cellulose textile directly in a rectangular vessel and created a microbial cellulose jacket and gloves using conventional garment construction techniques such as cutting to shape clothing panels and sewing (Wood, [2019](#page-13-3); Lee, [2021](#page-12-28)). After that, numerous researches and experiments out on bacterial cellulose were carried, which resulted in naturally or synthetically dyed or

Table 4 Advantages and disadvantages of natural dyes over synthetic dyes

Advantages	They are renewable, biodegradable, non-toxic and non-allergenic They can be easily extracted from plants, fruits, leaves and barks Fabrics show greater absorption of UV rays, resulting in reduced incidence in those wearing dyed fabrics Industries that use natural dyes may have the potential to generate carbon credits Generated production wastes can be used as biological fertilizers They can be used in a wide range of colors, thus increasing the range of possibilities for the same dyeing extract
Disadvantages	Mordants are needed in most dyeing. They are unstable to sunlight, heat and extreme pH They are less available and therefore more expensive They are less stable in terms of the number of washes They are less striking and have lighter color tones

Fig. 5 Bacterial cellulose dyeing tests in situ by an alternative rich in color culture medium: **a** without dyeing process, **b** *Clitoria ternatea L. fowers* extract, **c** *Brassica oleracea var. capitata F. rubra* extract, **d** Propolis extract, **e** *Vitis labrusca* extract, **f** *Hibiscus rosa-sinensis* flowers extract

undyed bacterial cellulose artifacts such as those illustrated in Fig. [6](#page-7-1) as examples.

An initiative called 'Malai' produces vegan alternatives to leather, based on bacterial cellulose produced from a culture medium composed of coconut water and industrial residues, which are used to develop fashion accessories in diferent colors and shades (Arora, [2020](#page-11-25)). Manufacturers claim that the material is durable and biodegradable and can have a long life, as long as care is taken for its preservation.

According to Amorim et al. ([2020](#page-10-1)), the number of scientifc publications regarding the application of bacterial cellulose has grown signifcantly in recent years, as has the registration of patents in this sector. Table [5](#page-8-0) lists the main aspects relating to properties, production methods and dyeing of bacterial cellulose in the context of the textile and fashion industry that have emerged from scientifc works from the last 6 years.

Based on these work applications, it can be stated that production by static culture is proposed by all researchers for the production of bacterial cellulose in the form of a sheet. The major problems reported by the researchers are a reduction in fexibility, the structural disintegration of bacterial cellulose during the drying process and the consequent loss of breathability. Tensile test results proved that bacterial cellulose flm is sensitive to drying temperature, being harmful to the material as a fashion business. Lower drying temperatures help to preserve the porous structure, strength and deformation properties of the polymer. The ideal properties for its use as a textile were obtained at low temperatures (about 25 $^{\circ}$ C). Table [6](#page-10-6) lists some perspectives for bacterial cellulose applications in the textile industry found in some patents registered in recent years.

An examination of these patents and academic research demonstrates the variety of promising applications for bacterial cellulose in the textile industry. It is also evident that the versatility of the biopolymer, combined with the use of biodegradable, non-polluting agents such as vegetable dyes, has great chances to provide new sustainable and environmentally friendly bioproducts capable of meeting the needs of the current world market (Costa et al. [2019](#page-11-9)).

Society is increasingly aware of the damage caused by the fashion business and the importance of quality sustainable products, planned according to their production, dyeing, function and life cycle based on ecologically sound methods. The fashion market expects colors and changes combined with quality, sustainability and functionality (İşmal and Yıldırım [2019\)](#page-12-27).

A greater understanding in terms of sources of supply, applications and properties, of biomaterials, be they dyes or biofbers, is essential to expand the boundaries of research applicable to the textile sector. Studies using biotechnology are not new, but their use is rapidly transforming society with an infinite number of beneficial possibilities. In addition, the current scope of science allows for a completely new way of rethinking the materials that will emerge as new products around us (Carvalho and Santos [2015\)](#page-11-26).

