**BIOTECHNOLOGY METHODS - ORIGINAL PAPER**





# **Microfuidic study of efects of fow velocity and nutrient concentration on bioflm accumulation and adhesive strength in the fowing and no‑fowing microchannels**

Na Liu<sup>1</sup> · Tormod Skauge<sup>1</sup> · David Landa-Marbán<sup>2</sup> · Beate Hovland<sup>1</sup> · Bente Thorbjørnsen<sup>1</sup> · Florin Adrian Radu<sup>2</sup> · **Bartek Florczyk Vik1 · Thomas Baumann3 · Gunhild Bødtker<sup>1</sup>**

Received: 20 August 2018 / Accepted: 5 March 2019 / Published online: 14 March 2019 © Society for Industrial Microbiology and Biotechnology 2019

### **Abstract**

Bioflm accumulation in porous media can cause pore plugging and change many of the physical properties of porous media. Engineering bioplugging may have signifcant applications for many industrial processes, while improved knowledge on bioflm accumulation in porous media at porescale in general has broad relevance for a range of industries as well as environmental and water research. The experimental results by means of microscopic imaging over a T-shape microchannel clearly show that increase in fuid velocity could facilitate bioflm growth, but that above a velocity threshold, bioflm detachment and inhibition of bioflm formation due to high shear stress were observed. High nutrient concentration prompts the bioflm growth; however, the generated bioflm displays a weak adhesive strength. This paper provides an overview of bioflm development in a hydrodynamic environment for better prediction and modelling of bioplugging processes associated with porous systems in petroleum industry, hydrogeology and water purifcation.

**Keywords** Microfuidics · Flow velocity · Nutrient concentration · Bioflm accumulation · Adhesive strength

# **Introduction**

Bioflm accumulation in the pore space can cause pore plugging (bioplugging), leading to signifcant changes in physical properties of porous media by reduction of porosity and permeability [[8,](#page-12-0) [34,](#page-12-1) [47](#page-13-0)]. The plugging efect might have negative impacts in many industrial and medical applications because the plugging of pores requires extra cost to clean, mitigate and prevent. However, engineering bioplugging has

**Electronic supplementary material** The online version of this article [\(https://doi.org/10.1007/s10295-019-02161-x\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10295-019-02161-x) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

 $\boxtimes$  Gunhild Bødtker gubo@norceresearch.no

- Centre for Integrated Petroleum Research (CIPR), Uni Research, Nygårdsgaten 112, 5008 Bergen, Norway
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, University of Bergen, Allégaten 41, P.O. Box 7803, 5020 Bergen, Norway
- <sup>3</sup> Institute of Hydrochemistry, Technische Universität München, Marchioninistr. 17, 81377 Munich, Germany

been explored as a viable technique for various practices, such as in situ bioremediation [\[19\]](#page-12-2), soil injection [[32\]](#page-12-3), waste treatment [[6](#page-12-4)], water treatment [\[12\]](#page-12-5) and microbial-enhanced oil recovery (MEOR) [[16,](#page-12-6) [21](#page-12-7), [22,](#page-12-8) [35\]](#page-12-9). In MEOR technology trails, selective bioflm accumulation in high permeability zones of the reservoir leads to the diversion of injection fuids towards lower permeable oil-flled zones to improve the oil recovery [\[3](#page-11-0), [13,](#page-12-10) [38](#page-12-11)]. Bioplugging strategy has been proven to be efficient for improving water flood efficiency and oil recovery based on various studies. Fujiwara et al. [[13\]](#page-12-10) showed that the bacterial strain CJF-002 was able to attach and form bioflm on the reservoir rock, and when injected into the oil reservoir with growth substrate (molasses), it selectively grew and formed bioplugs in the high permeable zones of the reservoir. Enhanced recovery was observed by an increase in oil production and concomitant reduction in water cut. Suthar et al. [\[44](#page-13-1)] confrmed the obtained oil recovery in the sand pack column because of the anaerobic bacterial *Bacillus licheniformis* TT33 growth and biomasses formation in high permeable zones. Klueglein et al. [[24\]](#page-12-12) studied the efects of nutrient concentrations on growth and agglomeration of MEOR micro-organisms

present in the original injection water from a Wintershall oil feld.

MEOR bioplugging technologies aim to control specifc micro-organisms attaching and forming bioflm at desired parts of a reservoir, in order to achieve improved sweep to improve oil production. However, unspecifc microbial growth in the near wellbore area may have potential negative consequences such as formation damage and reduced injectivity [\[10](#page-12-13), [50\]](#page-13-2). Microbial growth in reservoir formations is dependent on nutrient availability, and studies have shown that many chemical injection water additives applied by the oil industry may be utilized by native micro-organisms as growth substrate [\[43](#page-13-3)]. Furthermore, on-site corefood experiments at Prudhoe Bay feld (Alaska) suggest that reinjection of pre-fltered produced water may cause formation injectivity damage due to bacterial growth [[17](#page-12-14)]. Therefore, application of engineering bioplugging requires knowledge on how to control bacterial growth. Even though tremendous efforts have been made to prove the efficiency of bioplugging strategies, the deep mechanisms of bioflm formation and development in porous media at porescale, are rarely reported. Likewise, bioflm-induced formation damage has been studied and reported [[50](#page-13-2)], but the need to also study the basic mechanisms involved at porescale is necessary in order to understand and simulate bioplugging at Darcy and feld scale.

Hydrodynamic conditions are the most important parameters afecting the formation of bioplugging in porous media as bioflm growth and detachment could be signifcantly infuenced by the surrounding environment, including shear stress, nutrient status, temperature and pH [[15,](#page-12-15) [28](#page-12-16), [41](#page-13-4)]. Bioflm growth and detachment rates could both increase with injection velocity, as the increased mass transfer facilitates nutrients supply for bacterial growth, while the increased shear force in turn causes detachment [[7,](#page-12-17) [28,](#page-12-16) [48\]](#page-13-5). There is a consensus that bioflm growth rate increases with increased nutrient supply, while nutrient starvation results in bioflm detachment [\[4,](#page-11-1) [18,](#page-12-18) [36](#page-12-19)]. Therefore, the primary objective of this paper is to describe a correlation between bioflm accumulations and its adhesive strength and hydrodynamic conditions like fow velocity and nutrient concentration, to improve the understanding of bioplugging in general.

