METABOLIC ENGINEERING AND SYNTHETIC BIOLOGY - ORIGINAL PAPER

High yield production of four‑carbon dicarboxylic acids by metabolically engineered *Escherichia coli*

Irene Martinez1,2 · Haijun Gao1 · George N. Bennett3,4 · Ka‑Yiu San1,[4](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1449-4406)

Received: 14 July 2017 / Accepted: 22 November 2017 / Published online: 1 December 2017 © Society for Industrial Microbiology and Biotechnology 2017

Abstract

Several metabolic engineered *Escherichia coli* strains were constructed and evaluated for four-carbon dicarboxylic acid production. Fumarase A, fumarase B and fumarase C single, double and triple mutants were constructed in a *ldhA adhE* mutant background overexpressing the pyruvate carboxylase from *Lactococcus lactis*. All the mutants produced succinate as the main four-carbon (C4) dicarboxylic acid product when glucose was used as carbon source with the exception of the *fumAC* and the triple *fumB fumAC* deletion strains, where malate was the main C4-product with a yield of 0.61–0.67 mol (mole glucose)⁻¹. Additionally, a *mdh* mutant strain and a previously engineered high-succinate-producing strain (SBS550MG-Cms pHL413- Km) were investigated for aerobic malate production from succinate. These strains produced 40.38 mM (5.41 g/L) and 50.34 mM (6.75 g/L) malate with a molar yield of 0.53 and 0.55 mol (mole succinate)−1, respectively. Finally, by exploiting the high-succinate production capability, the strain SBS550MG-Cms243 pHL413-Km showed signifcant malate production in a two-stage process from glucose. This strain produced 133 mM (17.83 g/L) malate in 47 h, with a high yield of 1.3 mol (mole glucose)⁻¹ and productivity of 0.38 g L⁻¹ h⁻¹.

Keywords Dicarboxylic acids · *Escherichia coli* · Metabolic engineering · Succinate · Malate

Introduction

Four-carbon (C4) dicarboxylic acid compounds such as succinic, malic and fumaric acids are traditionally produced from petrochemicals. These compounds can be used as building blocks for the synthesis of many diferent products such as resins, biodegradable polymers, surfactants as well as food additives, pharmaceutical formulations [[1,](#page-7-0) [24](#page-7-1)] and cosmetics [[5\]](#page-7-2). The petroleum marketplace, where oil prices are volatile and availability may turn unpredictable in the future, together with environmental concerns, has led to an increase in the interest for alternative sources

 \boxtimes Ka-Yiu San ksan@rice.edu

- ¹ Department of Bioengineering, Rice University, 6500 Main Street, Houston, TX 77030, USA
- ² Escuela de Ingeniería Bioquímica, Pontifcia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso, Valparaiso, Chile
- ³ Department of BioSciences, Rice University, Houston, TX, USA
- ⁴ Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, Rice University, Houston, TX, USA

for these compounds. Therefore, the development of biological processes to produce these compounds from renewable resources has been the goal of several research groups [[3\]](#page-7-3). Diferent C4-dicarboxylic acids production strategies through metabolic engineering have been discussed in detail in a previous work [[19\]](#page-7-4).

The production of succinate by a high producer as well as the evaluation of operational conditions that infuence succinate production has been discussed extensively in previous reports [[8,](#page-7-5) [10](#page-7-6), [11](#page-7-7), [15,](#page-7-8) [20](#page-7-9)]. The present study is focused on the production of other C4-dicarboxylic acids, such as malic and fumaric acids, using metabolic engineered *Escherichia coli* strains.