Conclusion

A growing awareness of actual environmental problems, such as climate change, scarcity of resources, exploitation of labor and pollution of water resources, has raised the expectations of consumers about brands and their products. In this sense, the use of biotechnology and natural materials such as bacterial cellulose in industrial processes

Fig. 6 Examples of bacterial cellulose artifacts: **a** without dyeing, **b** natural dyeing based on *Hibiscus rosa-sinensis* fowers, **c** dyeing with the synthetic dye ARAQCEL RL 500

can have a positive impact on both companies and consumers. The current trend of using biomaterials in fashion and clothing products is growing and ofers perspectives on sustainable design aiming at social well-being and innovation. Fashion designers should look at biotechnology as a tool to use as part of the creative process. Bacterial cellulose and natural dyeing, despite the difficulties shown in their use, are useful implements in the design of textile items, in order to better serve the garment industry in terms of innovative and quality clothing.

Authors' contributions All authors contributed to this work. Leonie Asfora Sarubbo and Andrea Fernanda de Santana Costa conceived the project. Claudio José Galdino da Silva Junior, Alexandre D'Lamare Maia de Medeiros, Julia Didier Pedrosa de Amorim, Helenise Almeida do Nascimento and Andrea Fernanda de Santana Costa wrote the paper. Leonie Asfora Sarubbo and Attilio Converti analyzed the data, revised the manuscript, performed manuscript editing and fnal improvement.

Funding This study received funding from the Brazilian fostering agencies Fundação de Amparo à Ciência do Estado de Pernambuco (FACEPE [State of Pernambuco Science Assistance Foundation]), Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES [Coordination for the Advancement of Higher Education Personnel]—Finance Code 001), Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científco e Tecnológico (CNPq [National Council for Scientifc and Technological Development]) and the Research and Development Program of the Agência Nacional de Energia Elétrica (ANEEL [National Electrical Energy Agency]).

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no known competing fnancial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to infuence the work reported in this paper.

References

- Abdelraof M, Hasanin MS, Saied HE (2019) Ecofriendly green conversion of potato peel wastes to high productivity bacterial cellulose. Carbohydr Polym 211:75–83. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2019.01.095) [carbpol.2019.01.095](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2019.01.095)
- Albuquerque RMB, Meira HM, Silva IDI, Silva CJG, Almeida FCG, Amorim JDP, Vinhas GM, Costa AFS, Sarubbo LA (2020) Production of a bacterial cellulose/poly(3-hydroxybutyrate) blend activated with clove essential oil for food packaging. Polym Polym Compos.<https://doi.org/10.1177/0967391120912098>
- Alebeid OK, Pei L, Zhou W, Wang J (2020) Sustainable wool fbers dyeing using henna extract in non-aqueous medium. Environ Chem Lett 18:489–494. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-019-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-019-00949-y) [00949-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-019-00949-y)
- Amarasekara AS, Wang D, Grady TL (2020) A comparison of kombucha SCOBY bacterial cellulose purifcation methods. SN Appl Sci 2:240.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s42452-020-1982-2>
- Amorim J, Costa A, Galdino C, Vinhas G, Santos E, Sarubbo L (2019) Bacterial cellulose production using industrial fruit residues as subtract to industrial application. Chem Eng Trans 74:1165–1170. <https://doi.org/10.3303/CET1974195>
- Amorim JDP, Souza KC, Duarte CR, Duarte IS, Ribeiro FAZ, Silva GS, Farias PMA, Stingl A, Costa AFS, Vinhas GM (2020) Plant

and bacterial nanocellulose: production, properties and applications in medicine, food, cosmetics, electronics and engineering. A review. Environ Chem Lett 18:851–869. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-020-00989-9) [s10311-020-00989-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-020-00989-9)

