ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Towards a zero‑diference approach for homogenizing GNSS tropospheric products

Mostafa Hoseini1 · Fadwa Alshawaf2 · Hossein Nahavandchi1 · Galina Dick2 · Jens Wickert2,3

Received: 15 February 2019 / Accepted: 23 September 2019 / Published online: 13 November 2019 © Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2019

Abstract

A data homogenization method based on singular spectrum analysis (SSA) was developed and tested on real and simulated datasets. The method identifes abrupt changes in the atmospheric time series derived from Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS) observations. For simulation and verifcation purposes, we used the ERA-Interim reanalysis data. Our method of change detection is independently applied to the precipitable water vapor (PWV) time series from GNSS, ERA-Interim and their diference. Then the detected ofsets in the diference time series can be related to inconsistencies in the datasets or to abrupt changes due to climatic efects. The issue of missing data is also discussed and addressed using SSA. We appraised the performance of our method using a Monte Carlo simulation, which suggests a promising success rate of 81.1% for detecting mean shifts with values between 0.5 and 3 mm in PWV time series. A GNSS-derived PWV dataset, consisting of 214 stations in Germany, was investigated for possible inhomogeneities and systematic changes. We homogenized the dataset by identifying and correcting 96 inhomogeneous time series containing 134 detected and verifed mean shifts from which 45 changes, accounting for approximately 34% of the ofsets, were undocumented. The linear trends from the GNSS and ERA-Interim PWV datasets were estimated and compared, indicating a signifcant improvement after homogenization. The correlation between the trends was increased by 39% after correcting the mean shifts in the GNSS data. The method can be used to detect possible changes induced by climatic or meteorological efects.

Keywords GNSS tropospheric products · Homogenization · Singular spectrum analysis (SSA) · Precipitable water vapor (PWV) · Ofset detection

Introduction

Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS) signals are afected by the earth's atmosphere. The delayed signals limit the high-precision positioning and navigation applications, but the error can be exploited to study diferent parts

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article [\(https://doi.org/10.1007/s10291-019-0915-2\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10291-019-0915-2) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

- Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Norwegian University of Science and Technology NTNU, Trondheim, Norway
- ² German Research Centre for Geosciences GFZ, Potsdam, Germany
- ³ Institute of Geodesy and Geoinformation Science, Technische Universität Berlin, Berlin, Germany

of the atmosphere, including the water vapor. Monitoring the atmospheric water vapor is important since it is a major atmospheric greenhouse gas with signifcant impact on the earth's radiative balance (Sinha and Harries [1997\)](#page-10-0). It can generally act as a warming amplifer so that the cycling rate of water vapor reduces with the warming climate (Schneider et al. [2010](#page-10-1)). High-temporal resolution observations and an increasing number of satellites have turned GNSS into a promising measuring tool for investigating the variability of the water vapor, especially in the presence of a dense network of permanent stations.

Owing to the high temporal resolution, the accuracy of products, and the capability of making measurements even in severe weather conditions, the retrieved water vapor content of the atmosphere from ground-based GNSS observations has been identifed as one of the reference data for GCOS (Global Climate Observing System) Reference Upper Air Network (GRUAN, Ning et al. [2016](#page-10-2)). Precipitable water vapor (PWV) from GNSS has increasingly been

 \boxtimes Mostafa Hoseini mostafa.hoseini@ntnu.no

used for climate research (Gradinarsky et al. [2002;](#page-10-3) Nilsson and Elgered [2008;](#page-10-4) Wang et al. [2016](#page-11-0); Alshawaf et al. [2017](#page-10-5)). The accuracy of the estimated climatic trends using GNSS PWV depends on the homogeneity of the analyzed time series (Alshawaf et al. [2018](#page-10-6); Klos et al. [2018\)](#page-10-7). For diferent reasons such as hardware or software changes, the data might contain inhomogeneities (temporal jumps or offsets). Such artifacts should be detected and eliminated through a delicate homogenization process without afecting climatic abrupt changes.

By defnition, a homogeneous climate time series can only contain the variations caused by weather and climate (Venema et al. [2012](#page-11-1)). The main sources of inhomogeneity in GNSS-derived PWV data are instrumental changes or software settings of the GNSS station, e.g., antenna change, radome installation or removal, and cut-off angle setting (Vey et al. [2009](#page-11-2)). Most of the changes stem from the technological advancements, which make it unavoidable to update the hardware in GNSS stations. Therefore, GNSSderived PWV time series are likely to have inhomogeneities, especially in the longer time series that would be used for climate studies. The changes are usually documented in the stations' log fles, but the documentation might be incomplete or missing for some of them. Change in the measurement conditions and the surrounding area of the station such as urbanization and growth or removal of vegetation might also afect the homogeneity of the time series. In the case of not using a reprocessed dataset, the change of processing software or procedure is another possible source of inhomogeneity. The external measurements that are used to obtain PWV from GNSS data processing, such as air pressure and temperature can pass their heterogeneity to the derived PWV time series. It should be noted that the mentioned reasons of inhomogeneity are generally not documented in the station's log fle. Therefore, fnding a pragmatic solution for detection and verifcation of undocumented changes during the homogenization process is inevitable.

Diferent approaches have been introduced to check the homogeneity of GNSS products. For instance, Rodionov [\(2004](#page-10-8)) proposed a sequential algorithm which introduced a statistic entitled the Regime Shift Index (RSI) coupled with the Student's *t* test to enhance detection of a regime shift. The Penalized maximal *t* test has widely been used for data homogenization (Jarušková [1996](#page-10-9); Wang et al. [2007](#page-11-3); Ning et al. [2016](#page-10-2); Balidakis et al. [2018](#page-10-10)). Wang ([2008\)](#page-11-4), Ning et al. [\(2016](#page-10-2)), Klos et al. [\(2017\)](#page-10-11), and Van Malderen et al. [\(2017](#page-10-12)), considered lag-1 autocorrelation in time series of frst-order autoregressive noise. To support the detection of multiple change points in a time series, Wang ([2008\)](#page-11-4) proposed an empirical approach based on a stepwise testing algorithm. Ning et al. [\(2016](#page-10-2)) applied an iterative adapted version of penalized maximal *t* test to the monthly PWV time series, which helps in avoiding the difficulty of change

point detection in the presence of high temporal variations and noise in the daily PWV data.