Malate is naturally produced by microorganisms such as *Aspergillus, Schizophyllum commune*, *Monascus araneosus*, and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, among others. Efforts have been made to increase the production of malate by metabolic engineering in some of these organisms. For instance, *Aspergillus oryzae* NRRL 3488 has been engineered to overexpress the native C4-dicarboxylic acids transporter, cytosolic pyruvate carboxylase and malate dehydrogenase, increasing the malate titer to 154 g/L in 164 h, with a yield of 1.38 mol (mole glucose)−1, corresponding to 69% of the theoretical yield [[2\]](#page-7-10). On the other hand, metabolic engineered *S. cerevisiae* has been reported to produce malate at a molar yield of 0.48 mol (mole glucose) $^{-1}$ under optimized conditions in laboratory-scale bioreactors [\[25](#page-7-11)]. However, *E. coli* also has the potential of producing malate or fumarate. These compounds are intermediates in the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle as well as intermediates of the mixed anaerobic fermentation in *E. coli* (Fig. [1\)](#page-1-0). The production of malate or fumarate, through the fermentative pathway of *E. coli*, is redox balanced, i.e., the maximum malate theoretical yield is two mole of malate per mole of glucose consumed. One mole of glucose can generate two mole of NADH and two mole of pyruvate. One mole of pyruvate is required to combine with one mole $CO₂$ to generate one mole of malate, which could later be converted into fumarate (Fig. [1\)](#page-1-0). Therefore, neither carbon nor reducing equivalents is limiting or in excess in this pathway. However, *E. coli* does not accumulate malate or fumarate under normal circumstances. Thus, the present study aimed to develop a process for malate and fumarate production using strategically engineered *E. coli* strains.

An *E. coli* C4-dicarboxylic acid-producing strain has been developed in the past by Jantama and collaborators [[8\]](#page-7-5) by a combination of metabolic engineering and metabolic

evolution. The metabolic evolution involved the multiple subculturing of the cells and the selection of the ones that grew faster and presented promising product profles together with the addition of gene inactivations at diferent stages of the evolution. The fnal evolved *E. coli* mutant strain KJ071 with deletions in *ldhA*, *adhE*, *ackA*, *focA*, *pfB* and *mgsA* showed the production of a mixture of C4-dicarboxylic acids, malate and succinate [\[8\]](#page-7-5). In addition, this approach has the limitation of using non-genetically defned strains due to unknown mutations generated through metabolic evolution that resulted in the fnal strain phenotype.

Song and collaborators [[18](#page-7-12)] investigated the production of fumaric acid from glucose in anaerobic conditions using a *ldhA adhE frdABCD*, but it was unsuccessful. Then, they performed metabolic engineering of *E. coli* to produce fumaric acid through the glyoxylate shunt in aerobic conditions and deleted *fumA*, *fumB*, *fumC*, and *aspA* (to avoid aspartate formation from fumaric acid) and *iclR* (glyoxylate shunt repressor), among other genes and overexpressing the native phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase (*ppc*). The authors reported a yield of about 0.5-0.6 mol (mol glucose)⁻¹.

Three fumarases denominated fumarase A, fumarase B and fumarase C have been described in *E. coli* [[7](#page-7-13), [12,](#page-7-14) [22,](#page-7-15) [23](#page-7-16)]. These enzymes catalyze the reversible conversion of

Fig. 1 Aerobic (**a**) and anaerobic (**b**) *Escherichia coli* metabolic routes. *G-6P,* glucose 6 phosphate; *GAP,* glyceraldehyde phosphate; *PEP,* phosphoenolpyruvate; *fumA*, *fumB*, *fumC,* fumarases A, B and

 \mathcal{D} Springer

C; *mdh,* malate dehydrogenase, *ldhA* lactate dehydrogenase; *adhE,* alcohol dehydrogenase; *ackA,* acetate kinase; *pta,* phosphate acetyltransferase