- Araújo FMS, Gouveia IC (2015) The role of technology towards a new bacterial cellulose-based material for fashion design. J Ind Intell Inf 3(2):168–172.<https://doi.org/10.12720/jiii.3.2.168-172>
- Arora N (2020) Malai—a sustainable fashion label using coconut waste to make vegan leather. [https://homegrown.co.in/article/803378/](https://homegrown.co.in/article/803378/malai-a-sustainable-fashion-label-using-coconut-waste-to-make-vegan-leather) [malai-a-sustainable-fashion-label-using-coconut-waste-to-make](https://homegrown.co.in/article/803378/malai-a-sustainable-fashion-label-using-coconut-waste-to-make-vegan-leather)[vegan-leather](https://homegrown.co.in/article/803378/malai-a-sustainable-fashion-label-using-coconut-waste-to-make-vegan-leather). Accessed 17 Jan 2021
- Arora J, Agarwal P, Gupta G (2017) Rainbow of natural dyes on textiles using plants extracts: sustainable and eco-friendly processes: sustainable and eco-friendly processes. Green Sustain Chem 7:35–47. <https://doi.org/10.4236/gsc.2017.71003>
- Bäckdahl H, Esguerra M, Delbro D, Risberg B, Gatenholm P (2008) Engineering microporosity in bacterial cellulose scafolds. J Tissue Eng Regen Med 2:320–330. <https://doi.org/10.1002/term.97>
- Becerir B (2017) Color concept in textiles: a review. J Text Eng Fash Technol 1:240–244. <https://doi.org/10.15406/jteft.2017.01.00039>
- Boutrup J, Ellis C (2019) The art and science of natural dyes: principles, experiments, and results. Schifer Publishing, Atglen
- Brenot A, Chufart C, Coste-Manière I, Deroche M, Godat E, Lemoine L, Ramchandani M, Sette E, Tornaire C (2019) Water footprint in fashion and luxury industry. Water Text Fash. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-08-102633-5.00006-3) [org/10.1016/b978-0-08-102633-5.00006-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-08-102633-5.00006-3)
- Campano C, Balea A, Blanco A, Negro C (2016) Enhancement of the fermentation process and properties of bacterial cellulose: a review. Cellulose 23:57–91. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s1057](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10570-015-0802-0) [0-015-0802-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10570-015-0802-0)
- Carvalho C, Santos G (2015) Global communities, biotechnology and sustainable design—natural/bio dyes in textiles. Procedia Manuf 3:6557–6564. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.promfg.2015.07.956>
- Cavka A, Guo X, Tang SJ, Winestrand S, Jönsson LJ, Hong F (2013) Production of bacterial cellulose and enzyme from waste fiber sludge. Biotechnol Biofuels 6:25. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1186/1754-6834-6-25) [org/10.1186/1754-6834-6-25](https://doi.org/10.1186/1754-6834-6-25)
- Chan CK, Shin J, Jiang SXK (2017) Development of tailor-shaped bacterial cellulose textile cultivation techniques for zero-waste design. Cloth Text Res J 36:33–44. [https://doi.org/10.1177/08873](https://doi.org/10.1177/0887302x17737177) [02x17737177](https://doi.org/10.1177/0887302x17737177)
- Chunyan K (2014) CN103481720A—decorative painting making method using biological cellulose. China
- Costa AFS, Almeida FCG, Vinhas GM, Sarubbo LA (2017a) Production of bacterial cellulose by *Gluconacetobacter hansenii* using corn steep liquor as nutrient sources. Front Microbiol 8:2027. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.02027>
- Costa AFS, Rocha MAV, Sarubbo LA (2017b) Bacterial cellulose: an ecofriendly biotextile. Int J Text Fash Technol 7:11–26
- Costa AFS, Amorim JDP, Almeida FCG, Lima ID, Paiva SC, Rocha MAV, Vinhas GM, Sarubbo LA (2019) Dyeing of bacterial cellulose flms using plant-based natural dyes. Int J Biol Macromol 121:580–587. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2018.10.066>
- Coton M, Pawtowski A, Taminiau B, Burgaud G, Deniel F, Coulloumme-Labarthe L, Fall A, Daube G, Coton E (2017) Unraveling microbial ecology of industrial-scale Kombucha fermentations by metabarcoding and culture-based methods. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 93(5):fx048. [https://doi.org/10.1093/femsec/fx04](https://doi.org/10.1093/femsec/fix048) [8](https://doi.org/10.1093/femsec/fix048)
- Dai L, Cheng T, Duan C, Zhao W, Zhang W, Zou X, Aspler J, Ni Y (2019) 3D printing using plant-derived cellulose and its derivatives: a review. Carbohydr Polym 203:71–86. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2018.09.027) [org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2018.09.027](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2018.09.027)
- Dana K, Nadine H, Dieter K (2011) EP2331699—method for the production of bacterially synthesized cellulose and cellulose-containing material in a planar form. Germany