The "Data homogenization" activity of the sub-working group WG3 of COST ES1206 Action has assessed various statistical tools for homogenization using a synthetic benchmark dataset. The simulated dataset was based on the difference between GNSS-derived PWV time series and the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) reanalysis data (ERA-Interim) (Van Malderen et al. [2017](#page-10-12)). Using the diference time series can facilitate the detection of slight changes, but it is difficult to interpret the origin of the detected changes. Ning et al. [\(2016\)](#page-10-2) validated detected change points using more than one reference dataset (e.g., VLBI, DORIS). Therefore, the verifcation process is left inconclusive in the case of not having another reference data set for a station. The latter study shows the possibility of the presence of inhomogeneities in the ERA-Interim dataset. The study reveals the need for having an independent verifcation procedure of any reference data. Van Malderen et al. [\(2017\)](#page-10-12) preferred not to consider absolute statistical homogenization methods as practical approaches, owing to the problem of reliability, even though they confrm that ERA-interim might have its own inhomogeneities.

We develop and apply an approach to detect abrupt changes in an undiferenced time series. GNSS-derived PWV time series, in addition to the probable inconsistencies, contain the efects of climate or meteorological variabilities. Therefore, at least one reference dataset is required, e.g., ERA-Interim, to distinguish whether the offsets are caused by climate or meteorological efects or by inhomogeneities. We developed a method of ofset detection in PWV time series, which is independently applicable to GNSS and ERA-Interim PWV data as well as their diference. This is performed by analyzing the time series variations with respect to a representative model. For this purpose, we exploit the singular spectrum analysis (SSA) as a subspacebased technique, which makes use of empirical functions derived from the data to model the time series in a prespecifed level of details. SSA is a non-parametric method that does not need any statistical assumptions such as stationarity of the series or normality of the residuals (Hassani and Thomakos [2010](#page-10-13)). Even in the presence of periodicity and noise, SSA can offer an adequate estimation of the time series based on setting a few arguments (such as window length). It can be used for trend extraction and extrapolation (Alexandrov [2008](#page-10-14); Modiri et al. [2018\)](#page-10-15), periodicity detection, seasonal adjustment, smoothing, noise reduction (Ghil et al. [2001](#page-10-16); Golyandina et al. [2001\)](#page-10-17) as well as change point detection (Escott-Price and Zhigljavsky [2003](#page-10-18)).

After a brief description of the datasets in the next section, we sketch out the SSA technique at the beginning of the methodology section, which continues by introducing our approach for homogenization. That section also comprises details of the ofset detection method, as well as preprocessing and verifcation procedures. The performance assessment based on applying the method to simulated data is followed by a real GNSS dataset homogenization in the results section. A summary of the conclusions of this study is provided in the last section.

Dataset

We use a PWV near real-time dataset produced by the German Research Centre for Geosciences (GFZ). The dataset has a temporal resolution of 15 min with a delay of about 30 min and an accuracy of 1–2 mm (Li et al. [2014\)](#page-10-19). The PWV time series are calculated from the Zenith Total Delay (ZTD) derived at GNSS stations of the German SAPOS network in PPP mode.

The GNSS-derived PWV can be obtained from the wet part of the ZTD, the Zenith Wet Delay (ZWD), via the conversion factor Q:

$$
ZWD = ZTD - ZHD \tag{1}
$$

$$
PWV = \frac{ZWD}{Q} \tag{2}
$$

where the ZHD is the Zenith Hydrostatic Delay (ZHD) estimated by the Saastamoinen model (Saastamoinen [1972\)](#page-10-20) using measurements of surface pressure. The conversion factor is computed using (Askne and Nordius [1987](#page-10-21)):

$$
Q = 10^{-6} \rho_{\rm w} R_{\rm w} \left(k_2' + \frac{k_3}{T_{\rm m}} \right)
$$
 (3)

where ρ_w and R_w are the density of liquid water and the specific gas constant for water vapor. The k'_2 and k_3 are constants estimated from laboratory experiments (Bevis et al. [1994\)](#page-10-22) and T_m is the water vapor weighted mean temperature in Kelvin.

Near real-time GNSS tropospheric time series are likely to contain more cases of inconsistencies compared to the time series from a post-processed dataset that utilizes a consistent strategy and settings for the processing. Therefore, choosing the near real-time dataset gives us the opportunity of encountering and addressing more cases of inhomogeneities. We apply our homogenization approach to a selected dataset of near real-time GNSS-derived PWV time series at 214 permanent GNSS stations from 2010 to 2016. See Fig. [10](#page-9-0) for the location of the stations.

The proposed homogenization method utilizes a reference dataset which contains a priori information about abrupt changes that are not inhomogeneities. Here we use ERA-Interim PWV time series as the reference to provide the required information about climatic and meteorological effects. The ERA-Interim dataset, released by ECMWF, is a global atmospheric reanalysis product covering a time span of about 40 years from 1979 onwards. It provides gridded data products with a spatial resolution of approximately 79 km including a wealth of 3-hourly information of surface parameters describing weather, ocean-wave and land-surface conditions, as well as 6-hourly upper-air parameters covering the troposphere and stratosphere. The vertical resolution includes 60 model layers with the top of the atmosphere located at 0.1 hPa (Dee et al. [2011](#page-10-23)). For verifcation of the detected inhomogeneities as well as performance assessment of the proposed method, we will also simulate a test dataset based on the ERA-Interim time series.

The undiferenced PWV datasets, i.e. GNSS and ERA-Interim, compared to their diference exhibit diferent noise characteristics. Figure [1](#page-2-0) depicts the pattern of natural variability of PWV from GNSS, ERA-Interim, and the diference time series at a station in Berlin, Germany. For each day of this annual pattern, the standard deviation of PWV is calculated using the values of the same day in 15 years of GNSS, ERA-Interim, and the diference time series. As expected, during hot months the variations reach the maxima while lowest variations happen in the cold season. We have higher variability in the undiferenced time series compared to signifcantly lower variability in the diference time series. We will consider these aspects of the time series for selecting appropriate sensitivity threshold during offset detection.