fumarate into (L) -malate with similar catalytic efficiencies and higher affinity for fumarate than malate in all cases [\[9](#page-7-17)]. Fumarases participate in the TCA cycle and in anaerobic fermentation. Fumarase A and fumarase B show high sequence similarity (90% identity) [[6\]](#page-7-18), form dimers, are part of the Class I (iron-dependent) fumarases and are oxygen-sensitive, whereas fumarase C does not show sequence similarity to the other two, is iron-independent, forms tetramers and is part of the Class II fumarases [[23\]](#page-7-16). Woods and collaborators [[23\]](#page-7-16) studied the fumarase activity of a series of plasmids encoding the diferent fumarases (A, B and C) separately under diferent culture conditions. Fumarases A and B have been found to be thermolabile, whereas fumarase C was stable with 70% of the activity retained after 80 min at 50 °C. FumA and FumC have higher affinity for fumarate than for malate in in vitro experiments; however, the opposite was found for FumB, suggesting than FumA and FumC participate mainly in the TCA cycle, and FumB may act primarily in anaerobic fermentation, converting malate into fumarate [\[23](#page-7-16)]. This result disagrees with Kronen and Berg [[9\]](#page-7-17) fndings where all three fumarases were shown to prefer fumarate as substrate over malate.

In the present work, a series of strategically selected genes were inactivated to assess the relative importance of the corresponding enzymes in C4-dicarboxylic acids biosynthesis. The effect of single, double and triple fumarase deletions in the anaerobic product profle was investigated in a *ldhA adhE* mutant *E. coli* strain overexpressing the pyruvate carboxylase from *Lactococcus lactis* (*pyc*). On the other hand, as the malate dehydrogenase (MDH) catalyzes the reversible conversion of malate into oxaloacetate we might expect that in a culture of a *mdh* mutant strain in aerobic conditions, succinate should be converted to fumarate and fnally to malate, avoiding the conversion of

malate into oxaloacetate (Fig. 1). Therefore, the effect of a *mdh* knockout was evaluated in the present work, in aerobic conditions, for malate production in *E. coli* using succinate as carbon source. Finally, a high-succinate-producing strain (SBS550MG-Cms243 pHL413-Km) [\[21\]](#page-7-19) was also assessed for C4 dicarboxylic acids production under various conditions.

Very recently, during the writing of the present article, Sévin and collaborators (2017) have reported fumarase activity (fumarate + $H_2O \rightarrow$ malate) in two other gene products, previously annotated as YdhZ and YggD suggesting the new annotation as fumarase D and fumarase E, respectively $[17]$ $[17]$.

Materials and methods

Strains and plasmids

The strains and plasmids used in this study are described in Table [1.](#page-2-0)

Fumarase single-mutant strains were constructed by P1 phage transduction using the Keio Collection donor strains JW1604, JW4083 and JW1603 for *fumA*, *fumB* and *fumC* gene inactivation, respectively [\[1\]](#page-7-0). The *fumAC* doublemutant strain was constructed using the single-step inactivation method by Datsenko and Wanner [[4](#page-7-21)], and the following primers: forward: 5′GTGGTGGTTCGGCAAACA AGACGTATCTCTATCAGGAAACGTGTAGGCTGGA GCTGCTTC-3′; reverse: 5′-AAACGGTGCACAGGTAAT GACTGCCAGTTCATCTGCTACGCATATGAATATCCTC CTTAG-3′. The underlined sequences are homologous to the *fumAC* sequence.

Table 1 List of *E. coli* st

The *mdh* mutant, MBS440, was constructed by P1 phage transduction using the Keio Collection donor strain JW3205 [\[1](#page-7-0)].

Experimental procedures

Diferent strains and processes were evaluated for their potential for C4-dicarboxylic acid production. The first approach was to perform anaerobic cultures with fumarase mutant strains (simple, double and triple fumarase mutants) using glucose as carbon source. The second approach was to evaluate the capability of several engineered *E. coli* strains, including the fumarase mutants, a malate dehydrogenase mutant and a high-succinate-producing strain to produce C4 dicarboxylic acids using succinate as carbon source under aerobic conditions. And fnally, the high-succinate-producing strain was evaluated in a two-stage production process using glucose as carbon source.