- Dirisu CG, Braide W (2018) Bacterial cellulose production from mature black spear grass hydrolysate by *Gluconacetobacter xylinus*: effect of pH, fermentation time and nitrogen supplementation. Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci 7(8):1616–1627. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2018.708.185) [org/10.20546/ijcmas.2018.708.185](https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2018.708.185)
- Domskiene J, Sederaviciute F, Simonaityte J (2019) Kombucha bacterial cellulose for sustainable fashion. Int J Cloth Sci Technol 31(5):644–652.<https://doi.org/10.1108/ijcst-02-2019-0010>
- Donini IA, De Salvi D, Fukumoto F, Lustri W, Barud H, Marchetto R, Messaddeq Y, Ribeiro SJL (2010) Biossíntese e recentes avanços na produção de celulose bacteriana. Eclética Química 35(4):165– 178.<https://doi.org/10.1590/S0100-46702010000400021>
- Dsikowitzky L, Schwarzbauer J (2014) Industrial organic contaminants: identifcation, toxicity and fate in the environment. Environ Chem Lett 12:371–386. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s1031](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-014-0467-1) [1-014-0467-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-014-0467-1)
- Elsahida K, Fauzi AM, Sailah I, Siregar IZ (2019) Sustainability of the use of natural dyes in the textile industry. IOP Conf Ser Earth Environ Sci 399:012065. [https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-](https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/399/1/012065) [1315/399/1/012065](https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/399/1/012065)
- Eryilmaz J, Cobanoglu O and Yukselen O (2017) US2017314193A1 process of preparing a dyed fabric including a bacterial biopolymer and having a unique appearance. Turkey
- Esa F, Tasirin SM, Rahman NA (2014) Overview of bacterial cellulose production and application. Agric Agric Sci Procedia 2:113–119. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aaspro.2014.11.017>
- Fernandes M, Gama M, Dourado F, Souto AP (2019a) Development of novel bacterial cellulose composites for the textile and shoe industry. Microb Biotechnol 12(4):650–661. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1111/1751-7915.13387) [org/10.1111/1751-7915.13387](https://doi.org/10.1111/1751-7915.13387)
- Fernandes M, Souto AP, Gama M, Dourado F (2019b) Bacterial cellulose and emulsifed AESO biocomposites as an ecological alternative to leather. Nanomaterials 9(12):1710–1728. [https://](https://doi.org/10.3390/nano9121710) doi.org/10.3390/nano9121710
- Galdino CJS, Maia AD, Meira HM, Souza TC, Amorim JDP, Almeida FC, Costa AFS, Sarubbo LA (2020) Use of a bacterial cellulose flter for the removal of oil from wastewater. Process Biochem 91:288–296.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio.2019.12.020>
- Gao X, Shi Z, Kuśmierczyk P, Liu C, Yang G, Sevostianov I, Silberschmidt VV (2016) Time-dependent rheological behaviour of bacterial cellulose hydrogel. Mater Sci Eng 58:153–159. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msec.2015.08.019) doi.org/10.1016/j.msec.2015.08.019
- Gomes FP, Silva NHCS, Trovatti E, Serafm LS, Duarte MF, Silvestre AJD, Pascoal Neto C, Freire CSR (2013) Production of bacterial cellulose by *Gluconacetobacter sacchari* using dry olive mill residue. Biomass Bioenergy 55:205–211. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biombioe.2013.02.004) [biombioe.2013.02.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biombioe.2013.02.004)
- Gromovykh TI, Sadykova VS, Lutcenko SV, Dmitrenok AS, Feldman NB, Danilchuk TN, Kashirin VV (2017) Bacterial cellulose synthesized by *Gluconacetobacter hansenii* for medical applications. Appl Biochem Microbiol 53(1):60–67. [https://doi.org/10.1134/](https://doi.org/10.1134/s0003683817010094) [s0003683817010094](https://doi.org/10.1134/s0003683817010094)
- Han J, Shim E, Kim HR (2018) Effects of cultivation, washing, and bleaching conditions on bacterial cellulose fabric production. Text Res J 89(6):1094–1104. [https://doi.org/10.1177/0040517518](https://doi.org/10.1177/0040517518763989) [763989](https://doi.org/10.1177/0040517518763989)
- Hassan NS, Jalil AA, Hitam CNC, Vo DVN, Nabgan W (2020) Biofuels and renewable chemicals production by catalytic pyrolysis of cellulose: a review. Environ Chem Lett 18:1625–1648. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-020-01040-7) doi.org/10.1007/s10311-020-01040-7
- Hestrin S, Schramm M (1954) Synthesis of cellulose by *Acetobacter xylinum*. Preparation of freeze-dried cells capable of polymerizing glucose to cellulose. Biochem J 58:345–352. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1042/bj0580345) [org/10.1042/bj0580345](https://doi.org/10.1042/bj0580345)
- Huang C, Guo HJ, Xiong L, Wang B, Shi SL, Chen XF, Lin XQ, Wang C, Luo J, Chen XD (2016) Using wastewater after lipid