Singular spectrum analysis

In our homogenization approach, filling data gaps and method of change point detection are based on SSA. This technique is a general time series analysis tool, which has been used for a wide range of applications such as trend extraction, noise mitigation, forecasting and change-point detection (Alexandrov [2008\)](#page-10-14). For more information about SSA and its main steps, readers are referred to, e.g., Golyandina et al. ([2001](#page-10-17)) and Ghil et al. ([2001\)](#page-10-16).

Fig. 1 PWV yearly variation pattern at a GNSS station in Berlin, **Germany**

To model the variations of a time series into a representative trend, we use the SSA technique. By the term trend, we mean a smoothed slowly-varying version of a time series that comprises long-term variations and periodicities. SSA builds a specifc matrix using the time series entries, then decomposes the matrix to its principal components and fnally reconstructs the time series using the most important principal components of the matrix.

A s s u m in g the time series $F = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_N)$, $f_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$, SSA at the first step which is called the embedding step forms a trajectory matrix (X) by moving a window over the entries of the time series, as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\overline{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_L} \, f_{L+1}, f_{L+2}, \dots, f_N \\
\overline{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_L} \, f_{L+1}, f_{L+2}, \dots, f_N \\
\overline{X} &= \left(x_{ij}\right)_{i,j=1}^{L,K} = \begin{bmatrix}\nf_1 & f_2 & f_3 & \cdots & f_K \\
f_2 & f_3 & f_4 & \cdots & f_{K+1} \\
f_3 & f_4 & f_5 & \cdots & f_{K+2} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
f_L & f_{L+1} & f_{L+2} & \cdots & f_N\n\end{bmatrix}\n\end{aligned} \tag{4}
$$

where *L* is the window length, $K = N - L + 1$ and $1 \lt L \lt K$. Next, the singular value decomposition (SVD) is applied to the trajectory matrix, i.e.,

$$
\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{U} \Sigma \mathbf{V}^{\mathrm{T}}
$$
 (5)

with the superscript T being the transpose operator. **U** and V contain left and right singular vectors, respectively, and Σ is a diagonal matrix containing the singular values (σ _{*i*}) of **X**. Now, the trajectory matrix can be written as the sum of its uncorrelated components (**X**_i):

$$
\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X}_1 + \mathbf{X}_2 + \dots + \mathbf{X}_d, \ \mathbf{X}_i = \sigma_i \mathbf{U}_i \mathbf{V}_i^T
$$
 (6)

By selecting a proper group of $\{X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_d\}$, which is called the grouping step, we can create a representative estimation of the original trajectory matrix (**X**) that will finally be used for the trend extraction:

$$
\begin{cases} \mathbf{X}_{\text{trend}} = \mathbf{X}_1 + \mathbf{X}_2 + \dots + \mathbf{X}_I = (\hat{x}_{ij})_{i,j=1}^{L,K} \\ \mathbf{X}_{\text{residual}} = \mathbf{X}_{I+1} + \mathbf{X}_{I+2} + \dots + \mathbf{X}_d \end{cases} (7)
$$

The trend values are calculated by averaging the anti-diagonal entries of $\mathbf{X}_{\text{trend}}$. Let $L < K$, then the trend of time series $G = (g_1, g_2, \dots, g_N)$ is:

$$
g_{i} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{i} \sum_{m=1}^{i} \hat{x}_{m,i-m+1} & 1 \leq i < L \\ \frac{1}{L} \sum_{m=1}^{L} \hat{x}_{m,i-m+1} & L \leq i \leq K \\ \frac{1}{N-i+1} \sum_{m=i-K+1}^{N-K+1} \hat{x}_{m,i-m+1} & K \leq i \leq N \end{cases}
$$
(8)

where $\hat{x}_{i,j}$ is an estimation of the element f_{i+j-1} of the original time series.

Homogeneity check

GNSS-derived tropospheric time series, e.g., PWV or ZTD, can generally be considered as a linear combination of diferent components. Assuming the time series $F = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_N), f_i \in \mathbb{R}, i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ is given by the sum of five components, *i.e.*

$$
\begin{cases}\nF = F_t + F_i + F_c + F_s + F_n \\
\epsilon = F_t + F_i + F_c + F_s - F_{\text{SSA}}\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(9)

where F_t , F_i , F_c , F_s , and F_n represent the group of low to high-frequency components comprising secular trend, inhomogeneities (mean shifts), cyclic, seasonal, and noise components, respectively. The cyclic part involves fuctuations, e.g. due to extreme meteorological events, which might be repeated but cannot be called periodic. F_{SSA} , the extracted SSA trend, estimates the sum of the frst four components and leaves the residuals ε . We focus on detecting mean shifts stored in F_i . Based on the occurrence rate of the documented changes in the log fles of the GNSS stations, we consider F_i to be a non-periodic step function. Encountering periodic inhomogeneities with approximately similar magnitudes is considered as an unlikely situation and is not focused on in this study. The SSA trend, owing to its smoothing feature, would not perfectly model the step function in the immediate vicinity of jumps. We assume that by choosing an appropriate window length, singular values and corresponding singular vectors, the SSA can capture almost all the information stored in the first four components, except F_i in close proximity to the abrupt changes. We will use this assumption for detecting the position of change points.

Figure [2](#page-4-0) shows a flowchart of the homogenization approach we have developed to detect change points and correct the GNSS tropospheric time series. It mainly comprises three stages. The frst stage, the preprocessing, starts with identifying and eliminating outliers followed by applying SSA to fill the gaps, and modeling and removing the seasonal component. In the next stage, we use the SSA-based method to detect change points. The last stage is devoted to the verifcation of detected change points and correcting the GNSS time series.

Preprocessing

Addressing data gaps is also performed using the SSA technique. The frst step in applying SSA is the choice of the window length. According to Golyandina and Zhigljavsky ([2013](#page-10-24)), the largest window length that would provide the

Fig. 2 Homogenization workflow

most detailed decomposition is $L \simeq N/2$. For periodic time series with a dominant period of *T*, the smallest choice for the window length would be $L = T$. Selecting such a window length would maximize the correlation between the columns of the trajectory matrix. This, in turn, leads to a more efficient decomposition. For the window lengths larger than *T*, they suggest to choose *L* so that it is close to $N/2$, and *L*∕*T* is an integer, although it dramatically increases the processing time. In the PWV time series with a dominant annual component, we use a 365-day window length that produces the maximum average correlation between columns of the trajectory matrix.