Anaerobic production of C4‑dicarboxylic acids

The effect of fumarase mutations on anaerobic product profles was investigated in shake fask experiments. First, the cells were grown aerobically for biomass accumulation and then product biosynthesis was performed under anaerobic conditions as described previously [\[15,](#page-7-8) [16](#page-7-22)]. The strains were initially streaked on Luria–Bertani (LB) agar plates containing 66.7 mg/L of each ampicillin, carbenicillin and oxacillin. A 5 ml LB medium culture containing the mixture of antibiotics was inoculated using a single colony from the plate mentioned above. The cultures were grown at 37 °C and 250 rpm overnight. Cultures were then centrifuged and washed with fresh LB medium three times and fnally resuspended in the same medium to the same initial volume. Twoliter fasks containing a volume of 400 ml of LB medium with 200 mg/L ampicillin (Ap) were inoculated to 1% in volume using the cells prepared above. These cultures were grown overnight at 37 °C and 250 rpm. A calculated volume of this grown culture was centrifuged and resuspended in 10 ml of glucose medium (LB + 1 g/L NaHCO₃ + 19.8 g/L glucose + 100 mg/L Ap) to a cell concentration of 20 OD₆₀₀. This suspension was poured into 250-ml fasks containing 0.5 g MgCO₃, purged for 1 min with 1 L/min CO_2 and sealed with rubber stoppers. These cultures were incubated at 37 °C and 250 rpm for 24 h.

Aerobic production of C4‑dicarboxylic acids

The ability of several engineered *E. coli* strains, including the fumarase mutants, a malate dehydrogenase mutant and a high-succinate-producing strain to produce C4 dicarboxylic acids was investigated using succinate as carbon source under aerobic conditions.

Shake fask experiments were performed as described above, with the exception of the production medium, where a concentration of 100 mM (11.81 g/L) succinate was used instead of glucose and the conditions were aerobic instead of anaerobic; for example, foam stoppers were used instead of rubber stoppers and no $CO₂$ was added.

Two‑stage production process (anaerobic/aerobic)

Malate production was assessed using the high-succinateproducing strain SBS550-Cms243 pHL413-Km [[21](#page-7-19)] in a two-stage production process. The cells were grown in shake fasks under aerobic conditions as described previously [[15\]](#page-7-8). Then the frst production stage was anaerobic, where glucose was converted into succinate, and the second stage was aerobic for succinate conversion into malate. In this approach, we exploit the high-succinate production feature of the strain SBS550-Cms243 pHL413- Km which can produce succinate close to the maximum theoretical yield of 1.72 mol (mole glucose)⁻¹. It is of interest to point out that the anaerobic succinate production process is a carbon fxation process. For example, at a molar yield of 1.6, the strain will incorporate 80 mol of carbon dioxide per 100 mol of glucose consumed. As such, further conversion of succinate to other products, such as malate, will become very appealing.

Analytical methods

Cell growth

Cell growth was monitored by measuring the optical density at 600 nm and expressed as dry cell weight (DCW) using a corresponding calibration curve.

Extracellular metabolite analysis

A volume of 2 ml of sample from each shake fask was centrifuged in a microcentrifuge at 13,000×*g* for 1 min, fltered through a 0.2-µm filter and stored at $-$ 20 °C until analysis.

Glucose, succinate and extracellular metabolites such as malate, fumarate and acetate, among others, were analyzed by HPLC as previously described [\[1](#page-7-0), [15\]](#page-7-8). In brief, the HPLC system (Shimadzu-10A System, Shimadzu, Columbia, MD) was equipped with a cation-exchange column (HPX-87H, Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA), a diferential refractive index (RI) detector (Waters 2410, Waters, Milford, MA) and an ultraviolet (UV) detector (Shimadzu SPD-10A). The mobile phase was 2.5 mM (0.24 g/L) H_2SO_4 solution at a 0.5 mL/min flow rate. The column was operated at 55 $^{\circ}$ C.