Traditionally quiescent experiments for bioflm formation and transport research are normally carried on homogeneous physical conditions, which lack environmental complexities for accurately determining the dynamic changes occurring during bioflm development [\[37\]](#page-12-20). The advent of new technologies, specially microfuidics, has attracted a rapidly growing interest to emulate biological phenomena by addressing unprecedented control over the flow conditions, providing identical and reproducible culture conditions, as well as real-time observation [[4,](#page-11-1) [39](#page-13-6), [45\]](#page-13-7). Indeed, there are few reports related to use microfuidics for observing bioflm formation and transport at porescale under various hydrodynamic conditions [\[25,](#page-12-21) [49](#page-13-8)]. Dunsmore et al. [\[9](#page-12-22)] injected the sulphate-reducing bacterium, *Desulfovibrio* sp. EX265, into a glass micromodel and observed a decrease in permeability due to bioflm accumulation in the pore space and blocking of pore throats. Karambeigi et al. [\[20](#page-12-23)] used a glass micromodel with two diferent heterogeneities to investigate the potential of bioplugging to improve the efficiency of water fooding. An improved oil recovery was observed by injection of a mixed culture of oil-degrading microorganisms into porous media. Park et al. [[33](#page-12-24)] presented efects of shear stress on bioflm formation in a microfuidic channel and confrmed that under the optimum shear stress, bioflm could resist the fow-induced shear stress by forming a stable extracellular polymeric substance (EPS) structure to provide a mechanical shield. Zhang et al. [[51\]](#page-13-9) designed a microfuidic gradient mixer to monitor bioflm development as a response to a defned calcium and nitrate gradients. These studies demonstrate that the microfuidic device coupled with a microscope is an efective tool for in situ analysis and quantifcation of bioflm formation and transport in porous media at porescale. Herein, we used a T-shape microfuidic device equipped with a microscope to study the bioflm accumulation and adhesive strength as responds to various flow velocities and nutrient concentrations in the microchannel.

# **Materials and methods**

# **Bacteria and fuids**

The bacterium used in the study was: *Thalassospira* strain A216101, a facultative anaerobic, nitrate-reducing bacteria (NRB), capable of growing under both aerobic and anaerobic conditions. It is able to grow on fatty acids and other organics acids as sole carbon and energy source. The bacterium was cultured in a marine mineral medium, which contained the following components  $(l^{-1})$ : 0.02 g Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, 1.00 g KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 0.10 g NH<sub>4</sub>Cl, 20.00 g NaCl, 3.00 g MgCl<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.50 g KCl, 0.15 g CaCl<sub>2</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.70 g NaNO<sub>3</sub> and 0.50 ml 0.20% resazurin [[30\]](#page-12-25). Resazurin dye is a redox indicator that was added to the growth medium in order to evaluate the metabolic activity in the microchannel by simple visual inspection of the effluent produced. Respiratory growth irreversibly reduces the blue-coloured resazurin to pink-coloured resorufin. The medium is hereafter referred to as growth medium. After autoclaving in a dispenser, 1 l of growth medium was added 5 ml vitamin solution and 20 ml 1 M NaHCO<sub>3</sub> to adjust the pH to  $7.00 \pm 0.10$ . Finally, pyruvate was added as the carbon source from a sterile stock solution to achieve final nutrient concentrations of 20 mM, 10 mM, 5 mM and 1 mM, respectively. The final nutrient medium was stored at 4 °C.

### **Experimental set‑up**

The experimental apparatus is illustrated in Fig. [1a](#page-2-0). A glass T-junction microfluidic device (Micronit, Netherland) consists of a single straight channel and a side channel with the sizes of 100 μm width and 20 μm depth and the nuzzle size at the cross section as narrow as  $10 \mu m$ (Fig. [1](#page-2-0)b). Two syringe pumps (NE-1000 Series of Syringe Pumps, accuracy  $\pm 1\%$ ) were used to load the bacterial solution and nutrients solution separately into microchannels. The light source is a cold halogen lamp with 24 V, 150 W, placed under the microchip for better illumination. A microscope with a digital camera (VisiCam 5.0, VWR) was used to acquire image sequences. Measurements and experiments were conducted at ambient temperature and pressure.

#### **Inoculation process**

Before inoculation, the microchannel was cleaned using ethyl alcohol, deionized water,  $H_2O_2$  solution (10% w/w) and deionized water to guarantee the same surface condition for each experiment. The bacterial inocula were pre-cultured in the growth medium containing 10 mM nutrients at 30 °C for 24 h. The initial cells density of the inoculum was approximately  $1 \times 10^9$  cells/ml. Inoculation was achieved by injecting the pre-culture bacterial solution from the bacterial inlet port (Fig. [1](#page-2-0)b) into the side channel (Channel 2) at the rate of 1.0 µl/min for 24 h, followed by a 24-h shut-in period. In case of bioflm plugging the nutrients fow channel (Channel 1), we closed the nutrient inlet during inoculation to force the bacterial solution to only flow towards the outlet direction. Then only growth medium, with various pyruvate concentrations from 1 to 20 mM, was injected into Channel 1 from the nutrients inlet at constant fow rates from 0.2 to 0.5 µl/min, while Channel 2 was closed, which led to a greater growing of bacteria on the surface of the intersection of straight channel and side channel (Fig. [1c](#page-2-0)). After nutrient fooding, the microchannel was rinsed with ethyl alcohol,



<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Fig. 1 a** Schematic illustration of the experimental set-up, **b** the glass T-shape microchannel in this study contains two inlet ports (1 bacterial inlet and 2 nutrients inlet) and one outlet port (3). Micro-

chip image comes from Micronit website, **c** image of bioflm growth recorded by microscope. Flow direction from left to right

water,  $H_2O_2$  solution and water separately and, finally, filled with the marine medium without nutrients until the onset of the next experiment.

### **Image process**

Image sequences on bioflm growth were acquired with a Leica microscope ftted with a digital camera for scoring with time. The main area of interest in this study is the intersection of straight channel and side channel; thereby, two areas of interest (AOIs) with  $0.5$  mm  $\times$  0.1 mm are extracted from the origin image for further image analysis (red squares in Fig. [1c](#page-2-0)). The image processing was performed using MATLAB®'s Image Processing Toolbox. Biofilm accumulation, here presented by biofilm coverage  $(A_{nt})$ in areas of interest, was periodically measured in a fowing channel (Channel 1) and no-fowing channel (Channel 2). Further details on image process can be found in Support Information.