Finding the change points is based on the assessment of variations with respect to the representative trend of the time series. Missing data might lead to an erroneous analysis of the variations. Figure [3](#page-4-1), using a real PWV time series, gives an idea about how data gaps can make the estimated SSA trend unrepresentative. The time series shown in the fgure contain a data gap of about 1 year. The top panel is produced just by taking out the missed values and applying SSA to the remaining data. It can clearly be seen that the trend of the time series around the gap area is wrong. The bottom panel is the result of flling the data gaps in the same PWV time series. To generate such a trend, we chose a 365-day window length in the embedding step and five singular values (and vectors) in the grouping step. The reasons for selecting this setting for the grouping step is discussed in the next section.

We apply SSA iteratively to predict missing values based on the temporal correlation present in the data. Kondrashov

Fig. 3 Efect of data gaps on the SSA trend extraction. The trend extraction ignoring data gaps (top), trend extraction after applying gap flling (bottom). The black line shows the Fourier series estimation of the time series, which is used as initial values for iterative SSA gap flling

and Ghil [\(2006](#page-10-25)) and Golyandina and Zhigljavsky ([2013](#page-10-24)) provide more details about the application of SSA to gap flling. Before starting the iteration, the missing values are replaced by initial values calculated using a Fourier series containing bias, linear trend, annual and semi-annual terms which are shown in black line in Fig. [3](#page-4-1) (bottom). Having the initial values calculated, we apply SSA to compute the trend from which new estimates of the missing values for the next iteration are extracted. In GNSS tropospheric products, the seasonal component dominates the behavior of the time series. Therefore, for detecting slight changes in the time series, dominant periodicities should be modeled and eliminated.

Detecting change points

The reconstructed trajectory matrix in the grouping step contains useful entries that can indicate abrupt changes in the time series. Considering the chosen window length, up to *L*-adjacent columns of the trajectory matrix directly contribute to the calculation of the trend values. Figure [4](#page-5-0) (top) schematically highlights involving elements of X_{trend} in calculation of the *i*-th trend value.

The dispersion of the anti-diagonal elements of $\mathbf{X}_{\text{trend}}$ can reveal the fuctuations of the time series around the trend. Therefore, we defne the change point as a point at which the original distribution of the time series with respect to the trend in its vicinity is being changed. For this reason, a quantity is needed by which we can observe how the spread of antidiagonal elements is being squeezed or stretched. The impact of a change on the anti-diagonal elements can be seen in Fig. [4](#page-5-0) (bottom). Each anti-diagonal element is an estimation for the trend values. Therefore, more dispersion corresponds to more error in the estimation of the trend by each column of $\mathbf{X}_{\text{trend}}$.

Fig. 4 Involving elements of the reconstructed trajectory matrix in the calculation of the *i*-th trend value (top) and worsening estimation precision of the anti-diagonals of $\mathbf{X}_{\text{trend}}$ in the vicinity of a change point at time index=400 (bottom)

Consequently, while the averages of anti-diagonals produce the trend values, g_i in (8) (8) , their standard deviations quantify the perturbations of the time series with respect to the trend and could be used as an indicator of a change point.

We defne the Change Magnitude Estimator (CME) index, represented by ξ , to evaluate the amount of change at every single epoch of the time series. Therefore, the local maxima of the CME diagram indicate the change points and their signifcance. The CME index is calculated using the entries of each anti-diagonal of **X**_{trend} as:

$$
\xi_{i}^{2} = \begin{cases}\n0 & i \in \{1, N\} \\
\frac{1}{i-1} \sum_{m=1}^{i} (\hat{x}_{m,i-m+1} - g_{i})^{2} & 1 < i < L \\
\frac{1}{L-1} \sum_{m=1}^{L} (\hat{x}_{m,i-m+1} - g_{i})^{2} & L \le i \le K \\
\frac{1}{N-K+1} & \sum_{m=i-K+1}^{N-K+1} (\hat{x}_{m,i-m+1} - g_{i})^{2} & K < i < N\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(10)

To defne a change point, we need the magnitude of change and the time index, i.e., the temporal location in the time series. Our frst aim is to fnd the temporal location of the change points. It should be noted that properly timing the offsets is important. The timing uncertainty may affect the long-term linear trend determination. Particularly, shifts at the beginning and end of the time series will have more weight on the linear trend estimation (Williams [2003](#page-11-5)).

The grouping step or selecting proper singular values and vectors for trend extraction has a signifcant impact on the results of change point detection. Including more singular values and vectors in the reconstruction of the trajectory matrix corresponds to more sensitivity to slight local variations of the time series and will result in false alarms, i.e. a point is reported as a change point by mistake. Including fewer singular values, however, would reduce the accuracy of fnding the temporal location of change points. Therefore, we complete the procedure of selecting singular values in two steps. The frst step is fnding the region of maximum curvature in the singular values spectrum and the second step is selecting the singular values with a minimum ξ_T value, defined as follows:

$$
\xi_T = \text{sd}(\mathbf{X}_{\Delta})\tag{11}
$$

$$
\mathbf{X}_{\Delta} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{x}_{1,1} - g_1 & \hat{x}_{1,2} - g_2 & \cdots & \hat{x}_{1,K} - g_K \\ \hat{x}_{2,1} - g_2 & \hat{x}_{2,2} - g_3 & \cdots & \hat{x}_{2,K} - g_{K+1} \\ \hat{x}_{3,1} - g_3 & \hat{x}_{3,2} - g_4 & \cdots & \hat{x}_{3,K} - g_{K+2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \hat{x}_{L,1} - g_L & \hat{x}_{L,2} - g_{L+1} & \cdots & \hat{x}_{L,K} - g_N \end{bmatrix}
$$
(12)

where ξ_T is the overall CME calculable using the residual trajectory matrix, \mathbf{X}_{Δ} , and sd is the standard deviation of all entries of the matrix. The matrix \mathbf{X}_{Λ} is formed by subtracting trend values (g_i) from the corresponding anti-diagonals of $\mathbf{X}_{\text{trend}}$. We use ξ_T to select a proper set of singular values and vectors. Figure [5](#page-6-0) illustrates the behavior of the CME index with and without having a change (mean shift) in a synthetic time series. Application of ξ_T as a threshold is shown in the fgure. Its application in selecting singular values can be seen in Fig. [6](#page-6-1).