Results and discussion

Anaerobic production of C4‑dicarboxylic acids

E. coli fumarases A, B and C catalyze the reversible conversion of fumarate into malate. The effect of inactivating one or more fumarases in a *ldhA adhE* mutant, on anaerobic product profles, was investigated. These strains overexpressed the pyruvate carboxylase gene from *Lactococcus lactis*, which converts pyruvate into oxaloacetate to favor C4-dicarboxylic acid synthesis. The experiments were performed in shake fasks as previously described using glucose as carbon source. The results are shown in Fig. [2](#page-4-0).

Single fumarase mutants showed no signifcant diference in product profles compared to the control strain (SBS110MG pHL413). All the fumarase mutant strains consumed nearly 100% of the glucose in the medium with the exception of the *fumAC* mutant and the *fumB fumAC* triple-mutant strain, which only consumed about 20–25%

of the glucose. These two strains also showed signifcantly lower cell growth after aerobic overnight culture in LB medium, with values of 1.6 and 1.2 $OD₆₀₀$, respectively, compared to $3.2-4.7$ OD₆₀₀ for the other fumarase mutants and the control strain, SBS110MG (pHL413). The growth impairment in aerobic conditions in the *fumAC* mutants may indicate that fumarases A and C are the important fumarases functioning in the TCA cycle during aerobic growth; however, it is not clear why these strains did not consume all the glucose in the anaerobic stage converting glucose into malate, which should be redox and carbon balanced. The recently identifed fumarase D and fumarase E [\[21](#page-7-19)] may not play an important role in aerobic growth as they were not able to support the level of growth achieved when FumA and FumC were present.

All fumarase mutant strains showed succinate to be the major anaerobic product together with acetate and formate, with the exception of the *fumAC* mutant strains. Concentrations of succinate ranging from 101 to 113 mM $(11.93-13.34 \text{ g/L})$ and a yield of 0.9–1.0 mol of succinate per mol of glucose were achieved. Succinate production

 \square SBS110MG
 \square MBS410 \square MBS420 \square MBS430
 \square MBS432 \square MBS406 \square MBS426

yield is limited by redox balance to be 1.0 mol per mol of glucose consumed; therefore, the succinate yield achieved was close to the theoretical. Acetate concentrations were high, of about $140-150$ mM $(8.41-9.01$ g/L) for all the strains except the *fumAC* mutants; probably due to the low growth. Production of malate or fumarate from glucose is carbon and redox balanced; on the contrary, the production of succinate is limited by NADH (see Fig. [1b](#page-1-0)). Therefore, the accumulation of malate or fumarate should have been favored over the accumulation of succinate under anaerobic conditions using glucose as carbon source in a wild-type strain. Nevertheless, succinate was the main product with a yield limited by the redox balance.

Malate was produced in all the mutant strains, with the highest values, 13.5–16.6 mM (1.81–2.23 g/L) found in the *fumB* mutant (MBS420), the *fumAC* mutant (MBS406) and the triple fumarase mutant (MBS426). An important difference among these strains was the malate yield achieved, MBS420 showed a yield of only 0.13 mol of malate per mol of glucose consumed, whereas the *fumAC* mutants (MBS406 and MBS426) showed a signifcant increase in malate yield up to 0.61–0.67 mol malate per mol of glucose; however, the *fumAC* mutants, as mentioned earlier, grew slower and did not consume all the glucose in 24 h.

In this work, the presence of either one of the three fumarases $(A, B, or C)$ was sufficient to allow the conversion of malate into fumarate for succinate production and only when both *fumA* and *fumC*, or all three fumarases genes were inactivated, did cultures show a lowered amount of succinate production. The prevention of succinate synthesis under these circumstances led to a signifcant increase in malate yield, and some increase in fnal malate concentration, but glucose consumption decreased to only 20–25% as mentioned earlier. Therefore, the elimination of *fumA* and *fumC* or all three fumarase genes resulted in limited aerobic cell growth and anaerobic fermentation.