fermentation as substrate for bacterial cellulose production by *Gluconacetobacter xylinus*. Carbohydr Polym 136:198–202. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2015.09.043>

- Hussain Z, Sajjad W, Khan T, Wahid F (2019) Production of bacterial cellulose from industrial wastes: a review. Cellulose 26(5):2895–2911.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10570-019-02307-1>
- Iguchi M, Yamanaka S, Budhiono A (2000) Bacterial cellulose: a masterpiece of nature's arts. J Mater Sci 35(2):261–270. [https](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1004775229149) [://doi.org/10.1023/A:1004775229149](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1004775229149)
- Islam S (2020) Sustainable raw materials: 50 shades of sustainability. In: Nayak R (ed) Woodhead Publishing series in textiles. Sustainable technologies for fashion and textiles, chapter 15, 1st edn. Woodhead Publishing, Cambridge, pp 343–357. [https](https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-08-102867-4.00015-3) [://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-08-102867-4.00015-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-08-102867-4.00015-3)
- İşmal ÖE, Yıldırım L (2019) Metal mordants and biomordants. In: Islam S-U, Butola BS (eds) The impact and prospects of green chemistry for textile technology. The Textile Institute Book series, chapter 3. Woodhead Publishing, Cambridge, pp 57–82. <https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-08-102491-1.00003-4>
- Jahan F, Kumar V, Saxena RK (2018) Distillery effluent as a potential medium for bacterial cellulose production: a biopolymer of great commercial importance. Biores Technol 250:922–926. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2017.09.094>
- Jain P, Gupta C (2016) Textile recycling practices in India: a review. Int J Text Fash Technol 6:21–36
- Jemec A, Horvat P, Kunej U, Bele M, Kržan A (2016) Uptake and efects of microplastic textile fbers on freshwater crustacean *Daphnia magna*. Environ Pollut 219:201–209. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2016.10.037) [org/10.1016/j.envpol.2016.10.037](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2016.10.037)
- Kamiński K, Jarosz M, Grudzień J, Pawlik J, Zastawnik F, Pandyra P, Kolodziejczyk AM (2020) Hydrogel bacterial cellulose: a path to improved materials for new eco-friendly textiles. Cellulose 27(9):5353–5365.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10570-020-03128-3>
- Kasiri MB, Safapour S (2014) Natural dyes and antimicrobials for green treatment of textiles. Environ Chem Lett 12:1–13. [https](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-013-0426-2) [://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-013-0426-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-013-0426-2)
- Kaur B, Chanchal (2016) Eco friendly textiles for sustainable environment. Int J Text Fash Technol 6:13–18
- Klemm D, Schumann D, Udhardt U, Marsch S (2001) Bacterial synthesized cellulose—artifcial blood vessels for microsurgery. Prog Polym Sci 26:1561–1603. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0079](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0079-6700(01)00021-1) [-6700\(01\)00021-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0079-6700(01)00021-1)
- Klemm D, Heublein B, Fink HP, Bohn A (2005) Cellulose: fascinating biopolymer and sustainable raw material. Angew Chem Int Ed 44(22):3358–3393. <https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.200460587>
- Klemm D, Welcke PK, Rauchfub F (2018) Nanocellulose as a natural source for groundbreaking applications in materials science: today's state. Mater Today 21(7):720–748. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mattod.2018.02.001) [org/10.1016/j.mattod.2018.02.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mattod.2018.02.001)