The residuals after the trend estimation might contain autoregressive noise, which in turn might afect the CME values. False alarms induced by this efect can be reduced by setting ξ_T as a threshold. We then justify and enhance the estimated positions of our detected offsets by applying a *t* test to symmetric intervals around the time index of the candidate change points.

Fig. 5 Behavior of CME (ξ) index for a synthetic time series: without any mean shift (top), with an artificial offset at time index $=400$ (bottom)

Verifcation and correction

After detecting the position of mean shifts (jumps), we estimate the magnitude of the offsets in the three time series of each station, i.e., ERA-Interim, GNSS, and the diference time series. The magnitude of each offset is calculated using the diference between the mean values of the left and right sides of the offset. After manual verification of the detected ofsets, we correct the verifed ofsets within the GNSS time series by constructing and then subtracting the step function F_i in ([9\)](#page-3-1). It should be noted that the step function does not change the overall mean value of the GNSS time series after the correction.

The procedure of fnding and verifying inhomogeneities is demonstrated using the real data of the station in Saarbrücken, Germany (Fig. [7\)](#page-7-0). Data gaps, seasonality and outliers have been addressed in the three time series, and then we applied our SSA-based offset detection method to find the position of change points.

As can be seen in Fig. [7,](#page-7-0) the time series contain three diferent cases of change points. The frst case consists of the offsets, which are seen in the GNSS and the difference time series within a six-month time window with almost the same magnitude. If there is no shift in the ERA-Interim time series; we correct the GNSS time series using the time index and mean shift obtained from the diference time series.

The second case includes the mean shifts, which are seen in GNSS and ERA-Interim with almost the same

Fig. 6 Selecting singular values for change point detection using the synthetic time series from Fig. [5.](#page-6-0) Finding the maximum curvature region on the singular values spectrum (top), minimizing the overall CME that makes the extracted SSA trend representative (middle), the efect of selected singular values on the accuracy of detection and the number of false alarms (bottom)

time index and magnitude. These shifts might be due to a phenomenon sensed by both datasets, e.g., climatic or meteorological effects. In this case, even if due to different sensitivities some slight changes are transferred to the diference time series, the GNSS data are left uncorrected.

The third case is the changes which happen in all three time series (diference, GNSS and ERA-Interim) at approximately the same epochs with quite diferent mean shifts. If the sum of the mean shifts in the GNSS and ERA-Interim data equals to the shift in the diference time series, the GNSS time series is corrected using the mean shift obtained from the GNSS. As a special case in this station, we have an antenna and radome change and, at the same time, a non-systematic event (maybe a climatic signal) has happened. In this case, we search for the same signal in the nearby stations. If we fnd the same signal, we correct the GNSS data using the shift obtained from the diference time series.

Fig. 7 Sample result of change detection in the diference (top), ERA-Interim (middle) and real GNSS (bottom) PWV time series for the station in Saarbrücken, Germany (latitude $=49.22^{\circ}$, longitude = 7.01°). The range of vertical axis for the diference time series (ΔPWV) is reduced to improve the visibility

Results

We use a test and a real dataset to evaluate the developed method for detecting possible inconsistencies and homogenizing tropospheric products. The impact of homogenization of GNSS data is shown through a comparison of linear trends and internal consistency of datasets.

Test dataset

We performed a Monte Carlo simulation to evaluate the performance of our method. This simulation is based on the ERA-Interim dataset at 400 points distributed over Germany from 2002 to 2017. This choice assumed that the ERA-Interim time series are less likely to contain inhomogeneities. We randomly inserted 2.1×10^5 offsets in 7×10^4 time series. To create new cases in each iteration, the time series were altered by adding newly generated random offsets. However, these time series contain possible abrupt changes due to climatic or meteorological conditions. In every iteration process, about 200 time series out of 400 were randomly selected for imposing synthetic offsets and the remaining were left unchanged. We added in average 6 offsets with

a maximum of 10 ofsets (upper limit) that randomly have diferent magnitudes between 0.5 and 3 mm with a negative or positive sign in every time series. The distribution of the inserted changes into the time series is done randomly such that separation between two successive changes is at least 1 year. Diferent classes are considered for summarizing the results. Based on these classes, the test results are arranged in Table [1.](#page-8-0) For each case, the Mean Absolute Error (MAE) of detection for the time index, MAE_z, and the mean shift, MAE_{δ} , are estimated as follows:

$$
\begin{cases}\ne_i^{\tau} = \hat{\tau}_i - \tau_i, & \text{MAE}_{\tau} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left| e_i^{\tau} \right| \\
e_i^{\delta} = \hat{\delta}_i - \delta_i & \text{MAE}_{\delta} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left| e_i^{\delta} \right|\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(13)

where τ_i and δ_i are the true values, $\hat{\tau}_i$ and $\hat{\delta}_i$ are the estimated values of the time index and the magnitude of mean shift, respectively. e_i^{τ} and e_i^{δ} denote the detection errors in terms of the time index and the magnitude, respectively, and *n* is the total number of successfully detected offsets.

The left side of Table [1](#page-8-0) explains how successful the method is in fnding the time index of change points. Three

Table 1 Success rate of the proposed method based on diferent thresholds of detection

GPS Solutions (2020) 24:8

Fig. 8 Overview of the detection performance of the SSA-based method for detecting change points in PWV time series based on a Monte Carlo simulation. The mean absolute errors of the time index and the magnitude of the detected offsets are marked with red dots on the axes and are associated with a success rate of 81.1%. The prominent peak of the histogram indicates the highest occurrence frequency of the simulation results with $|e^{\delta}| \approx 0.05$ mm and $|e^{\tau}| \approx 13$ days

criteria of 182, 91, and 30 days are chosen for the time index to calculate the number of successful detections. Beyond each chosen criterion, e.g. $|e^{\tau}| > 30^{\text{days}}$ for the detection criterion of 30 days, we define the method to be unsuccessful. terion of 30 days, we defne the method to be unsuccessful. It should be noted that the simulation study could not be carried out using the diference data. The diference time series contain much less background noise, which leads to higher accuracy in detecting mean shifts. Our goal for applying the method to the original dataset (ERA-Interim or GNSS) is to justify the detected mean shifts in the diferenced time series. Table [1](#page-8-0) shows a success rate of 81.1% with MAE of about 28 days in detecting time index and 0.26 mm for estimating mean shift.