Zhang and collaborators [\[26\]](#page-7-23) showed succinate as the major C4-dicarboxylic compound produced in anaerobic fermentation with a *fumB* mutant in a diferent background strain (∆*ldhA* ∆*ackA* ∆*adhE* ∆*pfB*) which contained spontaneous mutations in *pck*, *ptsI* and *galP.* On the other hand, the *fumB fumAC* mutant showed mainly malate accumulation in a 6-day experiment and succinate accumulation after 9 days, despite the inactivation of all three fumarases. The authors did not study the *fumAC* deletion without the *fumB* deletion. Our results showed that malate accumulation is achieved due to the *fumAC* mutation; and no major diferences in glucose consumption, growth and anaerobic product profle between the *fumB fumAC* and the *fumAC* mutants were observed (Fig. [2\)](#page-4-0). Even though anaerobic malate production is carbon and redox balanced, ATP availability may have been limiting in the system due to the synthesis of oxaloacetate from PEP, decreasing the formation of ATP by the pyruvate kinase and/or the acetate kinase. In this context, Moon et al. [[13\]](#page-7-24) have performed metabolic flux analysis to find a strategy for malate production in *E. coli*. The authors found that the overexpression of a PEP carboxykinase (*pckA*) for the conversion of PEP into oxaloacetate, with the concomitant formation of ATP, favored malate synthesis in aerobic cultures both in silico and experimentally. The authors overexpressed the *pckA* gene from *Mannheimia succiniciproducens* in a *pta* mutant strain resulting in the production of malate as the main product, from glucose, in aerobic conditions $(0.75 \text{ mol mol}^{-1})$.

On the other hand, succinate can be used as substrate for fumarate or malate production under aerobic conditions. Hence, the production of these C4-dicarboxylic acids was frst evaluated using succinate as substrate by adding it to the culture medium and followed by using an efficient succinateproducing strain as described below.

Aerobic production of C4‑dicarboxylic acids

The ability of several engineered *E. coli* strains, including the fumarase mutants, malate dehydrogenase mutant and a high-succinate-producing strain to produce the C4-dicarboxylic acids malate and fumarate was investigated, using succinate as carbon source under aerobic conditions. Results are shown in Fig. [3](#page-6-0).

The *fumAC* mutant strains showed the highest fumarate production, 69.37 and 29.88 mM (8.05 and 3.47 g/L) for MBS406 and MBS426, respectively. Fumarate yields were close to stoichiometric (0.86 and 0.93 mol/mol succinate, respectively) when succinate was used as a precursor in aerobic conditions (Fig. [3\)](#page-6-0). The inactivation of *fumA* and *fumC* led to the accumulation of fumarate instead of malate, reassuring that *fumA* and *fumC* are the main fumarases in aerobic conditions [\[23](#page-7-16)]. The presence of *fumA* (MBS432) allowed for the synthesis of malate from succinate, but succinate consumption was limited. Not all the succinate could be converted to fumarate or malate, perhaps this was due to limited NAD⁺ availability (or NADH accumulation). Fumarase single mutants and the *fumAB* mutant showed less than 20% of succinate conversion (data not shown).

The results shown in Fig. [3](#page-6-0) demonstrate the ability of MBS440 (*mdh* mutant) and SBS550MG-Cm243 pHL413- Km to produce malate from succinate as the main C4-product, 40.38 mM (5.41 g/L) and 50.24 mM (6.73 g/L), 0.53 and 0.55 mol (mole succinate)−1, respectively. As SBS550MG-Cm243 pHL413-Km is a high-succinate-producing strain [[21\]](#page-7-19), the next step was to develop a two-stage production process where the frst stage is anaerobic for succinate production from glucose and the second stage was aerobic for the fnal conversion of succinate into malate. The results are shown in Fig. [4.](#page-7-25) The *mdh* mutant strain was not used in a two-stage production process because it is unable to produce **100**

succinate under anaerobic conditions (Fig. [1](#page-1-0)). In addition, the use of the high-succinate producer, SBS550MG-Cm243 pHL413-Km, has the advantage over the *mdh* mutant strain of fixating CO_2 incorporating it into the C4-dicarboxylic acids formed.