- Kumar V, Sharma DK, Bansal V, Mehta D, Sangwan RS, Yadav SK (2019) Efficient and economic process for the production of bacterial cellulose from isolated strain of *Acetobacter pasteurianus* of RSV-4 bacterium. Biores Technol 275:430–433. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2018.12.042) [org/10.1016/j.biortech.2018.12.042](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2018.12.042)
- Kumar M, Isloor AM, Todeti SR, Ibrahim GP, Inamuddin IAF, Asiri AM (2020) Improved separation of dyes and proteins using membranes made of polyphenylsulfone/cellulose acetate or acetate phthalate. Environ Chem Lett 18:881–887. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-020-00965-3) [org/10.1007/s10311-020-00965-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-020-00965-3)
- Kumbhar S, Hankare P, Sabale S, Kumbhar R (2019) Eco-friendly dyeing of cotton with brown natural dye extracted from *Ficus amplissima* Smith leaves. Environ Chem Lett 17:1161–1166. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-018-00854-w>
- Lee S (2021) Biocouture—launch. [https://www.launch.org/innov](https://www.launch.org/innovators/suzanne-lee/) [ators/suzanne-lee/](https://www.launch.org/innovators/suzanne-lee/). Accessed 17 Jan 2021.
- Li Z, Wang L, Hua J, Jia S, Zhang J, Liu H (2015) Production of nano bacterial cellulose from waste water of candied jujube-processing industry using *Acetobacter xylinum*. Carbohydr Polym 120(20):115–119.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2014.11.061>
- Li Y, Lin T, Lin Y, Wu S, Yu J (2017) TW201716659A—methods for dyeing microbial cellulose. Taiwan
- Lima LR, Santos DB, Santos MV, Barud HS, Henrique MA, Pasquini D, Pecoraro E, Ribeiro SJL (2015) Nanocristais de celulose a partir de celulose bacteriana. Quim Nova 9:1140–1147. [https://](https://doi.org/10.5935/0100-4042.20150131) doi.org/10.5935/0100-4042.20150131
- Luo MT, Zhao C, Huang C, Chen XF, Huang QL, Qi GX, Chen XD (2017) Efficient using durian shell hydrolysate as low-cost substrate for bacterial cellulose production by *Gluconacetobacter xylinus*. Indian J Med Microbiol 57:393–399. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12088-017-0681-1) [org/10.1007/s12088-017-0681-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12088-017-0681-1)
- Marsh AJ, Hill C, Ross RP, Cotter PD (2014) Fermented beverages with health-promoting potential: past and future perspectives. Trends Food Sci Technol 38(2):113–124. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2014.05.002) [org/10.1016/j.tifs.2014.05.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2014.05.002)
- Mia R, Selim M, Shamim AM, Chowdhury M, Sultana S, Armin HM, Akter M, Dey R, Naznin HS (2019) Review on various types of pollution problem in textile dyeing & printing industries of Bangladesh and recommendation for mitigation. J Text Eng Fash Technol 5(4):220–226.<https://doi.org/10.15406/jteft.2019.05.00205>
- Molina-Ramírez C, Castro C, Zuluaga R, Gañán P (2018) Physical characterization of bacterial cellulose produced by *Komagataeibacter medellinensis* using food supply chain waste and agricultural by-products as alternative low-cost feedstocks. J Polym Environ 26:830–837.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10924-017-0993-6>
- Nayak R, Houshyar S, Khandual A, Padhye R, Fergusson S (2020) Identifcation of natural textile fbres. In: Kozłowski RM (ed) Handbook of natural fbres, volume 1: types, properties and factors afecting breeding and cultivation. Woodhead Publishing series in textiles, chapter 14, 2nd edn. Woodhead Publishing, Cambridge, pp 503–534. [https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-12-](https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-12-818398-4.00016-5) [818398-4.00016-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-12-818398-4.00016-5)