### **Quantitative real‑time PCR (qPCR)**

Fluid samples were collected daily at the outlet for analysis by quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) in order to determine the total cell number produced and/or released from the bioflm. Amplifcation of the V3 region of 16S rRNA gene was performed by the use of *Bacteria* primer PRBA338f (5′-ACTCCTACGGGAGGCAGCAG-3′) [\[27\]](#page-12-26) and Universal primer PRUN518r (5′-ATTACCGCGGCTGCTGG-3′) [\[29](#page-12-27)]. The template for the reaction was DNA from whole cells, pre-treated by freezing and thawing in order to open the cells and allow DNA amplification. A 20-µl qPCR mix containing 10 µl QuantiTect® SYBR® Green PCR Kit (Qiagen, Germany) 0.06 μl primers (100 μM), 8.88 μl nuclease-free water (Qiagen, Germany) and 1 µl cell template was prepared in 0.2 ml low-profle 8-strip white PCR tubes covered with optical fat 8-cap strips (Bio-Rad Laboratories, USA). The reaction was run at the following cycling conditions: initial activation at 95 °C for 15 min, 36 cycles with denaturation for 30 s at 94 °C, annealing for 30 s at 55 °C, extension for 1 min at 72 °C followed by a plate read. At the end, a melting curve from 55 to 95 °C was conducted. The reactions were carried out in a CFX connect™ real-time PCR

 $\overline{m}$ 

detection system (Bio-Rad Laboratories, USA). Each run included two parallel analyses of each sample and standards (prepared from isolated DNA of *Thalassospira* cells, 5 times tenfold diluted). The number of amplicons was divided by the factor 3.8 to correct for the average number of 16S rRNA copies in bacteria [\[42](#page-13-10)]. The qPCR results are given as the mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD) of the two individual analyses.

## **Results**

# **Efects of fow velocity on bioflm accumulation and adhesive strength**

After inoculation, four sets of nutrient fooding experiments with 10 mM pyruvate concentration were conducted at various injection rates (0.2, 0.3, 0.4 and 0.5 µl/min) to measure efects of injection velocity on bioflm accumulation in microchannels. After 6 days of nutrient flooding, the flow rate was increased stepwise by 0.1 µl/min for 1 h, until up to 1.2 µl/min, to test the adhesive strength of bioflm attached on the solid surface. The corresponding fow velocity, Peclet number, Reynolds number and shear rate at each fow rate in Channel 1 are listed in Table [1.](#page-3-0) The Peclet number is the ratio of heat transfer by convection to heat transfer by conduction within the fuid. The Reynolds number is the ratio of the inertial forces to the viscous forces. Shear rate is the velocity gradient across the diameter of the fuid-fow channel. The accumulation of bioflm at diferent velocities was observed and registered as function of time by the use of microscope.

### **Bioflm morphologies**

Images of bioflm development in nutrients fowing channel (Channel 1) and no-fowing channel (Channel 2) at various fow velocities are shown in Fig. [2.](#page-4-0) It is noticed that bioflm in Channel 1 reveals diferent morphological characters involving coverage and shape depending on fow velocities. After inoculation, the initial attached biomasses at low velocities (1.66 and 2.50 mm/s) became irreversible and developed towards diferent structures of bioflm along

<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Table 1** Basic fow parameters at various fow rates in this study





<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Fig. 2** Optical images of bioflm growth in both microchannels at 10 mM and various velocities. As shown in images, bioflm features and channel edges are bright and the surrounding voids dark. The frst column of images compared bioflm development in microchannels at diferent fow velocities for 1 h. After continually injecting growth

the growth medium fow. Bioflm at 1.66 mm/s tends to be approximately circular shape and has a larger coverage area, while bioflm at 2.50 mm/s shows an appearance of thin plate structure. There is no clear bioflm formation in Channel 1 at high velocities (3.33 and 4.17 mm/s), which indicates that shear forces imposed at high fow velocities were larger than the adhesion forces between bioflms and

On the contrary, biofilm formed in Channel 2 at 3.33 mm/s led to the largest cluster compared with low velocities, indicating that hydrodynamic conditions in Channel 1 determined the fux of nutrients transport to Channel 2, and high shear stress in Channel 1 facilities mass transfer in Channel 2 and stimulates bacterial growth. Noteworthy

surfaces.

medium at constant fow rates for around 6 days, images of bioflm in microchannels are shown in the middle column. The right column shows the response of biofilm at high flow rate of 1.2  $\mu$ l/min, corresponding to the shear rate of 500.00 s<sup> $-1$ </sup>. Nutrients flow from left to right in the upper channel. Scale bars indicate 100 μm

is that in the case of injection velocity of 1.66 mm/s, bioflm continued developing to some extent in Channel 2 when the nozzle was plugged by bioflm accumulation in Channel 1, which is likely because the formed bioflm was permeable to nutrients. There was no bioflm growth in either channel at the highest fow velocity of 4.17 mm/s, which suggests that the high shear forces may prevent bioflm formation. This result is in agreement with industrial applications where the formation of bioflm is prevented by high velocity fooding [[14\]](#page-12-28).

After 6 days of injection at a constant rate, the injection rate was increased stepwise by 0.1 µl/min, until up to 1.2 µl/ min (corresponding 500.00 s<sup>-1</sup> of shear rate), to test the adhesive strength between bioflm and the solid surface. As shown in the right column images of Fig. [2](#page-4-0), bioflm in Channel 1 at 1.66 mm/s became elongated in the fowing direction to form flamentous "streamers" when increasing the shear rate. However, there were no clear bioflm shape diferences in cases of higher fow velocities (2.50 and 3.33 mm/s).

## **Bioflm accumulation in the fowing and no‑fowing channels**

Bioflm coverages as a function of time for diferent fow velocities in two microchannels are listed in Fig. [3](#page-6-0). In Channel 1 (Fig. [3](#page-6-0)a), the coverage of bioflm decreased in the first 24 h as the flow shear stress snapped off some of weak initial attachments. When the left bioflm turned into irreversibly attached and new bioflm formed, bioflm coverage increased over time. Figure [3](#page-6-0)a shows more bioflm accumulation in Channel 1 at low flow velocities. Figure [3](#page-6-0)b plots bioflm coverage in the no-fowing channel (Channel 2) as a function of time in each run. Bioflm coverages at all velocities increased over time, while the optimum velocity is 3.33 mm/s due to its exceptionally high accumulation rate. This might attribute to that bioflm growth in the no-fowing Channel 2 was highly dependent on the nutrient difusive fux from the nutrient-fowing Channel 1, where the high velocity in Channel 1 facilitated the nutrients transportation from Channel 1 to Channel 2. In the case of the highest fow velocity of 4.17 mm/s, there were few cells attached in areas of interest (AOIs) after nutrient fooding (Fig. [2\)](#page-4-0), which might cause less active cells for further bioflm growth in AOIs. Therefore, the velocity of 3.33 mm/s led to the largest biofilm accumulation compared to other flow velocities.