In the two-stage production process, after succinate accumulation, the switch from anaerobic to aerobic conditions lets the reverse reaction take place and succinate was transformed into malate. The reaction from succinate to fumarate has a ΔG^0 of 0 kJ mol⁻¹ and the reaction from fumarate to malate has ΔG^0 of -3.8 kJ mol⁻¹, whereas the ΔG^0 of malate to oxaloacetate is positive 29.7 kJ mol⁻¹ [\[14](#page-7-26)] leading malate as the most favorable product from the thermodynamic perspective. The overall malate yield was 1.30 mol (mole glucose)−1. Malic acid was the only C4-dicarboxylic acid product; no other C4-dicarboxylic acids were detected. The malate yield from succinate was 0.74 mol mol⁻¹ (74%

of the theoretical yield), and the fnal malate productivity was 0.38 g L^{-1} h⁻¹. Some of the succinate may have been metabolized through the TCA cycle where the malate formed could be converted into pyruvate by the malic enzymes, or to oxaloacetate which could later be converted into PEP by the PEP carboxykinase, and then into pyruvate through glycolysis. The pyruvate formed under aerobic conditions can fnally be converted into acetate. Microaerobic conditions might improve malate yield by controlling the loss of succinate.

The advantage of the two-stage process is the use of the same tank for all stages of the process. The shift in conditions for the fnal stage allows a diferent product to be formed, and the relative economics of succinate or malate production could allow adjustment of product based on factors of feedstock availability, confguration and operation costs of the bioproduction facility. The overall process

Fig. 4 Production of C4-dicarboxylic acids in a two-stage production process using SBS550MG-Cms243 pHL413-Km *E. coli* strain. The frst production stage (0–24 h) was anaerobic for glucose conversion into succinate, and the second stage was aerobic for succinate conversion into malate

is longer for malate than succinate; however, the potential high molar yield of malate (molecular weight 134 and current bulk prices (\$2200-4000/MT) may compare well with the value of succinic acid (molecular weight 118 and bulk price 1550–2600/MT, somewhat lower than L-malate) making production of L-malate more profitable under certain circumstances.

Acknowledgements The authors acknowledge funding from grants from the National Institutes of Health (NIH GM090152) and NSF (CBET-0828516). The authors would like to thank Auritra Mallick for performing preliminary experiments.