- Ng MCF, Wang W (2015) A study of the receptivity to bacterial cellulosic pellicle for fashion. Res J Text Appar 19(4):65–69. [https](https://doi.org/10.1108/rjta-19-04-2015-b007) [://doi.org/10.1108/rjta-19-04-2015-b007](https://doi.org/10.1108/rjta-19-04-2015-b007)
- Pacheco G, Nogueira CR, Maneguin AB, Trovatti E, Silva MCC, Machado RTA, Ribeiro SJL, Silva Filho EC, Barud HS (2017) Development and characterization of bacterial cellulose produced by cashew tree residues as an alternative carbon source. Ind Crops Prod 107:13–19.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2017.05.026>
- Pandey A (2021) Pharmaceutical and biomedical applications of cellulose nanofbers: a review. Environ Chem Lett. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-021-01182-2) [org/10.1007/s10311-021-01182-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-021-01182-2)
- Park J (2019) KR102039415B1—manufacturing method of decolorization inhibiting color bio-cellulose. Kiribati
- Peng B, Yao Z, Wang X, Crombeen M, Sweenwy DG, Tam KC (2020) Cellulose-based materials in wastewater treatment of petroleum industry. Green Energy Environ 5(1):37–49. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gee.2019.09.003) [org/10.1016/j.gee.2019.09.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gee.2019.09.003)
- Picheth GF, Pirich CL, Sierakowski MR, Woehl MA, Sakakibara CN, Souza CF, Martin AA, Silva R, Freitas RA (2017) Bacterial cellulose in biomedical applications: a review. Int J Biol Macromol 104:97–106.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2017.05.171>
- Qasim U, Osman AI, Al-Muhtaseb AH, Farrell C, Al-Abri M, Ali M, Vo D-VN, Jamil F, Rooney DW (2021) Renewable cellulosic nanocomposites for food packaging to avoid fossil fuel plastic pollution: a review. Environ Chem Lett 19:613–641. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-020-01090-x) [org/10.1007/s10311-020-01090-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-020-01090-x)
- Rajwade JM, Paknikar KM, Kumbhar JV (2015) Applications of bacterial cellulose and its composites in biomedicine. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 99(6):2491–2511. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s0025](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-015-6426-3) [3-015-6426-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-015-6426-3)
- Rizwan M, Mujtaba G, Memon SA, Lee K, Rashid N (2018) Exploring the potential of microalgae for new biotechnology applications and beyond: a review. Renew Sustain Energy Rev 92:394–404. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2018.04.034>
- Roos JD, Vuyst LD (2018) Acetic acid bacteria in fermented foods and beverages. Curr Opin Biotechnol 49:115–119. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2017.08.007) [org/10.1016/j.copbio.2017.08.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2017.08.007)
- Rovira J, Domingo JL (2019) Human health risks due to exposure to inorganic and organic chemicals from textiles: a review. Environ Res 168:62–69. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2018.09.027>
- Shaghaleh H, Xu X, Wang S (2018) Current progress in production of biopolymeric materials based on cellulose, cellulose nanofbers, and cellulose derivatives. RSC Adv 8(2):825–842. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1039/c7ra11157f) [org/10.1039/c7ra11157f](https://doi.org/10.1039/c7ra11157f)
- Sharma K (2019) Greener developments in the process of textile dyeing: a review. Bombay Technol 66(1):25–30. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.36664/bt/2019/v66i1/148998) [org/10.36664/bt/2019/v66i1/148998](https://doi.org/10.36664/bt/2019/v66i1/148998)
- Shim E, Kim HR (2018) Coloration of bacterial cellulose using in situ and ex situ methods. Text Res J 89(7):1297–1310. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1177/0040517518770673) [org/10.1177/0040517518770673](https://doi.org/10.1177/0040517518770673)