Comparing bioflm growth at 2.50 mm/s in the nutrient-flowing channel and no-flowing channel in Fig. [3](#page-6-0)c, biofilm coverage in two channels increased with time initially. However, after 75 h bioflm coverage in Channel 2 reached to a plateau value, while bioflm coverage in Channel 1 continued increasing over time. The stable coverage obtained in Channel 2 might be attributed to that cells within the bioflm cannot obtain sufficient essential sources of nutrients for producing new bioflm as bacterial cells dramatically increased in the growing bioflm community. However, the continuous nutrients supply in Channel 1 leads to a delay of this leave-off behaviour. Figure [3](#page-6-0)d compares the experimental data (dots) with the mathematical model (lines) of bioflm coverages in both microchannels at various velocities. The numerical data are from D. Landa-Marbán' work [\[26](#page-12-29)] and show that our experiment data are well ft with the numerical simulation. The mathematical model considered the bioflm as a porous medium and formed by water, EPS, active and dead bacteria. The flow of free water was modelled by the Stokes equation, whereas the flow of water inside the biofilm was modelled by the Brinkman equation. A difusion–convection equation was involved for the transport of nutrients.

The location of the bioflm–water interface changed in time due to detachment of biomass, as well as due to reproduction of bacteria, production of EPS and bacterial decay.

#### **Bioflm adhesive strength test**

Figure [3e](#page-6-0) shows the results of qPCR analysis of cell number in the effluent at various velocities. The cell number in effluent increased in the first 48 h after inoculation, which mainly contributes to that the reversible adhered bacteria were driven out the microchannel by the shear stress. After nutrient flooding for 48 h, cell number in effluent decreased over time, exhibiting that more bacteria involved into the bioflm construction. Since there was no bacterial injection during flooding, the measured cells in the effluent can be interpreted as the detachment of bioflm due to the fowinduced shear stress and/or planktonic cell growth in the bulk fuid.

For the adhesive strength test, biofilm coverages in Channel 1 as responds to the increasing shear rate from 83.33 and 125.00 s<sup>-1</sup> up to 500.00 s<sup>-1</sup> are shown in Fig. [3](#page-6-0)f. In the case of bioflm formation at 1.66 mm/s, its coverage area increased slightly when increasing shear rate up to 166.67 s−1, suggesting that the increasing shear stress facilitates the difusion of nutrients inside of bioflm and promotes its growth. However, according to the decrease in slope in the bioflm coverage curve (Fig. [3](#page-6-0)f), bioflm growth slowed down after continually increasing the shear rate. When the shear rate was increased to 500 s<sup>-1</sup>, biofilm coverage started to decrease, which might be explained by the detachment rate exceeding the growth rate. Similar results were obtained for bioflm growth at fow velocity of 2.50 mm/s, where bioflm coverage increased at lower fow shear rates and decreased at higher shear rates.

# **Efects of nutrient concentration on bioflm accumulation and adhesive strength**

Bioflm developments in channels were compared at four diferent nutrient concentrations to evaluate the efects of nutrient conditions on bioflm accumulation and adhesive strength. The baseline was 10 mM pyruvate in the growth medium, and variations of two times (20 mM), half (5 mM) and one tenth (1 mM) of the baseline concentration were applied. Injections were performed at a constant velocity of 1.66 mm/s from Channel 1 for approximately 7 days and followed by bioflm strength tests via steadily increasing shear rate. The images are shown in Fig. [4](#page-7-0).

#### **Bioflm morphologies**

As shown in Fig. [4,](#page-7-0) bioflm in Channel 1 with the highest concentration 20 mM has a long, thick but loose structure,



<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Fig. 3 a** Bioflm coverage over time in Channel 1 at various velocities, **b** bioflm coverage over time in Channel 2 at diferent velocities, **c** comparison of bioflm accumulation in both channels at 2.50 mm/s, **d** experimental data and numerical simulations of bioflm coverage in both channels at various velocities, **e** number of released cells as

a function of bioflm culture time at various velocities (error bars are±standard deviation), **f** bioflm coverage in Channel 1 as response to the increasing shear rate after bacterial growing at the velocities of 1.66 and 2.50 mm/s for 6 days



<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Fig. 4** Optical images of bioflm growth over time at various nutrient concentrations. The frst column images compared bioflm development in microchannels at various nutrient concentrations for 1 h. The middle column shows images of bioflm growth after continually

injecting nutrient solution for around 7 days. The right column lists the results of bioflm detachment on adhesive strength test by increasing shear rate up to 500.00 s<sup>-1</sup>. Nutrient flow from left to right in the upper channel. Scale bars indicate 100 μm

which is highly sensitive to the variation of shear stress. After 122 h, the formed bioflm detached from the channel surface, leaving behind a few attached bioflm spots to regrow. At nutrients input of 10 mM and 5 mM, bioflm became denser and compacted, and no detachment occurred with bioflm expansion. When reducing the nutrient concentration to 1 mM, there was no clear bioflm growth occurring in the nutrient-fowing channel.

Bioflm in Channel 2 at nutrient inputs of 20 mM has larger coverages than other concentrations, which confrms that high nutrient concentrations supply could lead to a fast bioflm growth. The massive bioflm accumulation at low nutrient concentration of 5 mM might be related to the large initial attachments containing more biomasses for bioflm development. It is noticed that there is barely new bioflm formation at both channels at 1 mM, which shows that the lowest nutrient input signifcantly limited new bioflm formation.

As responding to the increasing shear rate, the bioflm with low density and loose structure at 20 mM was highly sensitive to the variation of shear stress, which detached from the substrate at the shear rate of 83.33 s<sup>-1</sup>. Biofilm growth at 5 mM reacted as the same as that at 10 mM to the increasing shear rate, which only the bioflm shape became elongated in the fowing direction but without large detachment.





<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Fig. 5 a** Bioflm coverage over time in Channel 1 at diferent nutrient concentrations, **b** bioflm coverage over time in Channel 2 at diferent nutrient concentrations, **c** comparison of bioflm coverage in both

channels at 5 mM and 1.66 mm/s, **d** cell number of effluents at various nutrient concentrations at the fow velocity of 1.66 mm/s (error bars are  $\pm$  standard deviation)

# **Bioflm accumulation in the fowing and no‑fowing channels**

Bioflm coverages as a function of time for diferent nutrient concentrations in two microchannels are shown in Fig. [5.](#page-8-0) As shown in Fig. [5a](#page-8-0), bioflm growth in Channel 1 at a high nutrient concentration of 20 mM has a much faster accumulation rate in the frst 5 days, but rapidly decreases to near zero when most parts of bioflm detached from the matrix. At the medium nutrient feeding zones (5 mM and 10 mM), bioflm coverage at 5 mM is higher than that of 10 mM in the frst 2 days, but reached a plateau value after around 60 h. Thereby, bioflm coverage reached a stable plateau when the low nutrient concentration limited further growth. At the lowest nutrient concentration of 1 mM, there was no clear bioflm formation in both channels. Therefore, the lowest nutrient concentration (1 mM) could not provide a proper environment for bioflm growth. In this study, the

limiting nutrient concentration for bioflm growth appears to be between 1 and 5 mM.