The right side of Table [1](#page-8-0) shows how successful the method performs in estimating the magnitude of offsets. The method successfully detected most of the offsets bigger than 1 mm while about half of the inserted changes with a magnitude of $0.5-1$ mm are retrieved. Figure [8](#page-8-1) depicts a performance overview of the change detection method in terms of the magnitude and the time index of offsets.

Real GNSS‑derived PWV data

We applied our homogenization method to a GNSS PWV dataset consisting of 214 stations in Germany over a 7-year timespan (2010–2016). We did not use a reprocessed dataset since we aimed to detect all possible diferent changes in the dataset. A sensitivity threshold for the detection procedure, which is the slightest change detectable by the method, can be chosen based on the time series characteristics discussed in the dataset section. The sensitivity of detection has been set to 0.2 mm for the diference PWV time series and 0.5 mm for both ERA-Interim and GNSS PWV time series.

We first applied the method to identify all possible mean shifts in the GNSS, ERA-Interim, and the diference time series (ERA-Interim minus GNSS) without considering stations log fles. Then, the log fles of the GNSS stations were checked to fnd any support for the detected changes. Next, we manually inspected the detected offsets and corrected GNSS time series using the verified offsets. As mentioned earlier, climatic or meteorological efects can also induce changes in the time series. This type of changes must be left uncorrected. If changes are detected at more than one station in the same sub-region, only those having a documented event in the log fle, e.g., hardware change, are corrected.

The detected change points and corresponding mean shifts are listed in the supplementary material. In total, 140 change points were detected of which 134 were related to the mean shifts in the GNSS time series and 6 shifts were more likely to be originating from ERA-Interim data. Amongst all detected changes in the GNSS dataset, 45 of them $(~34\%)$ are not supported by the documented changes in the station log files. The detection accuracy, MAE_{τ}, based on the documented changes in the GNSS dataset is approximately 30 days.

Linear trends

We apply linear regression to PWV time series of GNSS stations to evaluate the impact of homogenization on the trend value. It should be noted that the scope of this research is not the trends themselves; therefore, the readers are referred to e.g. Alshawaf et al. ([2018\)](#page-10-6) and Klos et al. [\(2018\)](#page-10-7) for detailed discussion about trend estimation in GPS tropospheric time series. Estimations of the linear trends were carried out for homogenized and not-homogenized GNSS time series. Figure [9](#page-9-1) shows the trends before and after correction of mean shifts together with trends obtained from the ERA-Interim data. Note that no correction was implemented on the **Fig. 9** Impact of homogenization on the ftting linear trends of the ERA-Interim and the GNSS PWV time series (before and after homogenization)

ERA-Interim dataset. The fgure highlights a clear improvement in the consistency between the GNSS and ERA-Interim datasets after homogenization. The lower part of the fgure shows the standard error of the linear regression. Lower improvements at some stations, e.g. station Hamburg with the index 154 (latitude = 53.55° , longitude = 9.98°), can be related to the remaining unverifed changes specially at the beginning or the end of the time series or at vicinity of a gap interval. The unverifed changes are the ofsets that are detected in the diference time series but could not be attributed to either of the GNSS or the reference time series.

Regional correlations of the stations were defned and calculated to be used for evaluating the internal consistency of the GNSS dataset after homogenization. The value of the regional correlation for each station is a weighted average of all the correlations with other stations. We used Inverse Distance Weighting (IDW) for calculating the correlations. Figure [10](#page-9-0) reflects an improved internal consistency after the GNSS data is corrected for the mean shifts. A noticeable regional improvement can be seen over the southwest of Germany (the right panel of Fig. [10](#page-9-0)). It should be noted that the upgrade or maintenance procedure of adjacent stations in a GNSS network might be scheduled and performed consecutively within a short period. Thus, similar inhomogeneities might be introduced to the time series of nearby stations

which could be misinterpreted as non-systematic events if they are not documented. The zero-diference approach introduced in this study can avoid such a misinterpretation.

Conclusion

A homogenization method based on singular spectrum analysis (SSA) for detecting and correcting temporal mean shifts (inhomogeneities) in GNSS-derived tropospheric time series was introduced. To assess the performance of the method, a Monte Carlo simulation was performed based on the ERA-Interim dataset. The result of the Monte Carlo process suggests an overall success rate of 81.1%. The simulation study estimates the precision of 28 days and 0.26 mm for detecting the position of changes and the mean shifts in the undiferenced time series, respectively.

We used the method to investigate the possible shifts in the precipitable water vapor (PWV) time series of 214 GNSS stations in Germany. The data was obtained from near realtime PPP processing over a 7-year timespan (2010–2016). The method was independently applied to the GNSS, ERA-Interim and the diference (ERA-Interim minus GNSS) daily time series of each station to fnd and verify inconsistencies. In total, 96 GNSS stations were identifed as inhomogeneous

Fig. 10 Regional correlation of PWV time series for the ERA-Interim and the GNSS datasets before and after homogenization (left), regional correlation improvement for each GNSS station (right)

containing 134 mean shifts from which 45 changes $($ \sim 34 $\%)$ were undocumented in the stations' log fles.

The comparison between the retrieved linear trends from GNSS and ERA-Interim dataset indicates a significant improvement after homogenization. An increase in correlation of 39% is seen for the trends after correcting the mean shifts in the GNSS time series.