References

- 1. Baba T, Ara T, Hasegawa M, Takai Y, Okumura Y, Baba M, Datsenko KA, Tomita M, Wanner BL, Mori H (2006) Construction of *Escherichia coli* K-12 in-frame, single-gene knockout mutants: the Keio collection. Mol Syst Biol 2(2006):0008
- 2. Brown SH, Bashkirova L, Berka R, Chandler T, Doty T, McCall K, McCulloch M, McFarland S, Thompson S, Yaver D, Berry A (2013) Metabolic engineering of *Aspergillus oryzae* NRRL3488 for increased production of l-malic acid. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 97:8903–8912
- 3. Cao Y, Cao Y, Lin X (2011) Metabolically engineered *Escherichia coli* for biotechnological production of four-carbon 1,4-dicarboxylic acids. J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol 38:649–656
- 4. Datsenko KA, Wanner BL (2000) One-step inactivation of chromosomal genes in *Escherichia coli* K-12 using PCR products. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 97:6640–6645
- 5. Fiume Z (2001) Final report on the safety assessment of malic acid and sodium malate. Int J Toxicol 20(Suppl 1):47–55
- 6. Flint DH, Emptage MH, Guest JR (1992) Fumarase a from *Escherichia coli*: purifcation and characterization as an iron–sulfur cluster containing enzyme. Biochemistry 31:10331–10337
- 7. Guest JR, Miles JS, Roberts RE, Woods SA (1985) The fumarase genes of *Escherichia coli*: location of the *fumB* gene and discovery of a new gene (*fumC*). J Gen Microbiol 131:2971–2984
- 8. Jantama K, Haupt MJ, Svoronos SA, Zhang X, Moore JC, Shanmugam KT, Ingram LO (2008) Combining metabolic engineering and metabolic evolution to develop nonrecombinant strains of *Escherichia coli* C that produce succinate and malate. Biotechnol Bioeng 99:1140–1153
- 9. Kronen M, Berg IA (2015) Mesaconase/fumarase FumD in *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 and promiscuity of *Escherichia coli* class I fumarases FumA and FumB. PLoS ONE 10(12):e0145098
- 10. Lin H, Vadali RV, Bennett GN, San K-Y (2004) Increasing the acetyl-CoA pool in the presence of overexpressed phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase or pyruvate carboxylase enhances succinate production in *Escherichia coli*. Biotechnol Prog 20:1599–1604
- 11. Martinez I, Lee A, Bennett GN, San K-Y (2011) Culture conditions' impact on succinate production by a high succinate producing *Escherichia coli* strain. Biotechnol Prog 27:1225–1231
- 12. Miles JS, Guest JR (1984) Complete nucleotide sequence of the fumarase gene *fumA*, of *Escherichia coli*. Nucleic Acids Res 12:3631–3642
- 13. Moon SY, Hong SH, Kim TY, Lee SY (2008) Metabolic engineering of *Escherichia coli* for the production of malic acid. Biochem Eng J 40:312–320
- 14. Nelson D, Cox M (2005) Lehninger Principles of Biochemistry, 4th edn. W.H. Freeman and Company, New York, p 612
- 15. Sanchez AM, Bennett GN, San K-Y (2005) Efficient succinic acid production from glucose through overexpression of pyruvate carboxylase in an *Escherichia coli* alcohol dehydrogenase and lactate dehydrogenase mutant. Biotechnol Prog 21:358–365
- 16. Sanchez AM, Bennett GN, San K-Y (2005) Novel pathway engineering design of the anaerobic central metabolic pathway in *Escherichia coli* to increase succinate yield and productivity. Metab Eng 7:229–239
- 17. Sévin DC, Fuhrer T, Zamboni N, Sauer U (2017) Nontargeted in vitro metabolomics for high-throughput identifcation of novel enzymes in *Escherichia coli*. Nat Methods 14:187–194
- 18. Song CW, Kim DI, Choi S, Jang JW, Lee SY (2013) Metabolic engineering of *Escherichia coli* for the production of fumaric acid. Biotechnol Bioeng 110:2025–2034
- 19. Thakker C, Martínez I, Li W, San K-Y, Bennett GN (2015) Metabolic engineering of carbon and redox fow in the production of small organic acids. J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol 42:403–422
- 20. Thakker C, Martínez I, San K-Y, Bennett GN (2012) Succinate production in *Escherichia coli*. Biotechnol J 7:213–224
- 21. Thakker C, Zhu J, San K-Y, Bennett GN (2011) Heterologous p*y*c gene expression under various natural and engineered promoters in *Escherichia coli* for improved succinate production. J Biotechnol 155:236–243
- 22. Tseng CP, Yu C-C, Lin H-H, Chang C-Y, Kuo J-T (2001) Oxygenand growth rate-dependent regulation of *Escherichia coli* fumarase (FumA, FumB, and FumC) activity. J Bacteriol 183:461–467
- 23. Woods SA, Schwartzbach SD, Guest JR (1988) Two biochemically distinct classes of fumarase in *Escherichia coli*. Biochim Biophys Acta 954:14–26
- 24. Zeikus JG, Jain MK, Elankovan P (1999) Biotechnology of succinic acid production and markets for derived industrial products. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 51:545–552
- 25. Zelle RM, de Hulster E, Kloenzen W, Pronk JT, van Maris AJA (2010) Key process conditions for production of C4 dicarboxylic acids in bioreactor batch cultures of an engineered *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strain. Appl Environ Microbiol 76:744–750
- 26. Zhang X, Wang X, Shanmugam KT, Ingram O (2011) l-Malate production by metabolic engineering *Escherichia coli*. Appl Environ Microbiol 77:427–434