As shown in Fig. [5b](#page-8-0), bioflm accumulation in Channel 2 is highly infuenced by nutrient concentrations. Bioflm formation at 20 mM has a faster accumulation rate than other cases, indicating that the high nutrient concentration in Channel 1 leads to an increase in bioflm growth in Channel 2. However, bioflms at all the nutrient concentrations reach stable plateaus after 5 days when the growing bioflm community could not obtain sufficient essential nutrients for further growth. The time to reach the stable plateau at 20 mM is later than 5 mM, suggesting that the high nutrient concentration leads to a decrease in the time taken to reach the stable plateau in a no-fow system. Figure [5](#page-8-0)c compares bioflm accumulation in both channels at 5 mM. Apparently, the time to reach the plateau in Channel 1 was later than that in Channel 2, indicating that the fow shear rate in Channel can facilitate mass transfer and lead an increase in the time taken to reach the stable state. These results confrm that nutrient availability has a signifcant infuence on bioflm development.

### **Bioflm adhesive strength test**

Figure [5](#page-8-0)d presents the results of the cell number in the effluent at four diferent nutrient concentrations. Apparently, the released cell number at 20 mM is higher than those at lower nutrient concentrations, which might be contributed to that the high nutrient supply promotes a higher planktonic growth. The number of released/detached cells is relatively in the same level at 5 mM and 10 mM in the frst 5 days. However, when bioflm stopped growing at 5 mM (the plateau in Fig. [5](#page-8-0)c), the detached cells increased over time, suggesting that the mature bioflm would disperse more planktonic cells into the bulk liquid [\[28](#page-12-16)]. At the limited nutrient supply  $(1 \text{ mM})$ , the released cell number in the effluent was stable during nutrient fooding. In the case of no bioflm formation in channels (Fig. [4\)](#page-7-0), bacteria at limited nutrient supply might prefer to live in the planktonic style instead of biofilm style [\[1](#page-11-2)].

It is noticed that bioflm growth at 20 mM has a weak adhesive strength with the substrate, because cells deep in the bioflm were dispersed from the interior of the bioflm matrix causing large degree of detachment. We also observed this dispersion occurring at flow velocity of 2.50 mm/s (Fig. [6\)](#page-10-0). A central region of the bioflm matrix (the red circles in Fig. [6a](#page-10-0)) became visible and light after a few days of bioflm growth, which has demonstrated as the pre-dispersion behaviour [\[11](#page-12-30)]. Then, microcolonies within the regions migrated into the bulk liquid, leading to huge biofilm detachments. Biofilm was observed to undergo growth and dispersion simultaneously at the highest nutrient concentration (Fig. [6](#page-10-0)b). As bioflm growth is at a fast accumulation rate at 20 mM, cells trapped in the deep of bioflm matrix have difficulties to obtain essential sources of energy or nutrients via difusion from the bulk solution to the bioflm structure. In addition, waste products and toxins accumulated also in a high speed inside the bioflm community. When they reached toxic levels to threaten cells survival, micro-organisms would be released from the deep of the bioflm matrix to resettle at a new location to develop again.

# **Discussion**

# **Bioflm morphologies**

Observations on biofilm morphologies in both flow and noflowing channels of each run demonstrate that flow velocity and nutrient concentration have a direct effect on bioflm morphology. Shapes of bioflm in the nutrient-fowing

channel (Channel 1) show the infuence of fow drag in the direction of fow velocity, where the bioflm clusters became compacted and progressively elongated with the increase in flow velocity (Fig. [2\)](#page-4-0). The biofilm at the high nutrient concentration had a long, thick but loose structure, while it turned to be denser and compacted at low nutrient concentrations (Fig. [4](#page-7-0)). Similar results have been reported in previous work [\[41\]](#page-13-4).

Bioflm growth in Channel 2 is highly dependent on the difusion of nutrients in Channel 1. As the former bacteria injection path, most parts of Channel 2 were full of biomasses without fuid shear forces. Only the void in the nozzle connecting with Channel 1 could act as the transport channel supplying nutrients for bioflm growth. Bioflm growth at the high shear rate of 166.67 s<sup>-1</sup> and high nutrient concentration of 20 mM led to a larger cluster compared with others, indicating that high shear rate and nutrient concentration in Channel 1 facilitated the mass transfer of nutrients into Channel 2 and promoted bioflm growth in Channel 2. It is noticed that there was no bioflm growth in either channel at the highest fow velocity of 4.17 mm/s and lowest nutrient concentrations of 1 mM, suggesting that the high shear forces and limited nutrients loading may prohibit bioflm formation.

### **Bioflm accumulation**

In this study, we set the initial bioflm coverage after inoculation to zero and plotted the biofilm coverage  $(A_{nt})$  by subtracting the initial attachment from all image sequences to analyse bioflm net accumulation rate during nutrient fooding. As shown in Figs. [3](#page-6-0)a and [5a](#page-8-0), the coverages of bioflm in Channel 1 are under zero in the early stage of injection, which demonstrates that the shear stress caused by nutrient flooding leads to the snap-off of weak initial attachments. When the remained bioflm became irreversibly attached, cells within bioflm behaved as nuclei for new bacteria/ bioflm growth, resulting in the increase in bioflm coverage. Biofilm accumulation in the flowing microchannel (Channel 1) is highly related to flow velocities through two important factors, mass transfer and shear stress [[46](#page-13-11), [48](#page-13-5)]. As shown in Table [1](#page-3-0), the Reynolds numbers in Channel 1 were very low (from 0.17 to 0.42), while the mass transfer Peclet numbers were extremely high (from 97.64 to 245.30), which suggests that mass transfer in the microchannel was dominated by convective actions and has negligible difusion during nutrient fooding [[23](#page-12-31)]. Thereby, the difusion of nutrients from bulk to bioflm rarely increased with the increase in fow velocity, while the shear stress by water fow increased linearly. The accumulation of bioflm, which is equal to its growth rate minus detachment rate, decreased with the increase in flow velocities when the shear stressinduced detachment rate exceeding growth rate. Thereby, the  $\Omega$ 





<span id="page-10-0"></span>**Fig. 6 a** Images of bioflm growth following dispersion events at high nutrient concentration of 20 mM and flow velocities at 1.66 and 2.50 mm/s. Red circles at two images of 121 h and 81 h demonstrate

the pre-dispersion behaviour. Flow direction is from left to right. **b** Bioflm accumulation at 1.66 mm/s and nutrient concentration of 20 mM (color fgure online)

optimum fow velocity for bioflm growth in the fow microchannel is the lowest velocity of 1.66 mm/s in this work. Considering efects of nutrient concentration, the bioflm accumulation in Channel 1 was linearly increased with nutrient concentrations. Apparently, the highest nutrient concentration (20 mM) led to a much faster bioflm accumulation rate. The similar bioflm growth rate at 5 mM and 10 mM implies that in a range of nutrient concentrations, the bioflm growth rate is independent of nutrient status in the initial state of bioflm growth [[36\]](#page-12-19). As bioflm grows in size, the number of cells within the bioflm increases dramatically, resulting in their demands for nutrients growing. Thereby the low nutrient concentration would limit growth in the later stage of bioflm development.