The proposed method can successfully detect changes with and without reference dataset. Since using a reference dataset for homogeneity checking tries to make datasets look like each other, it might contaminate the target time series. Therefore, the homogenization approach discussed here would mitigate major inconsistencies and provide a more homogenized GNSS time series. The homogenized GNSS datasets would be a promising data source for climatic applications. The capability of the method to fnd changes in the undiferenced time series would also make it a useful tool to detect climatic and meteorological signals. The proposed method can be applied to other regions and for other meteorological parameters such as pressure, temperature as well as GNSS coordinate time series.

Acknowledgements The Norwegian University of Science and Technology (NTNU), Grant Number 81771107, funded this project. We thank Stefan Heise and Kyriakos Balidakis for providing us with simulated ERA-Interim time series. Thanks also to ECMWF for making publicly available the ERA-Interim data. The frst author is very grateful to Yahya AllahTavakoli for his mathematical comments on the research. The authors would like to thank anonymous reviewers for their constructive comments.

References

- Alexandrov T (2008) A method of trend extraction using singular spectrum analysis. p 7. arXiv preprint [arXiv:0804.3367](http://arxiv.org/abs/0804.3367)
- Alshawaf F, Balidakis K, Dick G, Heise S, Wickert J (2017) Estimating trends in atmospheric water vapor and temperature time series over Germany. Atmos Meas Tech 10:3117–3132. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-10-3117-2017) [org/10.5194/amt-10-3117-2017](https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-10-3117-2017)
- Alshawaf F, Zus F, Balidakis K, Deng Z, Hoseini M, Dick G, Wickert J (2018) On the statistical signifcance of climatic trends estimated from GPS tropospheric time series. J Geophys Res Atmos. [https](https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JD028703) [://doi.org/10.1029/2018JD028703](https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JD028703)
- Askne J, Nordius H (1987) Estimation of tropospheric delay for microwaves from surface weather data. Radio Sci 22(3):379–386. [https](https://doi.org/10.1029/RS022i003p00379) [://doi.org/10.1029/RS022i003p00379](https://doi.org/10.1029/RS022i003p00379)
- Balidakis K, Nilsson T, Zus F, Glaser S, Heinkelmann R, Deng Z, Schuh H (2018) Estimating integrated water vapor trends from VLBI, GPS, and numerical weather models: sensitivity to tropospheric parameterization. J Geophys Res Atmos. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1029/2017JD028049) [org/10.1029/2017JD028049](https://doi.org/10.1029/2017JD028049)
- Bevis M, Businger S, Chiswell S, Herring TA, Anthes RA, Rocken C, Ware RH (1994) GPS meteorology: mapping zenith wet delays onto precipitable water. J Appl Meteorol 33(3):379–386. [https](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0450(1994)033%3c0379:GMMZWD%3e2.0.CO;2) [://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0450\(1994\)033%3c0379:GMMZW](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0450(1994)033%3c0379:GMMZWD%3e2.0.CO;2) [D%3e2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0450(1994)033%3c0379:GMMZWD%3e2.0.CO;2)
- Dee DP, Uppala SM, Simmons A, Berrisford P, Poli P, Kobayashi S, Andrae U, Balmaseda M, Balsamo G, Bauer DP (2011) The

ERA-interim reanalysis: confguration and performance of the data assimilation system. Q J R Meteorol Soc 137(656):553–597. <https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.828>

- Escott-Price V, Zhigljavsky A (2003) An algorithm based on singular spectrum analysis for change-point detection. Commun Stat Simul Comput 32:319–352.<https://doi.org/10.1081/SAC-120017494>
- Ghil M, Allen MR, Dettinger M, Ide K, Kondrashov D, Mann M, Saunders A, Tian Y, Varadi F (2001) Advanced spectral methods for climatic time series. Rev Geophys.<https://doi.org/10.1029/2000RG000092>
- Golyandina N, Zhigljavsky A (2013) Singular spectrum analysis for time series. Springer, Berlin
- Golyandina N, Viktorovich Nekrutkin V, Zhigljavsky A (2001) Analysis of time series structure: SSA and related techniques. Monogr Stat Appl Probab.<https://doi.org/10.1201/9781420035841>
- Gradinarsky LP, Johansson J, Bouma HR, Scherneck H-G, Elgered G (2002) Climate monitoring using GPS. Phys Chem Earth 27:335– 340. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-7065\(02\)00009-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-7065(02)00009-8)
- Hassani H, Thomakos D (2010) A review on singular spectrum analysis for economic and fnancial time series. Stat Interface 3:377–397
- Jarušková D (1996) Change-point detection in meteorological measurement. Mon Weather Rev 124(7):1535–1543. [https](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(1996)124%3c1535:CPDIMM%3e2.0.CO;2) [://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493\(1996\)124%3c1535:CPDIM](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(1996)124%3c1535:CPDIMM%3e2.0.CO;2) [M%3e2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(1996)124%3c1535:CPDIMM%3e2.0.CO;2)
- Klos A, Van Malderen R, Pottiaux E, Bock O, Bogusz J, Chimani B, Elias M, Gruszczynska M, Guijarro J, Zengin Kazanci S, Ning T (2017) Study on homogenization of synthetic GNSS-retrieved IWV time series and its impact on trend estimates with autoregressive noise. European Geosciences Union General Assembly 2017, Vienna, Austria
- Klos A, Hunegnaw A, Teferle FN, Abraha KE, Ahmed F, Bogusz J (2018) Statistical signifcance of trends in Zenith wet delay from re-processed GPS solutions. GPS Solut 22(2):51. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10291-018-0717-y) [org/10.1007/s10291-018-0717-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10291-018-0717-y)
- Kondrashov D, Ghil M (2006) Spatio-temporal flling of missing points in geophysical data sets. Nonlinear Process Geophys 13(2):151– 159.<https://doi.org/10.5194/npg-13-151-2006>
- Li X, Dick G, Ge M, Heise S, Wickert J, Bender M (2014) Real-time GPS sensing of atmospheric water vapor: precise point positioning with orbit, clock, and phase delay corrections. Geophys Res Lett 41(10):3615–3621.<https://doi.org/10.1002/2013GL058721>
- Modiri S, Belda S, Heinkelmann R, Hoseini M, Ferrándiz J, Schuh H (2018) Polar motion prediction using the combination of SSA and Copula-based analysis. Earth Planets Space 70:115. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40623-018-0888-3) [org/10.1186/s40623-018-0888-3](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40623-018-0888-3)
- Nilsson T, Elgered G (2008) Long-term trends in the atmospheric water vapor content estimated from ground-based GPS data. J Geophys Res Atmos.<https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JD010110>
- Ning T, Wickert J, Deng Z, Heise S, Dick G, Vey S, Schöne T (2016) Homogenized time series of the atmospheric water vapor content obtained from the GNSS reprocessed data. J Clim 29:2443–2456. <https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-15-0158.1>
- Rodionov S (2004) A sequential algorithm for testing climate regime shifts. Geophys Res Lett.<https://doi.org/10.1029/2004GL019448>
- Saastamoinen J (1972) Atmospheric correction for the troposphere and stratosphere in radio ranging satellites. Use Artif Satell Geodesy 15:247–251
- Schneider T, O'Gorman PA, Levine XJ (2010) Water vapor and the dynamics of climate changes. Rev Geophys. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1029/2009RG000302) [org/10.1029/2009RG000302](https://doi.org/10.1029/2009RG000302)
- Sinha A, Harries JE (1997) The earth's clear-sky radiation budget and water vapor absorption in the far infrared. J Clim 10(7):1601-1614. [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442\(1997\)010%3c160](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442(1997)010%3c1601:Tescsr%3e2.0.Co;2) [1:Tescsr%3e2.0.Co;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442(1997)010%3c1601:Tescsr%3e2.0.Co;2)
- Van Malderen R, Pottiaux E, Klos A, Bock O, Bogusz J, Chimani B, Elias M, Gruszczynska M, Guijarro J, Kazancı SZ, Ning T (2017) Homogenizing GPS integrated water vapour time series:

methodology and benchmarking the algorithms on synthetic datasets. In: Ninth seminar for homogenization and quality control in climatological databases and fourth conference on spatial interpolation techniques in climatology and meteorology, Budapest. pp 104–116

- Venema VKC, Mestre O, Aguilar E, Auer I, Guijarro JA, Domonkos P, Vertacnik G, Szentimrey T, Stepanek P, Zahradnicek P, Viarre J, Müller-Westermeier G, Lakatos M, Williams CN, Menne MJ, Lindau R, Rasol D, Rustemeier E, Kolokythas K, Marinova T, Andresen L, Acquaotta F, Fratianni S, Cheval S, Klancar M, Brunetti M, Gruber C, Prohom Duran M, Likso T, Esteban P, Brandsma T (2012) Benchmarking monthly homogenization algorithms. Clim Past 8:89–115.<https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-8-89-2012>
- Vey S, Dietrich R, Fritsche M, Rülke A, Steigenberger P, Rothacher M (2009) On the homogeneity and interpretation of precipitable water time series derived from global GPS observations. J Geophys Res Atmos. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JD010415>
- Wang X (2008) Accounting for autocorrelation in detecting mean shifts in climate data series using the penalized maximal t or F test. J Appl Meteorol Climatol 47:2423–2444. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1175/2008JAMC1741.1) [org/10.1175/2008JAMC1741.1](https://doi.org/10.1175/2008JAMC1741.1)
- Wang X, Wen QH, Wu Y (2007) Penalized maximal t test for detecting undocumented mean change in climate data series. J Appl Meteorol Climatol 46:916–931.<https://doi.org/10.1175/JAM2504.1>
- Wang J, Dai A, Mears C (2016) Global water vapor trend from 1988 to 2011 and its diurnal asymmetry based on GPS, radiosonde, and microwave satellite measurements. J Clim 29(14):5205–5222 Williams SDP (2003) Offsets in global positioning system time series. J
- Geophys Res 108(B6):2310. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2002JB002156>

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

quality of these maps by statistical data fusion, time series analyses, and data homogenization.

Hossein Nahavandchi is currently a professor of geodesy at the Norwegian University of Science and Technology (NTNU). His primary research interests are Earth-monitoring satellites and GPS. He has been Principal Investigator of several ocean and climate related research projects using the global geodetic observing system.

Galina Dick graduated in Mathematics from the University of Charkow, Ukraine, and received her Ph.D. in Mechanics from the Technical University in Tallinn, Estonia. In 1992, she started at the German Research Center for Geosciences GFZ at Potsdam working in the diferent felds of satellite geodesy. Since 2000, she is responsible for the groundbased GNSS atmospheric sounding at GFZ and is involved in many international projects, e.g., she is head of GFZ GNSS Analysis Center within European Pro-

ject E-GVAP (''The EUMETNET GNSS Water Vapor Program'').

Jens Wickert received the graduate degree in physics from the Technische Universität Dresden, Germany, and the Ph.D. degree in geophysics/meteorology from the University of Graz, Austria, in 1989 and 2002, respectively. He worked for several institutions as AWI, DLR and DWD before he came to GFZ in 1999. Currently he is research topic director" Atmosphere in Global Change", deputy section head of the Space Geodetic Techniques and head of the research area GNSS Remote Sensing. Since

 $\circled{2}$ Springer

Fadwa Alshawaf received the Ph.D. degree in remote sensing from Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT), Karlsruhe, Germany, in 2013. Since 2015, she has been a Research Assistant at the GFZ German Research Centre for Geosciences. She works on remote sensing and analyses of atmospheric data for weather and climate research. Her research interests include water vapor mapping using interferometric synthetic aperture radar and GNSS, and improving the

Mostafa Hoseini is a Ph.D. candidate at the Norwegian University of Science and Technology (NTNU). His research interest is GNSS remote sensing. He is working on the ocean monitoring using GNSS-Refectometry concept onboard small satellites.

> 2016 he is also Professor for GNSS Remote Sensing and Positioning at Technische Universität Berlin. Wickert is involved in numerous interdisciplinary GNSS related research projects. He was Principal Investigator of the pioneering GPS Radio Occultation experiment aboard the German CHAMP satellite. Wickert was also coordinating the GEROS-ISS proposal to ESA and chairing the related Science Advisory Group. He was Co-PI of the G-TERN Earth Explorer 9 proposal and is author/coauthor of more than 230 ISI listed publications on GNSS Earth Observation.