- Sreeramulu G, Zhu Y, Knol W (2000) Kombucha fermentation and its antimicrobial activity. J Agric Food Chem 48(6):2589–2594. [https](https://doi.org/10.1021/jf991333m) [://doi.org/10.1021/jf991333m](https://doi.org/10.1021/jf991333m)
- Svensson A, Nicklasson E, Harrah T, Panilaitis B, Kaplan DL, Brittberg M, Gatenholm P (2005) Bacterial cellulose as a potential scafold for tissue engineering of cartilage. Biomaterials 26(4):419–431. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2004.02.049>
- Thiyagarajan SS, Hari K (2014) Optimization of wash bath temperature for efective biopolishing of textile garments. J Text Appar Technol Manag 9(1):1–10
- Tyagi N, Suresh S (2016) Production of cellulose from sugarcane molasses using *Gluconacetobacter intermedius* SNT-1: optimization & characterization. J Clean Prod 112(Part1):71–80. [https](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2015.07.054) [://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2015.07.054](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2015.07.054)
- Varadarajan G, Venkatachalam P (2016) Sustainable textile dyeing processes. Environ Chem Lett 14:113–122. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-015-0533-3) [s10311-015-0533-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-015-0533-3)
- Varghese AG, Paul SA, Latha MS (2018) Remediation of heavy metals and dyes from wastewater using cellulose-based adsorbents. Environ Chem Lett 17:867–877. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s1031](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-018-00843-z) [1-018-00843-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-018-00843-z)
- Villarreal-Soto SA, Beaufort S, Bouajila J, Souchard JP, Taillandier P (2018) Understanding kombucha tea fermentation: a review. J Food Sci 83(3):580–588. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1111/1750-3841.14068) [org/10.1111/1750-3841.14068](https://doi.org/10.1111/1750-3841.14068)
- Wang J, Zhu Y, Du J (2011) Bacterial cellulose: a natural nanomaterial for biomedical applications. J Mech Med Biol 11(2):285–306. <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219519411004058>
- Wang J, Tavakoli J, Tang Y (2019) Bacterial cellulose production, properties and applications with diferent culture methods—a review. Carbohydr Polym 219:63–76. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2019.05.008) [carbpol.2019.05.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2019.05.008)
- Wood J (2019) Bioinspiration in fashion—a review. Biomimetics 4(1):16–24. <https://doi.org/10.3390/biomimetics4010016>
- Ye J, Zheng S, Zhang Z, Yang F, Ma K, Feng Y, Zheng J, Mao D, Yang X (2019) Bacterial cellulose production by *Acetobacter xylinum* ATCC 23767 using tobacco waste extract as a culture medium. Biores Technol 274:518–524. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2018.12.028) [biortech.2018.12.028](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2018.12.028)
- Yim SM, Song JE, Kim HR (2017) Production and characterization of bacterial cellulose fabrics by nitrogen sources of tea and carbon sources of sugar. Process Biochem 59:26–36. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio.2016.07.001) [org/10.1016/j.procbio.2016.07.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio.2016.07.001)
- Zhao H, Xia J, Wang J, Yan X, Wang C, Lei T, Xian M, Zhang H (2018) Production of bacterial cellulose using polysaccharide fermentation wastewater as inexpensive nutrient sources. Biotechnol Biotechnol Equip 32(2):350–356. [https://doi.org/10.1080/13102](https://doi.org/10.1080/13102818.2017.1418673) [818.2017.1418673](https://doi.org/10.1080/13102818.2017.1418673)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.