Bioflm accumulation in Channel 2 increased with shear rate and nutrient concentration in Channel 1 monotonically.

Due to an absence of shear stress, bioflm growth in Channel 2 depended on the nutrient difusive fux of Channel 1, where the flow shear rate and nutrient concentration could facilitate mass transfer, leading to an increase in bioflm accumulation. Therefore, for a confned no-fowing system, bioflm accumulation rate is highly related to the nutrients availability, which is in correspondence with previous works [\[36](#page-12-19), [41](#page-13-4)].

The results above indicate that for porous systems, like oil reservoirs, bioflm could develop not only in the main water flow paths, but also in dead ends and less flooded areas. Therefore, optimized nutrient fow velocity and nutrient concentration could ensure sufficient nutrients supplying rate with moderate shear stress in the pore space, resulting in a fast and stable bioflm accumulation in both fowing and non-flow regions.

### **Bioflm adhesive strength with the glass surface**

The results of qPCR analysis refect the detachment of bioflm as responding to the stresses from the environment, including shear stress and nutrient starvation [[5\]](#page-11-3). In this study, we observed that the bioflm-dispersal cells increased with flow velocity due to the shear stress-induced detachment, and nutrient starvation was also a trigger for bioflm dispersal. In a fowing system, bioflm dispersal is benefcial to spawn novel bioflm development cycles at new locations, which can ensure attachment and bioplug formation developing further into fooded porous media.

In contrast to the planktonic mode, bioflm in a selfgenerated matrix can behave as viscous liquids to resist the flow shear stress and prevent from detachment from the attached solid surface. The results from bioflm adhesive strength test have demonstrated that bioflm growing at the optimum shear stress could resist the fow-induced shear stress, which is in agreement with the results of Park et al. [[33\]](#page-12-24) that under the optimum shear stress, EPS structure could provide a mechanical shield to protect bioflm. Compared to the snap-off of initial attachment in the beginning of nutrient injection, the adhesive strength between bioflm and adhesive surface seemed to become stronger under shear [[2,](#page-11-4) [31](#page-12-32)]. However, bioflm growth at high nutrient concentration (20 mM) formed a loose structure with a high accumulation rate but a weak adhesive strength with substrates, which was easily detached by fuid shear.

# **Conclusion**

In summary, this work demonstrates that fow velocity and nutrient concentration could control bioflm development in porous media in a bioplugging trial. Negligible bioflm formation at the relatively high fow velocity of 4.17 mm/s and low nutrient concentration of 1 mM suggests that there is a "no/low growth region", where the high shear force leads to bioflm detachment and nutrient concentration is below the minimum required for bioflm formation. This is supported by the earlier work  $[40, 41]$  $[40, 41]$  $[40, 41]$ . At the conditions investigated in this work, a strong plugging effect in the flowing microchannel was obtained at the relatively low flow velocity of 1.66 mm/s and the medium nutrient concentration of 10 mM substrate, which has a fast bioflm accumulation rate and a strong adhesion force to resist increase in the flow-induced shear. This research gives new insights to infuences of fow velocity and nutrient concentration on bioflm development in porous media at porescale, which may aid evaluations of bioplugging in porous systems such as for oil and ground water reservoirs. As potential permeability reducers in oil reservoirs, bioflm accumulation in porous media needs to be controlled by fow velocity and nutrient availability. Optimized nutrient fow velocity and concentration ensure suffcient nutrients supplying rate with moderate shear stress in the pore, resulting in bioflm accumulation in both fowing and non-fow regions. However, too high stress may prevent bioflm formation and removal of adhered bioflm in the porous media. High nutrient concentration is beneficial for bioflm growth, but leads to a weak bioflm adhesive strength, which is easily detached by flow shear from the pores.

**Acknowledgements** We wish to thank Edin Alagic, Rikke H. Ulvøen and Tove L. Eide for technical assistance. This work was supported by the Research Council of Norway and industry partner GOE-IP through the Project IMMENS No. 255426.

### **Compliance with ethical standards**

**Conflict of interest** The authors declare that they have no confict of interest.

# **References**

- <span id="page-11-2"></span>1. Bester E, Wolfaardt G, Joubert L, Garny K, Saftic S (2005) Planktonic-cell yield of a pseudomonad biofilm. Appl Environ Microbiol 71:7792–7798. [https://doi.org/10.1128/](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.71.12.7792-7798.2005) [AEM.71.12.7792-7798.2005](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.71.12.7792-7798.2005)
- <span id="page-11-4"></span>2. Billings N, Birjiniuk A, Samad TS, Doyle PS, Ribbeck K (2015) Material properties of bioflms—a review of methods for understanding permeability and mechanics. Rep Prog Phys 78:036601. <https://doi.org/10.1088/0034-4885/78/3/036601>
- <span id="page-11-0"></span>3. Brown LR (2010) Microbial enhanced oil recovery (MEOR). Curr Opin Microbiol 13:316–320. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mib.2010.01.011) [mib.2010.01.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mib.2010.01.011)
- <span id="page-11-1"></span>4. Cherif T, Jacques M, Quessy S, Fravalo P (2017) Impact of nutrient restriction on the structure of *Listeria monocytogenes* bioflm grown in a microfuidic system. Front Microbiol 8:864. [https://](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.00864) [doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.00864](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.00864)
- <span id="page-11-3"></span>5. Chua SL, Liu Y, Yam JKH, Chen Y, Vejborg RM, Tan BGC, Kjelleberg S, Tolker-Nielsen T, Givskov M, Yang L (2014)

Dispersed cells represent a distinct stage in the transition from bacterial bioflm to planktonic lifestyles. Nat Commun 5:4462. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms5462>

- <span id="page-12-4"></span>6. Cuzman OA, Rescic S, Richter K, Wittig L, Tiano P (2015) *Sporosarcina pasteurii* use in extreme alkaline conditions for recycling solid industrial wastes. J Biotechnol 214:49–56. [https](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiotec.2015.09.011) [://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiotec.2015.09.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiotec.2015.09.011)
- <span id="page-12-17"></span>7. David C, Bühler K, Schmid A (2015) Stabilization of single species *Synechocystis* bioflms by cultivation under segmented fow. J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol 42:1083–1089. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10295-015-1626-5) [s10295-015-1626-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10295-015-1626-5)
- <span id="page-12-0"></span>8. Dumitrache A, Wolfaardt G, Allen G, Liss SN, Lynd LR (2013) Form and function of *Clostridium thermocellum* biofilms. Appl Environ Microbiol 79:231–239. [https://doi.org/10.1128/](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02563-12) [AEM.02563-12](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02563-12)
- <span id="page-12-22"></span>9. Dunsmore BC, Bass CJ, Lappin-Scott HM (2003) A novel approach to investigate bioflm accumulation and bacterial transport in porous matrices. Environ Microbiol 6:183–187. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1462-2920.2003.00546.x) [doi.org/10.1046/j.1462-2920.2003.00546.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1462-2920.2003.00546.x)
- <span id="page-12-13"></span>10. Ezeuko CC, Sen A, Gates ID (2013) Modelling biofilminduced formation damage and biocide treatment in subsurface geosystems. Microb Biotechnol 6:53–66. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1111/1751-7915.12002) [org/10.1111/1751-7915.12002](https://doi.org/10.1111/1751-7915.12002)
- <span id="page-12-30"></span>11. Flemming H-C, Wingender J, Szewzyk U (2011) Bioflm highlights, vol 5. Springer Series on Bioflms. Heidelberg
- <span id="page-12-5"></span>12. Franco-Rivera A, Paniagua-Michel J, Zamora-Castro J (2007) Characterization and performance of constructed nitrifying biofilms during nitrogen bioremediation of a wastewater effluent. J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol 34:279–287. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10295-006-0196-y) [s10295-006-0196-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10295-006-0196-y)
- <span id="page-12-10"></span>13. Fujiwara K, Sugai Y, Yazawa N, Ohno K, Hong CX, Enomoto H (2004) Biotechnological approach for development of microbial enhanced oil recovery technique. Pet Biotechnol Dev Perspect 151:405–445
- <span id="page-12-28"></span>14. Garrett TR, Bhakoo M, Zhang Z (2008) Bacterial adhesion and bioflms on surfaces. Prog Nat Sci 18:1049–1056. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pnsc.2008.04.001) [org/10.1016/j.pnsc.2008.04.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pnsc.2008.04.001)
- <span id="page-12-15"></span>15. Hao R, Meng C, Li J (2017) Impact of operating condition on the denitrifying bacterial community structure in a 3DBER-SAD reactor. J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol 44:9–21. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10295-016-1853-4) [s10295-016-1853-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10295-016-1853-4)
- <span id="page-12-6"></span>16. Hosseininoosheri P, Lashgari HR, Sepehrnoori K (2016) A novel method to model and characterize in situ bio-surfactant production in microbial enhanced oil recovery. Fuel 183:501–511. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2016.06.035) [org/10.1016/j.fuel.2016.06.035](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2016.06.035)
- <span id="page-12-14"></span>17. Hsi CD, Dudzik DS, Lane RH, Buettner JW, Neira RD (1994) Formation injectivity damage due to produced water reinjection. Paper presented at the SPE Formation Damage Control Symposium, Lafayette, Louisiana, 1994/1/1
- <span id="page-12-18"></span>18. Hunt SM, Werner EM, Huang B, Hamilton MA, Stewart PS (2004) Hypothesis for the role of nutrient starvation in bioflm detachment. Appl Environ Microbiol 70:7418–7425. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.70.12.7418-7425.2004) [org/10.1128/AEM.70.12.7418-7425.2004](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.70.12.7418-7425.2004)
- <span id="page-12-2"></span>19. Joshi S, Goyal S, Mukherjee A, Reddy MS (2017) Microbial healing of cracks in concrete: a review. J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol 44:1511–1525. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10295-017-1978-0>
- <span id="page-12-23"></span>20. Karambeigi MS, Schaffie M, Fazaelipoor MH (2013) Improvement of water flooding efficiency using mixed culture of microorganisms in heterogeneous micro-models. Pet Sci Technol 31:923– 931.<https://doi.org/10.1080/10916466.2010.506461>
- <span id="page-12-7"></span>21. Karimi M, Mahmoodi M, Niazi A, Al-Wahaibi Y, Ayatollahi S (2012) Investigating wettability alteration during MEOR process, a micro/macro scale analysis. Colloids Surf B Biointerfaces 95:129–136.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfb.2012.02.035>
- <span id="page-12-8"></span>22. Khajepour H, Mahmoodi M, Biria D, Ayatollahi S (2014) Investigation of wettability alteration through relative permeability

measurement during MEOR process: a micromodel study. J Pet Sci Eng 120:10–17.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.petrol.2014.05.022>

- <span id="page-12-31"></span>23. Kirby BJ (2010) Passive scalar transport: dispersion, patterning, and mixing. In: Micro- and nanoscale fuid mechanics: transport in microfuidic devices. Cambridge University Press, New York. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511760723.006>
- <span id="page-12-12"></span>24. Klueglein N, Kögler F, Adaktylou IJ, Wuestner ML, Mahler E, Scholz J, Herold A, Alkan H (2016) Understanding selective plugging and bioflm formation of a halophilic bacterial community for MEOR application. In: Society of Petroleum Engineers SPE-179620-MS
- <span id="page-12-21"></span>25. Lam RH, Cui X, Guo W, Thorsen T (2016) High-throughput dental bioflm growth analysis for multiparametric microenvironmental biochemical conditions using microfuidics. Lab Chip 16:1652–1662.<https://doi.org/10.1039/c6lc00072j>
- <span id="page-12-29"></span>26. Landa-Marbán D, Liu N, Pop IS, Kumar K, Pettersson P, Bødtker G, Skauge T, Radu FA (2018) A pore-scale model for permeable bioflm: numerical simulations and laboratory experiments. Transp Porous Media.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11242-018-1218-8>
- <span id="page-12-26"></span>27. Lane DJ (1991) 16S/23S rRNA sequencing. In: Stackebrandt E, Goodfellow M (eds) Nucleic acid techniques in bacterial systematics. Wiley, London, pp 115–175
- <span id="page-12-16"></span>28. Lee JH, Kaplan JB, Lee WY (2008) Microfuidic devices for studying growth and detachment of *Staphylococcus epidermidis* bioflms. Biomed Microdevices 10:489–498. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10544-007-9157-0) [s10544-007-9157-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10544-007-9157-0)
- <span id="page-12-27"></span>29. Muyzer G, Dewaal EC, Uitterlinden AG (1993) Profling of complex microbial-populations by denaturing gradient gel-electrophoresis analysis of polymerase chain reaction-amplifed genes-coding for 16S ribosomal-RNA. Appl Environ Microb 59:695–700
- <span id="page-12-25"></span>30. Myhr S, Lillebo BL, Sunde E, Beeder J, Torsvik T (2002) Inhibition of microbial  $H_2S$  production in an oil reservoir model column by nitrate injection. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 58:400–408. [https](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-001-0881-8) [://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-001-0881-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-001-0881-8)
- <span id="page-12-32"></span>31. Ohashi A, Harada H (1994) Adhesion strength of bioflm developed in an attached-growth reactor. Water Sci Technol 29:8
- <span id="page-12-3"></span>32. Oka GK, Pinder GF (2017) Multiscale model for assessing effect of bacterial growth on intrinsic permeability of soil: model description. Transp Porous Med 119:267–284. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11242-017-0870-8) [org/10.1007/s11242-017-0870-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11242-017-0870-8)
- <span id="page-12-24"></span>33. Park A, Jeong H-H, Lee J, Kim KP, Lee C-S (2011) Efect of shear stress on the formation of bacterial bioflm in a microfuidic channel. BioChip J 5:236–241. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s1320](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13206-011-5307-9) [6-011-5307-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13206-011-5307-9)
- <span id="page-12-1"></span>34. Peszynska M, Trykozko A, Iltis G, Schlueter S, Wildenschild D (2016) Bioflm growth in porous media: experiments, computational modeling at the porescale, and upscaling. Adv Water Resour 95:288–301.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.2015.07.008>
- <span id="page-12-9"></span>35. Rabiei A, Sharifnik M, Niazi A, Hashemi A, Ayatollahi S (2013) Core flooding tests to investigate the effects of IFT reduction and wettability alteration on oil recovery during MEOR process in an Iranian oil reservoir. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 97:5979–5991. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-013-4863-4>
- <span id="page-12-19"></span>36. Rochex A, Lebeault JM (2007) Efects of nutrients on bioflm formation and detachment of a *Pseudomonas putida* strain isolated from a paper machine. Water Res 41:2885–2892. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2007.03.041) [doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2007.03.041](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2007.03.041)
- <span id="page-12-20"></span>37. Rukavina Z, Vanic Z (2016) Current trends in development of liposomes for targeting bacterial bioflms. Pharmaceutics 8:18. <https://doi.org/10.3390/pharmaceutics8020018>
- <span id="page-12-11"></span>38. Sarafzadeh P, Niazi A, Oboodi V, Ravanbakhsh M, Hezave AZ, Ayatollahi SS, Raeissi S (2014) Investigating the efficiency of MEOR processes using *Enterobacter cloacae* and *Bacillus stearothermophilus* SUCPM# 14 (biosurfactant-producing strains) in carbonated reservoirs. J Pet Sci Eng 113:46–53. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.petrol.2013.11.029) [org/10.1016/j.petrol.2013.11.029](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.petrol.2013.11.029)
- <span id="page-13-6"></span>39. Skolimowski M, Nielsen MW, Emneus J, Molin S, Taboryski R, Sternberg C, Dufva M, Geschke O (2010) Microfuidic dissolved oxygen gradient generator biochip as a useful tool in bacterial bioflm studies. Lab Chip 10:2162–2169. [https://doi.org/10.1039/](https://doi.org/10.1039/c003558k) [c003558k](https://doi.org/10.1039/c003558k)
- <span id="page-13-12"></span>40. Stoodley P, Cargo R, Rupp CJ, Wilson S, Klapper I (2002) Bioflm material properties as related to shear-induced deformation and detachment phenomena. J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol 29:361–367. <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.jim.7000282>
- <span id="page-13-4"></span>41. Stoodley P, Dodds I, Boyle JD, Lappin‐Scott HM (1999) Infuence of hydrodynamics and nutrients on bioflm structure. J Appl Microbiol 85:7
- <span id="page-13-10"></span>42. Sun D-L, Jiang X, Wu QL, Zhou N-Y (2013) Intragenomic heterogeneity of 16S rRNA genes causes overestimation of prokaryotic diversity. Appl Environ Microbiol 79:5962–5969. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01282-13) [org/10.1128/AEM.01282-13](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01282-13)
- <span id="page-13-3"></span>43. Sunde E, Thorstenson T, Torsvik T (1990) Growth of bacteria on water injection additives. In: Society of petroleum engineers SPE 20690, pp 301–316
- <span id="page-13-1"></span>44. Suthar H, Hingurao K, Desai A, Nerurkar A (2009) Selective plugging strategy based microbial enhanced oil recovery using *Bacillus licheniformis* TT33. J Microbiol Biotechnol 19:8. [https](https://doi.org/10.4014/jmb.0904.04043) [://doi.org/10.4014/jmb.0904.04043](https://doi.org/10.4014/jmb.0904.04043)
- <span id="page-13-7"></span>45. Tahirbegi IB, Ehgartner J, Sulzer P, Zieger S, Kasjanow A, Paradiso M, Strobl M, Bouwes D, Mayr T (2017) Fast pesticide detection inside microfuidic device with integrated optical pH, oxygen sensors and algal fuorescence. Biosens Bioelectron 88:188–195. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2016.08.014>
- <span id="page-13-11"></span>46. Tsai YP (2005) Impact of fow velocity on the dynamic behaviour of biofilm bacteria. Biofouling 21:267–277. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1080/08927010500398633) [org/10.1080/08927010500398633](https://doi.org/10.1080/08927010500398633)
- <span id="page-13-0"></span>47. Vilcáez J, Li L, Wu D, Hubbard SS (2013) Reactive transport modeling of induced selective plugging by *Leuconostoc mesenteroides* in carbonate formations. Geomicrobiol J 30:813–828. [https](https://doi.org/10.1080/01490451.2013.774074) [://doi.org/10.1080/01490451.2013.774074](https://doi.org/10.1080/01490451.2013.774074)
- <span id="page-13-5"></span>48. Weiss N, Obied KE, Kalkman J, Lammertink RG, van Leeuwen TG (2016) Measurement of bioflm growth and local hydrodynamics using optical coherence tomography. Biomed Opt Express 7:3508–3518. <https://doi.org/10.1364/BOE.7.003508>
- <span id="page-13-8"></span>49. Yawata Y, Nguyen J, Stocker R, Rusconi R (2016) Microfuidic studies of bioflm formation in dynamic environments. J Bacteriol 198:2589–2595.<https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00118-16>
- <span id="page-13-2"></span>50. Yuan B, Wood DA (2018) Chapter one—overview of formation damage during improved and enhanced oil recovery. In: Yuan B, Wood DA (eds) Formation damage during improved oil recovery. Gulf Professional Publishing, Houston, pp 1–20. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-813782-6.00001-4) [org/10.1016/B978-0-12-813782-6.00001-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-813782-6.00001-4)
- <span id="page-13-9"></span>51. Zhang YD, Li C, Wu YC, Zhang YL, Zhou Z, Cao B (2019) A microfuidic gradient mixer-fow chamber as a new tool to study bioflm development under defned solute gradients. Biotechnol Bioeng 116:54–64.<https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.26852>

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.